MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF HENRICO COUNTY, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE GOVERNMENT CENTER AT PARHAM AND HUNGARY SPRING ROADS, ON THURSDAY APRIL 22, 2021 AT 9:00 A.M., NOTICE HAVING BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE RICHMOND TIMES-DISPATCH APRIL 5, 2021 AND APRIL 12, 2021.

Members Present: Terone B. Green, Chair

Walter L. Johnson, Jr., Vice-Chair

Gentry Bell Terrell A. Pollard James W. Reid

Also Present:

Benjamin Blankinship, Secretary Paul M. Gidley, County Planner R. Miguel Madrigal, County Planner Rosemary Deemer County Planner

Rosemary Deemer County Planr Kristin Smith, County Planner Kuronda Powell, Account Clerk

Mr. Green - Welcome to the April meeting of the Henrico County Board of Zoning Appeals. For those who are able, please join and stand in the Pledge of Allegiance.

[Recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance]

Mr. Blankinship will now read our rules.

Mr. Blankinship - Good morning, Mr. Chair, members of the Board, and those of you who are in the room with us today. There are also two remote options for participating in this meeting. There is a livestream on the Planning Department web page and we are hosting a video conference using Webex.

I'd like to welcome everyone who's joining us remotely. If you wish to observe the meeting, but you do not intend to speak welcome, and thank you for joining us. For those of you on Webex, if you wish to speak you need to know that -- we need to know that in advance so we can connect you at the appropriate time.

So if you're an applicant, or if you have questions or comments on one of the cases, please press the chat button now. It's located on the bottom-right corner of the screen. And when the chat window opens, please select Kristin Smith from the list of participants and let her know your name and which case you're interested in. The chat feature is only being used to identify speakers, so please do not type questions or comments into a chat, but please send a chat to Kristin Smith now so we can organize the queue.



4	7
4	8

Now acting as secretary I will call each case and then we will ask everyone who is in the room who wishes to speak to that case to stand and be sworn in. Then a member of the Planning Department staff will give a brief presentation and then the applicant will speak at the podium in the back of the room. Then anyone else who wishes to speak will be given the opportunity. We'll take people in the room first, and then people on Webex. After everyone has had a chance to speak, the applicant and only the applicant will have an opportunity for rebuttal.

This meeting is being recorded, so we'll ask everyone in the room when you speak, please speak into the microphone in the lectern at the back of the room. We'll ask everyone, please state your name and please spell your last name, so we get it correctly in the record.

We do not have any members absent, and we do not have any deferrals or withdrawals, so with that, Mr. Chair, would you like me to call the first case?

64 Mr. Green - Yes, sir.

Mr. Blankinship - All right. We have three conditional use permits on this morning's agenda. Conditional use permit 2021, number 7 Frederic Farrar.

CUP2021-00007 FREDERIC FARRAR requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-95(i)(4) of the County Code to build a detached garage in the side yard at 11407 Brendonridge Lane (TUCKAHOE VILLAGE) (Parcel 737-746-6117) zoned One-Family Residence District (R-2A) (Tuckahoe).

Mr. Blankinship - Would everyone who intends to speak to this case please stand and be sworn in. Raise your right hands, please. Do you swear the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God?

Mr. Farrar - I do.

Mr. Blankinship - All right-. Thank you, Mr. Farrar. You may be seated. And, Mr. Madrigal, you can present your report.

Mr. Madrigal - Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Mr. Chair, members of the Board, good morning. Before you is a request to build a detached garage in the street side-yard of a one-family dwelling.

The subject property is a reverse-corner lot located on the eastern side of Brendonridge Court, which is a shallow cul-de-sac. The parcel is approximately 17,000 square feet in area, and is improved with an 1,800-square-foot tri-level home constructed in 1970. Other improvements include an attached 300-square-foot sunroom, a 200-square-foot shed, and a 4 feet wooden fence outlining the rear yard.

and a 4-foot wooden fence outlining the rear yard.

The lot fronts on Brendonridge Lane, and rears on the side of 11409 Brendonridge Court, which is property to the rear. The home has an existing street-side setback of 37 feet and a rear-yard setback of 61 feet.

The applicant would like to construct a one-story, 672-square-foot two-car garage in the rear yard. Approximately 13 to 15 feet from the street-side property line. Because the property is a reverse-corner lot, the zoning ordinance requires a 65-foot street-side setback to the proposed detached garage. The applicant is requesting a CUP to place the garage in a street side-yard as allowed by code. The property is zoned R-2A and is designated Suburban Residential 2 on the 2026 Future Land Use Map. A one-family dwelling is consistent with both designations.

Accessory structures are permitted by right in the rear yard and can be approved by CUP when located in the side yard. Although the proposed garage would be in the rear yard, it does not meet the 65-foot street-side setback requirement for a reverse-corner lot.

The applicant is proposing to align the new garage with the existing house. Because the lot follows the curve of the cul-de-sac, the street-side setback to the garage is reduced from 37 feet on the north end of the structure to approximately 13 feet at the south end of the building.

The proposed layout conflicts with the intent to the code, creating a visual impact, and potentially causing vehicles to overhand into the right-of-way part there. This would negatively impact the neighbors and the streetscape. It would also directly affect the neighbor to the rear.

To mitigate these detrimental impacts, staff recommends the applicant locate the proposed garage further back from the right-of-way. This would allow for a deeper driveway in front of the garage doors to accommodate a parked vehicle without overhanging it into the public right-of-way.

Recessing the garage further into the lot would also alleviate the visual impacts on the rear neighbor and streetscape. Since accessory buildings must be at least six feet apart, it may be necessary to either remove the existing shed or combine it with the new building.

In conclusion, the applicant wishes to build a detached garage in the street side-yard. Because the property is a reverse-corner lot it is subject to an increased street-side setback that is aggravated by the curve of the cul-de-sac. To avoid the negative impact of the visual intrusion on the streetscape and adjacent neighbor and to avoid vehicles overhanging into the public right-of-way, the applicant should recess the garage further onto the lot.

Staff has developed specific conditions of approval to mitigate any detrimental impacts. As long as the applicant adheres to the suggested conditions, staff recommends approval of this request.

139					
140	We have not received any	letters or co	rrespondence	on this request.	This essentially
141	concludes my presentation.	I'll be happ	y to answer any	y questions you r	nay have.
142					

- 143 Mr. Green Thank you. Are there any questions from the Board for the staff?
- Mr. Reid Miguel, let me ask you one question just out of curiosity. In item number 5 on the conditions of approval says there must be no windows or doors on a southern elevation.
- Mr. Madrigal Yes, sir. Basically, here's the southern elevation of the garage. So any windows or doors would potentially impact the neighbor to the -- to the south. Whether it be light glare or just, you know, noise, activity, movement. So that's the reason for the condition.
- 156

 Mr. Green Any other questions from the Board for the staff? We'll now

Yeah. Thank you.

- Mr. Green Any other questions from the Board for the staff? We'll now hear from the applicant.
- Mr. Farrar Morning.
 Mr. Green He's been sworn in.
- Mr. Farrar My name is Fredric Farrar. F-a-r-r-a-r. And I made this request to the Board to build this garage. My questions really are -- and this -- already talked with the other gentleman. I wanted to keep it in line with the current home just, to me, for the aesthetic look of it and my measurements from the southern corner of the garage to the current curb cut is 27 feet, 6 inches.
 - In the front of my house, the pin marking to the street is 6 foot. My understanding is that is an easement owned by the county that they maintain for future endeavors. If that is a consistent line around the house, it would still give me 21 feet, 6 inches from the street, or from the lot line to the corner of the house. Which is more than ample room to park a vehicle. Obviously with a garage, my objective is to park the vehicles inside.
- I have a letter from my neighbor who owns the property at 11409, Brendonridge Court stating she has no objections to the proposed garage that I submitted initially. She claims that it would not affect her at all. I have a copy of those for anyone who would like to see it. Sir, I ask that you reconsider and allow me to build it the way I originally planned it. If I have to change it and set it back, I probably won't do it, because it, in my calculation, is going to add about \$22,000 in cost for additional pavement and elevation of the back of the garage. Thank you.

145

149

154

155

163

169

170

171

172

173

174 175

183

Mr. Reid -

C ₁₈₄	Mr. Green - recommendation.	So it's my understanding that you are rejecting staff's
186	1000mmondation.	
187	Mr. Blankinship -	On condition number 3.
188 189	Mr. Farrar -	On condition three of starting the front of the garage where my
190 191		feet, 6 inches back from the line of which I originally requested.
192	Mr. Green -	And staff, what are your thoughts on this?
193 194 195 196 197 198	the public right of way for	Well the requirement, well the condition that we ally two-fold. One would be to address the overhang issue into a vehicle that's parked there. And then the other aspect would ot only on the streetscape, but the impact on the adjacent
199	Ma. Con su	
200	Mr. Green -	So you stand by your recommendation.
201 202 203	Mr. Madrigal -	Yes, sir.
204 205	Mr. Green -	Are any other members with questions of the applicant?
206 207 208	Mr. Reid - approval except item num	Mr. Farrar, you're in agreement with all the conditions of ber 3?
209 210 211 212	•	Yes, sir. Yes, sir. I was, kinda like you, I wasn't sure about e south side, but I have no problem with that. All of the materials se of the garage would match identically the house that's
213 214 215	Mr. Green - the entrance there?	Again, how many feet you said the county had that was on
216 217	Mr. Farrar -	How many feet back?
218 219	Mr. Green -	Right.
220 221 222 223	Mr. Farrar - house line is 14 feet, 6 inc	From what I measured it to the fence from the front of the ches.
224 225 226	Mr. Green - something?	You mentioned about the county having, what, six feet or
220 227 228	Mr. Madrigal -	From the curb to the property line I'm not sure what that

system to approximate the location of the garage and the, you know, using that system I

230 231 232	came back with about 13 tine. That dimension was i	to 15 feet at the southern end of the structure to the property not provided on this plat.
232 233 234	Mr. Green -	Okay.
235 236 237	Mr. Madrigal - dealing with.	We had to kind of figure out, you know, what distances we're
238 239 240 241 242	telling me that the county	So, again, by my calculations from that farthest southern sure to the curb today is 27 feet, six. So if it's 15 feet, that's owns 12 feet of that, whereas all the rest of the property the sure the exact number there.
243 244 245	Mr. Blankinship - of a cul-de-sac.	Well the right-of-way usually is a little wider around the bulb
246 247	Mr. Farrar -	Okay. But that would be a little over twice the size as the front.
248 249 250	Mr. Green - condition three?	So you are not in support of the county's recommendation for
251 252 253 254 255	And at the rear of the gara yard does have a slight do	No, sir. Again, it would mean that I have to demolish the Again, the additional cost of the asphalt or concrete driveway. age, I would have to elevate it. Because it's the garage — the own slope. I would have to elevate the back of it. And, like I ween 14 and \$22,000 in additional cost to do that.
256257258	Mr. Green -	Okay.
259 260	Mr. Johnson - demolish if he had to move	I have a question. He'd mentioned the shed that he had to e it. Is that the one in the back there?
261262263264265266		It's that shed right there. If I go back to the fence, just, like I 6 inches and go from that point, 24 feet, the back-corner of the I/2 feet into the current shed and it basically takes up my entire
267 268	Mr. Green - applicant? Does anyone e	Are there any other questions from the Board for the else wish to speak in support of this request?
269270271	Mr. Blankinship -	There is no one on Webex for this application.
272 273 274	Mr. Green - Public hearing is now close	Does anyone wish to speak in opposition of this request? ed and a motion yes, sir.

275 M 276 so

Mr. Johnson - I was just noticing we had some opposition on paper that someone sent.

Mr. Madrigal - I don't believe it's for this case. I believe that's for, more than likely, variance number 7.

Mr. Blankinship - We have a conditional use permit and a variance, both number 7 and both number 8 this morning. So.

Mr. Green - Okay. The public hearing is now closed and a motion would be in order. What is the pleasure of the Board?

Mr. Reid - Yes. Since Mr. Farrar does not agree with condition number 3 in the conditions of approval, I move that we deny the conditional use permit. Because of the way the lot line follows the curve of the street, there is not much extra room on that side of the house. There's already a storage building in the rear yard, and there's not enough room to add a garage. If approved, the garage would have a detrimental impact on the neighbors, so I think we should deny it.

Mr. Green - Do I hear a second?

Mr. Pollard - I second.

• `98

Mr. Green - The motion was seconded by Mr. Pollard. Is there any discussion? All in favor to the motion say aye. All opposed say nay.

On a motion by Mr. Reid, seconded by Mr. Pollard, the Board **denied** application **CUP2021-00007 FREDERIC FARRAR's** request for a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-95(i)(4) of the County Code to build a detached garage in the side yard at 11407 Brendonridge Lane (TUCKAHOE VILLAGE) (Parcel 737-746-6117) zoned One-Family Residence District (R-2A) (Tuckahoe).

Affirmative: Bell, Green, Johnson, Pollard, Reid 5
Negative: 0
Absent: 0

Mr. Blankinship - All right. The next case is conditional use permit 2021, number 8 Gillies Creek Industrial Recycling, LLC.

CUP2021-00008 GILLIES CREEK INDUSTRIAL RECYCLING, LLC requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Sections 24-89(c) and 24-103 of the County Code to continue development of a wetlands mitigation bank at Cables Farm Road (Parcel 860-709-5622) zoned Conservation District (C-1) (Varina).



Mr. Blankinship - I don't believe there is anyone in the room to speak to this case. The applicant and representative are both with us on Webex.

Mr. Green - Okay.

326 Mr. Blankinship - So, Mr. Gidley, if you'd like to begin.

Mr. Gidley - Good morning Mr. Chair, members of the Board. The subject property is actually a wetlands mitigation bank and it is located on the western side of the Chickahominy River opposite from New Kent County. It was previously of sand and gravel on borrow pit in the 1970s, but has existed as a wetlands mitigation bank since 2006. This request is to renew their existing conditional use permit.

There are a total of four mines, as they call the wetlands banks. Numbers 1, 2, 3, and 4, as you see here. Mine 1, mine 2, and mine 3 are complete at this time. This request would allow them to begin work on mine number 4, over here, which is the northwesternmost one.

As in previous years, access to and from the site is via U.S. Route 60 in New Kent County by way of a bridge over the Chickahominy River. And you can make this out briefly here. This is Route 60 in New Kent, and the access comes in here over the river and then once you're inside the work area there are internal roads.

In evaluating this request, the property is zoned C-1, Conservation District, and it is designated as Environmental Protection Area on the Land Use Plan. The wetlands mitigation bank is consistent with these designations and the site would be preserved as wetlands once complete.

As far as any substantial detrimental impact, there would be no substantial detrimental impact on property in Henrico County.

As noted, access to the site is via U.S. 60 in New Kent County. And during the initial use permit approval in 2006, New Kent County had numerous objections regarding traffic from the mine. These issues were worked out between VDOT, New Kent, Henrico and the operator. And, since this time, staff is unaware of any complaints on this issue.

In conclusion, work began on the wetlands mitigation bank in 2006, and has been ongoing since then. The proposed use is consistent with the zoning ordinance and the Comprehensive Plan. Staff does not anticipate any substantial detrimental impacts from this issue. As a result, we recommend approval of this request subject to the conditions in your staff report. If you have any questions, I will be happy to answer those. Thank you.

Mr. Green - Thank you. Are there any questions from the Board for staff?

Mr. Bell -Do we even know the schedule of the -- how they're coming 366 along with this? By schedule I mean from where they are now to where they expect to be later on, and as close to finishing. And they actually have to start filling in this place. 368 369 Mr. Gidlev -370

Okay. As I noted, mines 1, 2, and 3 have been completed. This would allow them to begin work on mine 4, which is the last one. I'll let the engineer anticipate or give you an idea of when they anticipate being done. I suspect a lot of it has to do with, you know, the material and the weather and things like that. But this is the last mine that they have out there. And, again, the engineer can probably give you a more

specific date.

375 376 377

378

379

371

372

373

374

Thank you. With this being the last one, and they didn't have Mr. Bell any problem with the other three as well. What's the difference with the wetland mitigation bank? I mean, what's the difference in the mining here and the mitigation banks that they

380

381 382

383

384

385

I would say that, if I understand you correctly, initially there Mr. Gidley was a borrow pit here, where they would come in to remove sand and gravel and, you know, they truck that out and they sell it to people building roads, for instance, for construction sites, you know, foundations for buildings. They do have to mitigate that. Typically they level the site and plant vegetation on top of it.

386 387 388

~.89

390

391

Now with the wetland mitigation bank, the way that works, is there are times during development where they have a road or access to a property that you have to impact wetlands that are protected. And one way you compensate for that, so to speak, is you say, I have a project in Hopewell and I'm going to impact wetlands, but I can go to this mitigation bank in this watershed and go ahead and buy credits here to make up for that.

392 393 394

So net net you're not really loosing wetlands, as I understand it, it's just a matter of, you know, where they are located at. But they're still within the same river basin.

395 396 397

398

Obviously when you build a wetland mitigation bank, they adjust the elevation to allow water to remain there and then go ahead and put plants there that are wetland plants rather than just, say, you know, pines and oaks that you would have after a borrow pit.

399 400 401

Mr. Bell -Yes.

402

403 Mr. Gidley -So that's how that works.

404 405

Okay. Thank you. That answered my question. Mr. Bell -

406

Thank you. 407 Mr. Gidley -

408 409

410

Mr. Green -Are there any more questions from the Board for staff? We'll now hear from the applicant.



Mr. Chairman, we are now going to unmute Mr. Chris Liesfeld. Ms. Deemer -412 He is now unmuted. 413 414 Mr. Liesfeld -Good morning, Board. Thank you for your time. My name is 415 Chris Liesfeld. It's L-i-e-s-f-e-I-d. And I'm with Gillies Creek Recycling and I'm here with 416 Randy Hooker. 417 418 Good morning. Can we have a description of how the project Mr. Blankinship -419 is progressing? And perhaps you can answer Mr. Johnson's question -- I'm sorry. It was 420 Mr. Bell's question about how much longer you expect this process to continue. 421 422 Sure. Absolutely. As it's been stated already, the first three 423 Mr. Liesfeld mines have been filled and completed and are now wetland banks. So we have mine 424 four left to go. We have not started in the actual clearing and preparing the site so that 425 we can remove some of the sand material that's there and then so we can bring in 426 materials to start building the bank. 427 428 The timing of that is somewhat depending on jobs in the area as well as -- it's a bit of a 429 timing issue as far as our own resources. So with the availability of equipment and 430 manpower. 431 432 To give you some, you know, range of time, it would be something that we would start, 433 possibly, in the next six months to a year from this time right now. 434 435 Mr. Johnson -Thank you. 436 437 Are there any questions from the Board to the applicant? Mr. Green -438 439 So they don't have a possible ending time? Mr. Johnson -440 441 Mr. Liesfeld -Well once the project starts -- so if we start sometime, like I 442 said, in the next six months to a year, the filling of that operation to create the bank, you 443 know, it can take a year to two years. It really depends on the type of work that is 444 happening in the area where we source the dirt for the filling portion of the project. You 445 know, some of that is out of my control. It's really depending on what the economy is 446 doing and how developments are occurring in the area. So, typically over several years. 447 you know, historically I would say we could estimate about a two-year window of having 448 it completed. Possibly three. 449 450 Okay. Are there any other questions for the applicant? Does Mr. Green -451 anyone else wish to speak in support of this request? 452 453 We have no one on Webex. Ms Deemer -454 455 Does anyone wish to speak in opposition of this request? Mr. Green -456 Public hearing is now closed, and a motion is --457

458 One more question. Also, with the other three projects that .59 Mr. Johnson they have already finished, I noticed that there are roads that come in from the Henrico 460 side. They are not going to use those roads, are they? Just the one from New Kent? 461 462 Yes, sir. Access to the site is restricted to the route from U.S. Mr. Gidlev -463 Route 60. The only exception would be if there was an emergency, you know, situation. 464 If you had to get an ambulance, perhaps, in there and it worked out it was coming from 465 the west side. 466 467 Mr. Johnson -Okay. Because I noticed from that side the roadway is really 468 treacherous trying to, you know, trying to get in there. And plus you got a couple of 469 houses on that Route 60 side, too, that -- I just wanted -- only in an emergency would 470 they have to do that. Okay. 471 472 Yes. Condition number 7, Mr. Johnson, provides that all Mr. Blankinship -473 means of access to the property must be from the established entrance on the U.S. Route 474 60 in New Kent County. 475 476 Mr. Johnson -Okay. 477 478 Okay. Are there any additional questions from the Board for 479 Mr. Green the applicant? Once again, the hearing is now closed and a motion would be in order. 480 What is the pleasure of the Board? **`**81 482 Mr. Johnson -Mr. Chairman, I move that we approve the conditional use 483 permit subject to conditions recommended by staff. And this property has been under 484 development for 10 years and there have been no complaints. Preparation for the 485 wetlands is good for the county and is good for the environment. Completing the project 486 will not be detrimental to the neighborhood. So I think we should approve it. 487 488 Mr. Green -Is there a second? 489 490 Mr. Bell -Second. 491 492

Mr. Green - There's a motion by Mr. Johnson and a second by Mr. Bell. Is there any discussion? Hearing no discussion, all in favor say aye. All opposed say nay.

On a motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Mr. Bell, the Board approved application CUP2021-00008 GILLIES CREEK INDUSTRIAL RECYCLING, LLC's request for a conditional use permit pursuant to Sections 24-89(c) and 24-103 of the County Code to continue development of a wetlands mitigation bank at Cables Farm Road (Parcel 860-709-5622) zoned Conservation District (C-1) (Varina). The Board approved the request subject to the following conditions:

502

493

494 495

496

497

498

499

500

- 1. This use permit is subject to all requirements of Section 24-103 of the County Code.
 The operation must be conducted in accordance with the plans and narrative approved with the use permit, except as noted below.
 - 2. The applicant must maintain a financial guaranty in an amount of \$3,000 for each acre of land to be disturbed, for a total of \$204,000, guaranteeing that the land will be restored to a safe, stable, and usable condition, consistent with its elevation before excavation.
- 3. Throughout the life of the operation, the applicant must continuously satisfy the Department of Public Works that erosion and sedimentation control is performed and maintained in accordance with the approved plan. The erosion control bond must remain active throughout the life of the project.
- 4. The applicant must maintain all necessary permits from the United States Army Corps of Engineers and the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality.
- 5. The applicant must comply with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and all state and local regulations administered under such act applicable to the property, and must furnish to the Planning Department copies of all reports required by such act or regulations.
- 6. Operations audible at the property line must not be conducted before 6:00 am or after 6:00 pm when Daylight Saving Time is in effect, or before 7:00 am or after 5:00 pm when Eastern Standard Time is in effect. Operations audible at the property line must not be conducted at the site on Saturdays, Sundays, or national holidays.
 - 7. All means of access to the property must be from the established entrance onto U.S. Route 60 in New Kent County. This condition will be enforced in cooperation with the Virginia Department of Transportation and New Kent County.
 - 8. The applicant must maintain all necessary approvals for the access road from the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) and New Kent County. These include, but are not limited to, site plan approval of the road, including stormwater management and erosion and sediment control, County land disturbance permit and VDOT Land Use Permit.
- 539 9. The applicant must maintain the 30-foot wide entrance, right turn lane with 200 feet of 540 taper and 100 feet of storage, and left turn lane with 200 feet of taper and 200 feet of 541 storage, all to VDOT specifications.
 - 10. The applicant must maintain gates at all entrances to the property. These gates must be locked at all times except when authorized representatives of the applicant are on the property. This condition will be enforced in cooperation with VDOT and New Kent County.
- 546
 547
 11. The applicant must maintain the sign at the entrance to the site stating the use permit number, the name of the operator, and a telephone number to be used in energencies.

507

508

509 510

515

518

523

528

529

530

531532

533

534

535

536

537538

542

543

544

- - 12. The applicant must maintain "No Trespassing" signs every 250 feet along the perimeter of the property. The letters must be 3 inches in height. The applicant must furnish the Chief of Police a letter authorizing the Virginia State Police, the New Kent County Sheriff's Office, and the Henrico County Division of Police to enforce the "No Trespassing" regulations, and agreeing to send a representative to testify in court as requested.

13. The applicant must maintain standard "Truck Crossing" signs (MUTCD W8-6) on U.S. Route 60 on each side of the entrances to the property, at locations approved by VDOT. This condition will be enforced in cooperation with VDOT and New Kent County.

14. The applicant must maintain the entrance road, which must be paved for a distance of 300 feet from its intersection with U.S. Route 60 and a width of 24 feet. All roads used in connection with this use permit must be effectively treated with calcium chloride or other wetting agents to eliminate any dust nuisance. Wash racks must be installed as necessary to prevent the tracking of mud onto any public street. This condition will be enforced in cooperation with VDOT and New Kent County.

15. Trucks must not leave the site in groups of three or more.

16. For nine months of each year, the average number of trucks entering and leaving the site must not exceed 28 per day. For three months of each year, the average number of trucks entering and leaving the site must not exceed 56 per day. This condition will be enforced in cooperation with VDOT and New Kent County. The applicant must maintain records on site documenting all trucks entering or leaving the site. Such records must be available to staff of Henrico County, New Kent County, and VDOT during normal hours of operation. At the request of the New Kent County Zoning Administrator, the Henrico County Director of Planning may approve increases in the volume of trucks allowed by this condition. All requests for exceptions must contain the reason, duration, and magnitude of the exception requested.

17. To limit the total number of truck trips into and out of the site, each truck hauling material away from the site must also be used to haul a full load of material to the site.

18. Trucks must be loaded in a way to prevent overloading or spilling of materials of any kind onto any public road. This condition will be enforced in cooperation with VDOT and New Kent County.

19. The applicant must maintain the property, fences, roads, and bridge in a safe and secure condition until the property is converted to some other safe use.

20. If, in the course of its operations, the applicant discovers evidence of cultural or historical resources, or an endangered species, or a significant habitat, it must notify appropriate authorities and provide them with an opportunity to investigate the site. The applicant must report the results of any such investigation to the Planning Department.

- 21. If evidence shows that the fill operation authorized by this conditional use permit has an adverse impact on a water well, the owner of the well may request a hearing before the Board. If the Board finds, after reviewing the evidence at a public hearing, that the well was adversely affected by the fill operation, the applicant must immediately cease operations until the problem has been corrected to the satisfaction of the Board.
- 22. Offsite-generated materials must not be deposited on the mining site without prior written approval of the Director of Planning. To obtain such approval, the operator must submit a written request stating the origin, nature and quantity of material to be deposited. The material to be deposited on the site must be limited to imperishable materials such as stone, bricks, tile, sand, gravel, soil, concrete and like materials, and must not include any hazardous materials as defined by the Virginia Hazardous Waste Management Regulations.
- 23. A superintendent, who is personally familiar with all the terms and conditions of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code, as well as the terms and conditions of this use permit, must be present at the beginning and conclusion of operations each work day to see that all the conditions of the Code and this use permit are observed.
 - 24. A progress report must be submitted to the Board, with a copy to the New Kent County Zoning Administrator, on or about April 30, 2022. This progress report must contain information concerning how much rehabilitation has been performed, when and how the remaining amount of land will be rehabilitated, and any other pertinent information about the operation that would be helpful to the Board.
 - 25. Operations must be discontinued by April 30, 2023, and restoration accomplished by not later than April 30, 2024 unless a new permit is granted by the Board of Zoning Appeals. Restoration will not be considered completed until the disturbed area is approved as a wetlands mitigation bank by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency and U. S. Army Corps of Engineers Mitigation Bank Review Team.
- 26. All trash, abandoned vehicles and the office trailer must be removed from the site prior to the release of the financial guarantee.
- 27. Failure to comply with any of the foregoing conditions will automatically void this permit.

634	Affirmative:	Bell, Green, Johnson, Pollard, Reid	5
635	Negative:		0
636	Absent:		0

Mr. Blankinship - All right, Mr. Chair, our last conditional use permit --

Mr. Green -Just a point of clarification.

643

Mr. Blankinship -Sorry.

644 645

646

647

648

Mr. Green -For my Board members. Twice I've closed the public hearing and then questions have come in afterwards. I would ask that, you know, for continuity and to keep the confusion down, that if you have questions, ask your questions before I close the public hearing. Because under Robert's Rules of Order once you close a public hearing, it's closed. But then I'm getting questions back.

649 650 651

652

So please just let me know that, you know, you have additional questions and I will not close the public hearing. I just want to be consistent and fair and follow Robert's Rules of Order. Thank you. Mr. Blankinship.

653 654 655

Mr. Blankinship -Yes, Mr. Chair. Our last conditional use permit for this morning is conditional use permit 2021, number 9 Discovery United Methodist Church.

656 657 658

659

660

CUP2021-00009 **DISCOVERY UNITED METHODIST CHURCH** requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(d)(1) of the County Code to hold a temporary farmers market at 13000 Gayton Road (Parcel 732-754-3534) zoned Residential Townhouse District (RTH) (Tuckahoe).

661 662 663

64

665

Mr. Blankinship -We have three people in the room and at least two on Webex to speak to this. If you all would stand and be sworn in. Raise your right hands, please. And do you swear the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God? Thank you. All right. Mr. Madrigal, you can begin.

666 667 668

669

670

Mr. Madrigal -Thank you Mr. Secretary. Mr. Chair, members of the Board, before you is a request to allow a temporary use in an existing place of worship. The subject property was acquired by the Methodist church in 1983, and in 1987 they built the church. They've operated at this location for the last 33 years.

671 672 673

674

675

In 2009 the Board of Supervisors approved a provisional use permit to allow the West End Farmer's Market at the Gayton Centre Shopping Center, which is less than half a mile due south of the church location. The farmer's market is looking for a new location, and the church has offered the use of their parking lot when it is not in use.

676 677 678

This request is to allow the operation of a farmer's market every Saturday from 9:00 am to noon starting in April of this year through March 2023. The proposed plans show the market on the west side of the church, adjacent to Lauderdale Drive.

680 681 682

683

679

The market will occupy approximately 38,400 square feet of the church's parking lot, containing approximately 114 parking spaces. The plan calls for each vendor to occupy two spaces, two parking spaces, equating to 57 vendors in this area.

The church has a total of 342 on-site parking spaces. On market days these will be reduced to 228 parking spaces which will be available for customers to use.

The farmer's market vending rules require that at least 75 percent of the vendors sell fresh produce, herbs, baked goods, meats, and other food products, while up to 25 percent will sell original works of art, jewelry, and other handmade merchandise. Only producers will sell at the market, and all goods must be produced in Virginia with exception to seafood products, which may originate from Maryland or North Carolina.

All food products will also have to be approved by the Virginia Department of Agriculture, or the Department of Health, as applicable.

The subject property is zoned RTH and is designated semi-public on the 2026 Future Land Use map. The church is consistent with both of these designations. A farmer's market is not necessarily consistent. Under the current interpretation of the zoning ordinance, a farmer's market requires a provisional use permit in the B-3 district. That interpretation is based on the outdoor nature of the use.

In the B-1 and B-2 districts, the use may be conducted entirely with an enclosed building, and most outdoor uses in a B-3 district require a provisional use permit. Over the past few years, however, farmer's markets have grown in popularity and many people consider them to be compatible with less intensive uses.

The proposed code update will make it easier for the county to approve this type of use on a temporary basis without the need for a CUP if adopted as drafted. While the farmer's market may not be consistent with some residential settings, the size and configuration of the subject property does appear to be appropriate for the proposed use.

Similar requests from other churches have been discouraged because of the potential impacts on adjacent property. Traffic, noise, parking, litter, and similar impacts could be detrimental to adjacent property, particularly when the proposed site is on a neighborhood street with minimal buffering to homes. In this case, however, the property is served by an arterial road, so traffic impacts should be minimal.

The proposed market area at the church is approximately three times larger than at the Gayton Centre Shopping Center location.

Also, there are homes within 100 feet of the designated market area. If this area were reduced to 79 parking spaces adjacent the Lauderdale Drive entrance, it would contain approximately 27,000 square feet of area and allow for approximately 40 vendors, while providing 125-foot buffer between the market and the nearest home.

Staff recommends limiting the market area to a smaller footprint and has included several other conditions drawn from several previous approvals associated with the farmer's market at the Gayton Centre Shopping Center.

C732

If the market follows the recommended conditions, there should be no substantial detrimental impact on nearby property.

In conclusion, the subject property has been used by the church for 33 years. For the past 12 years, the farmer's market has operated at the Gayton Centre Shopping Center approximately half a mile south of the church site.

While the introduction of commercial activity into a neighborhood can have a detrimental impact, the farmer's market is known to be well-organized and professionally managed. The proposed location is large and paved, providing safe access to an arterial road. The use would also be limited in size and operation. It would only occur on Saturday mornings for approximately three hours.

Based on these circumstances, staff recommends approval subject to conditions. And this concludes my presentation. I'll be happy to answer any questions.

Mr. Green - Are there any questions from the Board for staff?

ືາ55

Mr. Bell - This is a couple and this is to either one. I'm just curious. We've got group -- and sound and lighting and all of that as to one sentence. Are they aware of what we mean by sound? I mean, are they going to have record players or music that's going to be playing out from where they're located? It's not a, you know, at the shopping mall that they're located is the lighting going to be effective enough at nighttime when they close down? They're aware they got to make certain that they follow our recommendations.

Mr. Madrigal - Right. Well they've been operating at the Gayton Centre Shopping Center for several years now. So at this location it's only a daytime operation, so it's only going to be a morning use for three hours. So there really no -- is no requirement for exterior lighting other than what's existing at the church now, and that's not going to be affected.

As far as, you know, sound amplification, music, and all that stuff, I don't think they have that now at the Gayton Centre Shopping Center. Ben, are you aware of anything?

Mr. Blankinship - No. That's correct. It's prohibited under their current conditions.

770 Mr. Madrigal - Yeah. So they wouldn't have it here as well.

Mr. Bell - All right. Thank you.

 Mr. Green - Living not too far from that -- well, I'm in the Three Chopt District. but I'm very familiar with that area. That church is very large and, to be quite honest with you, I think it would be an excellent site for a farmer's market. I would prefer that site to some other sites. Because you really have to go south, like you say. But this

778 779 780		the restrictions as it relates to distance would be adhered to.
781 782 783 784		ner things on that site. I think with the Boy Scouts and others. mental impact as I've passed that. But I think that's a perfect ruld use it.
785 786	Are there any other questi	ons from Board of staff?
787 788 789 790		I would say with their success at their previous location and under such a stringent sets of rules and regulations which I orough. I think it's just a good move. Excellent location.
791 792 793	Mr. Green - the Board to staff? Hearing	Any other comments from Board to staff? Or questions from g none we will now hear from the applicant.
794 795 796 797 798 799	for the West End Farme	Good morning, gentlemen. My name is Jennifer Sullivanv-a-n. And I have the pleasure of being the market manager r's Market. Joining us by Webex today we also have the er from Discover United Methodist Church and Mark Clements, ers of the farmer's market.
800 801		e sincerely appreciate the staff's recommendation to approve. with all the conditions of approval as well.
802 803 804	Mr. Green -	And are there any questions from the Board to the applicant?
805 806	Mr. Bell -	Are the vendors only from the surrounding areas?
807 808 809 810	Ms. Sullivan - and selling products that the which is from Maryland or	Yes, sir. We require that all of our vendors are from Virginia they have made in Virginia with the exception of the seafood, North Carolina.
811 812 813	Mr. Green - necessary.	We will now hear from the other applicant who's on Webex if
814 815	Ms. Deemer -	Mr. Chairman, would you like to hear from Anthony Clemens?
816 817	Mr. Green -	If he wishes to say something. Yes.
818 819	Ms. Deemer -	He is now unmuted.
820 821 822		Good morning, Mr. Chair, good morning, Board, how are you? unity, again, to hear the requests that we have. I think there's upport that we've heard already. The market has operated for

numerous years and I hope it's enough to think it's doing some, you know, some really

good and unique and special things with the community. I thank, also, Jennifer, our Market Manager for being there in person and speaking to the market's growth and opportunities as we move forward.

I just look to potentially continue to grow the community involvement with the market and I'll thank all of those of whom are in support. And if there's any questions or concerns, please don't hesitate at all to reach out.

Mr. Green - Thank you. Does anyone else wish to speak in support of this request? Does anyone wish to speak in opposition of this request? Hearing none the public hearing is now closed and a motion will be in order. What is the pleasure of the Board?

Mr. Reid - As the Tuckahoe representative on the Board, I move that we approve the conditional use permit subject to the conditions recommended by the staff. This farmer's market is a popular activity. It'll only be active on Saturday mornings. The proposed plan is far enough away from the neighbors that it should not be detrimental. Lauderdale is an arterial road and traffic should not be a problem. As long as the applicant follows the recommended conditions, I do not think the farmer's market will be detrimental to the neighbors. So I think we should approve it.

Mr. Green - Do I hear a second?

Mr. Bell - Second.

C₈₄₈

Mr. Green - The motion was made by Mr. Reid and it was seconded by Mr. Bell. Is there discussion? The only thing I would say is I, once again, would be supportive. I don't live that far from it. And the only thing I was regretting that you'd be closing early because by the time I've gotten to the other farmer's market it is wrapping up.

But I think it's an excellent location. I don't see any problems with it. Because I travel -- will travel Lauderdale and, like I say, that church sits on a well -- large lot and can do a lot of things. And I think it would be great for the community. And especially as we are moving out of this whole COVID process it would give folks an opportunity to be outside and doing some more positive things and buy fresh products and support local vendors. So, I can't disagree with that.

So the motion was made and seconded. Are there any other discussions? All in favor of the motion say aye. All opposed say nay. Passed.

On a motion by Mr. Reid, seconded by Mr. Bell, the Board **approved** application **CUP2021-00009 DISCOVERY UNITED METHODIST CHURCH's** request for a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(d)(1) of the County Code to hold a temporary farmers market at 13000 Gayton Road (Parcel 732-754-3534) zoned

- Residential Townhouse District (RTH) (Tuckahoe). The Board approved the request subject to he following conditions:
- 1. This conditional use permit applies only to the operation of West End Farmers Market.
 All other applicable regulations of the County Code remain in force.
- 2. The farmers market will be limited to the 79 parking spaces between Lauderdale Drive and the church building (measuring approximately 220 feet by 120 feet and 125 feet from the southern property line).
- 3. No permanent buildings or structures of any kind will be erected on the property for the farmers market.
- 4. Hours of operation will be limited to Saturdays from 9:00 am to 12:00 noon. Tables, booths, temporary structures or storage containers must not be moved onto the property before 7:00 am and must be removed from the property no later than 1:00 pm on each Saturday.
- 5. The farmers market must operate according to the "West End Farmers Market Rules Regulations" submitted with the application. The applicant must designate a Market Manager who will be responsible for vendor selection, implementation of the Rules Regulations, and ensuring compliance with all local, state, and federal laws and regulations.
- 6. When the farmers market is in operation, the market area must be limited to pedestrian traffic, and all vehicular traffic must be directed around the north end of the church building to park in the parking lot on the Gayton Road side of the property.
 - 7. There must be no more than two signs clearly visible from any public street, each of which must not exceed 32 square feet in area or eight feet in height. Signs for individual vendors must face the interior of the farmers market and must not be clearly visible from any public street.
 - 8. No more than eight mobile food vendors are allowed at a time.
 - All trash and debris must be removed from the property by 1:00 pm each market day.
 - 10. The following will be prohibited on the property: generators (other than those supporting food trucks), portable toilets, outside live music performances, and amplified sound systems.
- 11. This permit will expire on April 21, 2023. Under the County Code there is no authority for the renewal or extension of this permit.

874

878

881

886

892

896

897

898

899

900 901

902 903

904 905

906

907

908 909



Mr. Blankinship - All right, Mr. Chair, that completes the conditional use permit portion of our agenda. There are two variances on this morning's agenda and the first is variance 2021, number 7 Anthony Mcinnis.

VAR2021-00007 ANTHONY MCINNIS requests a variance from Section 24-94 of the County Code to build a screened porch in place of an existing deck at 6052 Brentmoor Drive (BRENTMOOR @ WYNDHAM) (Parcel 740-778-0427) zoned One-Family Residence District (R-4C) (Three Chopt). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant proposes 29 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 35 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 6 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Blankinship - The applicant is on Webex. And, Mr. Madrigal, you can begin.

Mr. Madrigal - All right. Thank you, Mr. Secretary, Mr. Chair, members of the Board. Before you is a request to build a screen porch in place of an existing rear deck. The subject property is part of the Brentmoor at Wyndham subdivision recorded in 1995. It is a cul-de-sac lot that gently slopes toward the rear and is approximately 13,500 square feet in area.

C)38 6)39

The property is identified on the subdivision plat as having development limitations on the shape, size, and location of the dwelling. The lot is improved with a two-story, 3,000-square-foot home with an attached 2-car garage built in 1997. In January of this year the applicant submitted a building permit to replace his existing deck with a screen porch. His request was failed due to the setback requirements of the R-4 District.

Because of the shape of the lot, the existing house was placed further back onto the property, resulting in it having a 45-foot front-yard setback instead of 35 feet, which is the minimum for the R-4 District. This increased front-setback resulted in the house having a 35-foot rear-yard setback, which is again the minimum for the district.

An open deck is allowed to project 10 feet into the required rear-yard setback an enclosed porch is required to adhere to the same setbacks as the principal dwelling.

The applicant's proposal would encroach six feet into the required setback, contrary to code requirements. He is requesting a variance to have a 29-foot rear-yard setback instead of 35 feet.

With respect to the threshold question, the subject property is improved with an existing home served by an attached two-car garage and a rear-yard deck. As a result, the property has an existing beneficial use, and it does not appear that the code unreasonably restricts the use of the property.

Like all cul-de-sac lots, it has limitations on the shape, size, and location of the home that can be placed on it. It is subject to the same setback requirements as the other lots fronting on the cul-de-sac and is no more or less encumbered than its neighbors. Based on these facts, the threshold question has not been met and the Board has no authority to grant this request.

Furthermore, the applicant suggests that the variance was necessary to make a reasonable accommodation for a person with a disability, because his wife has a bee allergy and never goes outside from spring to fall. Under state law a disability may satisfy the threshold requirement for a variance if the variance would alleviate a hardship related to that disability.

By law, the burden of proof is on the applicant to demonstrate that the allergy is disabling and creates a hardship that would be relieved by the variance. Although the applicant has submitted a document addressing this issue, and that was provided to you, staff does not believe this burden has been met.

Even if we were to assume the existence of a disability and hardship, alternative accommodations to address any hardship have been identified by both staff and the applicant. This includes a modified screen porch, as you see here, meeting setback requirements or a detached structure in the rear yard.

With respect to the five subtests, items one through three have not been satisfied, as outlined in the staff report.

In conclusion, the subject property was recorded in 1995, and a one-family dwelling was constructed 1997. The lot was clearly identified on the subdivision plat as having development limitations due to the location on a cul-de-sac and its unique configuration.

The property has an existing beneficial use and does not enjoy any less rights than similar properties on the cul-de-sac. As a result, the zoning ordinance does not unreasonably restrict the use of the property and any hardship faced by the applicant is self-created. Furthermore, the proposed porch would establish a negative precedent and have a detrimental impact on nearby property.

Based on these facts, staff recommends denial. Additionally, staff has received approximately four letters of opposition, which have been provided to you. This concludes my presentation. I'll be happy to answer any questions.

1001 Mr. Green - Thank you. Are there any questions from the Board for the staff? Okay. Mr. Blankinship, we will now hear from the applicant.

1004 Ms. Deemer - Mr. Chairman, Mr. Anthony Mcinnis is now unmuted.

Mr Green - Good morning, Mr. Mcinnis. Hello?

C 007

Mr. Blankinship - Ms. Deemer, we're not getting any sound.

Ms. Deemer - Mr. Blankinship, we have Mr. Mcinnis showing as in attendance and he had messaged us. We can try again to ask if he is available to speak?

Mr. Green - Yes.

1015 Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Mcinnis, if you can hear me, we're waiting for you.

1017 Mr. Mcinnis - Hello.

Mr. Blankinship - Yes.

1021 Mr. Mcinnis - Can you hear me now?

1023 Mr. Blankinship - Here we are. Thank you.

_30

Mr. Mcinnis - Oh, boy. Sorry about that. I'm here. So my name is Anthony Mcinnis, M-c-i-n-n-i-s. Thank you for having me here and thank you for being patient with me. There was an issue here with my microphone. So we are requesting this variance due to my wife's severe bee allergy, which causes anaphylaxis. She had a life-threatening bee sting incident when she was 13 years old. We need to put a roof on any new deck that we build in order to provide a screened enclosure that she can step directly into from our house.

My wife -- if my wife is stung, she has to use her EpiPen in order to have enough time to make it to the hospital. If she does not have an EpiPen, or if the EpiPen malfunctions, she will likely die before making it to the hospital. Epi-pens do malfunction and many deaths have been reported due to malfunctioning EpiPens.

I am requesting this variance in order for my wife to be protected from bees while spending time with our children outside. Due to the pizza-shaped nature of our lot, along with the position of our house on the lot, the rear of our house sits within six inches of the step-back zone. This is why I am here.

The screened porch that we want to build needs 5 and 1/2 and 6 feet of the setback zone. We are requesting that you grant us the use of six feet deep by 24-feet-wide section of the setback zone.

Lastly, I have driven around the neighborhood looking for other houses, even those in the cul-de-sac, that have the same limitations. And I have found not a single one. This hardship is unique to my property. Here's what I mean, all right, I've looked for houses that currently do not have a cover in their screen porch. That was my specific site criteria as I looked around. And I went to find houses that, since they do not currently have a

covered screened porch if they wanted to build one of the same size, would they have the same limitation.

I did not find any house matching that specific criteria. This is to say that all of the other houses that I found that did not currently have a covered screen porch right now that did not currently have one. They would all be able to build the exact same screen porch that I am building. And so I think this is a unique limitation.

And so now I'd like to -- I sent some pictures in and I'd like to start discussing the pictures with the staff. Please display picture 1A on the screen.

1063 Mr. Blankinship - Yes, sir.

Mr. Mcinnis - I'm not sure if I'm able to see it with you. Oh there it is.

1067 Staff - It's displaying.

Mr. Mcinnis - Okay. So this is a letter from my wife's doctor confirming that she does have a bee allergy. Could you please go to the next picture? Okay. The next two pictures are -- you can go to the second one. This is a letter from CVS pharmacy detailing the last couple years of history of EpiPen purchases. And so I wanted to provide these because in the initial staff report before I'd given this it was stated I hadn't met the burden of proof, and now I hope that this does. And if it doesn't, I can do whatever is needed later.

So could you please go to the next picture, 1D? Yes. Just wanted to mention that in 2008 the ADA was changed to include more people in the definition of disabled. Conditions that only show symptoms at certain times are now included: asthma and allergies fit this definition. The ADA protects people with asthma and allergies. Even if reactions or attacks happen only when they are triggered.

Could you please go to the next one? Please. The use of medical aids such as an EpiPen can no longer exclude them from ADA coverage. For example, it used to be that people with asthma who got an inhaler were not covered by the ADA, because the inhaler would start to remove the disability. With the 2008 changes, the ADA covers people with asthma that have to use inhalers or, conversely, people with bee-sting allergies that can use an EpiPen.

But it's important to keep in mind that EpiPens do malfunction and, also, it's all dependent on how quickly the subject can make it to the hospital. Miguel, would you please go to the next picture.

1094 Mr. Madrigal - Sure.

Mr. Mcinnis - This is the screen porch that we would like to build. We initially set out to build it before we discovered there was a variance limitation. And this was

C 1098

already approved by the Wyndham Foundation's Homeowners Association. And they approved it conditionally based on, you know, me getting the variance.

This allows my wife to spend some, you know, have a decent space because she'll be, you know, pretty much, you know, she pretty much is limited there. And she has some room. Could you please go to the second picture? The next picture. I'm sorry.

Mr. Madrigal - Yes.

Mr. Mcinnis - So after discovering that we were limited, I then decided to meet the setback guidelines. And this is what I came up with. By the way, I did all the architectural work for these plans, and I used a college student to enter them into a drawing after I had drawn them up by pencil. It was fun. My wife asked me how did I have time to do this stuff. But it was sort of a hobby.

But this particular screen porch, though, had a problem. So it only has 5 and 1/2 feet of depth inside. And, also, if you consider there is a fireplace bump-out that will not be removed. There's a fireplace bump out that will not be removed. And so, thus, it's really very, very small in there. 5 and 1/2 feet deep by 24-feet wide. And then in addition to that there's a bump-out that's taking a lot of the 5 and 1/2 feet depth away from us.

__21

And so the point I want to make about this is I was not happy about it, but I was willing to go along with this. I had two contractors that are bidding against each other to build this. And, you know, both of them after receiving the new plans, both of them said, you know, I'm paraphrasing, they said, Look, you know, I can build this for you, but I feel really bad, because you're really not going to be able to have much useful space in there at all. But I can do it for you if you want.

And they even -- they both told me I won't even be able to have a ceiling fan in there. So after those comments were made to me, I then decided to pursue a variance and request a variance. And so I just wanted to point that out.

Could you please go to the next picture? Okay. And so what I want to do now is show, because I know I have some objections and I'll speak to those later. But for now I just wanted to show what the perspective is for my neighbors. And this picture right here is while standing inside my own yard, though. It's a very wide lot, by the way. You know. The pizza shape. And, by the way, the pizza shape is like a flat-top. It's not a rounded pizza.

 But this is while standing inside my own yard what my neighbor to the east will see right now. That's my current deck and so would you please go to the -- but don't go yet. Please go back. So the proposed enclosed deck would stick out -- would look exactly like that. The difference being that it will be 5 and 1/2 to 6 feet taller.

Please go to the next slide. And so this is basically, you know, what it would look like to that -- from that side. Could you please go to the next slide? And looking out at that

neighbor to the east from my deck, this is what I see. And you notice his house is tilted away from mine, which further reduces any impact that I have on his house. He did object, and I want to get to that later. I'll have an opportunity to rebut that.

Could you please go to the next slide? This is the view from my neighbor directly to the south -- to the north of me. A very nice gentleman, Mr. Wetmore. This is what he sees right now. Please go to the next slide. If I'm able to build the enclosed deck -- this is the only angle I could get of it -- this is what he would see. So it's the -- it won't be any closer at all. It will only be taller.

And also since the spacing between the vertical posts are, you know, further apart, it's more of a transparent -- what he sees will be more transparent versus the previous picture of where it almost looks like a solid structure. And so would you please go to the next screen?

And so when I'm looking out of my deck I see this for my neighbor, the very nice gentleman to the north of me. This is what I see. He has a pool structure there, and it, in my opinion, it blocks anything he sees anyway for me. So.

Would you please go to the next screen please. And there's another neighbor. You can see that neighbor off in the distance there. Not behind my property, but behind my neighbor to my west. My neighbor to my west is in full support of this -- he's not objecting at all.

But this neighbor, you see the house back there, that's the house that's -- and, you know, there's really not a lot of -- it's far enough away that it's not, you know, the neighbor that she is really behind has his own screen porch.

So and then could you please go to the next slide? And so this is what that neighbor sees right now. It's, you know, it's pretty obstructed. And also behind those bushes are my gate that I just installed there are some bushes that would even further prevent, you know, viewing of -- or this neighbor from that side from seeing it.

I think this is the last one. Could you please go to the next one? Okay. So I will reserve this one for the rebuttal section. So, that pretty much ends my presentation.

I just wanted to, you know, make a point again that, you know, it's very important to us. I'd like her to be able to be outside and enjoy some outdoors and have some decent-sized room versus being, you know, limited to that structure that we would be able to build.

Additionally, I know that we can build a stand-alone separate structure, but that would require she go outside again. And also, in my opinion, that would even -- that would further impact my neighbor, the nice gentleman behind me. He's, you know, he has a pool house there, but still my structure would not be directly behind his pool house, it would be over in -- in my opinion that would -- that would impact him.

C91 1192 But so I'm just hopeful that you are able to allow us to have the 6 feet by 24 feet portion of the setback zone to use to build this deck, this enclosed porch. And so I'll conclude right now. I think I've said enough. So.

1193

Mr. Green - Are there any questions of the Board for the applicant?

1195

1196 Mr. Blankinship - I'd like to ask him a question.

1197

1198 Mr. Green - Yes, sir.

1199

Mr. Blankinship - Yes, sir. Mr. Mcinnis, I misunderstood or wasn't sure I understood what you were saying toward the beginning of your presentation about other similar property on your cul-de-sac. And, Mr. Madrigal, if you would put up the aerial photograph and maybe zoom in on those seven houses.

1204

There are seven houses around the cul-de-sac, five of them, including yours, have a deck that extends to between 30 and 40 feet of the property line. So, five of your seven neighbors, including you, have the same situation where if they wanted to build a screen porch over the existing deck, it would not be permitted.

1209

Mr. Mcinnis - Well, my response is that I'm looking at the picture, by the way, I guess I'm in yellow, correct?

1212

Mr. Blankinship - Yes.

1214

Mr. Mcinnis - And so if you go immediately to the right of me, that's who the -- east of me to the -- to the right of that picture.

1217

1218 Mr. Green - Yes.

1219

Mr. Mcinnis - I'm certain he has 35 over his existing deck or somewhere even if it's not over his existing deck, it's, you know, somewhere else then in front -- to the right -- I'm sorry, my wife is pointing to something. I don't -- I believe he does have 35 feet there over to a different side. Maybe not over the existing deck, but I believe he does have slightly more than 35 where he can go beyond where his existing deck is at.

1225

1226 Mr. Blankinship - Oh, okay. I see what you mean now.

1227

Mr. Mcinnis - Yes. And then, insofar as pretty much all of them -- let's look at the house -- I'm in the yellow, so go to the house immediately to the left of that and then the house to the -- immediately to the left of that one.

1231

1232 Mr. Blankinship - Yes. Those are the two of the seven that have additional rear-1233 yard area.

1234 * `35

Mr. Mcinnis - Beg your pardon now.

1236		
1237	Mr. Blankinship -	Yes. I agree with you. Those two have some additional area.
1238	·	cks and would not be extending into the rear-yard setback, but
1239		decks are close enough that if they covered them with a screen
	porch, they would violate t	
1240	porch, they would violate t	ne setback.
1241	Mr. Mainnia	Well the not oble to really one and know one. The not really
1242	Mr. Mcinnis -	Well I'm not able to really see so keep one I'm not really
1243	9	nt now. But if you're if you're saying that you're sure about
1244	that, then I'm going to	
1245	Mr. Displainabin	Dut lithink line various scient that are seen if the constitution is all and a second
1246	Mr. Blankinship -	But I think I see your point that even if they couldn't build over
1247		s someplace else on each of those lots where they could build
1248	a screen porch.	
1249		
1250	Mr. Mcinnis -	That's the point. That's the major point that I am making.
1251		
1252	Mr. Blankinship -	Okay.
1253		
1254	Mr. Mcinnis -	I don't have anywhere on the property that I could go.
1255		
1256	Mr. Blankinship -	Okay. I think I understand the distinction now. Thank you.
1257		
1258	Mr. Mcinnis -	Thank you, sir.
1259		
1260	Mr. Blankinship -	Are there any other questions from the Board members for the
1261	applicant?	
1262		
1263	Mr. Bell -	One question, quick question. The EpiPen. Is it
1264		
1265	Mr. Mcinnis -	Yes.
1266		
1267	Mr. Bell -	Does your wife have any other allergies?
1268		
1269	Mr. Mcinnis -	No. I don't think my wife has do you have any other
1270	allergies?	
1271		
1272	Mr. Bell -	It's just the bees?
1273		
1274	Mr. Mcinnis -	It's just the bees. Yes.
1275		
1276	Mr. Bell -	Okay. What does she do now when she goes outside?
1277		
1278	Mr. Mcinnis -	It's very difficult. Let's say, for instance, we go for a walk to
1279	the lake behind there. The	en before we go, I go and take the car and a pre-position the
1280	car to a halfway point. I'm	not able to walk with them, initially. I go and pre-position the
1281		ome. We begin our walk and we're walking towards the car.

When we get to the car, where I prepositioned it somewhere in a street somewhere, I then go and I get inside the car and, as they are walking, I drive ahead of them and I go down that street, over one street, and I put the car there. And then I go back and I meet up with them and walk up with them. Then we'll get to the car.

These are the types of things that we do right now. When we go for walks, and it's a harrowing experience. She takes it very seriously and she's very, very fearful. I hope that answers your question. I'm not sure if I remember the exact question.

1291 Mr. Bell - Well thank you. That answers it.

1293 Mr. Johnson - The question I have is, that house immediately behind yours, your say it has a pool?

1296 Mr. Mcinnis - Yes.

1298 Mr. Johnson - That looks like it's pretty close, too.

Mr. Mcinnis - No. So that house there, if you zoom in a little bit more, but I'll get to the rebut -- this in the rebuttal section. I could not tell you, I've never had a nicer neighbor, even though he objects. But if you zoom in a little bit more -- I can't really see -- yeah.

Mr. Johnson - That's his house. Whose house is that?

Mr. Mcinnis - That's his house. Yeah. So that structure he built. But from his back door where he would likely have built an enclosed porch like I am doing, then he would have had the room for it. But I think that the decision was made because there was a pool back there already.

I think it's nicer to have a gazebo back there by the pool versus having a, you know, a roof added and then having it part of the house. And so he has lots of room. Because between that structure right there and his property line, he has about 8 feet, or 8 and 1/2 feet. That structure and his property line. The structure itself looks to be -- but I'm only guessing 25 feet deep or wide going from this way to the other side of it. Twenty-five or so feet. I'm not sure, though.

And then he has space between that and his actual backdoor. In looking at his property, it's very clear to me, I believe, he just decided that building this separate gazebo structure was cooler. And I agree with that. He has a pool and I would want a separate structure too.

And, also, they don't -- he, you know, I don't believe he has the same limitation of the -- of a family member with a bee allergy. So people make those decisions for -- but I believe he has much more than 35 feet from his back door.

C²

1328 1329 1330 1331	Mr. Pollard - understand it, variance is difference.	I have a question. I can't find it at the moment, but, as I for 6 feet. But it was also a mention of inches making a
1332 1333 1334 1335		No. What I mean what I meant by that is the current rear of ad 1/2 feet, so it was 6 inches. And so if I were to build a deck, stended out 7 inches, then I would be in violation. So that's the and 1/2 feet.
1336 1337 1338	Mr. Pollard -	So you're saying it wouldn't matter what type of porch you had.
1339 1340	Mr. Mcinnis -	Say that again.
1341 1342	Mr. Pollard -	You'd be in violation.
1343 1344	Mr. Mcinnis -	Say that again now.
1345 1346 1347	Mr. Pollard - automatically in violation.	If you enclose any type of porch that's usable, you will be Any type of enclosed porch.
1348 1349	Mr. Mcinnis -	Automatically.
1350 1351	Mr. Pollard -	Okay.
1352 1353	Mr. Mcinnis -	Automatically. Yeah. That's what I'm saying.
1354 1355 1356	Mr. Green - violation?	So even if you enclose your existing deck you would be in
1357 1358 1359 1360 1361 1362	exact same location, the	Well, remember, keep in mind, that's what I'm trying to do is new deck that I am proposing is the exact same footprint, the exact same everything of the existing deck. It fits, you know, if eace, it would land right in the footprint. The only difference is top of it.
1363 1364 1365	Mr. Green - applicant?	Okay. Are there any other questions from the Board from the
1366 1367	Mr. Pollard -	I have a question for staff.
1368 1369	Mr. Blankinship -	Yes, sir.
1370 1371 1372 1373	Mr. Pollard - met. And I kind of noted th Or had the burden of prov	There was a mention of the burden for the disability not being nat a doctor's note came in at the 19th. So, is that still the case? ing a disability been met?

Mr. Blankinship - I'm not sure that any of us are really competent to answer that question, Mr. Pollard. The Code of Virginia doesn't give a really specific definition of what is meant by disability in the context of a variance. We have always applied the definition from the Americans with Disabilities Act. And, as the applicant has stated, that is somewhat of a moving target as well. I don't mean to bail out on you, but I think it's really going to be the Board's responsibility to make that determination.

1381 Mr. Pollard - Okay.

Mr. Mcinnis - Can I make a point?

1385 Mr. Green - Yes.

Mr. Mcinnis - Yes. Okay. I don't believe that the ADA's current guidelines is a moving target when it comes to people with allergies and disabilities now. They are very clear that they are considered a disability. I don't think it's a moving target.

Mr. Blankinship - Yeah. The current definition is not a moving target. What I mean by that phrase was just that the definition has changed over time.

Mr. Mcinnis - Yes.

Ms. Deemer -

Mr. Green - Okay. Let's move forward. Are there any other questions from the Board for the applicant? Are there, you know, are there any other individuals to speak in support of this application?

Mr. Blankinship - There is no one else on Webex.

We have no one on Webex.

Mr. Green - Are there any individuals to speak in opposition of this application? Public hearing is now closed and a motion would be in order. Given that I am the Three Chopt District Representative, I move that we approve the variance subject to the conditions recommended by staff. I agree with the applicant that a bee allergy is a disability and approval to build a screen porch is a reasonable accommodation, so I think we should approve the variance.

I also have reviewed the various letters of objection, and it seems to be the only concern that individuals have are property values, but I have seen no indication that this will decrease anyone's property value. It's only a speculation.

And then also the other thing I'm seeing is that folks talk about they have obeyed the rules, but that is the process of why this individual came in to ask for a variance that we can grant, and we have granted variances of this nature in the past. And so I don't see where this would be outside. So I've made that motion. Is there a second?



1420	Mr. Reid -	I second it.	
1421			
1422	Mr. Green -	Okay. Motion has been made by myself	, Mr. Green, and
1423	seconded by Mr. Reid.	Is there any discussion? Hearing no discussion	, all in favor of the
1424	motion say aye. All opp	posed say nay. Motion is approved.	
1425			
1426	On a motion by Mr. G	Freen, seconded by Mr. Reid, the Board appl	roved application
1427	VAR2021-00007 ANTH	IONY MCINNIS' request for a variance from Se	ction 24-94 of the
1428		screened porch in place of an existing deck a	
1429	Drive (BRENTMOOR	@ WYNDHAM) (Parcel 740-778-0427) zc	ned One-Family
1430	Residence District (R-4)	C) (Three Chopt). The Board approved the requ	lest subject to the
1431	following conditions:		
1432			
1433		es only to the rear yard setback requirement	
1434	screened porch. All other	er applicable regulations of the County Code re	main in force.
1435			
1436		ents shown on the drawings titled "McInnis Re	
1437		onstructed pursuant to this approval. Any additio	
1438		oplicable regulations of the County Code. Any su	
1439	or additions to the design	gn or location of the improvements will require a	new variance.
1440			
1441		on must match the existing dwelling as near	-
1442		he exterior foundation supporting the enclose	
1443		yvit as required by the conditions proffered with	rezoning case C-
1444	84C-94.		
1445	4. The speciment arrest a	. Litain a hadding page it for the proposed angles	ad narah hu Anril
1446	the state of the s	obtain a building permit for the proposed enclos	
1447		ce will expire. After that date, if the building perroto diligently pursue construction, this variance	
1448		to diligently pursue construction, this variance	will expire at that
1449	time.		
1450			
1451	Affirmative:	Poll Groom Johnson Pollard Poid	5
1452		Bell, Green, Johnson, Pollard, Reid	0
1453	Negative:		0
1454	Absent:		U
1455			
1456			

[Break in audio]

Before we go to the next case, I would like to take a five-

Mr. Blankinship - Sir, the last case on the agenda is variance 2021, number 8 Liberty Homes of Virginia, Incorporated.

Mr. Green -

minute break.

1457

14581459

1460 1461

VAR2021-00008 LIBERTY HOMES OF VIRGINIA, INC. requests a variance from Section 24-95(b)(5) of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 129 Westover Avenue (Bungalow City) (Parcels 816-727-6066 and 6164), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Varina). The total lot area requirement and lot width requirement are not met. The applicant has 6,880 square feet lot area and 50 feet lot width where the Code requires 8,000 square feet lot area and 65 feet lot width. The applicant requests a variance of 1,120 square feet lot area and 15 feet lot width.

Mr. Blankinship - Would everyone who intends to speak to this case please stand and be sworn in. Do you swear the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God? Thank you. Mr. Gidley.

Mr. Gidley - Thank you, Mr. Secretary. And good morning again Mr. Chair, members of the Board.

The subject property is located in the Bungalow City subdivision, which is just north of Nine Mile Road and Highland Springs. Bungalow City was established in 1924 and mostly consists of 25-foot-wide lots. Because of this, buyers would purchase more than one lot. They'd typically purchase two, three, four lots depending upon how large of a lot they ultimately wanted. And also keeping in mind what the code was in effect at the time to enable them to meet the lot-width requirements.

:88

This has resulted in a variety of lot sizes in this neighborhood. And on this side of Westover Avenue there are 19 homes. Two are on 50-foot-wide lots, the remainder on lots that are 65 feet wide or greater.

 The lot is in the center here. This property actually owns over to around right here. And the property in question to date consists of two lots. These are zoned R-3 and are subject to the exception, standards for lots of record prior to 1960. The zoning ordinance requires a minimum lot area of 8,000 square feet and a minimum lot width of 65 feet. The applicant has 6,880 square feet of lot area and 50 feet of lot width. As a result, he is requesting variances for both lot area and lot width.

In evaluating this request -- let's go back to the aerial. The two lots that compose the property have been under common ownership since 1950. At one time they did contain a dwelling. However, this dwelling was removed in the early 1980s. Absent of variance the lot would be unbuildable and the property would have no reasonable beneficial use, which is one of the required threshold tests for a variance.

Given that, we can move on to the five subtests. As noted in the staff report, staff believes all five subtests are met. Briefly looking at substantial detrimental impact: as mentioned the minimum lot width requirement is 65 feet. The home to the north, right here at 133 Westover, they have 75 feet of lot width. The lots to the rear have 75 and 100 feet respectively. However, the lot to the south has 55 feet of lot width, which is just 5 feet more than the subject property.

C¹⁰

1511	Mr. Green -	You said 55. I thought it was 65 that
1512	ALC: AND	
1513	Mr. Gidley -	To the north is 65 feet and to the south this lot is 55 feet.
1514		
1515	Mr. Green -	But they want the lot in yellow is what?
1516		
1517	Mr. Gidley -	Is 50 feet.
1518		
1519	Mr. Green -	And then when you come all the way down to this other lot
1520	which is down a little further	er, the empty lot, what is that? Down a little further. Right there.
1521		
1522	Mr. Johnson -	Where the car is at.
1523		
1524	Mr. Green -	No. that one.
1525		
1526	Mr. Gidley -	I believe that's a 50-foot wide lot. I'm not totally sure on that.
1527		
1528	Mr. Green -	Fifty-five.
1529		
1530	Mr. Gidley -	I believe it's 50 feet.
1531		
1532	Mr. Green -	Fifty.
1533		
1534	Mr. Gidley -	Yes, sir. I believe
1535		A - I (I I)
1536	Mr. Green -	And the one they proposing, that's 55.
1537	M. C. II	The state of the s
1538	Mr. Gidley -	They have 50 feet here. Let me go over this again. The
1539	, , , ,	t in lot width. The requirement is 65 feet. Therefore they need
1540		ot width. This property up here has 75 feet of lot width, which is
1541	•	roperty here is 100 feet of lot width. This one here has 75 feet
1542	of lot width. This one is c	loser to the subject property. It has 55 feet of lot width.
1543	Mr. Cooper	Caturan
1544	Mr. Green -	Got you.
1545	Mr. Cidler	Which is just five feet more than the subject property's Effect
1546	Mr. Gidley -	Which is just five feet more than the subject property's 50 feet.
1547	Mr. Croop	E:#v
1548	Mr. Green -	Fifty.
1549	Mr. Gidlov	Voc. cir.
1550	Mr. Gidley -	Yes, sir.
1551 1552	Mr. Blankinship -	And the other one is also 50 feet.
1553	MI. Didi Milarip -	And the other one is also or leet.
1554	Mr. Gidley -	Okay.
1334	Wil. Oldicy	Ondy.

Mr. Green - Because someone would come in and request a variance for the one that is at the bottom for the same thing.

Mr. Gidley - They'd have a right to request a variance and then we would review it case by case. Bungalow City is a unique subdivision.

1562 Mr. Green - I'm familiar with it. Yeah.

1564 Mr. Gidley - I'll get to that in just a moment.

1566 Mr. Green - Okay.

Mr. Gidley - Anyway, this is the home on the left, here, which is a one-story home. And then to the right it's also a one-story home. The applicant in this case is proposing a two-story home. And given the lack of lot-width and lot area, staff was concerned placing a two-story home on the lot could impact the nearby property. And as a result, we are recommending in our conditions that the home built on the subject property be a one-story or a one-and-a-half-story home that would make it more in line with what's already out there.

Mr. Green - But the property behind it looks like a two-story.

Mr. Gidley - Yes, sir. It is. That's for the road behind it. I'm looking at the two immediate adjacent ones that would be most impacted. And that's something the Board can look into, but if we do look at what they're building and whether or not it's consistent with what's there. And, obviously, if you're one of the next-door neighbors, that might be an issue.

Mr. Green - Yes.

Mr. Gidley - Item number three on the subtest deals with whether or not the condition is general and reoccurring, which would allow the Board of Supervisors to amend the zoning ordinance amendment to address it. And that kind of goes to what you were hitting at just a moment ago, Mr. Chair. Bungalow City is an older subdivision that is almost 100 years old. There have been different lot-width requirements over time, so you have a variety of lot-width sizes in this neighborhood and that makes it difficult to come up with a single ordinance amendment to address it. Sort of what you said.

In these cases it's best for the Board to look at each lot on a case-by-case basis. We've had variances we've supported in here and we've had variances we've opposed. In large part, you know, based upon whether or not we feel there was a detrimental impact to nearby property.

So staff believes the five subtests are met. And, in conclusion, the property lacks the required lot area and lot width to build a dwelling. The two lots have been under common ownership since 1950. Absent of variance it would have no reasonable use at all. It'd

1602 1603	just continue to sit vacant	. Staff believes the five subtests are met assuming the design t with the neighbor's homes. As a result, staff recommends
	on the home is consistent	ubject to the conditions in your staff report. This concludes my
1604		
1605	presentation. If you have	any questions, I'll be happy to answer those.
1606		And the area and acceptance from the Deard for stoff?
1607	Mr. Green -	Are there any questions from the Board for staff?
1608		
1609	Mr. Johnson -	Yes. The lot that you're referring to now, even though you're
1610	taking their land from two	projects, two properties, are they related? Were these
1611		
1612	Mr. Gidley -	These two lots here are under common ownership and have
1613	been since 1950.	
1614		
1615	Mr. Green -	Now I guess the question is who owns them?
1616		
1617	Mr. Johnson -	Yeah.
1618		
1619	Mr. Gidley -	Oh who owns them?
1620		
1621	Mr. Johnson -	Yes.
1622		
1623	Mr. Gidley -	The owner is listed is Jordan River Real Estate.
1624		
1625	Mr. Green -	Okay. The other question I have which is, you know, typically
1626	when you see lots like th	at vacant who cuts the grass? Who maintains? Are the two
1627	property owners responsi	ble for that? Because that would take the burden off of them.
1628		
1629	Mr. Gidley -	Legally the property owner is responsible. If it's not cut,
1630	they're	
1631		
1632	Mr. Green -	Legally, but who's doing it?
1633		
1634	Mr. Gidley -	It's quite possible the neighbors may go over and cut it.
1635		
1636	Mr. Johnson -	Yes. I would go out there looking around, and I noticed they're
1637	keeping the grass cut do	wn. It looks pretty nice. But I noticed on Liberty Avenue, the
1638		are several of the two-stories. But on the front side of Westover
1639	they're one-levels.	
1640	•	
1641	Mr. Gidley -	Yes, sir.
1642	,	
1643	Mr. Johnson -	You understand that. Yes. And also there is several other
1644		thing is on. And I think we're probably going to have a similar
1645	•	- someone decides to take those on.
1646		

With that, I think -- well, looking at it, you know, they're keeping it pretty neat. And also 1647 right across the street from here is also -*i*48 1649 Mr. Green -Sonic Home? 1650 1651 Mr. Johnson -No it's a park that right across the street from right in --1652 1653 1654 Mr. Blankinship -Perhaps show the photograph.

Yes.

1655 1656

Mr. Johnson -1657

Mr. Gidley -There you go. 1658

1659

Mr. Johnson -You got a basketball court and different things. It's a nice 1660 area. And also a little walking path as well. 1661

1662

Mr. Gidley -Yes, sir. 1663

1664

1665 Mr. Johnson -I was just kind of curious who owned it and was it just one person, one company or something. You answered my question. 1666

1667

Mr. Gidley -Thank you. 1668

1669 770

1671

1672

1673

Mr. Green -Yes. The real question is who's really cutting that grass. Because it's, you know, my mother-in-law had a similar situation and I would have to go out there every Saturday and cut that grass. And you would think the owner was doing the cutting. And, fortunately, when the house was sold, when the land was sold, when they built a house, that took the burden off of the two brother-in-laws.

1674 1675 1676

1677

1678

1679

1680

1681

But it seemed like each one of them of was maintained Mr. Johnson because the grass was usually the same height. There didn't seem to be any growth over there. So that's why I was kind of curious about this. You know. Usually family would keep all that taken care of. Because one of the other members come in. And that's what I was thinking that maybe the family members that was owning these properties. And that's why I was --

1682

Mr. Green -Well it's a corporation that they said owned it. 1683

1684

Yes. He said it's a corporation. Mr. Johnson -1685

1686

1687 Mr. Gidley -Yes. They may hire someone just to come in and do it.

1688

Mr. Green -I'll bet you a dollar to a donut that one of the neighbors is 1689 1690 keeping that yard cut because they don't want to be bothered. And once it's sold, they'll be happy to know that it's stuck with somebody else now. 1691



Paul, since most of the houses on that street are frame. I Mr. Reid -1693 noticed one of the conditions or approval that the exterior of this house has to be brick. 1694 What is the reason? 1695 1696 Mr. Gidley -I don't --1697 1698 1699 Mr. Blankinship -Is it the whole foundation? Or the whole exterior, or just the foundation? 1700 1701 1702 Mr. Gidley -Just the foundation. 1703 1704 Mr. Blankinship -Just the foundation. Yes. 1705 Mr. Gidley -Any home on the property must either be a single-story or a 1706 one-and-a-half-story home. The exterior of the front building foundation must be brick. 1707 1708 Mr. Reid -Oh. 1709 1710 Mr. Gidley -And just the front of it, too. 1711 1712 Mr. Reid -Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't --1713 1714 Mr. Green -Are there any other questions from staff? I mean from the 1715 Board to staff. All right. Time to hear from the applicant. 1716 1717 Mr. Rempe -1718 Good morning, Mr. Chair, Board members. 1719 Mr. Johnson -Can you speak a little louder or turn the mic up? 1720 1721 1722 Mr. Blankinship -Fred just turned him on. Go ahead. 1723 1724 Mr. Rempe -Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Board members, and staff. My 1725 name is Mark Rempe, I'm here to speak on behalf of the applicant. I'd like to thank the staff for taking their time and doing their presentation on this case. And we do concur 1726 with the staff that the tests for the variance have been met, and we would like to move 1727 forward and ask the Board to approve the variance. 1728 1729 1730 I would also just like to point out that there was a variance just down the street on the same street that was approved by the Board just a few years back. And that this new 1731 house will be sold to a first-time homebuyer and will be an affordable house. And that's 1732 needed in this market. So. Any questions. 1733 1734

Mr. Green - Yeah. Mr. Rempe, I've noticed that since I've been on this Board you've come before us quite a bit with building houses on these smaller lot sizes.

1737 That seems to be your specialty?

(40 Mr. Rempe - I work for, a lot of times, with Liberty Homes and some other builders, and sometimes we -- they find lots that are in this area and I have a background that's conducive to this stuff. So I do do a lot of this type of stuff. So, yes sir.

Mr. Green - And when you do that and the homes are completed, do you ever get any complaints from individuals? Because it seems like you're very successful in taking houses and putting them on these types of lots.

Mr. Rempe - You know, we try to go through the process and work with staff and we -- sometimes we disagree with staff and just -- but they're very good to work with and we appreciate everything they do.

You know, I believe that lots like this should be put into their highest and best use, and they should generate revenue and be used for, you know, home buyers. And the owners of the lots have rights that they should be able to sell the lots to a home builder.

And I think Liberty builds beautiful houses and a lot of their houses go to affordable housing and for first-time homebuyers. So.

8 Mr. Green - Okay. Any other questions for the applicant? Mr. Johnson.

Mr. Johnson - In addition to what was mentioned about that, have you considered the homeowners? Say, for instance, a lot like this, that each one of the homeowners might want to buy that property and rather than building a house can say, Look, we'll just sell half of it to you and half to the other, or vice versa?

Mr. Rempe - You know, lot of times with these spot lots and in-fill situations the neighbors always had the opportunity to step in and buy the lots. Sometimes when something happens the owner puts the lot on the market and then, you know, everyone has an opportunity to buy the lot. And when we -- when the home -- Liberty sees the lot they say, Hey, Mark, can you help out? And that's kind of when all this happens.

Mr. Johnson - Yeah. But there's evidence that this lot wasn't up for sale before you decided to put homes on there.

1774 Mr. Rempe - You know, I can't remember if this was put on the market or not. I think it was. I think it was on the MLS and that's how it came to our attention. So.

1777 Mr. Johnson - Okay.

Mr. Green - Mr. Johnson, what I've noticed is that, and speaking from the lot that my mother-in-law had next to her home for 30 years, they were asking some astronomical price, you know, when they wanted to sell it. So a lot of times when these entities, corporations come in and build houses, you know, they're trying to put the -- building the house and the lot at the same time.

C⁸

So it appears to me, and I don't know if it's easy, if you can speak to that, but when you seem to be putting a house and selling a lot, putting a house on a lot and selling it, that's better than just selling the land out. Because the price that they wanted next to my mother-in-law was just astronomical.

1789

1790 Mr. Rempe - Correct. The builder buyer can pay a higher price than the neighbor next door, typically.

1792

1793 Mr. Johnson - Okay.

1794

Mr. Green - Any other questions for the applicant? Is there anyone else that wishes to speak in favor of this?

1797

1798 Mr. Blankinship - There is no one on Webex.

1799

1800 Mr. Green - So is there anyone who wishes to speak in opposition?

Hearing none, the public hearing is now closed and a motion is in order.

1802

Mr. Johnson - Mr. Chairman, I move that we approve the variance subject to conditions recommended by staff. And also as recorded almost 100 years ago most of the lots have been combined and to meet the 65-feet lot requirement. In this case the lots on both sides already have homes on them and if the variance is denied, this 50-foot lot cannot be used for any beneficial use. The other tests are met as stated in the staff report. And so I think we should approve this variance.

1809

Mr. Green - The motion was made by Mr. Johnson. Is there -- do I hear a second?

1812

1813 Mr. Reid - Second.

1814

1815 Mr. Green - The motion was seconded by Mr. Reid. Is there any discussion? All in favor of the motion say aye. All opposed say nay. Motion passes.

1817

On a motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Mr. Reid, the Board **approved** application VAR2021-00008 LIBERTY HOMES OF VIRGINIA, INC's request for a variance from Section 24-95(b)(5) of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 129 Westover Avenue (Bungalow City) (Parcels 816-727-6066 and 6164), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Varina). The total lot area requirement and lot width requirement are not met. The Board approved the request subject to the following conditions:

1824

1825 1. This variance applies only to the lot area and lot width requirements for one dwelling only. All other applicable regulations of the County Code remain in force.

1827

2. Any home on the property must be either a single-story or one and one-half story home.
The exterior of the front building foundation must be brick.



3. Before beginning any clearing, grading, or land disturbing activity, the applicant must obtain approval of an environmental compliance plan from the Department of Public Works.

1834 1835

4. Any dwelling on the property must be served by public water and sewer.

1836 1837

1838

1839

5. The applicant must obtain a building permit for the proposed home by April 24, 2023 or this variance will expire. After that date, if the building permit is cancelled or revoked due to failure to diligently pursue construction, this variance will expire at that time.

1840 1841

1842	Affirmative:	Bell, Green, Johnson, Pollard, Reid	5
1843	Negative:		0
1844	Absent:		0

1845 1846

1847

1848

1849

1850

This concludes our meeting. The next item on the agenda is Mr. Green the approval of the minutes. Are there any corrections to the draft minutes? There is one item under new business, which is to set a work session for December 19 for the purpose of training. Do I --

1851

I'm sorry. That must be something old in your script. 1852 Mr. Blankinship -

1853 <u>```</u>`54

Oh. Yes. I was wondering --Mr. Green -

1855

Can we have a vote on the minutes? Mr. Gidley -1856 1857

1858

Mr. Green -Excuse me. What are you saying? 1859

Can we have a vote on the minutes? Mr. Gidley -1860 1861

1862

Mr. Green -Oh. Do I hear approval for the minutes? 1863

1864

I make a motion to approve the minutes as written. Mr. Pollard -

1865

Is there a second? 1866 Mr. Green -

1867

Mr. Johnson -Second. 1868

1869

Motion was made by Mr. Pollard. Seconded by Mr. Johnson Mr. Green -1870 to approve the minutes. All in favor say aye. All opposed nay. The motion passes. 1871 Minutes have been approved. On a motion by Mr. Pollard, seconded by Mr. Johnson, the 1872 Board approved the minutes of the March 25, 2021 hearing. 1873

1877 1878 1879 1880	Affirmative: Negative: Absent:	Bell, Green, Johnson, Pollard, Reid 5 0 0
1881		
1882	Mr. Gidley -	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
1883		
1884	Mr. Green -	Do I hear a motion for adjournment?
1885		
1886	Mr. Pollard -	Make a motion that we adjourn.
1887		
1888	Mr. Green -	Is there a second?
1889		
1890	Mr. Johnson -	Second.
1891		
1892	Mr. Green -	We're adjourned.
1893		
1894		
1895		1
1896		
1897		
1898 1899		Mr. Terone B. Green, Chair
1900		Wil. Telolie B. Gleen, Chair
1901		
1902		$\alpha = \alpha + \alpha + \alpha$
1903		
1904		CON XX
1905		Mr. Benjamin W. Blankinship, Secretary
1906		