MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF HENRICO COUNTY, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE HENRICO COUNTY GOVERNMENT COMPLEX, ON THURSDAY, APRIL 26, 2001, AT 9:00 A.M., NOTICE HAVING BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE RICHMOND TIMES-DISPATCH ON APRIL 5 AND 12, 2001.

5 6

1

2

3

4

Members Present:

Richard Kirkland, Chairman

Daniel Balfour, Vice-Chairman

Gene L. McKinney, C.P.C., C.B.Z.A.

James W. Nunnally R. A. Wright

Also Present:

Benjamin Blankinship, Secretary Susan W. Blackburn, County Planner II Priscilla M. Parker, Recording Secretary

7 8

Mr. Kirkland - Welcome, ladies and gentlemen, to the April meeting of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Before we get started, I'll have the Secretary read the rules.

9 10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Blankinship -Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, ladies and gentlemen. The rules for this meeting are as follows. The Secretary, myself, will call each case. Then the applicant will come to the podium to present the case. At that time I'll ask all those who intend to speak, in favor or opposition, to stand, and they will be sworn in. The applicants will then present their testimony. When the applicant is finished, anyone else will be given an opportunity to speak. After everyone has spoken, the applicant, and only the applicant, will be given the opportunity for rebuttal. After hearing the case, and asking questions, the Board will take the matter under advisement. They will render a decision at the end of the meeting. If you wish to know what their decision is, you may stay until the end of the meeting, or you may call the Planning Office at the end of the day. This meeting is being tape recorded, so we will ask everyone who speaks, to speak directly into the microphone on the podium, and to state your name for the record. Out in the foyer, there are two binders, which have the staff reports for each case, including the conditions suggested by the staff. Mr. Chairman, we have one request for a deferral on the 9:00 o'clock agenda. That is A-43-2001 MELANI BROS.

26 27 28

29

30

Upon a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board of Zoning Appeals **deferred** the **A-43-2001** application for a variance to build a sunroom at 1829 Random Winds Court (Townes of Quail Woods) (Tax Parcel 77-18-N-6). The case was deferred for 30 days, at your request, from the April 26, 2001, until the May 24, 2001, meeting,

31 32

33 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5

34 Negative:

35 Absent: 0 36 37 Mr. Kirkland -Was anyone here on that case? Let's hear the first one. 38 39 Mr. Blankinship -The first 2 cases are companion cases – shall I call them 40 together? 41 42 Mr. Kirkland -Please. 43 44 A - 21-2001 CAREY OAKLEY requests a variance from Section 24-95(b)(6) of 45 Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a single family home at 21 North Elm Avenue (Highland Springs) (Tax Parcel 148-10-E-27 46 47 (part)), zoned R-4, One-family Residence District (Varina). The total 48 lot area requirement is not met. The applicant has 5,000 square feet 49 total lot area, where the Code requires 6,000 square feet total lot 50 area. The applicant requests a variance of 1,000 square feet total 51 lot area. 52 A - 22-2001 CAREY OAKLEY requests a variance from Section 24-95(b)(6) of 53 Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a single family home at 23 54 North Elm Avenue (Highland Springs) (Tax Parcel 148-10-E-27 55 (part)), zoned R-4, One-family Residence District (Varina). The total 56 lot area requirement is not met. The applicant has 5,000 square feet 57 total lot area, where the Code requires 6,000 square feet total lot area. The applicant requests a variance of 1,000 square feet total 58 59 lot area. 60 61 Mr. Kirkland -Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you all would raise your right hands, including the applicant, and be sworn in. 62 63 64 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the 65 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 66 67 Have all your adjacent landowners been contacted, Mr. Kirkland according to County Code? We have all the notices here. State your name and state 68 69 your case. 70 71 Mr. Oaklev -I'm Carey Oakley, and what we're here to do is to try to take 72 a parcel of land that has an existing house that's been destroyed by fire, remove the 73 existing house, and build 2 houses on that parcel of land. It is our intent to remove 74 several large trees that pose a threat or danger to adjoining properties, power lines and 75 so forth. That's a summary of it. 76 77 Mr. Nunnally-I think you told us last month, Mr. Oakley, but what size

Mr. Oakley -

houses are you putting in there, the 2 houses?

78

79 80

The little houses are 9,950 square foot houses.

81		
82 83	Mr. Kirkland -	Say that again.
84 85	Mr. McKinney-	9,000 square foot houses?
86 87 88	Mr. Oakley - houses, typical of the area	I'm sorry, 950 square foot. They're small, 3-bedroom, 1-bath in Highland Springs.
89 90	Mr. McKinney	So you're putting the minimum of what the code requires?
91 92 93	Mr. Oakley - requires.	Yes sir, we're putting in what we can, what the Code
93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103	Mr. McKinney-	What's around you, Mr. Oakley, what size are the homes?
	built on North Eim Street. them are the same squaredirectly next door is a ni across the street is a real	Directly across the street there are 3 houses similar in size to build. In the past 10 years, there have been 7 new homes Of these 7, all of them were built on 50 x 100 foot lots. All of re footage as the houses that I propose to build. The house ce 2-story frame house, nice side yard. The house directly nice brick, older home, nice home. The 3 houses directly next type and size that I propose to build here.
104 105	Mr. McKinney- feet is it, roughly? How al	The house next door you say is a 2-story. How many square bout the brick rancher across the street?
106 107 108	Mr. Oakley -	I don't know. Mr. Willis? One-and-a-half-story cape.
109 110	Mr. McKinney-	Are you planning on building yours out of brick?
111 112 113 114	Mr. Oakley - brick foundation all the wa on the back.	No sir, it's going to be conventional framing, vinyl siding, ay around. It will have a front covered stoop; it'll have a deck
115 116	Mr. Balfour	What are the sizes of the homes on the other 2 corners?
117 118 119	Mr. Oakley - guess would be that they	The other 2 corners, on Vine and Elm, are older homes. My were 1,000 to 1200 square foot homes.
120 121 122	Mr. Balfour- have? Are they 2-story or	Old Victorian homes, it looks like from the diagram that we 1-story?
122 123 124	Mr. Oakley -	Oh no, they're just 1-story homes.
124 125 126	Mr. McKinney- home in this neighborhood	So you said that your home was going to be the smallest d?

127 128 129 130		No sir, it'll be of the same size as the construction of the 3 e street from it. There are probably some other homes on the ome that are of the same size etc.			
131 132 133 134	Mr. Balfour-contingency?	Are you already the owner, or do you have it on			
135 136	Mr. Oakley -	It's contingency to buy it, yes sir?			
137 138 139	Mr. Balfour- this size, than 1 nicer hom	You economically decided you can do better with 2 homes ne, I gather, is that right?			
140 141	Mr. Oakley -	Yes sir, I think it would be in my best interest.			
142 143 144	Mr. McKinney- in?	Mr. Oakley, what type foundation are you planning on putting			
145 146	Mr. Oakley -	It will be a concrete footing, brick and block foundation.			
147 148	Mr. McKinney-	So these foundations will be exposed brick?			
149 Mr. Oakley - Yes sir, all the way around. It won't be just a brid cinderblock sides; it'll be brick all the way around. 150 150					
152 153 154	Mr. McKinney- \$85,000?	Just guessing, but these homes will sell for about \$82 to			
155 156	Mr. Oakley -	Just guessing, yes sir.			
157 158	Mr. Kirkland -	Any other questions of Board members?			
159 160 161 162	Mr. Nunnally- towards Nine Mile Road, right?	I think you have about 4 more houses down the street that are about the same size as you're talking about building,			
163 164 165 166 167	approximately the same s	Yes sir, that's true. I went back and looked up the records, ars, there have been 7 of these houses built, all of them square footage as what I'm planning to build. In the first block 7 houses that are approximately the same size.			
168 169	Mr. Wright-	Were all those built on 50-foot lots?			
170 171	Mr. Oakley -	Yes sir, they were.			
172	Mr. McKinney-	According to your plan, you've got 980 square feet.			

Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions by Board members? We'll hear from the opposition now. Would you like to speak sir? Come on down front. If you would, state your name for the record please.

Mr. Willis -My name is Jeffrey L. Willis. I live in the brick house directly across from this property, across Elm. My main concern is that it's located on the corner, and that's one of the busier streets in Highland Springs, and we've already seen the effects of what it would be like with 2 households living there. There were major parking problems; the stop sign is located on that side. I've sat out in the yard on numerous occasions and seen people run through the stop sign because they couldn't see it. I think it really poses a significant hazard to the children playing in the street. Of all 4 corners I think we have 9 children between everybody. I also have a concern about what it would do to my property value. All of the houses on that corner are on 100 by 100-foot lots, everything surrounding this property that Mr. Oakley is talking about. My house was built in 1943, and the neighborhood is built down around me, and I'm concerned for my property value. There have been several accidents on that corner, and there was actually a little girl who got hit on a bicycle. I would say some of them were attributable to the stop sign being blocked. I realize when they build these new houses, they will have to have driveways, but every house has multiple cars, 2, most cases 3 cars, so some of those are going to be parking on the street. I know for a fact that it is a hazard with blocked view of the stop signs.

Mr. Balfour- What is your home assessed for?

198 Mr. Willis - \$75,000.

200 Mr. Balfour- And how long have you lived there?

202 Mr. Willis - Ten years, May 1st.

204 Mr. Balfour- Are you speaking for any other neighbors, or just yourself at 205 this point?

Mr. Willis - I think we all have pretty much the same concerns although there may be some added concerns, so they may have something to add. I know myself, if I weren't living in the house, and I was looking at it, it would be something of a deterrent. I have a brick home, and there's going to be 2 smaller frame homes right across the street from me, so I think it would really hurt my resale. It is true that there are 3 frame houses next door to me, in succession, on 50 by 100-foot lots, but they're not rental. They are buying, and to me, it is a little different from being on a busy street corner. Every house on Elm, and then on Fern, on the corners, are on 100 by 100-foot lots, and I think Daisy may be too. I also think that if Mr. Oakley is allowed to build these houses, at a time when he chooses to sell them, I think they may possibly be destined to be lifelong rental homes. I think if he had a single-family dwelling on a 100 by 100-foot lot, it would be much more attractive for a sale than 2 houses jammed in

together. I'm afraid that I would have lifelong rental properties across from me. Even after he sold them, maybe a realtor would buy them, and they would continue to be rented.

222

223 Mr. McKinney- Mr. Willis, how many automobiles do you have? Do you park 224 on the street?

225226

227

228

229230

231

232

Mr. Willis - Three. No. But when you have people come over and visit – my driveway is entering from Vine, not Elm, so there have been occasions when they have parked on the street, but the concern I have with parking on the street over there, is the stop sign is located on that side. All of the people here today, they have witnessed from time to time, vehicles running the stop sign, and you can see their heads snap back where they've seen it right at the last minute, and it's too late. They're in the intersection, and there's just too many kids around there, and it's an invitation for disaster. That's pretty much all of the concerns that I have.

233234

235 Mr. Kirkland- Mr. Secretary, what's the pavement width through there at 236 Elm Street?

237

Mr. Blankinship - I do not know, Mr. Chairman. It did not look unusual when we visited the site in the field. Put the photograph back up there. I think I'm standing across Elm Street, holding the camera, so you get some idea of what the pavement looks like there.

242

243 Mr. Kirkland - What's that, about a 50-foot right-of-way area, and then it's got 17 feet of pavement, something like that?

245

246 Mr. Blankinship - I can't even say that definitively.

247

248 Mr. Wright- It looks like that stop sign is in the bushes.

249

250 Mr. Blankinship - There's nothing unusual about the pavement there that I 251 noticed when we were in the field.

252

253 Mr. Kirkland - I just wanted to make sure it wasn't real narrow.

254

255 Mr. Blankinship - And you can see that it's not in particularly bad condition 256 either.

257

258 Mr. Kirkland - Yes ma'am, state your name.

259

Ms. Sullivan - My name is Lucia Sullivan. I live at 17 North Elm. My property's adjacent to this one. In January they reassessed all of our property; we're paying more taxes, and we really had a traumatic experience for two years with rental property. We're opposed to 2 houses; we'll go along with 1, but I think 2 in that amount of space is too much.

265		
266	Mr. Kirkland-	And your home is on what size lot?
267		•
268	Ms. Sullivan -	One hundred foot – 100 by 100.
269		
270	Mr. Kirkland -	Any Board members have any questions? Yes ma'am, state
271	your name for the record.	
272		
273	Ms. Leper -	Lorraine Leper. I live at 15 North Elm Avenue, adjacent to
274	Ms. Lucy Sullivan. I'm als	so on a 100 by 100-foot lot. I'm a 1-story frame house; I have
275	1800 square feet of living	space, not counting the actual square footage of the house. I
276	too disagree with having t	he 2 homes on the corner. My house is assessed right now at
277	over \$100,000, and I do r	not wish to have my property value deflated by having 2 rental
278	properties on the corner.	
279		
280	Mr. Wright-	Where do you live? Where is your house?
281		
282	Ms. Leper -	Mine's 15 North Elm, adjacent to Ms. Lucy's, in the middle of
283	the block.	
284		
285	Mr. Wright-	So you're also on a 100-foot lot?
286	_	·
287	Ms. Leper -	Yes I am, and it's a 1-story frame house, with 1800 square
288	feet of living space. It's a	4-bedroom. My driveway can hold about 6 cars.
289	- '	
290	Mr. Nunnally-	Behind you, you have 2 houses on 50-foot lots?
291	•	
292	Ms. Leper -	Behind me? They're about the same size property as mine.
293		
294	Mr. Blankinship -	But 2 of them are on the same amount that you have?
295	·	·
296	Ms. Leper -	Behind me? It's not really. It kind of crosses over. Mine sits
297	like this, but one kind of	meets halfway and extends past mine. The other one just
298	meets halfway and extend	ds over.
299		
300	Mr. Kirkland -	Any other questions? Anyone else wish to speak in
301	opposition? All right, Mr.	Oakley, you have anything to say about their concerns?
302	-	
303	Mr. Oakley -	If you would flip back to the picture of the house. You notice
304	where the stop sign is p	artially obstructed by the hedges. The tree also provides a
305		n. All of that will be removed. There's a crepe myrtle bush
306		dogwood tree in front of the house that we want to keep. One
307		ed an interest, and she'd like to see that stay.
308		·
200	Mr. Dolform	The other 2 trees are gainer to go?

Mr. Balfour-

309

310

The other 2 trees are going to go?

Mr. Oakley -Yes sir. All the large trees on the property will go. They are 312 what I consider a threat to the power lines, to the adjacent properties, to Ms. Sullivan's 313 property in particular. They could pose a threat to traffic, should we have another ice storm. I think it would be in the best interest to remove all those trees. The shrubbery will be removed across the front of the property. Like I said, the only thing we want to save is a small dogwood tree and a crepe myrtle bush. Everything else will be removed off the lot, providing clear access to the stop sign. Each one of these houses will have a driveway capable of holding at least 2 automobiles. The houses will be respectable; they will be neat and orderly. When we do rent them, the leases are for 1 year, and 1 year only. During that time, we have clauses in there where we are allowed to visit the houses, and should they not be kept up to the way I'd want to live in it, then we have the right to evict these people. We've put a "no pets" clause in our rental agreements. I'm trying to do everything I can to be as cooperative in this venture as humanly possible.

323 324

311

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

You're definitely not going to put them up for sale? Mr. Balfour-

325 326 327

328

329

330

Mr. Oakley -No sir. It is not our intent to sell them any time in the near future. Later on down the road, when I turn 65 and it's time to retire or something like that, yes I may indeed sell them. But no, I do not see it right now, barring any financial hardships, or anything like that.

331 332

Let me ask the Secretary a question. This property is zoned Mr. McKinney-R-4. I thought R-4 minimum square feet is 1,000 square feet; R-4A is 950.

333 334 335

336 337 Mr. Blankinship -It is 1,000. There is a note on that, says 1-floor dwellings can go down to 900, let me check. "Finished floor area for 1-story dwellings may be 900 square feet." So you can't build two 400 square foot floors, but you could build one 900.

338 339 340

341

342

343

344

345

Mr. Oakley -I'd like to address one other issue too, that Mr. Willis brought up. It's about the two families living in this one house. At the time that Mr. Willis experienced the majority of their problems with it, there were 2 families living in this one house, from what I understand from the neighbors. That will not be the case with anything that I put up there. I can't see for the life of me, how we're going to hurt property values, when we have something like this across the road from it now. I can only see improving the property values and the area in Highland Springs.

346 347 348

Mr. Kirkland -Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else wish to speak? Last call. That concludes the cases. Thank you sir.

349 350 351

352 353 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board granted the application A-21-2001 for a variance to build a single family home at 21 North Elm Avenue (Highland Springs) (Tax Parcel 148-10-E-27 (part)). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

361	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
362	Negative:			0
363	Absent:			0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** the application **A-22-2001** for a variance to build a single family home at 23 North Elm Avenue (Highland Springs) (Tax Parcel 148-10-E-27 (part))). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
Negative:			0
Absent:			0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - OK, Mr. Blankinship.

 A - 41-2001

DUNCAN FUNG requests a variance from Section 24-95(i)(2)(f) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an in-ground pool at 611 Walsing Drive (Mooreland Landing) (Tax Parcel 124-6-A-10), zoned R-1, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The accessory structure location requirement is not met. The applicant wishes to locate an in-ground pool in the side yard, where the Code allows a pool in the rear yard. The applicant requests a variance to build the pool in side yard.

Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Chairman, I noted that you had received new sketches of this property on the table when you came in this morning. It's very similar to the sketch in your package, but it shows the location and approximate shape of the pool.

404

Mr. Kirkland - Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would sir, raise your right hand and be sworn in.

407 408

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

409 410

411 Mr. Kirkland - Would you state your name for the record please? Have all your notices been turned in? We've got them in the file. State your case.

413

414 Mr. White -Luther White. I am the President of Richmond I do. 415 PoolWorks, and I hope to be building a pool for Mr. Fung at his new residence if you will 416 act favorably on the request. There's been some confusion about this particular piece 417 of property from his neighbors, who have expressed concern to Mr. Fung, because of 418 the notice that went to them. That has to do with the definition of side and rear property, according to Henrico County. What we propose to do, what Mr. Fung wants to do, is in 419 420 fact build the pool in his back yard, which is where most pools are, obviously. 421 Unfortunately, because of the definition of side and rear yards in a property lot, the 422 County has designated the Forest Ridge Court Road to be the front yard. Therefore, his 423 back yard is actually Henrico County's side yard. An in-ground pool is prohibited in a side yard. Granted, it's a small area, but Mr. Fung would much prefer to have a small 424 pool in his own back yard, than a larger pool in the side yard, which would be exposed 425 to his driveway, and neighbors driving past the front of his house. This house does in 426 427 fact face Walsing Drive. We think this is a fairly simple request, and we hope that you 428 will grant that request.

429

430 Mr. Balfour - What kind of screening are you going to put on the side that 431 faces Forest Ridge Court?

432 433

434 435 Mr. White - By Code, there must be a 4-foot minimum fence around it, and I would suggest that Mr. Fung will put some kind of privacy fence around that area. If he does not, I don't know what he'll put. It'll be some kind of fence with mason screening, in terms of trees and bushes, etc.

436 437 438

Mr. Balfour - You said there was some concern on the part of his neighbors. Has he since shown this new design to his neighbors?

439 440

444

445 Mr. Balfour - I understand the distinction, but do they understand now 446

447		
44 <i>1</i> 448	Mr. White -	Apparently so, because no one is here to dispute that.
449	ivii. vviiitė -	Apparently 30, because no one is here to dispute that.
450	Mr. Blankinship -	I spoke to 2 of them on the phone, and explained what the
451	words meant.	r opene to 2 or mem on the priorie, and explained must the
452	Words modnic	
453	Mr. Kirkland -	Any other questions of Board members?
454	WII. MINIANA	Trify other questions of Board Members:
455	Mr. Wright-	What's the size of the pool?
456	wii. wrigitt	What's the size of the poor:
457	Mr. White -	It's a fairly small pool, roughly in the neighborhood of 500
458		ape, similar to what's shown on the addendum you have that
459	was passed out today.	lape, similar to what's shown on the addendant you have that
460	was passed out today.	
461	Mr. Balfour-	Sort of a liver shape, kidney?
462	WII. Ballour-	Soft of a liver shape, kidney!
463	Mr. White -	Lagon kidney compething like that We con't fit o
464		Lagoon, kidney, something like that. We can't fit a because of the By the way, we must, of course, abide
465	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
466		not a rear setback, we have to abide by the side yard setback,
467		sed to rear, which is less than that, so we fully realize that we also the 10-foot setback off the house itself. There is actually a
467 468	,	•
		at's going to be partially enclosed, but I've spoken with the
469 470	• •	stay 10 feet off of that, as opposed to 6 feet, which you would
470	•	g like that. We're well aware of the restrictions that we have
471		I a pool that will fit into that area without crossing those setback
472		ne way, is under construction, and is getting pretty close to
473	completion.	
474	Mr. Dalfa	Don I noticed way said the Code regulates in ground
475	Mr. Balfour-	Ben, I noticed you said the Code regulates in-ground
476	<u> </u>	ssory structures – we don't have anything about above-ground
477	pools?	
478	Ma Diagliashia	
479	Mr. Blankinship -	Above ground also, but they're a little different regulation.
480	Mar Dalfa	William Conference on the Conference of the Conf
481	Mr. Balfour-	What's the different regulation?
482		
483	Mr. Blankinship -	I know there's a difference when you put them in the flood
484	plain.	
485		
486	Mr. Kirkland -	There's a fence difference too. One's a 42 vs. a 48.
487		
488	Mr. White -	I don't believe above-ground pools are allowed by the
489	homeowners association	in this particular neighborhood anyway.
490		
491	Mr. Kirkland -	Any other questions? Anyone else wish to speak? That
492	concludes the case. Tha	nk you sir.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** the application **A-41-2001** for a variance to build an inground pool at 611 Walsing Drive (Mooreland Landing) (Tax Parcel 124-6-A-10). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. Only the pool described in the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
Negative: 0
Absent:

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - Next one.

Mr. Blankinship - A-43-2001 has been deferred.

A - 44-2001

HAZEL JINNETTE requests a variance from Section 24-9 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a single family dwelling at 6055 Hines Road (Tax Parcel 231-A-29 (part)), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). The public street frontage requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet public street frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public street frontage. The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public street frontage.

Mr. Kirkland - Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? Okay, Mr. Secretary. If you would, ma'am, raise your right hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Kirkland - If you would, state your name for the record. Have all your notices been turned in, according to the County Code? We have them in the file. State your case.

Ms. Jinnette - Yes sir. I'm Hazel Jinnette. I live at 6067 Hines Road. I would like to give my daughter 2 acres of land, right behind my property. I need a variance for her for a road. I have been on disability for probably 12-14 years. I am a

widow. There are times when I'm not able to do certain things for myself, that I depend on Charlotte an awful lot for. It's true, my son lives next door to me, but there are a lot of things that you don't want to ask your son to do for you, where you feel more comfortable with your daughter. Basically, that's what I would like to do.

543

544 Mr. Wright- How would your daughter access the property, Ms. Jinnette?

545

546 Ms. Jinnette - She would have a road, on the other side of my son's 547 property, it would go to the back

548

549 Mr. Wright- And I believe the plat shows that would be a 50-foot right-of-550 way.

551

552 Ms. Jinnette - It's surveyed 50 feet.

553

554 Mr. Blankinship - It's different on the sketch, 20 feet. So the 50 feet is accurate?

555 556

557 Ms. Jinnette - Yes sir.

558

559 Mr. Wright- She realizes that she would have the responsibility of maintaining that access?

561

562 Ms. Jinnette - Yes sir, she does.

563

564 Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions? Okay. Yes ma'am, would you like to 565 speak?

566

Ms. Reynolds - I am Charlotte Reynolds, Ms. Jinnette's daughter. Our intentions would be to put about an 1800 square foot ranch on that property, enough room where if something would happen to her, I could go and stay at her house, or she can stay at mine, anytime she needs the help.

571 572

Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak? That concludes your case.

573 574

575 576 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** the application **A-44-2001** for a variance to build a single family dwelling at 6055 Hines Road (Tax Parcel 231-A-29 (part)). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

577578579

580

1. This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

581 582 583

584

2. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the

requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

3. At the time of building permit application, the owner shall demonstrate that the parcel created by this division has been conveyed to members of the immediate family, and the subdivision ordinance has not been circumvented.

4. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drain field and reserve area, and approval of a well location.

5. The applicant shall present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained.

6. The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access is improved to County standards and accepted into the County road system for maintenance.

604	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
605	Negative:			0
606	Absent:			0

 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - Next one, sir.

JENNIFER DOWDY-PANTEN requests a variance from Section 24-9 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a single family dwelling at 4212 Darbytown Road (Tax Parcel 240-A-2 (part)), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). The public street frontage requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet public street frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public street frontage. The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public street frontage.

Mr. Kirkland - Is the applicant here? Come forward, please. Anyone else wish to speak? If you would, raise your right hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

630 Mr. Kirkland - Would you state your name for the record please. Have all

A - 45-2001

your notices been turned in according to the County Code? We have them in the file. Now you can state your case.

Ms. Dowdy-Panten - I'm Jennifer Dowdy-Panten, and this is my husband Robert Panten, and my father Roy Dowdy. Basically, we're going to build a house on my parents' family farm. We just moved up from Durham, N. Carolina, and all of my life we've been surrounded by my family, and we decided that it would be the best place for my 3 children to grow up, near their grandparents. They do enjoy living and playing on the farm right now, and that's currently where we're living, is with my parents.

Mr. Blankinship- So you're in somewhat of a hurry right now?

Ms. Dowdy-Panten - Yes, we really are. Actually, my parents having 3 small children under the age of 2 at their house right now, really are in a hurry to get us out. We just wanted to use the drive that my parents have right now, instead of cutting anymore through their land, because we wanted the back parcel. We were offered an up-front parcel of their land, but I did not want to be that close to the road with my 3 small children. I don't think Darbytown Road is such a great place to be playing on anymore. We're right in the middle of that bad curve. The further back they are, the less chance they have of running into the highway.

Mr. Wright- Is there already a driveway in there that you would use?

Ms. Dowdy-Panten - The beginning part of the drive is from my parents' drive. Then I have an easement going back to the lot.

657 Mr. Wright- Shows a 25-foot wide easement.

Mr. Blankinship - And you see kind of a cleared strip right along the property line there.

Ms. Dowdy-Panten - Yes, that's where the fence line is for the horse pasture is.

Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions by Board members? You gentlemen wish to add anything?

Mr. Panten - I'm Robert Panten. As my wife mentioned, with the small children, we prefer the setback off the road. It's a nice area, but we've already had pets killed in that road, and we'd prefer not to have a child go that route. Also, as she mentioned, while it's theoretically possible for us to move closer to the road and have frontage, I think that there will be less of an impact on neighbors by building at the back of the property. We've looked at it, and the house basically would be invisible from her parents' house, and the other neighbors are her brother, and the other neighbors are her brother, and the house is only barely visible there, whereas if we built on Darbytown Road, there would be another house right out front, with clear views of the 4 houses across the street, and also better views of her parents' and her bother's house across

clear fields, as opposed to being set in the woods.

679 Mr. Wright- What size house do you propose to build?

681 Mr. Panten - It's 2-story, all brick, 4,000 square feet. It's about the size of the other 2 homes that are existing there.

Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions? Anyone else wish to speak? That concludes the case. Thank you.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** the application **A-45-2001** for a variance to build a single family dwelling at 4212 Darbytown Road (Tax Parcel 240-A-2 (part)). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

692 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
693 Negative: 0
694 Absent: 0

1. This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

3. At the time of building permit application the owner shall demonstrate that the parcel created by this division has been conveyed to members of the immediate family, and the subdivision ordinance has not been circumvented.

4. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval of a well location.

5. The applicant shall present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained.

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A - 46-2001

DEANNA LYNN WADE requests a variance from Sections 24-95(k) and (c)1 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a single-family dwelling at 5200 Randall Avenue (National Heights) (Tax Parcel 171-5-D-1), zoned R-4, One-family Residence District (Varina). The minimum side yard setback and total side yard setback are not met. The applicant has 8 feet minimum side yard setback and 15 total side yard, where the Code requires 10 feet minimum side yard setback and 17 feet total side yard. The applicant requests a variance of 2 feet minimum side yard setback and total side yard setback.

Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak on this case? Sir, if you would raise your right hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Kirkland - Would you state your name for the record. Have all adjacent landowners been contacted? We have them in the file. Okay, if you would, state your case.

Mr. Jenness -Frank Jenness. Yes sir, they have. This is an area that has been in existence since World War II. I was raised on Randall Avenue; my parents still reside there. Most of the homes here have been built 50-60 years ago, but there's a small parcel of several lots, adjacent to the lot that we're attempting to buy here, and our lot, that have recently been constructed within the last 5 or 10 years. What we're trying to do, is we're trying to keep a house that is in continuity with the, adjacent houses; there are 2 houses across from Lundie that are ranchers, and they look just about identical to the plans that we want to build. They are 950 square feet ranchers. and we're trying to just keep continuity in the direction that the house is facing, the square footage, and you can see by the picture that there's another rancher that's been built, probably about 10 years ago. There are about 3 or 4 homes right in our immediate area, that are basically ranchers, they are facing Randall Avenue, and we're trying to keep that sort of continuity with the house that we're building. We've looked at various plans, and we've cut this down as small as we can possibly get it and still have it facing the front. You have a wide front elevation, and a narrow side elevation. We're trying to keep that and keep the continuity of the area. Unfortunately, we do not have the 2 feet that we need to fulfill the zoning restrictions.

Mr. Balfour- Is there a vacant lot between where you want to build and the next one down?

Mr. Jeness - No, that house, I believe, was built on 2 parcels of land, and it's not buildable, so there's a substantial amount of space between this house that we're talking about building, and the next-door neighbor. Also, across the street, and I

didn't see any pictures on that, but down the street on Randall across from Lundie, there are 2 homes, and they look identical to the plans that we have. I think if we could get this accepted, it would surely keep continuity, the setbacks would be the same, they could sit on the back steps and the houses would be in a line, and I don't think we'd have any problems with the neighbors as far as building it this way.

774

769

770

771 772

773

Mr. Nunnally-What size house do you propose building?

775 776 777

778

779

780

781

782

783

Mr. Jeness -950. It's basically identical to the 2 houses that are across from us, across from Lundie. There's also a rancher beside us that's on 2 lots, which is a little bit longer than the 35 feet that we want to build. If we could cut the house down at all, we would, but one foot would take away, if you could only cut it through the bathroom or the kitchen. If you cut it through the bathroom, you'd have to do away with the commode or sink. If you cut it in the kitchen, you'd have to do away with the washer or drier. Unfortunately, neither one of those are very acceptable alternatives. It would be hard to sell.

784 785 786

Mr. Kirkland -And you're building this to sell, correct? Any other questions by Board members? If not, that concludes the case.

787 788 789

790

791

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted your application A-46-2001 for a variance to build a singlefamily dwelling at 5200 Randall Avenue (National Heights) (Tax Parcel 171-5-D-1). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

792 793 794

795

796

1. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements on the property shall comply with applicable regulations of the County Code.

797 798 799

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0

801 802 803

804

805 806

800

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

807 808 809

Mr. Kirkland -Next case.

810 811

A - 47-2001 THOMAS R. AND PATRICIA B. GUINAN request a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a screened porch at 6005 Glen Abbey Drive (Glen Abbey at

813 814

812

Wvndham) (Tax Parcel 4-15-B-6), zoned R-3C, One-family 815 Residence District (Conditional) (Three Chopt). The minimum side 816 yard setback and rear yard setback are not met. The applicant has 10 feet minimum side yard setback and 38 feet rear yard setback, 817 818 where the Code requires 12 feet minimum side yard setback and 40 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 2 819 820 feet minimum side yard setback and 2 feet rear yard setback. 821 822 Mr. Kirkland -Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? Raise your 823 right hand and be sworn in. 824 825 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the 826 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 827 828 Mr. Kirkland -State your name for the record. If you would ma'am, state 829 your name too. Have all your notices been turned in, according to the County Code. 830 We have them in the file. Okay, state your case. 831 832 Mr. Guinan -I do. Thomas R. Guinan. 833 834 Ms. Guinan -Patricia B. Guinan. 835 836 Mr. Guinan -We'd like to put a screened in porch on the existing desk that we have located on the north side of the home. The reason that considerations weren't 837 838 for the south side of the home, was due to the fact that there's air conditioning units 839 there, and it would be a re-work, so there was a monetary aspect. Also, there is availability from the house, out to the deck. We would then have to construct through 840 841 the bathroom part of the home, to be able to put it on the south side of the house. 842 843 Mr. Wright-Is the bathroom in the area where that little circular 844 845 To the left of that sir. That window there, that's the Mr. Guinan -846 bathroom. 847 848 Describe the shape of your lot. Mr. Wright-849 850 Mr. Guinan -It's irregular, and it runs back from the front side to the back side, with a reduction in footage, so what we would like to do again, is get the variance 851 852 onto the right side and the back side of the lot, to be able to square off the aspect right here, to be able to square that off, and so it's asking for the variance of 2 feet on both 853 854 sides of that. It's open to the neighbors adjacent to the right, and there's no other 855 obstructions or any hindrance there. 856 857 Mr. Wright-Seems that the irregular shape of the lot is what's causing 858 your problems.

Mr. Guinan -

859 860

That's correct, sir.

861
862 Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions of the Board members? Would you like 863 to add anything ma'am?

Ms. Guinan - I just wanted to state that our neighbors have called us, and no one has had any complaints. They've all encouraged us and wished us luck today.

Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak? That concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** your application **A-47-2001** for a variance to build a screened porch at 6005 Glen Abbey Drive (Glen Abbey at Wyndham) (Tax Parcel 4-15-B-6). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. Only the improvements described in the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
Negative: 0
Absent:

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - All right, Mr. Blankinship.

 DARLENE STRAUSE requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a sunroom and a deck at 12009 Cottage Creek Court (Chapelwood) (Tax Parcel 56-21-A-8), zoned R-4C, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Three Chopt). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant has 25 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 35 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 10 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would, sir, raise your right hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

 A - 48-2001

907 Mr. Smith -My name is Larry Smith; I'm with Patio Enclosures, 908 representing Darlene Strause on the situation.

909 910

Mr. Kirkland -Have all your notices been turned in according to Code?

911 912

917

919

921

Mr. Smith -Yes sir. First of all, I apologize for Ms. Strause. She truly 913 wanted to be here, but she's on a business trip this week, so she was unable to attend. 914 What we're asking for, this is something that I know you have seen before, adding a sunroom onto the rear of the home, there is an existing deck in place now, which will be 915 916 torn down. The size of the sunroom will be 14 by 14, with an additional new sun deck off to the side of it. I was looking at the package, and I guess the easiest thing is just to kind of go through that. As far as the variance that's requested, it is 10 feet. I did notice in 918 there that there's a statement about potentially putting it on the other side of the home, 920 which would not require that variance, because of the way that the home is positioned on the lot. The only problem that we have with that, is that that area on that side of the 922 house is a formal dining area. Going with the flow of the home, which is actually Ms. Strauss has been in the home a couple of years, and moved into it brand new, and was 923 924 looking for something with a sunroom on it to begin with. If we did do it on that side, then we are kind of breaking the flow of that home pretty significantly. She has talked with her neighbors; there is a sort of informal homeowners association present there. This particular project has been signed off or approved by that situation as well.

927 928

925

926

929 Mr. Wright-Mr. Smith, it looks like the rear property line of this particular 930 lot is on an angle.

931 932

933

Mr. Smith -It is. We're running into this more and more, just as the gentleman just said, it is kind of an irregular line. The neighborhood's pretty interesting. When you run into cul-de-sacs, you run into that problem.

935 936

Mr. Wright-The cul-de-sac causes the problem of where you have to locate the house back on the lot.

937 938 939

940 941

942

Mr. Smith -Which sometimes, as you know, can be somewhat limiting as far as doing anything to those homes. The area directly behind the house, I don't actually have the lot number in front of me, but the one directly behind them, is vacant, and I don't see any way that anything would ever be built there. It is owned. I guess by the builder. that originally worked in the neighborhood.

943 944 945

Mr. Wright-So there is no house directly behind this lot?

946 947

No sir. As a matter of fact, I don't have a photograph of it, Mr. Smith -948 but it's an extremely steep hill, and then kind of like just a common area back there for the people who live in the area. 949

950 951

Mr. Wright-Is that area back there wooded?

953 Mr. Smith - Directly behind it, it is grass, but it's only maybe 25 to 30 feet 954 of grass area, and then it is wooded, and it comes down a hill. Actually, I think the 955 Strauses actually take care of that part. From what I understand, they take good care of 956 it.

Mr. Blankinship - It is well maintained.

Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else wish to speak? That concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board **granted** your application **A-48-2001** for a variance to build a sunroom and a deck at 12009 Cottage Creek Court (Chapelwood) (Tax Parcel 56-21-A-8), The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

 1. Only the improvements shown in the materials filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
Negative: 0
Absent:

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

UP- 10-2001 CHARLENE E. ELLIOTT requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-50.7(b) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to operate a radio station at 6000 Wilkinson Road (Tax Parcel 74-A-14), zoned O-2C, Office District (Conditional) (Fairfield).

Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would, raise your right hand and be sworn in. Would you state your name for the record?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Ms. Elliott - I do. I'm Charlene Elliott.

996 Mr. Kirkland - Have all adjacent landowners been contacted according to 997 the Code.

999 Mr. Blankinship -I need the originals of your receipts.

1000

1001 Mr. Elliott -I did. I mailed them to you.

1002

1003 Mr. Kirkland -We've got the originals in the file. OK, state your case.

1004 1005

1006

1007 1008

1009 1010

1011

1012

1013

1014 1015

1016

1017

1018

Ms. Elliott -This was originally built as a radio station back in 1937. It is a historic landmark. It is one of the oldest, still standing, radio stations in the state of Virginia. I didn't know that when I bought it. I received a letter from the County congratulating me on owning the oldest one. However, it was shut down back in 1988, and it sat abandoned. My husband and I purchases the property; we renovated it, and we would like to turn it into a radio station again. In the time that it was closed down, back in '88 till now, the County rezoned the area around me, which caused my inconvenience. If it had never been rezoned, I wouldn't be here today. I would just be allowed to reopen it as is. The building is already built as a radio station, with sound rooms; the tower is already there, and has been there. So all I need is permission to use that tower and the property again. But there is also a radio station directly across Two towers are already directly across the street. I have been complimented by numerous neighbors because it sat abandoned for so long, and it was so overgrown and such an eyesore, that I feel like it's going to continue to be a benefit to the community, so all I need is your blessings.

1019 1020

1021 Mr. McKinney-You've done a nice job, Ms. Elliott; I've seen it.

1022 1023

Mr. Wright-Have you reviewed the suggested conditions for the case?

1024

1025 Ms. Elliott -When you say the suggested conditions I'm not sure.....

1026

1027

1028 Mr. Blankinship -The last page of the staff report

1029

1030 Ms. Elliott -Are you talking about on this thing?

1031 1032

That would be the agenda. We should have mailed you Mr. Blankinship -

1033

1034

1035 All I received from the staff was this piece of paper here, it Ms. Elliott was a little half sheet 1036

1037

1038 Notice letter? Instructions? Mr. Blankinship -

1039

1040 Ms. Elliott -It was just a little half sheet. I just was looking at it because I wanted to make sure I did everything. This little sheet that told me to send this to 1041

everybody, and I did that. But that's all I received.

1042 1043

1044 Mr. Wright-If you'll take a look at the suggested conditions. If it's approved, you'll have to comply with these suggested conditions.

1046

1047 Ms. Elliott - I never received anything.

1048

1049 Mr. Kirkland- We're going to show them to you, right now.

1050 1051

1052

1053 1054

1055 1056

1057

1058 1059

1060

1061

1062

1063 1064

1065

Ms. Elliott Prior to the rezoning case, I had to meet with all Public Works and the zoning people that require bear with me, I've got to think of the names of all these people that I met with. I know the building inspectors had to give me approval, the landscape people had to give me approval, the people who decide whether or not I need lighting, they had to give me approval, and they all came out and told me that the property was fine the way it is. That was prior to the rezoning to office use. But I've never seen this for this meeting at all. I literally came to the County, I didn't (just) make phone calls, and basically knocked on the doors of the people I had to meet with, to ask them to come out and look at the property. Mr. Hackett, I believe, who is the environmental people; I can't think of the man's name who is the building inspector who had to tell me whether or not I needed bathrooms or sidewalks or bathrooms, but he says no, but everybody said it was fine the way it was, as long as I didn't build anything new, that I could still continue to plant bushes and grass, and I'm not allowed to use, I can't show you on there, but you see where that O-2C is on that thing, south of there I'm not allowed to touch that, and I have no intention of touching it, there's literally water there.

1066 1067 1068

Mr. Balfour- What kind of station is it going to be – AM, FM.

1069

1070 Ms. Elliott - It's an FM, 89.7 American Family Radio. He's the station 1071 manager, Mr. Fowler.

1072 1073

1074

1075

Mr. Wright- I just wanted to make sure, because I didn't know what all this meant either. Mr. Blankinship may want to address these conditions. If you have any questions about them, if we approve this and these are put on, I want to make sure you're comfortable with them, if it requires additional types of plans.

1076 1077

1078 Ms. Elliott - I'm not sure what you're asking me. You mean, if this is going to be added additional to the conditional use?

1080

1081 Mr. Kirkland - I assume she's close to the flood plain.

1082

1083 Mr. Blankinship - Right.

1084

1085 Ms. Elliott - There is a 100-year flood plain, right where the O-2C is, and that's why we're not allowed

1087

1088 Mr. Kirkland- Yes, that's why you can't tamper with that part, I understand.

1089

1090 Mr. Wright- Yes, but tampering is one thing, but submitting all these

plans is something else. I just want to make sure we understood that these are necessary.

1093

1094 Mr. Blankinship - That's one of our standard conditions for use permits of this type.

1096

1097 Mr. Wright- What kind of landscaping and lighting plan would you be talking about?

1099

1100 Ms. Elliott - I was told I didn't need any more.

1101

1102 Mr. Wright- She said she's got all she needs.

1103

1104 Ms. Elliott -That's what I was told when I met with Mr. Silber & Lee Householder and Susan Blackburn, and I spoke with Mr. Hackett, Environmental, I can't 1105 1106 think of the other man's name. I was told because of the condition that it was before, 1107 what I've done so far to date, right now I have to say the front looks bad, only because 1108 the well went bad, and we had to have the County come out and dig up the whole front 1109 and connect to water. So where we had landscaped and had boxwoods all around the 1110 front, they were ripped up, and it killed the 2 bushes on the sides, so right now I have to replant all that out front. Even the mulch and stuff is a mixture of rock and dirt, only 1111 1112 because that just happened.

1113

1114 Mr. Wright- I think that's probably what you're talking about.

1115

1116 Mr. Blankinship - That may be all we need to see.

1117

1118 Mr. Wright- Just something that would replace that, and I take it that 1119 you're not going to disturb the land any further.

1120

Ms. Elliott - The only disturbing that I want to do, well I'm not going to own it any more, American Family is, but what I desired to do was to plant shrubs and bushes to beautify the place even more. That's all I wanted to do. As far as the building itself and the tower itself, we're not going to add anything to it or add any additions. We're just going to use it as is for what it was originally intended.

1126

Mr. McKinney- Mr. Blankinship, isn't she asking just for a use permit to operate a radio station? She's not asking us to do anything to the property. If she does anything to the property, she's got to go through the right procedures, whether it be building inspections or planning and zoning or whatever. All we're listening to is to grant her or deny her permission to operate a radio station.

1132

Mr. Blankinship - Yes sir, that's correct. The Department of Public Works contacted us and asked for the third condition, specifically because their normal threshold is 2500 square feet. If you disturb less than that, you don't normally have to go through E & S Control, but on this particular property they were concerned with

perhaps a disturbance of 2,000 square feet could cause

1137 1138 1139

Mr. McKinney- She's not asking us to do that.

1140 1141

11421143

Mr. Blankinship - Well I'm just stating that if changes were made in the future that were below 2500 square feet, without this condition there would be no need for ENS control. With this condition they would have to meet the ENS control regulations, even if it were less than 2500 square feet.

1144 1145 1146

11471148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157 1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

11731174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179 1180

1181

1182

May I say something? When we first purchased the property Ms. Elliott back in May of '99, well we got access to the property in May of '99, we didn't own it then, we were granted permission by the owner, who is Mr. Drubner, in Connecticut, to go in and clean it up, even though we didn't own it. We did not know, my husband and I, Randall and Charlene Elliott did not know we needed permission to bush hog all the The weeds were about this tall, and Mr. Hackett came out, who is an environmental engineer, and gave us a "stop work order." At that time we believed we satisfied all the needs of the County, and we spoke to Mr. Hackett, and since the rezoning, we have spoken to Mr. Hackett again. We had to have the Army Corps of Engineers come out because we bush hogged the weeds, and we had to have a Balzer Associates do a survey of disturbance of the land, and they did that. We had to make sure that we put sufficient drainage in because of the wetlands, and it came back that we actually did over and not less. Even though we didn't know what we were doing, we actually did over and above the drainage areas, and not less. So those areas back in '99 were satisfied with the environmental engineers, so we had to meet with three of them. They gave us a "stop work order," we had to come in and meet with 3 of them, my husband and I did and they told us what we needed to do. That's when we hired Balzer and Associates to go out and do a survey of how the wetlands were touched. We had to contact the Army Corps of Engineers to see if there was any environmental issues, and there was none. Everything that we had done, even though we did cut over the minimum square footage that you're allowed without a permit, we didn't know that. It was the front of the building that faces the road, the weeds had grown up so high that literally poison ivy was growing over the building, into the building, into the windows, we cut it down. I didn't know that you weren't allowed to cut it down without a permit, and we were reprimanded, and we had to do a "stop work order," and we had to do surveys, but that was back in 1999, but all that was satisfied. Then when we went to open the building as a radio station, which just happened this past October, we contacted the County right away, and that's when they told us that even though it was built as a radio station, because it was closed for over 2 years, we had to get it rezoned. So in order to get it rezoned, we had to meet these requirements. Everybody came out, they reviewed it, and it passed. So this is the first time I'm seeing it for this meeting, because I was told it had already passed. The only reason I would not have an issue at all, and I would not have to be here, except you see where it says R-2A on Sausiluta Drive and Wilkinson Road, that used to be A-1. If that still remained A-1 this day, I wouldn't be here. I would already have the approval. The County went ahead and changed it while the tower and while the radio station were there. Because it stayed closed for 2 years, that's where the opposition came. That's nothing but a wooded area, and it's a park.

1183	Homes aren't going to be built there, unless the County sells it, but that's Three Lakes			
1184	Park.			
1185				
1186	Mr. Wright-	I just wanted to make sure we do whatever		
1187	-			
1188	Ms. Elliott -	I do know I have Frank Thornton's blessings, and I also have		
1189	Mr. Archer's blessings. I	Evidently number 3 would cause no problem unless she were		
1190		which she's not going to do. But number 2, what is a detailed		
1191	•	plan, what kind of lighting		
1192	3 3 3 3 7	, and a second s		
1193	Ms. Elliott -	I was told by the County that the lighting that's there is		
1194		se they don't want commercial lighting, they want residential.		
1195	•	ecause I literally lived there for a while, I had hired Virginia		
1196		but lighting there. They were going to put commercial lighting,		
1197	•	n't want commercial, I wanted residential because there was a		
1198		ross the street. Even without knowing that you would not want		
1199	•	done that already, and when the County went out and looked		
1200	at it, they said that it was			
1200	at it, they said that it was	Summeric.		
1201	Mr. McKinney-	You had this property rezoned, correct. Went before the		
1202	,			
1203	Planning Commission, before the Board of Supervisors. Did the Planning Commission request you to have it landscaped and lighting plan (unintelligible).			
	request you to have it land	uscaped and lighting plan (unintelligible).		
1205	Mo Elliott	They want out and looked at it and said it was fine the way it		
1206	Ms. Elliott -	They went out and looked at it and said it was fine the way it		
1207	was.			
1208	NA NA IZ	The second secon		
1209	Mr. McKinney-	It was handled administratively?		
1210	NA = 111	V.		
1211	Ms. Elliott -	Yes.		
1212				
1213	Mr. McKinney-	So they gave you their blessings on the lighting and		
1214	landscape plan that you h	nad? So this is really moot? This has been done?		
1215				
1216	Mr. Blankinship -	If there is no need for any new landscaping or lighting, then		
1217		new plan. We're certainly not requiring that they install any		
1218	lighting that they don't wa	nt.		
1219				
1220	Mr. Wright-	Yes, but you said "shall be submitted."		
1221				
1222	Mr. McKinney-	Looks like to me it's already been done, at the time of		
1223	rezoning.	·		
1224	-			
1225	Mr. Kirkland-	It's been submitted already, I assume.		
1226		•		
1227	Mr. Wright-	That's my question, do we need that or don't we?		

1229 Mr. McKinney-We don't need it in my opinion. 1230 1231 Mr. Wright-I just want to make sure. 1232 1233 Mr. Balfour--I move we amend it. 1234 1235 Mr. Kirkland -Mr. Wright, do you want to take out number 2, is that what 1236 you're saying? 1237 1238 Mr. McKinney -I'd like to take out number 2. 1239 1240 Mr. Balfour-We can do that when we vote on it. 1241 1242 Mr. Wright-Number 1 and number 3 shouldn't present any problem. 1243 1244 Mr. Kirkland -Okay, we'll do that when we vote on it then. Okay, any other 1245 questions by Board members? Anyone else wish to speak? You'd like to say 1246 something sir? 1247 1248 Mr. Fowler -I'd just like to take the opportunity to accommodate and 1249 recommend that the Elliotts have done just a tremendous job with the renovation of the 1250 property, both the building itself 1251 1252 Mr. Kirkland-Could I ask you to excuse us, I'm sorry, could I have your 1253 name please. 1254 1255 Oh certainly, I apologize. Emmett Fowler, I'm with American Mr. Fowler -1256 Family Radio. The Elliotts have done a superb job of renovating that building and the property itself. The building itself was in extreme disrepair, both interior and exteriorly, 1257 1258 and the property itself, as Mrs. Elliott already stated, was overgrown, and they've done a superb job of getting the property prepared and ready to once again operate as a 1259 radio station. I'd also like to commend them for the fact that they have kept the integrity 1260 of the historical aspect of the property intact. 1261 1262 1263 Thank you sir. No other questions, no other opposition. That Mr. Kirkland -1264

concludes the case. All right, if you would, before we start the 10 o'clock agenda, we're pretty close to schedule. We'll take about a ten-minute break.

1265 1266 1267

1268

1269

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted your application UP-10-2001 for a conditional use permit to operate a radio station at 6000 Wilkinson Road (Tax Parcel 74-A-14). The Board granted the conditional use permit subject to the following conditions:

1270 1271 1272

1273

1274

The property shall be used in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

1275 1276 2. There shall be no further land disturbance unless and until an erosion and 1277 sedimentation control plan has been approved by the Department of Public Works. 1278 1279 Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Affirmative: 1280 Negative: 0 1281 Absent: 0 1282 1283 The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial 1284 accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code. 1285 1286 Mr. Kirkland -Okay, 10 o'clock agenda. 1287 1288 Mr. Blankinship -I believe we have one request for a deferral. Is Garlana Burt present? A-56-2001, I spoke to Mrs. Burt on the phone yesterday. She has 2 lots; she 1289 owns 1, and she was trying to get written authorization from the owners of the other to 1290 1291 apply. She was not able to get that written authorization in time, so she's requested 1292 deferral to the May meeting. 1293 1294 Upon a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board of Zoning Appeals deferred A-56-2001 application for a variance to build a modular home at 7902 1295 1296 Battlefield Park Road (Tax Parcel 236-A-5). The case was deferred for 30 days, at your 1297 request, from the April 26, 2001, until the May 24, 2001, meeting. 1298 1299 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 1300 0 1301 Absent: 0 1302 1303 Mr. Kirkland -It has been deferred for 30 days. 1304 1305 Mr. McKinney-Do you have to read the instructions again for the 10 o'clock 1306 people? 1307 1308 Yes, would you like me to? Mr. Blankinship -1309 1310 Mr. Kirkland -Yes, read the instructions. 1311 1312 Ladies and gentlemen, the rules for this meeting are as Mr. Blankinship follows. The Secretary, myself, will call each case. Then the applicant will come to the 1313 1314 podium to present the case. At that time I'll ask all those who intend to speak, in favor or opposition, to stand, and they will be sworn in. The applicants will then present their 1315

1316

1317 1318

1319

1320

testimony. When the applicant is finished, anyone else will be given an opportunity to

speak. After everyone has spoken, the applicant, and only the applicant, will be given

the opportunity for rebuttal. After hearing the case, and asking questions, the Board will take the matter under advisement. They will render a decision at the end of the

meeting. If you wish to know what their decision is, you may stay until the end of the

meeting, or you may call the Planning Office at the end of the day. This meeting is being tape recorded, so we will ask everyone who speaks, to speak directly into the microphone on the podium, and to state your name for the record. Out in the foyer, there are two binders, which have the staff reports for each case, including the conditions suggested by the staff.

1325 1326 1327

1328

1329

1321

1322

1323

1324

UP-11-2001 SPRINT PCS requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to locate a temporary Cell-On-Wheels at 625 Laburnum Ave (Tax Parcel 106-11-F-14), zoned B-1, Business District (Fairfield).

1330 1331

Mr. Kirkland - Is the applicant here? If you would, come down sir. Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn in by the Secretary?

1335 1336

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1338 1339

1337

Mr. Kirkland - State your name sir. Have all your notices been turned in according to the County Code? We have them in the file.

1340 1341 1342

1343

1344

1345

1346 1347

1348

1349

1350

1351

1352

1353

1354 1355

1356

1357

1358

I do. Gil McLemore. Sure. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Mr. McLemore -Gentlemen of the Board. As introduced, my name is Gil McLemore. I'm a Zoning Coordinator for Sprint PCS. In brief summation of our case, Sprint has filed a temporary use permit application in order to locate a temporary cell-on-wheels, or COW. On this COW is a self-contained base station and antenna. The antenna will extend to a maximum of 100 feet. It will occupy less than a 30-foot by 20-foot portion of land to be leased during the requested permit time frame. The permit requested will allow Sprint PCS to provide needed coverage to the influx of area visitors present for the upcoming race series to be held at Richmond International Raceway. As noted in the BZA case report, ALLTEL was granted a TUP last year and this year for identical use on the same property. It's Sprint's intent to locate immediately adjacent to ALLTEL's COW for the same purpose. As with the approved ALLTEL site, our proposed site will preserve the preexisting character of the lot, its surroundings and use, as well as blend with the existing characteristics of the site to the extent practical. There will be no traffic, noise, smoke, odor, dust, vibration, or electrical disturbance generated by the proposed use, nor will there be any adverse impact on the neighboring area or the adjacent land during our hours of operation. With that, Sprint respectfully requests approval of the TUP, and I'll stand by for any questions that you might have.

1359 1360 1361

Mr. Kirkland - Any questions?

1362

1363 Mr. Wright- Have you seen the conditions proposed, and you are in accordance with those?

1365 1366

Mr. McLemore - Yes sir.

1368 Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions? Let me ask you one question. Are you 1369 all ever going to come out with a permanent site out there?

Mr. McLemore - Well, to this point, this is actually the first time that we've made an attempt to provide coverage during such an event. This is the first shot we've had at it. ALLTEL is making a more permanent attempt at covering the area during the periods of time in which more folks will be out there. It actually is our intent in the future to perhaps apply for another TUP for an extended time frame. We actually have a site nearby that has not and is not going to be able to provide the kind of coverage or capacity that we need for these types of events at the racetrack.

Mr. McKinney- Mr. Secretary, let me ask you a question. We've had some cases over at this complex at the circuit court, murder trials and whatever, that have gone on for 3, 4, 5, days. Six, eight and twelve, the major networks, have come in with their trucks. In fact we had to run them off the sidewalk one time at the courthouse. They set up and run those towers up in the air. What do we do with them? Anything?

Mr. Blankinship - I guess because those are vehicles we can't approach them the same way we do these. These are mobile coming to and from the site, but they're not licensed as motor vehicles, right? And they're set up on the site as a temporary structure. I think what the TV stations use is licensed as a motor vehicle, so we can't regulate that any different from any other vehicle parking.

Mr. McKinney- Well, it becomes inoperable as a motor vehicle when they put the side things down to stabilize it, and they run the antennas up in the air. Are you saying that if Sprint or these other cell companies had a unit similar to theirs, they wouldn't have to get a variance?

Mr. Blankinship - I think that's correct, yes, if they were just parking in the parking lot, we would address that differently.

Mr. Kirkland - It's no different than a crane being used on a construction site that raises up 200 feet, sits for 2 months. It drives on the road. It's the same difference. They don't need a special permit.

1403 Mr. McKinney- Well, it'll give you something to think about.

1405 Mr. Blankinship - Get some tags on that thing; same some money.

1407 Mr. Kirkland - Okay, any other questions by Board members? Anyone 1408 else? That concludes the case.

1410 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. 1411 Wright, the Board **granted** your application **UP-11-2001** for a conditional use permit to

- to locate a temporary Cell-On-Wheels at 625 Laburnum Ave (Tax Parcel 106-11-F-14).
 The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:
- 1414
- 1415 1. The Cell on Wheels shall not remain on the site longer than fifteen days at any 1416 time.
- 1417
- 1418 2. This permit shall expire on May 9, 2001.
- 1419
- 1420 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright51421 Negative: 0
- 1422 Absent: 0

1423 1424

- The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.
- 1426 1427

1425

27 Mr. Kirkland - Next case.

1428

JOEL BENNETT requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a screened porch at 3809 French Horn Court (Fox Hall) (Tax Parcel 45-2-A-147), zoned R-2AC, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Three Chopt). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant has 32 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 45 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 13 feet rear yard setback.

1436

Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would, sir, raise your right hand and be sworn in.

1439 1440

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1441 1442

1443 Mr. Kirkland - State your name for the record. Have all adjacent landowners been contacted? We have them in the file. Okay, state your case.

1445

1446 I do. Joel Bennett. They have. Yes. We'd like to erect a Mr. Bennett screened room on the back deck behind our home. As it sits now, if we just put the 1447 screened room on the deck the way it is, we'd already be in violation of the rear yard 1448 1449 setback. So as part of the plans, what we intend to do, actually, is extend that deck six feet further back so that we can get a room of appropriate size for our family's purposes. 1450 Basically, we sit right next to Little Tuckahoe Creek and the wetlands, and in 1451 summertime, the insect load back there is pretty impressive and basically precludes the 1452 use of the back deck for any purposes outside of barbecuing, where the smoke just 1453 keeps them away. So we'd like to make that a screened room. 1454

1455 1456

Mr. Wright- What's located to the rear of your property?

Mr. Bennett - It's more property for development, which is owned by the developer, Willie Goode. Right now that's not even subdivided.

Mr. Wright- It appears that your rear lot is on an angle to your house, which causes a good deal of the problem for your shortage of rear yard. And you're on a cul-de-sac, which causes your house to be pushed further back on the lot than it ordinarily would. So your lot configuration conspires against you.

Mr. Bennett - That's correct. Right. We reach a narrow point at one point. Basically the way it's set up now, we're right against the setback, and there's nothing more I can do without getting variances.

Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else wish to speak? That concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board **granted** your application **A-51-2001** for a variance to build a screened porch at 3809 French Horn Court (Foxhall) (Tax Parcel 45-2-A-147). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

1484 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright
1485 Negative:
1486 Absent:

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - Next case.

 A - 52-2001

MARSHALL G. FOLKES, III requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a covered front porch at 3841 Houndstooth Court (Foxhall) (Tax Parcel 45-2-A-156), zoned R-2AC, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Three Chopt). The front yard setback is not met. The applicant has 40 feet front yard setback, where the Code requires 45 feet front yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 5 feet front yard setback.

1504 1505 Mr. Kirkland -Anyone else wish to speak on this case? Folks, if you'd raise 1506 your right hand and be sworn in. 1507 1508 Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the Mr. Blankinship truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 1509 1510 1511 Mr. Folkes -Yes sir I do. 1512 1513 Mr. Kirkland -State your name for the record. Have all your notices been 1514 turned in according to the County Code? Now you can state your case. 1515 1516 Mr. Folkes -Marshall Grey Folkes, Jr. Yes. I'm here representing my 1517 son, Marshall, III, who's on the west coast at a business meeting this morning, or he 1518 would be here, I'm sure. It is my understanding that the house was under construction 1519 when he contracted to purchase it. It didn't have a front stoop. They are requesting 1520 that a 5 foot by 9 foot covered front stoop be added to the front of the house, and I think 1521 this is an encroachment of 5 feet on the variance that he's requesting. 1522 1523 Mr. Wright-It appears, Mr. Folkes, that this house is built on a cul-de-1524 sac, and the fact that it is causes the house to be closer to the front line than it ordinarily 1525 would be if it were a straight line there. 1526 1527 Mr. Folkes -Yes sir. Yes. 1528 1529 Mr. Wright-That probably causes the 5 foot problem. And this is to be a 1530 5 foot by 9 foot covered porch? 1531 1532 Mr. Folkes -Covered stoop, yes sir. 1533 1534 Mr. Kirkland -Any other questions by Board members? 1535 1536 Mr. McKinney-Who's your builder, Mr. Folkes? Your son's builder? 1537 1538 Mr. Folkes -I'm really not that familiar with it, quite frankly. That's the 1539 house. I really can't imagine a house that size not having a porch cover. 1540 1541 Mr. Kirkland -Any other questions? Anyone else wish to speak? That 1542 concludes the case. 1543 1544 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board granted your application A-52-2001 for a variance build a covered 1545

1546 1547

1548

granted the variance subject to the following condition:

front porch at 3841 Houndstooth Court (Foxhall) (Tax Parcel 45-2-A-156). The Board

1. Only a front porch, as described in the application, may be constructed pursuant to this approval No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

1555	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
1556	Negative:			0
1557	Absent:			0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - Next case, sir.

A - 53-2001 EDWARD C. DOYLE requests a variance from Section 24-104(l)(4)(b) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a sign above the roof line at 10075 Jeb Stuart Parkway (Tax Parcel 24-A-9B (part)), zoned B-3C, Business District (Conditional) (Fairfield). The sign height requirement is not met. The applicant proposes placement of its sign above the roofline, where the Code permits signs below the roofline. The applicant requests a variance to allow the sign above the roofline.

Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak on this case? Gentlemen, if you would raise your right hands and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Kirkland - Would you state your name for the record. Have all your notices been turned according to the County Code? We have them in the file. Okay, state your case.

1586 Mr. Doyle - I do. Edward C. Doyle.

Mr. Arbuckle - Russell Arbuckle.

Mr. Doyle - Yes sir. Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, me name is Edward C. Doyle. I'm with Talley Sign Company. I'm here representing Texas Roadhouse, a restaurant chain that's building at new location in Henrico County. We're here to request a variance to allow a sign to go above their roofline. The sign currently is their standard logo for all over the country. They have approximately 80 locations

1595 right now, all over the country. Mr. Arbuckle here, came in from Louisville to talk about his chain. We're here to ask for a variance to allow the signage. There's basically 1596 about 3 foot 10 inches of sign that's above the 40-inch line where the sign can be 1597 1598 located, and we're asking for a variance to allow the sign to go the 3 foot 10 inches above. All the signs right now, all the signs for the locations, are in compliance with the 1599 1600 allowable square footage that's allowed.

1601

1602 Mr. Wright-So it looks like it's the hat that's

1603

1604 Mr. Doyle -It's just the hat. That's correct, just the hat above

1605

1606 Mr. Wright-Take off the hat and you're all right.

1607

1608 Mr. Blankinship -From about Lubbock north. 1609

1610 Mr. Doyle -That's right. So if you did half of Texas, you'd be okay.

1611

1612 Mr. Wright-Lose the top of Texas.

1613

1614 Mr. Doyle -That's right. Maybe we could put Virginia up there.

1615

1616 Mr. Balfour-Put a Virginia state flag up there without the Texas flag.

1617

1618 I'm going to yield to Arbuckle on that. Any questions. Mr. Doyle -

1619

1620 Mr. Wright-How is the roof line established here?

1621 1622

1623

1624

1625

1626

1627

Mr. Blankinship -It really shows better on some of the materials in your package there. What they have built, it's sort of a parapet wall that goes above the roof of the building, and the Code requires that the sign not be higher than the roof. On parapet walls we have interpreted that as allowing some slack above the roof line, but we at some point in the past set 40 inches as the maximum amount of give in that rule. If it goes above that, we require the variance. The roofline is determined by where the actual roof of the building is.

1628 1629

1630 Is that it right there? Mr. Wright-

1631

1632 Mr. Blankinship -Yes sir.

1633

1634 Mr. Wright-So the whole sign is above the roofline?

1635

1636 Mr. Blankinship -Yes sir. But if it were only the words "Texas Roadhouse," we would have allowed that. It's only the design that goes above that 40-inch line that 1637 1638 caused us to deny the building permit.

1639

You don't give any credit for the roof of that pitched area 1640 Mr. Wright-

1641	there?	
1642		
1643	Mr. Blankinship -	Only if there's usable space behind it. Heated and cooled,
1644	enclosed space. There h	ave been cases where someone has built sort of an atrium up
1645	into a little tower effect. I	think that's how Best Buy got their sign up where it is. Their
1646		of like this. It just had the little peaked façade. We told them
1647		so they changed their building design to make some usable
1648	• •	en we allowed them to put the sign there.
1649		
1650	Mr. Wright-	It's hard to see where else the sign could go on this building.
1651	····· ································	nto mana to coo minoro cico ano cigir coana go em ano camanig.
1652	Mr. Doyle -	Correct. This is their typical design of the building that
1653	•	ocations in 20 other states, along with their logo in the center.
1654	they ve asea in oo other ic	beautiful in 20 other states, along with their logo in the center.
1655	Mr. Kirkland -	Any other questions?
1656	WII. MIRIAHU -	Any other questions:
1657	Mr. Balfour-	I gather that the little peak actually goes a little above the hat,
1658	is that right?	I gainer that the little peak actually goes a little above the flat,
1659	is that right!	
1660	Mr. Doylo	Corroct
	Mr. Doyle -	Correct.
1661	Mr Kirkland	Any other guestions by Deard members? Anyone class wish
1662	Mr. Kirkland -	Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else wish
1663	to speak on this case?	If not sir, that concludes the case. Would you like to say
1664	anything real quick?	
1665	NA - Dalfa -	AMB at all a fill at NEW 22 to the a
1666	Mr. Balfour-	What about that Virginia flag?
1667		
1668	Mr. Arbuckle -	It's not usually what we do, but maybe we can consider it.
1669		
1670	Mr. McKinney-	Mr. Arbuckle, what's the definition of roadhouse?
1671		
1672		Our definition is great steaks, good food, good family
1673	atmosphere, serve really (good food there.
1674		
1675	Mr. Blankinship-	Well done.
1676		
1677	Mr. McKinney-	That's your definition, but is there an actual definition of
1678	roadhouse?	
1679		
1680	Mr. Arbuckle -	There might be, I'm sure there is, but it probably wouldn't be
1681	consistent with what	
1682		
1683	Mr. McKinney-	You don't want to get into that, do you. I think I saw one in
1684	Nevada. It was a really ba	ad movie.
1685	·	

Mr. Kirkland -

1686

All right. If no one else wishes to speak, no more questions

by Board members, that concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** your application **A-53-2001** for a variance to build a sign above the roof line at 10075 Jeb Stuart Parkway (Tax Parcel 24-A-9B (part)). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. Only the signs shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the design may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional signs shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. This approval is subject to all conditions that may be placed on the proposed Plan of Development by the Planning Commission.

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
Negative: 0
Absent:

 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

 A - 54-2001

KENNETH L. AND ANN H. DICKINSON request a variance from Section 24-95(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 8009 Silverspring Drive (Tax Parcel 113-A-57), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The minimum side yard setback and total side yard setback are not met. The applicant has 6.3 feet minimum side yard setback and 15.3 feet total side yard setback, where the Code requires 8.5 feet minimum side yard setback and 28.05 feet total side yard setback. The applicant requests variances of 2.2 feet minimum side yard setback and 9.3 feet total side yard setback.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Dickinson - I do, and good morning members and Mr. Chairman. My name is Kenneth Dickinson, and I have sent all my notices, and they should be in the file.

1730 Mr. Kirkland - We have them in the file.

1732 Mr. Dickinson - In addition, I met with my 2 neighbors on the right and left of

my home and showed them the plans and what my wife and I intended to do. What we have, I was interested in reading the report, we have what the report calls a lawful nonconforming structure to begin with. The house was built in 1948. It's at 8009 Silverspring Drive, which is off of Forest Avenue, right across from the Third Presbyterian Church back parking lot. So I only have 2 individual neighbors, Mr. and Mrs. Johnson, who live at 600 Silverspring Drive, and Mr. and Mrs. Hwang, who live at 8007 Silverspring Drive. My neighbor in front of me is the parking lot of Third Presbyterian Church. Our neighbors behind are the West End Community Center and the old Heritage Savings and Loan building, which is now, I think, a brokerage house, along with some dental offices and a real estate office behind us. We have a house built in 1948, the lot as you can see, is a pie-shaped lot in the front, and due to the positioning of the house, the lot lines conspire against the house. We have nowhere to go with this kitchen to expand it at all. We can't go up because it's a 1-story structure. Behind the kitchen, the kitchen is relatively small to begin with, is a pantry that was added years ago, and behind that is a formal dining room, so we can't go up, and we can't go back without ripping out the whole backside of the house and the dining room and the pantry, which is economically and technically unfeasible to do. The only thing we could do is to come out to the left, toward our neighbor at 8007, and I'd like to add that the neighbor has sent in a letter in support of our case. I've showed them the plans and met with them, which they had no objection to. They would be the only neighbor who would see this addition, unless someone in the church parking lot would see it. Our neighbor on the right-hand side, the Johnsons, wouldn't even see this addition. I submitted a copy of the rough plans that we would like to build and would like to keep with the integrity of the neighborhood. As you can see on the plans, the extension would come out 13 feet. What we intend to do is take off the old mud room, which is approximately five feet wide. If you take off that 5 feet, from the total of 13 feet, in essence we would be coming out 13 feet. There was a picture in the planning staff report, of the property. Where this addition would plan to extend is, you see the brick walkway there, about 34 of the way out on that brick walk is where the addition would stop. As I estimate, it should be approximately 9 to 10 feet to the property line. There's a little bit more space behind the fence there to the property line. It would extend 13 feet out. What we're going to do is knock that small mud room off there and put it on the back end.

1765 1766 1767

1768

1733

1734

1735 1736

1737

1738 1739

1740

1741 1742

1743 1744

1745

1746

1747 1748

1749 1750

1751

1752

1753 1754

1755

1756

1757

1758

1759 1760

1761

1762

1763

1764

Mr. Wright- What is a mud room.

1769 1770 1771 Mr. Dickinson - It's for kids who come in with all the stinking mud on their feet and softball gear and dog gear that's been left in the yard. That's where their shoes come off in the wintertime, when they're full of snow and ice.

1772 1773

Mr. Wright- I wish I'd known about that 40 years ago.

1774

1775

1776

1777

1778

Mr. Dickinson. As I've indicated, there's nowhere else for us to go with this addition. We can't go up, and we can't go back, and I think coming out front would certainly destroy the integrity of the house and the integrity of the neighborhood. What we would like to do, as indicated in the plans that we've submitted, and we have no

problem whatsoever with the suggestions made by the planning staff, that any construction be in conformity with the plans that have already been submitted, we respectfully request the staff and this Board to grant our minimum side setback and total yard side setback.

Mr. Kirkland - Any questions by Board members? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** your application **A-54-2001** for a variance to build an addition at 8009 Silverspring Drive (Tax Parcel 113-A-57),). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. Only the addition shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

1798 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright
1799 Negative:
1800 Absent:
0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - Sir, if you're going to have a conversation back there in the back, you can go out in the lobby. I appreciate it. If you would, sir, call the next one.

A - 55-2001

MICHAEL V. HICKS requests a variance from Section 24-95(i)(2) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a detached garage at 9500 Kingscroft Drive (Kingston) (Tax Parcel 29-2-G-4), zoned R-3A, One-family Residence District (Three Chopt). The accessory structure location requirement is not met. The applicant proposes to build a garage partly in the side yard, where the Code allows accessory structures in the rear yard. The applicant requests a variance to locate an accessory structure partly in the side yard.

Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would sir, raise your right hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Kirkland - Would you state your name for the record. Have all your notices been turned in according to the Code. They're in the file. Proceed with your case.

Mr. Hicks - Michael Hicks. Yes sir. Can you pull up the plat of the home on the lot. Due to the location of my home on the plat there, the line drawn across the rear of the property really limits the amount of available space that's there, taking in the fact that it's an 8-foot easement. They chose to build the house close to the right side of the lot, and when you draw the line across the back side there, it comes midway down that fence line. It truly limits the buildable space.

Mr. Wright- Why do you need a 28-foot depth on this garage?

Mr. Hicks - Actually, I was going for 28 on the width, and 24 on the depth, of the garage. I want to do woodworking in there and also to be able to store yard utensils and whatnot, to keep from putting another structure in the back yard to try to store other things. With an extended-cab, full-size truck, you need to try to have some space to be able to do anything other than to just park a vehicle and not store anything at all in that area.

Mr. Wright- Will you extend your drive that you've already got over, to come into the

 Mr. Hicks - That drawing right there, that was the original drawing of the gravel driveway. When you pull up the actual picture, I've changed the angle a little bit to bring the driveway along with the side of the property, the way that it comes in and it goes down next to the home. The way that the inside of the house is structured also, it wasn't feasible to do an attached garage, because there wouldn't have been any accessible entry into the home. To try to place the garage directly down from the driveway, if you bring the 8 feet away from the fence line, 10 feet away from the corner, right there at the deck, it will leave a very tight walkway to try to clear around the deck to go into the back yard right there. If you put the structure like where that hand is, it truly limits any of the rear yard access right there where the "lot 4" is written. I'm in the process of doing a lot of landscaping and by putting the garage over as far as I can on the corner of the property, it allows me a much larger space right there to do shrubbery and landscape and that type of thing.

Mr. Wright- You meet the side yard requirements and the rear yard requirements, you have no problem there.

1866 Mr. Blankinship - And if the angles were square, you'd meet the location 1867 requirement.

1869 Mr. Hicks - Right. If I turned it, though, if you stand up at the front of the property

1872 Mr. Blankinship - I mean if the house

 Mr. Hicks - Yes sir, and in fact, I guess I was naïve to all of this, because when I came up to submit plans up there, the fellow laid his ruler across and said, "Uh, you got a little problem here. I didn't even realize the way that the rear yard setback was determined, by the angle of the house. He said, "wow, the way your house is on this plot, you're really limited to not much more than a shed. I realize that whoever came out and surveyed, he stated that he thought I could place a 24 by 24, but I'll tell you, I went out there with the string and the ruler, and like I said, I went 10 feet off, and it sure looked like about the best I could get was maybe 18 by 20. Average pick-up truck is 16 feet, 17 feet long in itself, and I guess that's the hardship.

Mr. Wright- Your house is on a cul-de-sac, which causes a little problem with the location, and the lot is a little odd-shaped in that regard.

Mr. Hicks - Right, the lot's odd-shaped enough, but the way that they placed the house on it, it throws even a harder kink into trying to do any type of structure on it. The way that it is right now, I'm basically leaving all of the yard, any type of yard utensils that I have to use or whatever, are left outside to the elements. That's the reason why I'm asking for that much space, to just have one building on the rear of the property.

Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions? Anyone else wish to speak? That concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board **granted** your application **A-55-2001** for a variance build a detached garage at 9500 Kingscroft Drive (Kingston) (Tax Parcel 29-2-G-4). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. Only the garage shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

1908 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright
1909 Negative:
1910 Absent:
0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

1918 Mr. Kirkland - Next case, Mr. Blankinship.

UP-12-2001ATLANTIC LEASING ASSOCIATES requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to locate an office trailer at 4508 Oakleys Lane (Tax Parcel 155-A-6), zoned M-1, Light Industrial District (Varina).

1926 Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak? If you would, raise your right 1927 hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1932 Mr. Kirkland - State your name for the record. Have all your notices been turned in? We have them in the file. State your case.

 Ms. Isaac - I do. Larraine Isaac. Yes sir. Atlantic Leasing owns the property to the north. They have gotten a plan of development, and through that plan of development have gotten 2 administrative approvals, to put temporary trailers on their present property. One of those trailers has been erected, and is adjacent to their existing office building. Since the approval of their second administrative approval, they have finally bought the gold mine. And I know we're all sorry to see the gold mine go. They are doing a feasibility study right now to see if the gold mine can be rehabilitated, or if they're going to have to bulldoze it down and start over. But that process has started. In the meantime, they need office area, and so they have decided that the trailer they were going to put on the north side of the property should be relocated to this property they now own. I don't like surprises, and I know you don't like surprises, but I got a surprise yesterday. Because of the handicap accessibility requirements, Building Inspections wants them to relocate the trailer. I have a drawing of where it really has to go in order to get the handicap access.

Mr. Kirkland - Building Inspections stated this?

Ms. Isaac - Yes, in order to meet their requirements, and it was the way the doors on the trailer were and being able to get ramps to it, that it necessitated a 90-degree rotation.

1956 Mr. Blankinship- What happens to the fence?

Ms. Isaac - Well, I'd like to talk about the fence. This would be the location; it's really not that different from what was submitted, but this is what we would like approved.

Mr. Balfour - Mr. Secretary, so we have any problem about needing notices if landowners don't like where it's going to be now? I hate to bring that up.

1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969		I don't thing so, Mr. Balfour. The notice doesn't really rmation; it just gives people the fact that there will be a public strong feelings about the case, that they should be here at the
1970 1971	Mr. Balfour -	The notice that they get doesn't tell them where the trailer is?
1972 1973	Mr. Blankinship -	No sir.
1974 1975 1976	Ms. Isaac - that we would be placing	The notice letter I sent, it was just I sent a cover letter saying a temporary office trailer on the property.
1977 1978 1979	Mr. Balfour - satisfied.	No problem. I'll rely on my Secretary. If he said that, I'm
1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986	number 3, they have no possible office building, and the fatrailer they have now, that	So for the proposed condition number 1, I would like that to in. I have no problem with condition number 2. Condition plans to connect this building to water and sewer. It will be an acilities would be in the adjacent building. That is the office at is allowed under their plan of development. It has no water can just walk to their normal building for anything they need.
1987 1988 1989	Mr. McKinney- temporary permit?	Mr. Secretary, how about the expiration date of the
1990 1991	Mr. Kirkland -	It was left out of the conditions.
1992 1993	Mr. McKinney-	How long do you want it Ms. Isaac?
1994 1995	Ms. Isaac -	My understanding was that it was only good for two years.
1996 1997	Mr. Kirkland-	So you want it the full 2?
1998 1999 2000	Ms. Isaac - renovation or reconstruction	Because they don't know which direction they're going on the ion, they would like the entire 2 years.
2001 2002 2003	Mr. Wright- the property that could be	Are you saying there are facilities in that building that's on used by the people in the trailer?
2004 2005 2006 2007	Ms. Isaac - shows the existing buildi down.	The facilities are to the north. On the map that I was sent, it ng. Directly to the north, and they'll just extend the sidewalk
2008 2009	Mr. Wright-	So that's part of the project?

2010 2011 2012 2013	Ms. Isaac - Atlantic Industrial, and the within just a few feet of the	The property to the north is the property originally owned by n they have purchased this Gold Mine site, so it's going to be eir existing office building.
2014 2015	Mr. Wright-	How many people would be using this trailer?
2016 2017	Ms. Isaac -	Probably only 4 or 5.
2018 2019	Mr. Wright-	They would be there all day?
2020 2021 2022 2023	Ms. Isaac - their office very long. The they're existing trailer's wo	Probably in and out. If you're ever over there, nobody's in e office is continually, they're back and forth, and that's how orking.
2024 2025 2026 2027	Mr. Wright- attend to outside of the trailer?	So in other words, they have activities that they have to ailer during the daytime. They're not there all day just in the
2028 2029	Mr. Wright	You want this to expire on Saturday, the 26 th of April 2003.
2030 2031	Ms. Isaac -	Sounds good.
2032 2033	Mr. Wright -	And you want condition number 3 deleted?
2034 2035 2036 2037 2038 2039	any additional lighting in. industrial property, I would instead of landscaping. T	Yes. And in condition number 4, there are no plans to put And since this is industrial property, and it's surrounded by I like to request that they put up some kind of screening fence hat is what was required with the plan of development, on the behind a wooden fence. And this is temporary.
2040 2041 2042	•	Where would the fence be? There is a fence shown on the see it on the plan you presented today.
2043 2044 2045	Ms. Isaac - Industrial, and he thought	I talked to Evan Williams, who's an owner of Atlantic it would probably be across the end facing Oakley's.
2046 2047	Mr. Wright-	Which end would that be?
2048 2049	Ms. Isaac -	Something in this area.
2050 2051	Mr. Wright-	A fence there? Would it come around to the front?
2052 2053 2054	Ms. Isaac - you like it?	I guess that depends on you. If we put a fence, where would
2055	Mr. Wright-	Ben, where do you want a fence?

2056
2057 Mr. Blankinship - Well I think the view from Oakley's is mostly what we're
2058 concerned about. It's all industrial property around there, so I don't think there's
2059 anything really sensitive.
2060
2061 Mr. Wright- So would that take the place of condition 4?

2062
2063 Ms. Isaac - That a fence would be erected on the Oakley's Lane side of 2064 the trailer.

2064 the trailer.

2066 Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions? Got all those little changes there, Mr. 2067 Blankinship?

2069 Mr. Blankinship - Sure

2071 Mr. Kirkland - Does anyone else wish to speak? That concludes the case. 2072

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** your application **UP-12-2001** for a temporary conditional use permit to locate an office trailer at 4508 Oakleys Lane (Tax Parcel 155-A-6). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Only the trailer shown on the plan submitted at the public hearing may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.
- 2. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.
- 3. A six-foot-high privacy fence shall be erected between the trailer and Oakley's Lane.
- The trailer shall be removed from the property no later than April 26, 2003, at which time this permit shall expire. This permit shall not be renewed.

2094 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
2095 Negative: 0
2096 Absent: 0

The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

2101 Mr. Kirkland - Next one, sir.

2102 Mr. Blankinship - A-56-2001 has been deferred to the May meeting. 2103 2104 2105 A - 57-2001 SHIRLEY D. HALL requests a variance from Section 24-9 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a single-family dwelling at 2106 8351 New Market Heights Lane (Tax Parcel 250-A-10A (part)), 2107 zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). The public street frontage 2108 requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet public street 2109 frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public street frontage. 2110 The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public street frontage. 2111 2112 2113 Mr. Chairman, I should have said this case and the next one Mr. Blankinship -2114 are companion cases. 2115 2116 Mr. Kirkland -If you would, call that one too. 2117 2118 A - 58-2001 SHIRLEY D. HALL requests a variance from Section 24-9 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a single-family dwelling at 2119 8353 New Market Heights Lane (Tax Parcel 250-A-10A (part)), 2120 zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). The public street frontage 2121 requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet public street 2122 2123 frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public street frontage. The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public street frontage. 2124 2125 2126 Mr. Kirkland -Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you all would raise your right hand and be sworn in. 2127 2128 2129 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 2130 2131 2132 Mr. Kirkland -Would you state your name for the record please. Have all 2133 the notices been turned in according to County Code? We have them in the file. Okay, 2134 state your case. 2135 2136 Ms. Hall -I do. Shirley Hall, and my daughter-in-law, Christina Hall. Yes sir. I have 3.some acres off of Route 5, and I would like to divide it between my 2137 children and myself. It would be 3 houses. Two of the houses would need road 2138 2139 frontage and the variance for road frontage. It's for my son and my daughter and myself. I'm widowed. I baby-sit for them. They in turn help me with yard work, 2140 household things, and things like that, so it would be so convenient for the 3 to live there 2141 2142 together. 2143 2144 Mr. Kirkland -Any questions?

Mr. Wright-

21452146

2147

There are 3 parcels showing on this, A, B, and C.

- Ms. Hall My daughter would build on one parcel, which has road frontage. I would build on one, and my son would build on the other. They are the 2 that need the road frontage, the variance for the road frontage.
- 2151
- 2153
- Ms. Hall I don't think it's on here, but there is County road frontage that was put back in the year 2000. The County had purchased property from me for this road in '99, and since that time, it's been hard-surfaced and black-topped and it's now considered a County road.
- 2158
- 2159 Mr. Wright- That's New Market Heights Lane. It's been extended to your 2160 property.
- 2161
- 2162 Ms. Hall Right. Eventually it will be used as an access to, and 2163 extension of Dorey Park.
- 2164
- 2165 Mr. Wright- Our plat does not show that.
- 2166
- 2167 Ms. Hall Right. It doesn't quite show it on here either.
- 2168 2169
 - 2169 Mr. Balfour- What's adjacent to this property? Is it a park or something?
- 2170
- Ms. Hall Across the County road, in front of the property, it's going to be an access to Dorey Park in the next few years. I owned like 7 acres there, and after my husband died, I sold the home and 4 acres and kept the 3, thinking that eventually I would build down in that area. Like I said, I baby-sit for my son and daughter, and they in turn help me with the upkeep of the house and the yard, and things like that, so I was trying to divide the 3 acres between; it would make it so easy for the 3 of us.
- 2177
- 2178 Mr. Kirkland Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else wish to speak? That concludes the case, ma'am.
- 2180 2181
- After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** your application **A-57-2001** for a variance build a single-family dwelling at 8351 New Market Heights Lane (Tax Parcel 250-A-10A (part)). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:
- 2184 2185

2182

- 2186 1. This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. Any 2187 additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County 2188 Code.
- 2189
- 2. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for
- 2193 water quality standards.

3. At the time of building permit application, the owner shall demonstrate that the parcels created by this division have been conveyed to members of the immediate family, and the subdivision ordinance has not been circumvented.

4. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval of a well location.

5. The applicant shall present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained.

6. The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access is improved to County standards and accepted into the County road system for maintenance.

2212 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright
5
2213 Negative:
2214 Absent:
0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** your application **A-58-2001** for a variance build a single-family dwelling at 8353 New Market Heights Lane (Tax Parcel 250-A-10A (part)). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

3. At the time of building permit application the owner shall demonstrate that the parcels created by this division have been conveyed to members of the immediate family, and the subdivision ordinance has not been circumvented.

- 4. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval of a well location.
- The applicant shall present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained.
- 2248 6. The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility 2249 for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access is improved to 2250 County standards and accepted into the County road system for maintenance.

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - Next one sir.

Mr. Blankinship - Once again, Mr. Chairman, we have 2 companion cases.

- A 59-2001 HENRICO PROPERTIES, L.L.C. requests a variance from Section 24-52(d)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to grade a riparian planting bench at 3651 Britton Road (Tax Parcels 206-A-33 and 217-A-31), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). The setback for grading operations is not met. The applicant has 0 feet setback for grading operations, where the Code requires 100 feet setback for grading operations. The applicant requests a variance of 100 feet setback for grading operations.
- **UP-13-2001 HENRICO PROPERTIES, L.L.C.** requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Sections 24-52(d) and 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to amend UP-11-2000, extract materials from the earth at 3651 Britton Road (Tax Parcels 206-A-33 and 217-A-31), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina).
- 2277 Mr. Kirkland Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would sir, 2278 raise your right hand and be sworn in. 2279
- 2280 Mr. Blankinship Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
- 2283 Mr. Kirkland State your name for the record. Have all adjacent 2284 landowners been contacted? We have them in the file. Okay, proceed with your case. 2285

Mr. Higginbotham -Yes sir. James Higginbotham. Yes sir. What we are requesting, members of the Board, is that we be allowed to adjacent to I-295, which is currently a buffer zone, and it will still be a buffer zone, but be able to excavate down and bring this elevation within approximately 1 foot of the water table in the wet pond, which will result from the pit once the excavation is complete, which will allow us to make a riparian planting bench and I call it a wetland area. We contacted Virginia Department of Transportation, and I had a letter that's being passed out to you right now, where the Virginia Department of Transportation does support this concept, and the original plan as shown on the board up here came over to Mr. Gallmeyer, who's here today. I met with him, and he said he would prefer that we stay away from the buffer zone adjoining his property, so the plan has been amended. I've got an amended right here. In talking to Mr. Gallmeyer, I told him that the solid line that I've drawn, that we would cut that corner off the solid line I've drawn in ink, to avoid any trees. It really wouldn't be necessary up in that tight corner, to leave that intact. Again, each of you should have a letter from Mr. Newman with VDOT supporting it. I think it's a win-win situation that we're going to have a body of water, and if we can turn this area adjacent to 295 into a planting bench, a wetland area, that environmentally we're doing the right thing, and I think it's a win-win situation.

Mr. Wright- Would you describe for the record what a riparian bench is.

Mr. Higginbotham - To the best of my knowledge, we cannot call it a wetland area until we get the Corps of Engineers to call it a wetland area. Our goal is to get it down within a foot, you put a foot of topsoil in there, and I've got Greg Koontz from Koontz-Bryant is here, but it's his expert, Mel Thomas, who says the ideal planting bench is a foot of topsoil within 6 inches of the water table. You're really not under water, but you've got constant water feeding it, and that's the desired criteria for a wetland area, but until we get the Corps to bless it as a wetland area, it's still what they call a planting bench.

Mr. Wright- No wood involved in that?

2317
2318 Mr. Higginbotham - I don't think so.

2320 Mr. Kirkland - Mr. Blankinship, do we have any concerns from Henrico 2321 County on this at all?

Mr. Blankinship - Not that I'm aware of. I see Mr. Hackett shaking his head "no" in the audience. We were a little concerned about bringing that grading up closer to the Gallmeyer property, and I was pleased this morning to hear from Mr. Higginbotham that they had revised the plan. The right-of-way against 295 is, of course, already substantial, and they've done quite a bit of grading in that area already, so I don't think there's really anything to be concerned with.

Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak? If not, that concludes the cases.

2286

2287

2288 2289

2290

2291 2292

2293

2294 2295

2296 2297

2298

2299

2300

2301

23022303

2304 2305

2306 2307

2308

2309

2310

2311

23122313

2314

23152316

2319

23222323

2324

2325

2326

2327

232823292330

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** your application **A-59-2001** to grade a riparian planting bench at 3651 Britton Road (Tax Parcels 206-A-33 and 217-A-31). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. This variance applies only to the setback requirement for extraction operations. The use shall comply with all conditions of the applicable Use Permit, and all applicable regulations of the County Code.

Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
Negative:			0
Absent:			0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** your application **UP-13-2001** for a conditional use permit to grade a riparian planting bench at 3651 Britton Road (Tax Parcels 206-A-33 and 217-A-31). The Board granted the conditional use permit subject to the following conditions:

 1. This permit is subject to all requirements of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

2. Monday through Friday hours of operation shall be from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. when Daylight Savings Time is in effect, and from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at all other times. Saturday hours of operation shall be 8:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

3. No operations of any kind are to be conducted at the site on Sundays or on national holidays.

4. Open and vertical excavations having a depth of 10 feet or more for a period of more than 30 days, shall be effectively sloped to a 2 to 1 slope or flatter to protect the public safety.

5. All means of access to the property shall be from the public right of way of the proposed I-895.

6. A superintendent who shall be personally familiar with all the terms and conditions of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code as well as the terms and conditions of UP-13-2001, shall be present at the beginning and conclusion of operations each work day to see that all conditions of said Code and said Use Permit are carefully observed.

7. Topsoil shall not be removed from any part of the property outside of the area in which extraction is authorized. Sufficient topsoil shall be stockpiled on the property for respreading in a layer with five (5) inches of minimum depth. If the site does not yield sufficient topsoil, additional topsoil shall be brought to the site to provide the required five-inch layer of cover. All topsoil shall be treated with a mixture of seed, fertilizer, and lime as recommended by the County of Henrico after the results of soil tests have been submitted to the County of Henrico. All topsoil shall be stockpiled within the authorized borrow area and provided with adequate erosion control protection.

8. The rehabilitation of the property shall take place simultaneously with the extraction process. Rehabilitation shall not be considered completed until the extraction area is covered completely with permanent vegetation.

9. Responsibility for maintaining the property, fences, and roads in a safe and secure condition indefinitely, or for converting the property to some other safe use, shall rest with the applicant.

10. Entrance gates shall be erected and maintained at all entrances to the property. These gates shall be locked at all times, except when authorized representatives of the applicant are on the property.

11. Erosion Control Plans shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works for review and approval at time of application for the Use Permit. Throughout the life of this extraction operation, the applicant shall continuously satisfy the Department of Public Works that erosion control procedures are properly handled and furnish plans and bonds that the department deems necessary. The applicant shall provide certification from a licensed professional engineer that dams, embankments and sediment control structures meet standard and approved design criteria as set forth by the State.

12. The areas approved for extraction under this permit shall be delineated on the ground by the erection of five (5) foot high metal posts at least five (5) inches in diameter and painted in alternate one (1) foot stripes of red and white. These posts shall be so located as to clearly define the area in which the extraction is permitted. They shall be located, and the location certified by a certified surveyor, within ninety (90) days of the date of approval of this use permit by the Board of Zoning Appeals, or this use permit is void.

13. "No Trespassing" signs shall be posted and maintained on the property to warn against use of the property by unauthorized persons. The minimum letter height shall be three inches, and signs are to be posted every 250 feet along the perimeter of the property. The applicant shall furnish the Chief of Police a letter authorizing enforcement by the County Police Officers of the "No Trespassing" regulations, and agreeing to send a representative to court for purposes of testimony whenever required or requested by the Division of Police.

- 14. Excavation operations shall be discontinued on said site by April, 30, 2002, and restoration accomplished not later than April 30, 2003 unless a new permit is applied for by not later than 60 days before the expiration of the permit, and is subsequently granted by the Board of Zoning Appeals.
- A financial guaranty satisfactory to the County Attorney shall be posted with the Secretary of the Board of Zoning Appeals for extracting materials from 23.44 acres, in an amount of \$2,000.00 per acre for each disturbed acre of land included, for a total of \$46,880.00 guaranteeing that the land will be restored to a reasonably level and drainable condition with a minimum slope on the restored property being five to one or flatter. The guaranty may provide for the termination of the obligations after 30 days notice in writing. Such notice shall be served upon the principal and upon the obligee as provided by law for the service of notices. At the termination of the aforesaid 30 day notice to the principal, all authority of the principal under this use permit to extract materials, and work incident thereto shall cease, provided the applicant has not furnished another guaranty suitable to the County within said 30 days. The principal shall then proceed within the next ensuing 30 days following the termination of its authority under this use permit, to accomplish the complete restoration of the land as provided for under the terms of this permit. A notice of termination by such surety shall in no event relieve the surety from its obligation to indemnify the County of Henrico for a breach of the conditions of this use permit.
 - 16. The applicant shall furnish a certification each year, verifying that the guaranty is in effect, premiums have been paid, and the bonding company reaffirms its responsibility under the use permit conditions. This certification shall be submitted to the Board on April 30th of each year.
 - 17. This permit does not become valid until the guaranty, required in condition No. 15, has been posted with the County, and necessary approval received. This must be accomplished within 30 days of the Board's action, or the action becomes invalid.
 - 18. A progress report shall be submitted to the Board on April 30th of each year during the life of this permit. This progress report must contain information concerning how much property has been disturbed to date of the report, the amount of land left to be disturbed, and how much rehabilitation has been performed, and when and how the remaining amount of land will be rehabilitated, and any and all pertinent information about the operation that would be helpful to the Board.
 - 19. If, in the course of its preliminary investigation or operations, applicant discovers evidence of the existence of cultural or historical material or the presence on the site of significant habitat or an endangered species, it will notify appropriate professional or governmental authorities and provide them with an opportunity to investigate the site, and applicant will report the results of such investigation to the Planning Office.
 - 20. The applicant shall comply with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and all state and local regulations administered under such act applicable to the property and

- shall furnish to the Planning Office copies of all reports required by such act or regulations.
- 21. In the event that an appeal of the Board's approval action is filed, all conditions requiring action on the part of the applicant within 90 days are considered satisfied if the required actions take place within 90 days of final action on the appeal process by the courts.
- 2478 22. If the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy determines that the use of this property constitutes a mine, the applicant shall obtain a mine license from the Division of Mineral Mining, Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy, within 90 days of such determination, or the use permit is void.
- 2483 23. No offsite-generated materials shall be deposited on the site unless the materials and the plans for their placement have been approved by the Planning Office.
 - 24. If the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy determines that the use of this property constitutes a mine, a sign shall be posted at the entrance to the mining site stating the name of the operator, the Henrico use permit number, the Division of Mineral Mining mine license number, and the phone number of the operator. The sign shall be 12 square feet in area and shall be properly maintained.
 - 25. If the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy determines that the use of this property constitutes a mine, all drainage and erosion and sediment control measures shall conform to the standards and specifications of the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook.
- 2497 26. Failure to comply with any of the foregoing conditions shall automatically void this 2498 permit.

2500 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
2501 Negative: 0
2502 Absent: 0

The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

2507 A - 60-2001 MARTINE F. BUMGARNER requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 9621 2508 Dove Hollow Lane (Dove Hollow) (Tax Parcel 50-15-B-25), zoned 2509 R-2AC, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Brookland). 2510 The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant has 31 feet rear 2511 yard setback, where the Code requires 45 feet rear yard setback. 2512 2513 The applicant requests a variance of 14 feet rear yard setback. 2514

2515 Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would, raise

2472

2477

24852486

2487

24882489

2490

2491 2492

2493

24942495

2496

2499

2503 2504

2516 your right hand and be sworn in.

2518 Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

2521 Ms. Bumgardner - Yes.

2523 Mr. Bumgardner - Yes.

Mr. Kirkland - State your name for the record please. Have all your notices been turned in according to County Code. We have them in the file. Okay, state your case.

Ms. Bumgardner - Martine Bumgardner. Yes. We purchased our home in 1990 and originally we had the house set back 10 more feet than the rest of the properties, mainly because we had a lot of oak trees in the front. We wanted to preserve those, not knowing that we would be running into an issue with having to be 45 feet off the back line, which we didn't know. We knew about the easement and that's about all we knew, and there's a 15-foot easement in the back. We plan to add on, and with that, we would like to ask for a 15-foot variance so that we can do the addition that we're looking for. We're looking to put a family room on the back. We were asked about changing the orientation, but right now if we change the orientation, we would lose the kitchen windows at the bottom and the bathroom window at the top, as well as the electrical box running straight out. There's a power box that goes right out into a corner of our yard, so we would have to re-route all of those things, and I don't know how you would do that through the driveway or the house if we did the addition, making it change the orientation. I hope I haven't confused you.\

Mr. Wright- What's located to the rear of your property?

Ms. Bumgardner - Right now all there is, is property with several acres.

Mr. Wright- It's just open land.

2550 Ms. Bumgardner - It's just open land, and there is some wet area in the very back like a creek, so I don't know if anybody will be building back there.

2553 Mr. Wright- Is it wooded or just open?

2555 Ms. Bumgardner - It's all wooded.

2557 Mr. Blankinship - Put up photo 2 Susan. I was looking to the back.

Ms. Bumgardner - I had taken some more pictures of myself, I don't know if you need those, to show the angle of where the electrical box is on our property.

Mr. McKinney- Ms. Bumgardner, did you and your husband figure he was going to do this, is the reason you didn't put a deck on the back of the house?

2565 Ms. Bumgardner - Excuse me?

2567 Mr. McKinney- Did you and your husband decide you were going to put the 2568 addition on the back? Is that the reason there's no deck on the back of the double 2569 door?

Ms. Bumgardner - Yes. That's right, yes sir. We've been for some time debating on what kind of an addition, and then also to get the financing of course, so that's why we've never put any deck or any stairs or anything.

Mr. McKinney- Is this a Bumgardner brick job?

Ms. Bumgardner - Yes, my husband's a subcontractor, so that's right.

Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else with to speak on this case? That concludes the case, ma'am.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted your application A-60-2001 for a variance to build an addition at 9621 Dove Hollow Lane (Dove Hollow) (Tax Parcel 50-15-B-25). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2593 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
2594 Negative: 0
2595 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - Next case.

2605 A - 61-2001 ANN BERRY requests a variance from Section 24-95(k) of Chapter 2606 24 of the County Code to allow an existing dwelling to remain at 2300 Ginter Street (Park View) (Tax Parcel 94-15-13-16), zoned R-

4, One-family Residence District (Brookland). The minimum side yard setback is not met. The applicant has 5 feet minimum side yard setback, where the Code requires 10 feet minimum side yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 5 feet minimum side yard setback.

2613 2614

Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Chairman, before we go on, let me mention that the staff report notes that the applicant also applied for a vacation of 5 feet of right-of-way that was granted yesterday by the Board of Supervisors.

2616 2617 2618

2615

Mr. Kirkland - Thank you sir. Anyone else wish to speak on this case? Ma'am, if you would, raise your right have and be sworn in.

2619 2620

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

2623

2624 Mr. Kirkland - State your name for the record. Has everyone been notified according to County Code? You've got them in? Then proceed with the case.

2626 2627

2628

2629

2630

2631

2632

2633

2634 2635

2636

2637

2638

2639

2640

Ms. Berry -Ann Berry. Yes sir. This is a house that was built in about 1942. We purchased it in 1962, and I believe it was surveyed at that time, and we seem to remember that the survey stakes included the house well within the property. as well as shrubbery and trees and backyard picket fence. So in 1993, we wanted to close in our side porch and build a little extension, which would be a bay area. It was a 25-inch extension, so our builder drew his plan according to the plat that was furnished me by the County, and his building permit was approved, and he built this, and we believe it was a very nice addition that would be an asset to the neighborhood. It certainly added to the comfort and the appearance of the house. So earlier this year, we got a sales contract on the house, and when we were ready to close, the survey showed that we were over our property line, and so we're request a variance that would allow the house to stand where it is with the addition. You can see the little extension of the bay area. It was only a 25-inch extension. So that we can proceed with the sale of the house and close on it, the closing was really set for March 30, but of course it's been delayed.

2641 2642 2643

2644 2645 Mr. Kirkland- Ms. Berry, I've read into this case, and I see that one of the problems you've had, is that in all of Lakeside, Wilson Road had a 60-foot right-of-way, and that's what caused the problems, and that's why you went for the vacation, so that was an unusual situation.

2646 2647

Mr. Blankinship - You can see in the photo there, there's plenty of side yard here. What that property line is doing right up against the house, nobody seems to know.

2651

2652 Mr. Wright- Who owns that area? 2653

2654 Mr. Blankinship -It's the right-of-way; it's County right-of-way. 2655 2656 Mr. Wright-The yard is County right-of-way? 2657 2658 All the way over to the main part of the house, until Mr. Blankinship -2659 yesterday, with the bay extending out into it. Today you've got 3 feet between the bay and the right-of-way line. 2660 2661 2662 Mr. McKinney-Well if they granted that yesterday, does she need this 2663 variance? 2664 2665 Mr. Blankinship -Yes, because the setback is greater. She spoke with real 2666 property about vacating more right-of-way, but they preferred not to vacate so much, 2667 just to vacate what was necessary and then use the variance for the balance. 2668 2669 Mr. Kirkland -Any other questions by Board members? 2670 2671 At least she's got some comfort that the bay is not in the Mr. Wright-2672 street. 2673 2674 Ms. Berry -Actually, from the edge of the bay to the beginning of the 2675 surface road, is about 21 feet. 2676 2677 Mr. Kirkland -Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else wish 2678 to speak? Ma'am, that concludes the case. 2679 2680 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. 2681 Nunnally, the Board granted your application A-61-2001 for a variance allow an existing dwelling to remain at 2300 Ginter Street (Park View) (Tax Parcel 94-15-13-16). The 2682 2683 Board granted the variance subject to the following condition: 2684 2685 This variance applies only to the side yard setback requirements for the 1. 2686 existing house as shown on the plat submitted with the application. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. 2687 2688 2689 Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright Affirmative: 2690 Negative: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

0

Absent:

2691

26922693

2694

26952696

2697

2699 2700 2701 2702	Wright, the Board adjourned until May 24, 2001, at 9:00 am.
2703	
2704	Richard Kirkland,
2705	Chairman
2706	
2707	Benjamin Blankinship, AICP
2708	Secretary
2709	