MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF HENRICO COUNTY, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE HENRICO COUNTY GOVERNMENT COMPLEX, ON THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2004, AT 9:00 A.M., NOTICE HAVING BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE RICHMOND TIMES-DISPATCH ON FEBRUARY 5 AND 12, 2004.

6

Members Present: James W. Nunnally, Vice-Chairman

Daniel Balfour Richard Kirkland

Gene L. McKinney, C.P.C., C.B.Z.A.

Members Absent: R. A. Wright, Chairman

Also Present: John R. Marlles, Director of Planning

Benjamin Blankinship, Secretary Lee J. Tyson, County Planner

Priscilla M. Parker, Recording Secretary

7 8

9

10

Mr. Nunnally - I call the February meeting of the County of Henrico Board of Zoning Appeals to order. Would you stand and join us for the **Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.** Mr. Secretary, would you read the rules and procedures of our Board to the 9:00 o'clock agenda.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Mr. Blankinship -Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, ladies and gentlemen. The rules for this meeting are as follows. As Secretary, I will call each case. Then at that time the applicant should come to the podium. I will ask all those who intend to speak on that case, in favor or in opposition, to stand and be sworn in. The applicants will then present their testimony. After the applicant has spoken, the Board will ask them guestions, and then anyone else who wishes to speak will be given the opportunity. After everyone has spoken, the applicant, and only the applicant, will be given the opportunity for rebuttal. After hearing the case, and asking questions, the Board will take the matter under advisement. They will render all of their decisions at the end of the meeting. If you wish to know their decision on a specific case, you can either stay until the end of the meeting, or you can call the Planning Office later this afternoon, or you can check the website. The vote on each case will be posted to our website within an hour of the end of the meeting. This meeting is being tape recorded, so we will ask everyone who speaks, to speak directly into the microphone on the podium, to state your name, and to spell your last name please. And finally, out in the foyer, there are two binders, containing the staff report for each case, including the conditions that have been recommended by the staff.

29 30 31

32 33 Mr. Chairman, we have two requests for deferrals on the 9:00 o'clock agenda, -- A-144-2003, which we've been carrying since December. They did finally submit some new house plans, but they are going to require more of a variance than what was advertised,

so we had to re-advertise to cover that. Also, UP-4-2004, the Country Club of Virginia – both the applicant and one of the adjoining landowners wrote us letters requesting a deferral to the March meeting.

Beginning at 9:00

Deferred from Previous Meeting

Mr. Blankinship - UP-27-2003 and A-7-2004 are companion cases, so I'll call those together.

UP- 27-2003

HOLIDAY BARN KENNEL requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-52(c) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to expand the existing kennel at 3800 Mountain Road (Parcel 765-771-4745), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Brookland).

 A - 7-2004

HOLIDAY BARN KENNEL requests a variance from Section 24-10(a) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build kennel additions at 3800 Mountain Road (Parcel 765-771-4745), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Brookland). The distance requirement for kennels is not met. The applicant proposes kennel structures 60 feet from adjoining property, where the Code requires them to be 200 feet from adjoining property. The applicant requests a variance of 140 feet distance from adjoining property.

Mr. Nunnally - Does anyone else here desire to speak on this case? Would you please stand and raise your right hand and be sworn?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Hughes - I do. My name is Emerson Hughes. We're requesting permission to construct new kennel buildings within 60 feet of the existing property line. The old setback was 200 feet, and for 32 years, we were operating under the belief that that 200-foot setback only applied to one side of the property. Then recently we realized that the setback applied to the whole property, which eliminated almost any construction on the property at all. We're requesting to add additional kennel buildings to increase the capacity. Between the time that I quickly prepared the plan that you have here now, we have re-thought that plan, and I would like to submit a change to that plan, of which I have copies here for you today. It doesn't really alter the setback requirement, but it does change the physical layout on the property.

Mr. Blankinship - What is the larger one here?

Mr. Hughes - Same thing. The change is more for efficiency in operation than anything else. All these independent buildings are great for housing dogs,

because we can maintain good air flow, and we have sunlight in all these buildings, but it's very difficult staffing. So I have changed it to indicate that these buildings would be in a cluster, a U-shape, with a courtyard in between, and that gives us a flow for the staff from one building right on around into the other building. I might add, most folks would not realize this, in the month of January, Holiday Barn sent out 107 W-2's. Holiday Barn is a major employer in Henrico County and metro-Richmond. Holiday Barn is the largest employer of people working directly with pets in the Richmond metropolitan area. Most of those jobs are for young people; it's their first-time job, and there are high numbers of them and high turnover. But it's a great place for young people to work. We have been here since 1972, operated in good conscience within the County with no complaints, which is probably a little unusual for a boarding kennel, and we want to continue operating that way in the future. The layout, as I've put on this revised plan, provides that the rear of a building is toward the property line to the adjoining property owner's, and that means that there are no doors, no openings on the rear of those buildings. It gives us more opportunity for sound proofing and sound control.

95 96 97

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88 89

90 91

92

93

94

Mr. Nunnally -How about your operation? Is your operation about the same, or have you changed any type of operation?

98 99 100

101

102

103 104

105

106

107

108

109

Mr. Hughes -No, no change at all. This adds capacity. In 1972 we used to talk about cages, how many cages we had in this facility. We don't talk that way any more. Now we talk about how many rooms we have. This will give us additional rooms; this will be, as far as I can foresee, the final plan for this facility on this property. Again, we've been here 32 years, and over these years, the business has grown, and we have learned many things as we have progressed. I joke about this – our bi-weekly payroll today is in excess of what I predicted this business would gross in a year back in 1972. Much has changed over those years, but with this plan, this gives us number of rooms, capacity that I can see that would be the end of any development of this particular piece of property. Should we find that this business could grow more, the next appropriate step is to establish another location someplace else.

110 111

> Mr. Nunnally -How many rooms will you have now?

112 113 114

Mr. Hughes -We will have 275 rooms.

115

116 Mr. Nunnally -Two hundred seventy-five rooms, and how many dogs do 117 you have to a room?

118

121

119 Mr. Hughes -You only put one family's pet in each room. Never, ever 120 cross dogs and cats. It's conceivable that if every family owned two pets, the capacity would double. That does not work out that way. Most of the time, it's about 20% have 122 the second pet. They're not all dogs of course. We board about 35 cats, and part of 123 this addition will be for cat suites also, so it will not all be dogs.

124

125 Mr. Nunnally -One cat per room too? 127 Mr. Hughes - One cat per room – are you kidding?

Mr. Kirkland - Mr. Hughes, how many days of the year are you at 100%

130 capacity? Always?

132 Mr. Hughes - Fifty days a year.

134 Mr. Kirkland - Summer time, most likely.

Mr. Hughes -The weekends in the summer, Thanksgiving, Christmas, and sometimes at spring break. Our occupancy varies significantly during the week and during the season. This is interesting, if you don't mind thirty seconds to think about this. In the summer, folks, for instance, rent homes at Nags Head. They rent from 4:00 o'clock on Saturday afternoon, stay a week, and then they have to be out at 10:00 o'clock on Saturday of the next week. That gives the place at Nags Head an opportunity to clean, so they have a five- or six-hour window of opportunity. The people who are going down for the 4:00 o'clock arrival leave early Saturday morning. We get the dog. We're already at 100 percent capacity, we try to be, but the folks who are coming back don't get back until Saturday afternoon late, or Sunday afternoon. So we have a crossover period in there. If we don't compensate for that crossover, we end up being full one week and empty the next week. So the crossover takes us to the ultimate capacity. That ultimate capacity would last one day and sometimes two days. So the numbers always drop back down. When it comes to seasonality of this business, all the numbers are higher, for instance, during the summer. They would rise up to 250, let's say, on the weekends, and during the week drop back to 100. That goes on all summer long.

Thanksgiving is the most compressed holiday of the year. Those of you who travel on Thanksgiving know what chaos is involved in travel on Thanksgiving. We go to 100 per cent capacity on the Wednesday prior to Thanksgiving, from 10 per cent capacity the Tuesday prior, and then we go from 100 per cent capacity on Sunday, to 10 per cent on Monday. While the ultimate capacity sounds like, and it is, a lot of animals, and it requires a lot of care, it doesn't stay there. I assure you, if it had, all these 32 years, stayed at 100 per cent capacity, I would have been retired a long time ago, but it just doesn't work like that.

 We also offer grooming. That involves about twenty dogs a day of outside customers. That involves customer traffic that's not associated with the boarding. We do day care; that involves customer traffic. Those dogs come and go every day. We do dog training. We have classes at night, usually about eight or ten folks in a class at night. Again, we board dogs and cats. We also, you wouldn't know this, and this is kind of interesting, we care for the pets of the traveling public. So we are a part of the travel industry. Everyone thinks of us as part of pet care; that's true, that's what we do, but we're really serving the public who are traveling. We're also involved in pet care for folks who are dealing with death, folks who are dealing with Hurricane Isabel. We had lots of pets

resulting from the damage of Hurricane Isabel.

We also take care of governmental animals. We take care of this County's dogs, the Canine Dogs, Attack, Drug and Bomb Dogs. Interesting note – your Canine Division of your Police Department has keys to Holiday Barn and has their own alarm codes, and your officers come and go in that building, 24/7. If an officer has to go to a conference, the dog's got to go someplace. The dog will be at Holiday Barn, and they get an emergency call; the officer's got to be able to get the dog. This kind of activity goes on, but it's all very quiet. You have to think of us as a human hotel, where every guest arrives by taxi. We don't have to store cars for the public; our parking lots are empty while the public is away, so we don't require square footage and parking requirements like that. Our parking lot works like a 7-11 parking lot.

Mr. Balfour - Is your parking lot going to hold traffic okay on peak times with this expansion?

188 Mr. Hughes - Yes it is. We have fourteen places for staff and fourteen places for the public. There's never a time that it's all full.

191 Mr. Nunnally - Before we ask for the opposition, have you had any complaints about barking dogs or noise or anything like that?

194 Mr. Hughes - I did in 1973. We have not.

197198 Mr. Blankinship - No sir.

Mr. Kirkland -

Mr. Hughes - We work very hard at maintaining control, and it's the attitude of the owner that's in control of this. I say that very purposefully. It has been my fear for 32 years that I would have a problem. To alleviate that fear, we utilize the best techniques possible for acoustical control, and we control the staff with what they're doing with these dogs. It's important for you to know I'm not going to sell this business. I'm 60 years old, so my time with Holiday Barn will come to the end before very long. My son, Michael Hughes, is here. Michael, as of Monday, will become Chief Operating Officer of Holiday Barn, so our family will still own and operate this business, we hope for the next 32 years.

Mr. Blankinship, have we had any?

210 Mr. Nunnally - Any other questions of Mr. Hughes by the Board? Staff? 211 Thank you Mr. Hughes. Now we'll hear from the opposition. Sir, do you want to speak?

Mr. Snyder - Good morning. I feel very intimidated being here, but I hope you folks will bear with me. My name is Logan Snyder. I'm the closest neighbor to Mr. Hughes, and we've been neighbors for 26 years, and I've seen Mr. Hughes grow, and I've always admired his operation. I'm here today only because I feel that I need your help in protecting my property values. Where he is still operating a kennel, and the

operation is the same, to me, and very unknowledgeably so, I feel like the operation is beginning to be saturated over and above the intent of what the property should be carrying. That is my opinion. I just leave it to you gentlemen, to consider what I'm asking you for and why. One of the main things that I am concerned about is the elimination of the 200 feet. It doesn't change that there is a kennel in my back yard at all, but it certainly does make it an impelling situation if my property ever goes up for sale, that I'm certainly sure, with words from Mr. Hughes' own mouth, when we were together one time, that he told me that the word "kennel" to people is like poison. I've never forgotten that, and his previous expansions, I have never opposed them. I have always been very happy to see him being successful and growing, which reflected that he is a good businessman. But at the same time, I feel very intimidated that this is going to impact my property values greatly, and even though he has plenty of land there to expand on, I just don't know what the County's feeling is about how much operation they want in this location or this kind. When it comes to the variance, I feel like it's unnecessary, because he has a great number of other options to go to, without coming towards me. Whether that would help me and my property value or not, I don't know.

233234

218

219

220

221 222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

235 Mr. Balfour - Mr. Snyder, are you at 3786 Mountain Road – is that your 236 home?

237

238 Mr. Snyder - No sir, I'm at 11061 Mill Road. I'm right to his immediate line where the expansion is going.

240

Mr. Kirkland - Mr. Snyder, do you have any idea how far the back of your house is to his property line?

243

Mr. Snyder - I would say it's approximately 200 feet. Now I do have a garage there, that's within the distance that the County allows me to build, I think was fifteen feet.

247

248 Mr. McKinney - Looks like about 400 feet.

249

Mr. Snyder - Four hundred feet – okay.

250 251

252 Mr. Blankinship - Four hundred feet to what?

253

254 Mr. McKinney - To where the addition is going, from the back of his house.

255256

Mr. Blankinship - A little less than 200 feet to the property line.

257

258 Mr. McKinney - Mr. Snyder, do you hear any of the animals barking, or 259 making any noise?

260

Mr. Snyder - No sir. What you may term a nuisance, I have never experienced any, and I have never made any complaints, and I'm not real particular about things. The dog kennel to me is absolutely no problem. It just isn't any problem

at all, and Mr. Hughes is an excellent operator. But that's not my complaint and not my concern. It's just the fact that when I put that property on the market, and this intense amount of construction there, that has developed into all of his operation, is certainly going to be a strong consideration for whoever the purchaser may be.

268

269 Mr. McKinney - How long have you lived on Mill Road?

270

271 Mr. Snyder - I built the property in 1975, moved in 1976, and have been there every since.

273

274 Mr. McKinney - Was the Holiday Barn there then?

275

276 Mr. Snyder - Yes sir, it was; one building was there at the time, and I think now this will be nine.

278

Mr. McKinney - I think you had a concern about the word "kennel." Maybe Mr. Hughes would consider changing the name of it to the "animal hotel" or something.

281

Mr. Snyder - Maybe so. It didn't bother me, and Mr. Hughes, when we were building a house and doing things that we could do to save costs on the property, he came over and introduced himself and informed me, he said, "do you realize what kind of operation I have here?" I said, "well, yes, I know that you operate a dog hotel," a kennel, or whatever term was used, and he was concerned that maybe I wasn't going to be too happy with the operation. It's never bothered me, and I hate to be here opposing it, but I feel like I have to look out for my own best interests.

289

290 Mr. Nunnally - We thank you for coming, Mr. Snyder. Are there any other 291 questions of the Board or staff? Mr. Hughes, would you like to rebut please? If you 292 don't mind, just a short rebuttal, we've got a full slate.

293 294

Mr. Hughes - I would never rebut Mr. Snyder. Mr. Snyder is one of the great guys. 3786 is my property. I thought I would mention that.

295 296

297 Mr. McKinney - We realize that.

298

299 Mr. Nunnally - How many more dogs, animals, will you have with this addition, at your max, on the weekends.

301

Mr. Hughes - Max? Rooms. I cannot answer you with max animals, because I never know whether a customer is going to bring two. We'll have 245 or 250 rooms.

305

306 Mr. Blankinship - How many do you have now?

307

308 Mr. Hughes - One hundred fifty.

310 Mr. Balfour -So you've got another third, roughly, you're adding to it in 311 capacity? 312 313 Mr. Hughes -That's right, and a portion of those would be cats. 314 315 Mr. Balfour -Have you given any consideration to going ahead and 316 making your move now and not doing that? You said if it gets any bigger, you're going 317 to have to get another spot. 318 319 Mr. Hughes -Yes, we've given that some consideration. Boarding kennels 320 are terribly expensive to build, unusually expensive. It is not practical. Here's another 321 issue. Management of these facilities is very difficult; 365 days a year we have to have 322 staff there. 323 324 Mr. Balfour -You're supposed to show us your hardship, and your 325 hardship just happens to be that you don't want to move somewhere else right now. 326 327 No, the hardship is, we need a lobby for the public, and we Mr. Hughes need a dog drying room, to handle the existing operation. All those activities fall within 328 329 the 200 feet, and we didn't know that. 330 331 Mr. McKinney -What do you do for a lobby and a drying room now? 332 333 Mr. Hughes -They're too small. It's literally chaotic. 334 335 Mr. McKinney -They meet your needs today. When you expand, they're 336 going to be too small. 337 338 Mr. Hughes -No, they don't meet the needs today. I started this permit 339 process, just for the bathing room and the lobby, because we needed more room for 340 public activity. The lobby's gotten too small. In fact, we use the courtyard in front of the 341 building during peak times, to take people their pets, because the lobby is so small. 342 The lobby was originally designed for a facility that maxxed at about 90 animals. 343 344 Mr. McKinney -How long have you been operating like you're operating, with the number of rooms that you've got, and so forth? 345 346 347 Mr. Hughes -For about two years. 348 349 Any other questions from Board or staff? If not, that Mr. Nunnally -350 concludes the case. Thank you for coming. 351 352 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr.

expand the existing kennel at 3800 Mountain Road (Parcel 765-771-4745). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:

353

McKinney, the Board granted application UP-27-2003 for a conditional use permit to

- 1. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

2. This approval is subject to all conditions that may be placed on the proposed development during review of the construction plans.

3. A detailed landscaping and lighting plan shall be submitted to the Planning Office with the building permit for review and approval.

367 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally 4
368 Negative: 0
369 Absent: Wright 1

The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-7-2004** for a variance to build kennel additions at 3800 Mountain Road (Parcel 765-771-4745). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following condition:

1. This variance applies only to the agricultural distance requirement. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

382 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally
383 Negative: 0
384 Absent: Wright
4
0
1

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A -144-2003

RCI BUILDERS requests a variance from Sections 24-95(k) and (2) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 1800 Terrace Avenue (Hermitage Club Terrace) (Parcel 782-750-2829), zoned R-2, One-family Residence District (Fairfield). The minimum side yard setback and rear yard setback are not met. The applicant has 17 feet rear yard setback, and 23 feet side yard setback, where the Code requires 25 feet rear yard setback and 25 feet side yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 8 feet rear yard setback and 2 feet side yard setback.

| 402 403 404 405 406 407 | Upon a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board deferred application A-144-2003 for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 1800 Terrace Avenue (Hermitage Club Terrace) (Parcel 782-750-2829). The case was deferred from the February 26, 2004, until the March 25, 2004, meeting to allow time to re-advertise with a different variance requested. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 408 | Affirmative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally 4 | | |
| 409 | Negative: | 0 | | |
| 410 | Absent: | Wright 1 | | |
| 411 | | ŏ | | |
| 412 | A - 4-2004 | ABID KRAK appeals a decision of the Director of Planning | | |
| 413 | | pursuant to Section 24-116(a) of Chapter 24 of the County Code | | |
| 414 | | regarding the property at 5401 Dickens Road (Parcel 773-744- | | |
| 415 | | 3103), zoned R-2, One-family Residence District (Brookland). | | |
| 416 | | | | |
| 417 | Mr. Nunnally - | Is there anyone else here for this case? Did we have to | | |
| 418 | have any more test | timony today, Mr. Blankinship? | | |
| 419 | | | | |
| 420 | Mr. Blankinship - | The County has performed an inspection, and the Director of | | |
| 421 | • | eeds to report on that inspection to you. Whether you need to hear | | |
| 422 | from Mr. Krak or w | nether you have questions for him, is up to you. | | |
| 423 | NA NA 12 | | | |
| 424 | Mr. McKinney - | Mr. Krak has already presented his side. | | |
| 425 | Ma Nicopalli | Mall wall be aware in a rain | | |
| 426 | Mr. Nunnally - | Well, we'll be sworn in again. | | |
| 427 428 | Mr Plankinghin | Paige your right hand. Do you awaar that the testimony you | | |
| 420 429 | Mr. Blankinship - | Raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you | | |
| 430 | God? | is the truth, the whole truth, and hotting but the truth, so help you | | |
| 431 | Gou | | | |
| 432 | Mr. Krak - | Yes sir. | | |
| 433 | WII. IXIAX | 103 311. | | |
| 434 | Mr. McKinney - | Mr. Krak, we don't need to hear from you now. We've | | |
| 435 | • | testimony; we need to hear from the staff. | | |
| 436 | | , | | |
| 437 | Mr. Kirkland - | We need to hear what happened at the inspection that took | | |
| 438 | place. | | | |
| 439 | | | | |
| 440 | Mr. McKinney - | If we need you, we'll call you. | | |
| 441 | • | | | |
| 442 | Mr. Krak - | Do I speak behind this desk or not? | | |
| 443 | | • | | |
| 444 | Mr. Nunnally – | We'll decide that after we hear the report. | | |
| 445 | | | | |
| 446 | Mr. Kirkland - | We said at the last meeting that we had heard all the | | |

testimony, and we were going to wait.

448

449 Mr. Krak - I would like to, please, if I can get a chance.

450

Mr. Kirkland - I've heard everything right now that I need to hear about what's going on. I need to know whether or not the inspection was made, and what they found, and then if you'd like to have a minute or two to rebut or say a few words, I'll allow that.

455

456 Mr. Krak - Please give me a chance.

457

458 Mr. Kirkland - Let me hear what they said at the inspection first.

459

460 Mr. Krak - I'm going to speak something different, but regarding my 461 case.

462

463 Mr. Kirkland - Well let me hear from Mr. Marlles right now.

464

465 Mr. Nunnally - We don't know whether we want to hear anything different or 466 not until we hear from Mr. Marlles.

467

468 Mr. Krak - Everything I want to say is including my case, please, and 469 give me a chance.

470

471 Mr. Nunnally - Well you have a seat right now, and we'll hear from Mr. 472 Marlles, and if we need you, we'll call you.

473 474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board; my Mr. Marlles name is John Marlles, and I'm Director of Planning for Henrico County. At your last meeting on January 22, you did defer Mr. Krak's appeal on my decision as Director of Planning, in order to give staff an opportunity to inspect his property at 5401 Dickens Road. A joint inspection of that property was conducted on February 19, by staff from the Planning Office, and the Department of Building Construction and Inspection. Staff did determine that Mr. Krak has removed the stoves from the guesthouse and the basement of the dwelling, which does bring the property into compliance with the Zoning Ordinance. No other zoning violations were observed during that inspection. I would note for the record, though, that the inspection did discover a fairly extensive list of building code violations, both in the guesthouse and in the main dwelling. Those, of course, are under the jurisdiction of the Building Commissioner, not the Board of Zoning Appeals. For the time being, this does resolve the matter from the standpoint of the Planning Office. We will continue to monitor the property to insure that the owner does remain in compliance with the ordinance. I would note that Mr. Krak's original appeal was challenging the statement of fact from our original inspection that was conducted on November 18, 2003. He was challenging the inspection report that there were three kitchens on the property, two in the main dwelling, and one in the guesthouse. We would maintain that that inspection report was correct. In talking with Mr. Krak, he agrees that there was one kitchen in the guesthouse and one in the main dwelling. He

disputed whether there were two in the main dwelling. In either event, it's still a violation of the zoning ordinance. I'll be glad to answer any questions that the Board has.

496

497 Mr. McKinney - Everything's been satisfied now.

498

499 Mr. Marlles - Staff is satisfied.

500

501 Mr. Blankinship - Planning Office concerns.

502

503 Mr. McKinney - Correct. So now if he has other problems, he's got to bring them up with the Building Commissioner.

505

506 Mr. Marlles - Right, and I believe the Building Commissioner is pursuing 507 those violations.

508

509 Mr. Kirkland - So therefore the notices that were given on November 18, were true and were factual, and there were really kitchens there at that time.

511

512 Mr. Marlles - That is staff's position, yes sir.

513

514 Mr. Nunnally - Is there any need for the appeal to go further then?

515

516 Mr. Blankinship - Do you want to give Mr. Krak a minute or two?

517

518 Mr. McKinney - It's over and done with; it's done.

519

Mr. Blankinship - On his behalf, there was a statement made at last month's hearing that is on the official record, that he believes was false and misleading, and he would like to set straight. Whether the Board wants to hear it, is at your discretion. It doesn't make any difference to the case.

524

525 Mr. Nunnally - Thank you Mr. Marlles. Mr. Krak, I'm going to let you speak, 526 but I'm going to put a limit on you – five minutes at the most. You don't need that long, 527 really – two minutes.

528 529

530 531

532 533

534

535

Mr. Krak - Good morning Mr. Chairman; good morning members of the Board; good morning ladies and gentlemen. I am Abid Krak. I please you all to pay a little more attention to my case at this time. We all realize some striking details that we can't believe it. As more as I tried to be honest and do the right things for myself, my family, my neighborhood, and the community, I get more in trouble. But on the other side, some people are complaining and complaining, and you believe it. Some people even come behind this desk and swear to tell the truth, nothing but the truth, but after all, they tell a perfect lie. This is not a right place to lie. Let's go back a few years.

536 537

538 Mr. Blankinship - You just need to get to the point that you believe was false.

Mr. Krak -540 All right, all right. I'm going to get to the point right now. I'm 541 sorry. Testimony from my neighbor, Mr. Wenk. 542 543 Mr. McKinney -You've got testimony from your neighbor – is your neighbor 544 here? 545 546 Mr. Krak -No, he's not here. 547 548 Mr. Blankinship -He's looking at last month's minutes. 549 550 Mr. McKinney -Well, it's heresay. 551 552 Mr. Blankinship -He's looking at last month's minutes. 553 554 Mr. Krak -Last month's draft – that's his words, not mine. 555 556 Mr. Nunnally -Well he chose to speak what he said, and you don't need to 557 read them to us. You're liable to be subject to be sued for slander if you don't watch 558 out, so let's move along. 559 560 Mr. Krak -He testified some, let me say directly, he lied a couple of 561 times, not once. 562 563 Mr. Blankinship -Just tell us what the truth is, please. 564 565 Mr. Krak -The truth is, regarding my, I did some work after the stop work order was issued, that I poured a slab on that foundation, and I got pictures and 566 567 paperwork here to prove 568 569 Mr. McKinney -That's not our concern; that's the Building Commissioner. 570 571 Mr. Blankinship -Mr. Chairman, if I may, Mr. Krak and I discussed this in the 572 office a couple of days ago; he showed me information that established - if you 573 remember last month, there was testimony that the building official revoked his permit 574 and then he went ahead and poured the slab in the storage building. Mr. Krak showed very good evidence that that was not the case, that what happened is, he had the 575 inspection, he poured the slab, and the next day the permit was revoked. He just 576 577 wanted to get that fact into the record, and I respected that. 578 579 Okay, we'll accept that then as a stipulation, can we not? Mr. Nunnally 580 What Mr. Secretary just said. I think we've heard all we need to know. 581 582 Mr. Kirkland -I've heard all I need to know, Mr. Krak. 583

Mr. Nunnally -

584

585

Thank you for coming down. That concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **denied** the **A-4-2004** appeal of a decision of the Director of Planning regarding the property at 5401 Dickens Road (Parcel 773-744-3103), thus upholding the notice of violation issued by the Director of Planning.

591 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally
592 Negative: 0
593 Absent: Wright
4
595 On the second of the second o

The Board denied your request as it found from the evidence presented that there were at least two, and possibly three, kitchens on the property when the notice was issued.

The Board heard testimony that the property is now in compliance with the zoning ordinance. Although there may still be violations of the Uniform Statewide Building Code on the property, those violations would not come under the purview of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

UP- 2-2004

W. C. ENGLISH INC. requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Sections 24-103 and 24-52(d) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to extract materials from the earth at 6919 Monahan Road (Parcel 823-698-3046), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina).

Mr. Nunnally - Is there anyone else here interested in this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

 Mr. Higginbotham, - I do. My name is James Higginbotham; I'm with W. C. English Inc., and this is a renewal of an existing permit. The reason we're asking for this renewal is due to the economic downturn that put the airport connector on hold, and since this area was approved originally for a borrow pit, we're asking that it be renewed. We've gotten all the erosion control plans and yet we've never opened this area up, due to the road not maturing and the airport connector not being built. We're asking that all the conditions that were previously approved remain the same, that the pit be renewed. If you have any questions, I'd be glad to try to answer them.

Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Chairman, I made a couple of clerical errors in the conditions. The date of the renewal will be from July 31 to July 31. He's applying ahead of time, and I attempted to punish him for that.

627 Mr. Nunnally - Do we have any opposition to this? There are some people 628 who rose to speak. Why did we defer this?

Mr. Blankinship - We advertised the wrong parcel.

632 Mr. Higginbotham -We were actually on the wrong side of 895; it was just a 633 clerical error.

634

635 Mr. Blankinship -895 cuts through the original parcel.

636

637 And we called it the Spanos pit because it was Spanos Mr. Higginbotham -638 Spanos still owns property on both sides of 895, so we inadvertently property. 639 advertised to people on the wrong side of 895.

640

641 Mr. Nunnally -You just said you're in favor of the suggestions that we have 642 on this, right?

643

644 Yes sir. Nothing has changed from the approval two years Mr. Higginbotham -645 ago.

646

647 Mr. Nunnally -Do any of you gentlemen want to speak?

648

649 (Voice from audience) - Not in opposition, no.

650

651 Mr. Higginbotham -We've got good neighbors. 652

653 Mr. Nunnally -Anyone else?

654 655

656

657

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board granted application UP-2-2004 for a conditional use permit to extract materials from the earth at 6919 Monahan Road (Parcel 823-698-3046). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

658 659 660

This permit is subject to all requirements of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the 1. County Code.

661 662 663

664

2. Monday through Friday hours of operation shall be from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. when Daylight Savings Time is in effect, and from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at all other times. Saturday hours of operation shall be from 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

665 666 667

No operations of any kind are to be conducted at the site on Sundays or on national holidays.

668 669 670

671

4. Open and vertical excavations having a depth of 10 feet or more for a period of more than 30 days, shall be effectively sloped to a 2 to 1 slope or flatter to protect the public safety.

672 673 674

5. All means of access to the property shall be from the public right of way of I-895 or Monahan Road.

- 6. A superintendent who shall be personally familiar with all the terms and conditions of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code as well as the terms and conditions of UP-2-2004, and shall be present at the beginning and conclusion of operations each work day to see that all conditions of the County Code and the use permit are carefully observed.
- 7. Topsoil shall not be removed from any part of the property outside of the area in which extraction is authorized. Sufficient topsoil shall be stockpiled on the property for respreading in a layer with five (5) inches of minimum depth. If the site does not yield sufficient topsoil, additional topsoil shall be brought to the site to provide the required five-inch layer of cover. All topsoil shall be treated with a mixture of seed, fertilizer, and lime as recommended by the County of Henrico after the results of soil tests have been submitted to the County of Henrico. All topsoil shall be stockpiled within the authorized borrow area and provided with adequate erosion control protection. This condition shall not prevent the applicant from constructing a screening berm as requested by the owner of the adjoining property.
- 8. The rehabilitation of the property shall take place simultaneously with the extraction process. Rehabilitation shall not be considered completed until the extraction area is covered completely with permanent vegetation.
- 9. Responsibility for maintaining the property, fences, and roads in a safe and secure condition indefinitely, or for converting the property to some other safe use, shall rest with the applicant.
- 10. Entrance gates shall be erected and maintained at all entrances to the property. These gates shall be locked at all times, except when authorized representatives of the applicant are on the property.
- 11. Erosion Control Plans shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works for review and approval at time of application for the use permit. Throughout the life of this extraction operation, the applicant shall continuously satisfy the Department of Public Works that erosion control procedures are properly handled and furnish plans and bonds that the department deems necessary. The applicant shall provide certification from a licensed professional engineer that dams, embankments and sediment control structures meet standard and approved design criteria as set forth by the State.
- 12. The areas approved for extraction under this permit shall be delineated on the ground by the erection of five (5) foot high metal posts at least five (5) inches in diameter and painted in alternate one (1) foot stripes of red and white. These posts shall be so located as to clearly define the area in which the extraction is permitted. They shall be located, and the location certified by a certified surveyor, within ninety (90) days of the date of approval of this use permit by the Board of Zoning Appeals, or this use permit is void.

- 722 13. "No Trespassing" signs shall be posted and maintained on the property to warn 723 against use of the property by unauthorized persons. The minimum letter height shall 724 be three inches and signs are to be posted every 250 feet along the perimeter of the 725 property. The applicant shall furnish the Chief of Police a letter authorizing enforcement 726 by the County Police Officers of the "No Trespassing" regulations, and agreeing to send 727 a representative to court for purposes of testimony whenever required or requested by 728 the Division of Police.
 - 14. Excavation operations shall be discontinued on said site by July 31, 2006, restoration accomplished not later than July 31, 2007 unless a new use permit is applied for by not later than 60 days before the expiration of the permit, and is subsequently granted by the Board of Zoning Appeals.
 - A financial guaranty satisfactory to the County Attorney shall be posted with the 15. Secretary of the Board of Zoning Appeals for extracting materials from 23.24 acres, in an amount of \$2,000.00 per acre for each disturbed acre of land included, for a total of \$46,480.00 guaranteeing that the land will be restored to a reasonably level and drainable condition with a minimum slope on the restored property being five to one or flatter. The guaranty may provide for the termination of the obligations after 30 days notice in writing. Such notice shall be served upon the principal and upon the obligee as provided by law for the service of notices. At the termination of the aforesaid 30 day notice to the principal, all authority of the principal under this use permit to extract materials, and work incident thereto, shall cease provided the applicant has not furnished another guaranty suitable to the County within said 30 days. The principal shall then proceed within the next ensuing 30 days following the termination of its authority under this use permit, to accomplish the complete restoration of the land as provided for under the terms of this permit. A notice of termination by such surety shall in no event relieve the surety from its obligation to indemnify the County of Henrico for a breach of the conditions of this use permit.
 - 16. The applicant shall furnish a certification each year, verifying that the guaranty is in effect, premiums have been paid, and the bonding company reaffirms its responsibility under the use permit conditions. This certification shall be submitted to the Board on July 31st of each year.
 - 17. This permit does not become valid until the guaranty, required in condition No. 15, has been posted with the County, and necessary approval received. This must be accomplished within 30 days of the Board's action or the action becomes invalid.
 - 18. A progress report shall be submitted to the Board on July 31st of each year during the life of this permit. This progress report must contain information concerning how much property has been disturbed to date of the report, the amount of land left to be disturbed, and how much rehabilitation has been performed, and when and how the remaining amount of land will be rehabilitated, and any and all pertinent information about the operation that would be helpful to the Board.

729 730

731

732 733

734 735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748 749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756 757

758

759

760 761

762

763

764

765

766

- 19. If, in the course of its preliminary investigation or operations, applicant discovers evidence of the existence of cultural or historical material or the presence on the site of significant habitat or an endangered species, it will notify appropriate professional or governmental authorities and provide them with an opportunity to investigate the site and applicant will report the results of such investigation to the Planning Office.
- 774 20. The applicant shall comply with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and all 775 state and local regulations administered under such act applicable to the property and 776 shall furnish to the Planning Office copies of all reports required by such act or 777 regulations.
- 779 21. In the event that an appeal of the Board's approval action is filed, all conditions 780 requiring action on the part of the applicant within 90 days are considered satisfied if the 781 required actions take place within 90 days of final action on the appeal process by the 782 courts.
 - 22. If the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy determines that the use of this property constitutes a mine, the applicant shall obtain a mine license from the Division of Mineral Mining, Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy, within 90 days of such determination, or the use permit is void.
 - 23. No offsite-generated materials shall be deposited on the site unless the materials and the plans for their placement have been approved by the Planning Office.
 - 24. If the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy determines that the use of this property constitutes a mine, a sign shall be posted at the entrance to the mining site stating the name of the operator, the Henrico use permit number, the Division of Mineral Mining mine license number, and the phone number of the operator. The sign shall be 12 square feet in area and shall be properly maintained.
 - 25. If the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy determines that the use of this property constitutes a mine, all drainage and erosion and sediment control measures shall conform to the standards and specifications of the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook.
 - 26. If water wells located on surrounding properties are adversely affected, and the extraction operations on this site are suspected as the cause, the effected property owners may present to the Board evidence that the extraction operation is a contributing factor. After a hearing by the Board, this use permit may be revoked or suspended, and the operator may be required to correct the problem. The applicant shall post a financial guarantee in the amount of \$25,000, satisfactory to the County Attorney, guaranteeing compliance with this condition.

811 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally 4
812 Negative: 0

Absent: Wright 1

The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

New Applications

A - 6-2004

 CHESTER G. WADE requests a variance from Sections 24-94 and 24-95(i)1 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a front porch at 5557 Holman Drive (Wyndham Forest) (Parcel 745-777-6963), zoned R-3C, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Three Chopt). The front yard setback and projection into required yard are not met. The applicant proposes 38 feet front yard setback, where the Code requires 40 feet front yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 2 feet front yard setback. An outside storm enclosure not more than 6 feet in width may encroach not more than 4 feet into a required yard. An 8-foot wide porch exists and is proposed. A variance of 2 feet of encroachment into the required yard is proposed.

Mr. Blankinship - Does anyone else intend to speak on this application. Would you raise your right hand. Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

 Mrs. Wade - I do. Good morning. My name is Mary Wade, and I am here to request permission to add a roof to an existing stoop that we have on our house. We found after we hired a contractor and he came to the County to apply for all the permits, that we did not have the proper setback. We are short by about two feet. We won't enlarge it; we will use exactly what's there. We just want to put a roof over it.

Mr. Nunnally - It looks like your neighbor already has one.

Mrs. Wade - Yes, most of the neighbors do.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you want to mention the homeowners association?

Mrs. Wade - We have a homeowners association, which we have to apply to on any changes that we do to our property, and my husband made the application, and they have already approved the changes for it, so we were looking to have the approval from the County to go ahead with this.

Mr. Kirkland - Mrs. Wade, I see part of your problem is that your house had to be pushed forward on the lot because you are in the back of a Chesapeake Bay area; therefore that pushed you closer to the road.

Mrs. Wade - Yes it does. We have a protected area behind us. And we

bought the house; we did not have it built. It was already finished when we bought it, so we were not aware, we knew about the protected area behind, but we were not aware of the problem in the front.

Mr. Nunnally - Any other questions from the Board or staff? We do not have any opposition, right?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board **granted** application **A-6-2004** for a variance to build a front porch at 5557 Holman Drive (Wyndham Forest) (Parcel 745-777-6963). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally 4
Negative: 0
Absent: Wright 1

 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

 A - 8-2004

MARK SANDY requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a screened porch at 2016 Wade Court (Fort King) (Parcel 750-751-4860), zoned R-2A, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant proposes 35 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 45 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 10 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Nunnally - Is there anyone else in the audience interested in this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Sandy - I do. My name is Mary Sandy. I'm requesting a screened porch be built. I have a deck next to it. The screened porch wouldn't protrude any further toward the back of the property than the deck already does. It says I need a ten-

foot variance, but there's a little error in the drawing. We double checked that, and really, it's about three feet. I don't know if that makes a huge difference to the Board. It's really about two and a half feet on the closest part to the back of my property. I did ask all of my neighbors, and there's some information in there about that. No one seemed to have a problem with it.

 Mr. Nunnally - We have letters in the file from your neighbors, saying it's okay with them. Any other questions from the Board or staff?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **A-8-2004** for a variance to build a screened porch at 2016 Wade Court (Fort King) (Parcel 750-751-4860). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally 4
Negative: 0
Absent: Wright 1

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A - 9-2004MAERONIA B. ARRINGTON requests a variance from Sections 24-95(c)(1) and (a) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 1902 Bloom Lane (Central Gardens) (Parcel 800-728-5614), zoned R-4, One-family Residence District (Fairfield). The minimum side yard setback and total side yard setback are not met. The applicant proposes less than 1 foot minimum side yard setback and 2.5 feet total side yard setback, where the Code requires 7 feet minimum side yard setback and 19.5 feet total side yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 7 feet minimum side yard setback and 17 feet total side yard setback.

Mr. Nunnally - Is there anyone here in opposition to this request? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the

| 951 952 | truth, the whole truth | h, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|
| 953 954 | • | Yes. Maeronia B. Arrington. We are requesend of our home, twelve feet by 32 feet. I have signat | • |
| 955 956 | neighbors that no or | ne disagrees. | |
| 957 958 | Mr. Nunnally - | Have you got a copy of those that you want to to | urn in? |
| 959 960 961 962 963 | • | Yes. If you look at the fence on that side of the since 1950-something, and it's sixteen feet from the house feet out. Is it my understanding that something that's to be moved? | use. We are |
| 964 965 | Mr. Nunnally - | Grandfathered in. | |
| 966 967 968 | Ms. Arrington - in a curve. When yo | Grandfathered in, thank you. As you can see, ou look at it, it's narrower to the front than the back. | our home sits |
| 969 970 | Mr. Nunnally - | Did you say what size that was going to be, Ms. | Arrington? |
| 971 972 | Ms. Arrington - | Twelve feet by 32 feet. | |
| 973 974 975 | Mr. Kirkland - home, or will it be si | What type of materials will this be? Will it be biding, or what? | orick like your |
| 976 977 | Ms. Arrington - | It will be brick. | |
| 978 979 | Mr. Nunnally - | Any other question from the Board or the staff? | |
| 980 981 982 983 984 | Balfour, the Board (1902 Bloom Lane (| public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, sectors of the description and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, sectors of the description of the description of the following conditions: | an addition at |
| 985 986 987 988 989 | constructed pursuar may be made with | provements shown on the plan filed with the applicant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions out the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. A comply with the applicable regulations of the County County with the applicable regulations of the County County County County With the applicable regulations of the County County County County County County County County With the applicable regulations of the County Co | to the layout ny additional |
| 990 991 | 2. The new con- | struction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as | practical. |
| 992 993 | Affirmative: Negative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally | 4 0 |
| 994 995 | Absent: | Wright | 1 |

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A - 10-2004

LIFELINE FOR JESUS COMMUNITY CHURCH requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build front and rear additions at 3705 Meadowbridge Road (Highland Gardens) (Parcel 795-737-0236), zoned B-1, Business District (Fairfield). The front yard setback and rear yard setback are not met. The applicant proposes 17 feet front yard setback and 8 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 25 feet front yard setback and 40 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 8 feet front yard setback and 32 feet rear setback.

Mr. Nunnally - Is there anyone else here interested in this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Peterson -I do. Good morning, gentlemen. I am Canova Peterson, representing Lifeline for Jesus Community Church. We're looking for exceptions to the front and rear yard setbacks. The Community Church is sitting there in a neighborhood on the edge, providing a needed service. The restrictions on the site, it's a tight site. The only place to be able to accomplish the two things that are needed to enhance and allow this ministry to continue to take place in this area, is to provide the vestibule to the existing church. One of the things I believe the staff pointed out that it is being used as a church now; it can continue to be; however on a 22-degree morning in the middle of January, when somebody opens that door, it's not a very effective church. We've got about 80 to 100 people who are coming in there. At the same time, right now, it's a very small building; the community they're having an average of 80 to 100 people in attendance. They cannot have any socials with anything more than cold cuts, because they have no way to warm it up. They're looking for a small warming kitchen in the rear. By putting this in the back, there is a small corner of the building that has not been built at this point in time, to the rear. Adding this warming kitchen would not encroach any further on the back than is already the case, with the building in place that they bought, so there would be no additional encroachment than what is already there. It's just extending that corner of the building and filling it in. The front portion is an eight-foot variance in order to allow for a vestibule so that they can basically stop the cold chill when doors are opened when they're having services and different activities within the building. As far as the neighbors are concerned, it would not harm anything in the neighborhood; in fact would enhance the situation. You've seen the front of the building. They want to add to this, make it a more attractive building, and give them a stronger presence in the community.

1042
1043 Mr. McKinney - What type of material are you going to use, just match the existing structure?
1045
1046 Mr. Peterson - That is one of the conditions that the staff has placed; actually the church would like to improve on that, rather than try and match it. We would prefer to go to possibly brick, and some siding. Right now you've got some

actually the church would like to improve on that, rather than try and match it. We would prefer to go to possibly brick, and some siding. Right now you've got some painted brick and concrete block, just basic store front. We would like to have a stronger, more aesthetically pleasing appearance, so we'd really like not to be restricted to making it look like what's already there.

Mr. Peterson - The plan shows basically just enough to come out to the front with a size enough to be a vestibule, but that would probably be raised to a higher point to give it more of an identification of a Christian church. The little piece to the side is nothing more than an area to be able to put a raised platform for the person who is handling the audio and visuals within the church room.

Mr. Nunnally - Any more questions from the Board or staff?

Mr. Kirkland - Mr. Blankinship, let me ask you a question. Does the city line run right through this building?

Mr. Blankinship - Yes, and they have been notified of the application. The church is aware that they need to coordinate.

Mr. Peterson - Pastor Lorenzo Nicholson is the other gentleman with me today, if there's any questions that you may have of him as well.

Mr. Nunnally - Looks like you're going to have to move your handicapped parking spot over. Any other questions? Is there any opposition to this case?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **A-10-2004** for a variance to build front and rear additions at 3705 Meadowbridge Road (Highland Gardens) (Parcel 795-737-0236). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.
- 2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

This variance applies only to the portion of the property located within Henrico County, and no representation is made as to the approvals needed from the City of Richmond.

1092 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally
1093 Negative: 0
1094 Absent: Wright
1

 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A - 11-2004

SUSAN C. COOGAN requests a variance from Sections 24-95(k) and (1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 11 Westham Parkway (Westham) (Parcel 758-734-4531), zoned R-1, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The minimum side yard setback and total side yard setback are not met. The applicant has 21 feet minimum side yard setback and 41 feet total side yard setback, where the Code requires 25 feet minimum side yard setback and 44 feet total side yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 4 feet minimum side yard setback and 3 feet total side yard setback.

Mr. Nunnally - Is anyone here interested in this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Ms. Coogan - I do. I'm Susan Coogan. We are requesting a variance of four feet from the minimum side yard setback, and three feet from the total side yard setback. I'd like to point out that this addition will be a further setback, will improve the side yard setback from the existing structure. The existing structure is not within Code. What we are proposing is to replace an attached garage that exists with an addition of two bedrooms and two bathrooms. Once again I note that we will be within the existing footprint of the structure that we purchased. Actually, I was not aware until I got the materials for this meeting that the garage had been added by the owners from whom we purchased the property. We thought it was part of the original house that was built in the 1950's, and I don't know what went on in 1979 to allow the structure. I don't know whether it was within Code when they did it or not.

1131 Mr. Nunnally - This is going to be facing next to Glen Parkway, not next to your neighbor, is that right?

| 1134 | Ms. Coogan - | That's correct, and I have spoken with the ne | ighbors, and I |
|------|---|--|----------------|
| 1135 | didn't put anything in writing, but they have all said that it's no problem for them. | | |
| 1136 | | | |
| 1137 | Mr. Nunnally - | Any other questions from the Board or staff? | |
| 1138 | | | |
| 1139 | Mr. McKinney - | Do you agree with the conditions? | |
| 1140 | | | |
| 1141 | Ms. Coogan - | I'm not sure I'm aware of the conditions. | |
| 1142 | | | |
| 1143 | Mr. McKinney - | The suggested conditions on page 3 of 3. | |
| 1144 | | | |
| 1145 | Mr. Nunnally - | The section has to match the rest of the ho | use, and you |
| 1146 | have to meet all the re- | st of the requirements. | - |
| 1147 | | | |
| 1148 | Ms. Coogan - | You're saying the addition needs to be brick? | ? There's not |
| 1149 | matching brick availab | e. | |
| 1150 | J | | |
| 1151 | Mr. Nunnally - | As close as practical is what it says. | |
| 1152 | • | , , | |
| 1153 | Ms. Coogan - | Okay, because it is our intention to keep the | he foundation |
| 1154 | brick, but the elevation | is that we submitted were for siding in order to tie | |
| 1155 | | ucture on the property, and it was to allow the ac | |
| 1156 | | tie together with the other structure, to bring that to | |
| 1157 | • | d, uniform on the property. | J |
| 1158 | | | |
| 1159 | Mr. Nunnally - | Any more question? Are you in opposition to the | nis sir? |
| 1160 | , | , | |
| 1161 | After an advertised pu | iblic hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, sec | conded by Mr. |
| 1162 | • | anted application A-11-2004 for a variance to build | • |
| 1163 | | (Westham) (Parcel 758-734-4531). The Board | |
| 1164 | variance subject to the | | J |
| 1165 | , | 3 | |
| 1166 | 1. Only the impro | vements shown on the plan filed with the applic | ation may be |
| 1167 | , | o this approval. No substantial changes or additions | • |
| 1168 | | the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. A | |
| 1169 | • | mply with the applicable regulations of the County C | • |
| 1170 | | The second of th | , |
| 1171 | 2. The new constru | uction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as | practical. |
| 1172 | | and the state of t | 1-10.00.000. |
| 1173 | | | |
| 1174 | Affirmative: Ba | ulfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally | 4 |
| 1175 | Negative: | | 0 |
| 1176 | • | right | 1 |
| 1177 | 1 | ·· ·· | • |
| | | | |

February 26, 2004

1178 1179 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code

1180 would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property 1181 nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 1182 1183 1184 UP- 4-2004 **COUNTRY CLUB OF VIRGINIA** requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-12(b) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to 1185 add a maintenance building and parking area at 710 S Gaskins 1186 Road (Parcel 735-733-6834), zoned R-0, One-family Residence 1187 1188 District (Tuckahoe). 1189 1190 Upon a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board deferred 1191 application UP-4-2004 for a conditional use permit to add a maintenance building and parking area at 710 S Gaskins Road (Parcel 735-733-6834). 1192 1193 1194 Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally 4 Affirmative: 1195 Negative: 0 1196 Absent: Wright 1 1197 1198 The case was deferred from the February 26, 2004, until the March 25, 2004, meeting 1199 at the request of both the applicant and one of the adjoining landowners. 1200 1201 Mr. Nunnally -Let's take a five-minute break. 1202 1203 Beginning at 10:00 1204 1205 Mr. Blankinship -Mr. Chairman, we've had a request to take one case out of order, which we just discussed at the break. 1206 1207 1208 **New Applications** 1209 1210 A - 16-2004 TIM FINNERTY requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to allow a front porch to remain at 6616 1211 Hines Road (Parcel 856-693-2077), zoned A-1, Agricultural District 1212 (Varina). The front yard setback is not met. The applicant has 38 1213 1214 feet front yard setback, where the Code requires 50 feet front yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 12 feet front vard 1215 1216 setback. 1217 1218 Mr. Balfour -Is anyone else interested in this case? Would you raise your 1219 right hand and be sworn please? 1220 1221 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 1222 1223

Ms. Finnerty -

1224

1225

porch that we put on this property remain. It was storm damage, and we re-did the

I do. My name's Jean Finnerty. I'm requesting that the

porch, and at the time we wanted to put a roof over the top of it. My husband went to the County, and they told him that we had to file for a variance to do that. He had already went ahead. For the storm damage, they told him he didn't need to get a permit, so he went ahead and started restructuring the porch. We put a wooden porch on the front because during the storm a tree fell. It was a cement stoop It knocked the stoop down into the ground and pulled it from the house. To replace the stoop itself was very costly. So it was less expensive for us to put the wood porch on the front. In doing that, he had put the uprights up, and then the gentleman at the County east end office told him that we had to apply for a variance. So at the time, we just kind of left it go. Then we received this building permit in the mail, and it says "disaster damage and other," so we assumed that since we had applied to put the porch on, that it was okay to do that. So we did. Then I was left the notice from the lady who comes out and inspects, that we didn't have the permit to do that. Just in the confusion, "other" didn't specify we could or could not put the roof on, and we just assumed that's what we had applied for, we would put that on. We were not told by the people at the east end office, had we have stayed right over the top of the stoop, that we wouldn't have needed a permit for anything, that we could have put the roof on, and there wouldn't have been any repercussions because of this. It's saying on the instructions here that I'm applying for twelve feet, but from what I'm seeing, it looks to me like I'm applying for nine. I may be wrong, I don't know, because it's showing on this one print that I have that it's 41 feet from the road.

1246 1247 1248

1226

1227

12281229

1230

1231 1232

1233

1234

1235

1236 1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244 1245

Mr. Blankinship - But you're asking to keep what is there.

1249 1250 1251

Ms. Finnerty - Yes, I'm asking to keep what is there, because it did add a lot of appearance to the home. We've had a lot of compliments, how nice the home looks now. It's just a small home, where my daughter lives, but it made it more of a homey atmosphere, more than just a barn, a builder home that's been sitting there.

1253 1254 1255

1252

Mr. Nunnally - Any questions from the Board or staff?

1256 1257

1258

1259

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **A-16-2004** for a variance to to allow a front porch to remain at 6616 Hines Road (Parcel 856-693-2077). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1260 1261 1262

1263

1264

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

1265 1266 1267

2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

1268

1269 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally1270 Negative:

1271 Absent: Wright 1

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A - 12-2004 J. R. UNLIMITED requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to allow the one-family dwelling to remain at 5315 Lucas Road (Parcel 768-756-7342), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Brookland). The front yard setback is not met. The applicant has 39 feet front yard setback, where the Code requires 40 feet front yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 1 foot front yard setback.

1287 Mr. Nunnally - Is anyone else here interested in this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Lennon - I do. Jesse Lennon, representing J. R. Unlimited, LLC. We are requesting this one-foot variance from the front yard setback. If you have some questions other than what's in the application, I'd be glad to answer.

Mr. Kirkland - Mr. Blankinship, can I ask you a question about this background information that was on this case? It says here it was in violation, and then it turns around and says the original owner requested a front yard variance, etc., etc., but the request was withdrawn. How does this stand right now?

Mr. Blankinship - As of now, it's a violation. It was built in violation; they applied for a variance, and they didn't show up for either of the two hearings, so it was withdrawn.

Mr. Lennon - I checked with the County, and it seems like they said the only recommendation to correct this was to go through a variance. There wasn't any other type of remedy that they knew of.

1310 Mr. Nunnally - Any questions from the Board or staff?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board **granted** application **A-12-2004** for a variance to allow the one-family dwelling to remain at 5315 Lucas Road (Parcel 768-756-7342). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

| 1317 | 1. This varian | nce applies only to the existing one family dwelling. A | ny | |
|--------------|--|--|----------|--|
| 1318 | additional construction must meet the requirements of the Henrico County Code. | | | |
| 1319 | | · | | |
| 1320 | Affirmative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally | 4 | |
| 1321 | Negative: | | 0 | |
| 1322 | Absent: | Wright | 1 | |
| 1323 | | - | | |
| 1324 | The Board granted | d this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, du | e to the | |
| 1325 | unique circumstan | nces of the subject property, strict application of the County | y Code | |
| 1326 | would produce und | due hardship not generally shared by other properties in the ar | ea, and | |
| 1327 | authorizing this va | riance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent p | roperty | |
| 1328 | nor materially impa | air the purpose of the zoning regulations. | | |
| 1329 | | | | |
| 1330 | A - 13-2004 | BILLY JOE OVERMAN, JR. AND KAREN OVERMAN red | • | |
| 1331 | | variance from Section 24-9 of Chapter 24 of the County (| | |
| 1332 | | build a one-family dwelling at 4830 Charles City Road (Parc | | |
| 1333 | | 700-4818 (part)), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina) | | |
| 1334 | | public street frontage requirement is not met. The applican | | |
| 1335 | | 0 feet public street frontage, where the Code requires 50 fee | t public | |
| 1336 | | street frontage. | | |
| 1337 | N4 . N1 11 | Lead and a constant of the second of the sec | | |
| 1338 | Mr. Nunnally - | Is there anyone else interested in this case? Please | e stand | |
| 1339 | and raise your righ | t hand to be sworn please? | | |
| 1340 | Mr. Plankinshin | Do you awaar that the testimony you are shout to six | o io tho | |
| 1341 1342 | Mr. Blankinship - | Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give | e is the | |
| 1342 | trutti, trie whole tru | ith, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? | | |
| 1344 | Mr. Overman - | I do. Billy Joe Overman, Jr. From what I understand, | they're | |
| 1345 | | · | • | |
| 1346 | telling me I have to get a variance to use my father's driveway to build. My father's given me an acre of land behind his house, and I have to use his driveway to get to my | | | |
| 1347 | house, and from what I understand, I have to get a variance for it. | | | |
| 1348 | mode, and mom w | That I diladiotalia, I have to get a variation for it. | | |
| 1349 | Mr. Kirkland - | Have you read the staff recommended conditions that | t are in | |
| 1350 | the case? | | | |
| 1351 | | | | |
| 1352 | Ms. Overman - | l did. | | |
| 1353 | | | | |
| 1354 | Mr. Kirkland - | Did you have any problem with that? | | |
| 1355 | | • | | |
| 1356 | Ms. Overman - | As far as I understand that language. | | |
| 1357 | | | | |
| 1358 | Mr. Kirkland - | Number five is usually the big item. | | |
| 1359 | | | | |

Mr. Overman -

easement.

1360

1361

1362

There's no problem. My dad's already said he'd write me an

Mr. Nunnally - You say your father owns this land now, and you're going to build a home for you and your wife there on this property?

Mr. Overman - Yes sir.

1368 Mr. Nunnally - Any other questions from Board or staff? Do you want to speak ma'am.

1371 Mr. Overman - That's my mother.

1373 Mr. Nunnally - Thank you for coming.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board **granted** application **A-13-2004** for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 4830 Charles City Road (Parcel 838-700-4818 (part)). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

2. At the time of building permit application the owner shall demonstrate that the parcel created by this division has been conveyed to members of the immediate family, and the subdivision ordinance has not been circumvented.

3. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval of a well location.

4. The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility for maintaining access to the property.

5. The applicant shall present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained.

1398 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally
1399 Negative: 0
1400 Absent: Wright
1

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

UP- 5-2004 NYW ENTERPRISES requests a temporary conditional use permit

| 1409 1410 1411 1412 | to lo | uant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code cate a temporary office trailer at 4731 Eubank Road (Parcel 711-9946), zoned M-1, Light Industrial District (Varina). |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| 1413 1414 1415 | Mr. Nunnally - please stand and raise yo | Is anyone else here interested in this case? Would you our right hand and be sworn please? |
| 1416 1417 1418 | Mr. Blankinship - truth, the whole truth, and | Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the nothing but the truth, so help you God? |
| 1419 1420 1421 | Mr. Hue - Enterprises, requesting a | I do. My name is Lou Renco Hue; I represent NYW temporary office trailer. |
| 1422 1423 | Mr. Nunnally - | You're requesting one trailer? |
| 1424 1425 | Mr. Hue - | One or two, doesn't matter. |
| 1426 1427 | Mr. Nunnally - | Yes it does matter to us. One trailer. |
| 1428 1429 | Mr. Hue - | One trailer. |
| 1430 1431 1432 | Mr. Kirkland - day, what type of facilities | Mr. Hue, since I see this is going to be occupied 24 hours a s do you have for people to use the restroom. |
| 1433 1434 | Mr. Hue - | Basically, it's for security purposes. |
| 1435 1436 | Mr. Kirkland - | Where are they going to the bathroom at? |
| 1437 1438 1439 | Mr. Hue - 500 feet. | The bathroom is right across from the trailer, not more than |
| 1440 1441 | Mr. Nunnally - | They have access to that 24 hours? |
| 1442 1443 | Mr. Hue - | Yes. The employee entrance. |
| 1444 1445 | Mr. Nunnally - | And you saw the condition that they can't sleep in there? |
| 1446 1447 | Mr. Hue - | No. |
| 1448 1449 1450 | Mr. Nunnally - understand they can't sle | No, you didn't know the condition was there, or no, you ep there. |
| 1451 1452 | Mr. Hue - | Yes, I'm aware of that. |
| 1453 1454 | Mr. McKinney - | What are you aware of? |

| 1455 1456 | Mr. Hue - | That trailer cannot be for the residential or sleeping quarters. |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| 1456 1457 1458 1459 | Mr. McKinney - hours operation? | What are the hours of operation of this company? Is it 24- |
| 1460 1461 | Mr. Hue - | You mean, what do we do? |
| 1462 1463 1464 | Mr. McKinney - week? | No, the hours of operation, 24 hours a day, seven days a |
| 1465 1466 | Mr. Hue - | Yes, three shifts. |
| 1467 1468 | Mr. Kirkland - | What do you do? What do you sell? What do you do? |
| 1469 1470 | Mr. Hue - | Basically the grocery, produce, canned foods. |
| 1471 1472 1473 | Mr. Kirkland - company. Can you tell m | Mr. Blankinship, there were some other issues involving this e what the status on those are? |
| 1474 1475 1476 1477 | owned by the corporatio | There were two houses on Raleigh Road that are also n. We had received complaints that they were being used for workers at this location. |
| 1478 1479 1480 1481 | Mr. Hue - around and try to square this information. | Yes, we're working on that and looking for apartments those things away. We took action immediately since we got |
| 1482 1483 | Mr. Kirkland - | Did you give them a notice of violation? |
| 1484 1485 1486 | Mr. Blankinship - have communicated to the | I'm not sure whether they're under notice or just that we em that there is a violation that needs to be corrected. |
| 1487 1488 1489 | Mr. McKinney - dormitories? | You say there're two houses that they're using for |
| 1490 1491 1492 1493 | | Yes, they're about two blocks away from this, and they've ne houses, as part of their employment agreement, not as a addressing that separately. |
| 1494 1495 1496 | Mr. Nunnally - trailer. | I'll say this one more time - you can't move them into this |
| 1497 1498 | Mr. Hue - | Yes. |
| 1499 1500 | Mr. Kirkland - | Only one trailer. |

| 1501 | Mr. Nunnally - | Any other questions from Board or staff? | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------|
| 1502 | | | |
| 1503 | Mr. McKinney - | This expires on February 28, 2006. | |
| 1504 1505 | Mr. Blankinship - | The applicant had put four years on the application | ation form but |
| 1506 | • | at the Board is limited to approving it for two years. | ation form, but |
| 1507 | The didn't reduize the | at the Board to minited to approving it for two years. | |
| 1508 | Mr. McKinney - | What happens on February 28, 2006? Are | they going to |
| 1509 | _ | ? What are they going to do? | , 0 0 |
| 1510 | · | , | |
| 1511 | Mr. Hue - | It will depend on the business. If the busines | s can support, |
| 1512 | we're going to apply | y, come to the County and get a permit. | |
| 1513 | | | |
| 1514 | Mr. Nunnally - | Any other questions? | |
| 1515 | | | |
| 1516 | | public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, se | |
| 1517 | | granted application UP-5-2004 for a temporary c | |
| 1518 | - | a temporary office trailer at 4731 Eubank Road (Pa | |
| 1519 1520 | 9946). The Board | granted the use permit subject to the following condition | ns: |
| 1521 | 1. The trailer sl | hall be removed from the property on or before Februa | ry 28 2006 at |
| 1522 | | mit shall expire. This permit shall not be renewed. | ry 20, 2000, at |
| 1523 | willcir tillle tills pen | Till Shall expire. This permit shall not be renewed. | |
| 1524 | 2. The trailer sl | nall not be used for residential occupancy or sleeping q | uarters. |
| 1525 | 2 | Tan Het be deed for residential desapartey of electring q | , a.a. 10.01 |
| 1526 | Affirmative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally | 4 |
| 1527 | Negative: | , | 0 |
| 1528 | Absent: | Wright | 1 |
| 1529 | | | |
| 1530 | • | the request because it found the proposed use will be | |
| 1531 | accordance with the | e general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the | County Code. |
| 1532 | | | |
| 1533 | A - 14-2004 | STEVEN AND PAMELA STREETER request a | |
| 1534 | | Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Coo | |
| 1535 | | screened porch over an existing deck at 12208 Col | |
| 1536 | | (Collinstone at Wyndham) (Parcel 737-780-0432), | • |
| 1537 1538 | | One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Three | • / |
| 1539 | | rear yard setback is not met. The applicants have 30 setback, where the Code requires 35 feet rear yard | |
| 1539 | | applicants request a variance of 5 feet rear yard setba | |
| 1541 | | applicants request a variance of 5 feet real yald setue | AON. |
| 1542 | Mr. Nunnally - | Is anyone else here interested in this case | ? Would you |
| 1543 | • | aise your right hand and be sworn please? | aia you |
| 1544 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 1545 | Mr. Blankinship - | Do you swear that the testimony you are about | t to give is the |
| 1546 | truth, the whole trut | th, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? | - |

| 1547 | | |
|------|---------------------|---|
| 1548 | Mr. Streeter - | I do. We are looking to, over the existing deck, and within |
| 1549 | the same dimensions | s, install an add-on screened porch. |
| 1550 | | |
| 1551 | Mr. Nunnally - | It will be a twelve by twelve porch? |
| 1552 | · | , , |
| 1553 | Mr. Kirkland - | I see on the drawing, sir, that they have bricked in the piers, |

1555
Mr. Streeter - Yes sir, the intention is to use those front brick piers because
1557 of the weight of the roof. Presently with the deck, you do not have that kind of stress on
1558 the outside end of it. We may even end up, and I believe due to County requirements,

there may even be as many as three brick piers.

is that what's happening on the sketch I got?

1560
1561 Mr. Blankinship - The proffered conditions on that section require that if they're
1562 going to build livable space there, it has to be on a brick foundation. A deck can be on
1563 wooden piers.

Mr. Nunnally - Any other questions?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **A-14-2004** for a variance to build a screened porch over an existing deck at 12208 Collinstone Place (Collinstone at Wyndham) (Parcel 737-780-0432). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.
- 2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

| 1580 | Affirmative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally | 4 |
|------|--------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1581 | Negative: | | 0 |
| 1582 | Absent: | Wright | 1 |

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

1589
1590 A - 15-2004 DONALD F. AND M. DIANE BENTZ request a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a garden room at 494 Clauson Road (Northfield) (Parcel 792-758-7888),

zoned R-2, One-family Residence District (Fairfield). The minimum side yard setback and total side yard setback are not met. The applicants have 13 feet minimum side yard setback and 29 feet total side yard setback, where the Code requires 15 feet minimum side yard setback and 35 feet total side yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 2 feet minimum side yard setback and 6 feet total side yard setback.

Mr. Nunnally - Is anyone else here interested in this case? Would you please stand and raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

My name is Donald Bentz. We're requesting a Mr. Bentz -I do. variance to build a garden room on the east end of our house. We're two feet shy, actually about 16 inches shy of the side yard setback, and I didn't realize until I got the report back on this that there was a total yard setback, and we're six feet shy of the total side yard setback. We submitted our request, and you got a copy of the letter from our neighbor, whose house adjoins the property, giving his permission. In looking at your evaluation, on number 2, you said there's ample room in the rear to build an addition. The property would accommodate an addition twelve feet wide without a variance. If we would try to put the addition behind the house, there is a row of pine trees that extends across the width of the yard. The addition, if we were to put it there, would sit over the roots of the pine trees. They would have to be cut for the foundation. It would weaken the trees; I'm sure they would have to be removed, and the yard does slope down and would give a possible run-off problem. On the recommendations, you have, if it's approved, we would be constructed according to the variance request here, and we requested 18 by 20, not 18 by 18, as it states.

Mr. Blankinship - It's still the same width, right, 18; it's just 20 deep?

Mr. Bentz - It's 20 deep, and the difference would be the 18, right. The drawing does show 18 by 20.

1628 Mr. Nunnally - Are there any other questions of Mr. Bentz? Are you in opposition sir?

(Voice from audience) No, supporting it sir.

1633 Mr. Nunnally - Anyone here in opposition?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board **granted** application **A-15-2004** for a variance to build a garden room at 494 Clauson Road (Northfield) (Parcel 792-758-7888). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

- 1639
 1640
 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.
 - 2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

| 1647 | Affirmative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally | 4 |
|------|--------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1648 | Negative: | · | 0 |
| 1649 | Absent: | Wright | 1 |

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

- A 17-2004 C B RICHARD ELLIS requests a variance from Section 24-104(g)(2)d.2. of Chapter 24 of the County Code to install three directional signs at 8100 Three Chopt Road (Parcel 758-743-3586), zoned O-2, Office District (Three Chopt). The maximum number of signs is not met. The applicant proposes 3 directional signs, where the Code allows 2 directional signs. The applicant requests a variance of 1 additional directional sign.
- Mr. Nunnally Is anyone else here interested in this case? Would you please stand and raise your right hand and be sworn please?
- Mr. Blankinship Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Foley - I do. James Foley. *(distributes handout)* Some of you may recognize me; I was here about two months ago. You approved a variance for the directional signage, which what I'm passing out is Item 5. If you look at the chart, Item 1, there's also a map that coincides with this. Item 1 is an existing ID sign there on the property. Item 2 is a permanent sign that the County just issued a permit on. That will go in spot 2. The sign in question for the variance is spot 3, or location 3, looks identical and is basically fabricated the same way as Item 2. Item 4 also we just, about last October, the County approved 18 permits; each Item 4 is down in front of every building there on property. The idea, or intent is to place this third sign by the Santa Rosa entrance. We did move it down a little bit. There's a setback, I guess it basically says the signs have to be 1,000 feet apart, so what we did is, we went off the existing sign that's located up on that corner of Forest and Three Chopt, moved it a thousand feet down, and put it as close to that entrance as possible. For those who are familiar with the office park, there are actually three main entrances into the park, but in reality, there

are actually ten different streets that you can get on into this park. It's mainly, C B Richard Ellis came to us, I represent the sign company, they wanted to clear a way to route traffic through the property. That's why each building got an ID sign; that's why we went for the variance, to allow the directional signs, to route the tenants' clients to their property. As far as what we're allowed, fifty square feet, the sign that we want the variance for is 43 ½. Height-wise, we're just under ten feet; Code allows fifteen on the ID signs.

1693 Mr. Nunnally - Is that so you can see it from both directions before you get to the entrance?

Mr. Foley - Yes sir, it would be a double-faced sign.

1698 Mr. Nunnally - Facing west, this would direct you into Santa Rosa before you get to it.

 Mr. Foley - Yes sir. I think the idea is, if you're on Three Chopt, heading towards the city, you'll see this sign, and you'll take that left onto Santa Rosa instead of going all the way down to light, and then seeing the existing sign. Once you get on the property, that's where we came up with all the various signs to route them though, then each building having its own ID.

Mr. Nunnally - Any questions from Board or staff for Mr. Foley? Lady, do you want to speak against it.

(Voice from audience) No, I'm for it.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-17-2004** for a variance to Code to install a third project identification sign at 8100 Three Chopt Road (Parcel 758-743-3586). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. This variance applies only to the project identification sign regulations. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

| 1720 | Affirmative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally | 4 |
|------|--------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1721 | Negative: | | 0 |
| 1722 | Absent: | Wright | 1 |

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A - 18-2004 EDGAR BOHANNON requests a variance from Sections 24-94,

24-95(k) and 24-95(b) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build garage and kitchen additions at 3900 Francistown Road (Huntington) (Parcel 757-759-8908), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Brookland). The minimum side yard setback, rear yard setback, street side yard setback, and total side yard setback are not met. The applicant has 15 feet minimum side yard setback, 24 feet total side yard setback, and 34 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 25 feet minimum side yard setback, 36 feet total side yard setback, and 40 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 10 feet minimum side yard setback, 12 feet total side yard setback, and 6 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Nunnally - Is anyone else here interested in this case? Would you please stand and raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Stanley - I do. I'm Bernie Stanley; I'm the contractor. This is Mr. Bohannon; he's the homeowner. I'm interested in building a garage for Mr. Bohannon, and the garage would infringe on the setback somewhat, and this is a corner lot, which makes it kind of — apparently there are two different rules that are in place. When the house was built there was one rule, and now there's a different rule. There's an average setback between the left and the right that's not being met, and in order to build the garage so that they can enter from the side of the property, if you're driving straight in, it has to be built with that direction. He has two vehicles he'd like to park inside of that garage to keep them off the road. On the other end of the house there's a little boxed in area; that's where the kitchen is going to be expanded. That's something that will probably be done about a year in the future. That's not something that we're going to do right now, but because of the setback requirements, we wanted to approach both of those issues at the same time.

Mr. Kirkland - Mr. Stanley, where is the existing kitchen now?

Mr. Stanley - If you look on that drawing there, there are some steps that look like they're going from the right to the left; it would be right there. It's a very narrow kitchen; it's barely enough room for a table to fit inside the kitchen; if you put a chair on the side of it, you wouldn't be able to walk around it. It's just something we wanted to expand out. The end of the house would be squared off; it would have the same roof as the rest of the house. That particular end of the house there, it looks like it has an addition on it. In fact, when we're finished with it, it would look like it is part of the original house. As far as your suggestions, you suggested that the new construction match, and what we're proposing to do on the garage and on the kitchen, if you look at the bricks in the picture, you see that they're kind of odd-colored, randomly painted white. They're very difficult to match, but what we wanted to do was, instead of having

1777 to paint the entire garage one brick at a time to match the existing brick, we would go ahead and build it with brick and get the brick that would match as best as possible, and 1778 then hand-paint whichever bricks were necessary 1779 1780 Mr. Stanley, can I interrupt you? Riverside Brick Company, 1781 Mr. McKinney these are called new used brick, and they still make them. 1782 1783 1784 Mr. Stanley -They still make them? Well that's good to know. That would definitely save some trouble. I wasn't looking forward to hand-painting some bricks. 1785

1786

1787 Mr. McKinney - Riverside Brick used to be Southern Brick, used to be 1788 Redford Brick Co.

1789

1791

1794

1790 Mr. Kirkland - After the foundation, what's going on the side of the garage?

1792 Mr. Stanley - That's going to be Hardi-Plank siding, cement fiber siding, and it would be painted and would match the cornice work on the house.

1795 Mr. McKinney -

Do you want to write this number down? 232-6786, ask for

1796 Roger Dickerson.

1797 1798 Mr.

Mr. Stanley - You're talking about Riverside Brick? I've purchased brick from them before, so I know where they are.

1800 1801

1799

1802

Mr. Nunnally - Any other questions of Mr. Stanley? Or Mr. Bohannon? Mr. Bohannon, you want to say anything? Do we have somebody in opposition? Are you for? That concludes the case.

1803 1804 1805

1806

1807

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board **granted** application **A-18-2004** for a variance to build garage and kitchen additions at 3900 Francistown Road (Huntington) (Parcel 757-759-8908). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1808 1809 1810

1811

1. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

1812 1813

2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

1814 1815

1816Affirmative:Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally41817Negative:01818Absent:Wright1

1819

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and

| 1823 1824 1825 | <u> </u> | iance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property ir the purpose of the zoning regulations. |
|--|--|--|
| 1826 1827 1828 1829 1830 1831 1832 | A - 19-2004 | WANDA J. HARRIS requests a variance from Section 24-9 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 2559 Yarnell Road (Parcel 814-695-9604), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). The public street frontage requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet public street frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public street frontage. |
| 1833 1834 1835 | Mr. Nunnally - please stand and ra | Is anyone else here interested in this case? Would you aise your right hand and be sworn please? |
| 1836 1837 1838 | Mr. Blankinship - truth, the whole trut | Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the h, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? |
| 1839 1840 1841 1842 1843 | the property to bui | I do sir. I'm Jonathan Powell. My understanding is that it led access to the property, just no public road frontage. I'm buying ld a house; apparently she had already gotten a variance last year m just trying to renew it, the exact same thing. |
| 1844 1845 | Mr. Nunnally - | Wanda J. Harris is the daughter of James W. Harris, Jr.? |
| 1846 1847 | Mr. Powell - | I believe they're married; I've never met them actually. |
| 1848 1849 1850 | Mr. Nunnally - you're going to build | I'm trying to find out who's going to live in this house that d. |
| 1851 1852 1853 | Mr. Powell - myself. | Actually, I was looking to buy the land to build a house for |
| 1854 1855 | Mr. Nunnally - | Are you a builder? |
| 1856 1857 | Mr. Powell - | No sir. I'm just a customer who wants to buy the land. |
| 1858 1859 1860 | Mr. Nunnally - conditions? | So you're going to live there yourself? And you've read the |
| 1861 1862 | Mr. Powell - | Yes sir, I have. |
| 1863 1864 | Mr. Nunnally - | And they're agreeable with you? |
| 1865 1866 | Mr. Powell - | Yes sir, they are. |
| 1867 1868 | Mr. Nunnally - | Any questions from Board or staff? |

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **A-19-2004** for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 2559 Yarnell Road (Parcel 814-695-9604). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

2. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval of a well location.

3. The applicant shall present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained.

4. The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access is improved to County standards and accepted into the County road system for maintenance.

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally 4
Negative: 0
Absent: Wright 1

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A - 20-2004

CONVENIENCE RETAILERS requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a 4-bay car wash at 400 West Nine Mile Road (Highland Springs) (Parcel 822-725-1143), zoned B-3C, Business District (Conditional) (Varina). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant has 25 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 40 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 15 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Nunnally - Is there anyone else here interested in this case? Please raise your hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1913 Ms. Isaac - I do. My name is Laraine Isaac, and I'm with Engineering 1914 Design. This is a unique site. It lies within the Enterprise Zone, where new

1915 development and re-development are being encouraged through grants and tax relief. The site is also within the Nine Mile Corridor, which has been studied. The study took 1916 place several years ago, looking at ways to help people re-develop their property. The 1917 plan that we submitted has been submitted to the Planning Office for review by the 1918 Community Action Team, which looks at problem sites and can waive requirements. 1919 1920 The one thing that the team cannot do is waive requirements of the Zoning Ordinance. That's why we're here today. Mr. Perrotti owns the convenience store; he owns this 1921 property, and this addition is a logical addition to the convenience store. The car wash 1922 cannot be moved forward, as stacking area is required by the Traffic Engineer. I don't 1923 1924 believe that noise is going to be as big a problem as the staff does, especially the radio noise, as most people keep their windows up when they wash their car. I think that the 1925 1926 condition for a brick addition is excessive. Mr. Perrotti is going to put a new exterior on two sides of the convenience store, and that would be brick, and he's willing to put brick 1927 across the front of the car wash. Also, the condition for a brick wall is in conflict with the 1928 1929 proffers. I'll answer any questions.

1930

1931 Mr. McKinney - Ms. Isaac, what are the hours of operation?

1932 1933

1934 1935

Ms. Isaac -They would be the same hours as the convenience store, 6:00 to 10:00 during the week, and 9:00 to 9:00 on Sunday.

1936 1937

Mr. McKinney -So this car wash would close down at 10:00, so it wouldn't be open in the middle of the night to affect the adjacent property owners? Is that a condition you have, Mr. Blankinship?

1938 1939

1940 Mr. Blankinship - We have not proposed that condition. We can certainly add 1941

1942

1943 Mr. Kirkland -Is there a condition in the rezoning case for this property that 1944 has the hours of operation on it?

1945

1946 Ms. Isaac -No.

1947

1948 Mr. Kirkland -I just see where it refers back to a case, so I was wondering.

1949

1950 Mr. McKinney -You said they were what, Ms. Isaac, on Monday through ...

1951

1952 Ms. Isaac -Monday through Saturday, 6:00 to 10:00; Sunday, 9:00 to 1953 9:00.

1954

1955 Mr. Nunnally -This will be kind of hard, in that you've got three manual auto washes in there, and you say it's going to close at 10:00 o'clock? How are you going to 1956 keep them out of there unless you're going to have a guard there watching them? 1957

1958 1959

Mr. McKinney -Turn the current off.

| 1961 | Mr. Blankinship - | Turn the water off. | |
|------|---|--|--|
| 1962 | | | |
| 1963 | Ms. Isaac - | Yes. This is Mr. Perrotti. | |
| 1964 | | | |
| 1965 | Mr. Perrotti - | I'm Luke Perrotti. That's what I do with the store hours' | |
| 1966 | operation; I just cut the po | wer off. | |
| 1967 | | | |
| 1968 | Mr. Nunnally - | How are you going to get in and out of there? I know how | |
| 1969 | | ere from Nine Mile Road, but you're going to have four car | |
| 1970 | washes there, three manual and one automatic, right? How are they going to get in and | | |
| 1971 | out of that place? | | |
| 1972 | out or and process | | |
| 1973 | Ms. Isaac - | The cars will exit towards the rear of the property, and then | |
| 1974 | there's an "exit only" drive | • | |
| 1975 | there's an exit only drive | way out to baisy. | |
| 1976 | Mr. Nuppally - | How are they going to get through that building where Dave | |
| 1977 | Zale used to have a real e | | |
| | Zale used to have a real e | state office! | |
| 1978 | Ma Jacob | That's going to be tarn down | |
| 1979 | Ms. Isaac - | That's going to be torn down. | |
| 1980 | Ma Maldiana. | On whom was a sect of these Marianas sections and have | |
| 1981 | • | So when you come out of there, Ms. Isaac, you would have | |
| 1982 | a "right turn only" on Daisy | y Avenue? | |
| 1983 | | | |
| 1984 | Ms. Isaac - | We hadn't discussed not having a left turn. | |
| 1985 | | | |
| 1986 | • | I'm talking about impacting the neighborhood to the rear of | |
| 1987 | the property. I'm just aski | ng. | |
| 1988 | | | |
| 1989 | Ms. Isaac - | I think that if someone were going to exit onto Daisy and | |
| 1990 | would take a left onto Dais | sy, it might be because they live there. | |
| 1991 | | | |
| 1992 | Mr. McKinney - | I thought you said that was the only exit out. | |
| 1993 | • | · | |
| 1994 | Ms. Isaac - | The exit is onto Daisy. | |
| 1995 | | • | |
| 1996 | Mr. Blankinship - | But you could exit in either direction; you just couldn't go into | |
| 1997 | this property from | | |
| 1998 | and property near manning | | |
| 1999 | Mr. McKinney - | I understand, that's a one-way in from Nine Mile Road, and | |
| 2000 | • | I'm just saying if it was a "right turn only" sign there, that the | |
| 2001 | - | y would not impact that neighborhood. | |
| 2001 | poopio who use this facilit | y wodia not impact that heighborhood. | |
| 2002 | Ms. Isaac - | A sign could be provided. | |
| 2003 | ivis. isaac - | A sign could be provided. | |
| | Mr. Plankinghin | We could check with Public Works | |
| 2005 | Mr. Blankinship - | We could check with Public Works. | |

| 2007 2008 | Mr. McKinney - | What's Public Works got to do with it? |
|--|---|---|
| 2009 2010 | Mr. Blankinship - | Well, they decide who can drive on the streets and so forth. |
| 2011 2012 | Mr. McKinney - | We decide how they go in. |
| 2012 2013 2014 | Ms. Isaac - | I don't think that presents a problem, if that's what's wanted. |
| 2015 2016 2017 | Mr. Nunnally - Blankinship? | Have you got a police report or anything on the traffic, Mr. |
| 2018 2019 2020 | Mr. Blankinship - comments, and they didn' | No, we have not. We send the agenda to Public Works for t provide anything like that in response. |
| 2021 2022 2023 | Mr. McKinney - Blankinship? I know there | There are a lot of these around, aren't there, Mr. e's one on Brook Road. |
| 2024 2025 | Mr. Blankinship - | Yes sir, car washes, sure. |
| 2026 2027 2028 | Mr. Balfour - have people in there wash | You say there are four, and one's automatic, and one will ning the cars. |
| 2029 2030 2031 | Ms. Isaac - there's one that's automat | No, there's three that you can wash your car yourself. Then tic. There's no employees connected with it. |
| 2032 2033 | Mr. Balfour - | I missed, didn't get the do-it-yourself part. |
| 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038 2039 | backed up, where would Would you just let them si | What provision do you have, say the automatic one is you stack the cars that couldn't sit out on Nine Mile Road? it out in your parking lot out in front of the gas pumps and kind a noticed that most of them get in that situation after it snows, Il over the roads. |
| 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 | that, so they could reason | There's a road between Nine Mile Road and the front of the gas pumps, there's probably about three car widths between nably be stacked and not be on the road but actually be on my still be access to the gas pumps. |
| 2045 2046 2047 2048 | 0 0 | That was one reason the car wash was pushed back in line, to provide as much stacking in front as we could provide, ig concern of the Traffic Engineer. |
| 2049 2050 2051 | Mr. Kirkland - islands? | Are you going to have vacuums out on those concrete |
| 2057 | Me Jeane | We're proposing that was so you could be vacuuming your |

Ms. Isaac -

2052

We're proposing that, yes, so you could be vacuuming your

2053 car while someone is washing theirs. 2054 2055 Mr. McKinney -Mr. Perrotti, how will you monitor these washes? Will you 2056 be, or somebody be, at the station that's visible from the station to this? So there's no way that convenience store is laid out now. The drink boxes are on that back wall. 2057 2058 2059 Mr. Perrotti -As Laraine mentioned, the front façade of the building is 2060 going to be remodeled, and part of that remodeling is to put a storefront that has actual windows, so you'll be able to see out from all angles. 2061 2062 2063 Mr. Nunnally -I think Ms. Isaac said that you had other stores, or do you 2064 have other car washes, or just convenience stores? 2065 2066 Mr. Perrotti -I have owned car washes in the past that had 2067 2068 Mr. Nunnally -I'm not talking about the past; I'm talking about now. 2069 2070 Mr. Perrotti -No sir, not presently. 2071 2072 Mr. Nunnally -You owned them in the past. How many cars are you 2073 planning on coming through there each day? I know you must have sat down and 2074 figured out how many you're going to need to support it. How many do you think you're 2075 going to have coming in and out of there, approximately? 2076 2077 Mr. Perrotti -To give you an exact number on any given day would be just 2078 a speculation. Typically, there are a lot of variables you have to account for. Just 2079 recently when it snowed and they put the salt on the roads, that may be your busiest 2080 time. Normally, I would probably say between 25 and 75 cars a day. That wouldn't be 2081 initially; it might take three to six months or three to nine months to build up to that 2082 number as far as car washes per day. You also have to keep in mind too; it's just like the store, not everybody comes at the same time. Everybody comes at a different time, 2083 2084 for the most part. 2085 2086 Mr. Nunnally was concerned for the neighbors, I think, a little Mr. Kirkland -2087 bit behind there. Most of these people that go through the manual car wash pull around the corner, sit there and dry their car off. That's normally how it works. They don't drive 2088 2089 off with a wet car. Would you have any objections to posting a sign on the back of the building that says, "No loud radios," or something like that, to keep the sound down. 2090 2091 Would that be any problem? 2092 2093 Mr. Nunnally -Mr. Blankinship, it seems like we should get a police report on some of these car washes about what kind of crime is going on late at night and all 2094 this kind of stuff, before we make a decision on this. The State maintains Nine Mile 2095 2096 Road, right, that's a State road.

2097 2098

Yes sir.

Mr. Blankinship -

Mr. Nunnally - We've got a traffic light on Holly Avenue, which is about four or five blocks down east, and there's a traffic light at Newbridge Circle, which is four or five blocks west I think. It looks like to me there's going to be a terrible traffic jam in there; I don't care if you have 25 or 75 cars coming in there a day. I don't see how you're going to make it on 25 cars if you're going to put four car washed in there. That's a lot of money you're talking about.

Mr. Perrotti - The advantage of this type of site, versus a car wash that's self-serving, that has no attendant, is the crime. Of course, "No Loitering" signs would be posted, but there's always going to be one person, probably two people there, at all times during the hours of operation, so that, to me, and a lot of the car washes where that might be a problem, as far as people loitering and doing things they shouldn't be, is because they're there longer than they should be. That would be addressed at this site because somebody would be there all the time.

Mr. McKinney - Basically what you're saying, is you're going to have a car in there every 12.8 minutes.

2118 Mr. Perrotti - Once again, it's hard to be that precise.

Mr. McKinney - At maximum, at 75 at 16 hours, that's what it works out to.

Mr. Perrotti - Yes sir. The other thing you have to realize too, is it's just like anything else, there does become an issue where there will be one or two cars, say for example, in the self-serve bays, typically somebody's not going to want to wait, just like if somebody's getting gas, you probably don't want to wait behind them; you'll go to the next service center. As you mentioned or alluded to, there are other options available as far as car washes, where somebody could wash their car. As much as I'd like to believe that everybody would want to wash their car at my location, if it does get filled, there are other options that are in close proximity, that a lot of people would rather go to instead of waiting five or ten minutes or whatever the case may be, if that situation does arise.

Mr. Balfour - Does the person who stays there, kind of control it, so that when the fellow's in the bay, or lady, when they come out, to dry off, the next guy's not pushing in so that you don't get bogged up with people trying to get out and get onto Daisy. Looks like you'd have a traffic jam if everybody decides to dry their car at the same time back there, that they want to get out. Unless somebody's controlling it, they can't move.

Mr. Kirkland - For example, at East Coast in the City, which is right across the County line, they go in there, they wash their cars, and they pull out and they stack the place up drying their cars, and then they're putting stuff on their wheels, doing the interior. Some of those guys are in there as long as an hour, and that becomes a real bog. This only has eight feet to work with to get those cars out of there, and if someone

2145 starts stacking up, it's going to be tight in there. 2146 2147 Mr. Perrotti -The vacuums and any of the self-serve, that's why in the 2148 design, if you notice that, in front of the car wash, there will be available spots for cars to spray stuff on the wheels, or whatever, as far as what you're talking about. 2149 2150 2151 Mr. Kirkland -I'm worried about the detailers, who, after they go out, they want to dress it all up, and they wouldn't drive all the way around your gas station and 2152 get in line again and do all that. They would basically just straddle all back there on that 2153 2154 back alley and be lined up, working on their cars. There's only eight feet there; it's going to be tight to get another car in there. 2155 2156 2157 Mr. Balfour -If somebody tells them, "you've got five minutes; if you want 2158 to detail it, you go home and detail it." 2159 2160 Mr. Kirkland -You won't do much business that way. 2161 2162 Mr. Perrotti -The other thing, too, is if somebody's blocking the exit and it's a one-way street, I would hope that common sense would prevail, and they would 2163 move out of the way and let the other person exit. 2164 2165 2166 Mr. McKinney -Unfortunately, Mr. Perrotti, they don't have any common sense that prevails on Brook Road, and they're out there at 3:00 and 4:00 o'clock in the 2167 morning with their boom boxes turned on. 2168 2169 2170 Mr. Perrotti -You mean Nine Mile Road sir? 2171 2172 Mr. Blankinship -No, he's talking about another shopping center. 2173 2174 Mr. McKinney -I'm talking about Brook Road. That's one that I'm familiar 2175 with, that's been a lot of problems, and even though they're closed down, they 2176 congregate there. 2177 2178 Mr. Perrotti -That issue, if it was to become an issue, is one issue that I'm 2179 keenly aware of, because it's not a good business practice to have people congregating and loitering. If it did become an issue after hours, I would be more than willing to put a 2180 2181 fence up or whatever the case may be, or just to blockage the entrance so that people 2182 could not come on the property after hours. I agree with you. 2183 2184 Ms. Isaac -I want to point out that this one-way exit driveway is a minimum of eighteen feet wide, so I think if push comes to shove, you might have a car 2185 sitting there, and somebody could still get out around them. 2186 2187 2188 Mr. Kirkland -Okay, it looked like on my drawing that it said "eight." 2189

Ms. Isaac -

2190

No, minimum is eighteen feet.

2192 Mr. Kirkland - I was getting ready to say that sure was a narrow little alley 2193 back there on the scale.

2195 Ms. Isaac - No, eighteen is the minimum required by ordinance.

Mr. Marlles - Mr. Chairman, if I could just make a brief comment too, Ms. Isaac touched on this in her opening comments. This is within the County's recently designated Enterprise Zone. It's also within the Nine Mile Road Corridor, and essentially the County's goal is to encourage new businesses and redevelopment along this corridor. One of the issues that was identified with the Nine Mile Road plan, is the shallowness of the lots that we have along this corridor. To the extent that the County is successful in encouraging new businesses, I think we're going to be seeing more and more of these situations coming up in the future, and it's a positive thing. However, we do have to deal with the issues that come up, and also protecting the adjacent residential neighborhoods.

Ms. Isaac - I'd also like to add on to that, there's a paper alley that runs along the rear of this property. Mr. Perrotti has submitted paperwork to the County for the vacation. If it is vacated, he picks up five more feet. That doesn't keep this from being any further away from the existing residences, but it would give a little more room if he were able to have that and possibly provide for a wider driveway in the future, if that would become necessary.

Mr. Blankinship - If he could acquire the other half of that alley from the adjoinders, then he'd have a third lane.

Ms. Isaac - The problem with the vacation is, that one of the houses is vacant, and there are several owners and nobody can contact them. That's just something he's working on and is not a given.

Mr. McKinney - Can we get a police report on the facilities in Henrico County and what problems they have posed for the police, and I think this would be a benefit of Ms. Isaac and Mr. Perrotti, that if this goes in, then he won't have these problems, if we have any. I know we have problems with one, but that may just be an isolated one. But if you could call Henry Stanley and get a police report on the ones throughout the County, and defer this for a month, and then come back, and we can let Mr. Perrotti know what the problems are, and see how he wants to address them, if he does or not.

Mr. Blankinship - I don't imagine you want every car wash in the County, but say we pick three similar.

Mr. McKinney - The open car washes, the same type self-serve as he's proposing to build. There may be 25 or so. I'm sure the police have a report on them. It's just unfortunate that we didn't get that before this meeting. I like what he's saying. I like the idea of the hours of operation. It's a B-3, and it's not running 24 hours a day,

and he did say if there were a problem, that he would put a fence up. If a problem arises down the road, it could be a condition that he would do this, not that he has to do it now. Also, if the decibels of the boom boxes, he could have signs up that they can't turn these radios up full blast and all this sort of thing. A lot of people go to sleep before 10:00 o'clock at night.

Mr. Blankinship - We can certainly request a report from Police.

Mr. McKinney - If that's all right with Mr. Nunnally.

Mr. Nunnally - I'd like to request a deferral, until we do get a copy of the police report, and that would give us a chance to think more about this, so I'd like to defer it to next month.

Upon a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **deferred** application **A-20-2004** for a variance to build a 4-bay car wash at 400 West Nine Mile Road (Highland Springs) (Parcel 822-725-1143). The case was deferred from the February 26, 2004, until the March 25, 2004, meeting, to request information from the Division of Police and the Department of Public Works.

2257 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally
2258 Negative:
2259 Absent: Wright
4
0
1

Mr. McKinney - Mr. Perrotti, I think this will be an advantage to you when this facility does go in, so you'll know where you stand.

A - 21-2004

GOODING CONSTRUCTION requests a variance from Section 24-95(b)(6) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 126 North Fern Avenue (Highland Springs) (Parcel 823-725-5293 (part)), zoned R-4, One-family Residence District (Varina). The total lot area requirement is not met. The applicant has 5,000 square feet of lot area, where the Code requires 6,000 square feet of lot area. The applicant requests a variance of 1,000 square feet of lot area.

Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Chairman, I was brought a note earlier, that case A-21-2004 Gooding Construction is going to request a deferral. The applicant's mother-in-law passed away last night, so they called and requested.

Upon a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **deferred** application **A-21-2004** for a variance to Code to build a one-family dwelling at 126 North Fern Avenue (Highland Springs) (Parcel 823-725-5293 (part)).

2281 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally42282 Negative:0

Absent: Wright 1

The Board deferred the application at your request, from the February 26, 2004, until the March 25, 2004, meeting.

A - 22-2004

DR. DANIEL AND MIRIAM DAVIDOW request a variance from Section 24-95(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a garage addition at 202 Westham Parkway (Westham) (Parcel 758-735-0349), zoned R-1, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The minimum side yard setback is not met. The applicants have 5 feet minimum side yard setback, where the Code requires 12 feet minimum side yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 7 feet minimum side yard setback.

Mr. Nunnally - Do we have any others who intend to testify in this matter? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Shearman - I am Michael Shearman, and I'm the architect for the project, and this is Mrs. Davidow. The Davidows came to us last fall after the hurricane. They had lost several large trees in their back yard, over 50 feet tall, and 36 inches in diameter, and one of them had fallen squarely on the existing metal garage in their yard, the one that's shown on the south side of the property, making it unfunctional. In its actual location, it's not really reachable from the road anyway. What they asked us to look at was building a new garage, larger, that would accommodate two cars, and that could be reached from their driveway. They would like to locate it where we're proposing it, not only because it's at the end of the existing driveway, but also because it's directly adjacent to the kitchen and mudroom, so it would give them direct access to the inside, and they are looking for an attached garage.

In its current location, in order to fit a two-car garage there, it does violate the side yard setback, so we looked up some other alternatives for placing the garage at other places on the site. We looked at placing it on the other side of the house, on the south side of the site, and there were several problems with that. One is that it attaches to the wrong part of the house; it's not really functional. It enters into the family room, or the living room, and that really doesn't meet their program. It also would require cutting a new driveway into that side of the site, which would increase the amount of paving on the site, which we'd like to avoid. It would also impact the neighbor on that side, since the neighbor's property is quite close to the property line on that side.

The second alternative that we looked at was pushing the garage into the back yard and sliding it over so that it met the side yard setback. The issues there are again the additional amount of paving that we'd have to add to get back to that garage. We'd have to push it so far back and over that we'd have to create quite a large area in front

to be able to sweep the cars in and in front of the garage, so that would increase the amount of paving. Another major concern is that it would involve removing two of the remaining mature trees in the yard, and they lost so much in the hurricane that we're really trying to avoid that, and they're two quite large trees that we'd have to take out. It also in that location, would actually place the garage closer to the immediate neighbor's house than in the position that we're proposing. The adjacent property is a triangular piece of property, and the neighbor's house is located in this area, so if we were to place the garage in the back yard, it would actually be a lot closer to the neighbor's house.

Mr. Nunnally - You'll be on the side next to Mr. Gill I guess.

Mr. Shearman - Mr. Gill, yes, that's correct. The other side is Gaertner, and both neighbors have expressed enthusiastic support for the location that we have proposed, even though it's closer to the property line where we're proposing it, it impacts both of the neighbors a lot less in that location. We submitted one letter from Mr. and Mrs. Gill in support of the project, and we also have a second letter here from Mr. and Mrs. Gaertner expressing their support for the project. Based on that and the desire not to take down any more of the trees that they've already lost in the yard, and also not to increase the amount of paving and therefore surface run-off on the site, we'd like to request the variance to put the garage in this location.

Mr. Nunnally - Any questions from the Board or staff?

Mr. Kirkland - Did you remove the old garage completely out?

2355 Mr. Shearman - Not yet, but that would be the first part of the project, would be the removal of that garage, and that's also something that the Gaertners are very in favor of.

Mr. Nunnally - Any other questions from Board or staff? No one here in opposition?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **A-22-2004** for a variance to build a garage addition at 202 Westham Parkway (Westham) (Parcel 758-735-0349). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally

| Absent: | Wright | 0 1 | |
|--|--|---|--|
| The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. | | | |
| There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board adjourned until March 25, 2004 , at 9:00 am. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | J. W. Nunnally, | | |
| | Vice Chairman | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Benjamin Blankinship, AICP | | |
| | Secretary | | |
| | Absent: The Board granted unique circumstanwould produce und authorizing this var nor materially impa | The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented tha unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the C would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjace nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconder Mr. Balfour, the Board adjourned until March 25, 2004, at 9:00 am. J. W. Nunnally, Vice Chairman Benjamin Blankinship, AICP | |