MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF HENRICO COUNTY, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE HENRICO COUNTY GOVERNMENT COMPLEX, ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 16, 2004, AT 9:00 A.M., NOTICE HAVING BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE RICHMOND TIMES-DISPATCH ON NOVEMBER 24 AND DECEMBER 1, 2004.

5 6

1

2

3

4

Members Present: R. A. Wright, Chairman

James W. Nunnally, Vice-Chairman

Elizabeth G. Dwyer, Helen E. Harris

Richard Kirkland, CBZA

Also Present:

David D. O'Kelly, Assistant Director of Planning

Benjamin Blankinship, Secretary James F. Lehmann, County Planner Priscilla M. Parker, Recording Secretary

7 8

9

Mr. Wright - I call the meeting of the County of Henrico Board of Zoning Appeals to order. Would you stand for the **Pledge of Allegiance.** Mr. Secretary, would you read the rules, please.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, ladies Mr. Blankinship and gentlemen. The rules for this meeting are as follows. As Secretary, I will call each case. Then at that time the applicant should come to the podium. I will ask all those who intend to speak on that case, in favor or in opposition, to stand and be sworn in. The applicants will then present their testimony. After the applicant has spoken, the Board will ask them guestions, and then anyone else who wishes to speak will be given the opportunity. After everyone has spoken, the applicant, and only the applicant, will be given the opportunity for rebuttal. After hearing the case, and asking questions, the Board will take the matter under advisement. They will make all of their decisions at the end of the meeting. If you wish to know their decision on a specific case, you can either stay until the end of the meeting, or you can call the Planning Office later this afternoon, or you can check the website. The vote on each case will be posted to our website within an hour of the end of the meeting. This meeting is being tape recorded, so we will ask everyone who speaks, to speak directly into the microphone on the podium, to state your name, and to spell your last name please. And finally, out in the foyer, there are two binders, containing the staff report for each case, including the conditions that have been recommended by the staff.

28 29 30

Mr. Wright - Thank you sir. Do we have any requests for withdrawals or deferrals?

Mr. Blankinship - There is one request for a deferral, which is A-151-2004. There is a building line on the subdivision plat, and they have to get that vacated by the Board of Supervisors before they can come before you.

A-151-2004 ROBERT AND DARLENE DERKITS request a variance from Section 24-95(i)(2) to build a detached garage at 1800 Le-Suer

Road (Riohondo Hills) (Parcels 758-746-1659 and 2466), zoned R-2, One-family Residence District (Three Chopt). The accessory structure location requirement is not met. The applicants propose an accessory structure in the front yard, where the Code allows accessory structures in the rear yard. The applicants request a variance to allow an accessory structure in the front yard.

Mr. Wright - Do I hear a motion?

Upon a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **deferred** application **A-151-2004** for a variance to build a detached garage at 1800 Le-Suer Road (Riohondo Hills) (Parcels 758-746-1659 and 2466). The case was deferred, from the December 16, 2004, until the January 27, 2005, meeting.

Affirmative: Dwyer, Harris, Kirkland, Nunnally, Wright 5
Negative: 0
Absent: 0

The Board deferred the request pending the Board of Supervisors review of the application to vacate the building line shown on the subdivision plat.

Beginning at 9:00

A-147-2004

STANLEY J. SCHERMERHORN requests a variance from Section 24-9 to build a one-family dwelling at 11320 Winfrey Road (Parcels 779-774-3922 (part) and 779-773-0293 (part)), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Fairfield). The public street frontage requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet public street frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public street frontage. The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public street frontage.

Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Schermerhorn - I do. My name is Stan Schermerhorn. We're asking for the variance to build a dwelling on our property for a good friend. The problem is there is no access to a public road. That exists actually for us too. There's been no access for

well over a hundred years. There used to be an old trolley line from Richmond to Ashland, which actually cut the family property, and where Winfrey Road comes in, that easement is now owned by another family member. Actually our property, which already exists there, has no road frontage. I know one of the issues is access back to that property. My wife and I own an herb farm, and back where the single-family dwelling would be, is where we have our greenhouses. We put in a road back to that area, which is satisfactory for tractor-trailer traffic. We have a 50-foot turn-around back there, so the actual issue wouldn't be getting access back there; it's just the public road. We've actually had the fire department turn around back there, so that's not a problem.

Mr. Wright - Does that run off of Winfrey Road?

Mr. Schermerhorn - It does. The family driveway runs right into Winfrey Road.

Mr. Wright - I see it now. We didn't have a survey last time, but we have one now. It looks like you have a little over an acre there.

Mr. Schermerhorn - Yes sir, we had drawn it in and had part of the land the first time we presented it, actually in the flood plain, and we've turned the land now so that all that is out of the flood plain, because we're told you have to have one solid acre out of it, which we have done now. Another thing brought up was whether it would affect any other people, and that area is very secluded back there. It's buffered by the Chickahominy River. The only homes you can actually see back in that area are in Hanover. There's roughly two hundred acres. The only reason we're trying to build this, Doreen, our friend, is going to come work for the company. She's going to be an administrator for us, and actually, another thing she'll do back in that area is kind of be a watch on the greenhouses, because it's two hundred acres, and the only way we can even keep an eye on it is actually going up there. We have a problem in the winter; if heat should go off, there's no way for us to even know, which means we could lose our whole livelihood, so not only would it be beneficial for her working for us, it would actually help our business to have someone up there.

111 Mr. Wright - What size house do you propose to build?

113 Mr. Schermerhorn - Thirty by forty; I'm not sure of the square footage. It's a relatively small house, three bedroom.

116 Ms. Dwyer - Is this property to be conveyed to your friend or sold?

118 Mr. Schermerhorn - Sold.

120 Ms. Dwyer - And Winfrey Road is a private road?

122 Mr. Schermerhorn - It's public

(Unidentified female) but the part we live on is called Winfrey Road 124 Private Drive. 125 126 Mr. Blankinship -It's public to a certain point, and then the road continues, but 127 it becomes private. 128 129 Ms. Dwyer -So you'll grant an easement for access from the public part 130 of Winfrey Road to this home? 131 132 Yes, we had to be granted access to our own property from 133 Mr. Schermerhorn -134 my relatives, so all that's in place. 135 Mr. Kirkland -Mr. Blankinship, should there be something in the conditions 136 concerning the Chesapeake Bay Act? I know we used to do that. Is that not, since 137 we're so close to the Chickahominy this time? 138 139 We can certainly insert that. They're subject to it, whether Mr. Blankinship -140 the condition's there or not, but we can certainly add the condition. 141 142 143 Mr. Kirkland -I just want them to understand that. 144 Mr. Wright -Have you read the proposed conditions on the case? 145 146 Mr. Schermerhorn -Yes sir. 147 148 Ms. Harris -I have a question about the greenhouses. Have you had 149 any flooding? I notice that your property is located, according to the surveyor's report, 150 in the flood zone. Have you had any problems with flooding the greenhouses? 151 152 Mr. Schermerhorn -The Chickahominy, that area is very steep 153 No ma'am. banked. We'd all be in serious trouble if it ever flooded up there. 154 155 156 (Unidenified female) -Where the greenhouses are, is not floodplain. There's 100year floodplain; we've no buildings in the floodplain. 157 158 Mr. Wright -You have not been sworn. If you're going to give testimony, 159 you have to raise your right hand and be sworn, please. 160 161 162 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 163 164 165 Ms. Schermerhorn -Yes. I'm Nicole Schermerhorn. There are no buildings in the 100-year flood zone, and the greenhouses are not in the 100-year flood zone. 166

Mr. Wright -

167168

169

The plat shows the property is not in the 100-year floodplain.

Mr. Schermerhorn - We had drawn it in the first time down to the river, to give her river access, but we turned the property to make sure it was totally out of the flood zone. It's up on a high rise. During the hurricanes last year, there was no water anywhere near any of the buildings.

Mr. Wright - Any further questions of members of the Board? Is anyone here in opposition to this request? Hearing none, that concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Ms. Harris, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **A-147-2004** for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 11320 Winfrey Road (Parcels 779-774-3922 (part) and 779-773-0293 (part)). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

2. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval of a well location.

3. The applicant shall present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained.

4. The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access is improved to County standards and accepted into the County road system for maintenance.

5. [ADDED] At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

203 Affirmative: Dwyer, Harris, Kirkland, Nunnally, Wright 5
204 Negative: 0
205 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A-149-2004 CAROL POWERS requests a variance from Sections 24-95(i)(2) and (2)a. to build a detached garage at 5211 Randall Avenue (Linnhaven Court) (Parcel 805-713-8304), zoned R-4, One-family

Residence District (Varina). The accessory structure location requirement and accessory structure size requirement are not met. The applicant proposes 988 square feet of accessory structures in the front yard, where the Code allows 683 square feet of accessory structures in the rear yard. The applicant requests a variance of 305 square feet of accessory structures in the front yard.

Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Rhoades - Yes. My name is Richard Rhoades. I'm with Hanover Custom Builders; I'm the General Contractor. We're basically requesting that we be allowed to build a garage. I think the case is pretty well stated in the evaluation. I guess one exception that we would have with that is item # 2 in the evaluation. I feel like it is a little bit of a hardship not to be able to build a garage when you have a house on the property. This house was built in 1910, and the property was subdivided and developed, and just the way the lots were designed, it could be that the developers felt like the house would be torn down at some point, but it's a nice Victorian house. The owners have maintained it very well, and with the present zoning restrictions, there's no place to build a garage, so that virtually all of their personal property has to be stored outside, and I think it is a bit of a hardship.

Mr. Nunnally - What size garage are you planning on building?

Mr. Rhoades - It's basically a three-car garage with a loft. The owners have some collector cars and a boat and so they've got a fair amount of personal property that they would like to enclose. We feel like it will look better with the structure than having the property stored outside. Throughout the country, garages are getting bigger; people have more property that they want to store inside.

Mr. Nunnally - You say it's a three-car garage, but what's the size of it? It's 250 26 by 36, I believe. I've got an updated drawing.

252 Ms. Dwyer - It looks like 32.

254 Mr. Blankinship - On the plans it's 26 by 32.

256 Mr. Nunnally - You say you're going to have a loft upstairs? What is that 257 going to be used for?

Mr. Rhoades - Basically more storage. The owners renovate old properties, mainly in Richmond, so they have a bit of equipment and tools that they'd like to store as well.

262		
263	Ms. Dwyer -	Is that why you have this pulley and beam?
264		- 4
265	Mr. Rhoades -	That's more just to add a little character; it's just with the age
266		d to do some things. Basically, it was an old farmhouse
267	originally, so we're just tryi	ng to keep things in character with that.
268		
269	Ms. Dwyer -	So that won't be just decorative, or it will be used?
270		
271	Mr. Rhoades -	It's more decorative, correct.
272		
273	Mr. Nunnally -	If this is granted, and you build this garage, will that do away
274	•	u have a POD thing out there in the driveway. Will it do away
275		camper you've got out there on the street for sale?
276	min man from about man	camper years get eat there en the cheet for each
277	Mr. Rhoades -	Correct. And they have a driveway coming in from Lundie,
278		re oriented it to having the garage doors oriented towards
279	Lundie. We wanted to use	
	Lundle. We wanted to use	tilat same access.
280	Mr. Numally	I think you have a heat and a trailer or compathing on that
281	Mr. Nunnally -	I think you have a boat and a trailer or something on that
282	driveway on Lundie.	
283	M DI	
284	Mr. Rhoades -	In fact I've got a picture of that as well.
285		
286	Mr. Nunnally -	Will some of this stuff get off the street?
287		
288	Mr. Rhoades -	Yes sir, that's the intention, to be able to get a lot of this stuff
289	stored inside.	
290		
291	Ms. Harris -	What are the other structures? Could we see the area map
292	please. You have other st	ructures on the property, do you not?
293		
294	Ms. Powers -	We have a small storage shed.
295		
296	Ms. Harris -	You only have one other structure, or are there other
297	structures on the property?	
298	Structures on the property	
	Ms. Powers -	The house and a storage shed
299	ivis. Fowers -	The house and a storage shed.
300	Ma Harria	The startage sheet will remain?
301	Ms. Harris -	The storage shed will remain?
302	M. D.	V
303	Ms. Powers -	Yes.
304		N. D I
305	Mr. Nunnally -	Mr. Rhoades, you said that they did some work remodeling,
306	what did you say?	
207		

308 309	Ms. Powers -	The house was remodeled six years ago.	
310 311	Mr. Blankinship -	We need you to speak into the microphone.	
312 313 314	Ms. Powers - owner.	The house was renovated about six years ago by the prior	
315 316 317	Mr. Nunnally - commercial business or ar	You're not going to use this garage for any kind of nything?	
318 319 320 321		Oh no, just for storage of miscellaneous material recouped We try to recycle the material that we pull out of old buildings again in the historic structures that we do.	
322 323 324 325 326 327	Mr. Nunnally - Mr. Blankinship, is this 988 square feet of accessor structures permitted, is that what would be permitted on one lot? They have two lothere. We run into this on occasion where people have a large lot, and they're restricted as to what they can put on that because the way the Code reads, that's what would be permitted on one lot, is that correct?		
328 329 330 331 332	you're exactly correct; the	Yes sir, we're reviewing this as if it were one large lot, and Code requires us to look at the thirty percent of the minimum eir actual rear yard. If we went by thirty percent of their rear be okay.	
333 334 335	Mr. Nunnally - presents a little problem for	That's what my point is, since they've got two lots, it or them.	
336 337 338 339 340 341	yard. Since no accessory	Just another technical point, Mr. Blankinship. It says that are foot variance for having an accessory structure in the front structures are technically allowed in the front yard, wouldn't it riance, because the whole structure is not permitted in that	
342 343 344 345		We advertised the two different code sections, the one for front yard, and the other for having more square footage than could look at it the other way.	
346 347 348	Ms. Dwyer - allowed in the rear yard.	Basically, they have 305 square feet in excess of what's	
349	Mr. Blankinship -	Yes.	
350 351	Ms. Dwyer -	But the whole thing's going in the front yard.	
352 353	Mr. Blankinship -	Right.	

354 355 356	Ms. Dwyer - garage is going to be orie	I just wanted to ask about the garage doors and how the ented. Are all the doors going to be, is there just one door?
357 358 359	Mr. Rhoades - the other one, which is ab	There are two garage doors, one being a double door, and bout sixteen feet, and the other one being a nine-foot door.
360 361 362		So the metal roof that's shown in this picture, underneath ought maybe that was a shed.
363 364 365	Mr. Rhoades - structure, but it's all tied to	It's actually a shed roof structure built off of the main ogether; it's one structure.
366 367	Ms. Dwyer -	It's not a carport-type structure; it's solid.
368 369	Mr. Rhoades -	No, it's actually all enclosed.
370 371 372	Ms. Dwyer - Lundie?	So there are two doors, and they will be oriented toward
373 374 375	Mr. Rhoades - use that driveway for acc	Yes ma'am. There's a driveway there now, and we plan to ess.
376 377 378	Ms. Harris - the proposed garage?	In this picture here, can you point out the exact placement of
379 380 381	Mr. Rhoades - towards Lundie. It would	That's the present storage building, so it would be more start in that approximate area and go towards Lundie.
382 383	Ms. Harris -	What is the structure at the top of your screen?
384 385	Ms. Powers -	That's a parking pad. Those are vehicles in the parking pad.
386 387 388 389	Mr. Nunnally - stay?	Are you going to pull that shed down, or is that going to
390 391	Ms. Powers -	We're planning to keep it.
392 393 394	Mr. Rhoades - people believe they just d	It will be pretty much hidden by the garage structure. Most lon't ever have enough storage space.
395 396	Ms. Dwyer - share with the house on I	How close will this garage be to the property line that you undie?
397 398 399	Mr. Rhoades - feet to the side property li	I believe that the normal restrictions are about three to five ine.

400	M. D.	Market and Level for all affects and Live
401	Ms. Powers -	We can set back ten; that's no problem.
402	M. B.	Book Pale Control of the Control of
403	Ms. Dwyer -	I'm a little concerned, since this is technically a front yard,
404	and this house will have a	garage close to the next door neighbor.
405		
406	Mr. Rhoades -	The owner's saying she could live within ten feet, helps me
407		goes too, because I'd hate to have too tight a space, so we
408	could live with a ten-foot s	setback off that line.
409		
410	Mr. Wright -	
411	house faces on Lundie La	ine.
412		
413	Ms. Dwyer -	We don't have actual dimensions of where it's going to be on
414	•	placed in this picture, but we don't have any specifics, do we?
415	This looks like a hand dra	wing, so we don't know exactly where it's going to be.
416		
417	Mr. Wright -	We've got to fix the location of this garage. So many feet
418	back off of Lundie Lane.	
419		
420	Mr. Blankinship -	It has to comply with that thirty-five foot building line.
421		
422	Mr. Wright -	But we don't want it up there.
423		
424	Ms. Dwyer -	We don't want it close to or in front of that neighbor's house
425	certainly.	
426		
427	Mr. Kirkland -	We want it back as far as possible.
428		
429		I guess if I were to tell a tighter definition, let's say if we have
430	it at the rear line of that ho	ouse on Lundie.
431		
432	Ms. Dwyer -	I don't know if it will fit there.
433		
434	Mr. Wright -	How far is that existing shed from Lundie Lane?
435		
436	Mr. Rhoades -	It's right behind that house, but I don't know how far it is.
437		
438	Mr. Kirkland -	That shed is portable; it's built on cinder blocks, right?
439		
440	Ms. Powers -	It is on cinder blocks.
441		
442	Mr. Kirkland -	So it could be moved over if it had to be moved back further,
443	correct? I don't know the	size of it, other than the sketch we've got here.
444		
445	Ms. Powers -	It's pretty good size, 12 by 14, something like that.

446		
447	Mr. Kirkland -	That could be rolled, moved.
448		
449	Mr. Rhoades -	It could be moved if need be.
450		
451	Mr. Wright -	We could put a condition that it be back so many feet back
452	behind the house on Lundi	e.
453		
454	Mr. Nunnally -	Ms. Powers, could you live with getting rid of that shed?
455	You're building all that big	garage.
456		
457	Ms. Powers -	We have four vehicles, a boat, a utility trailer and a
458	motorcycle.	
459		
460	Mr. Nunnally -	That's not going in that shed.
461		
462	Ms. Powers -	No, but we need the shed; we've got an ATV in there, a
463	, ,	wnmower presently in the shed, plus for the garage we have
464		on's, who is overseas in Iraq, and a utility trailer and a boat,
465	, ,	all that stuff, but we don't have any place to work with it right
466		I, plus we have virtually no attic space in the house itself.
467	-	ng units, etc., so all of our garage and attic paraphernalia is
468	presently in a 16-foot POD	out in the driveway.
469		
470	Ms. Dwyer -	If we specify the limits of where this garage can be in relation
471	•	nd in relation to Lundie, then it would be up to the homeowner
472	to decide whether they nee	ed to adjust the dimensions of the garage.
473	NA IZ'II	
474	Mr. Kirkland -	I was asking if they could just roll the shed back if it got in
475	the way.	
476	NA. NACC. LA	Market III and the second second second for the I discondition
477	Mr. Wright -	We could work up a certain number of feet behind the
478		stions of the Board? Is anyone here in opposition to this
479	request? Hearing none, the	lat concludes the case.
480	After an adventional multip	bearing and an exection by Ma. Normally, accorded by Ma.
481	•	hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr.
482		ed application A-149-2004 for a variance to build a detached
483		venue (Linnhaven Court) (Parcel 805-713-8304). The Board
484	granted the variance subje	ct to the following conditions:
485	1 Only the improvem	nents shown on the plan filed with the application may be
486		is approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with
487	the applicable regulations	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
488	the applicable regulations	of the County Code.
489 490	2. The new construction	on shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.
490	Z. THE HEW CONSTRUCTION	on shall mater the existing aweiling as hearly as practical.
サノエ		

492 3. [ADDED] The garage shall be set back at least 73 feet from the right-of-way of Lundie Lane and at least 10 feet from the common lot line with 2205 Lundie Lane.

495 Affirmative: Dwyer, Harris, Kirkland, Nunnally, Wright 5 496 Negative: 0 497 Absent: 0

498 499

500

501

502

494

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

503 504 505

506

507

UP-28-2004 GILLIES CREK INDUSTRIAL RECYCLING, LLC requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Sections 24-52(d) and 24-103 to extract materials from the earth at 2980 Meadow Road (Parcel 843-720-7272), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina).

508509510

Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? We'd like to get everyone sworn at the same time. Would you raise your right hands and be sworn please?

512513514

511

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

515516517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

Mr. Deal -I do. My name is John Deal. I represent JJ & B Sand and Gravel and Gillies Creek Industrial Recycling, Inc. in this request. The first thing I'd like to do is to draw your attention to the evaluation portion of your report. On the first page, it says, "Overall, Gillies Creek Industrial Recycling has improved the operation on the property. The amount of dust affecting other property, which led to complaints in 2002. has been reduced. The trucks now travel west of the property on Meadow Road, rather than east, resulting in safer conditions on the road." My client has done, in and about this operation, what he was requested by you folks, to do. We have read all of your conditions. The only ones that we have objection to is condition #8, concerning the hours of operation, from 7:00 to 5:30. That's fine, but the Monday through Friday, which cuts off Saturday, which we now have, and Saturday morning is an integral, I think we've been operating from 7:00 to 1:00 on Saturday. I called he homeowners association that represents the subdivision between this property and Williamsburg Road, told them what we were doing, and they asked me to send them a copy of a letter, which I did, and I never heard back from them. I've not gotten one complaint out of that subdivision or anybody in it, in the last two years, since this permit was issued. The only objection that I've received from anybody to this property or this request was from Mrs. Eggers, whose property would be to the south of it, adjacent to this property. Mrs. Eggers inherited this property from her mom and dad many years ago. Her primary residence is in Florida, but she does come up here and stay at this property about seven months a year. When I called her to talk to her about this, she was very upset that she had received a letter from the County about this before I talked to her, and I said, "Anne, I've known you for 41 years," and she gave me a whole list of complaints. I asked why she'd called me, and she started talking about, "well, you know it's noisy over there, etc.," and I told her that was the nature of this kind of operation. I told her they'd improved it, done what they said they were going to do on this property, and they're doing a good job over there. She said she was going to go talk to Mr. Blankinship about this tomorrow morning.

The way Mr. Liesfeld functions at this facility is twofold. He has his own jobs outside of the landfill area, that he needs this facility to service his own operations in other locations. All of those other areas work Mon. through Fri. and Sat. morning. Secondly, he has other contractors who haul in to this facility. Those contractors run their crews Monday through Friday and on Saturday morning. With that being the case, if Mr. Liesfeld can't operate on Saturday mornings, then that's going to decrease his ability to have this as a profitable operation, and the reason it's run better is because it's better run and it's profitable, and this Saturday morning is a very critical situation to us.

As far as the road care is concerned, Ms. Eggers said they'd only been sweeping the road, not using water on the road. I told her I didn't think that was true, and I checked with a gentleman here who is in charge of this operation, and he said they had a water truck that goes down there when it's needed. If it's wet weather, with the speed bumps and the wash rack where you run through, inevitably, some dirt's going to get on Meadow Road. They bring that truck with a pump in it, and the water comes out of the openings fast enough to wash the road off. If it's dry weather, they sweep it. But if it's a concern that the sweeping isn't doing it, they'll increase the use of water. This is a good, profitable operation, has improved the area. Hopefully, in the next two to four years, they'll be finished and out of there. I just cannot emphasize to you how critical Saturday morning is to them, because there's a rhythm that's set up in construction, and if Mr. Liesfeld's company has to call other contractors and tell them he can't take their fill on Saturday any more, then they've either got to shut down on Saturday, or they've got to find two places to go. He's a good operator; he's doing a good job, and I ask you please to consider to give him these Saturday morning hours.

Mr. Nunnally - Mr. Blankinship, you said that the neighbors had expressed concern on these three issues – is that just one person, or is it several?

574 Mr. Blankinship - One person came into the office to speak.

576 Mr. Wright - Is that the one to whom we are referring?

578 Mr. Blankinship - Yes.

580 Ms. Dwyer - We haven't heard from a neighborhood association in the surrounding area, or any other neighbors?

583 Mr. Blankinship - No ma'am.

584	Mr. \//riaht	Mr. Dool way notice that there conditions contain that
585 586	Mr. Wright - additional wording in # 17	Mr. Deal, you notice that these conditions contain that about washing – you have no problem with that?
587	additional wording in ii 17	about washing you have no problem with that:
588	Mr. Deal -	No sir.
589		
590	Mr. Wright -	And also there's something added to # 15 about trying to
591	help the police to enforce	the stop sign – no problem with that?
592	Mr. Deal -	No sir In # 15 we have been and still do nost and
593 594		No sir. In # 15, we have been and still do post and sign at the entrance. What does it mean, "The operator shall
595	•	on of Police to enforce this stop sign." If the police come and
596	ask us to do things, we'll b	
597	_	
598	Mr. Wright -	I guess that's what it means.
599	Ma Diambia akia	NAC and another at the town of the town of the Mandau.
500	Mr. Blankinship - Road, they're out of your j	We understand that once the trucks turn onto Meadow
501 502	Road, they le out of your j	urisdiction.
503	Ms. Dwyer -	As I read that, it might mean doing some intensive work with
504	,	sure the people who haul material in and out of your facility,
505		nd how sensitive this topic is.
506		
507	Ms. Harris -	Are there stop signs already there?
508	Mr. Deal -	Yes ma'am.
509 510	Mi. Deal -	res ma am.
511	Ms. Harris -	So this condition wants them to place another stop sign?
512		· · · · · ·
513	Mr. Blankinship -	Just to maintain the existing one; it's a standard condition.
514	M. D.	Ald
515	Ms. Dwyer -	Although we've added this language to condition # 17, the
616 617	•	washing is done, so that when it's dry there's sweeping and no that the neighbors want water used in the dry months to cut
518	down on the dust.	and the heighbors want water used in the dry months to edit
519		
520	Mr. Deal -	It's one thing for the road to be soiled; it's something else for
521		up. I've found these folks to be very good about keeping that
522	•	problem about using water during dry weather also, because
523		muddy area and have had rain for two weeks, you're going to
524 525	carry triat mud out to the r	oad, and certainly they would use water in that kind of time.
525 526	Ms. Dwyer -	Are you suggesting that what the company's committed to
527	-	mud and dirt, but not to keep the dust down necessarily?
528		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

- Mr. Deal We don't want dust either. It's a discretionary thing as to when my client says if they don't wash this, even though it's dry, it's going to create dust. I wouldn't mind something in the conditions that when there's soil or mud on the road, we're going to get it off. If it sweeps, and that doesn't do it, then we'll wash it.
- 633 How would that be?

635 Mr. Blankinship - It's one of those issues that really needs to be handled day by day, in response to complaints.

637

638 Mr. Deal - We understand the intent. I wouldn't want to live in the area 639 and ride through and get dust all over my car, or in the rainy weather, to ride through 640 and get mud all over my car.

641

642 Ms. Dwyer - Condition # 17 says "eliminate any dust nuisance," so that's the standard.

644

645 Mr. Deal - Not a problem.

646

Ms. Harris - I have a question about # 26, is the superintendent a company man who needs to be appointed, who's not currently on staff?

649

Mr. Blankinship - Again, that's the standard condition that they've been operating under for years, so they've always been required to have a superintendent on site who's familiar with these requirements.

653

654 Mr. Deal - He's been their employee, and he's their employee now.

655

656 Ms. Harris - Are there any on-site inspections for # 24, other than company inspections or by superintendents?

658 659

660

661

662

Mr. Bryant - My name is Lew Bryant; I'm the business manager for Gillies Creek. Condition # 24, topsoil removal, we generally do not remove any topsoil from the site. Any material that is removed is what we call bank gravel; it's mined from the soil. Topsoil remains on the site or is brought in. Primarily that's what we're bringing into the site, is fill material, to fill the hole and eventually cap it and remediate it.

663664665

666

667

668

669

670

Mr. Deal - I've been representing this property for probably twenty years, there hasn't been enough topsoil on it to fill a kid's hand. It was one of those things that was spasmodically mined out for years, and now we're in the process of going back and correcting all that. When this topsoil comes in, they're stockpiling some topsoil to meet the requirements of this permit, that as they reclaim the land, they'll be able to put the proper amount of topsoil on top for seed and fertilizing. They're not removing any at all.

671 672

673 Ms. Harris - Is there any type of inspection to insure that the land is restored to a reasonably acceptable drainage level?

675
676 Mr. Blankinship - Yes ma'am, we perform a zoning inspection every month and a separate inspection by the environmental inspector, approximately every month.

Two monthly inspections.

680 Mr. Nunnally - How about 8:00 to 1:00 hours on Saturday?

682 Mr. Bryant - 8:00 would be a little problematic, because we try to get started as early as possible, especially in the winter months.

Mr. Nunnally - I realize that, but I'm thinking about the people who live there. Most of the people work five days a week, and I thought Saturday morning you could give them a little break; maybe they could sleep in another hour or wouldn't have to listen to that while they're reading the paper.

Mr. Bryant - My truck traffic is prohibited from going east on Meadow Road past my driveway, where the subdivision is, so I think they're far enough away to where my trucks and equipment aren't going to be able to disturb them in the mornings. As far as their civilian traffic on the road during that day, if they proceed east on Meadow Road, I'm assuming their main artery out of the area is I-295. If they proceed east on Meadow Road to Routes 60 and 295, it's 2.8 miles from the entrance to their subdivision. If they turn left and proceed west on Meadow Road, to Drybridge south, and then back to 295, past my facility, where they would encounter my trucks, it's actually further, it's 3.1 miles from their subdivision entrance to 295, going past my facility. I think I'm far enough away to keep from disturbing them early in the morning, and if they are traveling on the roads on Saturday, the way they are not on Monday through Friday when they are at work, they're actually closer to their exit going away from me than they are coming past my driveway.

Ms. Dwyer - What about the noise on site?

Mr. Bryant - As I said, it's about .4 to .5 of a mile on the public road, from my entrance to their entrance, and there's nothing in between us but woods. I think that's a sufficient buffer to keep the noise of the machines and the trucks down.

Mr. Deal - I realize I represent you, but I think what you're referring to is Ms. Eggers', who lives next door to this project, and one thing she was complaining about was the noise, but I told her that was the nature of the operation. While she is the only neighbor within a half a mile, and her home is far back off the road, as is our pit. Our pit is the same distance back as her home.

716 Ms. Dwyer - Where is her home?

Mr. Blankinship - It shows up very clearly on the aerial photograph.

Mr. Deal - Ms. Eggers owns all, looking at your map there, you can see where the Gillies Creek property is; to the right of that, all the way back, you'll see two fields out and a little home in there. Off to the left is where we're filling. We're not filling on the right-hand side of our property; we're filling on the left-hand side, so there's a pretty good distance through there. Then you see the subdivision that this gentleman was referring to, Candlewood Lane, in that area, so it's not that her home is right up against the property at all. We're on the left side of that property, away from her.

Ms. Harris - Looking at this area map, is this body of water natural or created?

 Mr. Deal - No ma'am, that was mined out in the 1940's and '50's, and then this property lay dormant for several years, and then it was purchased by Mr. Leber, I would imagine in the '70's, and he started reclamation mining to the south of that lake. Mr. Leber wants to keep that lake; there's geese living all over that place down there, and there are fish in there, and he wants to maintain that for himself and his sons.

Ms. Dwyer - Your operation is to extract gravel, sand?

Mr. Bryant - Yes ma'am, we're extracting the sand and gravel. We don't process it as they did before, we just extract it and primarily we bring in excess material to fill the hole and bring it back to grade.

Ms. Dwyer - So primarily you're receiving construction debris and that kind of thing?

Mr. Bryant - Correct. Mostly dirt, very little concrete or asphalt or any other material, but primarily excess dirt from projects around town.

Ms. Dwyer - When you're finished, it says the land will be restored to reasonably level and drainable condition – what will this land be good for when you're finished with it?

Mr. Bryant - We, as the operator, currently just lease the property, so what we would be required to do, is return it to grade and seed it and insure that the grass is growing again, and then we would more than likely turn it back over to Mr. Leber, for use as he would see fit.

Ms. Dwyer - Are there regulations that would require your fill to meet a certain standard that is established by engineers to make sure that if a house were built on it, that you wouldn't have problems with this supporting a structure?

Mr. Bryant - I think that would be dependent upon the end use, or the intended end use. If it's going to be developed, it would have to meet certain

compaction standards for building. If it were going to be returned to agricultural use, I don't think similar stringent conditions would apply.

Ms. Dwyer - So you're not sure if it meets compaction standards that would be required for structures?

Mr. Bryant - No we're not. At this point, that's not our primary concern as to the end use of the land once we've finished filling the hole in.

774 Ms. Dwyer - What standards are you required to meet as you are filling?

Mr. Bryant - Primarily, our requirements are to insure that no hazardous waste is deposited, or any non-impervious materials go in, specifically brush. We cannot have anything that will decay and cause problems in the future with sinking and collapsing. It's got to either be dirt or impervious material like concrete.

781 Ms. Dwyer - And who regulates that?

783 Mr. Bryant - We regulate that ourselves through the inspection of the loads as they come in.

Ms. Dwyer - Is there some standard that you're trying to meet as you're filling these holes, or is there a federal standard for this, or a state standard, or what for your filling operation?

Mr. Deal - They're not taking in anything like lumber or anything that would rot once it was put in. As the loads come in, the dozers run back and forth over it to smooth it out, and that will be one layer. These layers may be anywhere from one to three feet thick at a time. You've got these heavy dozers running back and forth, compacting it; then they bring it up to the grade; then they put the requisite amount of topsoil on it and seed and fertilize it. Mr. Leber's intention is to use this for farmland. Mr. Leber's older than I am. I don't know how long that's going to be, but my thought is, I couldn't see a developer coming in there and wanting to try to build a home over compacted dirt. Not in my lifetime anyhow.

Ms. Dwyer - We have people building houses over old mines in the west end, so you never know what's going to happen fifty years out, or a hundred out.

803 Mr. Wright - We can't impose that restriction on these people though. All they have to do is fill it by County standards, as they've been doing for years.

806 Ms. Dwyer - I guess there really aren't any standards; they've set their 807 own is what I'm hearing.

Mr. Deal - The standard, ma'am, really is what you put in there, compact it, and don't put anything in there that will rot or decay, so that it would create voids in the soil.

812

Ms. Harris - What we're seeing here in this aerial view is the result of two years of mining, so that this condition that you see on your screen, this is the way you leave the land, is what I'm asking?

816

817 Mr. Deal - When you say two years of mining, ma'am?

818

Ms. Harris - You've already had this permit granted for two previous years.

821 822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

Mr. Deal -This site, ma'am, in the last continuum we're talking about here, runs probably fourteen to sixteen years. Could we go back up to that long shot on the property. Do you see where the pond is? On the south side of the pond, you see a large leveled-out area, looks like an "R." You see some white material in it – do you see what I'm talking about? That's the Seamans Plant; that whole area right there was filled in a matter of a few months by the excess soil from the computer chip factory at White Oak. Then they put the topsoil over it. I've walked that land myself; there's not one depression in it; there's not one hole in it, nor anything like that. Unfortunately, ma'am, like on Darbytown Road, there was a time that the County didn't have the authority, or whatever, to properly regulate mining operations or borrow pits, as they're called, and it created a lot of hazards in the County and a lot of waste land. This property was one of those where that lake is; that lake was probably mined where there was absolutely no permit or anything. As the operation moved south of the lake, permits were gathered for that, and to do filling primarily. That area to the left, that's already been filled. That's already up to the elevation of the property to the right of it. We're going to continue filling it back to the yellow line on the left and take it north to where you see the trees have been removed. We've got a BMP pond up in the southern-most part of this property that's shown on this map. When it's all finished, it'll be solid; it'll be compacted; it'll have the requisite topsoil on it, and seed and fertilizer. Anyone who wants to come and use it for something else after that, ma'am, they will have to make inquiry themselves, but I do know that what these men are putting in is nothing that's going to rot, decay or cause voids in the soil where you'd start getting sinkholes in the property.

843844845

Ms. Dwyer - So to summarize what you've said and the substance of your answers to my questions, there's really nothing to assure us that this won't become a wasteland other than your intentions.

847 848 849

850

851

846

Mr. Deal - Our intentions and the financial bonds that have been posted by my client to reclaim this, because we have posted many thousands of dollars in bonds per acre to insure that the topsoil and everything is put back in place, because right now what you have is some big holes.

852853854

Ms. Dwyer - The County will inspect that before the bonds are released?

855 856 Mr. Deal - Oh yes ma'am.

857

Mr. Wright - Any further questions of the Board? Is anyone here in opposition to this request? Hearing none, that concludes the case. I didn't see you – did you get sworn earlier? Please come forward and be sworn.

861 862

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

863 864 865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873874

875

876

877

878

879 880

881

Mr. Hackett -Yes I do. My name is Mike Hackett. I work for the Environmental Section of Public Works, and my inspectors are responsible for erosion and sediment control on the project. I wanted to speak because of the discussion on the mud tracking onto Meadow Road, and I thought I understood that there may be a condition regarding the cleaning of the mud on Meadow Road. I want to caution that the condition should be stated in a way that does not imply that mud should even occur onto Meadow Road to begin with. I think that the condition should be worded in a way that describes the tracking in a manner that it should never occur onto the roadway to begin with, that the cleaning should only occur as a safeguard should there be some failure regarding the tracking. For one, washing the roadway, you have to be very careful, because if it was to be washed this morning with freezing temperatures, it would create a very slick condition and be very dangerous. What we've tried to do is to enforce no tracking at any time. So far we've been fairly successful with that in the last year and a half. We had some tracking issues when Liesfeld first took over the site for a few months, but to my knowledge, that's been taken care of since then. I just wanted to caution about the wording of the condition with regards to washing of the road, that may imply that they could track to begin with. I know I'm not stating that as clearly as I'd like, but

882 883

Mr. Kirkland - Mr. Hackett, when was the last time you were on the site?

884 885 886

887

Mr. Hackett - I personally haven't been on the site for about a year. I spoke to my inspector this morning before I came to the meeting. He inspected it three weeks ago and saw no deficiencies.

888 889

890 Mr. Kirkland - Thank you.

891

Ms. Dwyer - Could you recommend some language then, to staff, to make that clear?

894 895

896

897

898

Mr. Hackett - The mud tracking enforcement is already part of our policy, is part of erosion and sediment control policy, is part of the erosion control plan. The condition that requires an erosion control plan is already in there. If you want to reinforce that, I would suggest that a condition be worded that no tracking should occur, that that's the intention.

Ms. Dwyer - So not withstanding anything else in this paragraph about cleaning the road, the cleaning provisions are not meant to imply that mud is allowed to begin with.

904

905 Mr. Hackett - Correct.

906

Mr. Wright - What are they going do, have a cleaning facility where they've got to clean the tires before they leave the site?

909 910

Mr. Hackett - Yes, they have that now. They have it far enough off of the road so that the water has a chance to come off of the wheels before they even get to the road. The cleaning facility is about a third of a mile from Meadow Road.

912913914

911

Mr. Wright - I'll ask Mr. Deal to address your question and see what he has to say about it.

915 916 917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925 926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

Mr. Deal -As the trucks leave the road, there's a facility there that they drive through, because the trucks are empty then, and it has water in it, and that washes the dirt off of the wheels. Remember what we're doing, is we're hauling dirt. In dry weather, dirt blows around. When they're coming down the road into the property to where the washing facility is, dirt blows. Some of them have covers; some don't, and dirt blows off of there. I've worked with Mr. Hackett on this facility for many years, and I understand what he's saying. I've never thought, myself, that the condition ever implied we could put mud on Meadow Road, and I can state that's not been Mr. Liesfeld's idea either. Sometimes it does happen. The seriousness of this, if this were put in here, is if we did get mud or dirt on the road at some time, according to these conditions, you could shut us down, and we're out of business. If there's a climatic condition that causes some dirt to be out there, my clients, to my knowledge, and I've gotten no complaints from the subdivision people down there, and there's probably 75 homes in that area, that drive up and down this road. Ms. Eggers is the only person who complained to me about this or even said anything about it. Some time ago, the subdivision was up in the air, but that's when the place wasn't run right. We went through a series of about six years on this property that had the worst operators you've ever seen in your life, for whatever reason. Mr. Liesfeld, as your report amply shows, has improved the situation and made it a lot better. My concern for my client is, technically, if a big clump of dirt got on the road and nobody's been behind that truck for 15 minutes, and somebody runs over it, and Mr. Hackett comes down the road, we could lose our permit, and we're out of business. We've never interpreted that the wording in this condition does not mean that we can put dirt on Meadow Road. I will be glad to say that. We want a clean Meadow Road because we want a happy neighborhood. We don't want to come down here and have a bunch of neighbors mad because we've got mud all over the road. That's not been the case for two years, and your own report on this shows that. I will be glad to put in there that this does not infer that they can put dirt on Meadow Road. To me, that's implied to start with.

Mr. Wright - Anything further? That concludes the case. Thank you very much for appearing.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **UP-28-2004** for a conditional use permit to to extract materials from the earth at 2980 Meadow Road (Parcel 843-720-7272). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:

1. This use permit is subject to all requirements of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

2. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall provide a financial guaranty in an amount of \$2,000 per acre for each acre of land to be disturbed, for a total of \$26,800, guaranteeing that the land will be restored to a reasonably level and drainable condition. This permit does not become valid until the financial guaranty has been approved by the County Attorney. The financial guaranty may provide for termination after 90 days notice in writing to the County. In the event of termination, this permit shall be void, and work incident thereto shall cease. Within the next 90 days the applicant shall restore the land as provided for under the conditions of this use permit. Termination of such financial guaranty shall not relieve the applicant from its obligation to indemnify the County of Henrico for any breach of the conditions of this use permit. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

3. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall submit erosion control plans to the Department of Public Works for review and approval. Throughout the life of the operation, the applicant shall continuously satisfy the Department of Public Works that erosion control procedures are properly maintained, and shall furnish plans and bonds that the department deems necessary. The applicant shall provide certification from a licensed professional engineer that dams, embankments and sediment control structures meet the approved design criteria as set forth by the State. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

4. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall obtain a mine license from the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

5. Before beginning any work, the areas approved for mining under this permit shall be delineated on the ground by five-foot-high metal posts at least five inches in diameter and painted in alternate one foot stripes of red and white. These posts shall be so located as to clearly define the area in which the mining is permitted. They shall be located, and their location certified, by a certified land surveyor. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

6. In the event that the Board's approval of this use permit is appealed, all conditions requiring action within 90 days will be deemed satisfied if the required actions are taken within 90 days of final action on the appeal.

 7. The applicant shall comply with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and all state and local regulations administered under such act applicable to the property, and shall furnish to the Planning Office copies of all reports required by such act or regulations.

8. [AMENDED] Hours of operation shall be from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Monday through Friday and 7:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon on Saturday.

9. No operations of any kind are to be conducted at the site on Sundays, New Years Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day or Christmas Day.

10. All means of access to the property shall be from the established entrance onto Meadow Road. All truck traffic to the site shall approach from Williamsburg Road north on Drybridge Road, then east on Meadow Road. All truck traffic leaving the site shall travel west on Meadow Road, then south on Drybridge Road to Williamsburg Road.

11. The applicant shall erect and maintain gates at all entrances to the property. These gates shall be locked at all times, except when authorized representatives of the applicant are on the property.

12. The applicant shall post and maintain a sign at the entrance to the mining site stating the name of the operator, the use permit number, the mine license number, and the telephone number of the operator. The sign shall be 12 square feet in area and the letters shall be three inches high.

13. The applicant shall post and maintain "No Trespassing" signs every 250 feet along the perimeter of the property. The letters shall be three inches high. The applicant shall furnish the Chief of Police a letter authorizing the Division of Police to enforce the "No Trespassing" regulations, and agreeing to send a representative to testify in court as required or requested by the Division of Police.

14. Standard "Truck Entering Highway" signs shall be erected on Meadow Road on each side of the entrances to the property. These signs will be placed by the County, at the applicant's expense.

1029 15. The applicant shall post and maintain a standard stop sign at the entrance to 1030 Meadow Road. The operator shall cooperate with the Division of Police to enforce this 1031 stop sign.

16. The applicant shall provide a flagman to control traffic from the site onto the public road, with the flagman yielding the right of way to the public road traffic at all times. This flagman will be required whenever the Division of Police deems necessary.

17. [AMENDED] All roads used in connection with this use permit shall be effectively treated with calcium chloride or other wetting agents to eliminate any dust nuisance. The operator shall take the necessary steps to prevent mud from being tracked onto Meadow Road. The road shall be maintained by washing in addition to sweeping.

1042 18. The operation shall be so scheduled that trucks will travel at regular intervals and not in groups of three or more.

1045 19. Trucks shall be loaded in a way to prevent overloading or spilling of materials of any kind on any public road.

1048 20. The applicant shall maintain the property, fences, and roads in a safe and secure condition indefinitely, or convert the property to some other safe use.

21. If, in the course of its preliminary investigation or operations, the applicant discovers evidence of cultural or historical resources, or an endangered species, or a significant habitat, it shall notify appropriate authorities and provide them with an opportunity to investigate the site. The applicant shall report the results of any such investigation to the Planning Office.

22. If water wells located on surrounding properties are adversely affected, and the extraction operations on this site are suspected as the cause, the effected property owners may present to the Board evidence that the extraction operation is a contributing factor. After a hearing by the Board, this use permit may be revoked or suspended, and the operator may be required to correct the problem.

23. Open and vertical excavations having a depth of 10 feet or more, for a period of more than 30 days, shall be effectively sloped to a 2:1 slope or flatter to protect the public safety.

24. Topsoil shall not be removed from any part of the property outside of the area in which mining is authorized. Sufficient topsoil shall be stockpiled on the property for respreading in a layer with five inches of minimum depth. All topsoil shall be stockpiled within the authorized mining area and provided with adequate erosion control protection. If the site does not yield sufficient topsoil, additional topsoil shall be brought to the site to provide the required five-inch layer of cover. All topsoil shall be treated with a mixture of seed, fertilizer, and lime as recommended by the County after soil tests have been provided to the County.

25. All offsite-generated materials deposited on the mining site shall be documented in a monthly report to the Director of Planning. The operator shall submit a report stating the origin, nature and quantity of material deposited, and certifying that no contaminated or hazardous material was included. The material deposited on the site shall be limited to imperishable materials such as stone, bricks, tile, sand, gravel, soil, asphalt, concrete and like materials, and shall not include any hazardous materials as defined by the Virginia Hazardous Waste Management Regulations.

- 1083
- 26. A superintendent, who shall be personally familiar with all the terms and conditions of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code, as well as the terms and conditions of this use permit, shall be present at the beginning and conclusion of operations each work day to see that all the conditions of the Code and this use permit are observed.

27. A progress report shall be submitted to the Board on December 16, 2005. This progress report must contain information concerning how much property has been mined to date of the report, the amount of land left to be mined, how much rehabilitation has been performed, when and how the remaining amount of land will be rehabilitated, and any other pertinent information about the operation that would be helpful to the Board.

1096

28. Excavation shall be discontinued by December 16, 2006, and restoration accomplished by not later than December 16, 2007, unless a new permit is granted by the Board of Zoning Appeals.

1100

The rehabilitation of the property shall take place simultaneously with the mining process. Rehabilitation shall not be considered completed until the mined area is covered completely with permanent vegetation.

1104

30. All drainage and erosion and sediment control measures shall conform to the standards and specifications of the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook. Any drainage structures in place prior to October 14, 1992 and which do not conform to the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook may remain in place until such time as any reconstruction is required at which time said structures shall be brought into conformance with the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook.

1111

The applicants shall install two speed bumps on the drive between the mining site and Meadow Road for the purpose of slowing truck traffic on the property.

1114

1115 32. The applicants shall request the Department of Public Works to install, at the applicants' expense, a speed limit sign on Meadow Road immediately west of the entrance to the property.

1118

1119 33. The applicants shall request the Division of Police to increase their enforcement of the speed limit along Meadow Road.

1121

1122 34. Failure to comply with any of the foregoing conditions shall automatically void this permit.

1124

1125 Affirmative: Dwyer, Kirkland, Nunnally, Wright 4
1126 Negative: Harris 1
1127 Absent: 0

The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

113011311132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1129

A-150-2004 MITCHELL ROSENFELD AND LISA BERMAN request a variance from Section 24-94 to build an addition at 12027 Cottage Creek Court (Chapelwood) (Parcel 740-756-3387), zoned R-4, One-family Residence District and R-4C, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Three Chopt). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicants propose 33 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 35 feet rear yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 2 feet rear yard setback.

1140 1141

Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

1142 1143 1144

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1145 1146 1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

11731174

Mr. Rosenfeld -I do. It's Mitch Rosenfeld, and what we are asking for is a variance to build a 14- by 16-foot addition. Right now we have 47.11 feet from the end of our house to the property line. Fourteen feet will give us a setback of 33.11 feet, and the requirement is 35 feet, so we're asking for essentially a two-foot variance. It's an addition that we have been thinking about doing for many years. The house is a fairly small house. Our children have gotten larger, and we have for years now, thought that sometime we wanted to put in an addition. The fourteen by sixteen is the size that we feel is big enough, that will meet our needs, and the right configuration for a family room, but small enough that we'll still have a nice back yard. The report stated that one alternative would be a twelve by eighteen room, which would give us almost the same square footage, and that is true. The two issues with that are that we didn't want a long narrow room. We wanted a wider room so it would look better as a family room, and the other issue is, essentially the addition is just going to replace the deck. That deck is twelve by twelve, so it will be two feet further out and four feet wider. The four feet wider is going to actually be on the left-hand side, facing the rear of the house, which actually will put it in line with our garage. If we were to do twelve by eighteen, we would come very close to that window, and that's the kitchen window, and it lets in some light. The main disadvantage is, if we were two feet further for a twelve by eighteen room, which we had actually thought about, when we look out the window, the wall would come fairly close to the house. You would actually be seeing the wall. You're still going to be seeing the wall a little bit, but it won't be guite as bad. We actually talked to my neighbor who lives behind the house, and if you can see, the addition will actually be symmetrical; it will be right in line with the garage. There's quite a bit of space between our house and the neighbor behind us, and I've talked to him for a while, and he has no problem with it. He actually told us we could use his back yard to access our yard if we needed to during construction. It will essentially just replace that deck, just be a little bit bigger, and then we're going to build a new deck that will be off of it, that will come this way.

NA. NACCIA	A	and the second of the December 10.	Tarana and Tarana Sa
•			Is anyone here in
opposition to this re	quest: Treating none	, that concludes the case.	
After an advertised	public hearing and o	on a motion by Mr. Nunnal	ly, seconded by Ms.
		•	•
12027 Cottage Cre	ek Court (Chapelwoo	od) (Parcel 740-756-3387).	The Board granted
the variance subject	t to the following cond	ditions:	
4 01 (1.1.1			and Production and Inc
,		•	
-	• •	•	its shall comply with
trie applicable regu	ations of the County	code.	
2. The new cor	struction shall match	the existing dwelling as ne	arly as practical.
			,
Affirmative:	Dwyer, Harris, Kirkla	ınd, Nunnally, Wright	5
Negative:			0
Absent:			0
The Deerd average	this was a it face		
•	•	•	
•			
•			, , ,
		-	
Mr. Blankinship -	A-151-2004 h	as been deferred.	
A 450 0004	MANNIZIDWELL CI	HADE CODD was weets a ve	
A-152-2004		•	
	` ,	•	
	feet rear yard setbac	ck. The applicant requests	a variance of 11 feet
	rear yard setback.		
B.4 NA.1 1.4	5		
J		•	ith reference to this
case: would you i	aise your right hand a	and be sworn please?	
Mr. Blankinshin -	Do you swear	r that the testimony you are	e about to give is the
	•		2 2 2 2 12 9 2 10
	After an advertised Dwyer, the Board g 12027 Cottage Creathe variance subject 1. Only the impronstructed pursual the applicable regular 2. The new con Affirmative: Negative: Absent: The Board granted authorizing this variance would produce unduauthorizing this variance materially impair Mr. Blankinship - A-152-2004 Mr. Wright - case? Would you rather the Board granted authorizing this variance materially impair authorizing this variance materially impair Mr. Blankinship -	After an advertised public hearing and of Dwyer, the Board granted application A 12027 Cottage Creek Court (Chapelwood the variance subject to the following conditions of the variance subject to the following conditions of the County of the applicable regulations of the County of the County of the Applicable regulations of the County of the County of the Applicable regulations of the County of the C	After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnal Dwyer, the Board granted application A-150-2004 for a variance to 12027 Cottage Creek Court (Chapelwood) (Parcel 740-756-3387). the variance subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvement the applicable regulations of the County Code. 2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as new Affirmative: Dwyer, Harris, Kirkland, Nunnally, Wright Negative: Absent: The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence prese unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other prope authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Mr. Blankinship - A-151-2004 has been deferred. MANN KIDWELL SHADE CORP. requests a weard set back and canopy at 6 (Westwood) (Parcel 770-741-2406), zoned B (Brookland). The rear yard setback is not proposes 29 feet rear yard setback, where the feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests rear yard setback. Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Shirley -Kidwell.

Mr. Kidwell -

1215

1216 1217 1218

1219 1220 I do. I'm Andrew Kidwell.

I do. My name is Bruce Shirley; I'm the architect for Mann

Mr. Shirlev -What we're proposing is for a variance on the rear setback to 1221 build a loading structure, where there were some existing freezers and storage sheds 1222 that were actually further back, so we're going to be decreasing that setback some, but 1223 1224 based on the function of the building, we'd like to build a new canopy to create a protective outside area for loading trucks for the new use of the space. It used to be a 1225 restaurant: it's now going to be a retail space for blinds and plantation shutters, so it's 1226 nice to keep the materials out of the rain while they are being transferred to trucks to go 1227 for deliveries and installations. Currently, Mann Kidwell leases the adjacent building, 1228 and from a traffic standpoint, nothing should increase; it's just going to split to what 1229 they've no purchased, their new building. They need large trucks that come for 1230 deliveries, typically like a loading dock, or else have someone there to take care of 1231 deliveries. If no one is there at Mann Kidwell, they simply won't deliver the materials. 1232 They'll have to call and re-establish a time to do so. By having a loading dock facility 1233 that can quickly just drop things off, if no one's available and the materials are delivered. 1234 it will make their business work a little more efficiently. 1235

1236

- 1237 Mr. Wright What's going to happen to that frame shed? Is that going to
- be removed?

1239

Mr. Shirley - It already has been. The picture you're looking at right now shows all of the structures have already been removed.

1242

1244

- 1243 Mr. Wright The metal shed also?
- 1245 Mr. Shirley Yes sir.

1246

Mr. Kirkland - Which way will you drive in through this loading dock, right through it? I was trying to get a picture yesterday when I was out there, because I'm trying to see which way the truck would be.

1250

Mr. Shirley - If we can look at the side view on the loading dock, the actual drawing, elevation west, you can see there where the trucks are going to pull into the parking lot and back right up to the fence where you see the gates. What we're doing is eliminating the trucks getting behind the building. I've got over 100 feet of parking lot there for them to come in and turn and back to that.

1256

1257 Mr. Kirkland - Will you still lease the other building at the same time?

1258

1259 Mr. Kidwell - No sir.

1260

1261 Mr. Kirkland - It's a big improvement from what was there before.

1262

1263 Ms. Dwyer - The backing up maneuvers to back up to this loading dock – 1264 that affect the parking spaces. It looks kind of tight there.

- Mr. Kidwell No, the parking lot, I believe, has got around fifty parking places in it now, and with the change of use, we have very little amount of parting spaces actually needed for the location.

 Ms. Dwyer So will you close off some of those parking spaces, so you won't have conflicts with parked cars?
- Mr. Kidwell I believe we'll lose one space. The way the trucks come in will actually just be mirrored from what they do now, and the shot you're looking at now, the trucks load to the adjacent building to the right, so the trucks will just do the opposite of what they do now.
- Ms. Harris I have a question about the surveyor's report. If we return to this map, Mr. Blankinship, do you see the note that's on the page that says "Broad Street Road (U.S. Route No. 250)"? The notes "This lot appears" is not clear on my copy.
- 1283 Mr. Wright It says "This lot appears to be in HUD Flood Zone C"

 1284
 1285 Ms. Harris That's my question. This parcel is in the Flood Zone?

 1286
 1287 Mr. Blankinship "Flood Zone C" indicates that there is no hazard of flooding.

 1288
 1289 Ms. Harris Even though it's a flood zone, there's no hazard?
- 1290
 1291 Mr. Blankinship "Flood Zone A" is subject to the 100-year flood plain. I don't
 1292 know what "B" is; it might be undetermined; you'd have to do a site analysis, and "C" is
 1293 that it's outside the flood plain.
- 1294
 1295 Mr. Kirkland This structure's in the Enterprise Zone, the new one, isn't it?
 1296
 1297 Mr. Shirley Yes sir.
- Mr. Wright Any further questions of the Board? Is anyone here in opposition to this request? Hearing none, that concludes the case.
- After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Ms. Harris, the Board **granted** application **A-152-2004** for a variance to build a loading dock and canopy at 6011 W Broad Street (Westwood) (Parcel 770-741-2406). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:
- 1307 1. This variance applies only to the rear yard setback. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.
- 1310 2. The parking lot, driveways, and loading areas shall be subject to the requirements of Section 24-98 of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

1306

1313 3. This approval is subject to all conditions that may be placed on the approval of the building permit.

4. [DELETED]

1318	Affirmative:	Dwyer, Harris, Kirkland, Nunnally, Wright	5
1319	Negative:		0
1320	Absent:		0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A-153-2004

LAURIE A. VONG requests a variance from Section 24-95(i)(2)c. to allow a tool shed to remain at 2509 Skeet Street (West Wistar) (Parcel 764-749-3758), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Three Chopt). The distance from accessory structure to principal building is not met. The applicant proposes 4 feet between an accessory structure and the principal building, where the Code requires 10 feet between an accessory structure and the principal building. The applicant requests a variance of 6 feet distance between an accessory structure and the principal building.

1338 Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1344 Ms. Vong - Yes. My name is Laurie Vong.

1346 Mr. Ellis - I do. J. G. Ellis.

Ms. Vong - Basically, I'm a person who made a mistake in planning the shed of mine that I built. I've been in my house eleven years. It's less than 1,000 feet; it's just me and my daughter, but I've wanted and dreamed of more storage space since I've been in the house. I have a tiny shed way in the back yard, which once you stick the lawn mower in there, you can't even turn around. I don't know how much of this is relevant, but I'm on a fixed income; I'm on disability; I haven't had any disposable income to do anything to my house these eleven years. I even qualified for a federal housing rehabilitation program when my roof was leaking and my bathroom ceiling fell in. Anyway, I refinanced my house this year, so with that cash-out money, I bought a vehicle, and I planned this shed. Because I hold onto my dollars so tightly, I've done all

the planning and labor myself. Since I don't tend to trust people very well, I, looking back on how I made this mistake of having the thing too close to the house, my plan evolved all year, since I got my money, cutting down some trees. I excavated the foundation myself and got estimates from people at that point, but I can see, looking back, what went wrong was, at first I was looking at pre-built units, and then I was looking at pouring a foundation, but I thought it was going to be twelve by twelve or thirteen by thirteen.

Where it sits is on the end of what's always been a driveway, so it was flat, and it was the logical place to put it. When I excavated, I found that I could squeeze out a couple more feet. I actually, ironically, always planned to have it as close to the house as it was, because I had several people who came out, who said it wouldn't matter, so I don't know if that was because of the size I had planned and then changed or what, but as I dug the foundation, I discovered that I had a good solid couple feet more as I was moving that way, where there'd been concrete abutments to that driveway, and there'd been no soil erosion. Past that point, the land slopes dramatically, so I wouldn't have wanted to go any further anyway. I excavated in the spring, my neighbor helped me pour the foundation this summer, I had a bunch of friends help me frame it, and then realized that I goofed, because I was supposed to have a permit.

I guess I went over the square footage limit, and I didn't realize that. Anyway, when I went to get the permit, I realized it was too close to the house, and I'm really sorry. I didn't do that in defiance or on purpose, but it's there now. I'm hoping the pictures show it's not as bad as it sounds. It's four feet rather than the ten feet, but it's behind; it's not up beside. It's behind that little section at the back of my house that is my furnace room. I guess there's another picture that shows that – it feels like my back yard to me because, yes, you can see where that last window is at my house. That whole part is just a furnace room with just a boiler and a washer and drier, so it felt like it was in my back yard, and it looks, I think, from the street, like it's in my back yard, and I'm sorry it's too close to the house; it's a mistake on my part.

1389 Mr. Wright - Did you get a building permit to put this up?

1391 Ms. Vong - They said I needed to do this variance procedure before I can get it.

1394 Mr. Wright - But you built it without a building permit?

1396 Ms. Vong - Yes sir, I goofed.

1398 Mr. Wright - You didn't understand that you had to have a building permit

to build this shed?

1401 Ms. Vong - Oh, I do now.

1403	Mr. Blankinship -	She started out with a twelve by twelve, which would not	
1404	•	indred and forty-four square feet did not require a permit, but	
1405	•	ifteen by fifteen, she went over the requirements.	
1406	•	,	
1407	Ms. Vong -	Exactly. It's all my fault because the plan was evolving, and	
1408	•	tion, but because the thing was evolving, I was then operating	
1409	on outdated information, a		
1410	on caracina in on its in,		
1411	Mr. Kirkland -	Mr. Blankinship, how did we become aware of this situation?	
1412			
1413	Mr. Blankinship -	You went to apply for a building permit, and you were	
1414	notified that you needed a building permit?		
1415		g pormu.	
1416	Ms. Vong -	No, a man came and stopped in my driveway and said, "did	
1417	you know that you needed		
1418	,	a damanig period	
1419	Mr. Kirkland -	So a complaint was made, I assume.	
1420		oo a complaint mac made, r accame.	
1421	Mr. Blankinship -	Sometimes the building inspectors, if they see a building	
1422	•	not under permit, they will do that on their own initiative.	
1423	project man may import to	, and an	
1424	Ms. Vong -	I don't know which it was. The neighbor of mine who helped	
1425	<u> </u>	nat didn't go that well, and so actually he's not a neighbor; he's	
1426	the guy who moved in with the lady across the street, but I wonder if he called. I have		
1427	no idea how it happened, but I needed the permit anyway.		
1428		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1429	Mr. Wright -	Is this gentleman a next-door neighbor?	
1430	3		
1431	Ms. Vong -	No, he lives across the street and catty-corner. He got one	
1432	of the notices though.		
1433	C		
1434	Mr. Wright -	Let's hear from him.	
1435	-		
1436	Mr. Ellis -	I got the notice and thought I was supposed to be here, so	
1437	I'm here. My wife and I have lived there for 49 years, and we've seen a lot of people go		
1438	and a lot of people come, and there's only one person left in the neighborhood who was		
1439	there before we were. We live across the street from her. I have no qualms about it at		
1440	all, no objections whatsoever. The lady works hard and does everything. She made a		
1441	mistake; I have no probler	m with it.	
1442			
1443	Mr. Blankinship -	Are you at 2500 sir?	
1444			
1445	Mr. Ellis -	2508.	
1446			
1447	Mr. Wright -	We certainly appreciate your coming. Is there anything	
1448	further you wish to state?		

Ms. Vong - Just that several neighbors had talked to me and volunteered to come here today, and I said "no thanks," because I wasn't thinking it would be necessary. Now I'm terribly nervous, and I'm wondering if I should have done that, but I just wanted to mention that, because I think it can do nothing but improve the appearance of my property. I won't have lawnmowers sitting out; it will definitely help me beautify the yard and the neighborhood, and I think everybody I know feels like that.

Ms. Harris - Could the shed have been built beside or next to that frame shed that you have in back yard?

The one in the very back that you can barely see? That's Ms. Vong one reason, the erosion, that's one reason I'm really glad my neighbor's here, in case you had guestions about the topography. I know that I could have built it elsewhere, but I tell you I could not have put a fifteen by fifteen foot shed elsewhere, because the slope of the property that I'm on. I don't know how to give calculations for that, but it is so extreme, and the erosion is so extreme that the shed in the very background is almost starting to slide down the hill. It's actually on a thick slab; that was the pre-existing shed when I moved in, but it's actually on about a foot and a half slab that sits under the lower half of that shed, and that whole slab is starting to slide down that hill. It's a tremendous slope. The reason that this one flat area that I put this new one on hasn't been compromised in it's substrata soil, is because it has these big concrete slabs, like horizontally along the back and the side, so my whole yard, all the topsoil washes down in any kind of heavy rain, except that area has always held firm because of these, well I had about six inches of gravel on top of the clay, and then these concrete things on all sides, which have held it tight.

Mr. Wright - Any further questions of the Board? Is anyone here in opposition to this request? Hearing none, that concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Ms. Harris, the Board **granted** application **A-153-2004** for a variance to to allow a tool shed to remain at 2509 Skeet Street (West Wistar) (Parcel 764-749-3758). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

 This variance applies only to the 10-foot setback from an accessory structure to the principal building. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

2. When finished, the storage building shall be compatible with the existing dwelling in color and materials.

3. The storage building shall not be used to store inflammable or explosive materials such as gasoline or paint thinner.

1494 Affirmative: Dwyer, Harris, Kirkland, Nunnally, Wright

1495 Negative: 0
1496 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

UP-29-2004 GASKINS & PATTERSON, INC. requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) to install a temporary sales trailer at 9601 Patterson Avenue (Grayson Hill) (Parcel 745-741-0907), zoned RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional) (Tuckahoe).

Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Lewis -I do. My name is Monte Lewis; I'm with Lewis and Associates; we're representing the Gumenicks with this project. Just to bring you up to speed, we recently obtained rezoning of this property for a townhouse development. Yesterday we received tentative approval for the townhouse development, and we submitted our first POD for the site plan for the first section. We're here today before you to ask for a temporary sales trailer, which will be located on the property at one of the proposed entrances. We are not clearing additional land that would not have to be cleared for the entrance itself. That was very important to us, because in the conditions of the case, during zoning, we proffered that we would save as many trees as practical. This is a temporary sales trailer, 24 by 60. We will be submitting a landscape and lighting plan within the next two days for the County staff to review. The trailer will be on site and operational until we get our model finished, which is in the first section. Then all the sales will be moved to that model. We have submitted the POD for that model, and it's under review as we speak.

Mr. Wright - Have you read the proposed conditions?

Mr. Lewis - Yes sir. We have read the conditions; we have no problems with the conditions as they are stated. I met with Mike Jennings with Henrico Transportation yesterday and Sam Amos with Public Works. They are okay with the access as we have proposed. As it states, the access is at the same location as the permanent road. When we do put in the permanent road, we will be putting in a right-turn lane, but at this time, Mike Jennings said that it is not needed for this small sales trailer.

Ms. Dwyer - Will this be a finished road up to the trailer, or will it be 1541 1542 gravel?

1543

1544 Mr. Lewis -It will be asphalt. We will have bumper blocks for the parking area. Edge of pavement will not have curb and gutter; what we'll do is cut out 1545 that asphalt and add curb and gutter, and then top-coat it with two inches of asphalt, so 1546 1547 it looks like a finished product when the road is finished. The permanent entrance will be a concrete entrance, what Works would call a CG9D, which is a concrete entrance, 1548 which is required everywhere in Henrico where you have access to a public road. 1549

1550

1551 Mr. Wright -The only entrance to this project would be off of Gaskins Road, I see – is that correct? 1552

1553

Mr. Lewis -1554 For this temporary sales trailer, yes sir.

1555

Mr. Wright -How about for the development? 1556

1557

Mr. Lewis -The development is coming off of Patterson with the first 1558 section, it's up there at Patterson across from the Ukrop's entrance. That's with their 1559 first POD. Our first POD does not go all the way to the temporary sales trailer with the 1560 road. With the second section of the POD, which we plan on filing probably next month, 1561 it will go up to the trailer area. 1562

1563

Where is the first section that will be built? Can you describe 1564 Ms. Dwyer that? 1565

1566

Mr. Lewis -It is along Patterson; it's fifty lots, with 50 homes right in 1567 there. 1568

1569

1570 Ms. Dwyer -What is the estimated amount of time you're going to need the temporary trailer to be? 1571

1572

Mr. Lewis -1573 We're expecting we're going to need it for about eighteen months. Our hours of operation right now look like they're going to be Monday through 1574 1575 Friday, probably 11:00 to 5:00, and then Saturday and Sunday, 10:00 to 5:00.

1576

Ms. Harris -At the conclusion of the eighteen-month period, when you no 1577 longer have a use for the sales trailer, will that become a part of your entrance? I'm 1578 looking at the map. What is that area? 1579

1580

Mr. Lewis -The trailer will be removed, the shaded area to the left of the 1581 trailer on your plan is the road. The parking lot area, which is to the left of the road, that 1582 will be removed and turned into a landscaped area. We are grading the site so that the 1583 trailer does not sit up above the road. We're digging it out and putting a small retaining 1584 wall under the trailer so the trailer will be flush with the road, so it doesn't sit up. 1585

- Ms. Dwyer The staff report says that you didn't submit elevations of the trailer. I assume it's the deluxe model. When I got the report, I brought over some colored photos of the trailer, and Ben has those.

 Mr. Blankinship I believe those were passed out this morning.
- Mr. Lewis It's a color brochure, and one side shows the floor plan, and the other side shows what it looks like. Other than the asphalt drive, the walkway that will come up to the trailer is going to be brick pavers.
- will be as attractive as possible, with landscaping.

 1598

 will be as attractive as possible, with landscaping.

It's a fairly upscale development, so I'm assuming the trailer

- Mr. Blankinship I must have left those upstairs do we need to ask somebody to bring them down?
- 1603 Mr. Wright We could put a condition in, Ms. Dwyer, if you wanted to say that it would be consistent.
- 1606 Ms. Harris The exterior of the trailer would be made out of metal?

 1607
- Mr. Lewis You'll notice on this trailer, it has the wooden platform and handicap ramp. We will not have that, because we're lowering the entire trailer down, to meet the ADA and Handicap Requirements.
- 1612 Ms. Dwyer So you won't have any stairs?

Correct.

- 1615
 1616 Mr. Wright Any further questions of the Board? Is anyone here in
- opposition to this request? Hearing none, that concludes the case.

 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Dwyer, seconded by Mr.
- Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **UP-29-2004** for a temporary conditional use permit to install a temporary sales trailer at 9601 Patterson Avenue (Grayson Hill) (Parcel 745-741-0907). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:
- 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.
- 2. A detailed landscaping and lighting plan shall be submitted to the Planning
 Department with the building permit for review and approval. Approved landscaping
 shall be installed during the spring planting season. All landscaping shall be maintained

1597

1605

1611

1614

1629

Ms. Dwyer -

Mr. Lewis -

in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the normal planting season.

3. The trailer shall be skirted on all sides with a durable material as required by the building code for a permanent installation.

4. The trailer shall be removed from the property on or before June 30, 2006, at which time this permit shall expire.

Affirmative: Dwyer, Harris, Kirkland, Nunnally, Wright
 Negative: 0
 Absent: 0

The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

UP-30-2004 COUNTRY CLUB OF VIRGINIA requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-12(b) to build a maintenance building at 710 S Gaskins Road (Parcel 735-733-6834), zoned R-0, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe).

1654 Mr. Wright - I'll have to disqualify myself on this case.

Mr. Nunnally - Is anyone else here with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Condlin - I do. My name is Andy Condlin. I'm with Williams Mullin, representing the applicant at this time. I also have with us, Monte Lewis, and Richard Cromwell and Mark Silva from the Country Club. If you have any questions, I wanted to have them available to answer any particular questions you might have. This is, of course, with the Country Club of Virginia towards the end of Gaskins Road. You can see that along Daniels Road, which is a private road; there are few residences, and at the end of Daniels Road, as it goes through, this is where the existing site would be. You can see that originally, and there's the last home that was owned by Mr. Thompson, and there's a major water line that's coming through this area that's going through, that's a lot of the construction that's going on right now. We had originally proposed for the facility to be at this location with a different elevation and a different facility. Because of the concerns from the neighbors, and the look, and the traffic, we've decided to move it back towards this area where it is at this time, with a different look as it goes in.

We already have a maintenance facility to serve the Tuckahoe Creek Golf Course at the end of Daniels Road. That facility, which is both a storage and offices and shops and

meeting rooms, are in this area in an old farm house and barn in this location. We've moved the facility further back again for the neighbors, so that this location, it can technically only be seen by the golfers and the employees of the Country Club of Virginia. It's almost 1700 feet from the closest resident, so that there would be no view that anyone could have of this facility. As I said, it will replace an existing facility, from an old farm and an open barn, so there is no additional traffic. It's not as if we were introducing anything new to this area. The building, we feel, is better suited for its use, and it's a more efficient operation, and quite frankly, it looks better than what we had proposed before. Again, it cannot be seen by the neighbors.

It will also allow CCV to renovate the existing old house that's being used; they're going to take off the old addition that's been put on that house some years back and renovate the house for maybe some specialty uses; they haven't quite figured out what they want to, if anything at all, but currently it's being used as part of the maintenance facility. Same number of employees, same amount of equipment; it's just going to be moved from one place to a better, more efficient location. One of the special exception standards that you have to look at is to give due regard to the nature and condition of all the adjacent uses and structures. I think clearly the adjacent area, this is well called for, we're only disturbing golfers and the employees. There should be no homes that will be able to see this location. Also, you need to take into account the special characteristics of the area and the design and location. Our architecturals are meant to, and I think those have been presented, as well, as part of the file. The intent was to make it look like an old barn. This was part of an old farmhouse. It's got the barn already existing with the silo across the road at Daniels Road, and the intent is to make it look like an old barn or stable, with a white building with a reddish roof. It also allows for, I think an advantage in this area, is to allow for the renovation of the old homesite that's on the property, and most importantly that this is not introducing any more traffic. Ultimately, it will not affect the health, safety and welfare of the residents in the area, nor will it impair the character of the area.

I do have a letter that I would like to introduce to you, or an e-mail written to me from Tommy Thompson, who is the closest resident, who does write that "I am sending this e-mail to confirm our support for the Country Club of Virginia's maintenance project that will come before the BZA on December 16, 2004. My wife and I are very appreciative of the Country Club's efforts to be sensitive to our needs as property owners that are affected by the proposal. Please feel free to use this as confirmation of our support of the project." We also had a neighborhood meeting, which Mr. Thompson and Mr. Tashjian showed up. They were the two closest neighbors. They were in support of this project, and no one else, I've received one other phone call inquiring about the construction project that Henrico is doing with respect to the water line, so we have not received any complaints. I don't believe Mr. Blankinship has either.

Finally, with respect to the conditions, I believe that Mr. Blankinship has written to me that condition # 5 has been revised with respect to the fire protection. The concern is that we want to be about to work with the Department of Public Utilities, the existing condition really specified exactly what had to be put in there. We think there's some

leeway in there that we want to work with the Department of Public Utilities, so we're requesting to have it changed to fire protection shall be provided as required by the Director of Public Utilities.

1729 Mr. Blankinship - That was passed out to you this morning; the original condition was taken directly from DPU's memo and DPU has signed off on this amended condition as well.

1733 Mr. Condlin - We just thought it was a little too specific because there are a lot of different ways you can accomplish it.

1736 Mr. Nunnally - You're in agreement with all the other conditions?

Mr. Condlin - I'm in agreement with one; I would like to clarify # 1, and the concern here again has to do with the Department of Public Utilities, in that depending on the amount of office space, and depending on some other factors, depending on the specific types of uses that are made of the building, they may require different standards of fire safety, sprinklers, a fire hydrant, the water line, the size of the water line coming in. While we will continue to have the same elevation, the same footprint, we do want to make sure and clarify, and I think that this condition allows us to be a little flexible with what goes on inside; in other words, it could be a shop, and a tool storage area, and we might make the office into an additional tool storage area, so that we don't have to put in the same water line. I just want to clarify for the record that I think that's the intent of that condition, that within the interior of the building, we can mix the uses a little bit, as long again as it's going to be reviewed by the Planning staff and Department of Public Utilities, and from that, the necessary fire protection safety services will be required.

1753 Ms. Dwyer - So the interior floor plan may change, and the uses won't.

Mr. Condlin - That's the intent right now, but the uses won't. It's going to be used for a maintenance facility, and it might be for tool storage for hand tools as opposed to an office. It will have vending machines and a lunch room there; they might make that smaller, make it a more open area for the shop area.

1760 Ms. Dwyer - Could we go back to the elevations that Mr. Condlin just gave us. I hadn't seen those before.

1763 Mr. Condlin - That's an end elevation that you're looking at, at the end.
1764 There's a side elevation, as you're coming down from Daniels Road from the side, and
1765 the other one from the end.

1767 Ms. Dwyer - The long part of the building will be along the roadway, and will there be a garage door on both ends or just one end?

- Mr. Condlin Just one end, and you can't really see it, it's kind of hard to see, but on my right side on the screen, that's where the overhead door is, and you can
- also see the windows, and there's overhead doors to go into the shop areas.

1774 Ms. Dwyer - There's a structure that looks sort of like a trailer, a temporary building

1776

1777 Mr. Condlin - Right at the end of Daniels Road?

1778

1779 Ms. Dwyer - If you're facing the silo barn, it's to your right. It's between the barn and the old house – will that be removed, or will that stay.

1781

1782 Mr. Silva - That's an old office trailer that was used as an office facility during the construction of the James River Club House. We're basically temporarily storing at that location now; the footprint of the building – we'll wind up having to get rid of the trailer.

1786

1787 Mr. Nunnally - Your name sir?

1788

1789 Mr. Silva - Mark Silva.

1790

1791 Ms. Harris - The exterior of the building, what will that be?

1792

1793 Mr. Silva - It's a metal building, it's a white building with a roof of red, to
1794 make it look like a barn. It matches the existing barn and silo structures; that's why we
1795 chose those colors.

1796

Mr. Wright - Any further questions of the Board or staff? Is anyone here in opposition to this request? Hearing none, that concludes the case.

1799

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Ms. Dwyer, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **UP-30-2004** for a conditional use permit to build a maintenance building at 710 S Gaskins Road (Parcel 735-733-6834). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:

1804

1805 1. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

1808

1809 2. The parking lot, driveways, and loading areas shall be subject to the requirements of Section 24-98 of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

1811

3. A detailed site lighting plan shall be included with the landscaping plans for Planning Office review and approval at time of building permit submission for the temporary structures as well as the permanent structures.

4. All landscaping shall be maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the normal planting season.

5. [AMENDED] Fire protection shall be provided as required by the Division of Fire and the Director of the Department of Public Utilities.

1823 6. [ADDED] The existing trailer shall be removed from the site within 30 days of occupancy of the proposed building.

1826Affirmative:Dwyer, Harris, Kirkland, Nunnally41827Negative:01828Abstain:Wright1

The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

LEASE FLORIDA LLC requests a variance from Section 24-94 to build a store at 421 E Laburnum Avenue (New Providence Park) (Parcel 794-738-0040), zoned B-1, Business District (Fairfield). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant proposes 15 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 40 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 25 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Ms. Greer - Jennifer Greer. We have proposed an 8,000 square foot retail store for this property. The variance that we're requesting today has somewhat progressed since our first submittal to the Henrico County Planning. In September we requested the setbacks in which were quoted from Waddey Street, which would make the setback in question now the rear setback; it would have made it the side setback of fifteen feet. We submitted the site plan on September 7 for preliminary site plan review, received comments from that, the setbacks were approved as shown on the plan. We were advised to go ahead and submit for the plan of development, which we did October 28. On November 8, we received a written notification that the setbacks were originally quoted incorrectly by the Planning Department. In this case, now making East Laburnum be the frontage and Waddey being the side street, and now making that a 40-foot setback in the rear, which brings us to now requesting the 15-foot setback and the 25-foot variance on the forty foot.

Ms. Dwver -So you originally designed this site plan based on the 1861 assumption that you only had to have a fifteen-foot side yard setback from what is now 1862 determined the rear of the property. Is it impossible to get more rear setback, or do you 1863 1864 just not want to do it because you've already done the engineering?

1865 1866

1867

1868

1869 1870

1871

1872

1873

1874

Ms. Greer -We had done all the engineering when we submitted the plan of development based on, I got a stack of ten pages of comments from the preliminary review. We did proceed with all of the engineering. If we move the building forward, we would not be able to meet the parking requirements. I also feel like in my experience with this, and that there is residential to the rear, when you have the building, it would force us to put a driveway behind the building, and I think that's of more detriment to residential in the rear to have people and parking back there, and parking lot behind the building. The building's going to be visible whether it's at fifteen feet or forty feet. With proper screening on the fifteen-foot setback, it's going to be visible either way.

1875 1876

Will you have a drive aisle back there at all? 1877 Ms. Dwyer -

1878

Ms. Greer -1879 Not now. If we move it to forty-foot setback, we would have to put a drive aisle for delivery back there. 1880

1881

1882 Ms. Dwyer -But as it's now designed, what would be there, landscaping?

1883

Ms. Greer -Landscaping. There's a fifteen-foot landscaping and a 1884 fence, probably a six-foot wood-screen fence. 1885

1886

Ms. Harris -How would the landscaping be in proportion to the fence? 1887 Would you have landscaping to make attractive the fence, or would we be able to see it 1888 just like it is in this drawing? 1889

1890

Ms. Greer -We would have an area between the fence and the building 1891 that would be landscaped with grass and shrubbery as shown. 1892

1893

Ms. Harris -So the landscaping would be closer to the building. It seems 1894 1895 as though the landscaping is going around the perimeter near the fence – is that true, or am I perceiving that incorrectly? These circles here? 1896

1897

1898 Mr. Blankinship -The fence is indicated by the line broken by the X's there, so all the landscaping that's shown there is on the store side of that fence. 1899

1900

Ms. Harris -1901 We're not seeing any landscaping in the rear of the building? 1902

There's some going around what looks like mechanical 1903 Mr. Blankinship equipment there, and then the fence is behind that. 1904

1905

Ms. Greer -That's correct. 1906

1907		
1908	Ms. Harris -	You run into this fairly often though, Family Dollar Stores run
1909		ential community. What do you do to appease the community
1910	normally?	onnan community. This do you do to appealed the community
1911	normany.	
1912	Ms. Greer -	Typically, we just had a very similar case to this, and usually
1913		cross the property and landscaping is usually what's required.
1914	a moo concoming pan ap a	
1915	Ms. Dwyer -	Has this been submitted to the folks who are involved in
1916	,	landscape design and building design?
1917		3
1918	Mr. Blankinship -	Yes. They're on our routing list, and they send us back a
1919	•	didn't have any specific comments about this case.
1920	•	
1921	Ms. Dwyer -	I'm just wondering about the fencing. Would it be better to
1922		higher chain link fence or something. I'd be interested in their
1923	input.	
1924	·	
1925	Ms. Greer -	I believe we did receive comments from all the departments
1926	when we did the prelimina	ary site plan.
1927	·	
1928	Mr. Blankinship -	They would have review the POD as well as the variance
1929	request.	
1930		
1931	Mr. Kirkland -	Mr. Blankinship, I see as part of their setback is part of the
1932	old vacated alley. Eight feet went to them, and I assume the other eight feet went to the	
1933	•	s property. So basically they can't build anything on that, can't
1934	put any permanent structure on that, it would have to be landscaped, right. There's no	
1935	utilities running under the	re, are there?
1936		
1937	Mr. Blankinship -	Not that I'm aware of.
1938		
1939	Mr. Kirkland -	When something's vacated, you get it, but you can't really do
1940	, ,	trees and bushes on it. You really can't build any thing on it,
1941	because it's vacated, I do	n't know if it's part of the deed or whatever in this situation.
1942		
1943	Mr. Blankinship -	I think it would become part of their property, but it's within
1944	the setback either way.	
1945	NA: 12::uld = := -!	Week and the could have be bridth and the characters and
1946	Mr. Kirkland -	Yes, so it could never be built on; it's always a green area
1947	mere, and the same on th	e other side of that chain link fence, correct?
1948	Mr Plankinghin	The owners on the other side can use the preparty we to the
1949 1950	Mr. Blankinship -	The owners on the other side can use the property up to the they're using it residentially. I think it's all in grass right now.
19.00	CELLEL III.E. VES. HUWEVEL	. mey re usino il residentiany. Triffith Il 5 all III Olass HOHLHOW.

Ms. Harris -Are there tanks in the ground? This was a former gas 1952 station, right. Do you know if there are tanks in the ground below the surface? 1953 1954 1955 Mr. Hungate -Scott Hungate with Family Dollar Stores, and from what we understand, they have been cleared. That's through Crown Petroleum. 1956 1957 1958 Ms. Harris -Do you know how long this site has been vacant? 1959 1960 Mr. Hungate -No, not exactly, but we estimate a good five years. 1961 1962 Mr. Wright -Any further questions of the Board? Are these persons for or against the proposal? You are against it. You have been sworn. Please state your 1963 1964 name. 1965 Mr. Jones -I'm Bryan Jones. 1966 1967 Gwen Jones. 1968 Ms. Jones -1969 Mr. Jones -1970 I'm the owner of the house that the store's about to be 1971 1972 Mr. Wright -You're the owner of the house that's behind the property? There's one house behind the property. 1973 1974 Mr. Jones -1975 It's the only house on that lot. I was wondering about that rear yard setback – is that going to make my yard smaller in the back? 1976 1977 Mr. Wright -It's not going to have anything to do with your yard. It's on 1978 their side. They're asking to build a building back further from the road than the original 1979 what's there now; that's what they're doing. They're required to have a 40-foot rear 1980 vard setback, and they're requesting to build fifteen feet from that line, on their side. It 1981 doesn't affect you at all except it's closer to your property than the other one. 1982 1983 1984 Mr. Jones -Because I prefer to have a wooden privacy fence put up instead of a chair link fence, so that I would have some kind of privacy. 1985 1986 1987 Mr. Wright -They will have to have some screening, and I notice in this thing, that they will have to submit a detailed landscaping and lighting plan to the 1988 1989 Planning Office for review and approval, so that the Planning Office will see that they have the necessary screening there to protect your property as best it can be. 1990 1991 Their plans presently call for a six-foot board-on-board 1992 fence, so it would be a six-foot wooden board fence. 1993

Mr. Wright - A six-foot board fence, which would remove that old fence that's there now. The chain link fence would be gone; it would be a board fence. Is there anything else? You were just concerned about how that would work? Do you

have any opposition to their building that close on their side of the line if they put the 1998 board fence up? 1999 2000 2001 Mr. Jones -No, I just thought they might have needed a little piece of my land. 2002 2003 2004 Mr. Wright -No sir. 2005 2006 Ms. Jones -No, as long as it's neat, and I know they're going to have 2007 dumpsters to make sure all these things are taken care of, because sometimes we may have rats. We don't want any of that interfering, so I assume that would be in the plan 2008 and everything, because so far it's been real neat, but we don't want it to come back 2009 and say the rats did this and the rats did that, but if we have all those things secured 2010 and taken care of, we don't have any problem. 2011 2012 Mr. Wright -Looks like to me it will be a lot neater than it is now. 2013 2014 Where he lives it's neat, but as long as you have us in 2015 Ms. Jones concern about the neatness in the place, we don't have any problem. 2016 2017 Mr. Jones, have you observed some other Family Dollar 2018 Ms. Harris -

Store locations that are in residential communities? 2019

Mr. Jones -Yes, one on Mechanicsville Turnpike, across from, near the 2021 Hollywood Video Store. 2022

2025 2026 Mr. Jones -I feel it would be okay. When is the project supposed to

Do you feel that they would make good neighbors?

2027 start?

Ms. Harris -

2020

2023

2024

2031

2034

2039

2028 2029 Mr. Blankinship -I don't know that; it's still under review for the site plan review. 2030

Mr. Wright -Thank you very much for appearing. Do you have anything 2032 else to say on behalf of the application? 2033

Mr. Hungate -Just getting back to his point on the dumpsters, yes we will 2035 have dumpsters; we will keep the place neat. We feel like we're a convenient place to 2036 shop, everyday low pricing, and we plan to employ five to ten people from the 2037 community. 2038

Any further questions of the Board? Is anyone here in 2040 Mr. Wright opposition to this request? Hearing none, that concludes the case. 2041 2042

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Ms. Harris, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **A-154-2004** for a variance to build a store at 421 E Laburnum Avenue (New Providence Park) (Parcel 794-738-0040). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

2047

1. This variance applies only to the rear yard setback. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

2050 2051

2. The parking lot, driveways, and loading areas shall be subject to the requirements of Section 24-98 of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

205220532054

3. This approval is subject to all conditions that may be placed on the approval of a Plan of Development.

20552056

4. A detailed landscaping and lighting plan shall be submitted to the Planning Office with the building permit for review and approval.

2059

2060 Affirmative: Dwyer, Harris, Kirkland, Nunnally, Wright
 5
 2061 Negative:
 2062 Absent:
 5
 0

20632064

2065

2066

2067

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

206820692070

2071

On a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **approved** the Minutes as corrected of the **September 23, 2004**, Henrico County Board of Zoning Appeals meeting.

207220732074

2075

2076 2077

2078

2079

2080

2081

2082

2083

2084

2085

2086

2087

2088

Mr. Blankinship -Mr. Chairman, I have a letter here from an applicant for a variance that was approved back in June. You may remember the name is Rappold. The address is 10307 Gayton Road, and it was an application that came before you in April to build a two-story garage on a one-story house. That variance was denied. They came back two months later to build a one-story garage on the one-story house, and that application was approved, with the condition that they had to build it with the plans that they had submitted to you and any changes to those plans had to be approved by you. Unfortunately, their original building permit used the approved plans, but then they came back a couple months later with a resubmission of the building permit to make the garage guite a bit taller than what you had approved, not guite two stories. That building permit resubmission was approved and probably should not have been. We probably should not have approved that, but they went ahead and built the garage, and you see it on your screen, several feet taller than what you approved.

Ms. Dwyer - This was an important issue. The first two-story garage was denied by this Board, and then the second one that was a single story was approved, and part of the discussion was that the roof line of this addition would not be taller than the existing roof line of the single-story home.

Mr. Blankinship - The condition was that they had to follow the plans that were approved by the Board or get any changes approved by the Board. They would now like to ask this Board to approve a change to allow a higher roof on the garage, and I'm going to pass down a sketch that's not the clearest sketch in the world.

2101 Mr. Kirkland - How far along are they on this project?

2103 Mr. Blankinship - You see it in front of you.

Mr. Kirkland - That's plywood or particle board on the side; there's no brick work done, is there?

2108 Mr. O'Kelly - And the building official has stopped work on it.

2110 Mr. Wright - Why in the world would we approve a change?

Mr. Blankinship - An error was made in the Permit Center. When they reviewed the original building permit, they were careful; they came and pulled the variance file and came and spoke to me, but when they came in with the resubmission, the Permit Center did review it without checking the variance file. They just signed off on it.

2118 Mr. Wright - So the building permit came in, and it's been approved?

Mr. Blankinship - One thing that the applicant has suggested and what's shown in that drawing there, the original house had a slightly higher roof in the center, and then lower on both sides. Then the garage is taller than even the center of the house, so you have really a kind of an odd staggered up and down sort of effect, and he has suggested that it might make the whole thing more attractive if he extended the higher roof of the new garage over the left-hand side of the house to the point where the roof line changes already, so that rather than going high, low, medium, low, it would just go high, medium, low. That still leaves you with a one-and-a-half story garage closer to the property line than would otherwise have been allowed, but it's one way of addressing it.

Ms. Dwyer - I guess my concern is that the applicant was denied the first time, and then part of this discussion that this Board had made it quite clear that this was only being approved because the roof line was not going to exceed the existing roof line of the house, so I have to wonder about the good faith of the applicant coming in, getting a building permit approved and then coming back later for an amendment.

2139 Mr. Wright - It's putting the burden on the staff.

2141 Mr. Nunnally - Did we have opposition to any of this?

Ms. Dwyer - No. But you can see how this is part of the concern, is they're quite close to the side property line, and this is a much more massive structure.

Mr. Kirkland - We had some rather lengthy discussion on this case.
So what do you want from us, Mr. Blankinship?

Mr. Blankinship - The applicant has submitted a letter requesting that I bring the matter before you, "Mr. Blankinship – as we have discussed, I hereby request that the Board of Zoning Appeals consider for the Board's approval, a change to the approved variance for condition # 2 of the stated conditions." The condition on that variance was that they could not build anything other than what was shown without the Board's approval.

2157 Mr. Wright - On the original application?

Mr. Blankinship - Right. I figured if you were going to make such a change, you should put this on your January Agenda, so that we can notify the neighbors and have a hearing on it, or if you are averse to that, you can just decide that you are not going to take this back up, and I would relay that back to him, and he's already under instruction to lower the roof by four feet, essentially to reconstruct it consistent with the approved plans, so that would be the County's direction to him if you were not willing to put this on your January Agenda. So I guess the question to you is, will you hear his request in January or not?

Ms. Dwyer - Procedurally, what would that be? It's not a new application; it's an application to amend his earlier application?

2172 Mr. Blankinship - I'm not really sure.

Mr. Wright - If the Planning (Permit) Office had checked it like they should have, I guess we wouldn't be here. That's the little thing that causes the problem. I know he's not in good faith, because he says he shouldn't have even submitted something to the Planning Office. He knew.

- Mr. Blankinship The Permit Center. I'll give them credit that they caught it the first time; they did review the file the first time, but he came back with a re-submission, and it was not caught by a different staff member.

 Mr. Wright He was advised that he could not have that.

 Mr. Blankinship He came back two or three months later, and it was
- Mr. Blankinship He came back two or three months later, and it was not reviewed correctly.
- 2187
 2188 Mr. Wright Looks like to me the man's in bad faith. If we do nothing, what's the situation?
- Mr. Blankinship He is under notice now that he has to bring it down four feet, roughly.
- Mr. Wright What would his next step be if he disagreed with that, appeal it to the circuit court?
- Mr. Blankinship I think he could appeal it to you. He could appeal the letter that I sent him, and then it would come before you as an appeal, so he may still have recourse.
- 2201 Mr. Kirkland So he could appeal the decision of the zoning enforcement officer.
- Mr. Blankinship There is also a building code issue involved, so he could also appeal through the building code.
- Ms. Dwyer That might be a better way to go procedurally anyway. It seems to me there's no procedure for having us reconsider a decision we've already made.
- 2210
 2211 Mr. Wright I just don't like the idea that we grant him anything.
- Mr. Kirkland I make a motion that we don't hear it, that we take no action, and that basically leaves it in his court.
- 2218
 2219 Mr. Blankinship We have already.
 2220
- Mr. Wrightthat he could appeal that. If he does, then I guess we've got to hear him, if he appeals that. But he's appealing, I guess, a decision of the Planning Office.

2203

2206

2212

2225 2226 2227	Mr. Kirkland - end too.	And then the County could bring in evidence on their	
2228 2229	Mr. Wright -	What's the pleasure of the Board?	
2230 2231 2232 2233	Ms. Dwyer - Planning Office issue thei the proper procedure.	I think that we should not take any action and let the r decision, and then he can appeal that to us, and that's	
2234 2235	Mr. Kirkland -	I'll second that.	
2236 2237	Mr. Wright -	Is that a motion? Any further discussion?	
2238 2239 2240 2241 2242	On a motion by Ms. Dwyer, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board denied the request of Robert J. Rappold, III to consider for the Board's approval, a change to the approved variance for condition # 2 of the stated conditions of his variance approved in June 2004."		
2243 2244 2245 2246	Affirmative: Dwye Negative: Absent:	er, Harris, Kirkland, Nunnally, Wright 5 0 0	
2247 2248 2249	There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Ms. Dwyer, the Board adjourned until January 27, 2005 , at 9:00 am.		
2250 2251			
2252 2253		Russell A. Wright, Esq.	
2254		Chairman	
2255			
2256			
2257		Benjamin Blankinship, AICP	
2258		Secretary	
2259			
2260			