MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF HENRICO COUNTY, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE HENRICO COUNTY GOVERNMENT COMPLEX, ON THURSDAY, APRIL 25, 2002, AT 9:00 A.M., NOTICE HAVING BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE RICHMOND TIMES-DISPATCH ON APRIL 4 AND 11, 2002.

Members Present: Daniel Balfour, Chairman R. A. Wright, Vice-Chairman

Richard Kirkland

Gene L. McKinney, C.P.C., C.B.Z.A.

James W. Nunnally

Also Present:

Benjamin Blankinship, Secretary Susan W. Blackburn, County Planner II Priscilla M. Parker, Recording Secretary

Mr. Balfour - I call the meeting of the Henrico County Board of Zoning Appeals to order. Would you please stand to repeat the **Pledge of Allegiance**. Mr. Secretary, would you read the rules please.

Mr. Blankinship - Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, ladies and gentlemen. The rules for this meeting are as follows. As Secretary, I will call each case. Then the applicant will come forward to the podium. At that time I'll ask all those who intend to speak, in favor or in opposition, to stand, and they will be sworn in. The applicants will then present their testimony. When the applicant is finished, anyone else who wants to speak will be given the opportunity. After everyone has spoken, the applicant, and only the applicant, will have an opportunity for rebuttal. After hearing the case, and asking questions, the Board will take the matter under advisement. They will render a decision at the end of the meeting. If you wish to know what their decision is, you may wait until the end of the meeting, or you may call the Planning Office at the end of the day. This meeting is being tape recorded, so we will ask everyone who speaks, to speak directly into the microphone on the podium, and to state your name. Out in the foyer, there is a binder, which has the staff report for each case, including the conditions suggested by the staff. Mr. Chairman, I am not aware of any deferrals or withdrawals.

Mr. Balfour - Are there any deferrals or withdrawals for the 9:00 o'clock docket? If not, I believe the first 2 cases concern the same petitioner, Mr. Secretary. I see no reason why we can't take them together.

Mr. Blankinship - All right, they would be A-72 and A-73-2002.

A - 72-2002 SYLVIA H. WRIGHT requests a variance from Section 24-9 of

Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 9480 Hoehns Road (Parcel 764-758-7891 (part)), zoned R-2A, One-family Residence District (Brookland). The public street frontage requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet public street frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public street frontage. The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public street frontage.

A - 73-2002

SYLVIA H. WRIGHT requests a variance from Section 24-9 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 9470 Hoehns Road (Parcel 764-758-7891 (part)), zoned R-2A, One-family Residence District (Brookland). The public street frontage requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet public street frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public street frontage. The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public street frontage.

Mr. Balfour - Is anyone here on the first 2 cases? Would you come forward. Are there any others who intend to testify for or against this? If you intend to say something, and I think you might, would you all stand at once and be sworn in please. Raise your right hand. Mr. Secretary.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Balfour - You may be seated. I'm assuming you are either speaking for or against. I make the comment now, for all present, that we are glad to hear from everybody who wants to speak, but we encourage you not to speak and repeat what someone ahead of you has said, in that we've got a lot of people and a long docket, and we'll hear the first one who speaks and hear that point, and if you've got something you want b add, fine, but don't just repeat what someone ahead of you has said. Yes ma'am, you may go. Would you state your name and address please.

Ms. Wright - I do. I'm Sylvia Wright; I'm the owner of this property. I had planned on just giving you a history of the right-of-way itself, since that is the issue before you today, the variance for a right-of-way, is that okay, and then if you have any other questions, you may ask. In 1939, and I'm not sure how this works; if I touch this, will that put – in 1939, if you look at the line that separates the 2 pieces of land that my aunt owns, Madeline Hoehns, where it abuts my property, it says cornerstone. If you look where that cornerstone is, in 1939, my grandmother actually deeded this huge parcel of land to my dad, and she issued a right-of-way that started at that cornerstone, went through her property, and then followed the eastern line all the way out to Hungary Road. That's the original 15-foot right-of-way that exists that we've used all these years. In 1970 my day conveyed to me what's depicted on this parcel as Lot 7. What he did at that time, was he extended the right-of-way along the northern side and down the western side to go over to Lot 7. Because we did not actually have a bridge at the

time, to cross over Hungary Road, what we did, is there's been in place for years, ever since I can remember actually, a utility road that my dad used to farm the property. We actually came down the area that I conveyed to my son in the '93, went down across an existing bridge, and then followed the line of the lake, and went all the way around to Lot 7, for almost 2 years until we had the actual bridge built. That utility road has been in place for years. In 1983, my dad took the property that had been given to him in 1939 and conveyed it to me, and the right-of-way that goes out to Hungary Road itself. Then in 1993 I conveyed to my son, Donald Wright and his wife Cheryl the parcel of land that's there on that northeast corner, and I took the utility road that had been that had been there in place all those years, and I designated it for the first time as a legal right-of-way. What I'm doing this time is, I'm taking a piece of land that I bought from Wilton, which is labeled R-5, and I'm taking a piece of land that's there in the southeast side, and I labeled it Lot 2, designating the utility road as the right-of-way that would provide access to that property, and I intend to convey that to my daughter and her sonin-law, and I'm then I'm taking this other piece of land that's between Lot 2 and what's labeled Lot 7, which is actually my property, taking the same utility road, and designating it as the legal access to go over to Lot 1, and I intend to deed that to my son and my daughter-in-law. Any questions about how the right-of-way itself works?

97 98 99

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90 91

92

93

94

95

96

Mr. Blankinship - I have one question, Mr. Chairman. Susan, would you point at where the Lake comes nearest to the adjoining property line. Right there the plat shows less than 5 feet, but on the ground it actually looks more like 15.

101 102 103

104

105

100

Ms. Wright - It's closer to 20; I think that's a misnomer from previous surveys that have been done, because it's much closer to 20. Actually, when Shannon Green itself was developed, we had some problems with run-off, and the County itself came in and put in some pipes and rock and built up that area.

106 107 108

Mr. Blankinship - So the shoreline has moved.

109 110

Ms. Wright - Right, and we have actually maintained it; we had a lot of erosion run-off after Shannon Green was built, and like I said, the County itself was nice enough to come in and fix it for us.

112113114

111

Mr. Balfour - Any other questions?

115 116

You access these properties from Hoehns Road?

117118

119

Ms. Wright - Yes, Hoehns Road is the original 1939 right-of-way that was conveyed to my dad, that my grandmother established, back in January of 1939.

120 121

How do you get to Lot 2 from that road.

122

Ms. Wright - You go down to where the A-1 begins to become R-2A, right there. Now follow that property line. That is actually the easement that I dedicated to my son in 1983, and I'm extending it on over and around, bordering the lake.

126 127		How wide is that easement?
128		How wide is that easement:
129 130	Ms. Wright -	I'm dedicating it as 15, same as the original road.
131 132	Mr. Balfour -	Any other questions?
133 134 135 136 137	too. It's kind of just an off	I guess I could just reiterate that it is all R-2A use. We have hat I do have that little piece of R-5 that I bought from Wilton f piece of zoning left over from some of the building there, so ement, everything else is in line with County policy.
138 139	Mr. Kirkland - enough for a County fire tr	Mr. Blankinship, can I ask you a question? Is 15 foot uck to get back in there?
140 141 142 143 144	Mr. Blankinship - of clearance on each side, have to be improved on the	They normally ask for, I think, 12 feet of surface, with 3 feet so 18 feet is usually what they like to see, but that doesn't all e surface.
145 146 147 148 149	•	Sir, we actually had a chimney fire; they've been to my blem. In fact, at one time the fire trucks used to come down water in the lake back in the lake to test their equipment, that uestions?
150 151 152	Mr. Balfour - people, and you'll have a d	Any other questions? If not, we'll hear from the other chance to respond. Yes sir.
153 154	Mr. Brady - Mr. Blankinship something	Good morning. My name is Luke Brady. I'd first like to give from one of my neighbors who couldn't make the meeting.
155 156 157	Mr. McKinney -	Mr. Brady, what are you giving the Secretary?
158 159 160 161 162	Mr. Brady - I gave him a letter from a neighbor who lives next door to rewho could not make the meeting but wanted his concerns to be part of the office meeting. He was concerned that his voice wouldn't be heard, just because he could make the meeting, but it is a letter addressed to the Board.	
163 164 165 166	copy, and we need a cop we've got to read it individu	Could we have a copy of that for Ms. Wright? She needs a by each. You mean it's going to be passed down to us, and ually?
167 168 169	•	I'm sorry; I'm merely the messenger; I didn't know. He just ter. I have a set of pictures that I'd like to hand to the Board I for what I'm saying as I say it.
170 171	Mr. Balfour -	Now we have to keep the pictures if you hand them in.

Now we have to keep the pictures if you hand them in.

You've just got one set of those too.

172173174

Mr. Blankinship - You're going to go over these in series?

175 176

177 178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185 186

187

188

189 190

191

192193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

Mr. Bradv -I'm just going to tell about each picture, and this is my official letter that I wish to be conveyed to the Board. I do have one for Ms. Wright. As I said, my name is Luke Brady. I live with my wife Beverly at 4906 Kavanagh Court. In the site plan, our home site would be designated Lot 14, and I'll explain the pictures briefly. The first 3 pictures, numbered 1, 2, 3, will reflect the view of the west end of our back yard, showing a chain link fence, and a road on the opposite side of the fence, which is the Wright family property at the west end of my back yard. Picture # 4 was taken from the position, with my back to the fence, as was shown in picture # 2. It shows the rear of our home and my bedroom windows. Picture # 5 was taken from inside my bedroom. looking back out at the fence. Picture # 6 is the opposite, or east end of my home, showing the screened porch and a patio area. Picture # 7 was taken from the porch, looking to the fence. #8 I stood at the end of the patio to show the proximity of the road to my property. The rear boundary of my yard abuts and parallels the Wright family property for a distance of about 200 feet. We are separated by a chain link fence. The fence provides no privacy, but was never a concern, as nothing was on the right side of the property but a wooded area. The fire or service lane that originally was there was very rarely used. I would say a frequency of use would be somewhere in the neighborhood of 6-7 times a year, and that was it. That was the only time you would see Mr. Hoehn drive his tractor, or in later years, after he passed away, Mr. Wright would come buy and clean up the lane from fallen timbers that had come off the trees. The lane is about 5 feet from the fence and parallels the entire 200 feet of our adjoining properties. The back of our home faces the chain link fence, which is visible from each of our bedrooms. The fence is also visible from our screened porch, where we spend much of our time for a large part of the year. We've lived at 4906 Kavanagh Court for almost 15 years. During that time, the lane on the Wright side was used infrequently, as I've stated. However, during the past year, the lane has been expanded and the brush cleared away. Tons of crushed rock have been spread, obviously to accommodate vehicular traffic. Our bedrooms face the fence, and this vehicle traffic would be audible and visible, as all vehicles make a certain amount of noise. It is the use of this road that gives us cause for concern. Traffic has picked up recently, and it is obvious that our privacy is gone. This infringement on our privacy, which we have enjoyed since 1987, is unacceptable to us. We submit to the Board members, the privacy we have enjoyed is as important as the Wright family's desire to build a home. When my wife and I selected our home site, we paid the developer a premium because of the that location of the home site in the wooded setting. We object to the request by Sylvia Wright for a variance from Section 24-9 of Chapter 24 of the County Code, unless provisions are made to protect and maintain our privacy. The chair line fence could be removed and replaced with a privacy wall of some sort, high enough so vehicle occupants would not be able to peer into our bedroom windows. Perhaps the road to and from the proposed new residence could be located closer to the lake, and the existing lane be closed off to vehicular traffic. This would provide us with a buffer of woods for privacy. We might add that during Sylvia's presentation, it wasn't quite clear exactly where that road is.

That road does not, when it gets down to my property, it does not follow the contour of the lake. It in fact parallels the fence line and swings away from the lake. It just goes around the perimeter, what would be the southern perimeter of the Wright property. We ask the Board members to weigh heavily the impact the zoning variance would have on our privacy, peace and quiet. Thank you for your time.

Mr. Balfour - Do you live, Mr. Brady, on Kavanagh Court, is that right? And so you back up close to Lot 1 and where the house would be on Lot 1, looking at the map?

Mr. Balfour - Any questions of Mr. Brady? Thank you sir. Anyone else to speak?

Ms. Brady - I'm Beverly Brady; I'm the wife of Luke Brady, who just addressed you. For the sake of brevity, I won't read this whole thing I brought. I don't want to repeat myself, but where you see the crushed rock right there, it looks like the white line in the picture, until this winter that was nothing more than a dirt path, a little service road as Ms. Wright just said. There were trees; there was shrubbery; over the winter they took down trees; the cleared out all the small shrubby growth; they opened up that area and they widened that road, and they put the crushed rock down. That did not exist until the past 4 or 5 months. I was upset by this for the simple reason that it seemed to me they were doing this in advance of this meeting even being held, before any of us could state our objections or voice our concern about the fact that we didn't want this, so I thought that was kind of putting the cart before the horse, and that didn't seem right to me. I think they should have waited until the variance was granted to them before they did all that work on the road.

Ms. Brady, we haven't got any control over their putting a road in there. They haven't built a house yet, have they? That's what they're here for. They can put a road in there if they want; they don't have to come to the County to get approval for that.

Ms. Brady - OK, I didn't understand that. That was my point. Thank you sir.

Mr. Balfour - Next.

Ms. Pandey - I'd like to state, my name is Shilpa Pandey, and I have some pictures also, if you could justIt's "S-h-i-l-p-a," and my last name is "P-a-n-d-e-y," and those are in chronological order as I'm going to speak about them. First of all, I'd like to say "good morning" to all of you, and I live on 4907 Kavanagh Court, along with my father, mother, and sister. I have been chosen by my parents to speak on their behalf. Before starting, I would like to also state that because I feel so strongly about this issue, that I am missing 2 important lectures in college in order to be here.

First of all, I would like to present these pictures, and I have put a sticky label to say

where the proposed road is, with a little arrow. The first picture is meant to show the proximity of the road near our fence. Right behind the fence is our vegetable garden. Right where you see the sticky label, underneath that, is where the proposed road is going to be. The second picture, as well as the third picture, are of what you can see from the fence enclosing our yard. Looking back from the road through the fence, this is what you would see. The fourth picture is of what you can see of the road from our deck. Once again, I've placed another sticky label with an arrow showing where the proposed road would be. Once again, we have a clean view of anyone who traverses through the road. The fifth picture is taken from the garden, once again highlighting where the road will be in respect to our property. I'd just like to remind the Board that since this is springtime, the leaves soften the view from our back yard, but in the other seasons, there are no leaves, and everything is wide open and stark. As you can see from these pictures, our privacy will be negatively affected by having traffic of any sort going right by the fence.

Now I'd like to state for you our personal reasons for opposing this variance. For over 14 years, my family and I have been living on 4907 Kavanagh Court. When my father bought this house, he bought it specifically because of the picturesque lake view. When we sit on the porch to eat dinner, have friends over, and chat on the deck, or just relax with a good book, we love viewing the lake. My parents love gardening and plant vegetables every springtime. Over the years, my parents have built this house into their dream home, somewhere where they can relax after a hard day at work, somewhere where they can live in peace and quiet when they retire. Had they known that there would be so much activity in the back yard, and that their privacy would be negatively impacted, they would never have purchased this house.

As the Wright family has begun to chop trees and clear land, it has been evidently clear, that not only will the tranquility we have enjoyed for over 14 years be destroyed, but our privacy will also diminish greatly, by the house and road they are planning to build directly behind our house.

From 3 of the bedrooms on the second story of the house, we have a clear view of everything that happens behind us. Sadly, our family's wish for privacy and peace is going to be obstructed by the house being built directly behind ours.

In addition to their building a house that would negatively affect our families, the proposed road will compromise our right to privacy and tranquility. Any stranger on the road, even inadvertently, would be able to see what we are doing in our house. Furthermore, any noise from traffic can be heard from each room of the house and would be of great disturbance to us.

In conclusion, our family strongly opposes the request by Sylvia Wright to use this area as an access road. To rectify the situation, we suggest that Sylvia Wright build her house closer to the main road. Not only would this be a more convenient route for her, but it would also respect our family's desire for privacy and maintain our beautiful view of the lake. By building the house closer to the main road, it would also ensure that she

310 311 312	have privacy, and neither Thank you.	er of us would have to view each other's day-to-day activities.
312 313 314	the people who live on M	Wouldn't that just create problems of the same concern for acllroy Court, if you moved it to the east?
315	the people who live on w	acility Court, if you moved it to the east?
316 317	Ms. Pandey -	I don't have the map right up here with me.
318 319	Mr. Blankinship -	Susan, could we put the map up.
320 321 322	What do you consider the	Show me what you mean, Miss Pandey, by the main road. e main road?
323 324	Ms. Pandey - east of our property, and	I know there's one road that they use to access more to the
325 326 327 328	Mr. Blankinship - than 1 on Lot 2 and 1 on	So for example, they could put 2 houses on Lot 2, rather Lot 1.
329 330	Ms. Pandey -	If you want, I have a copy of my letter to give to you.
331 332 333	Mr. Wright - just opposing the one tha	I have a question. Are you opposing both of these cases, or at concerns Lot 1.
334 335 336	Ms. Pandey - right behind our back yar	I'm speaking on behalf of the access area that's going to be d.
337 338 339	Mr. Wright - other request.	So that's Lot 1. So you're not speaking in respect to the
340 341	Mr. Balfour -	Any other questions? Thank you. Yes sir.
341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351	MacIlroy Court, which is where the Bradys live, I goes beside the fence linkavanagh Court and 490 adjacent to our property.	Good morning. My name is Kamal Mehrotra. I haven't anything of the sort that's been presented. I live at 4904 in fact, the best description is, that 4906 Kavanagh Court, live on the right side, just beside it, and the proposed road just ne, and I am right over there. So 4906 Kavanagh Court, 4907 D4 MacIlroy Court, we are in the same thing. The fence line is Recently what I observed is that there is a lot of traffic going n't matter, but it does produce a lot of inconvenience, and it een invaded, in fact.
352		What kind of traffic, you say a lot of traffic?

Mr. Mehrotra - increase in this activity.

353

354

355

Well, trucks, and so forth, in recent time there has been an

356 357 You mean using the lake, when you say they're going back 358 there to fish or have a picnic or something, is that what you're talking about? 359 360 Mr. Mehrotra -No sir, it's just heavy trucks. 361 362 You mean the ones building the road? 363 364 Mr. Mehrotra -Yes, so once this thing, from Lot # 1, as Ms. Wright has 365 proposed, if that thing is built, well what happens if that road becomes general traffic? 366 367 It's not general traffic; it's just one house going back there. 368 369 Mr. Mehrotra -Well once the road is built, people are going to use it, and 370 they're going to find short ways, and they'll start using that thing, and it seems our 371 privacy is definitely going to be invaded, and whatever property we have, we bought this 372 property with the sense that we'll have privacy, there's nothing on the other side, and nobody's going to come up from the back side. 373 374 375 Mr. Balfour -I don't mean to be argumentative, I don't mean to cut you off, but I live in a neighborhood where there was one home behind me, and I saw a lot of 376 377 woods, and all of a sudden they came back in there, and they put 9 homes in a cul-de-378 sac, and I'm now looking at 3 or 4 homes, directly into their decks, bedrooms, and 379 kitchens, so it's not unusual for these people to request it. 380 381 Mr. Mehrotra -It is true, people can request that, and that is why I'm here to 382 express my disagreement over this thing. The Board should consider these things as 383 far as we are also citizens. We have the right to come and present our case. 384 385 Mr. Balfour -I understand. 386 387 Mr. Mehrotra -I'm not here to make a decision on your behalf. You make 388 the decision sir. That's all I have, nothing more. Thank you sir. 389 390 Good morning. My name is Shainaz Parpia, and my Ms. Parpia -391 address is 4905 Kavanagh Court, and we have just moved like 7 months before, and 392 before buying the property, we saw that everything was okay, peace, and now we find 393 something is going wrong. We bought this house like 7 months before, I don't want to say anything else because they already said everything. 394 395 396 Mr. Balfour -I appreciate that, but what is your address? 397 398 Ms. Parpia -4905 Kavanagh Court. 399 400 Mr. Balfour -Ms. Wright.

402 Ms. Wright -First of all, I am only dedicating to the shortest point that we talked about, as far as the legal access. From there on, the utility road does run parallel 403 404 to the fence, and my son intends to use that as his private driveway, but I'm not actually 405 making it a legal access at that point, only to the point of touching his property. Yes, we 406 have been in, and ves, we have graded the road, and we have put some gravel back on 407 it, and that type of thing. One issue that has come us, they have talked about the fence. 408 The fence was actually installed during the Shannon Green project, and it's part of a 409 buffer that was installed by Shannon Green itself to protect our privacy. So the fence 410 and 40 feet of each one of these people's back yard is supposed to be a buffer to us. 411 They're also not supposed to cut down any tree of more than 5 inches in diameter. It was set up to protect the R-2 from the R-3 higher density usage. I don't think I could 412 413 use a lower use; I'm actually planning on putting one house on 1.32 acre and one house 414 on 2.93 acre. It will only be general service traffic, such as an UPS, a mailman, a fire 415 engine, hopefully not, that type of thing, and normal one-family use that would be going 416 to that one house.

417 418

Mr. Balfour - It doesn't connect over with Tanfield Drive?

419

420 Ms. Wright - Absolutely not, sir, it is a private driveway. It has no access.
421 Unfortunately, people do look at the County map and come flying up my road and then
422 almost hit my tool shed in the back, and then realize that they're not on a county road.

423 424

Mr. Balfour - Maybe you should put a sign at the entrance.

425 426

427

428

429

430

Ms. Wright - It says "private property" all the way in, and they still do that, but there is no access. This access is only to us; it does not connect to anything behind it. As far as the traffic, I have had the surveyors in, and I've had the soil scientists over there, so those are the trucks that have been in on the property, doing the work on the property.

431

432 Mr. Balfour - Thank you Ms. Wright. Are there any questions of Ms. 433 Wright?

434

435 Mr. Kirkland - Ms. Wright, this home, it won't be connected to public water 436 or sewer, will it?

437 438

439

440

Ms. Wright - No sir, we can't; the sewer actually runs parallel on the north side of my property, right parallel with the lake, and we did connect my son, Donald, and his wife, Cheryl, but there's too much of a distance between the houses, so it will have private sewer and private well water on both lots.

441 442 443

Mr. Balfour - Thank you ma'am.

444

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board **granted** application **A-72-2002** for a variance to build a one-family

447	_	Road (Parcel 764-758-7891 (part)). The Board granted the
448	variance subject to the foll	owing conditions:
449 450	1.	This variance applies only to the public street frontage
451		olicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.
452		
453	2.	At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall
454 455	compliance with the requ	formation to the Department of Public Works to ensure irements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the
456 457	code requirements for wat	er quality standards.
458	3.	At the time of building permit application the owner shall
459	demonstrate that the two	parcels created by this division have been conveyed to
460	members of the immedi	ate family, and the subdivision ordinance has not been
461	circumvented.	
462		
463	4.	Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit
464		ng permit approval is contingent on Health Department
465	,	out not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and
466	reserve area, and approva	al of a well location.
467	F	The configuration of the first state of the
468	5.	The applicant shall present proof with the building permit
469 470	application that a legal acc	cess to the property has been obtained.
471	6.	The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall
472		naintaining access to the property until such a time as the
473		unty standards and accepted into the County road system for
474	maintenance.	
475		
476	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
477	Negative:	
478	_	0
479	Absent:	
480		0
481		
482		quest, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the
483		the subject property, strict application of the County Code
484		dship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and
485		vill neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property
486 487	nor materially impair the p	urpose of the zoning regulations.
488	After an advertised public	hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr.
489	•	ed application A-73-2002 for a variance to build a one-family
490	•	Road (Parcel 764-758-7891 (part)). The Board granted the

variance subject to the following conditions:

493	1.	This variance applies only to the public street frontage	
494	requirement. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.		
495			
496	2.	At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall	
497		sary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure	
498	•	e requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the	
499	code requirements	for water quality standards.	
500	_		
501	3.	At the time of building permit application, the owner shall	
502		he two parcels created by this division have been conveyed to	
503		mmediate family, and the subdivision ordinance has not been	
504	circumvented.		
505	4	Approval of this request does not imply that a building parmit	
506	4.	Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit	
507 508		Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department ding, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and	
509	•	pproval of a well location.	
510	reserve area, ariu a	pprovar of a well location.	
511	5.	The applicant shall present proof with the building permit	
512	=	gal access to the property has been obtained.	
513	application that a lo	gar access to the property has been obtained.	
514	6.	The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall	
515	accept responsibility for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the		
516		to County standards and accepted into the County road system for	
517	maintenance.		
518			
519	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5	
520	Negative:		
521		0	
522	Absent:		
523		0	
524	The Breed control		
525		this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the	
526		tes of the subject property, strict application of the County Code	
527		ue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and	
528 529	_	ance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property	
530	noi materially impai	r the purpose of the zoning regulations.	
531	Mr. Balfour -	Next case.	
532	IVII. Dalioui -	Next case.	
533	A - 74-2002	G. DOUGLAS HAYDEN, JR. requests a variance from Section 24-	
534		94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an attached garage at	
535		9404 Sir Barry Drive (Pinedale West) (Parcel 750-747-4223), zoned	
536		R-2A, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The minimum	
537		side yard setback and total side yard setback are not met. The	
538		applicant proposes 9.73 feet minimum side yard setback and 24.68	

feet total side yard setback, where the Code requires 12 feet minimum side yard setback and 30 feet total side yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 2.27 feet minimum side yard setback and 5.32 feet total side yard setback.

Mr. Balfour - Would you state your name please. Anyone else expect to speak on this matter, for or against it? You may speak for it? All right sir, will you both raise your right hands and be sworn in?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Balfour - All right Mr. Hayden.

 Mr. Hayden - I do. My name is Douglas Hayden; I'm at 9404 Sir Barry Drive. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Board. I have spoken to my neighbors about this prior to even going forward for a plan, and I can put the detached to the rear of the house and build a 2-car garage. Also, I could, instead of requesting a variance, go 18.6 feet from the house in width, and then extend the garage, which would be attached to side of the house, 24 feet in length, and use the drive-in from the side of the house. I don't have as much turning space doing that, and it is from an aesthetic standpoint, what I was concerned about, and that's why I approached my neighbors prior to doing this. The Peskins, who live directly to the right of my house, of the driveway, thought that this would be the better position for them, because we do look upon woods in the back of the area, and there's a creek that runs in the back, and the County owns part of the wooded area, and they did not want their view distorted by having a garage in the back of the house. That is why I've gone and decided to attach it to 12 feet of the rear of my house, and then extend 12 feet beyond that, for a 24 by 24 garage.

Mr. Balfour - Your neighbors at 9402, is that what you're talking about?

570 Mr. Hayden - 9402, yes sir.

572 Mr. Balfour - Any questions of Mr. Hayden? Yes sir, would you like to 573 speak?

575 Mr. Hamm - I'm Wilford P. Hamm. I live at 9401 Sir Barry Drive, and I've 576 talked to some of the neighbors, and I just want to reiterate that it'll be a good thing in 577 our neighborhood, nobody seems to object, especially the chap who lives next door. So 578 I just came to support him.

Mr. Balfour - Thank you sir. Any others to speak?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **A-74-2002** for an attached garage at 9404 Sir

Barry Drive (Pinedale West) (Parcel 750-747-4223). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
Negative: 0
Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - Next case.

A - 75-2002

CURTIS C. AND ALLIE W. BULLOCK request a variance from Section 24-9 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 1560 Burning Tree Road (Parcel 805-694-1510), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). The public street frontage requirement is not met. The applicants have 0 feet public street frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public street frontage. The applicants request a variance of 50 feet public street frontage.

Mr. Balfour - Anyone else expect to speak for or against this case? Would you raise your right hand please.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

 Mr. Bullock - I do. I'm Curtis Bullock. We're here to request a variance to build a single-family home at 1560 Burning Tree Road. We purchased this property in 1998, and the owner at that time provided us with a plat that was dated 1944, so it appears that this property has existed in its present stage for some time. We do not have, as you see from the map, any street frontage, but there is a deeded right-of-way, 15 feet, leading and from Burning Tree Road. We own both parcels, parcel 214-A-21 and also the one that we're proposing to build on, 214-A-28.

631 You have 4-acre parcels back there? 632 633 Mr. Bullock -Yes, both of them are 3.7 acres each, and we are going to 634 build on the parcel nearest Burning Tree Road. Initially, we came down and asked for a 635 variance because we were going to build on the back parcel. It was our hopes at that 636 time that we would come in from where it says Woodside Street. The owner had 637 agreed to deed us access to come in from Burning Tree, but it appears to have been some problems with her mortgage company. They did not want her to deed access that 638 639 way, so that's when we decided to come back and go to the original right-of-way from 640 Burning Tree Road and build on the first parcel, which is Burning Tree. 641 642 Mr. Balfour -You've read the conditions on your case, sir. Are you in 643 agreement with them? 644 645 Mr. Bullock -Yes I have. Yes, I am. 646 647 Mr. Balfour -Are there any other questions? Thank you sir. Ms. Bullock, 648 do you want to say anything? 649 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. 650 651 Wright, the Board granted application A-75-2002 for a variance to build a one-family 652 dwelling at 1560 Burning Tree Road (Parcel 805-694-1510). The Board granted the 653 variance subject to the following conditions: 654 655 1. This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. All other 656 applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force. 657 658 Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. 2. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, 659 660 but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval 661 of a well location. 662 663 The applicant shall present proof with the building permit application that a legal 3. 664 access to the property has been obtained. 665 666 The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility 4. 667 for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access is improved to County standards and accepted into the County road system for maintenance. 668 669 670 Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright Affirmative: 671 0 Negative:

Absent:

672

673 674

675

630

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the

unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code

676 would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property 677 678 nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 679 680 Mr. Balfour -Next case. 681 682 A - 76-2002 **KEVIN W. OSBY** requests a variance from Section 24-9 of Chapter 683 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 4800 Charles City Road (AASBE Estates) (Parcel 838-700-0718), zoned 684 A-1. Agricultural District (Varina). 685 The public street frontage requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet public street 686 687 frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public street frontage. 688 The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public street frontage. 689 690 Mr. Balfour -Anyone other than the 2 people standing expect to testify for 691 or against this matter. 692 693 Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the Mr. Blankinship -694 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 695 696 Mr. Osbv -I do. My name is Kevin W. Osby. I'd just like to request a 697 50-foot public street frontage. There's already a driveway leading back. I'd like to be 698 able to tie into that driveway to go to my property. 699 700 Mr. Balfour -This is off Charles City Road? This is past those 2 other 701 homes? 702 703 My father, and the driveway will actually come over in front Mr. Osbv -704 of my brother's house. 705 706 Mr. Balfour -Your brother is probably to the right of where you want to 707 build? 708 709 How wide is the access? 710 711 Mr. Osbv -50 feet. 712 713 The access road is 50 feet wide? 714 715 Mr. Osby -Yes sir. The driveway's been used for 13-14 years. 716 717 Mr. Balfour -Any other questions of Mr. Osby? 718 719 You have read the conditions for this? You in agreement 720 with them?

722 Mr. Osby - Yes sir. Yes sir.

Mr. J. Osby - My name is Jerry Osby. I'm his father. I own the land, and I'm just speaking for him. I'm in agreement with it; I gave him the land.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** application **A-76-2002** for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 4800 Charles City Road (AASBE Estates) (Parcel 838-700-0718). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

2. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

3. At the time of building permit application the owner shall demonstrate that the parcel created by this division has been conveyed to members of the immediate family, and the subdivision ordinance has not been circumvented. If this condition cannot be met, the owner shall submit a subdivision plat for review and approval by the Planning Office.

4. The applicant must present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained.

5. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval of a well location.

755 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
756 Negative: 0
757 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

765 Mr. Balfour - Next case.

UP- 9-2002 GLEN ALLEN FREE WILL BAPTIST CHURCH requests a

768 temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to locate a temporary building at 769 770 11101 Old Washington Highway (Parcel 771-770-6598), zoned A-1, 771 Agricultural District (Brookland). 772 773

Mr. Balfour -Any others expect to speak for or against this case? Would you all raise your right hands please.

774 775 776

Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

777 778 779

780

781

782

783

784

785 786 Mr. Maness -I do. Good morning. I'm Pastor Maness, of the Glen Allen Free Will Baptist Church. We purchased this trailer with the idea and understanding when we bought it, to use it for the church until we got our building finished. Little did I know we had to have other things done, so we placed it on the property, and we have had the area re-surveyed, relocated, and permission to place it there and shorten up the fire line, the fire hydrant, so that it complies with everything in the County requirements. The use of the building would be while we construct our building. We presently have the loan application in that should be approved the first of May, and as soon as that comes in, we'll be involved in our further construction.

787 788 789

Mr. Balfour -You understand in the conditions that it expires 2 years from now.

790 791 792

793 794

795

796

797

So we've got to get going right guick. We do have Mr. Maness everything ready to begin the parking lot, which we want to put parking in so we'll have access. We'll not put blacktop until such time as we finish the building. We understand that we need to put in curbing so that the fire hydrant and the water meter box, which is about 50 feet from the entrance, would be protected, and then we'd like to place our water, etc. hook-up, so that it'll be usable. We already have the sewer line at the junction box and ready to hook up everything to it.

798 799

> Mr. Balfour -Any questions?

800 801 802

Mr. Kirkland -You ready condition # 2 also?

803 804

Mr. Maness -I read it, but I don't recall it.

805 806

Mr. Kirkland -Concerning all the permits that you'll need with the facility, to make sure you'll get all those.

807 808

809 Mr. Maness -Let me look at it, to make sure I understand what you're 810 saying. A building permit, yes we'll have to go back through and get a permit and all 811 that. I think we've complied with that in terms of, and we'll just have to resubmit it. I 812 understand.

815 816 Is that sand, or is that picture taken in the snow? 817 818 Mr. Maness -I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. 819 820 Looks like your picture was taken in the snow or sand. 821 822 Mr. Maness -I think the picture probably faded because of construction. 823 That's probably gravel and dirt and some grass. We'd been doing a lot of construction 824 at the time. 825 826 Mr. Blankinship -I honestly don't remember what was on the ground when we 827 took it. It might have been snow; that was about a month ago. 828 829 Mr. Balfour -Would either of you like to speak? 830 831 Mr. Wade -I'm Donald Ray Wade; I'm also a Deacon at the church and 832 a Trustee, and I'm also the general contractor. Of course we know all the rules and 833 regulations of the building program. We've got it all in step to do so as soon as we can 834 get our finances straight, and we'd appreciate it so much if we could move into it as a 835 conditional use, because that would help us financially to be able to keep going on 836 doing the Lord's work. We'd appreciate your consideration in giving us a conditional 837 use permit. 838 839 Mr. Balfour -Any questions of Mr. Wade? 840 841 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. 842 Nunnally, the Board granted application UP-9-2002 for a temporary conditional use 843 permit to locate a temporary building at 11101 Old Washington Highway (Parcel 771-844 770-6598). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following condition: 845 846 1. This permit shall expire on April 25, 2004 and shall not be renewed. The 847 temporary building shall be removed by that date. 848 849 A building permit will be required (if not previously acquired) for the placement of this temporary building on the property. All necessary permits and approvals shall be 850 851 acquired by the applicant at the time of building permit approval. 852 853 5 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 854 Negative: 0 855 Absent: 856 857 The Board granted this request as it found from the evidence presented that authorizing 858 this use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not

Thank you sir.

859

814

Mr. Kirkland -

materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

861 Mr. Balfour - Next case.

UP- 10-2002

SPRINT PCS requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to locate a temporary Cell-on-wheels at 625 E. Laburnum Avenue (Highland Gardens) (Parcel 795-738-4741), zoned B-1, Business District (Fairfield).

Mr. Balfour - Any others who wish to speak for or against this case? All right, sir, would you be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. McLemore - I do. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. My name is Gil McLemore, and I'm zoning Coordinator for Sprint PCS, and I'm here this morning in representation of the applicant. In brief summation, Sprint PCS has filed a temporary conditional use permit application in order to locate a cell on wheels, or COW, from April 29 through May 7, on the subject parcel. On this COW is a self-contained base station and antenna. The antenna will extend to a maximum of 100 feet. It will occupy less than a 30-foot by 20-foot portion of land to be leased during the requested permit time frame. The permit requested will allow Sprint PCS to provide needed coverage to the influx of area visitors present for the upcoming race series to be held at the adjacent Richmond International Raceway. I would submit to the Board that this request is identical to one approved last year at the same location. With that, Sprint respectfully requests approval of the temporary use, and I'd be happy to answer any questions that you may have.

You understand, we say that this expires on May 7, 2002. Is that satisfactory?

Mr. Balfour - Any other questions?

Mr. McKinney - Let me ask you a question, Mr. McLemore. Will you also do the fall races?

Mr. McLemore - Unfortunately, at this point Sprint doesn't know whether or not they'll have the money to be able to allocate for that race, so right now we're just taking everything we've got right in front of us. I apologize that we're not able to plan that far in advance, but I may be back in due time to request a permit then as well.

Mr. Balfour - Any other questions? Thank you sir.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** application **UP-10-2001** for a temporary conditional use

906 permit to locate a temporary Cell-on-wheels at 625 E. Laburnum Avenue (Highland 907 Gardens) (Parcel 795-738-4741). The Board granted the use permit subject to the 908 following conditions:

910 1. The Cell on Wheels shall not remain on the site longer than fifteen days at any 911 time.

913 2. This permit shall expire on May 7, 2002.

915 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
916 Negative: 0
917 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request as it found from the evidence presented that authorizing this use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - Next case

UP-11-2002 E. R. PLASTER, JR., INC. requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Sections 24-52(d) and 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to extract materials from the earth at 6650 Hines Road (Parcels 855-695-5768 and 8710), zoned A1, Agricultural District (Varina).

931 Mr. Balfour - Any others to speak for or against this matter? Would you 932 both be sworn in please.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

 Mr. Deal - Good morning, gentlemen. My name is John Deal. I represent E. R. Plaster, Inc. concerning this renewal of a permit. We've been getting this renewed since 1981 to mine this property on Hines Road. We've been over the conditions; we have no problem with the conditions. As to the evaluation at the bottom of the first page, we right now have an engineering firm to determine the new common boundary line between this property that the mining pit is on, versus the property that was sold at the rear of it. There have been some problems with getting that line with some exactitude, because the creek in White Oak Swamp seems to vary quite a bit each year, and survey to survey to the creek, so we've got a moving common boundary line, and that's the reason for the delay on this. I'd be glad to answer any questions you might have.

949 Mr. Balfour - Any questions of Mr. Deal?

951 Mr. Wright - How many trucks do you anticipate will be accessing this

952 property per hour?

954 Mr. Deal - I'll let Mr. Plaster answer that.

956 Mr. Plaster - Yes sir, I'm Steve Plaster, from E. R. Plaster.

958 Mr. Wright - I want to get some idea of the frequency of the trucks entering the property.

961 Mr. Plaster - In an hour, at the most, it would probably be 4.

963 Mr. Wright - And that would go on most all day, during the period of the

964 use?

966 Mr. Plaster - Yes sir.

968 Mr. Blankinship - We do have the standard conditions on that, Mr. Wright.

970 Mr. Wright - I saw that, that you've got to have no more than 3 together, 971 but I was trying to get some idea of the frequency.

973 Mr. Balfour - Any other questions of Mr. Deal?

We haven't had any problems with them, have we Mr.

976 Blankinship?

978 Mr. Blankinship - No sir, not that I'm aware of. Mike sent an e-mail stating that 979 he didn't have any concerns.

Mr. Balfour - Any other questions? Thank you, gentlemen.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** application **UP-11-2001** for a conditional use permit to extract materials from the earth at 6650 Hines Road (Parcels 855-695-5768 and 8710). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following condition:

1. This use permit is subject to all requirements of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

2. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall provide a financial guaranty in an amount of \$2,000.00 per acre for each acre of land to be disturbed, for a total of \$18,600.00, guaranteeing that the land will be restored to a reasonably level and drainable condition. This permit does not become valid until the financial guaranty has been approved by the County Attorney. The financial guaranty may provide for termination after 90 days notice in writing to the County. In the event of termination, this permit shall be void, and work incident thereto shall cease. Within the next 90 days the

applicant shall restore the land as provided for under the conditions of this use permit. Termination of such financial guaranty shall not relieve the applicant from its obligation to indemnify the County of Henrico for any breach of the conditions of this use permit. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

3. The applicant shall submit within 45 days of the approval of this permit, a revised Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan reflecting the change in property boundaries and any applicable changes as required by Section 24-103 of the Zoning Ordinance. This plan will be reviewed by the Planning Office and Department of Public Works for approval. Throughout the life of the operation, the applicant shall continuously satisfy the Department of Public Works that erosion control procedures are properly maintained, and shall furnish plans and bonds that the department deems necessary. The applicant shall provide certification from a licensed professional engineer that dams, embankments and sediment control structures meet the approved design criteria as set forth by the State. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

4. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall obtain a mine license from the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

5. Before beginning any work, the areas approved for mining under this permit shall be delineated on the ground by five-foot-high metal posts at least five inches in diameter and painted in alternate one foot stripes of red and white. These posts shall be so located as to clearly define the area in which the mining is permitted. They shall be located, and their location certified, by a certified land surveyor. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

6. In the event that the Board's approval of this use permit is appealed, all conditions requiring action within 90 days will be deemed satisfied if the required actions are taken within 90 days of final action on the appeal.

7. The applicant shall comply with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and all state and local regulations administered under such act applicable to the property, and shall furnish to the Planning Office copies of all reports required by such act or regulations.

8. Hours of operation shall be from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. when Daylight Savings Time is in effect, and from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at all other times.

9. No operations of any kind are to be conducted at the site on Saturdays, Sundays, or national holidays.

1041 10. All means of access to the property shall be from the established entrance onto 1042. Hines Road.

- 1044 11. The applicant shall erect and maintain gates at all entrances to the property.

 These gates shall be locked at all times, except when authorized representatives of the applicant are on the property.
- 1048 12. The applicant shall post and maintain a sign at the entrance to the mining site stating the name of the operator, the use permit number, the mine license number, and the telephone number of the operator. The sign shall be 12 square feet in area and the letters shall be three inches high.
- 13. The applicant shall post and maintain "No Trespassing" signs every 250 feet along the perimeter of the property. The letters shall be three inches high. The applicant shall furnish the Chief of Police a letter authorizing the Division of Police to enforce the "No Trespassing" regulations, and agreeing to send a representative to testify in court as required or requested by the Division of Police.
- 1059 14. Standard "Truck Entering Highway" signs shall be erected on Hines Road on each side of the entrances to the property. These signs will be placed by the County, at the applicant's expense.
- 1063 15. The applicant shall post and maintain a standard stop sign at the entrance to 1064 Hines Road.
- 1066 16. The applicant shall provide a flagman to control traffic from the site onto the public road, with the flagman yielding the right of way to the public road traffic at all times. This flagman will be required whenever the Division of Police deems necessary.
- 1070 17. All roads used in connection with this use permit shall be effectively treated with calcium chloride or other wetting agents to eliminate any dust nuisance.
- 1073 18. The operation shall be so scheduled that trucks will travel at regular intervals and 1074 not in groups of three or more.
- 1076 19. Trucks shall be loaded in a way to prevent overloading or spilling of materials of any kind on any public road.
- 1079 20. The applicant shall maintain the property, fences, and roads in a safe and secure condition indefinitely, or convert the property to some other safe use.
- 1082 21. If, in the course of its preliminary investigation or operations, the applicant discovers evidence of cultural or historical resources, or an endangered species, or a significant habitat, it shall notify appropriate authorities and provide them with an opportunity to investigate the site. The applicant shall report the results of any such investigation to the Planning Office.

1047

1058

1062

1065

1069

1075

1078

1081

- Open and vertical excavations having a depth of 10 feet or more, for a period of more than 30 days, shall be effectively sloped to a 2:1 slope or flatter to protect the public safety.
- 1092 Topsoil shall not be removed from any part of the property outside of the area in 23. which mining is authorized. Sufficient topsoil shall be stockpiled on the property for 1093 respreading in a layer with five inches of minimum depth. All topsoil shall be stockpiled 1094 1095 within the authorized mining area and provided with adequate erosion control protection. If the site does not yield sufficient topsoil, additional topsoil shall be brought 1096 1097 to the site to provide the required five-inch layer of cover. All topsoil shall be treated with a mixture of seed, fertilizer, and lime as recommended by the County after soil 1098 1099 tests have been provided to the County. 1100
- 1101 24. No offsite-generated materials shall be deposited on the mining site without prior written approval of the Director of Planning. To obtain such approval, the operator shall 1102 1103 submit a request stating the origin, nature and quantity of material to be deposited, and 1104 certifying that no contaminated or hazardous material will be included. The material to be deposited on the site shall be limited to imperishable materials such as stone, bricks, 1105 tile, sand, gravel, soil, asphalt, concrete and like materials, and shall not include any 1106 1107 hazardous materials as defined by the Virginia Hazardous Waste Management 1108 Regulations. 1109
- 1110 25. A superintendent, who shall be personally familiar with all the terms and conditions of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code, as well as the terms and conditions of this use permit, shall be present at the beginning and conclusion of operations each work day to see that all the conditions of the Code and this use permit are observed.
- 1116 26. A progress report shall be submitted to the Board on April 31 of each year from the date of the Board's action. This progress report must contain information concerning how much property has been mined to date of the report, the amount of land left to be mined, how much rehabilitation has been performed, when and how the remaining amount of land will be rehabilitated, and any other pertinent information about the operation that would be helpful to the Board.
- 1123 27. Excavation shall be discontinued by April 31, 2004, and restoration accomplished by not later than April 31, 2005, unless a new permit is granted by the Board of Zoning Appeals.
- 1127 28. The rehabilitation of the property shall take place simultaneously with the mining process. Rehabilitation shall not be considered completed until the mined area is covered completely with permanent vegetation.
- 1131 29. All drainage and erosion and sediment control measures shall conform to the standards and specifications of the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook. Any drainage structures in place prior to October 14, 1992 and which do not conform to the

1091

1115

1122

1126

1134 1135 1136 1137	reconstruction is required at which time said structures shall be broug conformance with the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook.		
1138 1139 1140	30. Failure to copermit.	omply with any of the foregoing conditions shall automatically void this	
1141 1142 1143 1144	Affirmative: Negative: Absent:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 0 0	
1145 1146 1147 1148	The Board granted this request as it found from the evidence presented that authorizing this use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.		
1149 1150	Mr. Balfour -	Next case.	
1151 1152 1153 1154 1155 1156 1157 1158	A - 77-2002	WANDA J. HARRIS requests a variance from Section 24-9 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 2559 Yarnell Road (Parcel 814-695-9604), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). The public street frontage requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet public street frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public street frontage. The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public street frontage.	
1159 1160 1161	Mr. Balfour - matter. Stand up,	Does anyone else expect to speak either for or against this raise your hand, and we'll swear you in.	
1162 1163 1164	Mr. Blankinship - truth, the whole tru	Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the ith, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?	
1165 1166 1167	Ms. Harris - I'm Wanda Harris, owner of the said property at 2559 Yarnell Road, and I'm here to obtain road frontage to have access to that property.		
1168 1169		How would you access this property?	
1170 1171 1172	Ms. Harris - There is a 600-foot easement, I believe, that extends from Yarnell Road back to that property.		
1173 1174		How wide is the easement?	
1175 1176	Ms. Harris -	Thirty feet wide.	
1176 1177 1178 1179	easement?	Thirty feet wide. And is that of record? Is that a deeded	

Ms. Harris -1180 I have a deed, I believe, but I'm not sure whether or not it's giving me a right-of-way to that property. When we purchased the property, the realtor 1181 said that it was a right-of-way that I would have to get to that property. 1182 1183 1184 You understand that one of the conditions with this 1185 application, is that if this is approved, you have to satisfy the zoning office that you do have a deeded right-of-way to the property, a legal right-of-way, is something that would 1186 1187 have to be recorded. 1188 1189 Mr. Balfour -Any other questions of Ms. Harris? 1190 1191 Have you read all the conditions? Are they acceptable to 1192 you? 1193 1194 Yes I have. Ms. Harris -1195 1196 This is for your own personal use, this house, right? 1197

1198

Ms. Harris -Yes.

1199 1200

Yes sir. Mr. Balfour -

1201

1202 Mr. Baker -I'm Max H. Baker. I'd like to know first, has Ms. Harris got a 1203 permit to build on this property?

1204

1205 Mr. Blankinship -She can't get the building permit, sir, until after this variance 1206 is approved.

1207 1208

1209

1210 1211

1212

1213 1214

1215

1216

Mr. Baker -I have a thing to say about Ms. Harris. I'd be delighted to have her build on the property, but I have a couple of questions. In 1949 I bought this property, and I had 5 little children. When I bought it, I bought it with the hopes in mind of giving each one part of them acres I bought to build on when they got a chance. In 1954 I got a permit to build my house, and then later on, my son, he got a permit to build his. Then my daughter, she went to get a permit to build. They said the lot she had wouldn't pass the test. This property that Ms. Harris has is the same exact one that my daughter tried to get a permit for, and my daughter gave the lot away. She didn't sell it to Ms. Harris, but a man by the name of Suggs. He gave her \$1,000 for it. What bothered me is the fact that now we can't let anybody build on the rest of it.

1217 1218

1219 Mr. Wright -The fact of the matter is, Mr. Baker, no house can be built on 1220 this lot unless it satisfies the requirements of the County at the time that they apply for 1221 the building permit. They will have to have proven that it would perk for septic tank, that 1222 there will be water there. Those things would all have to be satisfied, so if we grant this, and that doesn't come to pass, she couldn't build on it anyhow. 1223

1224

1225 Mr. Baker -Well I have nothing against Ms. Harris at all. I'd be happy for her to build on it if she can, but that's the situation, the way I feel about it.

1228 Mr. Balfour - Any other questions? Do you have anything further Ms. 1229 Harris?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **A-77-2001** for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 2559 Yarnell Road (Parcel 814-695-9604). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

 2. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval of a well location.

3. The applicant shall present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained.

4. The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access is improved to County standards and accepted into the County road system for maintenance.

5. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

1256 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright
1257 Negative:
1258 Absent:
0

 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - Next case.

CHARLES C. AND ELIZABETH G. FOWLER request a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 8202 Larcom Lane (University Heights) (Parcel 756-740-0641), zoned R-2, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The

A - 78-2002

1272 minimum side yard setback and rear yard setback are not met. The applicants propose 10 feet minimum side yard setback and 40 feet 1273 rear yard setback, where the Code requires 12.8 feet minimum side 1274 1275 yard setback and 45 feet rear yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 2.8 feet minimum side vard setback and 5 feet rear 1276 1277 yard setback. 1278 1279 Mr. Balfour -Any others expect to speak for or against this case? Raise 1280 your right hand and be sworn in please. 1281 1282 Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the Mr. Blankinship -1283 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 1284 1285 My name is Charles Fowler. My address is 8202 Larcom Mr. Fowler -1286 Lane. Currently I live in a 1600 square foot ranch house on a corner lot, facing Larcom Lane and the side street of Sharon. The lot I'm on has a very large setback from 1287 1288 Larcom Lane, I believe it states here, about 77 feet, whereas the rear has about a little 1289 over 45 feet. What we'd like to do is put a 20-foot family room addition on the back of the house, as well as a guest bedroom and master bath. What that would require is a 1290 1291 5-foot setback off the rear property line and off the neighbor's side of the house, of course the street's on one side, but on the house neighboring mine, I'll need about a 1292 1293 2.8-foot setback from the property line on their side. I did previously speak with all the neighbors, that I was going to be putting in a variance request, and I did not have any 1294 1295 objections. 1296 1297 Your house seems to be sitting a little far back, in any case, 1298 from your front yard? 1299 1300 Mr. Fowler -It's a very large front yard; I'm not really sure exactly why they built it that far back from the road. 1301 1302 1303 Do you face Larcom? 1304 1305 Mr. Fowler -Yes sir. I do. 1306 1307 Mr. Balfour -Any other questions of Mr. Fowler? 1308 1309 Mr. Fowler, have you read the conditions, only one condition, but I did want to point out, it says "Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with 1310 the application may be constructed....... You can't make any changes to that which 1311 1312 you've already..... 1313 1314 Mr. Fowler -I understand, and I'm fine with that.

1315 1316

1317

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-78-2002** for a variance to build an addition

1318 at 8202 Larcom Lane (University Heights) (Parcel 756-740-0641). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

1327	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
1328	Negative:	, , ,		0
1329	Absent:			0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - Next case.

A - 79-2002

STEVEN W. MORGAN requests a variance from Section 24-95(c)(4) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 10626 Jordan Drive (Glen Allen Heights) (Parcel 771-767-9205), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Fairfield). The front yard setback is not met. The applicant has 29.63 feet front yard setback, where the Code requires 35 feet front yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 5.37 feet front yard setback.

Mr. Balfour - Any others expect to testify for or against this matter? Raise your right hand and be sworn in, please.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

 Mrs. Morgan - I do. My name is Tara Morgan; I'm the wife of Steven Morgan. We reside at 10626 Jordan Drive, and we are requesting, as you can see on this end, to build an addition of 12 feet out, I think, but it's going to be flush with the front of the house. It's exactly the same as the house next door to us if they built an addition onto theirs. And that's basically all we're asking for, is that permit, to make the house bigger for our kids.

Looks like you're taking a stoop or something that's already there and extending that out, in the picture.

Mrs. Morgan - Well it was kind of a porch over there on the side, and we

took that down. The house was built in 1942, and so we would like to add it on all the way to the back, all down the side, and that's it. It won't come out any further in the front yard.

1368 Mr. Balfour - Are there any questions of Mrs. Morgan? Apparently not. 1369 Thank you, Mrs. Morgan.

 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** application **A-79-2002** for a variance to build an addition at 10626 Jordan Drive (Glen Allen Heights) (Parcel 771-767-9205). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. This variance applies only to the front yard setback. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

1381 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
1382 Negative: 0
1383 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - We'll take a short recess and then start the 10:00 o'clock agenda.

I call the meeting back to order. Do we have any deferrals or withdrawals on the 10:00 o'clock docket, Mr. Secretary? I understand the Secretary has a case he'd like to bring to our attention from a previous hearing – Mr. Blankinship?

Mr. Blankinship - Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is a case that you heard a couple of months ago. This is the plan (on screen) that was approved with the variance application, A-167-2001. This is the plan that was submitted for approval, and as usual, there was a condition on the approval of the variance, stating that they could only build the improvements shown on the plan. I'm only showing you the second floor. There were changes on the ground floor as well, but it was the changes on the second floor that got the building permit staff concerned, and they brought the question to me, and I thought I should bring it to you. This is the plan that was submitted with the building permit, and as you can see, it is a very different plan. I's 4 feet longer, so there is a change in the footprint, but I don't think the change in footprint was as much a concern to the building permit review staff as the other improvements that are shown on the second floor of this building now. You see a full bath and a small kitchen that were not

shown, and actually couldn't be approved, and you also see 2 sets of stairs now, which just raised some questions in the building permit staff's minds about what this space was going to be used for, how it was going to be improved, and whether it was within the bounds of what the Board considered at the time the variance was approved. Rather than just go back and forth with the applicants over this, I thought it best just to bring it before you and just let you give us some guidance on whether you believe these plans are substantially in accord with what you approved back in 2001, or whether you would like to see the staff hold the line on what was before you at that time.

Mr. Balfour - Are the applicants here?

Put the other one back up first, the one that was approved. This shows just attic storage.

Mr. Balfour - Would you raise your right hand, please, and be sworn in. Do you swear to tell the truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? Anyone else here expect to speak on this, one way or the other? State your name please.

Mr. Menner -I do. I'm Roger Menner. When we put the original application in, we had called the Planning Office, and asked them about things like guest rooms and situations like this. When we got the application and got everything together, we had like a week or so to draw up the plans. We really hadn't thought out how it all would work out, but the issue was the height of the roof. We put this in, knowing that the height of the roof was the issue. When we were here at the hearing, and I guess you guys transcript these things; you can pull the transcript. We were asked if that area would every be finished off, by one of you, I don't remember who asked, and we said yes, it potentially could be a guest room or an art studio, or whatever else somebody else might use it for, but we also did state that we were aware that you couldn't make an apartment out of it, and we had no desire to do that. We were also told by the Planning Office that an apartment had to have a kitchen, and a kitchen consisted of not a sink and refrigerator, but a sink, refrigerator, and stove, that if you didn't have a stove, you essentially didn't have a kitchen, and we had no desire to place anything like that up there.

Mr. Balfour - Is that something we said, somebody here said that?

Mr. Menner - It would have been in Mr. Blankinship's office, when we were making phone calls about it, initially. When we resubmitted it, we didn't think there would be an issue, because the height of the roof was the issue. We'd already been told, and the zoning regulations provide that you can have a guest house for non-paying guests or employees employed on the premises could live in a place, or that sort of thing, so we did not feel like that would be an issue. When we brought the plan down for the building permit, we expected to go to the Planning Office in person, but we're on a well and septic, so it had to go to Health Department first, so we weren't just able to go to just walk through the steps and talk to them about it.

Mr. Balfour - The kitchen, dining room, living room, and breakfast room and utility room were added to the main house; that would have already be done when it came before us, from the transcript. So now you've added something to this garage that we weren't aware of.

Mr. Menner - There are plans also to improve the house some more by adding a second floor and everything, and this elevation is going to match the elevation on the house. That was the reason for having the roof line the way it is.

We still want to keep the storage and everything; we didn't realize this would be that big of a problem. The roofline hasn't changed, the height of the roof hasn't changed or anything. He's right; we did make it a little wider, 4 feet. There are 2 sheds on the property we want to tear down, and we got to thinking, well more storage for that, and then we got to thinking of storing bicycles and stuff, that it might need to be just a little bit bigger.

So you've added a bathroom as well though, it appears, is

1472 that right?

Mr. Menner - Up at the top? Yes. If it's going to be a guest room, you'd obviously have to have a bathroom there, and as I stated in the first hearing, we're aware that you can't have an apartment, you can't rent it permanently, but non-paying guests could stay there, family, and that sort of thing, for a short time, and that's what we were told by the Planning Office when we first investigated this. Like I said, we hadn't really given that much thought to this type of layout, because the issue when we came before you before, was the height of the roof, and drawing the elevation was we thought, the critical thing.

Mr. Balfour - Any questions by the Board?

Is that a kitchen?

Mr. Menner - No sir. That's just a counter and a sink; if it's going to be utilized for doing artwork or whatever,

So there's no cooking?

Mr. Menner - There's no cooking, and we hadn't considered a refrigerator or anything either. If it's a problem, we can eliminate that aspect of it; we just didn't realize that it would be that big of a deal, and like I say, we expected to talk to the Planning Office when we brought it down.

You added steps on the other end I see?

Mr. Menner - Well, to separate the storage from the finished area, that was the thing to do. You still have to access the storage.

1502 What's that square thing in the middle that's not designated, somebody drew in with a pencil it looks like? 1503 1504 1505 Mr. Menner -We didn't draw that in. It appears that maybe someone drew that in as that would be where a bed would go. 1506 1507 1508 Mr. Balfour -Any other questions? Where's the 4 feet addition, on the 1509 sides? 1510 1511 Mr. Menner -Yes, it added to the side; it didn't add anything to the front or back, so it didn't change the height of the roof or the front elevation or anything like 1512 1513 that? 1514 1515 Mr. Balfour -Have your neighbors seen your new plans? 1516 1517 Mr. Menner -No, they haven't, but we don't have any neighbors actually. 1518 On either side, they're both empty lots. Behind us there's a hundred acres, all wooded, 1519 so there's no real neighbors, and nobody objected beforehand, and we spoken to 1520 neighbors about it, and nobody had any real objection about it. 1521 1522 The 4 feet on the side is within the zoning requirements, isn't 1523 it, I mean that doesn't violate anything, does it? 1524 1525 No it doesn't go over the, I think it's a 5-foot setback. We've Mr. Menner got about, I think it's going to come out to be 17 or 18, I believe I drew on there 20, but 1526 just the other day, like I say, it's all wooded, and we just recently cleared it all, and we 1527 were able to pull a string line on the property line and get precise measurements. No, 1528 1529 it's not going to encroach on any of the setbacks. 1530 1531 Mr. Balfour -Any other questions? Any other people to talk on this 1532 matter? Thank you. 1533 1534 Mr. Blankinship, now what was your concern on this exactly? Mr. McKinney -1535 1536 The condition on the variance states that the approval is only Mr. Blankinship for the improvements shown on the plan filed with the case, and the plans that were 1537 filed with the building permit were in my view substantially different from what was 1538 1539 approved with the case. 1540 1541 Mr. McKinney -Four feet wider, and what else? 1542 1543 Mr. Blankinship -The additional improvements upstairs, the plumbing and so 1544 forth upstairs, and the 2 stairs also. 1545

Mr. McKinney -

time. Do you consider this a guest room?

1546

1547

In the minutes they stated that possibly a guest room at that

1548		
1549	Mr. Blankinship -	Yes sir.
1550	Will Blaimwilliamp	1 00 011.
1551	Mr. McKinney -	Well what he said at the time when he was allowed to do this
1552		
1553		
1554	Mr. Blankinship -	What he said in his oral testimony; it wasn't reflected on his
1555	plans that the Board appre	• •
1556	,	
1557	Mr. McKinney -	But he made the statement that it would possibly be a guest
1558		the interior of the building, not outside where anybody else
1559		sidering any of this a kitchen?
1560	•	
1561	Mr. Blankinship -	What's shown on the plan is not a kitchen, no sir.
1562	·	
1563	Mr. McKinney -	Have you looked at it? Have you actually looked at what
1564	they've done?	
1565	•	
1566	Mr. Blankinship -	It's still under permit. We haven't issued the building permit.
1567		
1568	Mr. McKinney -	Thank you. The height is going to remain what we
1569	approved?	
1570		
1571	Mr. Menner -	Yes sir.
1572		
1573	Mr. McKinney -	Any that was the issue that was before us?
1574		
1575	Mr. Menner -	Yes sir, that was the issue that was before you, and that's
1576	why we didn't think that the	nis differed substantially, because the elevation was the same,
1577	the height was the same	e, everything else appears the same. I think we may have
1578	added a door or two in the	e bottom, to have access out the back, and that sort of thing.
1579		
1580	Mr. Balfour -	The distinction, I guess, is this - when you said you might
1581	add a guest room, you ad	lded a bathroom with a guest room. Your guest room includes
1582	a bathroom and a bedroo	m I suppose you're saying.
1583		
1584	Mr. Menner -	Well, I guess we figured, when we called, they didn't seem to
1585	think that was a problem	at your office, that the issue was putting a stove in, and that
1586	sort of thing. It is a detact	ched garage, so people couldn't very well use the bathroom in
1587	the garage or come to the	e house. The garage is about 80 or 100 feet from the house.
1588	We have an acre and a ha	alf; it's a pretty substantial lot.
1589		
1590		That's a sink and a counter?
1591		
1592	Mr. Menner -	Yes, a sink and a counter.
1593		

1594		Are there electrical outlets in there too?	
1595			
1596	Mr. Menner -	Yes, you would have to wire everything for electric and have	
1597	some sort of hea	ting system. We figured we'd just do like baseboard heat or	
1598		e it's not going to be used.	
1599	comouning, boodac	one for going to be deed.	
1600		Mr. Blankinship, but for the height concern, they could do	
1601	what they arraged h	, ,	
	what they suggest h	iere, couldn't triey?	
1602	M. District	Mary 2019 Land Charles Conflored Polymer Charles Service	
1603	•	Yes, if it hadn't been for the condition on the variance, we	
1604		ve approved this. On the other hand, if they had gone higher, we	
1605		required them to apply for a new variance. They wouldn't be here in	
1606	an informal setting l	ike this.	
1607			
1608	Mr. Balfour -	In the reconsideration of A-167-2001,	
1609			
1610	Mr. Blankinship -	It was my sense from the conversation that no one is really	
1611	•	ng that building permit, is that accurate.	
1612	oppooda to approvi	ng that banding porting to that about ato.	
1613	Mr. Balfour -	We'll take direction, and the approval will stand.	
1614	IVII. Dalloul -	we it take direction, and the approval will stand.	
1615	Mr. Balfour -	There were no deferrals or withdrawals as I recall. Would	
1616	you call the first cas	se please.	
1617	4 00 0000	DETUI FUEM DARTIOT OUUDOU	
1618	A - 80-2002	BETHLEHEM BAPTIST CHURCH requests a variance from	
1619		Section 24-95(a)(1)a. of Chapter 24 of the County Code to install a	
1620		steeple at 4210 Penick Road (Parcel 773-747-0576), zoned R-4,	
1621		One-family Residence District (Brookland). The height requirement	
1622		is not met. The applicant proposes a height of 82.9 feet, where the	
1623		Code allows a height of 50 feet. The applicant requests a variance	
1624		of 32.9 feet in height.	
1625		· ·	
1626	Mr. Balfour -	Any others expect to testify for or against this matter? Raise	
1627		be sworn in, please.	
1628	your right rianta and	and on our mi, product	
1629	Mr. Blankinship -	Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the	
1630	•	h, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?	
	tiutii, tile wildle tiut	n, and nothing but the truth, so help you dou:	
1631	Mr. Dalfa	Latina make a shart comment for these who were not been	
1632	Mr. Balfour -	Let me make a short comment for those who were not here	
1633	for the 9:00 o'clock docket, that is, that we're glad to hear from everyone, but we do ask,		
1634	•	cket, that if you're speaking, several of you as a group, that you not	
1635		evious speaker said, because we try to listen pretty carefully. If you	
1636		w to add, or a new twist, that's fine, but we'd rather not hear things	
1637	repeated, because	that just takes up time on a case that's further down on the docket.	
1638	Yes ma'am, would	you identify yourself, please	

Mrs. Brubaker -I do. Yes sir, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. My name is Peggy Brubaker; I'm the chairman of the Memorial Committee for Bethlehem Baptist Church. Bethlehem Baptist Church requests approval of a height variance so that we may install a 40-foot fiberglass steeple on our church sanctuary. The steeple, under the current Code, would exceed the normal height requirement. We're asking that you approve a one-time variance of 32 feet 9 inches, which would allow us to install the steeple. This is something our congregation has wanted for a long time. The addition of a steeple is being made possible through a generous gift in memory of her husband, by one of our members. We are asking for your approval so we may carry our her wishes.

Mr. Balfour - Are there any questions of Mrs. Brubaker?

I take it we do have a nice drawing showing the steeple as it would appear. I assume you are going to take care to insure that all safety requirements and so forth are met, so that the steeple wouldn't fall off on somebody or blow off in a storm.

How old is that church?

Mrs. Brubaker - One hundred and sixty-five years old, the church has been established, but I think the current building was built in 1920.

Mr. Balfour - That's a pretty building. Any other questions?

1665 Mr. Kirkland - Mr. Blankinship, let me ask you a question. This would require a building permit, correct? So all the safety concerns would be met then?

Mr. Blankinship - Yes.

The building requirements would take care of my concern, l'm sure.

1673 Mr. Balfour - Either of you gentlemen like to speak? She said it all?

1674 Three heads nod. Thank you.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board **granted** application **A-80-2002** for a variance to install a steeple at 4210 Penick Road (Parcel 773-747-0576). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. This variance applies only to the maximum height for a steeple. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

1684 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5

1685 Negative: 0 1686 Absent: 0 1687 1688 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the 1689 unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code 1690 would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and 1691 authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property 1692 nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 1693 1694 Mr. Balfour -Next case. 1695 1696 UP- 12-2002 **RYAN HOMES** requests a temporary conditional use permit 1697 pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code 1698 to locate a sales trailer for a subdivision at 10350 Woodman Road (Woodman Hills) (Parcel 774-764-5666), zoned R-3, One-family 1699 1700 Residence District (Fairfield). 1701 1702 Mr. Balfour -Anyone else plan to speak for or against this matter? Raise 1703 your right hand and be sworn in, please. 1704 1705 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the 1706 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 1707 1708 Mr. Balfour -State your name. 1709 1710 Mr. Meinser -I do. Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, my name is 1711 Kenneth Meinser; I'm here on behalf of Ryan Homes to request this use of a temporary 1712 sales trailer in our new subdivision, Woodman Hills, on Woodman Road, across from 1713 the depot. It is temporary; it is used for selling until we can get a spec or a model in 1714 there. It may remain, depending on how brisk sales are, until sales are complete. It will 1715 be landscaped; it will be screened somewhat. The developer's committed to putting in 1716 the entrance feature, which does involve a fence, so it will be fairly well screened. It will 1717 be visible from the road somewhat, but we think that it will be landscaped very nicely, 1718 and the parking area will be done very nicely, and it won't detract from the surroundings. 1719 1720 Have you read the conditions? 1721 1722 Mr. Meinser -Yes sir, no problem. 1723 1724 What happened to Gene Smith? 1725 1726 Mr. Meinser -I think he had another meeting. 1727

Mr. Balfour -

1728

1729

Any other questions? Thank you sir.

- After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** application **UP-12-2002** for a temporary conditional use permit to locate a sales trailer for a subdivision at 10350 Woodman Road (Woodman Hills) (Parcel 774-764-5666). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following condition:
- 1735
- 1736 1. This office trailer will be removed by December 31, 2003. No application will be submitted to renew this permit, extend the time on this permit or reapply for this permit so as to extend the time that this trailer is on this property.

1740 2. This office trailer will be serviced by a water and sanitary system approved by the 1741 Health Department.

1742

1743 3. The applicant will provide a landscape plan and lighting plan for the site at the time of building permit submission.

1745

1746 4. The off-street parking will be graveled and 5 spaces provided.

1746 1747

1748 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
1749 Negative: 0
1750 Absent: 0

1751 1752

1753

The Board granted this request as it found from the evidence presented that authorizing this use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

1754 1755

1756 Mr. Balfour - Next case.

1757

1758 A - 81-2002 RANDALL AND MELINDA HARDEN request a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an 1759 addition at 5504 Belstead Court (Belstead at Wyndham) (Parcel 1760 739-776-3402), zoned R-2, One-family Residence District (Three 1761 Chopt). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicants propose 1762 33 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 45 feet rear 1763 1764 yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 12 feet rear 1765 yard setback.

1766

1767 Mr. Balfour - Any others to speak for or against this matter? Raise your right hand and be sworn in, please.

1769

1770 Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1772

1773 Mrs. Harden - Yes. My name's Melinda Harden; my family and I live at 5504 Belstead Court, and we're requesting a variance of the rear setback to build an addition, to us it's going to be a sunroom. It'll look exactly like the rest of our house,

1776 1777	same brick foundation, sassured us everything will	same siding, same everything. look exactly the same.	The builder/contract	ctor has
1778 1779 1780		Mrs. Harden, have you read the	conditions proposed	?
1781 1782	Mrs. Harden -	Yes sir.		
1783 1784	looks like, is that right?	This is going to extend out from v	where you've got the	deck it
1785 1786 1787	Mrs. Harden -	Right next to the deck; the deck	will stay. Right there	€.
1788 1789		Is there any other dwelling direct	ly behind your house	?
1790 1791 1792 1793	Mrs. Harden - angle. If you stand and lotheir yard.	The picture he showed just there bok directly at it, you're mainly loo		
1794 1795		They seem to bethey're or	n Jamison Place I be	elieve.
1796 1797	Mrs. Harden -	Yes, that's right, West Chase, rig	tht behind us.	
1798 1799 1800	house and yours.	Seems to be a pretty good dista	nce between the rea	ar of that
1801 1802 1803 1804 1805		Yes, with trees and everything. e spoken to all of them; they we angle of their cul-de-sac. They or	on't even be able t	o see it
1806 1807 1808	Mr. Balfour - you, Mrs. Harden.	Have you got any other question	ns for Mrs. Harden?	Thank
1809 1810 1811 1812	McKinney, the Board gra l at 5504 Belstead Court	c hearing and on a motion by Meted application A-81-2002 for a vertical (Belstead at Wyndham) (Parcel ect to the following condition:	variance to build an	addition
1813 1814 1815 1816 1817	constructed pursuant to the may be made without the	nents shown on the plan filed whis approval. No substantial change approval of the Board of Zoningly with the applicable regulations of	ges or additions to thing Appeals. Any a	ne layout
1818 1819 1820 1821	Affirmative: Balfor Negative: Absent:	ur, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, \	Wright 5	0

1822 1823 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the 1824 unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code 1825 would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property 1826 1827 nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 1828 1829 Mr. Balfour -Next case. 1830 1831 UP-13-2002 **SIMONS HAULING CO.** requests a conditional use permit pursuant 1832 to Sections 24-103 and 24-52(d) of Chapter 24 of the County Code 1833 to extract materials from the earth at 2655 Lacywood Lane (Parcel 1834 840-722-1635), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). 1835 1836 Mr. Balfour -Are there others to speak for or against this matter? If you 1837 might, go ahead and raise your right hand and be sworn in, please. 1838 1839 Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the Mr. Blankinship truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 1840 1841 1842 Mr. Cochran -Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, my name is John Cochran. I'm with Youngblood, Tyler, & Associates, representing Simons Hauling Co. 1843 This is requesting approval for a borrow pit, which has been in operation since 1844 1845 approximately 1990 on this property. The pit is operated by Simons Hauling Co., 1846 exclusively for them. Material is extracted by track hoe, loaded on the trucks for the use of Simons Hauling Co. The pit is used sporadically, when they need it, on an as-1847 needed basis, approximately 2-3 weeks every 2 or 3 months. We have read the 1848 conditions and are in agreement, and I'd be happy to answer any questions you may 1849 1850 have. 1851 1852 Approximately how many trucks would be going in and out 1853 each day? 1854 1855 Mr. Cochran -Well, it's not used on a daily basis. It's used when they need 1856 it, and I'm going to say, 10 or 11 trucks a day when it's being used. 1857 1858 That's just Mr. Simons' trucks? 1859 1860 Mr. Cochran -That's correct. Very rarely are contract haulers used. 1861

Mr. Blankinship -

1862

1863 1864

1865 1866

1867

You've read all the conditions?

No sir.

Do we have any problems with it, Mr. Blankinship?

Mr. Cochran - Yes, we're in agreement with the conditions.

Mr. Balfour - Any other questions? Thank you sir.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **UP-13-2002** for a conditional use permit to extract materials from the earth at 2655 Lacywood Lane (Parcel 840-722-1635). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:

1. This use permit is subject to all requirements of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

2. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall provide a financial guaranty in an amount of \$2,000.00 per each acre of land to be disturbed, for a total of \$74,000.00 guaranteeing that the land will be restored to a reasonably level and drainable condition. This permit does not become valid until the financial guaranty has been approved by the County Attorney. The financial guaranty may provide for termination after 90 days notice in writing to the County. In the event of termination, this permit shall be void, and work incident thereto shall cease. Within the next 90 days the applicant shall restore the land as provided for under the conditions of this use permit. Termination of such financial guaranty shall not relieve the applicant from its obligation to indemnify the County of Henrico for any breach of the conditions of this use permit. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

3. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall submit erosion control plans to the Department of Public Works for review and approval. Throughout the life of the operation, the applicant shall continuously satisfy the Department of Public Works that erosion control procedures are properly maintained, and shall furnish plans and bonds that the department deems necessary. The applicant shall provide certification from a licensed professional engineer that dams, embankments and sediment control structures meet the approved design criteria as set forth by the State. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

4. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall obtain a mine license from the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

5. Before beginning any work, the areas approved for mining under this permit shall be delineated on the ground by five-foot-high metal posts at least five inches in diameter and painted in alternate one foot stripes of red and white. These posts shall be so located as to clearly define the area in which the mining is permitted. They shall be located, and their location certified, by a certified land surveyor. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

- 1912 6. In the event that the Board's approval of this use permit is appealed, all conditions requiring action within 90 days will be deemed satisfied if the required actions are taken within 90 days of final action on the appeal.
- 7.The applicant shall comply with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and all state and local regulations administered under such act applicable to the property, and shall furnish to the Planning Office copies of all reports required by such act or regulations.
- Hours of operation shall be from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. when Daylight Savings Time is in effect, and from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at all other times.
- 1923 9. No operations of any kind are to be conducted at the site on Saturdays, Sundays, 1924 or national holidays.
- 1926 10. All means of access to the property shall be from the established entrance onto 1927 Grapevinie Road.
- 1929 11. The applicant shall erect and maintain gates at all entrances to the property.

 1930 These gates shall be locked at all times, except when authorized representatives of the
 1931 applicant are on the property.
- 1933 12. The applicant shall post and maintain a sign at the entrance to the mining site stating the name of the operator, the use permit number, the mine license number, and the telephone number of the operator. The sign shall be 12 square feet in area and the letters shall be three inches high.
 - 13. The applicant shall post and maintain "No Trespassing" signs every 250 feet along the perimeter of the property. The letters shall be three inches high. The applicant shall furnish the Chief of Police a letter authorizing the Division of Police to enforce the "No Trespassing" regulations, and agreeing to send a representative to testify in court as required or requested by the Division of Police.
 - 14. Standard "Truck Entering Highway" signs shall be erected on Grapevine Road on each side of the entrances to the property. These signs will be placed by the County, at the applicant's expense.
- 1948 15. The applicant shall post and maintain a standard stop sign at the entrance to 1949 Grapevine Road.
- 1951 16. The applicant shall provide a flagman to control traffic from the site onto the public road, with the flagman yielding the right of way to the public road traffic at all times. This flagman will be required whenever the Division of Police deems necessary.
- 1955 17. All roads used in connection with this use permit shall be effectively treated with calcium chloride or other wetting agents to eliminate any dust nuisance. The haul road shall be paved to a minimum width of 24 feet back to the Binns property line.

1919

1925

1932

1937 1938

1939

1940

1941 1942

1943 1944

1945 1946

1947

1950

1954

1959 18. The operation shall be so scheduled that trucks will travel at regular intervals and 1960 not in groups of three or more.

19. Trucks shall be loaded in a way to prevent overloading or spilling of materials of any kind on any public road.

20. The applicant shall maintain the property, fences, and roads in a safe and secure condition indefinitely, or convert the property to some other safe use.

21. If, in the course of its preliminary investigation or operations, the applicant discovers evidence of cultural or historical resources, or an endangered species, or a significant habitat, it shall notify appropriate authorities and provide them with an opportunity to investigate the site. The applicant shall report the results of any such investigation to the Planning Office.

22. If water wells located on surrounding properties are adversely affected, and the extraction operations on this site are suspected as the cause, the effected property owners may present to the Board evidence that the extraction operation is a contributing factor. After a hearing by the Board, this use permit may be revoked or suspended, and the operator may be required to correct the problem.

23. Open and vertical excavations having a depth of 10 feet or more, for a period of more than 30 days, shall be effectively sloped to a 2:1 slope or flatter to protect the public safety.

24. Topsoil shall not be removed from any part of the property outside of the area in which mining is authorized. Sufficient topsoil shall be stockpiled on the property for respreading in a layer with five inches of minimum depth. All topsoil shall be stockpiled within the authorized mining area and provided with adequate erosion control protection. If the site does not yield sufficient topsoil, additional topsoil shall be brought to the site to provide the required five-inch layer of cover. All topsoil shall be treated with a mixture of seed, fertilizer, and lime as recommended by the County after soil tests have been provided to the County.

25. No offsite-generated materials shall be deposited on the mining site without prior written approval of the Director of Planning. To obtain such approval, the operator shall submit a request stating the origin, nature and quantity of material to be deposited, and certifying that no contaminated or hazardous material will be included. The material to be deposited on the site shall be limited to imperishable materials such as stone, bricks, tile, sand, gravel, soil, asphalt, concrete and like materials, and shall not include any hazardous materials as defined by the Virginia Hazardous Waste Management Regulations.

26. A superintendent, who shall be personally familiar with all the terms and conditions of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code, as well as the terms

and conditions of this use permit, shall be present at the beginning and conclusion of operations each work day to see that all the conditions of the Code and this use permit are observed.

- 27. A progress report shall be submitted to the Board on May 31 of each year from the date of the Board's action. This progress report must contain information concerning how much property has been mined to date of the report, the amount of land left to be mined, how much rehabilitation has been performed, when and how the remaining amount of land will be rehabilitated, and any other pertinent information about the operation that would be helpful to the Board.

2015 28. Excavation shall be discontinued by May 31, 2004, and restoration accomplished by not later than May 31, 2005, unless a new permit is granted by the Board of Zoning 2017 Appeals.

29. The rehabilitation of the property shall take place simultaneously with the mining process. Rehabilitation shall not be considered completed until the mined area is covered completely with permanent vegetation.

30. All drainage and erosion and sediment control measures shall conform to the standards and specifications of the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook. Any drainage structures in place prior to October 14, 1992 and which do not conform to the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook may remain in place until such time as any reconstruction is required at which time said structures shall be brought into conformance with the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook.

2030 31. Failure to comply with any of the foregoing conditions shall automatically void this permit.

32. All loaded trucks from this site shall travel south along Grapevine Road, west along Meadow Road and south along Drybridge Road to Route 60. Empty trucks shall return by the same route.

2037	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
2038	Negative:			0
2039	Absent:			0

The Board granted this request as it found from the evidence presented that authorizing this use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

2045 Mr. Balfour - Next case.

UP-14-2002 JEWISH COMMUNITY CENTER requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to locate a temporary office trailer at 5403 Monument

2050 Avenue (Parcel 770-736-3957), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Tuckahoe).

Mr. Balfour - All who intend to testify in this matter, for or against, please raise your right hand and be sworn in, please.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Balfour - Would you state your name.

Mr. Bowen - Yes I do. Steve Bowen, with Whiting-Turner Contracting; we're the general contractors.

Is this a pretty big trailer – we noticed it covers 2 districts, Brookland and Tuckahoe.

Mr. Bowen - No, it overlaps into the city, pretty close to the line. There is currently a temporary office trailer at the Jewish Center, on the corner of the building. It's been there for a number of years. The plan is to relocate that, to make improvements to the facility, building additions and also utility improvements, which will install a new road, all new storm drainage. It will be moved behind our existing construction trailer, which we already have a permit for, and then an additional office trailer will be set next to that to house people who will be coming out of the building when we are renovating their space. They will have water, sewer, power, the whole 9 yards.

Mr. Balfour - Looks like next to the tennis courts maybe, in the picture?

Mr. Bowen - Yes, it's on the old tennis courts. It's actually on top of the old tennis courts, a little bit to the side. They're not there anymore.

Mr. Balfour - Any questions by Board members? Apparently not. Thank you sir.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **UP-14-2002** for a temporary conditional use permit to locate a temporary office trailer at 5403 Monument Avenue (Parcel 770-736-3957). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:

1. This approval is for two office trailers that will be removed by March 1, 2003. No application will be submitted to extend the time these trailers are allowed on this property.

2094 2. These office trailers will be serviced by a water and sanitary system 2095 approved by the Health Department.

0000		
2096	۸ ((: سمه ۲۰۱۰ د ۲۰	Delfavor Kinkland Makingay Nyonally Whiteh
2097	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
2098	Negative:	0
2099	Absent:	0
2100	T. D	
2101	_	this request as it found from the evidence presented that authorizing
2102	-	I not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not
2103	materially impair the	e purpose of the zoning regulations.
2104	5 "	
2105	Mr. Balfour -	Next case.
2106	A 00 0000	POREDT OLARIZE WALKER required a visiting of from Continue Of
2107	A - 82-2002	ROBERT CLARKE WALKER requests a variance from Section 24-
2108		94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to cover an existing patio at
2109		11900 Brentmoor Court (Brentmoor at Wyndham) (Parcel 740-777-
2110		7561), zoned R-4, One-family Residence District (Three Chopt).
2111		The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant proposes 27 feet
2112		rear yard setback, where the Code requires 35 feet rear yard
2113		setback. The applicant requests a variance of 8 feet rear yard
2114		setback.
2115	Ma Dalfarra	Annually and the same of the tally for an application this weather?
2116	Mr. Balfour -	Any others expect to talk, for or against, on this matter?
2117	Raise your right ha	nd and be sworn in, please.
2118	Mr. Dlankinahin	Do you awaar that the testimony you are about to give is the
2119	Mr. Blankinship -	Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the
2120	truth, the whole trut	h, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
2121 2122	Mr. Balfour -	State your name places
2122	IVII. Dalioui -	State your name please.
2123	Mr. Walker -	I do. My name is Robert Clarke Walker. I'm requesting a
2125	variance to cover a	, ,
2126	variance to cover a	n existing patio.
2127	Mr. Balfour -	You're not going to change size, just going to build?
2128	Wii. Baileai	round hat going to ondings oize, just going to build.
2129	Mr. Walker -	I'm going to cover the existing, and use the matching as far
2130		e paint will be the same, it'll actually be 8 columns that run across it.
2131		d in, but it will be columns that cover the existing patio.
2132		a m, back this be detained that botton and britishing paties
2133	Mr. Balfour -	So you back up to Dominion Club Drive?
2134	2555	oo you buon up to bottom to the bottom between
2135	Mr. Walker -	Yes sir. There's a road approximately 10-15 feet behind
2136		here's a common area between the evergreens and the road.
2137		
2138	Mr. Balfour -	Any questions of Mr. Walker?
2139		
2140		Say again, how is this going to be constructed? What are
2141	the materials?	

2142 2143 2144 2145 2146	Mr. Walker - existing patio, and shingles.	It'll be fiberglass columns, 8 columns that will surround the it'll be just a shed style roof, with matching siding as well as the
2147		It'll match the house?
2148 2149 2150	Mr. Walker -	Yes sir.
2151 2152		When you say siding, are you going to enclose it?
2152 2153 2154 2155	Mr. Walker - approximately	No sir, it's a shed style. It'll come off, if you look, it's
2156 2157 2158	Mr. McKinney - of it.	Oh, you're talking about the siding on the side, the gable end
2159 2160	Mr. Walker -	Yes sir.
2161 2162	Mr. Balfour -	Any other questions of Mr. Walker? Thank you sir.
2163 2164 2165 2166 2167	McKinney, the Boar patio at 11900 Bren	public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. d granted application A-82-2002 for a variance to cover an existing tmoor Court (Brentmoor at Wyndham) (Parcel 740-777-7561). The ariance subject to the following conditions:
2168 2169 2170		e applies only to the rear yard setback. All other applicable ounty Code shall remain in force.
2171 2172	2. The new con-	struction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.
2172 2173 2174 2175 2176	Affirmative: Negative: Absent:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 0 0
2177 2178 2179 2180 2181 2182	unique circumstand would produce undu authorizing this vari	this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the sees of the subject property, strict application of the County Code se hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and ance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property the purpose of the zoning regulations.
2183 2184	Mr. Balfour -	Next case.
2185 2186 2187	A - 83-2002	CARYN FEINBERG requests a variance from Section 24-41(e) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a sunroom at 2609 Stoney Court (Winchester Pointe) (Parcel 730-755-7310), zoned RTH,

2188 Residential Townhouse District (Three Chopt). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant proposes 11 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 30 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 19 feet rear yard setback.

2193 Mr. Balfour - Are there any others to speak for or against this matter? 2194 Raise your right hand.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Ms. Feinberg - My name is Caryn Feinberg. I live at the address, 2609 Stoney Court, and I'm here just to request that you approve the variance for my home so that I could put up a sunroom in the back of my home. It actually is going to replace where the deck is now, and it'll be 12 by 12, and on the other side of this, you can't really see it, is going to be a narrow deck, a small deck, on the other side of the sunroom.

What's to the rear of your property?

Ms. Feinberg - It's a maintenance road behind, that goes down to Wilde Lake. There is also a common area, and I believe Wilde Lake owns that in part, and Winchester Point owns a section of that as well.

Mr. Balfour - Any other questions of Ms. Feinberg?

 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-83-2002** for a variance to build a sunroom at 2609 Stoney Court (Winchester Pointe) (Parcel 730-755-7310). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

2227 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
2228 Negative: 0
2229 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and

2234 authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property 2235 nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - Next case.

A - 84-2002 **GLENN AND KERRI COOK** request a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a screened porch at 5022 Snowmass Terrace (The Meadows) (Parcel 752-765-0897), zoned R-3AC, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Three Chopt). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicants propose 25.98 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 35 feet rear yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 9.02 feet rear vard setback.

Mr. Balfour - Any others expect to testify for or against this matter? Raise your right hand and be sworn in, please.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Bator - Yes. My name is Henry Bator. They asked me to come down here in case you had any questions. I'm actually the contractor. They want to build a screened in porch over the existing deck, and build an attached deck onto it. The back of the house right now, the way it's set, the sun hits it all day long, so they can't use it, because there's no tree coverage or anything, so it's very hot out there. There's also a water reservoir out there, so there's a lot of bugs out there.

2261 Mr. Wright - And the deck would be, not coming off the rear, but to the side, it appears?

Mr. Bator - Right where the porch is now, where the deck is now, that's the area that's going to be screened in, and then, if you're looking at the back of the house to the left of that, that area is going to have a small deck, so they can put like a grill and stuff on there and cook on it.

2269 Mr. Wright - And that's almost 26 feet from the property line, which 2270 seems to face a pond, is that right? There's a pond behind them?

2272 Mr. Bator - The pond is directly behind them.

2274 Mr. Wright - What's the size of the proposed screened porch?

2276 Mr. Bator - I don't have that with me, actually.

2278 Mr. Wright - Does that show in the application? I don't have the application. Is that going to be the same size as the deck?

2280			
2281 2282	Mr. Bator - would be 2 feet deep	No, actually it's going to be 2 feet larger that er to the back yard.	an the deck, so i
2283 2284 2285 2286		We need to know what size it's going to be prove it. Looks like 10 by 16 – is that the deck omight be the deck, and the porch is next to it.	
2287 2288 2289	Mr. Bator - little bit larger	The 10 by 16 is what's existing now, so that	t's going to be a
2290 2291 2292	Mr. Wright - approve just somethi	We need to know what you're going to ng that's off in space, or that's in your imagination.	
2293 2294 2295	Mr. Bator - with the variance.	There was a set of plans that were drawn u	up and submitted
2296 2297 2298 2299	Mr. Wright - – is that what you wawanted – 9 feet if I re	I'm trying to get to it. This shows a screened ant? What you put in this? That works out to the secall.	
2300 2301 2302 2303	Mr. Bator - Right, I know we ne house, and we're ma	Correct. Yes, and then there's a deck offed 2 feet more, because what's there goes back king it 12 feet.	
2304 2305	Mr. Wright -	The deck is 10 feet by 11 feet.	
2306 2307 2308	Mr. Bator - that has a roof on it.	Right, but the deck has different setbacks	from something
2309 2310 2311	Mr. Balfour -	Any other questions? Thank you sir.	
2312 2313 2314 2315	McKinney, the Board porch at 5022 Snow	public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, so granted application A-84-2002 for a variance to mass Terrace (The Meadows) (Parcel 752-765-08 subject to the following condition:	build screened
2316 2317 2318 2319 2320 2321	constructed pursuan layout may be made	ovements shown on the plan filed with the applica to this approval. No substantial changes or add without the approval of the Board of Zoning Apents shall comply with the applicable regulations of	itions to the opeals. Any
2322 2323 2324 2325	Affirmative: I Negative: Absent:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5 0 0

2326 2327 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the 2328 unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code 2329 would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property 2330 2331 nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 2332 2333 Mr. Balfour -Next case. 2334 2335 Mr. Blankinship -The next 2 are companion cases, Mr. Chairman. 2336 2337 A - 85-2002 **GARY THOMPSON** requests a variance from Section 24-94 of 2338 Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 2339 610 Daisy Avenue (Parcel 824-729-5540 (part)), zoned R-2A, Onefamily Residence District (Varina). The public street frontage 2340 2341 requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet public street 2342 frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public street frontage. The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public street frontage. 2343 2344 2345 A - 86-2002 GARY THOMPSON requests a variance from Section 24-94 of 2346 Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 2347 616 Daisy Avenue (Parcel 824-729-5540 (part)), zoned R-2A, Onefamily Residence District (Varina). The public street frontage 2348 requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet public street 2349 frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public street frontage. 2350 The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public street frontage. 2351 2352 2353 Mr. Balfour -Anyone else here to speak on this matter, for or against? 2354 Will all of you who are standing up, raise your right hand and be sworn in, please. 2355 2356 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the 2357 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 2358 2359 Mr. Balfour -All right sir, who's going to speak first? 2360 2361 I do. Gary Thompson. I currently own the property. Mr. Thompson -2362 Requesting the variance on the road frontage for 2 lots to be conveyed in relation with 2363 the rules and regulations of a family subdivision for Henrico. 2364 2365 And these are 2 adjacent lots, it looks like, backing up to a 2366 pond?

Mr. Thompson -

2367

2368

2369 2370

2371

You have access to these lots, coming in from the end of

Yes sir.

Daisy Avenue, and then you go left to the frontage of both lots.

2372		
2373		How are you going to get from the end of Daisy Avenue to
2374	the lots in question?	
2375		
2376 2377	Mr. Thompson - property, and the right-of-v	Basically, you'll take a left immediately as you enter the way will go right down the property line toward the left.
2378 2379 2380		Do you have a legal authorized right-of-way now?
2381 2382 2383	<u> </u>	That, and the conveyance of the lots, we decided to wait ances before we actually changed hands, so yes, that is in the been done yet until after we went through this process.
2384 2385 2386	get to both lots.	You're saying your contract to purchase includes rights to
2387	got to both lote.	
2388 2389	Mr. Thompson -	Yes sir, we're conveying the lots.
2390		You live back there now, Mr. Thompson? And these 2
2391	houses will be built for imn	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2392	Tieddoo Wiii do daiic for iifiin	nodiato farmy.
2393 2394	Mr. Thompson -	Yes sir. Yes sir.
2395 2396		How wide is that right-of-way going to be?
2397 2398 2399 2400 2401		I'm trying to see exactly what it is. It was to conform to the per Aden firm that I had do it. I'm thinking that they have 20 s. He was in touch with the County, and whatever the County what they drew it up as.
2402 2403		How big are these lots?
2404 2405 2406	Mr. Thompson - between .8 and .9 of an ac	One of them is between .5 and .6 of an acre, and the other's cre.
2407 2408	Mr. Balfour -	Other questions by Board members?
2409 2410		What type home are you planning on building back there?
2411 2412 2413 2414	they'll be at least as big a	I'm not the one who'll be building them, but from my conforming to the, I believe they'll both be rancher style, and as the other houses that they're adjacent to. I believe one of e is speaking for the other one.
2415 2416 2417	Mrs. Thompson -	It will probably be brick also.

2418 2419 2420	proposed?	Mr. Thompson, have you read the conditions that have been
2421 2422	Mr. Balfour -	Would you like to say anything?
2423 2424 2425	Mrs. Thompson - mother-in-law and father-i	No, just one of the lots is my husband's and mine; we are his n-law, and the other will be his son's.
2426 2427 2428 2429	Mr. Balfour - 2, and if they are oppose like. Thank you. Yes sir.	Any other questions at this time? We'll hear from the other d to it, you'll have a chance to make further comments if you
2430 2431 2432 2433 2434 2435 2436 2437 2438	drainage. There is a who washed already a whole he's got only a small entrement the rest is the drainage of	Good morning. My name is Erich Albus, and I live on the y Avenue. The only thing is, what I have to discuss is the lole lot of water coming if we have a heavy rainfall, and it's of out of his property, and I hope he won't change that. When ance at the end of the street, that is maybe 12 feet wide, and the street. I'm worried about this drainage. I can show you a lened years ago, before Mr. Thompson owned the land. To water comes down.
2439 2440		Was that before Daisy Avenue was put in there?
2441 2442	Mr. Albus - the street out. At the end	No, no. That just happened in 1970, and it washed part of of the street, he's got a way into his house.
2443 2444 2445	Mr. Blankinship -	Is this you in 1970 sir?
2446 2447 2448	Mr. Albus - out.	That is my son. It washed even the heavy part of the ditch
2449 2450 2451	makes sure he's got culve	Your concern is, that if he builds these houses, that he erts and ditches enough to provide sufficient drainage?
2452 2453 2454	Mr. Albus - and throw that concrete w	I just want to leave it like it is. Otherwise, if he changes that, call down, it will be maybe a mess and everything.
2455 2456 2457	that right?	You want him to maintain the road up to the end of Daisy, is
2458 2459 2460 2461	Mr. Alb us - feet request for a house.	The end of Daisy, yes. And as far as I know, he needs 50 I don't know how he can get 50 feet. Daisy ends there, and
2462 2463	Mr. Balfour -	Mr. Blankinship, what's at the end of Daisy right now?

2464 Mr. Blankinship - It just ends.

2466 Mr. Balfour - Does it have a wall, or pipe, or what's there?

2468 Mr. Albus - There's a pipe that goes in the ground that goes to his 2469 property.

The picture shows just a hole that looks like it's part of the street.

Mr. Albus - The County filled that hole up again, that was a part of Daisy that broke out, and the County filled that up with 50 truckloads. You know how heavy the rain was coming down, the water's coming down when we have a heavy rainfall, and the County filled it up with 50 trucks about, and needed 3 trucks of cement or concrete, or whatever you call it, to fix that spot of drainage. That is about half of the road, and the other half is his, Mr. Thompson's entrance to his house.

Mr. Kirkland - Mr. Blankinship, won't condition # 2 address any drainage problems going to the Department of Public Works?

Mr. Blankinship - Yes, that will all be reviewed by Public Works.

Mr. Kirkland - The Department of Public Works of Henrico County, sir, will cover any major drainage problems when the permit is issued and after the home is built, so that should protect you.

Mr. Balfour - Any other questions? Was there someone else who wished to speak? Mr. Thompson, do you have anything further to say?

Mr. Thompson - I understand what Mr. Albus is speaking of, but what he's talking about is to the right side of Daisy Avenue. He lives on the last lot at the end of the hard surface of Daisy on the right-hand side, and there's a large concrete culvert that goes down from the end of Daisy right beside where his property ends. As far as these 2 lots that we're requesting, that really doesn't have anything to do with where the drainage is that he was talking about. If there is ever any house built beside his property, that would become an issue on that lot, but right now the lots that we're requesting are to the left of the extension of Daisy Avenue, which really doesn't involve the culvert he was speaking of at all.

When did you build your house – in 1990?

We granted a variance in 1990 to build a house on 48 acres – I guess it was part of the property you owned at the time? And at that time we had the same discussion, and we had a condition which is not on this case, but I would suggest we put in, that required that you have the Public Works Department review any improvements in relationship to the drainage. Do you have any problem with a

2510 condition of that nature?

Mr. Thompson -Not at all. Before we built our house, the status of the end of Daisy, it was an open concrete culvert right at the end of the road. You could not even drive on to our property because of the width of this open culvert. After we got the variance, the County offered to provide a pipe. I believe it was if we purchased the pipe, they would put it in and cover it over, leaving an open area to the left of the pipe, to where it would not be an open culvert any more. That's what the County ended up doing. The way that that area is right now, the rainwater does not wash onto our property. It either washes down the right side where the concrete culvert Mr. Albus spoke of, is, or it's channeled into the hole on the left side, and then it runs through the pipe, again to the right-hand side of the road. The drainage is not really a problem now. I don't think that we would ever want to change that concrete culvert that he's speaking of, because if we ever did anything, we would probably put a collection basin in down at the end of that large concrete culvert and put an in-ground pipe down to the right. If we ever did anything, it would be something like that; it would never be to restrict the drainage that's already there, because that wouldn't be in anybody's best interests.

2528 Mr. Balfour - All right sir, thank you. Was there anyone else to speak on 2529 this matter?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** application **A-85-2002** for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 610 Daisy Avenue (Parcel 824-729-5540 (part)). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

2. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

3. At the time of building permit application the owner shall demonstrate that the two parcels created by this division have been conveyed to members of the immediate family, and the subdivision ordinance has not been circumvented.

4. The applicant shall present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained.

5. The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access is improved to County standards and accepted into the County road system for maintenance.

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5

2556	Negative:	0
2557	Absent:	0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** application **A-86-2002** for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 616 Daisy Avenue (Parcel 824-729-5540 (part)). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

2. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

3. At the time of building permit application the owner shall demonstrate that the two parcels created by this division have been conveyed to members of the immediate family, and the subdivision ordinance has not been circumvented.

4. The applicant shall present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained.

5. The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access is improved to County standards and accepted into the County road system for maintenance.

2590 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright
5
2591 Negative:
2592 Absent:
0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - Next case.

2602 A - 87-2002 THOMAS P. AND KAREN S. HAESEKER request a variance from 2603 Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an 2604 attached garage at 12469 Burnside Lane (Steveston) (Parcel 739-2605 752-6501), zoned R-4C, One-family Residence (Conditional) (Tuckahoe). The rear yard setback and total side 2606 2607 yard setback are not met. The applicants propose 27 feet rear yard 2608 setback and 21.6 feet total side yard setback, where the Code 2609 requires 35 feet rear yard setback and 25 feet total side yard 2610 setback. The applicants request a variance of 8 feet rear yard 2611 setback and 3.4 feet total side yard setback. 2612 2613 Mr. Balfour -Anyone else to speak for or against this matter? Raise your 2614 right hand and be sworn in, please.

2615 2616

Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

2617 2618 2619

2620

2621 2622

2623

2624

Mr. Haeseker -I do. My name is Tom Haeseker, and the reason I'm here is to ask you to grant a variance so that we can build a detached garage, which would include a bedroom over the top, and then down on the lower floor, there would also be a mudroom and a utility room. The reason we're doing this is that in the last 5 years, we've had 2 more children. We have a total of 4 now, and we need more space. We've talked to our neighbors about it, and they have no problem with us doing this, and we'd just like if you'd consider granting the variance for us.

2625 2626 2627

If we approve it, this becomes a woodshed for the bad child?

2628 2629

Mr. Haeseker -I don't know. I have an idea it'd be too much of a palace for him.

2630 2631 2632

2633

What's located, what would be adjacent on the rear of the property across from where this proposed garage would be built? Looks like another building there

2634 2635 2636

Mr. Haeseker -Our next-door neighbors have a detached garage there as well.

2637 2638 2639

That's a garage also?

2640 2641

2642

Mr. Haeseker -You know, we were thinking about putting a detached garage in also, but when we talked to our neighbors, they would prefer that we attach it, and we would prefer that we attach it too.

2643 2644 2645

2646

2647

And there's a little house, it looks like, that backs up to you from Stranford Place as well, that's a shed I guess, is that right?

2648	Mr. Haeseker -	That's the neighbors behind us.
2649		_
2650 2651	Mr. Balfour -	Any other questions of Mr. Haeseker?
2652 2653	Mr. Haeseker - sethack would be 8 feet a	Oh, one other thing. In the proposed variance, it says the nd 3.4 feet, and actually they would be 10 feet and 3.9 feet.
2654	consider would be a look a	ind of Froot, and dotadily they would be to rest and old rest.
2655 2656		Oh we've got a problem.
2657 2658	Mr. Haeseker -	Well, we've got it on the application; the County changed it.
2659		The County changed it incorrectly?
2660 2661	Mr. Haeseker -	My wife went down and the County drow this is but it didn't
2662		My wife went down, and the County drew this in, but it didn't ations. Should be 3.9 feet, instead of 3.4 feet, and 10 instead
2663	of 8.	ations. Should be 3.9 feet, instead of 3.4 feet, and 10 instead
2664	01 0.	
2665		So you've got 2 feet one way and a few inches the other?
2666	What did the notice say th	
2667	•	·
2668	Mr. Blankinship -	The notice would say the same thing that's in the ad, 8 feet
2669	and 3.4 feet.	
2670		
2671		When was the change made in the application? You got a
2672	copy of the original applic	ation in the file?
2673		Chausa 10.9 in the drawing
2674 2675		Shows 10.8 in the drawing.
2676	Mr. Blankinship -	Is this drawing still correct? That shows an 8-foot variance
2677	to the rear yard.	is the drawing still softest: That shows an oloot validhoe
2678	to the real yara.	
2679	Mr. Haeseker -	The drawing is correct.
2680		
2681		It must be that they altered it a little bit between the drawing
2682	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	erty line. Based on the drawing, you need 3.4 feet side yard,
2683	and you need 8 in the rea	r.
2684		That's cololly have been form. The color of heavy
2685	shocked your plane, and f	That's probably where it came from. They must have
2686 2687	checked your plans, and i	ound out that you were asking for more than you need.
2688	Mr. Blankinship -	If the drawing is correct, then the ad is correct and the notice
2689	is correct.	in the drawing to correct, their the da is correct and the notice
2690		
2691	Mr. Balfour -	Thank you for bringing that to our attention. Any other
2692	questions? Apparently no	, , ,
2693		

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-87-2002** for a variance to build an attached garage at 12469 Burnside Lane (Steveston) (Parcel 739-752-6501). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2705	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
2706	Negative:			0
2707	Absent:			0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - Next case.

A - 88-2002

ROBERT P. CHEESEMAN requests a variance from Section 24-95(i)(2)f. of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an in-ground pool at 7800 Biscayne Road (Williamsburg Park) (Parcel 763-750-6445), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Three Chopt). The minimum side yard setback is not met. The applicant proposes 33 feet side yard setback, where the Code requires 60 feet side yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 27 feet total side yard setback.

Mr. Balfour - Anyone else to speak for or against this matter? Raise your right hand and be sworn in, please.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Cheeseman - I do. My name is Robert Cheeseman. We reside at 7800 Biscayne Road, and we request that you approve our variance to put in a built-in pool in our back yard.

Mr. Balfour - You understand that if it's approved, you have to get these various permits that are listed in the conditions?

Mr. Cheeseman - Yes sir, I'm aware of the conditions, and I approve of them.

2740		
2741		You say it's in the back yard, and we say it's in the side yard,
2742	is that what we're saying h	nere?
2743		
2744	Mr. Cheeseman -	No sir, it's in our back yard, but it's the side setback because
2745		r curved lot. We have a 10-foot setback where that fence is
2746		nd on the other side of the property is Biscayne Road, and
2747		e, it's a 60-foot setback, so we would only be able to put in
2748	something maybe 20 foot.	
2749	Something maybe 20 loot.	
2750		What's the proposed size of the pool? And what type of
2751	fence or whatever will you	, ,
	lence of whatever will you	Triave around the poor!
2752	Mr. Chanan	20 hy 40 air. We surrently have a Cfact stocked fance
2753		20 by 40, sir. We currently have a 6-foot stockade fence
2754	surrounding the whole bad	ck yard.
2755		N I d' la l
2756		You need something around the pool.
2757		
2758		Yes sir, we have that on our drawings, a 4-foot fence to
2759	•	ut we currently have a 6-foot stockade fence surrounding the
2760	whole back yard.	
2761		
2762		What type of fence will the 4-foot one be, what will it be built
2763	out of?	
2764		
2765	Mr. Cheeseman -	Probably vinyl. I don't know that we're required to have 2
2766	fences, but we have 5 chil	dren.
2767	·	
2768		In other words, you don't want 20 children.
2769		
2770	-	I think you're required to have something around the pool to
2771	protect the pool from some	
2772	protost are post from some	obody raming in th
2773	Mr. Cheeseman -	We had planned to have a floating alarm put in the pool
2774		urrently a 6-foot stockade fence surrounding the whole back
2775		a 4-foot fence as per the drawing.
2776	yard. We plan on putting a	a 4-100t leftce as per the drawing.
	Mr. Makinnay	Vou don't need it if you've get a fence ground your word.
2777	Mr. McKinney -	You don't need it if you've got a fence around your yard; I
2778	think the latch has to be 4	reet off the ground.
2779	M D K	A 4 C 0 T
2780	Mr. Balfour -	Any other questions? Thank you.
2781		
2782		c hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr.
2783		anted application A-88-2002 for a variance to build an in-
2784	•	ayne Road (Williamsburg Park) (Parcel 763-750-6445). The
2785	Board granted the variance	e subject to the following condition:

2787 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2793 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
2794 Negative: 0
2795 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - Next case.

UP-15-2002 HENRICO DOCTORS HOSPITAL requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to continue use of mobile angio lab at 1602 Skipwith Road (Parcel 761-745-3004), zoned O-3, Office District (Three Chopt).

Mr. Balfour - Any others expect to testify for or against this matter? Raise your right hand and be sworn in, please.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Booth - I do. Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, my name is Craig Booth. I'm a project architect with Gresham, Smith and Partners. We were the architects for the original temporary use structure that was constructed 2 years ago in the summer of 2000. As you know, we originally got the temporary use permit in April of 2000 and had every intention to utilize it on a temporary basis until a more permanent location on the hospital campus was found for a mobile connector. A couple of things have happened in the meantime. We actually did look at one option, which is viable; however we have not proceeded to do floor plans or pursue it because we have also been looking from a master planning perspective of other areas on the hospital campus that might serve as a better location for the temporary connector.

The other thing is, as you know, late last year HCA purchased HEALTHSOUTH, which is now Henrico Doctors Hospital on Parham Road, which forced the hospital administration to consider what types of services were going to be offered at Forest vs. Parham. So this is one of those services that has been put into question as to the most

efficient way and the most efficient location to offer the service. Aware that our temporary use permit was expiring this month, we wanted to come before you to request permission to continue to use the temporary structure to serve the cases that Henrico Doctors currently has. I guess I'd be open to answer any questions for you. I brought Ron Buchanan here with me; he's the Director of Engineering at Henrico Doctors Forest also.

Mr. Blankinship, I noticed that you said the Board didn't have the authority to approve this request.

Mr. Blankinship - The Code allows temporary permits under 116(c)(1) for a period not to exceed 24 months.

And no renewal.

When it was granted, it was granted for a period not to

2848 exceed 24 months. 2849

Mr. McKinney -

Why are they here, Mr. Blankinship?

Mr. Balfour - Are you advised that you need to amend your Plan of Development rather than come before us?

 Mr. Booth - Yes, Mr. Blankinship made us aware of that. We'd be more than happy to do that. The only thing with that is, originally it was constructed as a temporary use group B occupancy with the Building Permit Department, and so of course of combustible materials, because it was a temporary structure. We really have no intention of wanting to keep the building on a long-term basis. As I've shown on the board here, we do have one option which we are interested in pursuing. Maybe Ron, if you want to say a few words to that? Amending the Plan of Development for the campus isn't something that we really would want to do; however, in order to continue to use it, until we construct a permanent solution for the mobile connector, is something that, of course, we will do.

Our problem is, number 1, apparently we're limited by the Code, and secondly, if we continue to extend it, then you can continue to plan. I don't want to be ornery about it, but that's the way the public might look at it.

Mr. Balfour - Comments by Board members?

Mr. McKinney - Mr. Blankinship, if they moved this trailer on another part of their lot and came back in on a new case, could we hear it?

Mr. Blankinship - That's an interesting question. Our position as staff, ever since the Duval case, has been that when applicants come in with a request like this, we tell them up front, that it's our position that the Board cannot extend the permit, but if

they want to bring the application forward, we put it in front of you. That question really comes to you.

Mr. McKinney - I understand. We've got a copy of the Code. It's for 24 months, pretty cut and dried, but it was approved for that site, where that trailer lab is. Now my question, if they moved it over to a different area on their land and applied for a new one, if they need it for another 24 months, could that be done?

 Mr. Blankinship - I don't have a cut and dried yes or no answer for that, but if they brought me an application like that, I would put it in front of you and do the best I could to review it for you. I think that's a decision the Board has to make; I don't think it's one staff can make for you.

Mr. McKinney - You might want to run that one by the County Attorney and hear his opinion on that, because apparently we can't extend the one they've got.

Mr. Balfour - If you ask for a new location though, I think they're going to have to request a new permit. You can't just extend this one. What we're saying it, lawyers take a long time to say it, the point is, he will ask the County Attorney for an opinion, and if you'd like to keep in contact with Mr. Blankinship and find out if you can make a new application for a new location for the trailer, and the County Attorney approves it, you can try that, or you can go the Plan of Development route, but we can't do anything today.

Mr. Booth - We just wanted to come before you and make you aware of the situation. We have every intention of constructing a permanent mobile connector on the site.

Mr. Balfour - I have a suggestion for you. If the County Attorney approves it, and you can make a new application for a new location on the same piece of property, that might be an out for you.

2910 Mr. McKinney - That still doesn't mean that it will get granted.

2912 Mr. Kirkland - If they move it just a foot, that would be a new location, 2913 right?

2915 Mr. McKinney - I guess it's up to this Board. I don't think a foot would be considered a new location.

2918 Mr. Wright - You've got to use some reason there.

2920 Mr. Balfour - Thank you.

Upon a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **denied** application **UP-15-2002** for a conditional use permit to continue use of mobile angio lab at 1602

Skipwith Road (Parcel 761-745-3004). The Board denied your request because the 24month period allowed by the County Code has expired.

2927 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
2928 Negative: 0
2929 Absent: 0

2931 Mr. Balfour - Next case.

A - 89-2002

GENYA W. GLASS requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 8909 Rearden Road (Mooreland Farms) (Parcel 745-731-1795), zoned R-1, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant proposes 43 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 50 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 7 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Balfour - Any others expect to testify for or against this matter? Raise your right hand and be sworn in, please.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

 Ms. Glass - I do. My name is Genya Glass, and I live at this residence with my husband. We're interested in putting on an addition that would be 15 by 30 feet to the rear of the house. Because the house itself is built slightly back in the lot itself, it wouldn't be possible to add an addition to the front of it in any way, and it wouldn't look very good anyway. What we would like to do is, although there is a 50-foot setback requirement, putting that addition on, the 15 by 30-foot room, would mean that we would need a variance of 7 feet. The setback would then be 43 feet. To the side, in terms of it's distance to the next house, we would have 29 feet, which is well within the setback limit, I think it's 20 feet to the side there. The purpose of this addition is a workroom for me. Our children are gone, and I would like some space with running water. We would have, as you can see in the plans, there would be a sink in the room for art work, and there would be, we're not planning to put a bathroom in, but we put the rough-in would be done for a future bathroom. There would be an entrance from the garage, as well as the outside where the driveway is, and to the back we would extend a little porch area that we have, so that it would just look nice, it would connect with the addition.

Nobody has said anything negative to me; it's just for my own personal us, and we have very good screening to the back. The addition would go off of the current garage, so that the height of the roof would be identical to the what the current height of the roof is. It would be extended in the direction of a very large magnolia tree, as well as permanent evergreens or cedars, but directly where the extension would be, there is a huge magnolia; therefore there wouldn't be, between that and the cedars, I don't think it could be possibly visible to any of the houses to the rear of us. Are there any questions?

2970 2971 What is located to the rear of you? 2972 2973 Ms. Glass -There is a street called Butterfield, that comes to a cul-de-2974 sac, and there's a house – that house you can't see, as you can see in the photograph, 2975 because of the cedars and the magnolia. 2976 2977 It's well screened? 2978 2979 Ms. Glass -Yes, it's very well screened. 2980 2981 Is that a kitchen on the other side that extends out already? 2982 2983 Ms. Glass -That's a garage. Actually we would be taking a portion of the garage and enclosing that, because it's an oversized 2-car garage, and we've been 2984 2985 using one area just for storage, having children who bring things back from college. It 2986 was a convenient place to plunk stuff between semesters. They're a little older now, and I'd rather use that for my workroom space. 2987 2988 2989 Mr. Balfour -Any questions of Ms. Glass? 2990 2991 Ms. Glass -Actually, there's one question I had – I did read at the end 2992 what the conditions are. The one question that I had when looking at the plan – would it be considered a huge difference or change to switch where the stairs on the back 2993 2994 connect with the porch? If I were to change them from one side to the other, is that 2995 considered a big difference? I just thought I'd ask; I didn't know what that meant. After 2996 sort of mulling that over in my mind, I thought it might look nicer. 2997 2998 Mr. Blankinship -No, that wouldn't be considered substantial. 2999 3000 That's not in the rear yard, is it? 3001 3002 Ms Glass -Yes, going off the deck 3003 3004 The change you're suggesting or you might make, wouldn't encroach further into the rear yard, that's what I'm saying. That's your concern here, 3005 the rear yard. 3006 3007 3008 Ms. Glass -I just wanted to make sure I knew what "substantial" was, and you were all here, so I thought I would ask. 3009 3010 3011 Mr. Balfour -Any other questions? Thank you. Apparently not. 3012 3013 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr.

3014

McKinney, the Board granted application A-89-2002 for a variance to build an addition

at 8909 Rearden Road (Mooreland Farms) (Parcel 745-731-1795). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

3024 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
3025 Negative: 0
3026 Absent:

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - Next case.

UP-16-2002 COKESBURY BOOKSTORE requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to hold a tent sale at 3700 West End Drive (Parcel 759-757-0604), zoned B-2, Business District (Brookland).

Mr. Balfour - Any others expect to testify for or against this matter? Raise your right hand and be sworn in, please.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Ms. Thompson - I do. I am Ellen Thompson, the Area Sales Manager for Cokesbury Bookstore in the Virginia area. Cokesbury is owned by the United Methodist Church and has operated a bookstore in this area for 120 years. We have had tent sales since 1995. When we had the first one, I did come over to the County and ask if we needed a permit, and they said we didn't need one. Since we have been told that we are in violation, we are now working to become in total compliance. We have made our plans this year, hopefully for a smaller tent that will not require a building permit. We're working with a commercial and professional tent company that we've always worked with, and have sent them copies of the Code as well. We strive to be good corporate citizens. The area is always left as well or better than it was before. The conditions will be met. Our agreement is currently verbal, but I will get a written agreement from the owner of the parking lot and have that available for review. The tent will be removed on Saturday, May the 18th, after the conclusion of the sale, and for the sake of all of our customers who call me every day, wanting to know when our tent

3061 sale is, I just asked if we could please have it this year. 3062 3063 You lose 8 spaces due to the tent – will that cause you any 3064 great problem in your parking area? 3065 3066 What we do is, I don't know if you have pictures, but right Ms. Thompson across Hanley Drive is a large area that belongs to Tuckernuck Square Shopping 3067 3068 Center, which is what we have always used in the past. I have photographs, customers 3069 park across the street, and that's the request for the agreement with the Tuckernuck 3070 Square ownership. We have verbal agreement now, and I will get a written agreement 3071 prior to the sale, just to make sure we are all in agreement. We maintain handicapped 3072 spaces, once the tent is up. My staff parks across in Tuckernuck Square, and other 3073 customers park across there. 3074 3075 What will be the hours of the day of the sale, the same hours 3076 as the store hours? 3077 3078 The same hours as the store hours, 9 to 5 Thursday and Ms. Thompson -3079 Friday, and 10 to 5 on Saturday. 3080 3081 So it would all be in daylight? 3082 3083 Ms. Thompson -All in daylight. 3084 3085 How long have you been at this location? 3086 3087 We've been at this location since September of 1992. Ms. Thompson -3088 And you moved from downtown, at 5th and Grace. 3089 3090 3091 Ms. Thompson -We're the best-kept secret in Richmond 3092 3093 Mr. Balfour -Any questions? Thank you. 3094 3095 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted application UP-16-2002 for a temporary conditional use 3096 permit to to hold a tent sale at 3700 West End Drive (Parcel 759-757-0604). The Board 3097 granted the use permit subject to the following conditions: 3099

3098

3100 1. The tent will be removed by Monday, May 20, 2002. 3101

3102 2. A copy of the agreement with the adjacent Shopping Center, Tuckernuck 3103 Square, to use their parking spaces shall be submitted with the building permit 3104 application for the tent.

3105 3106

Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright Affirmative: 5 3107 Negative: 0 3108 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request as it found from the evidence presented that authorizing this use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - Next case.

A - 90-2002

ABID KRAK requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 5401 Dickens Road (Parcel 773-744-3103 (part)), zoned R-2, One-family Residence District (Brookland). The rear yard setback and total lot area requirement are not met. The applicant has 16,574 square feet total lot area and 30 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 18,000 square feet total lot area and 45 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 1,426 square feet total lot area and 15 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Balfour - Any others expect to testify for or against this matter? All who expect to testify, raise your right hand and be sworn in, please.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Balfour - All right, sir, state your name.

Mr. Krak - I do. My name is Abid Krak. I live at 5401 Dickens Road. I got this place about a year and a half ago. My existing house was built back in about 1923 or something like that. The reason why I purchased this place was because there was a complete lot and house and garage needed to be remodeled. I've been working on it. Also, that size of lot I thought would give me a chance to build another house on it. I got a set of plans and everything I've been working on it by myself, the whole thing. Part of my business is renovation and building new houses. I'm originally from Bosnia, and the way over there is to build your own house. That's my dream in this country. I came out here about 8 years ago. On that picture, I've been working on that house since I bought it back in December 2000. That old barn, that's my next step, to rebuild it, and in case I get the building permit for new house, I'm going to stay there my whole life. Existing house, I've got a bunch of my family here; I'm going to put my in-laws or my brother in existing house over here.

3148 Mr. McKinney - Say that last part again, you're doing what? It looks like from 3149 your plan that you've got a 2-story frame house and a 2-story frame house with a 3150 question mark, and a 2-story garage.

3152 Mr. Krak - Yes.

3153 3154 What are you asking us to do, exactly now, today? Just to 3155 add on to your current house? 3156 3157 Mr. Blankinship -No, to approve the division of the property. 3158 3159 Mr. Kirkland -He wants to divide the property and build another house. 3160 3161 Mr. Krak -Do you have a picture of the property overview? Should be 3162 in the plan, how it's going to look when I build it. 3163 3164 Have you tried to acquire additional property, say, to the 3165 rear, that would give you sufficient area for your lot? 3166 3167 Mr. Krak -The original plan, I got for a variance this time is a pretty big 3168 sized house. I've been working on another plan. I can meet a rear setback. I just 3169 need, in case, the square footage of the lot. The lot requires 18,000 square feet, I've got 16,574, something like that. In case this house is not going to be okay for this lot, 3170 3171 I've got a plan for a smaller house. I can meet the setback requirements. 3172 3173 Mr. Blankinship -Have you tried to buy additional property? From your next-3174 door neighbors? 3175 3176 Mr. Krak -No, I'm new around here. I didn't even know I needed to talk 3177 to anybody. I just apply for it. Next step maybe I'll talk to my neighbors. 3178 3179 Mr. McKinney -Mr. Krak, your size of the house is not going to make any difference, because you're required to have 18,000 square feet, and you've got 16,574, 3180 3181 so the basic size of the house has nothing to do with the size of the lot. 3182 3183 Mr. Krak -That's the main reason I applied for a variance, for square footage of the lot. 3184 3185 3186 It appears to me there's right much area behind your house, 3187 between your house and the next house, behind it, that you could acquire something to square up that rear property line. It would probably give you enough area and take care 3188 3189 of the rear yard problem too. 3190 Mr. Krak -3191 How can we solve that problem? 3192 3193 Try to buy it from the person who owns the property to the 3194 rear of your house. You'll have to find out who it is and go talk to him. That's a

3195 3196 3197

Mr. Krak - All right. I'll try. That subdivision requires 18,000 square

suggestion. I just wanted to see if you'd explored that.

3198 feet on each lot?

3199		
3200		You have 18,000 on your other lot.
3201		
3202	Mr. Krak -	Can we approve to divide just in half, and have same square
3203	footage in both lots.	
3204		
3205		That would make your other lot nonconforming.
3206	NA. IZI	Maria de la composição
3207	Mr. Krak -	My chance is in here. That's all I want to do, is build my own
3208	house. I can do it by myse	elf.
3209		
3210	Mr. Balfour -	Any other questions at this point? Let's hear from the other
3211		chance to come back up. Who would like to speak first? You
3212	were sworn in earlier, as I	recall.
3213		
3214	Mr. Early -	Yes sir. How are you gentlemen? My name's Don Early. I
3215	own property to the north	of that picture and across the street, 2 different properties,
3216	and I'm kind of opposed to	o granting a variance myself because of this erosion problem
3217	that's occurred right insid	e this driveway that's happened, and then as you get over
3218	closer to my line. You car	n't see it, but my line's to the left of what you see in the picture
3219	•	a wetland area there. That's had some debris put right on
3220	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s not very sightly, and it doesn't drain well there, and there's
3221	<u> </u>	ebris on the property for many months. I don't know what's
3222		ance is granted for a house to be built close to my line and
3223		he other property. Like I said, I represent 2 properties.
3224		ably has nothing to do with granting a variance, but there's
3225		n front of the existing house, and I'm not trying to have a used
3226	car lot next door.	in front of the existing flouse, and thi flot trying to have a used
3227	car lot flext door.	
3228		That's another problem.
3229		mat's another problem.
3230	Mr. Early -	I understand, but those are some of the reasons, if it doesn't
3231	•	hink that certainly should be considered.
3232	meet the requirements, i ti	mink that certainly should be considered.
		What's the numbers of the properties that you own?
3233		What's the numbers of the properties that you own?
3234	Ma Cash	Une of 5040 which would be to the newly forwards Otenlas
3235	Mr. Early -	I'm at 5313, which would be to the north, towards Staples
3236	Mill. It's just to the left of the	hat picture that was up there.
3237		
3238		You're adjacent to this property then, on that side.
3239		
3240	Mr. Early -	I'm adjacent on that side, and I also own the property across
3241	the street.	
3242		
3243		What's the size of your lot?
3244		

3245 Mr. Early -5313 is, I think, 1.8 acres.

3246

3247 Okay, and how about the one across the street?

3248

3249 Mr. Early -I think that's a half an acre.

3250 3251

How could that be a half an acre and comply with the Code?

3252 3253

Mr. Early -It's a house that was built in back in the '20's.

3254

3255 Are you the landowner he's have to talk to, to square off that 3256

lot.?

3257 3258

Mr. Early -No sir, I'm a sideline owner, but that's not the rear requirement that he's requesting on the side. If he bought from me, he'd meet the size requirement, but he wouldn't meet his setback.

3260 3261 3262

3263 3264

3265

3266

3267

3268 3269

3270 3271

3272

3273 3274

3275

3276

3277 3278

3279

3280 3281

3282

3283 3284

3285

3286

3287

3288

3289

3290

3259

Mr. Balfour -Any other questions? Thank you. Next fellow.

Mr. Wenk -Brian Wenk's my name, and I live at 3011 Krueger Drive. I'm the gentleman that you're requesting talking about the back setback; I'm the one behind him. I'm also speaking for Mrs. Blanton, who is adjoining; she's here also; she's on the left side, or right side, depending how you're looking at the road. My wife and I bought this piece of property and built our house back in 1974-75, and we had to meet all the variances and requests from the County, and also, when I was building in that neighborhood, we liked it because of the rural setting and the large lots that the people had, and we loved the extra space between the houses, and everything. I guess the laws have changed; I had to go to all of my adjoining property owners and get a letter signed by them saying it was okay to build up in there. Mr. Krak has been working on this house, like he stated, for 14 months: it's still not complete. I assume he has a permit to do all this. I checked with the County Building Permits. The only permits he's gotten were for electrical and plumbing; he's done some major structural work to the house, with no permits for that, and mechanical work. I don't know where you stand on that deal. If he takes as long as he's been doing rebuilding this house, I hate to think how long the whole area is going to be in disarray with building another house. I'm also concerned about, if he gets this variance, then he's going to request to convert that garage into another house, and then we're going to have 3 houses right there in that little area. I have some pictures, if you want to see a little bit more detail of the area that I took last week, of the type of stuff that we have, construction around. The trash has been blowing around in the neighbor's yards and not cleaned up, and then we have to pick it up. Also, during the excavation that he did when he was working on his house and knocking out some trees and stuff; then he put in another driveway, and I can't believe that the County approved that. It is a dangerous road, with those turns through there, it's very dangerous. Once every 2 months someone's in that ditch; matter of fact, there was one in there last week. The excavation caused him to tear up his green field, and he had to get a sewer line run up windover, to hook onto the sewer, and also the area that was excavated where he wants to build his house, I've been there a little over 25 years, and there's been a natural drain-off of water coming over Krueger Drive and running on down into Dickens Road into that ditch, and he's going to build his house, the plans look like right in the middle of this drain area. I'd be concerned about whoever's going to be living there, that there's going to be a lot of wetlands formed. The wife and I are just a little tired of all the mess and stuff that's been there by driving into our house in the last year. The wife and I are against this, as well as Ms. Blanton, and I'm not interested in selling any of my property so he can meet his requirements.

Mr. Blankinship - Did you say "not interested"?

Mr. Wenk - "Not interested."

So your property abuts his on the rear, and you would not sell him a piece of property?

3307 Mr. Wenk - Yes sir. No sir.

3309 Mr. Kirkland - Mr. Wenk, you might have said this, but how big is your lot

3310 again?

3312 Mr. Wenk - My lot is 8.8 acres.

How about Mrs. Blanton's?

3316 Ms. Blanton's - 1.4 acres.

8 Mr. Wenk - If you need those pictures, you're welcome to them.

3320 Mr. Balfour - Any other questions by Board members? Thank you. Yes

3321 sir.

Mr. West - My name is Frank West. I have the distinction of living across the street at 5400. Directly across the street, completely across the street. I'm opposed to this maneuver because of the condition of his yard, and the pictures show very vividly, the drainage problem there. That's what I look at every morning, and if this is an example of what he's going to do henceforth, I'm completely 100% against it. Also, I'll put on that, it's not a used car lot, which it's being used as. Obviously, I'm opposed to it. Are there any questions from anyone else?

3335 Mr. West - This has been here 90 days, right there. Thank you for your 3336 time.

Mr. Wenk - I also think I forgot to bring up, that during the excavation, when Dwight Snead came in there and they backed in a backhoe or a dozer in there to do this excavation, because I happened to be coming home and saw it happen, we have a cinder block retainer wall on each side of our driveway coming into this Krueger Drive, that Mr. Krueger built himself years ago, and the tractor-trailer ran in and knocked the block wall down on his side of the road, and today the cinder blocks are still laying in the ditch and haven't been replaced by the construction people who did it or Mr. Krak. That's another thing I wanted to point out, that is also the looks of the area for the last 6-8 months.

Mr. Balfour - Mr. Krak. I'm going to try to answer a couple of these questions of my neighbors. First of all, can you back that picture on please, that drainage problem or something. That picture is about, I connected new sewer lines to the County sewer, that picture is about 2 or 3 months old.

Mr. Blankinship - More like a month, but you get the idea.

Are you trying to tell me it doesn't look like that now?

Mr. Krak - This is what it looks like now.

Well it doesn't make any difference how old the picture is, if

it still looks like that.

Mr. Krak - I'm going to fix that; that's not going to be a big deal.

So whether the pictures 2 or 3 months old doesn't make any difference. If it still looks the same, it's as if it were taken yesterday, or this morning.

Mr. Krak - And also, that new drain field I put from the rear property line, all the way down to that ditch on Dickens Road. Any hard rain, you can't see any water on my property. All water will go down to the ditch. And that debris they're talking about to the north; that's the filled dirt. I tried to level the ground, put in new grass. A little more down, to the left (directing photographs). I want to ask my neighbors – that property, what did it look like before I purchased this place? You couldn't even see from Dickens Road to part of the house and old barn. All bushes, and I cut 18 trees, removed everything. I hired a company from Ashland to bring me 15 loads of fill dirt. In the back, as you can see, I've tried to level up the ground a little bit. Like I said, I've been working on the place since I bought it in December 2000. We're talking about a building permit – I don't need a building permit to put the stucco on the house, do I? No. I don't need a building permit to put the new sheet rock inside my house – no. I don't need a building permit to put the drywall in the basement – no.

Mr. McKinney - Yes you do.

3383 Mr. Blankinship -You probably do on the last 2 – the stucco you're probably 3384 okay, but the drywall I think you probably do. 3385 3386 Mr. McKinney -You need a building permit for renovations. 3387 3388 Mr. Krak -Just to take the old plaster out, old plaster down and put new 3389 drywall. 3390 3391 Mr. Kirkland -That's considered renovation, and that requires a permit in 3392 Henrico. 3393 3394 Mr. Blankinship -You could tear up the electrical work and cause a fire by 3395 doing that, so they want to get it inspected. You can call the building officials office any 3396 time to find out whether a permit is necessary. That's not really the question before us 3397 today. 3398 3399 That debris will go this year. My next step, like I said, to Mr. Krak rebuild that old barn, and I want it to stay on this place, this property will be a nicer 3400 property in the neighborhood. That's why I got this place, to answer these questions. 3401 And also, I've got one question for all of you guys. Can you run a property line on my 3402 property. You've got a picture of it. Plot plan, Krueger Road. Can you show me where 3403 3404 is my property line on Krueger Road, on this side? 3405 3406 Mr. Blankinship -I'd have to have a survey to answer that question. 3407 3408 Mr. Krak -My property line runs in the middle of Krueger Road – what 3409 happens if I want to put a fence in it. Where am I supposed to put a fence? 3410 3411 Mr. Blankinship -Probably you wouldn't be allowed to put a fence in the road. 3412

Assuming that it's a deeded right-of-way, the other property owners have the right to prevent you.

3413 3414

3415 Mr. Krak -That's what I 'm talking about. And that garage was built in 1919. I want to put new fence all over my property. Where on this side can I put the 3416 3417 fence in?

3418 3419

3420

We can't give you opinions on those things right now; we've got one question in front of us, and that's whether or not you can build a house without the square footage that you need.

3421 3422

3423 Mr. Krak -Okay, let's go back to the main story.

3424

3425 Mr. Balfour -You guys tell me, do I get building permit to build a new 3426 house or not, or do I have to wait?

3427

3428 We're not going to tell you now, but unless you've got Mr. Balfour -

3429 anything further to say, otherwise we appreciate your coming before us today.

3430

3431 Mr. Blankinship -They'll be voting in a few minutes if you want to wait.

3432

3433 We make a decision at the end of the docket on these 3434

cases.

3435

3436 Mr. Blankinship -There are only 2 cases left.

3437 3438

3439 3440

3441

3442

Upon a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board denied application A-90-2002 for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 5401 Dickens Road (Parcel 773-744-3103 (part)). The Board denied your request as it found from the evidence presented that approving the permit would be of substantial detriment to adjacent property or would materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

3443 3444

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5

Negative: 0

Absent: 0

3446 3447 3448

3451

3452

3453

3454 3455

3456 3457

3458

3445

Mr. Balfour -Next case.

A - 91-2002

3449 3450

PAUL F. AND VALERIE M. KLING request a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 7310 Normandy Drive (Sunset Hills) (Parcel 762-741-7754), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The minimum side yard setback and total side yard setback are not met. The applicants propose 5 feet minimum side yard setback and 16.2 feet total side yard setback, where the Code requires 7.5 feet minimum side yard setback and 22.5 feet total side yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 2.5 feet minimum side vard setback and 6.3 feet total side yard setback.

3459 3460 3461

Mr. Balfour -Any others to speak on this matter, for or against? Raise your right hand and be sworn in, please.

3462 3463 3464

Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the Mr. Blankinship truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

3465 3466 3467

3468

3469

3470 3471

3472

3473 3474

Mrs. Klina -I do. I'm Valerie Kling, speaking on behalf of my husband and myself, and we are seeking a variance of 2.5 feet in minimum side yard setback and 6.3 feet in total side yard setback. That will allow us to renovate to expand our livable space. The placement of our current garage, which you see in the picture there, necessitates attachment to it as we add a family room onto the back of our house. This attachment, as I understand it, will alter the rules for the setback from the property line for that garage that's existing there. On our street, we're one of the last houses on our block to make an addition; however, we're one of the few houses with a garage that

creates this impediment. So the addition we hope to build will not come any closer to the property line than the garage that's already there, and we believe that the appearance of both the garage and the whole property will be improved by the planned addition. The neighbors around us are in agreement. They support this request for a variance, and the neighbors on the side that's closest to this garage have sent a letter.

Mr. Balfour - Any questions of Mrs. Kling?

I'm trying to get, I'm looking at, not that, but the diagram that we were given, so your proposal is to convert your garage that's there now to a bedroom.

Mrs. Kling - Yes, but we are also doing a family room behind our house, and so as we add the family room, that attaches that garage.

So what you're saying is, the addition that you're proposing will not encroach any more into the side yard than what it is already. It's already there.

Mrs. Kling - Right. It's already there, but I think the rules are different if the garage is attached, and so we need the variance because we hope to do an attachment.

And what will be in the other addition? You're going to convert the garage to a bedroom; what's going to be the other addition?

Mrs. Kling - A family room and a little breakfast room area.

The other addition does not cause any variance request or violate any of the ordinance requirements, is that correct?

Mr. Blankinship - That's correct.

Mr. Balfour - Any other questions of Mrs. Kling? Thank you.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-91-2002** for a variance to build an addition at 7310 Normandy Drive (Sunset Hills) (Parcel 762-741-7754). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

3521	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
3522	Negative:	, , ,		0
3523	Absent:			0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - Last case.

A - 92-2002 STEVEN L. AND DOROTHY LANDIS request a variance from Section 24-95(i)(2) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a detached garage at 1805 Cloister Court (Wynmoor) (Parcel 737-749-4819), zoned R-2, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The accessory structure location requirement is not met. The applicants propose an accessory structure in the side yard, where the Code allows accessory structures in the rear yard.

Mr. Balfour - Any others expect to testify for or against this matter? Raise your right hand and be sworn in, please.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Landis - Yes sir. I'd like to make a note that my name is Steven L. Landis on there, and the subdivision is Wynmoor. We're requesting a variance to build a detached garage at the end of the driveway, off to the side. It is my understanding that it does not meet the normal requirement for being in the rear of the yard. The reason we're locating it there is that the storm drain from the cul-de-sac, when we bought the property, was originally in the easement to the very far right of that picture, and the drain, we got a building permit to have an attached garage added to the right side of the house, and when they went to dig for the footing, they found that the drain was there instead of over where the easement is.

3557 Mr. Blankinship - A 60 by 38 inch pipe? In your yard?

Mr. Landis - It's right under my driveway.

Mr. Blankinship - Everybody makes mistakes.

Mr. Landis - So at this point that's about the only spot we can build, where we're wanting to build.

I don't understand the statement that says you've asked the

Planning Office to approve a detached garage while the relocation of the easement is pending. What happens when that's corrected.

Mr. Blankinship - Then it will no longer be in the easement. The easement will run between the house and the garage, where the pipe was actually put by the contractor.

It still will have a detached garage.

Mr. Blankinship - Yes, that's correct.

Whether the easement's pending or not, has no bearing on what they're trying to do, does it?

Mr. Blankinship - Right, we just wanted to clarify for you that the easement itself is not an issue. The easement itself if not a cause for concern.

 Mr. Balfour - Any questions of Mr. Landis? Apparently there are none. Thank you.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **A-92-2002** for a variance to build a detached garage at 1805 Cloister Court (Wynwood) (Parcel 737-749-4819. The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

3600 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
3601 Negative: 0
3602 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Minutes of the January 24, 2002, Board of Zoning Appeals meeting were distributed for consideration.

3613 3614 3615 3616	There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until May 23, 2002, at 9:00 am.		
3617	Daniel	T. Balfour,	
3618	Chairm	nan	
3619			
3620	Benjar	nin Blankinship, AICP	
3621	Secret	ary	
3622			