MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF
HENRICO COUNTY, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE
HENRICO COUNTY GOVERNMENT COMPLEX, ON THURSDAY, JANUARY 25,
2001, AT 9:00 A.M., NOTICE HAVING BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE RICHMOND
TIMES-DISPATCH ON JANUARY 4 AND 11, 2001.

6

Members Present:

Richard Kirkland, Chairman

Daniel Balfour, Vice-Chairman

Gene L. McKinney, C.P.C., C.B.Z.A.

James W. Nunnally

R. A. Wright

Also Present:

Benjamin Blankinship, Secretary Susan W. Blackburn, County Planner II Priscilla M. Parker, Recording Secretary

7 Mr. Kirkland - Welcome, ladies and gentlemen, to the January 2001 8 Meeting of the Board of Zoning Appeals, first meeting of the New Millennium. Before we 9 get started, I'll have the Secretary read the rules.

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Blankinship -Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, ladies and gentlemen. The rules for this meeting are as follows. The Secretary, myself, will call each case. Then the applicant will come to the podium to present the case. At that time I'll ask all those who intend to speak, in favor or opposition, to stand, and they will be sworn in. The applicants will then present their testimony. When the applicant is finished, anyone else will be given an opportunity to speak. After everyone has spoken, the applicant, and only the applicant, will be given the opportunity for rebuttal. After hearing the case, and asking questions, the Board will take the matter under advisement. They will render all of their decisions at the end of the meeting. If you wish to know what their decision is, you may stay until the end of the meeting, or you may call the Planning Office at the end of the day. This meeting is being tape recorded, so we will ask everyone who speaks, to speak directly into the microphone on the podium, and to state your name for the record. Out in the foyer, there are two binders, which contain the staff report for each case, including the conditions suggested by the staff. Mr. Chairman?

25 26

27 Mr. Kirkland - Do we have any requests for withdrawals or deferrals on the 9:00 o'clock agenda?

29

30 Mr. Blankinship - We do. **A-4-2001** has requested a deferral to the February meeting.

32 33

34

A - 4-2001 BOK NAM PARK requests a variance from Section 24-95(i)(2) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a garage at 407 Branway Drive (Brandon West)

(Tax Parcel 99-2-B-21), zoned R-2, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The accessory structure location requirement is not met. The applicant proposes a detached garage in the side yard, where the code allows a detached garage only in the rear yard.

Mr. Kirkland - Do I have a motion on that?

Upon a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board of Zoning Appeals granted the **deferral** of **A-4-2001** to the February 22, 2001 meeting.

44	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
45	Negative:			0
46	Absent:			0

Mr. Blankinship - **Up-1-2001**, the **Forest Lawn Cemetery** application was withdrawn, and also **A-9-2001 Leslie Tyler**, the last case on 9:00 o'clock, the letter actually said "postponed," but when staff followed up with a phone call, I was told that she requested withdrawal, so I suppose if you withdraw without prejudice, it could be reinstated next month. I don't want to automatically put it on next month's agenda if they don't want it,

Mr. Kirkland - Let's have a motion to withdraw **A-9-2001** without prejudice.

A - 9-2001

**LESLIE TYLER** requests a variance from Section 24-9 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a single family house at 8350 Gibbs Lane (Tax Parcel 249-A-23B), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). The public street frontage requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet public road frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public road frontage. The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public road frontage.

Upon a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board of Zoning Appeals granted **A-9-2001** be **withdrawn without prejudice**.

Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
Negative:	, , ,		0
Absent:			0

Mr. Kirkland - Yes, let me have a motion on the withdrawal of Forest Lawn Cemetery. And you'll contact them and let them know about whether or not it will be next month, correct?

**UP- 1-2001 FOREST LAWN CEMETERY** requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-52(h) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to construct a mausoleum at 4000 Pilots Lane (Tax Parcel 106-A-1), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Fairfield).

81	Upon a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board of Zoning Appeals			
82	granted UP-1-2001	, Forest Lawn Cemetery be withdrawn without preju	dice.	
83 84	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
85	Negative:			0
86	Absent:			0
87				
88	Mr. Blankinship -	That will get us through 9:00 o'clock.		
89				
90	Mr. Kirkland -	Let's hear the first case.		
91				
92	A -125-2000	JAMES T. AND BRENDA D. CHRISTMAS request a		
93		hapter 24 of the County Code to build a sunroom at 1		_
94	'	(Tax Parcel 111-19-B-3), zoned R-1, One-family Resi		
95	` ,	ear yard setback is not met. The applicants have 41		•
96		Code requires 50 feet rear yard setback. The applica	ınts re	quest a
97	variance of 9 feet re	ear yard setback.		
98				
99	Mr. Kirkland -	OK, is the applicant here for this case? If you would, or	ome to	orward.
100	M DI I' I'			
101	•	Just to let you know we are in the holiday spiri	t; this	is the
102	Christmas applicati	on, and in a few minutes we will hear from Mr. Easter.		
103	Mar IZialda a al	Deep anyone also wish to small on this sees. If you		
104	Mr. Kirkland -	Does anyone else wish to speak on this case. If you	J WIII,	ma am,
105	state your name for	the record.		
106	Ms. Christmas -	I am Brenda D. Christmas.		
107 108	IVIS. CHIISHIIAS -	Talli bieliua D. Cillistilias.		
109	Mr. Kirkland -	Would you raise your right hand and be sworn in.		
110	IVII. MIRIAHA -	Would you raise your right riand and be sworn in.		
111	Mr. Blankinship -	Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give	e is th	e truth
112		I nothing but the truth, so help you God?	0 10 111	ic tratif,
113	tho whole trath, and	Thouming but the train, of help you cou.		
114	Mr. Kirkland -	Have all your notices been turned in, according to	o the	County
115		vould, state your case.		County
116				
117	Ms. Christmas -	Yes sir. It's not an addition of a sunroom; it's extendir	ng a su	ınroom.
118		up a small rear door entry. I'm not real sure what yo	_	•
119		County came and took some pictures. If you have the		
120		plain that at all, I'd be glad to.	·	-
121	•			

January 25, 2001

Mr. Wright-

Mr. Wright-

Ms. Christmas -

122123

124 125

126

And how much do you want to add to it?

What is the size of your current sunroom?

It's 15 feet by 16.

128 Ms. Christmas - Eleven feet.

Mr. Wright- And that would be to the rear?

Ms. Christmas - Yes sir, if you're looking at the start of the pictures, the very picture at the top, if you look towards the driveway area, you'll see a little trailer sitting there, the extension really, that trailer sitting at the corner of our driveway, it won't even go that far back. It's a about a foot before that. The deck will remain; we will have to move the stairway; instead of it coming down in the direction it is now, it will kind of come in the direction facing us as we look at the picture.

Mr. Wright- Is it to be the same size as the current sunroom?

Ms. Christmas- It's just going to be extended out. Exact same roof. And we're actually even reusing all the windows. It is to allow for a little more family area. For right now, so we can put a ping pong table out there, for my teenagers. If we stay in this house as we get older, my husband and I will have a larger sunroom. And the rear door entry, which really isn't shown in the pictures, is a very narrow entryway.

147 Mr. Balfour- Is there a privacy fence along the back?

149 Ms. Christmas- Yes sir.

151 Mr. McKinney- This drawing indicates that you are going to extend this room out 14 feet 2 inches, not 11feet.

154 Ms. Christmas- I thought it was 11 feet.

156 Mr. McKinney- The addition says 12 feet and that does not include the bay window 157 that extends past the wall 2 feet.

159 Ms. Christmas- We are not going to be doing the bay window.

161 Mr. McKinney- So it will be 12 feet.

Mr. Kirkland- Any other questions by Board Members? Any one else wish to speak on this case? If not that concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board **granted** your application **A-125-2000** for a variance to build a sunroom at 109 Adingham Court (River Place) (Tax Parcel 111-19-B-3). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with

the applicable regulations of the County Code.

175 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5

Negative: 0

Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

**A - 1-2001 RAYMOND L. KEE, JR.** requests a variance from Section 24-41(e) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition to replace the existing deck at 1603 Logwood Circle (Gayton Forest Townhouses) (Tax Parcel 78-14-NN-11), zoned RTH, Residential Townhouse District (Tuckahoe). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant has 22 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 30 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 8 feet rear yard setback.

192 Mr. Kirkland - OK, is the applicant here for this case? If you would, come forward.

Mr. Kirkland - Does anyone else wish to speak on this case. If you will, ma'am, state your name for the record.

Mr. Sledd - Mr. Chairman, my name is Kenneth W. Sledd, Jr. I'm representing Mr. Kee; he had to be out of town for a meeting that was planned prior to him knowing the date of this variance meeting.

201 Mr. Kirkland - Would you raise your right hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Kirkland - Have all your notices been turned in, according to the County Code? OK, if you would, state your case.

209 Mr. Sledd - I would like to read a letter from Mr. Kee.

"Dear Sirs: I cannot be personally be present today, as I have a meeting out of town that was scheduled before I knew the date of this variance hearing. I have asked Ken Sledd to be present in my stead to act as my representative. And you should have a letter to that effect within your files.

Basically I am requesting a rear lot variance of 8 feet in order to build a 12 x 24 sunroom to replace the existing 10 X 24 rear deck. My wife and I don't use the deck that much due to the extreme heat and bugs during the summer and the cold during the winter months. We would like to convert it to a sunroom so we may use it for year-round use.

I have contacted Mr. Wayne Roberts, who is a contractor that has converted 6 decks to sunrooms in our immediate area. I have looked at the unit at 1700 Logwood Circle, which is identical to mine and Mr. Roberts plans to make the same changes to my unit.

223 224

225

226

227

Gayton Forest Townhouse Association has approved our request for this modification, and you should have a copy of this letter in your files also. I would appreciate your approval today, so I can begin the process of requesting a building permit. Thank you for your consideration of my request. Raymond L. Kee, 1603 Logwood Circle."

228 229

Mr. Kirkland - Any questions by Board members?

230

231 Mr. Wright - What is located to the rear of this property?

232

Mr. Sledd - There are woods behind it, and then a road. There are no town homes right behind it. I think the setback involved, sir, that it needs minimum from the town home road. I don't believe it's a county or a state maintained road; I think it's the town home road. The deck does not encroach into the setback but if it is converted to heated space it does.

238

239 Mr. Wright - The ordinance permits a deck but does not permit an addition. Is 240 there a common area behind the townhouse unit?

241

242 Mr. Sledd - I am not sure. It looks like an easement there.

243

Mr. Wright - I think it appears to be there. According to the plat submitted with the case.

246

247 Mr. Nunnally - You said this was approved by the townhouse association?

248

249 Mr. Sledd - Yes sir.

250

251 Mr. Wright - This is to be a one story addition, correct?

252

253 Mr. Sledd - Yes sir.

254

Mr. Wright - In the picture it shows an air-conditioning or heating unit outside the house. Will that remain or will it be moved?

257

258 Mr. Sledd - I think that will not be moved, they will be going to a 2 zone system.

259

Mr. Kirkland- Any other questions by Board Members? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not that concludes the case.

262

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** your application **A-1-2001** for a variance to build an addition to replace the existing deck at 1603 Logwood Circle (Gayton Forest

Townhouses) (Tax Parcel 78-14-NN-11). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

273	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
274	Negative:			0
275	Absent:			0

The Board **granted** this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

**A - 2-2001 CAROLYN WILSON** requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a room over an existing deck at 1417 Gambrel Road (Fair Oaks Terrace) (Tax Parcel 156-7-J-7), zoned R-4, One-family Residence District (Varina). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant has 32 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 35 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 3 feet rear yard setback.

290 Mr. Kirkland - OK, is the applicant here for this case? If you would, come 291 forward.

293 Mr. Kirkland - Does anyone else wish to speak on this case. If you will, 294 ma'am, state your name for the record.

296 Mr. Glissen - I am John Glissen.

298 Mr. Kirkland - Would you raise your right hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Kirkland - Have all your notices been turned in, according to the County Code? OK, if you would, state your case.

Mr. Glissen - Yes sir. Ms. Wilson has an existing 10 x 16 foot deck. We want to enclose a portion of the deck into a sunroom. As far as the hardship goes, she lives there with her daughter and grandchildren in a tri-level house and needs the extra room. Also because of the heat and bugs in the summer, she is unable to use the deck as it is. She would like the sunroom so she can use it more. There is a setback of 35 feet and we are requesting a variance of 3 feet. We are only enclosing a portion of the existing deck. The enclosure is going to be 10 x 13 feet.

313		
314	Mr. Nunnally -	10 x 13 feet?
315		
316	Mr. Glissen -	Yes sir.
317		
318	Mr. Kirkland -	Is this going to be underneath of that deck or on top of the
319	deck?	
320		
321	Mr. Glissen -	It will be over the existing deck, which is off the second floor.
322	We will reinforce the supp	orts that are there now so the enclosure will meet code.
323		
324	Mr. Wright -	What type of material will be used for the construction?
325		
326	Mr. Glissen -	Construction of the room itself will be an aluminum frame
327	work, polymer panels and	insulation.
328		
329	Mr. McKinney -	Will you be using the hot water heater shown in the picture?
330		
331	Mr. Glissen -	No sir, that will be hauled away. Possibly with a lot of other
332	things out there.	
333		
334	Mr. McKinney -	What is going to be used underneath? Is it going to be used
335	for a storage area?	
336		
337	Mr. Glissen -	No sir. She is going to keep it open. There is a door that
338	enters the lower level their	re. That is where the grandchildren play, and that is their main
339	access to the yard.	
340		
341	Mr. Nunnally -	Where you come out of the house there, is that a concrete
342	patio?	
343		
344	Mr. Glissen -	Yes sir.
345		
346	Mr. Kirkland -	When you build this sunroom, will there be doors leading to
347	the rear yard with steps?	
348		
349	Mr. Glissen -	Yes sir. There is an existing set of steps which we are
350	leaving, they are off the re	emaining deck.
351		
352	Mr. McKinney -	You don't have any plans on this construction, do you?
353		
354	Mr. Glissen -	No sir, not with me.
355		
356	Mr. Kirkland -	Any other questions? Anyone else wish to speak?
357		
358	Mr. Nunnally -	What is the size of the deck?

359 Mr. Glissen -Approximately 10 by 16; it's a little more than 16 feet in width; 360 it's a 10 foot projection out from the back of the house, a little better than 16 feet in 361 362 width, and then there's an additional landing and the steps going down. We're going to 363

take it 13 feet and leave her a portion to leave her grill up there, so they can have

access to the grill without having to go all the way down to the yard. 364

365 366

367

Mr. Kirkland -What is the distance between the top of the deck and the bottom of the eave of the house; is that over 8 feet. Is this going to be A-roof tied into this, or is this going to be.....

368 369 370

371

372

Mr. Glissen -It's going to be a studio roof, a flat pitched roof, a shed roof, maybe you'd call it; we're going to remove the overhang and re-flash and trim that, and attach it off of that.

373 374

Mr. Kirkland -Any other questions? That concludes the case.

375 376

377

378

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board granted your application A-2-2001 for a variance to build a room over an existing deck at 1417 Gambrel Road (Fair Oaks Terrace)(Tax Parcel 156-7-J-7). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

379 380 381

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

383 384 385

382

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative:

Absent: 387

0 0

388 389

390

391

392

386

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

393 394 395

Mr. Blankinship -A-4-2001 has been deferred to the February meeting.

396 397

398

399

400

401

A - 5-2001 WILLIAM T. EVANS requests a variance from Section 24-9 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a single family dwelling at Marleigh Court (Tax Parcel 243-A-9A (part)), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). The public street frontage requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet public road frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public road frontage. The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public road frontage.

402 403 404

Mr. Kirkland -Does anyone else wish to speak on this case. If you would,

sir, raise your right hand and be sworn in. 405 406 Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the 407 Mr. Blankinship -408 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 409 410 Mr. Evans -Yes sir. My name is William Thomas Evans. 411 Mr. Kirkland -Have all your notices been turned in, according to the County 412 Code? Yes, we have them in the file. OK, if you would, state your case. 413 414 Mr. Evans -I'm going to build a house on a one-acre lot that my 415 grandfather has given me. I don't meet the 50-foot public road frontage; it's built back 416 off the main road. There is an easement running from the main road to the property. 417 My grandfather owns it, and he's given me permission to use it. 418 419 Mr. Nunnally -You say your grandfather gave you this property, and you're 420 going to build a house on it? Is the house going to be for your personal use? And you 421 do have access to it? Legal access? And you've read the conditions on the back? 422 423 Mr. Kirkland -424 Any other questions by the Board members? 425 Mr. McKinnev -Mr. Secretary, did you fly this property and take these 426 427 pictures? 428

429 Mr. Blankinship - Yes sir, took my private plane out for that purpose.

431 Mr. McKinney - I thought maybe your 4-wheel couldn't get back there or 432 something.

Mr. Blankinship - No, looking at this property from the ground doesn't really give you the information you need, because the access is so long, so we felt it was more useful to show you the aerial.

438 Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions? Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? That concludes the case, sir.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** your application **A-5-2001** for a variance to build a single family dwelling at Marleigh Court (Tax Parcel 243-A-9A (part)). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. At the time of building permit application the owner shall demonstrate that the parcel created by this division has been conveyed to members of the immediate family, and the subdivision ordinance has not been circumvented. If this condition cannot be met, the owner shall submit a subdivision plat for review and approval by the Planning Office.

430

433

437

440 441

442

443

444 445

446

447

448

449

- Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. 452 2. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, 453 but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval of 454 455 a well location.
- 456 457
- The applicant must present proof with the building permit application that a legal 3. access to the property has been obtained. 458

461

Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

462 463 464

465

466

467

If land disturbance will exceed 2,500 square feet the requirements of Chapter 10 5. of the County Code apply. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

468 469 470

The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility 6. for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access is improved to County standards and accepted into the County road system for maintenance.

472 473

471

474 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 475

0 0

- Absent: 476 477
  - The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

482 483

478

479

480

481

484 Mr. Kirkland -Next case, sir.

485

Mr. Blankinship -Mr. Chairman, I should have mentioned, these next 2 are 486 companion cases – do you want me to call them both? 487

488 489

490

491

492

493

**JACKIE H. CANNON** requests a variance from Section 24-95(d)(1) A - 6-2001 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to allow a single family dwelling to remain at 570 North Mullens Lane (Greendale Park) (Tax Parcel 143-2-B-182 (part)), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Varina). The lot width requirement is not met. The applicant has 106 feet lot width, where the Code requires 150 feet lot width. applicant requests a variance of 44 feet lot width.

494 495

496 A - 7-2001 **JACKIE H. CANNON** requests a variance from Section 24-95(d)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a single family dwelling at 580 North Mullens 497

Lane (Greendale Park) (Tax Parcel 143-2-B-182 (part)), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Varina). The lot width requirement is not met. The applicant has 100 feet of lot width, where the Code requires 150 feet of lot width. The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet lot width.

Mr. Kirkland - Does anyone else wish to speak on this case. If you would, please, raise your right hand and be sworn in. State your name for the record.

506 Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Ms. Cannon - I do. My name is Jackie H. Cannon.

Mr. Kirkland - Have all your notices been turned in, according to the County Code? We have them in the file. OK, if you would, state your cases.

Ms. Cannon - I own 3.1 acres on North Mullens Lane. I would like to split it into 2 lots, in order to build a new single family dwelling on one of the lots, and keep the existing older home that would be on the second lot. My lot requirement is not met because it's zoned R-3, and each lot would be 106 feet and 100 feet, and the lot requirement is 150 feet lot width, because the County has never offered sewer and water down that street.

Mr. Nunnally - The house that's there now – does a family live there?

Ms. Cannon - Yes sir, it was built in the early 1900's; it is in a state of disrepair. It has no central heat and air. It has a single circulator for heat. It is not insulated. There's no washer and drier there. It's getting to the point that it's going to be unbearable, and I'm the chief caregiver for my 74-year-old, handicapped mother, and I need to provide a better place for her to live.

Mr. Nunnally - Your mother's going to live in this new home that you're going to build on the empty lot? You and your mother, or just your mother?

Ms. Cannon - It will probably be my mother and me; I would like to keep the older home and eventually fix it up and maybe rent it out.

Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Chairman, when we came in this morning, there were some plats out on the table – those go with this case.

Ms. Cannon - I also have some pictures that I have taken on my own, that show the neighborhood, that to do this, it would not be a detriment to the neighborhood or deface the neighborhood, because the lots directly across the street from this property have already been built on 100 feet or less, and they're less than ¾ of an acre, and each one of my lots would be an acre and a half plus. So I have those if you would like to look at them.

545 Mr. Kirkland - We'd have to keep them for 30 days.

547 Ms. Cannon - That's fine.

Mr. Kirkland - Hand them to the Secretary. Any other questions by Board members? Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? Any other Board members have any questions? If not, that concludes the case, ma'am, both cases,

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** your application **A-6-2001** for a variance to allow a single family dwelling to remain at 570 North Mullens Lane (Greendale Park) (Tax Parcel 143-2-B-182 (part)). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield reserve area and approval of a well location.

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
Negative: 0
Absent:

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** your application **A-7-2001** for a variance to build a single family dwelling at 580 North Mullens Lane (Greendale Park) (Tax Parcel 143-2-B-182 (part)) The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval of a well location.

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5

Negative: 0 592 593 Absent: 0

594 595

596

597

598

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

599 600 601

602

603

604

605

606 607

608 609 A - 8-2001 **PARK 'N GO** requests a variance from Sections 24-104(j)(3) and 24-104(j)(2)(b) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to erect freestanding and attached signs at 5800 Audubon Drive (Tax Parcel 163-A-14B), zoned M-1, Light Industrial District (Varina). The freestanding sign area requirement, freestanding sign height requirement, and total sign area requirement are not met. The applicant has 40 feet freestanding sign height, 154 square feet freestanding sign area, and 234 square feet total sign area, where the Code allows 25 feet freestanding sign height, 75 square feet freestanding sign area, and 75 square feet total sign area. The applicant requests variances of 15 feet freestanding sign height, 79 square feet freestanding sign area, and 159 square feet total sign area

610 611

Mr. Kirkland -Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would, 612 613 state your name for the record, sir.

614

615 Mr. Booker -It's Kevin Booker, sir.

616

Mr. Kirkland -Could you speak a little louder. 617 618

619 Mr. Booker -I'm sorry, Kevin Booker.

620

621 Mr. Kirkland -Would you raise your right hand and be sworn in. 622

623 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 624

625

626 Mr. Kirkland -Have all your notices been turned in? Hand them to the 627 Secretary, please. You can state your case.

628

629 Mr. Booker -Yes sir. Thank you. If I may, may I use the mouse to get to the property in question? I think I know how to do it – I go to 8, right here, no, wrong 630 parcel. I just thought seeing the property might be helpful. 631

632 633

Mr. Blankinship -Normally we have this whole thing actually working.

634

Mr. Booker -635 Yes, it looks like you have some pretty high tech gizmos here. The property in question is a Park 'n Go that's under construction; its address is 636 Audubon Drive; it's in the vicinity of the airport. We're asking for several signs, and the 637 collective total and height are what we're here before you asking a variance for. The 638

primary sign, which the customer feels is most critical to their business, is a freestanding sign. We have proposed an 11 by 14 foot sign with an overall height of 40 feet. I've got some pictures; we came down several weeks ago and did what we call a target test, where we take a crane, and we raise it up, and we shoot pictures of various heights. This parcel is somewhat unique, in the fact that it sits approximately 7 feet below grade or to the adjacent property, the Henrico Park 'n Ride is adjacent to it, and it's approximately 7 feet above the parcel in question. So if I may, may I present these pictures, and what I've done is denoted 25 and 40 and tried to take the same shot to give you a visual.

Mr. Kirkland - If you turn them in, we'll keep them for 30 days.

Mr. Booker - That's fine. In addition, what I've done is done some diagrams to illustrate the proposed sign versus the allowed sign, and what I've also done is drawn a block to represent the 7 foot height variance, so if I may, I'd like to present those. The other items in question are the 2 signs in question on the building, a small sign there, as well as a small directional. I'll present all those; I have those in triplicate, so you can pass them around, and you can keep those

And finally, the last item in question, or the collective sign package, if you will, is over the parking canopy of the exit entrance of this facility, will be a yellow awning, and they would like to erect just vinyl copy, more or less vinyl letters on this illuminated awning, as well. So that's the final exhibit.

Mr. McKinney - Mr. Booker, did you say this sign is going to be 40 feet high?

That's what we would like. Understanding that the Code Mr. Booker does allow for 25. I'm not sure if the Board would take into consideration the height difference, if they would grant 7 feet, that would make the sign in effect, 32 from the standard grade of that, of the adjacent property, so in essence we would be asking for a visual 8. Any relief would be appreciated. I guess in a situation like this, where they are asking for a considerable variance, they like to look at what we would like, and realize that maybe the Board would take it under advisement, and maybe there was some compromise that could be reached on the signage. As I've stated, I've presented you with the entire sign package as they would like it. Keep it in mind that the free-standing sign is critical to their line of business. Many times in these proceedings, you get a situation where the newcomer to the block, he wants a larger sign because he wants to sell more hamburgers. I think this particular business, in particular, is geared on that immediate notification of where this is, because this does provide a service to travelers and things of that nature, so I'd ask that you keep in mind the nature of the business that it's catering to, the transient people that would be coming and going to the airport, the need to quickly identify this site.

Mr. Balfour - How are they going to get from this parking lot to the terminal?

685	Mr. Booker -	They actually, there's a shuttle I'm sorry, this is
686	the property owner, Paul.	
687		
688	Mr. Kirkland -	If you would sir, come forward; you need to be sworn in
689	before you make any stat	ements. If you'll raise your right hand, the Secretary will swear
690	you in.	
691		
692	Mr. Blankinship -	Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the
693	truth, the whole truth, and	I nothing but the truth, so help you God?
694		
695	Paul Rutigliano -	I do.
696	-	
697	Mr. Balfour -	How would you get from the parking deck to the terminal?
698		
699	Paul Rutigliano -	We provide shuttle buses that exit out on Audubon and then
700	make the right on Airport	Drive, and then take the passengers to the terminal.
701		
702	Mr. Kirkland -	On this site here, this sign and everything else, where is the
703	big sign going to be?	
704		
705	Mr. Booker -	I wish I had a pointer here. What the site plan shows, do you
706	see the little blurb that sa	ys free-standing sign height and total area? That in fact would
707	be the small directional; it	would be 5 feet tall and 12 square feet. What we would like to
708	do is erect the sign wher	e you see the pictures, towards the rear of the property. You
709	don't have a laser pointer	, do you? The proposed sign is right where I have my hand in
710	the back corner of the pa	rcel, and that's where in turn the site tests were taken from, so
711	this initial site that's deno-	ted, is in fact, merely a directional, and that could be jettisoned
712	if the Board felt that more	e than one sign was excessive, but like I say, we would like to
713	erect a sign towards the r	ear of the parcel.
714		
715	Mr. Balfour -	When cars are coming to it, which way are they going to be
716	approaching it?	
717		
718	Mr. Booker -	They'll come down Airport Drive, and then take a left, and
719	come into the parcel here	e. What you have, where the pointer is, would actually be the
720	shadow of the canopy.	
721		
722	Mr. Balfour -	Why is it that you need the sign in the rear if they are coming
723	in where the small sign is	on Audubon Drive? I'm not sure I'm with you.
724		
725	Mr. Booker -	Well the airport is, as you know, what the service is there for.
726		
727	Mr. Balfour -	So you need to see the sign coming out on Airport Drive, to
728	mean	
729		
700	Mr Dookor	Correct, that's why wa're wishing to areat it in the rear of the

Mr. Booker -

730

Correct; that's why we're wishing to erect it in the rear of the

parcel, so those coming out of the airport can in turn identify it, get down, and then seek it out; that's the main purpose.

734 Mr. McKinney - Mr. Booker, is this privately owned?

736 Mr. Booker - Yes sir.

738 Mr. McKinney - It has nothing to do with the airport?

740 Mr. Booker - No sir.

Mr. McKinney - How are the people going to know to come down Airport
Drive to get to this – are you going to advertise this? Let's say I'm going to fly to New
York or Florida or Atlanta, and I come to the airport. Why would I come to this Park 'N
Go Airport Parking.

Mr. Booker - Well, I would assume, usually on-site parking at an airport is somewhat pricey, and I'm not sure what it is in Richmond. In Roanoke it's \$8 a day, whereas they offer a secure lot, \$5 a day parking, probably for your longer term travelers, someone who would come in, go to Florida for a week or 2......

Mr. Kirkland - Come to the mike so we can hear you. What is your name?

Mr. Rutigliano - Paul Rutigliano; I'm one of the developers in the property. Would be the customer service, the service that we provide to travelers, who, like yourself, are going to the airport, with the free shuttle service – it's very quick; it's very easy, very efficient, and most importantly, the security is excellent. If there's ever a problem with your vehicle, we provide service for flat tires, for batteries, especially for women, wives that are traveling, that they are secure from leaving the terminal to leaving our property. That is one of the biggest features we have to offer is the security and the fast, efficient service.

Mr. Balfour - I think his question is "how are people going to know you are there and what you offer" if you are stuck on Airport Drive?

Mr. Rutigliano - That is why we need that sign, that would be a big help. We have a billboard that we are going to start with on February 1 with Lamar Advertising on I-64, between Laburnum and Nine Mile Avenue, I don't know if you are familiar with that Colonial Downs board that was there, well, we're going to secure that on February 1, we'll have a billboard there to help advertise the site. Once that sign is placed, hopefully the people will be able to see the sign and our property. We are developing Phase I currently, and in the spring we will develop Phase II, which will back up, as you can see on the map, to Williamsburg Road. I'm sure, as Kevin has said, on that border property on the Henrico County Park and Ride, if you've been out there, have you seen those big photon bushes out there. They are probably 25 to 30 feet high. That's one of the problems that we currently have.

777		
778	Mr. McKinney -	Are you operating from this site currently?
779		
780	Mr. Rutigliano-	No sir.
781	<b>G</b>	
782	Mr. McKinney -	Who is your competition down there?
783	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
784	Mr. Rutigliano-	Our competition will be the Airport itself. The property known
785	•	by the Airport for employee parking.
786	de rieropanii nae beagin i	y and tampers terroring parrang.
787	Mr. McKinney-	So you really need this sign for monetary standpoint.
788	wiii wier armiey	To you round the digit for monotary standpoints
789	Mr. Rutigliano -	Yes sir.
790	Wii. Ratigliano	1 63 311.
790 791	Mr. McKinney -	What are your hours of operation?
791 792	Wit. Wickininey -	What are your flours or operation:
792 793	Mr Putialiono	We are 365 days a year, 24 hours a day. We never shut
793 794	Mr.Rutigliano- down.	we are 303 days a year, 24 hours a day. We hever shut
	down.	
795	Mr. Mollingov	la vour property forced all the way around?
796	Mr. McKinney-	Is your property fenced all the way around?
797	Mr. Dutieliene	Ves six. That was a condition of the Plan of Development
798	Mr. Rutigliano-	Yes sir. That was a condition of the Plan of Development
799	•	ission. We will have a 42 inch tall fence around the entire
800	property.	
801		
802	Mr. McKinney-	And what type of guards do you have?
803		
804	Mr. Rutigliano-	We don't really have a security force, we have a manager
805		the time. We will have 6 to 7 shuttle buses with drivers and 3
806	• •	ne office. We will have 15 to 16 employees that will be working
807	on the property all the tim	e.
808		
809	Mr. McKinney-	How many cars can you hold in this lot?
810		
811	Mr. Rutigliano	Phase I, we can hold 749 cars and Phase II, it will take us to
812	1200 cars. We lose a lot	of space to the basin.
813		
814	Mr. McKinney-	And your fee is \$5.00 a day.
815		
816	Mr. Rutigliano-	We will have two fees, one is an introductory fee and a
817	corporate rate.	·
818	•	
819	Mr. McKinney-	So your intention is to go into competition with the Airport?
820	•	
821	Mr. Rutigliano-	We will not be in direct competition with the Airport, but
822	•	passengers. We feel that the way the Richmond Airport is
- ==		, g

going to grow in the next 10 years, that we feel that this lot is needed. If you could look back 5 years and see the Richmond Airport, you would have not thought they needed the new Parking garages and that parking would be in such demand. We feel that Richmond is a viable market.

827

828 Mr. McKinney - The Airport just opened up 2 new parking lots.

829

830 Mr. Rutigliano - Every time I come into Richmond, I do go through those 831 garages, and they are full with the exception of the 4<sup>th</sup> level, where there may be 50 or 832 60 spots open. They do a very good business.

833

834 Mr. McKinney- The Airport commission is aware of what you want to do 835 here? You have talked to them.

836

837 Mr. Rutigliano- Yes sir.

838

839 Mr. McKinney- Who in particular?

840

Mr. Rutigliano- The gentleman that I work with, John Bona, who is the primary owner, has talked with them, about a year ago. I can't remember the gentleman's name that he spoke with, but we've gone into the Airport Commission. I've talked to Mr. Heckler of the Airport Commission, about advertising in the airport, Moses Henderson, who we have to register our shuttle buses and pay the fee every time we enter and leave the airport.

847 848

Mr. Kirkland- Mr. Blankinship, are there any other signs as tall as this proposed 40-foot sign, down in that area? And the motels that are in that area?

849850851

1 Mr. Blankinship- I do not know.

852 853

854

855

856

857

Mr. Rutigliano- When we came down to do the site surveys, there were several hotel signs, Microtel, and some other, Motel 6, that appeared considerably larger than 25. And I also wanted to submit 2 more pictures. We are afraid that if we erect the sign at 25 feet, the sign will be partially hidden by the trees at the Henrico Park n Ride. The mouse isn't working. It says "photos." Will they show the dip in the property?

858 859 860

Mr. Blankinship - Can we bring up the photos from back there, Tony?

861 862

863

864

865

866

867

868

Mr. Rutigliano - If you note this picture of, you see the large bushes back to the left of the park – that is the area that we'd like to erect the sign, just kind of a point of reference; I believe there's another photo that shows the shot taken from Audubon. As you can tell, the lot dips down considerably from grade, and that's the grade of the lot; I just wanted to bring you up to speed on those few items. As we've said, we've presented the "what we would like" sign package-wise. If the Board feels that that's excessive, we would definitely be open to some type of compromise; also, as it stands

now, I don't know if you can tell from my pictures, if we erected a sign at 25 feet, which is allowed, the sign would also be hidden by, I believe there's some self storages along that way, as you approach out of the airport, you look over to your left, the sign would almost be hidden behind those buildings. So all we're trying to do is just mainly get the sign up to a visible area, and it would be in character with adjacent property owners that are doing the same type of business, that are seeking out this type of customer.

Mr. McKinney- Mr. Booker, that Extra Attic Mini-Storage down there is 8 feet high.

Mr. Booker - Visually, when you look at my pictures of the way that lot dips, I don't know if you can see the target, I mean it's almost sitting on top of that, and that was showing to the top of that board, so the sign in turn would be below that.

883 Mr. Kirkland- What's the height to the top of this board, in this picture?

Mr. Booker - That would be 25 feet, so actually that sign is 4 feet tall, so it would almost look like the sign is floating on top of those trees, at that level, which is approximately 25 or 30 feet, that parking lot, Henrico Park and Ride, is a considerable parcel. The biggest thing is, if you look at the distance photos, and that's what we're trying to show the difference.

Mr. Kirkland- How big is this sign right here?

Mr. Booker - That proposed sign is 11 by 14; the one beside it is 7 feet, 6 by 10. That in fact would comply. The secondary sign that you're seeing there would be the 75 square feet, and the staff can correct me if I'm wrong, but it's my understanding that the entire parcel could have no more than 75 feet, so in essence, that sign would max out the square footage, and in turn, we need a variance to identify the true entrance, the canopies, and things of that nature.

Mr. Kirkland - Mr. McKinney, you had a question?

2 Mr. McKinney - Mr. Secretary, have we had any input from the airport?

Mr. Blankinship - I have not received any from them. They are not on our standard list of ......

907 Mr. McKinney - I understand that, but I know there's been millions of dollars 908 spent down there on that airport, and I know Mr. Rutigliano......

910 Mr. Rutigliano - Sir, we've had correspondence with the FAA, though, 911 because with our light poles......

913 Mr. McKinney - The FAA is in Washington, D. C., and they don't really have 914 a whole lot to do, other than overseeing the safety, etc. of the airport here. We have an airport commission that's made up of the metropolitan area, different governmental bodies, and we have an airport director, and I know that they've spent a lot down there, trying to make this go. As you say, hopefully, Richmond International Airport will be a hub for an airline eventually; it was at one time for Piedmont.

920 Mr. Rutigliano - Right, we are hoping for that. I understand. If I may, we 921 have an existing property right now in Baltimore/Washington.........

923 Mr. McKinney - Do you have any problem with deferring this for 30 days, for us to get some input from the airport?

Mr. Wright- What has that got to do with this? If someone wants to put a parking lot out there, whether they park one car or a thousand cars, what has that got to do with it? They've got a right to do it. Why have we got to talk to the airport?

Mr. McKinney- But they're asking for a variance to put signs up too......

932 Mr. Wright- They could build this with the signs, they don't need a variance for those signs unless they want some additional signage...........

Mr. McKinney- Okay, I'll withdraw my request.

Mr. Rutigliano- And not trying to step on anyone's toes, if we wanted to put a burger stand there, I'm sure the airport has concessions too. I don't think we're trying to change the scope of what we're after there, whether or not, we just feel that the business warrants a larger sign. Probably if we just subdivided that parcel, it's a 15-acre tract, we could probably put up 10 free-standing signs; in essence, it's 75 square feet, if we so chose to do that. We would not do that; however, as large as that parcel is, by mere property lines and the proper setbacks and lot frontages, you could have a number of signs out there. So what we're trying to do is maximize the visibility of this sign, which they feel they need, and then hopefully you'll grant it.

Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? That concludes the case. Thank you gentlemen.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. McKinney, The Board **denied** your **A-8-2001** application for a variance from Sections 24-104(j)(3) and 24-104(j)(2)(b) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to erect freestanding and attached signs at 5800 Audubon Drive (Tax Parcel 163-A-14B). The Board denied your request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this variance would be of substantial detriment to adjacent property or would materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

958 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
959 Negative: 0
960 Absent: 0

Mr. Kirkland - That concludes the 9:00 o'clock agenda, so we have to wait a few minutes, if you want to take a break before we start the 10:00 o'clock agenda.

Mr. Blankinship - Let me just repeat, that **A-9-2001** was withdrawn without prejudice, if anyone is waiting for it.

Mr. Kirkland - Meeting come to order, 10:00 o'clock agenda.

A - 10-2001

SPRINGFIELD WEST ASSOCIATES, LC requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to allow apartment buildings to remain at 9500 Brightway Court (Tax Parcel 49-A-27), zoned R-5, General Residence District (Brookland). The front yard setback is not met. The applicant has 27.26 feet front yard setback, where the Code requires 35.00 feet front yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 7.74 feet maximum, front yard setback.

Mr. Kirkland - Does anyone else wish to speak on this case. If not, sir, would you raise your right hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Easter - I do. My name is John Easter.

Mr. Kirkland - Do we have all your notices in? If you would, state your case.

Mr. Easter -Mr. Chairman, I'm here on behalf of Springfield West Associates, which is an LC that Marcus Weinstein and my law partner, Jay Weinberg, are involved in. We're seeking a variance of 7.74 feet from the 35 foot front yard setback, and this, I think, really presents a classic case for a variance in this respect. The need for this variance is generated by a condemnation that was necessitated for widening of Springfield Road, so obviously it wasn't anything that was brought on by the applicant. The staff report says that the buildings were built in compliance with the zoning code at the time. The taking occurred back in 1998, so I don't think there's any question here that the property was purchased in good faith, the development occurred in good faith, in fact we are not seeking to do anything new. The pictures that are attached to the case report show accurately the existing buildings, and what we're really seeking is a variance just to make sure those are totally legal and in compliance. In essence, the lot and structures have become nonconforming, by virtue of the fact that part of our front yard was taken. I think the extraordinary or exceptional situation here, that would justify the variance, is that the condemnation itself, rather than anything on the part of the applicant, gave rise to this situation. I think the strict application of the 35-foot setback would unreasonably restrict the use of the property for 2 reasons, that

are referred to in the case report. The first is, that when you have an income-producing property like this, the finance-ability of the property is very important. When you go and try and refinance one of these properties, and the lender finds out that you have a nonconformity, it can cause you a great deal of problems. Some lenders simply won't take it on, and others will charge you a higher rate, so it costs substantial additional money. In addition, of course, you're nonconforming status can be lost through non-use or through destruction greater than 50%. So what we're seeking is to protect ourselves against those eventualities, and basically to make sure that these are legal uses, fully in compliance with the ordinance, and that we're not stuck in a situation that we didn't bring on ourselves, that causes us harm. This clearly is a hardship, I think not shared by other properties within this zoning district; it's only because we had our front yard taken away from us that we need this. I don't think it's of such a general nature that this could be resolved by general standards. I think there's no substantial detriment that I can see, to any adjacent property. Again, these are existing buildings; they are there; the road came in after the fact. I received a few phone calls from adjacent property owners who got notice, and they basically just wanted to know what was happening, and they said "fine, we wish you luck, do I need a variance too?" And I explained to them why, because we were an income-producing property, this was critical for us, but probably would not be necessary for all the properties along the way. The condition that was listed in the staff report, would be acceptable to us, and I would note that this Board did grant a similar variance about 7 feet, to a property across the street, approximately 2 years ago, for almost the exact same reasons, related to the widening of Springfield Road. I think it's a fairly straightforward case, and I don't want to belabor the points. If I can answer any questions, I'd be happy to.

Mr. McKinney- Mr. Easter, when VDOT took this property, did they compensate Springfield West Associates?

 Mr. Easter - Yes sir, they did, and one of the points of discussion was the fact that we would need a variance, that we'd become a nonconforming use, and that would create problems. And in the settlement that we reached, we were willing to do it at a lesser rate, costing the taxpayers less money, because, based on the prior case that this Board had heard, we thought we would be able to get this variance. We discussed possibly, with the state, having them come in and ask for the variance for us, and under this particular case, they chose not to go about it that way. If the Board did not see fit to grant this variance, I think in future cases, obviously we're going to be seeking greater compensation for our clients, asking for more money, because it will cost us more money, and I think this is a much more efficient use of the taxpayers' money. Does that answer the question?

Mr. McKinney- Well, the reason I asked is because you had to pay the fee to file this, and your time and so forth. It wasn't self-imposed, that's for sure. Just wanted to make sure VDOT compensated you to do this.

Mr. Easter - They did not directly compensate us for what I'm doing here today, but they did obviously, compensate us overall, for the taking, and we did have

discussion on the point that you raised sir. 1053 1054 Mr. Kirkland -Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else wish 1055 1056 to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. 1057 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. 1058 Nunnally, the Board granted your application A-10-2001 for a variance to allow 1059 1060 apartment buildings to remain at 9500 Brightway Court (Tax Parcel 49-A-27). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions: 1061 1062 1063 1. This variance only serves to allow the existing buildings to remain. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. 1064 1065 Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 1066 Affirmative: Negative: 0 1067 Absent: 0 1068 1069 1070 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code 1071 would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and 1072 authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property 1073 1074 nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 1075 1076 Mr. Kirkland -Next case, sir. 1077 1078 A - 11-2001 RICHMOND DEVELOPERS, LLC requests a variance from Section 24-104(I)(5)(d) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to erect attached 1079 1080 signs at 9900 Brook Road (Tax Parcels 33-A-1A and -2A), zoned B-3C, Business District (Conditional) (Fairfield). The sign height 1081 requirement is not met. The applicant has signs above the roofline, 1082 where the Code allows signs that do not extend above the roofline. 1083 1084 Mr. Kirkland -Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you will, 1085 state your name for the record, please. 1086 1087 1088 Ms. Freve -Yes sir, good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the board, my name is Gloria Freye. I'm an attorney here. 1089 1090 Mr. Kirkland -1091 Would you raise your right hand and be sworn in. 1092 1093 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 1094

1097 1098

1095 1096

1099 Ms. Freye - Good morning again. I'm here on behalf of the applicant,

County Code? We have them in the file. Now you can state your case.

Are Have all your notices been turned in, according to the

Mr. Kirkland -

Richmond Developers, LLC, and the developer is asking for a variance from the Code Section 24-104(I)(5)(d). That Code Section prohibits attached building signs from extending above a roof line of a building, and that restriction has a negative impact on 10 of the 11 stores that are being developed at the Creeks Shopping Center at Virginia Center. The problem is that the topography of this property is such that the elevations at the ends of the property are higher, 10 to 12 feet higher, than the center of the property, where a stream runs through the middle, so the land slopes down to the center, and that results in an elevation of the building that's very irregular, and the roof is actually at different heights, making the center of the shops appear much smaller and out of scale to the shops at the end of the center. So to offset that problem, the building has been designed with fragmental façade walls across the fronts of the stores at various heights to compensate for changes in elevation, and that will give the illusion of a more uniform level roof line across the front. The stores' signs are actually attached to those façade walls, and because of the different elevations, the signs themselves are actually at different heights above the flat roof line, although from appearance they don't appear to be above the roof, because the roof line is actually behind those walls. The intent of the ordinance, we believe, is to prevent signs from either being erected on a roof or above a building into its air space, and I think the exhibit in your package, which you see there on the screen, shows that that's not what's happening here. The signs do not appear to be above the building. The developer isn't asking for any greater sign area or any greater number of signs; they're perfectly happy and satisfied with what the ordinance allows in that regard. But they would like to have a variance from the literal definition of the flat roof on this property. We feel that the strict application of the Code in this situation, applied to this property, isn't necessary. We think that granting the variance would not violate the purpose of the ordinance, because the signs are not extended into the air space above the building. They are actually on the walls that are attached to the building. The need for the variance is unique to this property. It's needed to allow the center to be developed with the same high quality and standard in appearance of the commercial development around it. Plus granting a variance will not have a negative impact on the adjacent properties; we feel that it will actually enhance the value of surrounding properties. As far as we know, there is no opposition to this request. We are in agreement with the condition as suggested by the staff, and we believe that the facts of this case are such that it comes within the jurisdictional powers that you have to grant the variance, and for these reasons, we are asking that you approve the variance. We'll be glad to answer any questions that you have.

1134 1135

1100 1101

11021103

1104

1105

1106 1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

Mr. McKinney- Ms. Freye, all these front walls, they're just parapet walls?

1136 1137

Ms. Freye - In effect, yes sir. They do extend above the roof line.

11381139

1140 Mr. McKinney- Is that the reason for the signage? Is that why it was 1141 designed that way?

1142 1143

1144

1145

Ms. Freye - Typically, parapet walls are designed to hide rooftop equipment, while these walls will help facilitate that, they are not necessary for that. The reason for the façade walls is because of the topography and to raise the

appearance of the shops in the center, to be on a more level plain with the other shops at the end.

Mr. Balfour- So it really does invade the air space, but it is more attractive, frankly, than to have it all one level.

Mr. Blankinship - If I could interrupt there, Mr. Chairman, to explain the exhibits a little bit. What you have there on the screen, I asked the applicants to produce, and that is showing what these same signs would look like if they were pushed down to the height allowed by the ordinance. So if you look at the color copy that you have in your packet, you see what they're requesting, and if you look at PETsMART, that may be the best example of this, you see the height of the PETsMART sign in the color copy, then look at it on the screen, and you see it's pushed down almost into the windows – that's the difference between what they're requesting and what they would be allowed under the ordinance.

1162 Mr. Wright- That was going to be my question – could we move the signs down, or the roof level, on the parapet, but that answers it.

1165 Mr. Blankinship - Yes, this is what you would have without the variance.

1167 Mr. Balfour- Which way is without the variance?

Ms. Freye - I think this gives the developers some relief and helps with the quality appearance of the Center without really violating the spirit of the ordinance, which is not to have signs either erected on a roof or above a building's top line.

1176 Mr. Wright- Obviously, the ordinance didn't take into consideration something of this nature, did it?

Mr. Blankinship - And it's interesting that in this case, the hardship really is brought about by the topography of the site. If they had a level site, they wouldn't have designed it this way.

Mr. Kirkland- Ms. Freye, what is the drop in elevation from one end of the shopping center to the other?

1186 Ms. Freye - Well, both ends are high, so at the ends, it's 10 to 12 feet higher than the center.

Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board **granted** your **A-11-2001** request for a variance from Section 24-104(I)(5)(d) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to erect attached signs at 9900 Brook Road (Tax Parcels 33-A-1A and -2A). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. This variance is only for the sign heights shown on the plan filed with the application. When the signs are replaced, new signs may be erected at the same height. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

1203	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
1204	Negative:			0
1205	Absent:			0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - Next case, sir.

UP-2-2001

JJ & B SAND & GRAVEL, INC. requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Sections 24-52(d) and 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to extract materials from the earth at 2980 Meadow Road (Tax Parcel 158-A-22), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina).

 Mr. Blankinship - Before we begin, Mr. Chairman, let me just explain that we did advertise this as an extraction case. They are not actually requesting permission to extract any further. This has been extracted in the past. The permit has expired; the previous operator failed to finish the reclamation of the site, and the applicant is really applying to finish the reclamation of this site. But it's reclamation from an extraction operation. We don't have reclamation as a listed use, so we advertised it as an extraction permit in order to permit, in order to renew the extraction operation so that they can complete the reclamation of the previous extraction. Is that totally confusing? If you're baffled, we're ready to begin.

Mr. Kirkland - Does anyone else wish to speak on this case. Raise your right hand and be sworn in. Please state your name for the record, sir.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1237 Mr. Deal - Yes sir, I do. My name is John Deal.

Mr. Kirkland - Have all your notices been turned in, according to the County Code?

1240 1241 1242

1243

1244

1245 1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1239

Mr. Deal -I don't know how to operate this equipment, and I'll need a sign over there. I'm representing JJ & B Sand and Gravel, the owner of this property. I also an representing Jim Anderson of Anderson Company, the person who's going to be leasing from JJ & B Sand and Gravel, Inc. As you can from the screen before you, Mr. Leber owns 162 acres there. You'll where there's a lake at the front of the property, and then towards the Chickahominy River, which would be to the top part of the screen, is an area that's been mined out. All that area was mined out back in the '50's and early '60's when no reclamation procedures were required. Mr. Leber plans to leave the lake where it is, and he is also coming to this area just north of the lake, you'll see a little bubble in there. The area to the right of the pen has just recently been filled in by Mr. Leber. That area represented an area that was filled in at the initial building of the Motorola facility. Mr. Leber has now, in the last several months, filled that area in, to the point where it can now be used as agricultural property, and that's what he intends to do with it. He's in the process now of bringing the topsoil in, and going to lime it and fertilize it, etc. Now what Mr. Anderson's company is going to be doing, under lease from Mr. Leber, is filling in the area to the left of the pen. It's a little over 13 acres. Up at the very top of the pen, towards the backside of the property, is where a settlement pond has been built, and to my knowledge, everything has been done according to the County Code. We do have to get a certification on the dam from an engineer, so far as the compaction of it, but we're in the process of doing that. So it's the 13 acres to the left of the pen that this case concerns. We met with the neighbors in this area on Tuesday evening. County officials and Mr. Anderson met with them back in December. The people were complaining, and they had every right in the world to complain. Mr. Leber had leased this property initially to a contractor, who just didn't do what he said he was going to do, and you folks denied him a renewal of a permit about 2 years ago. and it came to the point, Mr. Leber couldn't make him do what he was supposed to do, so we asked the County officials, we don't know what this means, but to enforce the law, we need some help. He won't do whatever we want him to do. And they enforced the law, and then as a result, because of nonpayment of rental, we were able to remove him from the property. Then, behind that, with the advent of the addition onto the Motorola property, a company started bringing a lot of dirt in there to do this filling for Mr. Leber, which was to the right of the pen. That contractor didn't want to do what Mr. Leber said, and as a result, got a lot of dirt on Meadow Road out in front of the property. and was a bad situation, and it shouldn't have been, but it was, and I was in Mr. Leber's presence several times, when he asked a representative from that contractor, to get that situation straight. He never did, and the County shut the operation down, which I don't blame them a bit in the world for doing. These are the things that the neighborhood was complaining about. We met with a neighbor, in a neighborhood meeting, for about at least an hour and a half, maybe 2 hours. Strangely enough, the greatest problem that they had with this operation and the West Sand and Gravel operation, which is to the right of the Leber property, if you'll notice, there's a subdivision to the right of the Leber property. That is Meadowdale or Meadowview, I forget the name of it, but that's the subdivision where we met with the people. To the right of that subdivision and going

back up towards the Chickahominy River at the top of the screen, is West Sand and Gravel operation. Now their concern was the speed of the trucks. It's a 45 mile per hour speed limit through that area, and they said that's just entirely too fast for the number of trucks that are on the road. So we told them what we would do, is we would start with petitioning the Henrico County Police, that's the only place I know to start, to post a sign on Meadow Road from I-295, all the way down the right, down to Williamsburg Road, that would say, "Cars 45, trucks 35," and the neighborhood very much wants that, we very much want that." Their greatest problem was we're very much intimidated, driving down the road, and here comes a large truck with a big pile of dirt in the back of it, going 45 miles an hour." And they said if it was a lesser speed limit, we could live with it a lot better. So we're in the process of doing that. We had a very amicable meeting. The neighborhood there is just like anybody else. As a matter of fact, they called Mr. Anderson and said, "We had a good discussion; we're not sending any representatives to the meeting; we just ask that you relate to the meeting what our concerns were." We said, "fine, we would do that," and that was our biggest concern, was the speed, then the dirt on the road. Once this permit is granted, Mr. Anderson will have control over the facility, and Mr. Anderson has already constructed and is using the pond on the property, to feed water to a wash rack, that when the trucks are leaving this property, they'll have to run over, like a cattle gate, where you have the concrete things, that vibrate the tires, and the tires will be washed. He is going to put asphalt 400 feet from Meadow Road, down the access road to the property. This is the piece of the land that's going to be refilled, which you can see was mined out years ago and is going to be reclaimed. When you come into the property in the future, once Mr. Anderson finishes, and you take a left right here, what you're going to see is a hill to your right. The hill is going to be generated by the fill that's coming from the Motorola Extension Plant, as well as what Mr. Anderson's going to be doing in this area right here. That will be a large field, with a hill at the top, sloping down towards the Chickahominy River. The settlement pond sits right in this area. That was constructed by contractor that you denied him this permit, and he just didn't finish it. Some concerns that we have about the conditions, the neighborhood had a copy of the conditions. We have been over this with them; they asked us some questions about it, and the first question that we have about it is item # 7. It says, "Hours of operation shall be from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. in Daylight Savings Time, and 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at all other times." That's no problem, except when you get to # 8, it says, "No operations........... are to be conducted at the site on Saturdays, Sundays, or national holidays." Obviously, we have no problem with Sundays; we will not be in operation on Sundays. The people in the We would like to be able to operate on Saturday morning. neighborhood said, well, we'd rather that you didn't operate on Saturday, because we're carrying our children to ball games, etc. To which we responded, look, we've got a business to run, we have to get fill in here if we're going to make any money. The marketplace, when you're dealing a Motorola job, or the Fair job that's going to be coming up, or these others, you've got to have a place that receives fill the same hours those contractors are normally hauling fill from those sites, wherever they may be, and they're generally a five and a half day a week deal. And we told the neighbors, look, your subdivision is in between us and Williamsburg Road. Our truck traffic is going to be to the right of you. Our trucks are never coming by your subdivision. We're going to

1285 1286

1287 1288

1289

1290

1291

1292

1293 1294

1295

1296

1297

1298

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

1321 1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

1327

1328

1329

take a right and go back up. You will be able, on Saturday, to go anywhere you want, by coming out of your subdivision, taking a left, going down Meadow Road to Williamsburg Road, and then you can get on I-295, I-64, or whatever you want to do, and that will just inconvenience you that one morning a week. And they said, well, we just wanted to let you know our concern, but we understand what you're saying. So we are asking that condition # 8 be extended, that we could operate on Saturday mornings until noon. On # 9, about "all means of access to the property (being) from the established entrance on(to) Meadow Road," which talks about us going to the west, could I have the plat up there please, that I laid over here? That subdivision is here, and what we told those people on Saturday mornings, "you've got a choice, you can either go up where the trucks are and get on I-295, or you can go this way down Williamsburg Road and miss the trucks altogether, and it's 1.3 miles different, so it's not that big a difference at all. They understood that. They said "that's an objection we had, would you just voice it, and that's what we've done. Here's the Motorola plant down here. Trucks coming up this way, will go up Williamsburg Road to Drybridge, up Drybridge, down Meadow Road, and into the property. They will not be anywhere on this segment of Meadow Road whatsoever, which is where the subdivision is that had the concern about it. Now they will still have to contend with the Carter Sand and Gravel operation, right in this area here. We told them our concern about us being about to just take a right out of the property, and a left into the property, is what if this area starts to develop in here, and these people want us to take some dirt for them. That would require us at that time, coming back to the neighborhood first, saying we've gotten an offer of a contract, for somebody constructing something in this area. We would come to you first, give you all the parameters on it, then we would file a permission for an amendment to this conditional use permit, to allow us to take that fill. If you had substantial objections or whatever at that time, then you could come in. And I'm just mentioning that, in case we do get a job there and come back, it's not that we're blindsiding the people. We've told them this may happen, but if we don't get anything in this area, we're not coming back.

1359 1360 1361

1331 1332

1333 1334

1335

1336

1337

1338

1339

1340

1341

1342

1343

1344

1345

1346

1347

1348

1349

1350

1351

1352

1353

1354

1355

1356

1357

1358

Mr. Nunnally- You said Carter Sand and Gravel, do they operate on Saturday mornings?

1363

1362

1364 Mr. Deal - They operate on Saturdays.

1365

Mr. Nunnally- Up until noon?

1366 1367 1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

1374

1375

1376

Mr. Deal - Yes sir. National holidays – now why national holidays? Because presidents or famous people were born on those days, that nobody pays any attention to. They're waiting on Christmas, Thanksgiving, New Year's, Easter, we're not going to be operating on Sundays anyway, but there's something like 15 national holidays that the business and industry out here doesn't recognize, and technically, according to this condition, we'd be bound by every one of them. And there's a lot of presidents and famous people that there's national holidays for, and it's just fine that people did a good job, they're famous people, but I'm still going to work. We wouldn't have that privilege. What we would ask that you do, is amend that condition, that would

1377 1378	allow us, we could not op Memorial Day, July 4.	erate on Christmas, on Thanksgiving, New Years, Labor Day,
1379 1380 1381	Mr. McKinney-	Mr. Deal, what is the definition of national holiday?
1382 1383 1384 1385	Mr. Deal - gives a proclamation that federal employees off.	I would imagine it's when the President of the United States this will be a nationally recognized holiday and let's all the
1386 1387	Mr. McKinney-	Does the government shut down on these national holidays?
1388 1389	Mr. Deal -	The military doesn't, but the officers do a lot of times.
1390 1391 1392	Mr. Wright- state was closed ,and the	The government shuts down. Martin Luther King's Day, the Post Office
1393 1394	Mr. McKinney-	The County was closed last Friday and Monday.
1395 1396 1397	Mr. Wright- day.	We weren't closed; our office worked, we worked on that
1398 1399 1400	Mr. Blankinship- Day.	Columbus Day I think is a national holiday, and Veteran's
1401 1402	Mr. Wright- in your office.	If you take all those holidays, you wouldn't get anything done
1403 1404 1405 1406 1407	Mr. Deal- but not many people re consideration in amending	Columbus was a nice man; we're glad he found this place, ecognize it, you know. So we ask that you take that in g that condition.
1408 1409	Mr. McKinney-	How would you like to see it amended to?
1410 1411 1412 1413 1414		That the holidays we've mentioned here by name, Christmas, y, Thanksgiving, 4 <sup>th</sup> of July, Labor Day, and that's fine with us, en on those days. People are generally off, and so that would
1415 1416	Mr. Wright-	How about Lee-Jackson Day?
1417 1418	Mr. McKinney-	Ben, what holidays does the County take?
1+10	M D	

Mr. Kirkland-

Mr. Blankinship -

1419

1420

1421 1422 How many is it?

I don't have the list off the top of my head, sir.

1423 Mr. Blankinship - Ten or 11.

1424

Mr. Blankinship- The County was closed this year for the first time, Lee-Jackson Day on Friday, and Martin Luther King Day on Monday.

1427

1428 Mr. McKinney- Couldn't we tie this to the County holidays?

1429

Mr. Blankinship - It's roughly the same list; we don't get Columbus Day; we do get Veteran's Day; we do get Martin Luther King Jr. Day. Lee-Jackson Day is a state holiday. It's difficult, other than just listing the 6 that he listed.

1433 1434

1435

1436

1437

1438

1439

1440

1441

1442

1443

1444 1445

1446

1447 1448

1449 1450

1451

1452

1453

1454

1455

1456

Mr. Deal -I think if we list the ones we just talked about, that's fine with us, we don't have a problem with one of those at all. The next issue that we want to discuss is # 17, which is, "The operation shall be so scheduled that trucks will travel at regular intervals and not in groups of three or more." When you get a job like the Motorola job, or the Hewlett Packard job, or like the Fairgrounds that's coming up, you're going to have a lot of trucks coming in a short period of time. And at this site, like yesterday, the County has been gracious in letting us move along and do some filling on that property, we had 2 trucks. Some days we'll have 2 trucks, but when you've got a large job, you're going to have a lot of trucks, and they're going to be traveling in a group of more than 3. We don't have any way we can control when they leave the job. Once they get their property, we can, because those guys don't work for us. We don't have our own trucks hauling into this pit, except for what little bit Mr. Anderson may do, because he is in the dirt moving business. But he is not going to be a major supplier of fill to this site at all. What we're saying is, if we reduce that speed limit, from 45 to 35, that's going to alleviate the people's concern, because they would see, in this Motorola job, people speeding down the road at 45 to 50 miles an hour, full of dirt, and it's intimidating, and so we need to do something about that. When you have this Motorola job, the way those people build is, everybody goes peddle to the metal, and that's the way they build them, and that dirt has to get off that site, so we're liable to have 5, 6, or 7 trucks coming in a group. We've got a long entrance road into this property, we've got enough entrance road into this property to hold 50 trucks, that once they come in there. front to back, we could hold 50 before they even got to this site. So I don't see a situation of them being all backed up down the road. I don't see that, you may have 3 or more coming at a time, but I don't see them sitting on Meadow Road.

1457 1458

1459 Mr. Balfour- Is that a 2-lane road, Mr. Deal?

1460

Mr. Deal - Yes sir, when you say 2-lane, it's not marked, and it is a narrow road; it's a regular country road, sad but true.

1463 1464

Mr. Balfour- You can regulate when they leave, can't you?

1465

1466 Mr. Deal - Yes we can, now that we can have some control over it.

1467

1468 Mr. Balfour- I would just think, that children, if they're riding down a road

that narrow, and you come upon 10 of these trucks at once, and you can't get around them, and they're going 35 miles an hour, that's just asking for some 16-year-old to try to pass about 10 trucks at once.

1472

1473 Mr. Deal- The distance between Drybridge Road and the entrance to this property, where we'll be coming into it, from here, how far is that?

1475

1476 Mr. Anderson- 570 yards.

1477

Mr. Deal - From Drybridge Road is 570 yards, so that's a quarter of a mile, so that's where our traffic will be. West Sand and Gravel's traffic is going to be, this is the railroad tracks here, their operation's right adjacent to the tracks, their trucks will be coming this way. I would imagine some West Sand and Gravel trucks go that way too, but I'm sure some of them go down this way also. You can't get on I-64 up here, can you? No, so West Sand and Gravel, I'm sure all of their trucks, 90% of them have got to be going this way to get on the interstate.

1485

1486 Mr. Blankinship- They're required to.

1487

Mr. Deal - So what that means is, with the condition that we're going to have, that we can only come in this way, we can only go out this way, there will be no truck traffic from here to here. There's no truck traffic in there, where that subdivision is, so you're concerned about a 15-year-old boy passing them, that's a valid concern. That concern would only be governed from here to there, and from this property to there.

1493

Mr. McKinney- Are you going to have a sign coming out of your property, "no left turn by trucks."

1496

1497 Mr. Deal - Yes sir. As a matter of fact, not only that, we're reconfiguring
1498 the entrance with a gate so that you can't turn right into the property. If a trucker came
1499 up this way, he could not turn right into the property. The road's not wide enough for
1500 him to swing out and make the turn. The only way he could get in is to come in this way
1501 and go out that way. So a man coming out, the way we're reconfiguring it, could not
1502 come out and then turn and go down this way. So we've taken that precaution.

1503

Mr. Nunnally- Mr. Deal, how many trucks can you load at any one time?

How much equipment do you have down there? Can you load 3 or 4 trucks at the same time?

1507

Mr. Deal - Our loading is going to be very limited. It will be limited to, if we came in before you again and asked for the permit to screen topsoil, because as I understand it, and I talked with Mr. Blankinship the other day, the screen topsoil, as long as it's not a permanent building, you gentlemen can pass on that. It's not going to be that much topsoil shipped off. It's just a profitable thing to do.

1513

1514 Mr. Blankinship - The question today is <u>un</u>loading trucks. How many can you

unload? 1515

1516 1517

Mr. Deal -They can come in 3 or 4 at a time, and we can unload 1518 because all they do, the way we're filling is, it's a wide area that's being filled, and we'll have a man out there on the site, just telling them to back up, and they back up to 1519 where the hole is, and then they dump, and we have a dozer there that's continually pushing that stuff in the hole. We could have 4 or 5 people dumping at one time.

1521 1522 1523

1524

1525

1526

1527

1520

So if I lived up on Grapevine Road, I could be coming out, Mr. Blankinship getting ready, say I want to come over here, I want to make a left onto Meadow Road, and I stop at the end of Grapevine, and I see a line of 15 or 20 dump trucks coming, and I think that's part of what this condition is meant to address, that the other residents in that area, I appreciate your sensitivity to that one subdivision, but they're not the only neighbors out there.

1528 1529 1530

1531

1532

1533 1534

1535

1536 1537 Mr. Deal -I understand that. Well the only thing that I can say to that, is praise God for I-64 and I-295, they give everybody a relief factor. And it's not that long a road, whether it's Williamsburg Road going up to Meadow, or from Meadow to Drybridge. It is a bit more inconvenient to them to come out of their subdivision and go this way, but it's a live and let live situation with these property owners making use of their properties. We have a concern with that # 17. Do you have a questions on that, that you want to discuss, before I go to the next one? Mr. Anderson wants to say something to you on the.....

1538

1539 Mr. Wright -Let me ask you a question, Mr. Deal, on that # 17. It says "the operation shall be .... scheduled (so) that trucks will travel.........." And what 1540 you're saying is, that you can't schedule the operation to do that, so that puts you in an 1541 impossible situation. 1542

1543

Mr. Blankinship-I guess that's the difference between an extraction and a fill. 1544 1545

And we're primarily a fill operation, not an extraction. If we 1546 Mr. Deal were an extraction, we could have more control. 1547

1548

We've had this condition before, and the applicants have 1549 Mr. McKinneylived up to it. 1550

1551

I think that was for extraction. This is bringing it in, not taking 1552 Mr. Wrightit out. That's the difference. Maybe we could re-word that to say that you could have 1553 no more than 3 leaving at one time. That's what you would control. 1554

1555

1556 Mr. Blankinship -Just strike the word "travel" and replace it with "leave."

1557

1558 Mr. Deal -And then we'd space the time so many minutes between 3 1559 leaving and 3 leaving and 3 leaving. That's fine; that's no problem.

1561 Mr. Wright- But those trucks are loaded when they're coming in there.

Mr. Dear - Number 24 — "No offsite-generated materials shall be deposited on the mining site without prior .... approval of the Director of Planning. To obtain such approval, (write to the County, etc.)............That's all we're going to be doing, is taking in material. Willie Cosby, for instance, may call up and say, "I've got 2 truck loads coming out of a house, where I've just dug a basement, can I bring it to you, and the trucks are full, the motor's running, they're ready to come, and we didn't know that, but that's what we're in business to do, and theoretically this condition, if strictly enforced, would require us every time, whether it was a single truck, or a hundred trucks came in, we would have to write you and get permission for those trucks to come in.

## I think that was designed for extraction.

Mr. Blankinship - Well that is our standard condition, and we discussed this somewhat in light of this specific application. We weren't sure exactly how to go with it, so we took the conservative route of putting the stricter language in front of you and letting you decide what you want to do with it.

Mr. Wright- I agree with him, every time he's got to get permission from the Director of Planning, that's going to be almost impossible to do.

Mr. Kirkland- We do need to leave something in there to keep out contaminated and hazardous materials.

Mr. Wright- You ought to define the type of material you're talking about, rather than just "offsite-generated materials," would be exactly what you're going to do. Can't you have some language that would protect us from the types of materials that we don't want in there?

Mr. Blankinship - In the past our means of doing that is to have a stack of letters in the file, where each time they want to bring material to the site, they write us a letter saying this is where it's coming from, this is how much there is, and this is who certifies that there's no contaminants in it. We don't bring that back to you, of course; that's just an administrative approval, but that way we have a record of all the material that's been brought to ....................

Mr. Wright- You'd need a lot of filing cabinets to handle all these loads that they're going to bring in there. How would we do this?

Mr. Blankinship - Typically, that's how we have handled it. But we've looked more at large jobs, like the Motorola job, or the Fairgrounds job, where one letter might cover 300,000 yards of material.

Mr. Deal - We have no problem with it not being contaminated or hazardous; we have no problem with that at all; that's totally reasonable. We can send

you a letter once a month saying we've received material this month, none of it is 1607 1608 contaminated or hazardous. 1609 1610 Mr. Blankinship -And just have it done after the fact, rather than prior approval. 1611 1612 Mr. Deal -Yes, and I'd just send you one letter for the previous month. 1613 1614

Mr. Kirkland -Who will determine this? 1615

1616

1617 Mr. Deal -Well, the safety factor that they have, is when the men come in and dump their loads, they dump them in a pile on level ground, and then the 1618 bulldozer pushes it over. The only way that I know you do it, is by seeing it or smelling 1619 it. It's the only way I know you'd ever determine it's not hazardous or contaminated. 1620

1621

Mr. Blankinship -We inspect these sites on a monthly basis; 2 different 1622 inspectors go out each month, but we don't really try to audit that report; we take those 1623 letters at face value. 1624

1625

1626 Mr. McKinney-You have a testing laboratory.

1627

1628 Mr. Wright-Yes, when you go out and test it, if you find it there, then they would have to do whatever's necessary to remove it. 1629

1630

And in that case, I can open my file and say that they 1631 Mr. Blankinship certified to us that it was not contaminated, so at least we're held harmless to some 1632 extent. 1633

1634

Mr. Kirkland-So they could still send us documentation of what they've 1635 received for that period of time? 1636

1637

Mr. Wright-Can't you change that around, instead of saying prior, put the 1638 language that they would send a monthly report of what they've deposited. 1639

1640

1641 Mr. Blankinship -I don't see any immediate reason not to.

1642

You could start out by just saying "No hazardous, or 1643 Mr. Wrightwhatever that type of material, shall be deposited on the property. 1644

1645

Mr. Deal-Right, and that report would be sent monthly in arrears. You 1646 just don't have that, and then they would report everything else. If somehow it got in 1647 there, and they didn't know about it, then they'd have to remove it. 1648

1649

Mr. Kirkland -Mr. Deal, this would only be acceptable fill; there wouldn't be 1650 1651 any stumps or limbs or anything like that in there?

Mr. Deal - And we would state that in the letter, that we've only received acceptable material this month, that none of it is contaminated or hazardous.

1656 Mr. Wright- Well shouldn't there be a condition to define what can be put in this fill?

1659 Mr. Blankinship - In the past we have handled it in this......

1661 Mr. Wright- This needs to be re-written to say what would be permitted for them to ordinarily put in there.

 Mr. Deal - Looking at my client, and from his viewpoint, we can take brickbats, concrete, asphalt, all kinds of topsoil, fill, clays, anything of that nature. Now the danger here, that I believe you folks might be angling for, is what if you have a service station where a fuel tank ruptures, and somebody wants to bring out and dump that material somewhere. The only way that I know to govern something like that is, for this, gentlemen, to have a form on site that when people bring things in, they would sign saying this is not fuel contaminated or something like that. When they sign load tickets, it'll tell the driver that he cannot dump hazardous or other toxic materials on the property.

Mr. Balfour- Mr. Deal, back on # 8, we were talking about the holidays. Am I correct that the times you wanted would be the times, the beginning times as in # 7, the ending times would be noon?

Mr. Deal - Yes, on Saturday, that's correct. Saturday we would end at noon. Let me ask you this, when it says "no operation," we will not be conducting any operations of any kind on Saturdays, Sundays, or the holidays that you gentlemen choose, there's no question there. During the other hours of operation, it might be that we've received, like in # 7, maybe we've 20 loads, and we would like to smooth that out and push that in, and the dozer's operating until 7:00 o'clock to get it done. I mean we wouldn't be taking anything in after 6:00 o'clock, but preparing the site for the next days work, now nobody can hear us, you can see from that plat, there's nobody to the right of that property who would be able to hear what we're doing. Because we don't want to technically be in a violation, I'm not trying to get sticky; I just don't want Mr. Anderson to be in a technical violation.

1690 Mr. Balfour- Number 30 is pretty strong

Mr. Blankinship- That would be a violation. What you just described, I would interpret as a violation of this condition.

1695 Mr. Deal - I'm glad I asked the question. We'll live with that.

Mr. Kirkland- Mr. Deal, you kept talking about the speed limit sign, you talked to neighbors about certain speed for trucks, certain speed for vehicles. Have you

worked with the County Police Department on this issue? 1699 1700 Mr. Deal -No, we just had this last meeting with them Tuesday night 1701 1702 when they brought this up, and I haven't even called the police on that yet to talk to them about it, and I haven't had a chance to call the police yet. 1703 1704 Mr. Kirkland -Mr. Blankinship, is it possible to get the traffic safety people 1705 to get something done before we get started on this project. I know they're already 1706 doing it temporarily till we give them this, but is it possible to get that taken care of? 1707 1708 1709 Mr. Blankinship -We'll certainly work with them. 1710 Mr. Kirkland -I think that is one of the key elements of this. That would 1711 make the neighbors happy. 1712 1713 Mr. Deal -And a call coming from you folks is going to mean a whole lot 1714 1715 more than a call coming from me. 1716 Mr. Nunnally-The posted speed limit right now is 45? 1717 1718 Mr. McKinney-Mr. Secretary, is there any bond left over from T. K. Allard? 1719 1720 1721 Mr. Blankinship -I don't believe so, no sir. 1722 Mr. Deal -There's a \$10,000 bond that Mr. Leber has on the property, 1723 that governs about 8 of the 13 acres, but with this \$26,000 bond that's coming up, that 1724 was something I was going to call you back and ask you on, can Leber's bond be done 1725 away with, since this \$20-some thousand dollar bond that Mr. Anderson and his partner 1726 are putting up. Wouldn't that take the place of that. 1727 1728 You know the answer to that, Susan? 1729 Mr. Blankinship -1730 1731 Mr. Kirkland -Susan, if you'd come down front please; we're recording this hearing. State your name, Susan. 1732 1733 1734 Mr. McKinney-Are you going to swear her in? 1735 Mr. Kirkland -Swear her in. Susan, raise your right hand. 1736 1737 I'm not swearing at Susan, no way. Do you swear that the 1738 Mr. Blankinship testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so 1739 1740 help you God?

January 25, 2001

Mr. Kirkland -

Ms. Blackburn -

1741

1742

1743 1744

I do. Susan Blackburn. As far as dealing with bonds, we will

State your name, Susan.

end up looking into that. I don't see any reason, if the site that has been covered by Mr. 1745 Leber's bond, has been reclaimed to satisfaction, that that can't be released, and then 1746 Mr. Anderson can just go through with the bonding that he needs to do according to the 1747 1748 permit.

1749

Mr. Kirkland-What happened to Mr. Allard's bonds? 1750

1751

Ms. Blackburn -Mr. Allard's bonds were as interesting as Mr. Allard. Part of 1752 the situation we had, was that in him not being able to comply with the permit 1753 conditions, his reasoning was that, if he can't do this, we're holding him up, he can't make money to post the bond. It was a whole cyclical thing, and we're real pleased that he is no longer operating at this site. 1756

1757

1754

1755

Mr. McKinney-I thought the bond had to be put up before he even got 1758 started. 1759

1760

1761 Ms. Blackburn -Yes, normally it is, but when you have a person who generally comes up with many excuses for why this can't be done and why that can't be 1762 done, this is how it sometimes ends up snowballing. I believe that is behind us, and 1763 we've learned our lessons. 1764

1765

Mr. Kirkland -Any other questions. Thank you Susan. Mr. Deal, do you 1766 have anything else? 1767

1768

Mr. Deal -1769 No sir, I think I'm done.

1770

Anyone else wish to speak on this case? That concludes the 1771 Mr. Kirkland -1772 case.

1773 1774

1775

1776

1777

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted your application UP-2-2001 for a conditional use permit pursuant to Sections 24-52(d) and 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to extract materials from the earth at 2980 Meadow Road (Tax Parcel 158-A-22). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:

1778 1779 1780

This use permit is subject to all requirements of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

1781 1782 1783

1784

1785

1786

1787

1788

1789

1790

2. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall provide a financial guaranty in an amount of \$2,000 per acre for each acre of land to be disturbed, for a total of \$26,760, guaranteeing that the land will be restored to a reasonably level and drainable condition. This permit does not become valid until the financial guaranty has been approved by the County Attorney. The financial guaranty may provide for termination after 90 days notice in writing to the County. In the event of termination, this permit shall be void, and work incident thereto shall cease. Within the next 90 days the applicant shall restore the land as provided for under the conditions of this use permit. Termination of such financial guaranty shall not relieve the applicant from its obligation to indemnify the County of Henrico for any breach of the conditions of this use permit. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

- 3. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall submit erosion control plans to the Department of Public Works for review and approval. Throughout the life of the operation, the applicant shall continuously satisfy the Department of Public Works that erosion control procedures are properly maintained, and shall furnish plans and bonds that the department deems necessary. The applicant shall provide certification from a licensed professional engineer that dams, embankments and sediment control structures meet the approved design criteria as set forth by the State. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.
- 4. Before beginning any work, the areas approved under this permit shall be delineated on the ground by five-foot-high metal posts at least five inches in diameter and painted in alternate one foot stripes of red and white. These posts shall be so located as to clearly define the area in which activity is permitted. They shall be located, and their location certified, by a certified land surveyor. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.
- 5. In the event that the Board's approval of this use permit is appealed, all conditions requiring action within 90 days will be deemed satisfied if the required actions are taken within 90 days of final action on the appeal.
- 6. The applicant shall comply with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and all state and local regulations administered under such act applicable to the property, and shall furnish to the Planning Office copies of all reports required by such act or regulations.
- 7. Hours of operation Monday through Friday shall be from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. when Daylight Savings Time is in effect, and from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at all other times. Hours of operation on Saturday shall be from 6:00 a.m. to noon when Daylight Savings Time is in effect, and from 7:00 a.m. to noon at all other times.
- 8. No operations of any kind are to be conducted at the site on Sundays, New Years Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving or Christmas.
- 9. All means of access to the property shall be from the established entrance onto Meadow Road. All truck traffic to the site shall approach from Williamsburg Road north on Drybridge Road, then east on Meadow Road. All truck traffic leaving the site shall travel west on Meadow Road, then south on Drybridge Road to Williamsburg Road.
- 10. The applicant shall erect and maintain gates at all entrances to the property. These gates shall be locked at all times, except when authorized representatives of the applicant are on the property.

11. The applicant shall post and maintain a sign at the entrance to the mining site stating the name of the operator, the use permit number, and the telephone number of the operator. The sign shall be 12 square feet in area and the letters shall be three inches high.

12. The applicant shall post and maintain "No Trespassing" signs every 250 feet along the perimeter of the property. The letters shall be three inches high. The applicant shall furnish the Chief of Police a letter authorizing the Division of Police to enforce the "No Trespassing" regulations, and agreeing to send a representative to testify in court as required or requested by the Division of Police.

1848 13. Standard "Truck Entering Highway" signs shall be erected on Meadow Road on each side of the entrances to the property. These signs will be placed by the County, at the applicant's expense.

14. The applicant shall post and maintain a standard stop sign at the entrance to Meadow Road.

15. The applicant shall provide a flagman to control traffic from the site onto the public road, with the flagman yielding the right of way to the public road traffic at all times. This flagman will be required whenever the Division of Police deems necessary.

1859 16. All roads used in connection with this use permit shall be effectively treated with calcium chloride or other wetting agents to eliminate any dust nuisance.

17. The operation shall be so scheduled that trucks will leave at regular intervals and not in groups of three or more.

18. Trucks shall be loaded in a way to prevent overloading or spilling of materials of any kind on any public road.

1868 19. The applicant shall maintain the property, fences, and roads in a safe and secure condition indefinitely, or convert the property to some other safe use.

 20. If, in the course of its preliminary investigation or operations, the applicant discovers evidence of cultural or historical resources, or an endangered species, or a significant habitat, it shall notify appropriate authorities and provide them with an opportunity to investigate the site. The applicant shall report the results of any such investigation to the Planning Office.

21. If water wells located on surrounding properties are adversely affected, and the operations on this site are suspected as the cause, the effected property owners may present to the Board evidence that the extraction operation is a contributing factor. After a hearing by the Board, this use permit may be revoked or suspended, and the operator may be required to correct the problem.

- Open and vertical excavations having a depth of 10 feet or more, for a period of more than 30 days, shall be effectively sloped to a 2:1 slope or flatter to protect the public safety.
- Topsoil shall not be removed from any part of the property outside of the area in 1887 23. which mining is authorized. Sufficient topsoil shall be stockpiled on the property for 1888 respreading in a layer with five inches of minimum depth. All topsoil shall be stockpiled 1889 1890 within the authorized area and provided with adequate erosion control protection. If the site does not yield sufficient topsoil, additional topsoil shall be brought to the site to 1891 1892 provide the required five-inch layer of cover. All topsoil shall be treated with a mixture of seed, fertilizer, and lime as recommended by the County after soil tests have been 1893 provided to the County. 1894
- The material deposited on the site shall be limited to imperishable materials such as stone, bricks, tile, sand, gravel, soil, asphalt, concrete and like materials, and shall not include any hazardous materials as defined by the Virginia Hazardous Waste Management Regulations. Each month the operator shall submit a report to the Director of Planning stating the origin, nature and quantity of all material deposited on the site, and certifying that no contaminated or hazardous material was included.
  - 25. A superintendent, who shall be personally familiar with all the terms and conditions of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code, as well as the terms and conditions of this use permit, shall be present at the beginning and conclusion of operations each work day to see that all the conditions of the Code and this use permit are observed.
- 26. A progress report shall be submitted to the Board on January 25, 2002. This progress report must contain information concerning how much rehabilitation has been performed, when and how the remaining amount of land will be rehabilitated, and any other pertinent information about the operation that would be helpful to the Board.
- 1914 27. Restoration shall be accomplished by not later than January 25, 2003, unless a new permit is granted by the Board of Zoning Appeals.
- 1917 28. Rehabilitation shall not be considered completed until the mined area is covered completely with permanent vegetation.
- 1920 29. All drainage and erosion and sediment control measures shall conform to the standards and specifications of the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook.
- 1923 30. Failure to comply with any of the foregoing conditions shall automatically void this 1924 permit.
- 1926 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
  1927 Negative: 0
  1928 Absent: 0

1895

1902 1903

1904

1905

1906

1907 1908

1913

1916

1919

1922

The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

1933 Mr. Kirkland -

Next case, sir.

A - 12-2001

**PHILIP M. MEADE, SR.** requests a variance from Section 24-95(i)(2) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to erect a carport in the side yard at 1413 Bobbiedell Lane (West Forest Heights) (Tax Parcel 91-11-A-15), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Three Chopt). The accessory structure location requirement is not met. The applicant proposes a carport in the side yard where the Code allows a carport only in the rear yard.

Mr. Blankinship - I'm sure you all remember Mr. Meade from last month. You discussed at that hearing, whether he could defer and change his request somewhat. The decision was made that the changes that were suggested by the Board were too great for just deferral and amending, that he needed to submit a new application, so last month's application was formally denied, and he has reapplied to move the carport to the side yard, out of the front yard.

Mr. Kirkland - Does anyone else wish to speak on this case. If you would sir, raise your right hand and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Kirkland - State your name for the record, please. Have all your notices been turned in, according to the County Code? OK, we have them in the file. Proceed with your case, sir.

Mr. Meade - I do. Philip M. Meade, Sr. When I was here before, I had a drawing of the old way we had it in the front yard. You denied it and made a suggestion that I go to the side yard. I have the drawings here, I believe you have one that's different from this. This is a new one that I drew and sent to Mr. Blankinship a couple of weeks ago.

Mr. Kirkland- Is this still going to be the aluminum?

Mr. Meade - Yes, it's the same structure that's up now in the front yard.
What I have to do is dismantle it through the center and relocate it down the side of the
house. What I've got showing here is non-attached to the house, so I could have a 3foot variance on the side. My understanding is, if it's fastened to the house, you have to
have a 7-foot variance, is that correct.

1974 Mr. Blankinship - A 7-foot side yard, yes.

1977 1978

1979

1980

1981 1982

1983 1984

1985

Mr. Meade -What I'm proposing to do is to have it self-supporting as you see the picture here on the left, how I have the structure going down to the drive rods in the ground to support that. It's the same structure; it's just a different shape. It holds its own as far as weather, wind and that type of thing. As a matter of fact, this is out of the weather because, going down the side, I have a privacy fence that goes around the whole yard that would cover that whole complete side. The reason I have this drawn like this on the front is to have some type of a better appearance on the property, rather than looking at a shed roof on the end, coming over. This enables me, I have a motorcycle, enables me to put that motorcycle trailer right at the front of that little offset you see where I have an 8-foot clearance to the left, going into that cutaway on the house, and that would give me an area to put that trailer.

1986 1987

1988 Mr. Kirkland -Mr. Blankinship, the trailer would be parked in front of the house, is that what he saying? 1989

1990

1991 Mr. Meade -Right here to the left at the front.

1992

1993 Mr. Kirkland -I believe that's against the Code.

1994

Mr. Wright-Isn't that still in the front yard? 1995

1996

1997 Mr. Blankinship -Let me see exactly how that reads. I think you're right; I think that it's a separate issue, but also..... 1998

1999

2000 Mr. Kirkland -Part of the canopy would still be in the front yard.

2001 Mr. Meade -Seven foot. It gives me my 35-foot front clearance. You see 2002 2003 the picture on the left.

2004 2005

2006

2007

2008

None of the canopy would actually be in the front because Mr. Blankinship part of the house, it's forward of what's immediately there, but part of the house, there's a front porch on the house that comes farther out than the canopy does, and the front yard is measured from the front property line to the nearest point on the structure, so it would all be at the side.

2009 2010

2011 Mr. Kirkland-So you're saying this is all in the side?

2012

2013 Mr. Blankinship -The drawing has been amended a little bit, and that's why you have that one there. The whole thing is set back just a few more feet, but just 2014 enough to get it behind the front porch, which is not in proportion; it's actually a 2015 substantial proportion. None of the carport structure would be forward of the front of the 2016 2017 porch.

2018

2019 Mr. Meade -The reason I've got this is to keep a better appearance, to blend in with the house, rather than just have a half of a shed showing off, looking 2020

directly up the driveway. Far as the trailer is concerned, I could take it to the back, that doesn't matter. It's just the appearance of the property, and then I have to have 2 vehicles to go in, and I need the length from this point to the front point. From front to back, it shows 33 feet.

Mr. Kirkland- So you'll put the old pick-up truck to the rear, and the car you normally use in front of that?

Mr. Meade - From this point at the back, to the front, is 33 feet, and to gain that length of the carport that I have existing, the carport is 22 feet wide, so I'm taking it half-way down the middle. I'm doing this cutaway, I'm going to take this half and put it from this point back, which is 13 feet, so I'll have 33 feet overall, going to the back, which would end at my screen porch. That's one of the reasons I ran this past the edge of the house, was to keep this half-way decent, rather than to have it shut off back beside of my screen porch. As it is now, I've got to take my tree out that's located right here, which is big, and then I have a cherry tree that sits right at this point, that I didn't want to have to move. Theoretically speaking, I think I'm using the right word when I say "topography," this would look better for the piece of property with this little A, than half a split.

Mr. Wright- So long as it doesn't extend beyond the porch, you're okay.

Mr. Meade- Well that's why I've measured it all out, and going from this point right here, it's 35 feet from this point to the property line. The house is 42, so from this point of the house out, to this point right here on this side, is 7 feet, and that's how I determined my 33 feet; I'm leaving 7 feet here and taking that 13 feet and adding it on to the 20-foot section, to give me the 33 feet. This is the only application I've got left; I've spent \$1200 for this carport that I have, and this is the only place I can use it. Back yard, forget it.

2051 Mr. Wright- Technically, we're going to be giving him a 3-foot variance.

Mr. Blankinship - And a variance to put an accessory structure in the side yard.

2055 Mr. Wright- Basically it's attached; it's sitting right there beside the 2056 house. But that's the same difference.

2058 Mr. Kirkland- Mr. Blankinship, will this require a building permit this time 2059 around?

2061 Mr. Blankinship - That I don't know. Have you asked that?

Mr. Meade - I haven't, but just bringing to mind, it is a free-standing structure, so I couldn't answer that. And it's not fastened permanently in any form.

Mr. Blankinship -I would think so, just because it's well over 150 square feet, 2066 so I would think a building permit would be required. 2067 2068 2069 Mr. Meade -I don't know any other way I could put this in, and all my neighbors, 2 were here last time and spoke in my behalf. The wording that's here, says 2070 it's determined whether it's detrimental to the property or not, but my neighbors are with 2071 me. Of course somewhere on that street is a bothered person, but not my immediate 2072 neighbors. 2073 2074 2075 Mr. Wright-Well, getting it out of the front yard, maybe that will relieve the bother. 2076 2077 Mr. Meade -I hope so. 2078 2079 Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? Any other 2080 Mr. Kirkland questions? That concludes the case. You want to start from the rear, since the 2081 2082 gentleman's still here? 2083 2084 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board granted your application A-12-2001 for a variance from Section 24-2085 95(i)(2) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to erect a carport in the side yard at 1413 2086 Bobbiedell Lane (West Forest Heights) (Tax Parcel 91-11-A-15). The Board granted 2087 the variance subject to the following conditions: 2088 2089 Only the carport shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed 2090 1. pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable 2091 regulations of the County Code. 2092 2093 2094 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 2095 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 2096 2097 2098

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Kirkland - That's all the cases. We have some minutes that we were handed last month, for July, I believe. Need to hear those. Anybody got any changes? Motion to approved the minutes as corrected?

On a motion by Mr. Nunnally seconded by Mr. Balfour the Board **approved as corrected**, the Minutes of the July 27, 2000, Henrico County Board of Zoning Appeals meeting.

2099

2100

2101

210221032104

2105

2106 2107

2108

2109 2110

2112 2113 2114	There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until February 22, 2001, at 9:00 am.
2115	
2116	Richard Kirkland,
2117	Chairman
2118	
2119	Benjamin Blankinship, AICP
2120	Secretary
2121	
2122	