1

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF HENRICO COUNTY, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE HENRICO COUNTY GOVERNMENT COMPLEX, ON THURSDAY, AUGUST 23, 2001, AT 9:00 A.M., NOTICE HAVING BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE RICHMOND TIMES-DISPATCH ON AUGUST 2 AND 9, 2001.

6

Members Present: Richard Kirkland, Chairman
Daniel Balfour, Vice-Chairman
Gono L. McKinnov, C.B.C. C.B.7.4

Gene L. McKinney, C.P.C., C.B.Z.A.

Members Absent: James W. Nunnally

R. A. Wright

Also Present: Benjamin Blankinship, Secretary

Susan W. Blackburn, County Planner II Priscilla M. Parker, Recording Secretary

7 8

9

10

11

Mr. Kirkland -Welcome, ladies and gentlemen, to the August meeting of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Before we get started, I'll have the Secretary read the rules. We apologize for the delay. Modern technology sometimes doesn't work so good. So if you would, Mr. Blankinship.

12 13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, ladies Mr. Blankinship and gentlemen. The rules for this meeting are as follows. The Secretary, myself, will call each case. Then the applicant will come to the podium to present the case. At that time I'll ask all those who intend to speak, in favor or opposition, to stand, and they will be sworn in. The applicants will then present their testimony. When the applicant is finished, anyone else will be given an opportunity to speak. After everyone has spoken, the applicant, and only the applicant, will be allowed time for opportunity for rebuttal. After hearing the case, and asking questions, the Board will take the matter under advisement. They will render all of their decisions at the end of the meeting. If you wish to know the decision on a case, you may stay until the end of the meeting, or you may call the Planning Office toward the end of the day. This meeting is being tape recorded, so we will ask everyone who speaks, to speak directly into the microphone on the podium, and to state your name for the record. Out in the foyer, there are 2 binders, which include the staff reports for each case, including the conditions suggested by the staff. Mr. Chairman?

28 29 30

Mr. Kirkland - Thank you sir. Do we have any requests for withdrawals or deferrals?

31 32 33

34 35 Mr. Blankinship - I have two question marks. I have one that we expect to have a withdrawal, because it was deferred once; it was deferred last month. This is A-104-2001 Hezekiah Wilkerson. The case was deferred last month, so it can't be

deferred again. We spoke to the applicant and to the applicant's representative, requesting some additional information. That information has never been presented, so the staff is somewhat unsure how the Board wants to handle that. The other is that I've been told that UP-25-2001 has been withdrawn, but I don't believe we have that in writing. We just got it over the telephone.

Mr. Kirkland - We can handle that one at the end of the docket. On the A-104-2001, is anyone here for this case? Go ahead the call it.

A -104-2001

HEZEKIAH WILKERSON requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a sunroom at 4740 Glen Finnian Drive (Yahley Mill East) (Tax Parcel 229-5-A-4), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant has 39 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 50 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 11 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Kirkland - Since there's no one here, and this has been deferred once, we'll pass it at this time. Another item, Mr. Blankinship, would you explain where, since we're 2 members short, what has to happen.

Mr. Blankinship - We are 2 members short; the Board is 5 members. Three is a quorum, so we can hold the meeting, and any decisions that we make are official decisions. However, the Code of Virginia requires that in order to grant a variance you have to have a vote of 3 members of the Board, so if there is a 2 to 1 vote in favor of granting the variance, that will carry as a denial, because there have to be 3 affirmative votes in order to grant a variance. On a use permit, I believe, and I'll get legal counsel if we need it, I believe that rule does not apply to use permits, that a 2 to 1 vote on a use permit today would be an approval, but a 2 to 1 vote on a variance would be a denial. If anyone, for whatever reason, does not want to be heard by a 3-member Board, would rather wait a month to be heard by a 5-member Board, you may request a deferral until the September meeting.

Mr. Kirkland - Do we have any takers on that?

Mr. Balfour - Do they have to reapply? Does it have to be unanimous?

Mr. Kirkland - Call the first one. Everything has to be unanimous to have it approved. Anything less is a denial.

Mr. Balfour - If one of the Board members has a question and doesn't vote in favor, it's the same thing as a denial.

A -103-2001 **BECKY AND BRAXTON GLASGOW** request a variance from Sections 24-95(i)(2) and 24-95(q)(5) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a carport and screened porch at 9913 Carrington Place (Riverlake Colony) (Tax Parcel 98-7-E-28), zoned R-1, Onefamily Residence District (Tuckahoe). The accessory structure location and minimum side yard setback are not met. applicants have 9.5 feet minimum side yard setback and a swimming pool in the side yard, where the Code requires 12 feet minimum side yard setback, and allows accessory structures in the rear yard. The applicants request variances of 2.5 feet minimum side yard setback and an accessory structure in the side yard.

Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else here to speak on this case besides the applicant? Okay, if you would, raise your right hand and be sworn in by the Secretary.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Kirkland - Ma'am, would you state your name for the record. You can pull that mike a little closer to you. Have all your notices been turned in according to the County Code? We got them. State your case.

 Ms. Glasgow - Becky Glasgow. We have a request here for a variance from 2 sections to build a carport and screened porch. We are just requesting now the screened porch variance. I had a question, I tried to reach somebody yesterday to find out, if we do come to some sort of an agreement with our architectural review committee, and still need that second variance, would we have to reapply all over and write that check again?

110 Mr. Blankinship - I'm afraid so, unless you wanted to, you're already on a deferral, so you can't even defer. Yes.

113 Ms. Glasgow - So we're just requesting the variance from one section to 114 allow us to do the screened porch.

Mr. Kirkland - Are there any questions by Board members?

118 Mr. McKinney - What'd you say, Ms. Glasgow, you're only asking for one part of this, the screened porch?

121 Mr. Glasgow - Yes.

123 Mr. Blankinship - The Homeowners Association, the architectural review, had objected to the carport.

| 126 127 128 | Mr. McKinney - back, Mr. Secretary, they | Is this the first time you've been heard? So if she comes would have to pay another fee, correct? |
|---|--|--|
| 129 130 | Mr. Kirkland - | Anything else, Mr. McKinney? |
| 131 132 | Mr. McKinney - | Nothing I can think of. |
| 133 134 135 136 | Mr. Kirkland - else in favor of the case listen to the opposition. | Okay, if you would, you can rebut any opposition. Anyone? In favor of it or opposed? Okay, if no other "in favors," we'll |
| 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 | as the back porch, we application for the back p | My name is William Goode, Declarant – Riverlake Colony, ctural control authority. We are opposed to the carport. As far would support that if Ms. Glasgow would submit to us an borch. I would recommend that you go ahead and approve the e condition that she submits the approval to the Architectural there any questions? |
| 144 145 146 | Mr. McKinney - carport now. She just wa | Mr. Goode, she's already said that she's not going for the ants the screened porch. |
| 147 148 | Mr. Goode - | That's correct, yes sir. |
| 149 150 | Mr. Balfour - | How are we going to approve, if we approve it? |
| 151 152 153 154 | | I'm saying that I'm against the carport, and I understand she's sthe porch is going, we will support it if the condition is she will he Architectural Review Committee. |
| 155 156 157 | Mr. McKinney - Committee? | It has not been submitted to the Architectural Review |
| 157 158 159 | Mr. Goode - | No sir, not yet. |
| 160 161 | Mr. Balfour - | Have you seen it though? Do you know anything about it? |
| 162 163 164 | Mr. Goode - plan. | No sir. The only thing I've got is what's sketched out on your |
| 165 166 167 | Mr. Balfour - Review Committee? | Do you have the authority to speak for the Architectural |
| 107 | Mr. Caada | Une a declarant of the embediation and three entractions of the |

 Mr. Goode - I'm a declarant of the subdivision, and I've submitted the documents where I have the sole architectural authority.

171 Mr. Balfour - Why don't you go outside for 10 minutes and talk to the lady and look at it right now and come back.

173

174 Mr. Goode - Okay.

175

176 Mr. Kirkland - Do you have them?

177

178 Mr. Blankinship - She looks like she's ready to speak on that.

179

180 Mr. Kirkland - Come up forward please.

181

182 We were advised by our contractor to get the approval from Ms. Glasgow -183 you, as a matter of efficiency, and we're laymen, novices. We thought, okay we'll go ahead and do that, and then if the County says it's okay, we'd go to our Architectural 184 Review Committee. Mr. Goode has advised me that that was out of order. We had no 185 186 idea that was out of order. Of course we would submit plans in our neighborhood 187 before we would have something built. We didn't pay the money to have the plans 188 drawn because we were waiting to see if we could get the variance. If you said "no," then why pay money to have the plans. That was just our line of thought, and we've 189 190 been informed that's not right.

191

Mr. Balfour - Mr. Goode, if we approve it, I suspect you've got your legal rights through your architectural committee in any case.

194

195 Mr. Goode - Yes sir.

196

197 Mr. McKinney - Would you like a minute with her out in the hall to look at it?

198

Mr. Goode - She has not prepared a drawing yet. We'd have another crack at it from the Architectural Review Committee, and no one has expressed any concern about that. It's behind the house; it has good screening behind her house, and I believe I'm correct, am I not, Grayson? So it's good screening from the rear, so I don't think that would be a problem.

204

205 Mr. Kirkland - Ms. Glasgow, do you have anything to add? Is there any 206 more opposition?

207

208 Ms. Glasgow - I would just like it on record that we will not do anything unless it's approved.

210

211 Mr. Kirkland - Thank you ma'am. Anyone else wish to speak on this case? 212 That concludes the case.

213

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-103-2001**, in part, for a variance to build a

carport and screened porch at 9913 Carrington Place (Riverlake Colony) (Tax Parcel 98-7-E-28). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. Only the screened porch shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

The applicants were allowed to **withdraw without prejudice**, the part of the above variance regarding building a carport.

| 227 | Affirmative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney | 3 |
|-----|--------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 228 | Negative: | | 0 |
| 229 | Absent: | Nunnally, Wright | 2 |

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - Okay, call A-104-2001.

A -104-2001

HEZEKIAH WILKERSON requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a sunroom at 4740 Glen Finnian Drive (Yahley Mill East) (Tax Parcel 229-5-A-4), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant has 39 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 50 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 11 feet rear yard setback.

248 Mr. Kirkland - 249 case.

Is the applicant here for this case? We'll pass it again? Next

251 Mr. Blankinship - 252

This is a re-hearing.

A - 83-2001

LOIS MCGUIRE DURRETTE requests a variance from Section 24-95(i)(2) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a detached garage at 1050 Kukymuth Road (Tax Parcel 191-A-20), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). The accessory structure location requirement is not met. The applicant proposes a detached garage in the front yard, where the Code allows accessory structures in the rear yard.

Mr. Kirkland - Is the applicant here for this case? If you'd come forward.

Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would sir, ma'am, raise your right hand and be sworn in.

265

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

268

269 Mr. Kirkland - Would you state your name for the record please. You need 270 to get a little closer to the mike.

271

272 Ms. Durrette - I do. Lois McGuire Durrette.

273

274 Mr. Hood - I do. Joe E. Hood.

275

276 Mr. Kirkland - Have all your notices been turned in according to the County Code? We have them in the file. Okay, if you would, state your case.

278279

280 281

282 283

284

285

286

287

288 289

290291

292

293

294

295296

297

298299

300

301

302

303

304 305

306

307

Yes sir. Well first of all, we'd like to thank the Board for Mr. Hood allowing us to come and re-present our case. During the hearing, time before last, we met with the opposition in the hallway, and she was satisfied from getting a better understanding of where the garage was to be built. Of course, if you will remember, we came in and wanted to readdress the Board, which of course was against policy, but I'd like to get that out front, is the fact that the opposition is not in opposition. I failed to dwell upon the importance of drainage at this prior meeting. In fact, as I've looked at the situation even closer since then, and the drainage is a tremendous problem. The house, to get an understanding of the house, what we term as the front of the house, is actually located back from halfway of that acre lot. So that means the area to what we consider the rear of the house, is less than the front, and it doesn't afford very much room to put the structure. Now I failed to present it to the Board that the drainage starts in the property beyond us. You're looking at it from Kukymuth Road, it slopes from left to right, and you have this drainage coming from your neighboring property onto her property, on down into what we call the front yard. During real heavy rains, it pools up, and you have a little river down there. To what you consider the rear of the house, the distance between the boundary and her house is large enough to put this structure, but that's where we have the greatest slope on her property, and this is actually a river during these heavy rains. If we put the structure there, we're dealing now with a 30 by 42 foot building, so you see there's going to be a lot of water coming off of this building to go somewhere. Along with the water that normally drains there, this water either has to go on to the adjoining property, or to the house. We set it up, and the distance from the house to where the building would begin, was approximately 10 feet or so, not very much. So we're forcing this large amount of water towards the house. She has a basement, so that's not desirable. During the month of June, the basement flooded twice. I failed to tell you about that. I would like to tell you though, that Mr. Donati, our Supervisor, on his own time, Saturday morning, came out and looked at the situation, and I had the chance to show him and I wish you gentlemen had the time that you could come as well. I showed him the situation and the dilemma that we have, and I

| 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 | and Mr. Donati were in f well, and I understand the this probably. On this litt | ed with Mr. Marlles, and the County Manager, but Mr. Marlles avor of it. Mr. Marlles came out and looked at the property as nat both were in favor of it. Mr. Blankinship, I think, can verify le one-acre lot, there's only one logical place to put this garage, proposed it at the end of the driveway. I'll be glad to answer light have. |
|---|---|---|
| 315 316 317 | Mr. McKinney - side that faces the house | The way this garage is set, where would you enter it, from the e? |
| 318 319 320 | Mr. Hood - then there will be a door | Yes, there would be 2 doors at the head of the driveway, and from the side of the house. |
| 321 322 323 | Mr. McKinney - house? | Are you going to build the garage out of brick to match the |
| 324 325 326 | Mr. Hood - frame. | No sir, this will be frame. The upper part of the house is |
| 327 328 | Mr. McKinney - | How about the foundation of the garage? |
| 329 330 | Mr. Hood - | No sir. |
| 331 332 | Mr. McKinney - | What's that going to be, block? |
| 333 334 | Mr. Hood - | Yes sir. |
| 335 336 | Mr. McKinney - | Painted? |
| 337 338 339 | Mr. Hood - work of course. | Well the whole structure will be painted white, except for trim |
| 340 341 342 343 | | In this photo, Mr. McKinney, the garage will be about where 're looking with Kukymuth Road off to the left and the house off uld just come straight up the driveway into the garage. |
| 344 345 346 | Mr. Hood - slope from that end forwa | And you can probably see from the picture here how it does ard, or towards the house? |
| 347 348 | Mr. McKinney - garage is 42 feet long? | What's going to be in the rear of the garage? I mean, this |
| 349 350 | Mr. Hood - | We are allowing 10 feet clearance, or right of way, from the |

Mr. Blankinship -

power line.

351

352 353

He means inside the building. In the building.

354 355 Mr. McKinney -You've got it 42 feet long, and you're going to enter, you're going to have 2 overhead doors on the 30-foot side, is what I understand? The normal 356 357 garage is 24 by 24, a 2-car garage. 358 359 Mr. Hood -Well, for one thing, I do a little stained glass work, and I do a 360 little carpentry. 361 362 Mr. McKinney -So you'll have a little shop in the back of it? 363 364 Mr. Hood -Yes sir, that's my intention. 365 366 Mr. Kirkland -Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else wish to speak in favor of this case? Anyone else wish to speak at all? If not, that concludes 367 368 the case. Thank you sir. 369 370 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. 371 Balfour, the Board granted application A-83-2001 for a variance build a detached garage at 1050 Kukymuth Road (Tax Parcel 191-A-20). The Board granted the 372 373 variance subject to the following condition: 374 375 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions 376 to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning 377 Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable 378 regulations of the County Code. 379 380 3 381 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, 0 382 Negative: 383 Absent: 2 Nunnally, Wright 384 385 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the 386 unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and 387 388 authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 389 390 391 Mr. Kirkland -Next case, sir. 392 393 A -111-2001 RUSSELL L. RICE requests a variance from Section 24-95(c)1 of 394 Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 324 Seven 395 396 Pines Avenue (Woodlawn Terrace) (Tax Parcel 164-9-D-13), zoned

397

398

399

R-4, One-family Residence District (Varina). The minimum side

applicant has 4.5 feet minimum side yard setback and 10 feet total

yard setback and total side yard setback are not met.

| 400 401 402 403 | yar req | e yard setback, where the Code requires 7 feet minimum side d setback and 15 feet total side yard setback. The applicant uests variances of 2.5 feet minimum side yard setback and 5 total side yard setback. |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| 404 405 406 407 | Mr. Kirkland - raise your right hand an | Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would, sir, d be sworn in. |
| 408 409 410 | • | Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the nd nothing but the truth, so help you God? |
| 411 412 413 | Mr. Kirkland - notices been turned in a | Would you state your name for the record. Have all your coording to the County Code? We've got them in the file. |
| 414 415 416 417 | Mr. Hope - is Sterling Unlimited, ar Rice. | I do. Kent Hope. Yes, as far as I know. My company name ad if the variance is met, I'll be doing the work for Mr. and Mrs. |
| 418 419 | Mr. Kirkland - | Mr. Blankinship, I don't see them in here. |
| 420 421 | Mr. Blankinship - | I'm sure they were sent, Mr. Chairman. |
| 422 423 | Mr. Hope - | Are you talking about this? |
| 424 425 | Mr. Blankinship - | No, the notice to the adjoining property owners. |
| 425 426 427 | Mr. Kirkland - | The little mail slips, or the letters that you had signed? |
| 428 429 430 431 | Mr. Hope - changed all that, that t starting to do all of that. | Well the list, the lady at the County said that they had hey were supposed to send me all this stuff, and now they're |
| 432 433 | Mr. Blankinship - | That's right. This is the first month we did it ourselves. |
| 434 435 436 | Mr. Kirkland - Mr. Blankinship? | Oh you did it yourself? I need to ask you every month now, |
| 437 438 | Mr. Balfour - | They have been sent? |
| 439 440 441 | Mr. Hope - in the audience)? | Did they send you anything in the mail (speaking to someone |
| 441 442 443 | Mr. Blankinship - | The adjoinders are here, and they are in receipt. |
| 443 444 445 | Mr. Kirkland - | We have it. State your case. |

446 Mr. Hope -Mr. and Mrs. Rice, they're going to be inheriting a grandchild in the fall, and basically, they just want to put an addition on for the child. The two 447 rooms in the house at this time, are occupied, so they kind of want to have a separate 448 449 room. As you can see in the photo, Mr. Rice had a variance for that, in the early '60's 450 he said, to have that put on. What they want to do is enclose that and add on, if you can see where the steps are. I believe it was about 8 by 12. So what they would be 451 452 doing, they wouldn't be coming out any further, they would just match up with what is 453 there.

454

455 Mr. Kirkland - You're squaring it up in other words?

456

460

461 Mr. Blankinship - 1968. Are you going to rebuild the roof over this porch?

462

463 Mr. Hope - Yes. Actually I was going to leave the existing roof there, and then we would come up a little bit higher and come off the side to match the other one.

465

466 Mr. Blankinship - You won't have 2 gables?

467

Mr. Hope - No. What I'll be doing is going up to the actual peak, as high as I can go, but you can't see it in this photo, but there's a window just on the other side, and we would be coming right up underneath of that. Of course everything would match the house existing, which would probably be white vinyl siding, double five, and have a couple of insulated windows put in.

473

474 Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions by Board members?

475

Mr. Hope - I don't know if Mr. and Mrs. Rice would like to add anything to it? She said she was just going to have a seat today.

478

 $479\,$ Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case sir.

481 482

483

484

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-111-2001** for a variance to build an addition at 324 Seven Pines Avenue (Woodlawn Terrace) (Tax Parcel 164-9-D-13). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

485 486 487

1. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

489 490

488

491 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney,

492 Negative: 0 493 Absent: Nunnally, Wright 2 494 495 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code 496 497 would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and 498 authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property 499 nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 500 501 Mr. Kirkland -Next one sir. 502 503 504 A -112-2001 **DAVE DUONG** requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 505 24 of the County Code to build a sunroom over existing deck at 4400 Hungary Glen Terrace (Hungary Glen) (Tax Parcel 50-22-A-506 507 1), zoned R-3AC, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Brookland). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant has 508 22.5 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 35 feet rear 509 510 yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 12.5 feet rear 511 yard setback. 512 513 Mr. Kirkland -Is the applicant here for this case? 514 515 (From the audience) -Excuse me, is this a Melani Brothers case? 516 517 Mr. Kirkland -I can't hear you; you'll have to come down here to speak. Sir you've got to get to the mike. State your name sir. Have you been sworn in. Raise 518 519 your right hand. 520 521 Mr. Reardon -Darcy Reardon, from Melani Brothers Inc. I'll raise my left; 522 it's the best I can do for you. 523 524 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 525 526 527 Mr. Reardon -Yes. 528 529 Mr. Kirkland -We have the notices. Proceed. 530 531 Mr. Reardon -David Duong requests a variance, as you said, from Section 532 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a sunroom over an existing deck. It's 533 4400 Hungary Glen Terrace. The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant has 22.5 534 feet in the rear yard, and the Code requires 35 feet for the rear in the setback. The 535 applicant requests a variance of 12.5 feet in the rear yard setback. 536

Mr. Kirkland -

537

So you're just enclosing the existing deck.?

| 538 539 540 | Mr. Reardon - | Yes sir. | |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------|
| 541 542 | Mr. McKinney - | Mr. Reardon, are you with Melani Brothers? | |
| 543 544 | Mr. Reardon - | Yes sir. | |
| 545 546 | Mr. McKinney - | What'd they do, just drop this on you last night? | |
| 547 548 549 | Mr. Reardon - went to the wrong court | Yes sir. There are 2 others that I think I was a little la house. | te for; I |
| 550 551 552 | Mr. Kirkland - to speak on this case? | Any other questions by Board members? Anyone els If not, that concludes the case sir. | se wish |
| 552 553 554 555 556 557 | Balfour, the Board gra existing deck at 4400 l | olic hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded nted application A-112-2001 for a variance to a sunroo Hungary Glen Terrace (Hungary Glen) (Tax Parcel 50-2 variance subject to the following condition: | m over |
| 558 559 560 561 | with the application. N | all be developed in substantial conformance with the plate of substantial changes or additions to the layout may be the Board of Zoning Appeals. | |
| 562 563 | Affirmative: Bal Negative: | • | 3 0 |
| | | | |
| 564 565 | Absent: Nu | nnally, Wright | 2 |
| 565 566 567 568 569 570 | The Board granted this unique circumstances would produce undue hauthorizing this variance | | e to the Code |
| 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 | The Board granted this unique circumstances would produce undue hauthorizing this variance | nnally, Wright request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due of the subject property, strict application of the County ardship not generally shared by other properties in the are e will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent p | e to the Code ea, and roperty |
| 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 | The Board granted this unique circumstances would produce undue h authorizing this varianc nor materially impair the Mr. Reardon - | request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due of the subject property, strict application of the County ardship not generally shared by other properties in the are e will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent per purpose of the zoning regulations. | e to the Code ea, and roperty |
| 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 576 577 | The Board granted this unique circumstances would produce undue h authorizing this varianc nor materially impair the Mr. Reardon - already called them? | request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due of the subject property, strict application of the County ardship not generally shared by other properties in the are e will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent per purpose of the zoning regulations. Just wait for the other 2 to be called, because I this | e to the Code ea, and roperty |
| 565 566 567 568 569 570 571 572 573 574 575 | The Board granted this unique circumstances would produce undue h authorizing this variance nor materially impair the Mr. Reardon - already called them? Mr. Kirkland - | request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due of the subject property, strict application of the County ardship not generally shared by other properties in the are e will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent per purpose of the zoning regulations. Just wait for the other 2 to be called, because I this Are you the Hezekiah Wilkerson case? | e to the Code ea, and roperty |

| 584 585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592 | A -104-2001 | of Ch Finni Agric appli 50 fe | EKIAH WILKERSON requests a variance from Section apter 24 of the County Code to build a sunroom at 47 an Drive (Yahley Mill East) (Tax Parcel 229-5-A-4), zo cultural District (Varina). The rear yard setback is not more cant has 39 feet rear yard setback, where the Code set rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variangear yard setback. | 40 Glenned A-1, net. The requires |
|---|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| 593 594 595 | Mr. Blankinship - to speak to this cas | se? | You're still under oath. Is there anyone else who we | ould like |
| 596 597 598 | Mr. Kirkland - would, state your o | | And we have the notices for this case in the file, so this item too. | o if you |
| 599 600 601 602 603 604 605 | address is 4740 Agricultural Distric | Glen I t is Va The | And this is the Wilkerson case? A-104-2001, request-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a sunroce sinnian Drive. Tax Parcel is 229-5-A-4. It is zon rina. The rear setback is not met. The applicant has Code requires a 50-foot rear yard setback. The affect rear yard. | om. The led A-1, s 39 feet |
| 606 607 608 609 610 611 | information for us | on that | If I may, Mr. Chairman, the question on this or rear property line is located. Unless you have so to the thick that we received with the application was either income to the property line running through the middle | me new orrect or |
| 612 613 614 | Mr. Reardon - would like to withd | raw. | Okay, you're absolutely correct. This is the one | that we |
| 615 616 | Mr. Blankinship - | | Withdraw? Good news. | |
| 617 618 | Mr. Kirkland - | | Okay, so I have a motion that we withdraw this case? | |
| 619 620 621 622 623 | Balfour, the Board sunroom at 4740 | allowe Glen F | c hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, secondered withdrawal of application A-104-2001 for a variance finnian Drive (Yahley Mill East) (Tax Parcel 229-5-A-4) without prejudice at the request of the applicant. | e build a |
| 624 625 626 627 | Affirmative: Negative: Absent: | | our, Kirkland, McKinney, nally, Wright | 3 0 2 |

Mr. Kirkland - And the Braxton case; we've already heard that; that was the first case? We've already heard that one, so you missed out. So have a seat. All right, let's hear the next one.

A -113-2001

BARRETT AND SONJA HUBBARD request a variance from Section 24-95(k) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a pool at 2652 Laclede Avenue (Pine Run) (Tax Parcel 56-5-J-40), zoned R-2A, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The side yard setback for an accessory structure is not met. The applicants have 36 feet side yard setback, where the Code requires 65 feet side yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 29 feet side vard setback.

Mr. Kirkland - Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would, sir, raise your right hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Kirkland - State your name for the record. And we have all your notices, so proceed.

Mr. Hubbard - I do. Barrett Hubbard. We're just requesting a setback, sort of as it reads, request a variance from Section 24-95(k) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a pool at the address located there, zoned R-2A. The side yard setback for the accessory structure is not met, a 36-yard (foot) setback, where the Code requires 65 feet. Applicants request a variance of 29 feet. We have an unusual lot, and this is going to be fenced, by the way, which I think was in some of the notes, and with a 6-foot fence. If we do the 65 feet from the nearest point, which I believe is what we were told is the zone, it shoves it extremely to the far-left side of the house. What we want to do is center it on that deck and sunroom that's already existing on the structure. It actually will be more convenient, quite frankly, for all the neighbors. When you get to the photo of the screening, you can see the swing set, but there's a rhododendron bush right in the middle, and that's kind of where it will be, right there, and that's where it will sit. There will be a 6-foot fence that runs off the garage all the way around the lot, that we've got ready to go, but we didn't want to do anything until we had your approval.

Mr. Kirkland - A 6-foot fence?

Mr. Hubbard - Yes. Do I need a bigger fence?

Mr. Blankinship - The front yard here is going to be Poplar Forest.

Mr. Kirkland - I don't think you can run a 6-foot fence along the front.

Mr. Hubbard -No, we're not on the front. That street right there that's on the left side, the curb you can see, is Laclede Avenue. It'll run off the corner of the garage, which is on the left. If you go to the tree on the far left of the lot, yes, right there is the corner of the garage, to the left a little more. It'll run off that diagonally, a little more to the left, the other left, it'll run back along the lot and up to the edge and then fence in the whole back yard structure. It might even be more help to go back to the plat, quite frankly, and get a better sense of this. If you run right off that corner, that's the driveway, and you can almost follow the lot line, that's exactly what the fence will do, cut back there, and straight up to the back. There'll be no fence on the front half of the house.

Mr. McKinney - You can put your fence up whether you have the pool or not?

Mr. Hubbard - Correct, but the real issue for us, quite frankly, is we have a lot of trees, and we're not going to cut all those trees down, unless we're going to get the pool approved. We may put the fence up, but we want to do the trees first, then the fence, and we have a 10-foot gate, and they can build the pool. We're trying to use a moderate degree of logic in the sense of, if we have a fence up and they're trying to take trees down, that could be potentially perilous to that fence. We'd rather have that done first, then the fence, then the pool.

Mr. Kirkland - Will you have any screening around the pool itself?

Mr. Hubbard - When you say around the pool, which way are you suggesting, a specific fence around that?

Mr. Kirkland - No, not a fence, shrubs, bushes, anything?

Mr. Hubbard - We will probably landscape in some nice fashion. It's a nice neighborhood, and we'll landscape in some form or fashion around that fence on that back edge, just to keep things pleasant. I think all our neighbors, I've talked to every one of them; I don't think they have any objections, again, it's a pretty nice neighborhood, and I would expect us to do that.

708 Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else wish 709 to speak on this case? That concludes the case, sir.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-113-2001** for a variance to a pool at 2652 Laclede Avenue (Pine Run) (Tax Parcel 56-5-J-40). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

| 720 | Affirmative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, | 3 |
|-----|--------------|------------------------------|---|
| 721 | Negative: | | 0 |
| 722 | Absent: | Nunnally, Wright | 2 |

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - All right, Mr. Blankinship.

A -114-2001

WILLIAM B. AND JOYCE MAY request a variance from Sections 24-95(c)(1) and 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an attached garage at 211 Wexleigh Drive (Dorset Woods) (Tax Parcel 111-11-D-9), zoned R-1, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The minimum side yard setback and rear yard setback are not met. The applicants have 13 feet minimum side yard setback and 12 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 14.3 feet minimum side yard setback and 50 feet rear yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 1.3 feet minimum side yard setback and 38 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Kirkland - Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would, ma'am, raise your right hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Kirkland - State your name for the record. Have all your notices been turned in? We have them in the file. Okay, proceed with your case.

 Ms. May - Yes I do. Joyce May. My husband and I are requesting the variances that we don't meet on the back and the side for a 2-car attached garage. Our options were very limited. We could not put the garage behind the house. We and the architect came up with this kind of angled design, so we wouldn't impede on our neighbors as much, and we thought it would look better. We have a slight mechanical problem in the house also; when you walk into the back door, you walk into the furnace basically, and we wanted to extend our utility area so we could move our mechanical systems away from our living space. That's why we chose an attached garage.

Mr. Blankinship - So you're going to move your mechanical system into this new structure and just have duct work

Ms. May -Right. It's an oil furnace, and it's sort of dirty. It's literally almost in the house with us. It's right beside our breakfast room. Mr. Blankinship -If this were a detached garage, you wouldn't need the variance, but that wouldn't work. Ms. May -Right. But the mechanical proves to be a nuisance Mr. Kirkland -Is this garage going to be brick? Ms. May -The face of it's going to be brick. The sides will be vinyl to match the gables. Around the back of the garage will be in vinyl because it will sort of impede on our back window area of the house, and we didn't want to be looking at a big brick wall. The architect suggested that the white vinyl in the rear would be visually more aesthetic, and with the shrubs and everything that we plan on doing the landscaping. But the face of the garage will be brick, hopefully to match the old brick.

Mr. Kirkland - All right, Mr. McKinney, did I ask your question?

784 Mr. McKinney - I didn't have anything.

Ms. May - So I didn't have opposition from the neighbors? I didn't expect it. I talk to most of my neighbors, and with the improvements that we're making to the property, they didn't seem to have a problem.

790 Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, ma'am, that concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-114-2001** for a variance to build an attached garage at 211 Wexleigh Drive (Dorset Woods) (Tax Parcel 111-11-D-9). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

802 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney,
803 Negative:
804 Absent: Nunnally, Wright
3
0
2

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

| 811 812 813 | Mr. Kirkland - | Next case, Mr. Blankinship. |
|---|--|--|
| 814 815 816 817 818 819 | | NEXTEL requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to operate a "Cell on Wheels" at 609 East Laburnum Avenue (Tax Parcel 106-11-F-10), zoned B-3C, Business District (Conditional) (Fairfield). |
| 820 821 | Mr. Kirkland - | If you would, sir, raise your right hand and be sworn in. |
| 822 823 824 | | Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the a, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? |
| 825 826 827 | Mr. Kirkland - proceed. | State your name for the record. We have all your notices, so |
| 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 | Chuck Rothenberg. for a temporary of communication facil NEXTEL's coverage customers. The use mentioned, it would during the race peri traffic or County inforpoperty owners. I' | I do. Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, my name is I'm an attorney here today on behalf of NEXTEL. This request is onditional use permit that would allow a temporary wireless ity at the fairgrounds power wash during race week to improve and capacity during a very intensive period of time for wireless is consistent, I believe, with the commercial use in the area. As I be a temporary use, only during a couple of weeks, about 15 days, od. We don't anticipate any negative effect on adjacent property, rastructures. We have provided a separate notice to the adjacent m not aware of any opposition. We respectfully request that you and I'd be happy to answer any questions. |
| 840 841 842 | | Chuck, we've had one in here before for Verizon; they called is a "Cell on Wheels." |
| 843 844 | Mr. Rothenberg - other name is still a C | It's a COW. It sounds better, Mr. McKinney, a COW by any COW. |
| 845 846 847 | Mr. Kirkland - | Is this the same location we had on the last one? |
| 848 849 | Mr. Blankinship - | No, it's on the same strip, but it's farther down. |
| 850 851 852 | Mr. Kirkland - to speak on this case | Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else wish? |
| 853 854 | Mr. Blankinship - | Do we know how tall this is going to be? |
| 855 856 | Mr. Rothenberg - up to 100 feet. | I believe that the maximum height of the facility could extend |

| 857 | | |
|-----|-------------------|--|
| 858 | Mr. Blankinship - | But we don't know how tall it's actually going to be extended? |
| 859 | | |
| 860 | Mr. Rothenberg - | Probably over 60, maybe 60 to 80 feet. |
| 861 | | |
| 862 | Mr. McKinney - | Is that one of those crank up deals? |
| 863 | | |
| 864 | Mr. Rothenberg - | It's a telescopic pole, correct. |
| 865 | | |
| 866 | Mr. McKinney - | So it's going to be there 2 weeks? |
| 867 | | |
| 868 | Mr. Rothenberg - | Correct. |
| 869 | | |
| 870 | Mr. Kirkland - | Anyone else wish to speak? That concludes the case. |
| 871 | | |

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board **granted** application **UP-22-2001** for a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to operate a "Cell on Wheels" at 609 East Laburnum Avenue (Tax Parcel 106-11-F-10). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The property shall be used in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.
- 2. The Cell on Wheels shall not be erected before September 1, 2001. It shall be removed on or before September 15, 2001, at which time this permit shall expire.

| 885 | Affirmative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, | 3 |
|-----|--------------|------------------------------|---|
| 886 | Negative: | | 0 |
| 887 | Absent: | Nunnally, Wright | 2 |

The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

Mr. Kirkland -Next case.

A -115-2001 RHONDA TYLER requests a variance from Sections 24-94 and 24-9 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a single family dwelling at 1110 Oakland Road (Tax Parcel 180-A-90), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Varina). The lot width requirement and public street frontage requirement are not met. The applicant has 30 feet lot width and 30 feet public road frontage, where the Code requires 80 feet lot width and 50 feet public road frontage. The

applicant requests variances of 50 feet lot width and 20 feet public road frontage.

Mr. Kirkland - Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would, sir, ma'am, raise your right hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Kirkland - State your name for the record. Have all your notices been turned in? We have them in the file. Proceed with your case.

Ms. Holley - I do. I'm Charlotte Holley, with Holley Realty, representative with Thomas Hodge for Ms. Tyler regarding this variance.

Mr. Hodge - Yes I do. I'm Thomas Hodge, representing Ms. Tyler.

Ms. Holley - Rhonda Tyler requests a variance from Sections 24-94 and 24-9 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a single family dwelling on Oakland Road. The applicant has 30 feet of lot width and 30 feet of road frontage, where the Code requires 80 feet of lot width and 50 feet of public road frontage, so she requests a variance of 50 feet lot width and 20 feet public road frontage. Actually, the 30 feet of public road frontage that she's got, as you see up there, that 30 feet back has not been in your tax base for the last 20 years.

When Mr. Nelson passed away, he left it to an aunt, and she passed away, and it kind of just dropped from the records. So we went and looked up some heirs to the property of Mr. Nelson and found that Sally Nelson passed away, and that's where the title stopped. We couldn't find anything else, who owned the property, the 30-foot road, so I called some of the heirs of Mr. Nelson and found out that there was a lady who was living in a nursing home in Richmond who actually owned the property. She was not able to communicate, so we had to find her Power of Attorney, which we did. Actually the owners of the property, the Madison's estate, have purchased this 30-foot road to add to the 3.24 acres for Ms. Tyler to give her 30-foot estate road frontage, and when he sold the left parcel, which you see the 20-foot right-of-way road, he reserved that 20 feet for the property going back. It was understood by Mr. Madison and Mr. Nelson, when the property was sold to one another, between the 2 parcels, top and bottom parcels, there's a little 30-foot road as well, right there. That was actually an ingressegress road; they were given the right to use that road, perpetual easement to that road, and it should have been also to the 30-foot road as well, but they failed to do it. Whatever attorney did it, they just overlooked it. So we're trying to, number 1, add this 30-foot road back to the tax base, and give the Tyler's 30-foot estate road frontage. It has no parcel ID number now. We've called to see if we owe back taxes, and they said, "no, if we get it back into the base, then it'll be paid taxes on."

947 It has been perked, the property's been perked; it perked fine. We do not have an 948 actual perk test to show you because Mr. Stringer said that they were just going to wait, because at the time we had the corners marked, the survey hadn't been done, and he 949 950 wanted to make sure he was in the right perimeter. Since then he has been back out. He did look at it; it was within the perimeter where he perked it out, but they didn't have 951 time to issue the permit. I have had faxed to me today, up at your Planning Office, the 952 survey that shows everything I've showed you. It's better than this one. But he only 953 finished it this morning if you'd like for me to give it to you to review. 954

955

956 Mr. Blankinship - Yes, if you have a copy. This is essentially the same 957 drawing.

958

959 Ms. Holley - Well, it's essentially the same, but that's the survey that will be recorded, not the one there.

961

962 Mr. Hodge - Also that 20 feet is deeded, so they actually have 50 feet 963 back to all their property.

964

965 Ms. Holley - Yes, it's a 50-foot state road and 20-foot right-of-way.

966

967 Mr. Hodge - When they sold that other parcel, 20 feet was deeded off to go with the 30.

969 970

Mr. Kirkland - Have you read all the conditions to this case sir, ma'am?

971 972

973

974

975

976

977

978 979 Ms. Holley - I read them while I was sitting over there, and I don't think other than the compliance with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the approval of the health permit, and the legal access to the property has been obtained, we showed that, and maintaining the road. Actually, d you see the pond on the right-hand side. You probably want to know this, because it will be an impact on the future; that's actually, one tax ID number is for that particular part right there, the 180-A-89, but when the title work was done it was really 2 parcels. When this is done and over with, the Madison estate plans on using that 20-foot right-of-way and Mr. Tyler will deed a 30-foot easement and ask for another variance on that parcel there.

980 981 982

Mr. McKinney - That's a different case, Ms. Holley.

983

984 Ms. Holley - Well, you needed to know that, because it would make a difference I think.

986

987 Mr. McKinney - These conditions are standard conditions that you're going to 988 have to abide by before you get all your building permits and certificates of occupancy 989 and so forth.

990

991 Mr. Hodge - We've already done the perk and all, so we know that's okay.

993 Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? That concludes the case sir, ma'am.

 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board **granted** application **A-115-2001** for a variance to build a single family dwelling at 1110 Oakland Road (Tax Parcel 180-A-90). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

2. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval of a well location.

3. The applicant shall present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained.

4. The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access is improved to County standards and accepted into the County road system for maintenance.

1018Affirmative:Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney,31019Negative:01020Absent:Nunnally, Wright2

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - Okay, next case.

A -116-2001 LAWRENCE AND YOLI BROGLIO request a variance from Section 24-95(I)(7) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a privacy fence above retaining wall at 1919 Moonwind Place (Raintree) (Tax Parcel 67-3-U-23), zoned R-2A, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The maximum fence height is not met. The applicants have a fence up to 10 feet above the natural grade, where the Code allows a fence up to 7 feet above grade. The applicants request a variance of 3 feet fence height.

1040 Mr. Kirkland - Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would, sir, raise your right hand and be sworn in.

1042

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1045

1046 Mr. Broglio - Yes I do.

1047 1048

Mr. Kirkland - State your name for the record. Have all adjacent landowners been contacted? We have the notices in the file. Proceed with your case.

1049 1050 1051

1052

1053

1054 1055

1056

Mr. Broglio - Lawrence Broglio. The principal issue for us is safety, both to keep the pool in the yard, because of the slope of the land, and to have a 4-foot fence so that people don't fall off the retaining wall, where it is 6 feet, and to keep children and other people who might wander in, out of the pool. We have some pictures of what exists now. There are a couple of items in the advertisement to the zoning appeals case report that I'd like to bring to your attention if you'd like to see the documentation and the pictures.

1057 1058

Mr. Kirkland - Pass them to the Secretary. We will keep them for 30 days.

1059 1060 1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067 1068

1069

1070

1071

10721073

1074

10751076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081 1082

1083

1084

Mr. Broglio -So the advertisement right now says that the applicants have a fence up to 10 feet above the grade, and that is not the case, as you can see from the pictures that I'm passing around, that I took this morning. The fence is a 6-foot privacy fence around most of the retaining wall, and then I have inset about 4 ½ or 5 feet from the retaining wall, a picket fence, just for temporary safety, that is 4 feet high. The first picture that you have there is from the back yard looking forward, and I would draw your attention, if I could, to point number 3 on my letter. Do you have copies of my letter? The evaluation states, "The retaining wall and associated fill were put in place to raise the level of the real yard so the pool deck would be near the same elevation as the main floor of the house." That is an error, as you can tell from the pictures. Picture # 1, numbered on front and back right-hand corner, because it's hard to read on the front in the dark, the pool deck is about 5 ½ feet below the main floor of the house at natural grade, and the pool deck is also about a foot and a half below the floor of the crawl space, so we didn't raise it to match any floor in the house. We raised the back end, the east end, of the 40-foot section of land abutting the pool to make it level, so the 2 sides would be level, and put up a retaining wall to hold in the earth. Initially we thought we were going to get away without a retaining wall because the Pool Man, Inc. said we don't need one, and then as he was nearing completion, he said, "no, you need a retaining wall or you risk slide." So we put in a retaining wall, and the retaining wall guy said "you want to go level across the back, cutting into the grade," so in some parts there's no retaining wall, and in other parts, it goes to 6 feet. The part that is actually under question on the first attachment to the letter, on the east side, you can see there's 16 feet of fence that would be above the 7-foot allowable rear fence height, some of it at 8 feet, some of it at 9, some of it at 10. On the third page, you can see that the proposed wall is about 33 feet, 24 feet where we want a variance for the 8-foot part, the 9-foot part, the 9-foot 6 (inch) part, and the 10-foot part. Is that clear to everybody? You can see the 16-foot part on picture # 3, and the 24-foot part on picture # 6. The corner itself is on picture # 5. Am I making sense?

Mr. Kirkland - Keep going. So far, so good.

Mr. Broglio - The other suggestions that have been proposed to us are, to just continue the privacy fence all the way around the retaining wall because one neighbor has objected to the aesthetics of the retaining wall, one family. The thought is, if we run the privacy fence all the way around, then we've got the 6 or 7-foot, where we're allowed, privacy fence, covering up the whole wall. Then we still have the need to have the 4-foot protective fence. I don't think little kids are going to climb a 4, 5, or 6-foot wall, but the County says to have a 4-foot fence around the pool, so even if we run the privacy fence around for aesthetics, which we're prepared to do, we still need a 4-foot fence between the wall and the pool, for those 16 and 24 feet.

1102 Mr. Balfour - Are you saying you're planning to run the privacy fence or 1103 not?

1105 Mr. Broglio - It's really up to the decision. Somebody said, okay one of the County people who inspects fences says you can run your privacy fence all the way around a retaining wall.

1109 Mr. Balfour - That's up to you, if you want to, because that's not what you're asking us about. You're only asking us about increasing the height on the side where the retaining wall is, because that would exceed 7 feet, if I understand it correctly.

Mr. Broglio - Right, what I'm suggesting is, whichever way the neighbor in question would want it, is fine with us. We're going to landscape around it anyway, but we'd still need the 4-foot fence, whether it was atop the retaining wall or right adjacent to the extended privacy fence.

1118 Mr. Balfour - The retaining wall is what creates your problem, I gather.

1120 Mr. McKinney - The retaining wall, was a permit gotten on that, or

Mr. Broglio - No it wasn't. We're in the process of getting the permit now.
The guy who put it up said he'd been doing it for 20 years, J & S Landscaping, and he
never had to get a permit, so now we have an engineer who has come, who has drawn
up a report that we can take then to the County to get the permit for it.

1127 Mr. McKinney - The reason I'm asking, is what's holding that retaining wall in place?

1130 Mr. Broglio - Deadmen.

1131 1132 Mr. McKinney -How far back? 1133 1134 Mr. Broglio -He's got deadmen all the way along, except for the top row. 1135 1136 Mr. McKinney -You wouldn't need it on the top row. 1137 1138 Mr. Broglio -No, that's what he told me, and the deadmen have T's on 1139 them. 1140 Mr. McKinney -1141 And they go into the ground? 1142 1143 Mr. Broglio -Well the T's are crossed; they run parallel to the ground, but they're under the ground, so the deadmen go in it, various levels, 6 feet, 3 feet, 4 feet, 1144 whatever they are, and then there's T's across them so they bear the load of the earth 1145 1146 pushing down on the deadmen, and they're rebarred to the deadmen. 1147 1148 Mr. Balfour -Looked like I saw a little pink flamingo in one of those 1149 pictures, too. 1150 1151 Mr. Broglio -There is a green alligator; I don't know if we've got a pink 1152 flamingo. We haven't had a pink flamingo since we moved in, in 1992, and the 1153 departmental secretary at VCU came and planted 3 on our lawn. We went to the neighbors we'd met across the street and asked them if they'd put the pink flamingos on 1154 our lawn, you know, it was like a midnight raid, and Patricia hollered upstairs, "Mom, the 1155 1156 flamingos aren't theirs." And there was a great sigh of relief from upstairs, and we took the flamingos back to the theater department at VCU, where maybe they're used in a 1157 play occasionally. 1158 1159 1160 Mr. Kirkland -Any other questions by Board members? 1161 1162 Mr. Balfour -You don't have any objection, I guess, if we have a restriction 1163 that you get your permit you're supposed to get for the retaining wall? 1164 1165 Mr. Broglio -No, none. I think we've got to do it anyway, so we're planning on that. We are planning on landscaping, whichever way it goes, privacy or retaining 1166 1167 wall. 1168 Mr. Balfour -1169 You want one of these sets back; I think you gave us 2. 1170 1171 Mr. Broglio -No, I got a set for myself; I thought you might need them for

1173 1174

1172

1174 Mr. Kirkland - We've got one set in there; we'll do something with them.

Anyone else wish to speak on this case? Are you opposed or for it? Come on down.

the record.

Did you get sworn in? Come on down and be sworn. If you would, raise your right hand.

1178

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1181

1182 Mr. Zera - Yes I do.

1183

1184 Mr. Kirkland - State your name please. What do you want to say?

1185

1186 Mr. Zera -Frank Zera. Basically I think if you left that retaining wall up 1187 with nothing on top, it would be kind of an eyesore. I think you need to put something 1188 up there for safety and aesthetics sake. The only question I have, too, is the fence has stopped, the 6-foot fence, and probably it would be better to go to the end of the 1189 retaining wall, as one of the neighbors said. That way you wouldn't see the retaining 1190 wall, and I think even if the 4-foot fence was behind it, on top, it would bar the view of 1191 1192 the pool, which I think would give him privacy and I think it would also satisfy the people 1193 behind. I wouldn't see the pool that much from that, because it's the lower corner of my 1194 lot, but I agree that he needs something there, and I think if it was further around the 1195 retaining wall, rather in back of the pool, it would look a whole lot better. I do agree with 1196 what he's doing.

1197

1198 Mr. Kirkland - Any questions? Thank you sir. Anything else you wish to 1199 add?

1200

Mr. Broglio - Yes, I'm happy to put up to make a stipulation that we continue the privacy fence, so that the neighbors don't see the wall at all. If you rule that we can have it, then put it in the judgment.

1204

1205 Mr. Kirkland - Okay, no other people want to speak? That concludes the 1206 case.

1207

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-116-2001** for a variance to build a privacy fence above retaining wall at 1919 Moonwind Place (Raintree) (Tax Parcel 67-3-U-23). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1212

1213 1. This variance applies only to the maximum fence height requirement. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

1215

1216 2. A privacy fence shall be extended around the retaining wall to screen it from view.

1217

1218 3. The owners shall secure the necessary building permits for the retaining wall and satisfy all requirements of the building code.

1220

1221 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, 3 1222 Negative: 0 1223 Absent: Nunnally, Wright 2

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - All right, Mr. Blankinship.

 A -117-2001

EMBASSY SUITES HOTEL requests a variance from Section 24-96(b) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an additional meeting room at 2925 Emerywood Parkway (Commerce Center) (Tax Parcel 81-8-B-6), zoned M-1, Light Industrial District (Three Chopt). The parking requirement is not met. The applicant has 353 off-street parking spaces, where the Code requires 371 off-street parking spaces. The applicant requests a variance of 18 off-street parking spaces.

Mr. Kirkland - Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? Okay, sirs, would you raise your right hands and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Kirkland - And we have your notices in the file. Proceed with your case.

Mr. Custis - Yes. My name is Glenn Custis; I'm with Draper Aden Associates. The hotel, what they want to do is add an 1800 square foot addition, it would be the front left corner. This is Emerywood Parkway out here.

Mr. Kirkland - We'll put it under the camera. That way you can use the mike, and we can all see it.

Mr. Custis - Emerywood Parkway runs along the left side of the picture there, and the addition is going to go onto the front left corner, fill in that space there. When we looked into this, the requirements, we were told by the Planning Department, is that you have one space per room, plus one space per 100 square feet of meeting space. This was done in the early '80's. We went back, tried to go through the POD files to figure out how it was calculated to come up with the required number of spaces. We weren't able to do that, so we went back and tried to do our own evaluation to justify the spaces that were required, and basically, we've gone through and worked with the Planning Department. I guess what they're looking at is the meeting spaces, but they're also including the restaurant, and they included the kitchen, trying to justify the 353 spaces, and I guess they're also including the atrium area, which it does have seats in there. The restaurant and the atrium and all are basically associated with the hotel; it's

not a specialty restaurant where people would come in just to eat. It's generally for the use of the patrons of the hotel. So we're going to add this addition on, and currently they rarely use all the spaces they have now. The only space really left on the site to add 18 additional spaces, is right up along the front on Emerywood Parkway there. It's a landscaped area; that's basically the only space left. It was shown on the original plan. If they needed them, that's where they would go, but since the hotel rarely uses the parking lot in the back, I guess the feeling is that it's a shame to have to tear down a natural landscaped area to build spaces that they really currently don't have a need for now. Basically, that's our request, is not to have to add the additional 18 spaces associated with the 1800 square foot addition. Mr. Breeden here, he's with the hotel, will want to talk about the use.

> Mr. Breeden -My name is Jim Breeden; I'm the General Manager, and I've been the General Manager since 1994, and I can attest to, since I'm under oath, that the back parking lot of the hotel is very rarely ever used at all. As a matter of fact, right now, Covington Tours uses the back lot as a drop-off place for people who are going on tours, just to leave their cars. I think it's important to talk about why we're adding this addition to the hotel. In the onslaught of all the new competition, we feel that to be successful in the future, we need to change the way we do business a little bit, so the idea here is to change the mix of the business of the hotel. We're looking to get into more group business, small meetings and very high-tech meetings. The idea here was to take the existing ballroom, which has air walls, and we do weddings, a lot of local social functions, and reconstruct the ballroom into hard walls for high-tech meetings and get out of the social business. I think this has impact on the future parking needs of the hotel. I guess the point here is that we're not using the space that we have right now, and with the strategy for the hotel in the future is, the demands are actually going to be smaller, because the purpose of the meetings is to sell more overnight rooms on shoulder nights, like on Sundays and on Thursdays. Another thing is, in that area there is so much asphalt with white stripes in our lot, plus behind TGI Fridays and Piccadilly's , and it would just be a shame to take that area, which we just had landscaped this year and just put more asphalt and white stripes up there on Emerywood Parkway, when it really, really isn't needed.

Mr. McKinney - Mr. Breeden, Mr. Custis said that the atrium is not used for anything. Is that true?

Mr. Breeden - Embassy Suites, the brand, offers a full cooked-to-order breakfast for all the guests in the hotel. The atrium, first of all, I would say 50% of the atrium is all floral and trees and that sort of thing; it's a signature of Embassy Suites. You may or may not have been in the hotel. The other area is the area that's used to serve the complimentary breakfast to our hotel guests, and also the Manager's Reception at nighttime; that's the main purpose of the area.

Mr. McKinney - Do you still have the private clubs that come over there and have their cocktail parties and so forth?

| 1316 1317 1318 | Mr. Breeden - do weddings. | It's happening now, but as I said, the plan is - rig | ht now we |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--------------|
| 1319 1320 1321 1322 1323 | | I'm not talking about weddings. At one time the o over there, it may have been on Thursdays or Fridays, in that atrium. Now I've been there, and I've never had ar | for cocktail |
| 1324 1325 1326 1327 1328 | meets for breakfast because it's not ope | We did have, and still do have, a small leads It's like 10 or 12 people, and they usually use our in the morning, because we're using our atrium for the up that you're talking about, I'm not familiar with. | restaurant |
| 1329 1330 | Mr. McKinney - | And you've been there since '94? | |
| 1331 1332 | Mr. Breeden - | Yes, since 1994. | |
| 1333 1334 | Mr. McKinney - | It may have been before 1994; I don't know. | |
| 1335 1336 1337 | Mr. Kirkland - constructed out of th | Can I ask you a question. Is this building go e same materials as the rest of the hotel? | oing to be |
| 1338 1339 1340 1341 | | Yes, brick. Also, if you need to look at the board, I th. That's how we looked at the evaluation of the square he board and the calculations here. | _ |
| 1342 1343 1344 1345 | to speak? That con | Any other questions by Board members? Anyone icludes the case sir. We're going to take about a 10-mir with us, we'll be right back. | |
| 1346 1347 1348 1349 | Balfour, the Board g | public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, second ranted application A-117-2001 for a variance to build an Emerywood Parkway (Commerce Center) (Tax Parcel he variance subject to the following conditions: | additional |
| 1350 1351 1352 1353 | | e applies only to the parking requirement. All other bunty Code shall remain in force. | applicable |
| 1354 1355 1356 | with the application. | shall be developed in substantial conformance with the No substantial changes or additions to the layout may of the Board of Zoning Appeals. | • |
| 1357 1358 | | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, | 3 |
| 1359 1360 1361 | Negative: Absent: | Nunnally, Wright | 0 2 |

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - Back in session.

Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Chairman, would you like me to call the next 2 cases together; I think we're going to have the same presentation.

UP- 23-2001

VULCAN CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS, INC requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Sections 24-52(d) and 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to extract materials from the earth at 5090 New Market Road (Tax Parcel 270-A-1), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina).

UP- 24-2001

VULCAN CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS, INC. requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Sections 24-52(d) and 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to extract materials from the earth at 4731 Curles Neck Rd (Tax Parcel 270-A-1), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina).

Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak on this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1393 Mr. Kirkland - State your name for the record please.

1395 Mr. Lewis - I do. My name is Monte Lewis; I'm with Lewis and 1396 Associates, here representing Vulcan Materials.

1398 Mr. Brazell - I do. My name is Tom Brazell; I'm a geologist with Vulcan Materials.

Mr. Kirkland - Your notices have been turned in, and we have them in the file. We have a new procedure, and the reason I haven't asked you to hand them up, is the County is now handling the notice process, which I guess you guys are really happy about. I still have to ask that so it can go in the record. If you would, proceed with your case.

- 1407 Mr. Lewis -These 2 areas are present mining areas that just need renewal. They're still working these areas. The conditions we don't have any problem 1408 with. Two through 5 really aren't applicable, but we don't have any problem with them 1409 1410 leaving them in, since this is an active operation, and the permits have already been obtained. With that, if you have any questions on the operations, Mr. Brazell can 1411 answer that or the timing of how long these will remain active. You may recall, I think 1412 it's a couple of months ago, we had an additional area on F that was added to the top 1413 section of it. Because of the timing, we weren't able to do all of them at one time. 1414
- 1416 Mr. McKinney Any complaints, Mr. Blankinship?

1421

1426

14351436

1437

1438

1450

Mr. McKinney -

Mr. McKinney -

- Mr. Blankinship No sir, and Mr. Hackett informs me there are no outstanding
 issues for Public Works either.
- 1422
 1423 Mr. Blankinship Absolutely. I don't know when was the last time we upped

Still got all that money on deposit? Get any extra?

- 1424 these.
- 1427
 1428 Mr. Kirkland They sure do. Any other questions by Board members?
 1429 Anyone else wish to speak on this case? That concludes the cases sir.

They do a good job.

- 1430
 1431 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr.
 1432 McKinney, the Board **granted** application **UP-23-2001** for a conditional use permit to
 1433 extract materials from the earth at 5090 New Market Road (Tax Parcel 270-A-1. The
 1434 Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:
 - 1. This use permit is subject to all requirements of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code.
- 1439 Before beginning any work, the applicant shall provide a financial guaranty in an 2. amount of \$2,000.00 per acre for each acre of land to be disturbed, for a total of 1440 1441 \$308,000.00 guaranteeing that the land will be restored to a reasonably level and drainable condition. This permit does not become valid until the financial guaranty has 1442 been approved by the County Attorney. The financial guaranty may provide for 1443 termination after 90 days notice in writing to the County. In the event of termination, this 1444 permit shall be void, and work incident thereto shall cease. Within the next 90 days the 1445 applicant shall restore the land as provided for under the conditions of this use permit. 1446 Termination of such financial guaranty shall not relieve the applicant from its obligation 1447 to indemnify the County of Henrico for any breach of the conditions of this use permit. If 1448 this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void. 1449
- 1451 3. 3. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall submit erosion control plans to the Department of Public Works for review and approval. Throughout the life of

- the operation, the applicant shall continuously satisfy the Department of Public Works that erosion control procedures are properly maintained, and shall furnish plans and bonds that the department deems necessary. The applicant shall provide certification from a licensed professional engineer that dams, embankments and sediment control structures meet the approved design criteria as set forth by the State. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.
- 4. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall obtain a mine license from the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.
- 5. Before beginning any work, the areas approved for mining under this permit shall be delineated on the ground by five-foot-high metal posts at least five inches in diameter and painted in alternate one foot stripes of red and white. These posts shall be so located as to clearly define the area in which the mining is permitted. They shall be located, and their location certified, by a certified land surveyor. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.
 - 6. In the event that the Board's approval of this use permit is appealed, all conditions requiring action within 90 days will be deemed satisfied if the required actions are taken within 90 days of final action on the appeal.
 - 7. The applicant shall comply with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and all state and local regulations administered under such act applicable to the property, and shall furnish to the Planning Office copies of all reports required by such act or regulations.
- Hours of operation shall be from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. when Daylight Savings Time is in effect, and from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at all other times.
- 1483 9. No operations of any kind are to be conducted at the site on Sundays, or national holidays.
- 1486 10. Routes of ingress and egress shall be over the applicant's rights of ways to the loading area at the James River as outlined on the map filed with the application.
- 1489 11. The applicant shall post and maintain a sign at the entrance to the mining site stating the name of the operator, the use permit number, the mine license number, and the telephone number of the operator. The sign shall be 12 square feet in area and the letters shall be three inches high.
- 1494 12. The applicant shall post and maintain "No Trespassing" signs every 250 feet along the perimeter of the property. The letters shall be three inches high. The applicant shall furnish the Chief of Police a letter authorizing the Division of Police to enforce the "No Trespassing" regulations, and agreeing to send a representative to testify in court as required or requested by the Division of Police.

1463

1470 1471

1472

1473 1474 1475

1476

1477

1478 1479

1482

1488

1500 13. The applicant shall provide a flagman to control traffic from the site onto the public road, with the flagman yielding the right of way to the public road traffic at all times. This flagman will be required whenever the Division of Police deems necessary.

14. All roads used in connection with this use permit shall be effectively treated with calcium chloride or other wetting agents to eliminate any dust nuisance.

15. The applicant shall maintain the property, fences, and roads in a safe and secure condition indefinitely, or convert the property to some other safe use.

16. If, in the course of its preliminary investigation or operations, the applicant discovers evidence of cultural or historical resources, or an endangered species, or a significant habitat, it shall notify appropriate authorities and provide them with an opportunity to investigate the site. The applicant shall report the results of any such investigation to the Planning Office.

17. If water wells located on surrounding properties are adversely affected, and the extraction operations on this site are suspected as the cause, the effected property owners may present to the Board evidence that the extraction operation is a contributing factor. After a hearing by the Board, this use permit may be revoked or suspended, and the operator may be required to correct the problem.

18. Open and vertical excavations having a depth of 10 feet or more, for a period of more than 30 days, shall be effectively sloped to a 2:1 slope or flatter to protect the public safety.

19. Topsoil shall not be removed from any part of the property outside of the area in which mining is authorized. Sufficient topsoil shall be stockpiled on the property for respreading in a layer with five inches of minimum depth. All topsoil shall be stockpiled within the authorized mining area and provided with adequate erosion control protection. If the site does not yield sufficient topsoil, additional topsoil shall be brought to the site to provide the required five-inch layer of cover. All topsoil shall be treated with a mixture of seed, fertilizer, and lime as recommended by the County after soil tests have been provided to the County.

20. No offsite-generated materials shall be deposited on the mining site without prior written approval of the Director of Planning. To obtain such approval, the operator shall submit a request stating the origin, nature and quantity of material to be deposited, and certifying that no contaminated or hazardous material will be included. The material to be deposited on the site shall be limited to imperishable materials such as stone, bricks, tile, sand, gravel, soil, asphalt, concrete and like materials, and shall not include any hazardous materials as defined by the Virginia Hazardous Waste Management Regulations.

- 21. A superintendent, who shall be personally familiar with all the terms and conditions of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code, as well as the terms and conditions of this use permit, shall be present at the beginning and conclusion of operations each work day to see that all the conditions of the Code and this use permit are observed.
- 22. A progress report shall be submitted to the Board on August 31, 2002. This progress report must contain information concerning how much property has been mined to date of the report, the amount of land left to be mined, how much rehabilitation has been performed, when and how the remaining amount of land will be rehabilitated, and any other pertinent information about the operation that would be helpful to the Board.
- 23. Excavation shall be discontinued by August 31, 2003, and restoration accomplished by not later than August 31, 2004, unless a new permit is granted by the Board of Zoning Appeals.
- 1561 24. The rehabilitation of the property shall take place simultaneously with the mining process. Rehabilitation shall not be considered completed until the mined area is covered completely with permanent vegetation.
- 25. All drainage and erosion and sediment control measures shall conform to the standards and specifications of the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook. Any drainage structures in place prior to October 14, 1992, and which do not conform to the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook, may remain in place until such time as any reconstruction is required, at which time said structures shall be brought into conformance with the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook.
- 1572 26. Failure to comply with any of the foregoing conditions shall automatically void this permit. 1574
- 1575 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, 3
 1576 Negative: 0
 1577 Absent: Nunnally, Wright 2
- 1578
 The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.
- After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board **granted** application **UP-24-2001** for a conditional use permit to extract materials from the earth at 4731 Curles Neck Rd (Tax Parcel 270-A-1). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:
- 1587 1. This use permit is subject to all requirements of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

 1589

1556

- Before beginning any work, the applicant shall provide a financial guaranty in an amount of \$2,000.00 per acre for each acre of land to be disturbed, for a total of \$409,500.00 guaranteeing that the land will be restored to a reasonably level and drainable condition. This permit does not become valid until the financial guaranty has been approved by the County Attorney. The financial guaranty may provide for termination after 90 days notice in writing to the County. In the event of termination, this permit shall be void, and work incident thereto shall cease. Within the next 90 days the applicant shall restore the land as provided for under the conditions of this use permit. Termination of such financial guaranty shall not relieve the applicant from its obligation to indemnify the County of Henrico for any breach of the conditions of this use permit. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.
- Before beginning any work, the applicant shall submit erosion control plans to the 3. Department of Public Works for review and approval. Throughout the life of the operation, the applicant shall continuously satisfy the Department of Public Works that erosion control procedures are properly maintained, and shall furnish plans and bonds that the department deems necessary. The applicant shall provide certification from a licensed professional engineer that dams, embankments and sediment control structures meet the approved design criteria as set forth by the State. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.
 - 4. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall obtain a mine license from the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.
 - 5. Before beginning any work, the areas approved for mining under this permit shall be delineated on the ground by five-foot-high metal posts at least five inches in diameter and painted in alternate one foot stripes of red and white. These posts shall be so located as to clearly define the area in which the mining is permitted. They shall be located, and their location certified, by a certified land surveyor. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.
 - 6. In the event that the Board's approval of this use permit is appealed, all conditions requiring action within 90 days will be deemed satisfied if the required actions are taken within 90 days of final action on the appeal.
 - 7. The applicant shall comply with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and all state and local regulations administered under such act applicable to the property, and shall furnish to the Planning Office copies of all reports required by such act or regulations.
- Hours of operation shall be from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. when Daylight Savings Time is in effect, and from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at all other times.
- 1634 9. No operations of any kind are to be conducted at the site on Sundays, or 1635 national holidays.

1637 10. Routes of ingress and egress shall be over the applicant's rights of ways to the loading area at the James River as outlined on the map filed with the application.

11. The applicant shall post and maintain a sign at the entrance to the mining site stating the name of the operator, the use permit number, the mine license number, and the telephone number of the operator. The sign shall be 12 square feet in area and the letters shall be three inches high.

12. The applicant shall post and maintain "No Trespassing" signs every 250 feet along the perimeter of the property. The letters shall be three inches high. The applicant shall furnish the Chief of Police a letter authorizing the Division of Police to enforce the "No Trespassing" regulations, and agreeing to send a representative to testify in court as required or requested by the Division of Police.

1651 13. The applicant shall provide a flagman to control traffic from the site onto the public road, with the flagman yielding the right of way to the public road traffic at all times. This flagman will be required whenever the Division of Police deems necessary.

14. All roads used in connection with this use permit shall be effectively treated with calcium chloride or other wetting agents to eliminate any dust nuisance.

15. The applicant shall maintain the property, fences, and roads in a safe and secure condition indefinitely, or convert the property to some other safe use.

 16. If, in the course of its preliminary investigation or operations, the applicant discovers evidence of cultural or historical resources, or an endangered species, or a significant habitat, it shall notify appropriate authorities and provide them with an opportunity to investigate the site. The applicant shall report the results of any such investigation to the Planning Office.

 17. If water wells located on surrounding properties are adversely affected, and the extraction operations on this site are suspected as the cause, the effected property owners may present to the Board evidence that the extraction operation is a contributing factor. After a hearing by the Board, this use permit may be revoked or suspended, and the operator may be required to correct the problem.

18. Open and vertical excavations having a depth of 10 feet or more, for a period of more than 30 days, shall be effectively sloped to a 2:1 slope or flatter to protect the public safety.

19. Topsoil shall not be removed from any part of the property outside of the area in which mining is authorized. Sufficient topsoil shall be stockpiled on the property for respreading in a layer with five inches of minimum depth. All topsoil shall be stockpiled within the authorized mining area and provided with adequate erosion control protection. If the site does not yield sufficient topsoil, additional topsoil shall be brought

to the site to provide the required five-inch layer of cover. All topsoil shall be treated with a mixture of seed, fertilizer, and lime as recommended by the County after soil tests have been provided to the County.

20. No offsite-generated materials shall be deposited on the mining site without prior written approval of the Director of Planning. To obtain such approval, the operator shall submit a request stating the origin, nature and quantity of material to be deposited, and certifying that no contaminated or hazardous material will be included. The material to be deposited on the site shall be limited to imperishable materials such as stone, bricks, tile, sand, gravel, soil, asphalt, concrete and like materials, and shall not include any hazardous materials as defined by the Virginia Hazardous Waste Management Regulations.

21. A superintendent, who shall be personally familiar with all the terms and conditions of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code, as well as the terms and conditions of this use permit, shall be present at the beginning and conclusion of operations each work day to see that all the conditions of the Code and this use permit are observed.

22. A progress report shall be submitted to the Board on August 31, 2002. This progress report must contain information concerning how much property has been mined to date of the report, the amount of land left to be mined, how much rehabilitation has been performed, when and how the remaining amount of land will be rehabilitated, and any other pertinent information about the operation that would be helpful to the Board.

1708 23. Excavation shall be discontinued by August 31, 2003, and restoration accomplished by not later than August 31, 2004, unless a new permit is granted by the 1710 Board of Zoning Appeals.

1712 24. The rehabilitation of the property shall take place simultaneously with the mining process. Rehabilitation shall not be considered completed until the mined area is covered completely with permanent vegetation.

25. All drainage and erosion and sediment control measures shall conform to the standards and specifications of the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook. Any drainage structures in place prior to October 14, 1992, and which do not conform to the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook, may remain in place until such time as any reconstruction is required, at which time said structures shall be brought into conformance with the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook.

1723 26. Failure to comply with any of the foregoing conditions shall automatically void this permit.

1726 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, 3 1727 Negative: 0

| 1728 | Absent: | Nunnally, Wright | 2 |
|------|----------------------|--|-------------|
| 1729 | | | |
| 1730 | The Board granted | the request because it found the proposed use will be in s | ubstantial |
| 1731 | accordance with th | ne general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the Cour | nty Code. |
| 1732 | | | |
| 1733 | Mr. Kirkland - | Okay, A-25-2002. | |
| 1734 | | · | |
| 1735 | | | |
| 1736 | UP- 25-2001 | TIDEWATER RETRIEVER CLUB requests a conditional u | use permit |
| 1737 | | pursuant to Section 24-12(b) of Chapter 24 of the County | y Code to |
| 1738 | | conduct dog training, tests and field trials and at 8558 St | |
| 1739 | | (Tax Parcel 248-A-24), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Va | rina). |
| 1740 | | | , |
| 1741 | Mr. Kirkland - | Is the applicant here for this case? | |
| 1742 | | • • | |
| 1743 | Mr. Blankinship - | This is the one I mentioned at the beginning of the | meeting, |
| 1744 | • | t I have been told was going to be withdrawn, but we have | • |
| 1745 | received that in wri | | , |
| 1746 | | ŭ | |
| 1747 | Mr. McKinney - | Who told you? | |
| 1748 | · | • | |
| 1749 | Mr. Blankinship - | Either Susan or Pris. Mrs. Parker received a call | from the |
| 1750 | applicant. | | |
| 1751 | 1 1 | | |
| 1752 | Mr. Kirkland - | Do I hear a motion to defer this to the next meetin | a? Since |
| 1753 | there's no one here | | J |
| 1754 | | | |
| 1755 | Mr. Balfour - | Do we need to defer it? | |
| 1756 | | | |
| 1757 | Mr. Kirkland - | Well, we'll have the full Board. | |
| 1758 | | | |
| 1759 | Mr. McKinney - | Well, you've got some people here who want to spea | ak on it. |
| 1760 | , | | |
| 1761 | Mr. Kirkland - | I can't hear the case without the applicant. We eith | er defer it |
| 1762 | or have it withdray | vn without prejudice, and I would like it to be deferred. I kr | |
| 1763 | | oday to speak, but we can't hear it without the applicant. | |
| 1764 | • • | ze ma'am, gentlemen, but we have to have the applicant her | |
| 1765 | | re a question, come forward and state your name, so we car | |
| 1766 | the record. | | J |
| 1767 | | | |
| 1768 | Ms. Wilberger - | My name is Wanda E. Wilberger; I live at 8471 R | Recreation |
| 1769 | • | ty backs up to West Sand and Gravel, where this proposed | |
| 1770 | • | s to have it. My question is, how much notice do they need | |
| 1771 | • | be here. We've taken time off from work to be here. | 5 |
| 1772 | , 5 5 | | |
| | | | |

| 1773 | Mr. Blankinship - | The Planning Office will know at least 14 days | before the next | | |
|--------------|---|--|-----------------|--|--|
| 1774 | meeting whether we're going to send notices or not. That would be the meeting of | | | | |
| 1775 | September 20, so by September 6, we will know for certain if they've withdrawn, so if | | | | |
| 1776 | you want to call the Planning Office on or after the 6th, we'll know whether or not it will | | | | |
| 1777 | be reheard. The withdrawal may come on the fax machine this afternoon, and if it does, | | | | |
| 1778 | then it won't be he | ard. | | | |
| 1779 | | | | | |
| 1780 | Mr. Kirkland - | Then you could call the Planning Office and as | k. | | |
| 1781 | | | | | |
| 1782 | Mr. Balfour - | It can't be deferred any more after this. | | | |
| 1783 | | | | | |
| 1784 | Mr. Blankinship - | It can't be deferred a second time. | | | |
| 1785 | | | | | |
| 1786 | Mr. Wilberger - | Hello. My name is James Wilberger; I live | | | |
| 1787 | · | | | | |
| 1788 | , | | | | |
| 1789 | ammunition in thei | r trials. | | | |
| 1790 | | | | | |
| 1791 | Mr. Kirkland - | That's part of the case, and we can't hear it. | | | |
| 1792 | | | | | |
| 1793 | Mr. Wilberger - | I just wondered if that would be part of the no | otice that goes | | |
| 1794 | out, because the n | otice that we received didn't say anything about that. | | | |
| 1795 | | - 1 | | | |
| 1796 | Mr. Blankinship - | That wouldn't be stated separately. | | | |
| 1797 | N.A NA/PH | The state of Billion and California Land | | | |
| 1798 | Mr. Wilberger - | Thank you; I'll let my neighbors know. | | | |
| 1799 | llasa sasatisa ku | Mr. Dalfavor accepted by Mr. Maleiner, the Daniel of | 7 : | | |
| 1800 | | Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board of Z | • | | |
| 1801 | deferred UP-25-2001 application for a conditional use permit to conduct dog training, | | | | |
| 1802 | tests and field trials and at 8558 Strath Road (Tax Parcel 248-A-24). The case was | | | | |
| 1803 | deferred for 30 days, from the August 23, 2001, until the September 20, 2001, meeting. | | | | |
| 1804 1805 | The Board deferred the request because there was no representation to present the | | | | |
| | case at the meetin | y. | | | |
| 1806 | Affirmative: | Polfour Kirkland McKinnov | 2 | | |
| 1807 | | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, | 3 | | |
| 1808 1809 | Negative: | Nunnally Wright | 0 2 | | |
| 1810 | Absent: | Nunnally, Wright | ۷ | | |
| 1811 | Mr. Kirkland - | Any other business here | | | |
| 1812 | ivii. Nii Nialiu - | Any other business here. | | | |
| 1813 | | | | | |
| 1814 | Election of Officers, 2001-2002 | | | | |
| 1815 | Fiermon or Onice | :13, 2001-2002 | | | |
| 1816 | Mr. Blankinship - | You need to elect your officers. I will ope | n the floor for | | |
| 1817 | • | e office of Chairman. | | | |
| 101/ | | onio or onaiman. | | | |

| 1819 1820 1821 | Mr. McKinney - Chairman. | Mr. Secretary, I move that we nominate Mr. Dan Balfo | our for | | |
|--|---|--|---------|--|--|
| 1822 1823 | Mr. Kirkland - | I'll second that. | | | |
| 1824 1825 | Mr. McKinney - | Move the nominations be closed. | | | |
| 1826 1827 1828 | Mr. Kirkland - Chairman, say aye | Nominations are closed. All in favor of electing Mr. Balfor. | our as | | |
| 1829 1830 | On a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board elected Mr. Daniel T. Balfour as Chairman of the Board of Zoning Appeals. | | | | |
| 1831 1832 1833 | Affirmative: Negative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, 3 | | | |
| 1834 1835 | Absent: | Nunnally, Wright 2 | | | |
| 1836 1837 1838 | Mr. Blankinship - Chairman. | I'll open the floor for nominations for the office of | Vice | | |
| 1839 1840 | Mr. McKinney - | I'll nominate Mr. Al Wright. | | | |
| 1841 1842 | Mr. Balfour - | I'll second. | | | |
| 1843 1844 | Mr. McKinney - | I move the nominations be closed. | | | |
| 1845 1846 | Mr. Blankinship - | All in favor, say aye. | | | |
| 1847 1848 1849 | On a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board elected Mr. R. A. Wright as Chairman of the Board of Zoning Appeals. | | | | |
| 1850 1851 | Affirmative: Negative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, 3 | | | |
| 1852 1853 | Absent: | Nunnally, Wright 2 | | | |
| 1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 | There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board adjourned at 10:41 am, until September 20, 2001, at 9:00 am. | | | | |
| 1860 | | Richard Kirkland, | | | |
| 1861 | | Chairman | | | |

| 1862 | |
|------|----------------------------|
| 1863 | Benjamin Blankinship, AICP |
| 1864 | Secretary |
| 1865 | |