MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF HENRICO COUNTY, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE HENRICO COUNTY GOVERNMENT COMPLEX, ON THURSDAY, JULY 25, 2002, AT 9:00 A.M., NOTICE HAVING BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE RICHMOND TIMES-DISPATCH ON JULY 5 AND 12, 2002.

5 6

1 2

3

4

Members Present: Daniel Balfour, Chairman R. A. Wright, Vice-Chairman

**Richard Kirkland** 

Gene L. McKinney, C.P.C., C.B.Z.A.

James W. Nunnally

Also Present: Benjamin Blankinship, Secretary

Susan W. Blackburn, County Planner II Priscilla M. Parker, Recording Secretary

7 8

Mr. Balfour - I call the meeting of the County of Henrico Board of Zoning Appeals to order. Would you stand for the **Pledge of Allegiance**. Mr. Secretary, would you read the rules, please.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

9

Mr. Blankinship -Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, ladies and gentlemen. The rules for this meeting are as follows. As Secretary, I will call each case. Then at that time the applicant should come to the podium. I will ask everyone who intends to speak on that case, in favor or in opposition, to stand and be sworn in. The applicants will then present their testimony. After the applicant has spoken, the Board will ask them questions, and then anyone else who wishes to speak will be given the opportunity. After everyone has spoken, the applicant, and only the applicant, will be given the opportunity for rebuttal. After hearing the case, and asking questions, the Board will take the matter under advisement. They will render all of their decisions at the end of the meeting. If you wish to know their decision on a specific case, you can either stay until the end of the meeting, or you can call the Planning Office later this afternoon. This meeting is being tape recorded, so we will ask everyone who speaks, to speak directly into the microphone on the podium, and to state your name. And finally, out in the foyer, there are two binders, containing the staff report for each case, including the suggested conditions.

272829

Mr. Balfour - Thank you sir. Do we have any requests for withdrawals or deferrals on the 9:00 o'clock docket?

30 31 32

Mr. Blankinship - No sir, none that I'm aware of.

A -122-2002 EDWARD AND LISA SCLAFANI request a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a screened porch over the existing deck at 4725 Regal Oaks Road (Regal Oaks at Twin Hickory) (Parcel 742-768-3073), zoned R-2AC and R-3C, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Three Chopt). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicants have 33.6 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 45.0 feet rear yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 11.4 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Balfour - Anyone here to speak on this case? Any others expect to speak on this case? Raise your right hand and be sworn please.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Sclafani - I do. My name is Edward Sclafani. I am the resident and owner of 4725 Regal Oaks Road. I have no additional comments to make, outside the existing report, so in the interest of time, I'd like to address any questions that Board members might have.

Mr. Balfour - Any questions by Board members?

Mr. Wright- Mr. Sclafani, just tell us a little bit about what you want to do, because this has to be recorded so we have a record of it.

Mr. Sclafani - I understand sir. Basically what we want to do is take the existing deck and put a screened porch around it. There's a public common area in the rear of the house, where a significant amount of water, when it does rain, builds up over time. We found that, having barbeques at night, just going outside at night, has a tendency to be a little bit of a problem with the mosquitoes and the bugs in the back because of that wet area. Having the screened porch would allow us to enjoy the outside a little bit more.

Mr. Wright- Also, your rear line appears to come in a bit; in other words, it's not straight across there, and it probably causes you a problem with the depth.

Mr. Sclafani - It does cause a little bit of problem. The one thing that is a little misleading in that picture, is the indication of a 20-foot pedestrian access path there. It's not 20 feet; it's actually 10 feet, so that dotted line would be moved back an additional 10 feet toward the line.

Mr. Wright- I'm talking about the actual rear line. It comes in and

78 then goes back out. If that line were straight from the street to the point back 79 behind, you probably wouldn't have any problem there.

80

81 Mr. Sclafani -Probably not. The area of space between the deck and 82 the pedestrian path, or even all the way to the end of the pedestrian path is enough 83 space so that it wouldn't cause any problems in terms of people using the path. 84 We just want to screen in the existing deck.

85

86 Mr. Wright-And you are located on a cul-de-sac, is that not true?

87 88

Mr. Balfour -Any other questions?

89

90 Mr. McKinney -Mr. Sclafani, why is that not a 20-foot easement? 91 stated here by Youngblood Tyler and Associates, as 20 feet. You say it's 10?

92

93 Mr. Sclafani -The path that has been built is 10 feet, and the 94 documentation that I have that was attached to the deed and the closing 95 documents of the house in the sale, indicate a 10-foot easement. It does indicate a 96 10-foot easement. I can bring supporting documentation.

97

98 Mr. McKinney -No, that's okay, but I was looking at a certified engineer 99 here who said on December 14, 2000, that it was 20 feet.

100

101 Mr. Sclafani There was a modification after December 14, 2000. This 102 is not the most recent document.

103

104 Mr. Balfour -Any other questions? You haven't had much trouble with 105 wetness and mosquitoes this year, have you?

106

107 Mr. Sclafani -No, it hasn't been as wet, but there still are mosquitoes 108 out there, and we've received some notices from the YMCA in the local area about 109 West Nile Virus. In fact, as you've heard, there have been some birds found with 110 the West Nile Virus in Richmond, so it's a little bit of a concern, having 3 young 111 children.

112

113 Mr. Balfour -Any other questions? Thank you sir.

114

115 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. 116 McKinney, the Board granted application A-122-2002 for a variance to build a 117 screened porch over the existing deck at 4725 Regal Oaks Road (Regal Oaks at 118 Twin Hickory) (Parcel 742-768-3073). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

119

- 121 Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be 122 constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the 123 layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any 124 additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County 125 Code. 126 127 The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as 2. 128 practical. 129
- 130 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright
  131 5

132 Negative: 0 133 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning

140 regulations.

134

141

147

150

153

158

161

163

142 **UP- 27-2002 SANDSTON MOOSE LODGE** requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to hold a turkey shoot at 4505 Oakleys Lane (Parcel 818-719-0377), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina).

148 Mr. Balfour - Any others expect to testify in this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn.

- Mr. Blankinship Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
- Mr. Klein I do. My name is Christopher A. Klein, Administrator of Sandston Moose Lodge. We've been having this turkey shoot for approximately 20 years. We've never had any problems or anything. All of the suggested conditions, we automatically do anyway.
- 159 Mr. Balfour- You have no problem with the conditions that are listed on page 2?
- 162 Mr. Klein No sir, we do those anyway.
- 164 Mr. Nunnally- This will be only Friday and Saturday nights?

165 166 Mr. Klein -Friday and Saturday nights, # 8 shot, 7 to 11 pm, and 167 that's approximately what we've done for the last 20 years. 168 169 Mr. Nunnally-You haven't had any complaints, have you Mr. 170 Blankinship? 171 172 Mr. Blankinship -No sir. 173 174 Mr. Wright-Mr. Chairman, I thought we were giving these for 2 years 175 now? 176 177 Mr. Klein -Well basically where it says 2002 to 2003, that's 178 actually 2 seasons for us. 179 180 Mr. Wright-That covers you for 2 seasons. 181 182 Mr. Balfour -Any other questions? Thank you sir. 183 184 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by 185 Mr. Kirkland, the Board granted application UP-27-2002 for a temporary conditional 186 use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to 187 hold a turkey shoot at 4505 Oakleys Lane (Parcel 818-719-0377). The Board 188 granted the use permit subject to the following conditions: 189 190 1. Hours of firing shall be from 7:00 PM to 11:00 PM on Fridays and Saturdays. 191 192 193 2. The land shall be properly posted to clearly show the area in which the 194 shooting occurs. 195 196 3. No alcoholic beverages shall be consumed in the area of the shooting. A sign to this effect shall be posted on the property. 197 198 199 4. Restrooms shall be provided. 200 201 The turkey shoot shall involve only the use of shotguns no larger than 12 202 gauge and low powered shells containing No. 8 shot. 203 204 6. This permit is valid from September 1, 2002 through December 31, 2003. 205 206 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 207 5 208 0 Negative:

209 Absent: 0 210 211 The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in 212 substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 213 of the County Code. 214 215 UP- 28-2002 TRAMMELL CROW CO. requests a temporary conditional use 216 permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the 217 County Code to locate a temporary office/storage trailer at 218 3951 Westerre Parkway (Parcel 750-759-4330), zoned O-3C, 219 Office District (Conditional) (Three Chopt). 220 221 Mr. Balfour -Anyone here to speak on that case? Pass it by. 222 223 A -123-2002 **HARVEY STILES** requests a variance from Section 24-95(g)(5) 224 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an attached garage 225 at 10136 Deepwood Circle (Raintree) (Parcel 745-746-4005), 226 zoned R-2AC, One-family Residence District (Conditional) 227 (Tuckahoe). The minimum side yard setback and total side yard 228 setback are not met. The applicant proposes 6.4 feet minimum 229 side yard setback and 19 feet total side yard setback, where 230 the Code requires 8 feet minimum side vard setback and 22 feet 231 total side yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 232 1.6 feet minimum side yard setback and 3 feet total side yard 233 setback. 234 235 Mr. Balfour -Anyone else expect to testify in this matter? Raise your 236 right hand and be sworn sir. 237 238 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is 239 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 240 241 Mr. Stiles -I do. My name is Harvey Stiles. I applied for a variance 242 because I'm a little short on the total setback. 243 244 Mr. Wright -Do you intend to build this garage where your cars are 245 now sitting on the driveway? What type of construction would it be? 246 247 Mr. Stiles -Yes sir. It would be mostly lap construction. I'm redoing 248 my whole house, windows and siding, and I'm also going to put a door in the lower 249 level where the brick area is, on the side to access the garage through the door. 250

Mr. Wright -

room, I take it.

251

252

Access the garage through that door? That's your family

253 254 Mr. Stiles -That is correct.

255 256

257

258

Mr. Wright -And the garage would not be brick, but would be siding to match the house? I notice in some of the pictures, you have some screening on that side between your lot and the lot next door, some bushes and trees, is that correct? Will they remain?

259 260

261 Mr. Stiles -That's harry board (hardy plank?), yes. That's correct. 262 Some of them will have to go towards the paved driveway.

263 264

Mr. Balfour -Any other questions? Thank you.

265 266

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board granted application A-123-2002 for a variance to build an attached garage at 10136 Deepwood Circle (Raintree) (Parcel 745-746-4005). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

269 270 271

272

273

274

267

268

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

275 276 277

2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

278 279

280 Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright Affirmative:

281

282 Negative: 0 0

283 Absent:

5

284 285

286

287

288

289

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

290 291

293

294

295

296

292 A -124-2002 M. B. S. INVESTMENTS requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 12790 Kain Road (Parcel 732-772-7630 (part)), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Three Chopt). The lot width requirement is not met. The applicant has 54.95 feet lot width, where the

297 298 299	Code requires 150 feet lot width. The applicant requests a variance of 95.05 feet lot width.		
300 301	Mr. Balfour - right hand and be sworn	Any others expect to testify in this case? Raise your please.	
302 303 304	•	Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is th, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?	
305 306 307 308 309	Mr. Simmons - Investments. What we' Road.	I do. I'm Randy Simmons, representing MBS 're trying to do is build 1 house on 4 ½ acres, off of Kain	
310 311	Mr. Wright-	Has the property been divided?	
312 313 314 315		No sir, it hasn't been yet. When it's been divided, there foot driveway going in on the right side of the house next	
316 317	Mr. Wright-	What size would the parcel be that's left?	
318 319	Mr. Simmons -	A little over an acre.	
320 321	Mr. Wright-	An acre that you can build a house on?	
322 323 324	Mr. Simmons - No, there is a house to the left, but when we cut it out there will still be an acre for that house, and then 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ for the additional one.		
325 326 327	Mr. Wright- lot?	So the new house will be constructed on the 4 1/2-acre	
328 329	Mr. Simmons -	Yes sir, approximately in the center.	
330 331	Mr. Balfour -	Any other questions?	
332 333	Mr. Wright-	I take it the lot is wooded at this time?	
334 335	Mr. Simmons -	Heavily, yes sir.	
336 337	Mr. Wright-	So you'll just take out enough trees to build on?	
338 339	Mr. Simmons -	All we'll take out is 30-50 feet around the house.	
340	Mr. Balfour -	Any other questions?	

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-124-2002** for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 12790 Kain Road (Parcel 732-772-7630 (part)). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. This variance applies only to the lot width requirement. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

350 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright

Negative: 0
Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A -125-2002

JOHN NUTTYCOMBE requests a variance from Section 24-95(i)(2)(b) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a detached garage at 2637 LaClede Avenue (Pine Run) (Parcel 735-755-3354), zoned R-2A, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The accessory structure height is not met. The applicant proposes 22 feet in height, where the Code allows 15 feet in height. The applicant requests a variance of 7 feet in height.

Mr. Balfour - Any others expect to testify in this case? Raise your right hand and be sworn.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Nuttycombe - I do. My John Nuttycombe, and I'm the owner of 2637 LaClede Avenue. It's my objective to build a detached garage with a pool house in the side, since we installed a swimming pool this summer, and we can't meet the 15-foot requirement as the Code states. Basically, what I'm going to do is build a detached garage that looks just like half of my house, or that garage area, so in order to do that and to keep the elevation and the roof levels looking like one another, we're just a little bit short.

385 386	Mr. Balfour -	You're trying to match the height of your house, you ere some construction already going on. Is that your	
387	swimming pool?	ere some construction already going on. Is that your	
388	swiming poor:		
	Mr. Nuttycombo	Evently That's the assimpling pool	
389	Mr. Nuttycombe -	Exactly. That's the swimming pool.	
390	NA NA IC'		
391	Mr. McKinney -	Mr. Nuttycombe, what's the height of the ridge on your	
392	house now?		
393			
394	Mr. Nuttycombe -	Twenty-three and a half feet.	
395	_		
396	Mr. McKinney -	And what's the height of the ridge of this garage going to	
397	be if this is approved?		
398			
399	Mr. Nuttycombe -	Well, I'm a little confused, in that when we talked to the	
400	people when we applied	for the variance, they were saying that they take the	
401			
402	Mr. McKinney -	half of the gable or 15 feet	
403			
404	Mr. Nuttycombe -	Right. Exactly. So we're actually going to be at about	
405	the 33 and 10, approxin	nately 18 $\frac{1}{2}$ , instead of the 15. Total height of the garage	
406	will probably be about 2	,	
407	,		
408	Mr. McKinney -	But from the grade to the ridge, you think it's going to be	
409	18 feet	The man and grade to the mage, year amin it e genig to be	
410			
411	Mr. Nuttycombe -	When you say the ridge, you're talking about the peak?	
412	Will Hatty Colliso	vition you day the hage, you're talking about the pour.	
413	Mr. McKinney -	The peak.	
414	ivii. ivicixiiiicy	The peak.	
415	Mr. Nuttycombe -	It's going to be about 23 feet.	
416	Wii. Nattycombe -	it's going to be about 25 feet.	
417	Mr. McKinnov	And your house is 22 1/2	
	Mr. McKinney -	And your house is 23 ½?	
418	NA. Nicotoco con la c	Diale	
419	Mr. Nuttycombe -	Right.	
420	NA NA 14'		
421	Mr. McKinney -	How far away from your house is this garage?	
422			
423	Mr. Nuttycombe -	Approximately 30 feet. And it will set right at the end of	
424	the driveway as they have it there. And it does back up to Church Road. There's		
425	no one directly behind m	ne.	
426			
427	Mr. Wright -	Will this garage have a loft in it?	
428			

429 Mr. Nuttycombe -Yes sir. Upstairs is going to be for storage. 430 431 Mr. Wright -Storage only? 432 433 Mr. Nuttycombe -In the present house, the attic is accessible Yes sir. 434 through a closet, and it's extremely difficult to get things up and down, so I'm 435 going to need it for the storage. 436 437 Mr. Nuttycombe -Well, does storage restrict me from putting a pool table 438 up there if I want to. 439 440 Mr. Blankinship -Yes it would. 441 442 Mr. Nuttycombe -I have no intentions of ever making it a living space, 443 that's fine, and that's already in the covenants of our subdivision, and that's what 444 we have now with the covenants of Pine Run. 445 446 Mr. Wright -So the condition would be that you could not have a 447 living space combination. 448 449 That's fine. Mr. Nuttycombe -450 451 Mr. Balfour -Any more questions? Thank you sir. 452 453 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. 454 McKinney, the Board granted application A-125-2002 for a variance to build a detached garage at 2637 LaClede Avenue (Pine Run) (Parcel 735-755-3354). The 455 456 Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions: 457 458 This variance applies only to the height requirement for the garage. All other 1. 459 applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force. 460 461 2. The second floor of the garage shall not be converted to livable floor area. 462 463 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 464 5 465 Negative: 0 466 Absent: 0 467 468 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due 469 to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other 470 471 properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial

detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A -126-2002 VICTORIA J. AND LOUIS M. BOSSE request a variance from Section 24-30.1 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 3633 Milbury Run Street (Milhaven) (Parcel 728-758-2768), zoned R-5, General Residence District (Three The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant proposes 26 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 35 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 9 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Balfour - Anyone else to testify in this matter? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

490 Ms. Bosse - I do. My name is Victoria J. Bosse. What we're applying for is a variance on the back of the house. My husband works out of the home, and we have had a second child, so we are trying to put an office in the downstairs, which will replace the deck, and on the upstairs, a new bedroom and bathroom for our daughter.

Mr. Balfour - That would go right above where that deck is now?

Ms. Bosse - I think it extends about a foot and a half beyond it, but it would replace the deck completely. On the side, which isn't affected by the variance, we're going to put in a screened-in porch as well. The yard is an odd shape in the back, such an odd shape that the only place to put the addition is right there, and so it just doesn't meet it because of the strange dip.

Mr. Nunnally- What is this we have here, "accommodate a home office," what kind of office are we speaking of?

Ms. Bosse - My husband works out of the home, and he works for a company in Washington in which he telecommutes every day from the home, and he has started a new sole proprietorship as well, and he's working that business out of the home. That requires a great deal of equipment. We have 4 computer systems networked in our home, and it's taken over my living room.

Mr. Nunnally- You don't have anybody visiting there about the business that he's in? You don't have any customers or clients coming in there?

Ms. Bosse - No, there's no one who comes there. He might have a client come in there with the new sole proprietorship. It's a video, it takes photographs and puts them on videotape. He transfers them to videotape. So an individual might come to our home, yes. Or he might go to their home.

521 Mr. Kirkland - Mr. Blankinship, so we get into a problem with a business 522 here?

Mr. Blankinship - It's kind of an odd situation. As I stated in the report, the Code provides that a home occupation cannot require changes, external or internal alterations to the structure. The reason I say it's a curious thing is that, if they did the addition first, and then they started the business, the business wouldn't be requiring the changes; the changes would already be done. It's kind of a peculiar circumstance; I felt it should be brought to your attention, the facts should be in front of you when you make your decisions, but exactly how you want to handle that is of course up to the Board.

Mr. Balfour- Your husband's main occupation, I gather, is telecommuting.

Ms. Bosse - Yes, this side business is for fun. He loves videotaping, and especially our children, so he got involved in that, and people started saying, "we want some of these too," and he thought "what a nice idea to go ahead and have a little side business to help us out a little." But the main occupation for which he gets a salary and makes a living at and works 40 hours a week, is the telecommuting out of Washington, and that's the one that has the 4 computers, in which he networks out of the Alexandria office as well. That's the one that has all the computer stuff that took over my living room.

Mr. Balfour - Any other questions?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-126-2002** for a variance to build build an addition at 3633 Milbury Run Street (Milhaven) (Parcel 728-758-2768). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

561 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright

Negative: 0

Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A -127-2002

**DENNIS W. MCKAY** requests a variance from Sections 24-95 (k) and 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an attached garage at 2501 Landon Road (Williamsburg Park) (Parcel 763-750-2379), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Three Chopt). The minimum side yard setback and rear yard setback are not met. The applicant proposes 11 feet rear yard setback and 20 feet minimum side yard setback, where the Code requires 40 feet rear yard setback and 25 feet minimum side yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 29 feet rear yard setback and 5 feet minimum side yard setback.

Mr. Balfour - Any others to testify in this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. McKay - I do. My name is Dennis McKay. I'd like to build an attached garage right at the fenced area, and it would sit out approximately 10 feet into the driveway. There's a place that shows the lot, and this is a busy road right beside my house, Biscayne; it's a cut-through from Piccadilly down on Broad Street, up to Hungary Spring. There's a lot of traffic, and they come whizzing through there. My wife is pregnant, and our concern is that if we were to build the garage where we're allowed to build it in the back yard, we wouldn't have any back yard. The drive going up to the garage would also take up the extra yard space, so we would really have no rear yard. With the garage on the side, it's somewhat further away from our neighbors' yards also, so we just thought that would look better. It would be built to look like the house, with a brick foundation and the siding.

602 Mr. Balfour- It looks like it would be pushed facing towards Biscayne 603 Road, is that right?

- 604
- 605 Mr. McKay -Yes sir. I'm not aware the amounts that they say that I 606 need. One of the papers that I have says that I need a certain amount, and Steve 607 Tugwell wrote the minimums that I needed, and in one place he has a 12-foot 608 minimum, and on the drawing that I have, where the garage would be, it shows 609 that I have 11 feet, so it looks like I would only need a foot there. Coming from 610 the road, the information says that I would need 25 feet, and I've got 20 feet, so it 611 looks like I would be asking for 5 feet there. I might not understand how they have 612 it written.

Mr. Blankinship - The 25 feet is correct. I'm not sure where the 12 came from; the rear yard setback is 40 feet.

615 616

Mr. Wright - The problem is, you have what we call a reverse corner lot, and it puts you in a different position, where your back yard is your side yard, or your side yard is your back yard. I guess the question is, how would this garage impact the house that's on lot 13, the Harvers, more around on Biscayne Road. Their house seems to be parallel with Biscayne Road, so this would sort of be to the side of the back of their house.

623

624 Mr. McKay - And he also has on the side of his house, close to where 625 the garage would be, it's like a screened-in porch, where you can see the roof right 626 there.

627

628 Mr. Wright- And you would access this off of Landon Road. What 629 type of structure would it be?

630

631 Mr. McKay - I guess maybe a foot or so would be brick to match the house, and then the rest of it would be aluminum siding to match the house also.

633

634 Mr. Wright- It would be consistent with the house? That's one of the conditions, that it would match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

636

637 Mr. Balfour - Any other questions? Thank you.

638 639

640

641

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-127-2002** for a variance to build an attached garage at 2501 Landon Road (Williamsburg Park) (Parcel 763-750-2379). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

642643

Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any

647 additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County 648 Code.

649

650 2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as 651 practical.

652

653 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 654

Negative: 0 0 Absent:

656 657 658

659

660 661

655

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

662 663 664

665 666

667 668 UP- 29-2002 RYAN HOMES WEST requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to locate a temporary sales trailer at 4450 Springfield Road (Townes at Meredith Creek) (Parcel 755-762-3713), zoned RTHC. Residential Townhouse District (Conditional) (Brookland).

669 670

671

Mr. Balfour -Any others to testify in this case? Raise your right hand 672 and be sworn please.

673 674

Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

675 676 677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

Mr. Meintzer -I do. My name is Kenneth Meintzer. Good morning, members of the Board, Mr. Blankinship. I'm here on behalf of the applicant, Ryan Homes, to request a conditional use permit for a temporary sales trailer at our newest townhouse community at Springfield Road. It will be a brand new trailer, located towards the front, with significant landscaping and dressing up, and it does have more than sufficient amount of parking. It will be graveled; it will be temporary. We're already in for a permit for a model building, depending on construction cycle, we could be out of there before what we're requesting. It is going to be fairly attractive; it is towards the front; there really isn't a really good place to put that on the site different from that. It's very open; we would like it to be visible, and hopefully again, it will be very temporary.

687 688 689

Mr. Balfour -Have you read the conditions?

691 Mr. Meintzer -Yes sir, and we would have done those anyway.

692

693 Mr. Balfour -Any other questions?

694

695 Mr. Wright -When do you expect to have this construction 696 completed?

697

698 The total project? It's probably about a 2-year sales time, Mr. Meintzer -699 and then a little longer to build all the units, but the sales trailer is only there until 700 the model building is completed, and that, I don't want to say that that will be a 701 definite time because you never know how long a permit is going to take, as well 702 as the normal construction cycle. We also do decorate the models if you are 703 familiar with our products, we do decorate them very nicely, and it takes a bit 704 longer to get the thing open. We don't want to miss the traffic that will be coming 705 through there that can at least see what we're doing in the trailer.

706

707 You notice condition # 6 requires the trailer to be Mr. Wright-708 removed on or before February 28, 2003.

709

710 Mr. Meintzer -That is not a problem.

711

That date was taken off the application forms. 712 Mr. Blankinship -713

714 Mr. Meintzer -That is not a problem. I anticipate being out of there 715 much sooner than that.

716

717 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by 718 Mr. Nunnally, the Board granted application UP-29-2002 for a temporary 719 conditional use permit to locate a temporary sales trailer at 4450 Springfield Road 720 (Townes at Meredith Creek) (Parcel 755-762-3713). The Board granted the use 721 permit subject to the following conditions:

722 723

724

725

726

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

727 728 729

A detailed landscaping and lighting plan shall be submitted to the Planning 2. Office with the building permit for review and approval.

730 731

732 3. All landscaping shall be maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead 733 plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the 734 normal planting season.

- 736 4. All exterior lighting shall be shielded to direct light away from adjacent property and streets.

739 5. The trailer shall be connected to sanitation facilities approved by the Health 740 Department.

742 6. The trailer shall be removed from the site on or before February 28, 2003, at which time this permit shall expire.

745 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright

747 Negative: 0 748 Absent: 0

The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

A -128-2002 **ERNEST AND LINDA CAMPE** request a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a screened porch over the existing deck at 8907 Derbyshire Road (Derbyshire Place) (Parcel 748-738-9594), zoned R-2, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicants propose 41 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 45 feet rear yard setback. applicants request a variance of 4 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Balfour - Anyone else expect to testify? Both of you raise your right hand and be sworn in if you're going to say something.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Campe - I do. I'm Ernest Campe. With my wife Linda, we're the owners of the property, and we too wish to build a screened-in porch on an existing deck. We enjoy being outdoors and eating, and in the last few years the mosquito problem has increased for us as well. We're in a heavily treed area, and we cannot sit out there in the evenings and enjoy it at all, because my wife gets eaten alive, so we want to build a screened-in porch too. The two lots behind us, the 2 homes, there is an 8-foot privacy fence across the entire property there, and we just want to build the porch on the existing deck, which does extend out 4 feet into the setback.

779 Mr. Wright- There seems to be plenty of screening behind you.

780

781 Mr. Campe - There's lots of screening, yes.

782

783 Ms. Campe - The two people who own the properties behind us actually bought the lot in between their houses, so there's almost no house directly behind our property.

786

787 Mr. Campe - And their lots are heavily treed as well.

788

789 Mr. Balfour - So they bought the lot and split it between themselves?

790

791 Ms. Campe - And the 8-foot fence goes the entire length of our 792 property and our neighbors' as well.

793

794 Mr. McKinney - How did you get an 8-foot fence?

795

796 Mr. Campe - They put that in; that's their fence. We didn't install 797 that.

798

799 Mr. McKinney - Did we give them a variance?

800

801 Mr. Blankinship - Not that I know of.

802

803 Mr. Campe - It's taller than I am. I was guessing. Maybe it's 7 feet, 804 8-foot sections, approximately 8 feet.

805

806 Mr. Balfour - Any other questions? Thank you.

807

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board granted application A-128-2002 for a variance to build a screened porch over the existing deck at 8907 Derbyshire Road (Derbyshire Place) (Parcel 748-738-9594). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

813

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

819

820 2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as 821 practical.

823 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 824 825 Negative: 826

Absent: 0

827 828

829 830

831

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

0

832 833 834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

A -129-2002 **HELEN SIMPSON** requests a variance from Sections 24-94 and 24-95(i)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a screened porch over an existing deck at 2605 Gayton Grove Road (Cross Timbers) (Parcel 733-754-4092), zoned R-2AC, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Tuckahoe). rear yard setback and rear yard setback for a deck are not met. The applicant proposes 31.11 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 45 feet rear yard setback for the porch and 35 feet deck back for the deck. The applicant requests a variance of 13.89 feet rear yard setback for the porch and 3.89 feet for

844 845 846

847

Mr. Balfour -Anyone else expect to testify in this matter? Raise your right hand and be sworn in please.

848 849

Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

850 851 852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

Mr. Smith -I do. I'm Larry Smith of Commonwealth Energy Systems, representing Helen Simpson. Actually, gentlemen, the situation we've got here is very similar to the one you've just heard. We've got a deck that's located on the rear of this property; it's been there for quite a some time. As a matter of fact, I don't believe Mrs. Simpson was aware that the deck was also in violation as far as the setbacks were concerned at the time that we did this. She's been in the house for, I believe, about 6 years, and of course the deck was already there. What we're proposing to do is put a screened porch on it, on a portion of the existing deck. Construction wise, it will be a stick built type project; shingles and whatnot will match as close as possible to the existing home, trim and gutters as well.

861 862

> Mr. Balfour -Questions?

the deck.

863 864

865 Mr. Wright-It appears that the property to the rear of this dwelling is 866 sort of away from it, and it's on a curve.

869

870

Mr. Smith -It is sir, and it's a cul-de-sac lot, and the house itself is actually constructed right on the setback requirement anyway, so it's just one of those situations where it's virtually impossible to do any type of construction back there without obtaining a variance.

871 872

873 Mr. Wright-Is there any screening behind this house, between this 874 house and the other lot?

875 876

877

878

879

880

Mr. Smith -There's really not. It's kind of tough to look at it from the picture, but there's really not a house that's directly behind the property. There is quite a bit of landscaping, some trees, and what not. I guess in the wintertime some of the neighbors might be able to see it when the leaves aren't on the trees. The side neighbor would be really the only one who could actually see the porch.

881 882

> Mr. Balfour -Any other questions? Thank you.

883 884 885

886

887

888

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board granted application A-129-2002 for a variance to build a screened porch over an existing deck at 2605 Gayton Grove Road (Cross Timbers) (Parcel 733-754-4092). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

889 890 891

892

893 894

Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

895 896 897

2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

898 899

900 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 901 5

902 Negative: 0 903 Absent: 0

904 905

906

907 908 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

911 Mr. Balfour - Mr. Secretary, would you call the case, **UP-28-2002** 912 please.

914 UP- 28-2002 TRAMMELL CROW CO. requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to locate a temporary office/storage trailer at 3951 Westerre Parkway (Parcel 750-759-4330), zoned O-3C, Office District (Conditional) (Three Chopt).

920 Mr. Balfour - Anyone here to speak on that case? Guess not. Since 921 we've got a few minutes, we'll go ahead and take some of the cases and vote on 922 them now, if it suits the members of the Board. Do I hear a motion on A-129-923 2002?

All right, we'll recess till 10:00 o'clock.

**UP- 30-2002 KANAWHA RECREATION ASSOCIATION** requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-12(b) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a diving pool at 8100 Holmes Avenue (Parcel 755-735-8779), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe).

933 Mr. Balfour - Mr. Balfour - Anyone else expect to testify in this matter? 934 Raise your right hand and be sworn in please. (*Mr. Balfour excused himself, and Mr. Wright conducted the discussion.*)

937 Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Gerstenmaier - I do. My name is Dave Gerstenmaier, member of the Board of the Kanawha Recreation Association. In February 1997 the Board of Zoning Appeals approved our request for a revision of our existing use permit in order to construct additional tennis courts and a parking area. We are now requesting a revision to that approved plan, UP-3-1997, to allow us to construct a separate, deeper, diving pool, within the already established swimming area of the complex, to accommodate a competitive diving team that requires a deeper pool to safely perform dives.

949 Mr. Wright - Have you read the conditions attached to this report?

951 Mr. Gerstenmaier - We have, and they are fine, but we no longer use a starter gun for meets; everything is done electronically.

954 Mr. Wright - So we can eliminate the "starter gun" from condition #

955 5?

957 Mr. Gerstenmaier - Yes.

959 Mr. Wright - Is there any opposition to this request? Hearing none, that concludes the case. Thank you.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **UP-30-2002** for a conditional use permit to build a diving pool at 8100 Holmes Avenue (Parcel 755-735-8779). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:

1. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

2. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

976 3. A detailed landscaping and lighting plan shall be submitted to the Planning 977 Office with the building permit for review and approval.

4. All exterior lighting shall be shielded to direct light away from adjacent residential property and streets.

5. Up to four times per year, the hours may be extended to 12:00 Midnight for swimming meets. Public address systems and similar equipment may be used at swimming meets, but at no other time except for emergency purposes.

6. For safety and security, lights beamed only on the swimming pool, and operated on a time clock, shall be provided whenever water is in the pool.

7. The swimming pool shall be enclosed by a chain-link fence six feet tall.

991	Affirmative:	Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	4
992	Negative:		0
993	Absent:		0
994	Abstain:	Balfour	1

The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

1000 A -130-2002

1002 1003

1001

1004 1005

1006 1007

1008 1009

1010

Mr. Balfour -

1011

1012 A -131-2002

1014 1015 1016

1013

1017 1018

1019

1020 1021

1022 1023 1024

1025 1026

1027 1028

1029 1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035 1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

Place) (Parcel 771-736-0926), zoned R-5, General Residence District (Brookland). The minimum side yard setback is not met. The applicant has 21 feet minimum side yard setback, where the Code requires 25 feet minimum side yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 4 feet minimum side yard setback.

Anyone here to speak on that case? Pass it. Next Case.

**GEORGE XYDERIS** requests a variance from Section 24-30.1(b)

of Chapter 24 of the County Code to convert a duplex to a

multifamily dwelling at 5300 West Franklin Street (Shenandoah

ST. MARY'S CATHOLIC CHURCH requests a variance from Section 24-96(c) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to allow parking in the front and side yards at 9501 Gayton Road (Marywood) (Parcel 747-743-4993), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The parking lot location requirement is not met. The applicant proposes parking in the

front and side yards, where the Code allows parking in the rear vard.

Mr. Balfour -Anyone here to speak on that case? Walked right in on time. Come forward. Is there anyone else to speak on this case besides you? If you intend to say something, if you might say something, go ahead and stand up and be sworn.

Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? State your name please.

Mr. Hinson -I do. My name is Paul Hinson; I'm with Koontz-Bryant. We're the civil engineer working with the architect on this particular case. St. Mary's Catholic Church is an existing facility on Gayton and Marywood Lane. We're proposing a sanctuary addition; the ordinance does not allow parking in the rear or side yards. The interpretation by the County staff is that Marywood Lane is our front yard because it is our shortest right-of-way, so therefore, it becomes our front yard. As with all churches, we're trying to provide as much parking space as possible, so that we can provide sufficient parking for all the people who attend the church, the parishioners. The Code only requires 1 for 4; we're trying to get the ratio on this side to approximately 1 per 3 seats of the sanctuary. There is already an existing parking lot in the front yard, that we're modifying. The proposed sanctuary is requiring some grading changes; therefore it is going to require that in the front yard. Actually that is the rear yard, the front yard being on the

- Marywood Lane side, where the existing parking is. There is also existing parking on the Gayton Road side. We're trying to keep the parking to a minimum on the Gayton Road side; that is the most visible side of the property, and we're trying to maintain a good appearance on that side. We request the Board grant our variance so that we can continue with our planning and design for our sanctuary addition.
- 1049 Mr. Balfour Questions of Board members.

1050

1053

1056

1063

1068

1073

1075

1080

- 1051 Mr. Wright- I'm a little confused. What is the front yard of this thing 1052 is it Marywood or Gayton Road?
- 1054 Mr. Blankinship The front yard is on Marywood. The front of the building faces Gayton Road. I'm sorry, I've got it backwards.
- 1057 Mr. Wright- They already park in the front yard, don't they?
- 1059 Mr. Kirkland We gave them a variance back in 1996 to do that.
- 1061 Mr. Hinson When I met with the County staff, they told me their interpretation would be that Marywood Lane would be the front yard.
- 1064 Mr. Wright- That's what he just said. So the parking proposed then, 1065 is in the rear ......
- 10661067 Mr. Hinson The parking proposed is this parking back here.
- 1069 Mr. Wright- You consider that in the side yard.

  1070
- 1071 Mr. Hinson Well actually that's in the front yard in the County's interpretation.
- 1074 Mr. McKinney- ...... because of the building.
- 1076 Mr. Wright- You just got finished saying Marywood Lane was the 1077 front yard ........
- 10781079 Mr. Blankinship No, that can't be right.
- 1081 Mr. Balfour- Maybe they've got 2 front yards.
- Mr. Hinson I can't speak to the technicalities of the case. We are requesting a variance to allow the parking as shown on the exhibit, front yard, side yard, rear yard, wherever it may be. We do appreciate your consideration. And there is existing parking right now; that is basically this lot is an existing lot, but

because of the proposed sanctuary, re-grading will require that we basically rebuild that lot, so a majority of it will be reconstructed. We're going to add some additional landscaping islands and dress it up some as well.

1090 1091

1092

1095

1097

1098

1099

Mr. Blankinship - They need it for the side yard also. The Code only allows parking in the rear yard, so if Marywood is the front, then this is the side.

1093 1094

Mr. Balfour - Are there any more questions? Thank you. Sir, did you want to add anything?

1096

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** application **A-131-2002** for a variance to allow parking in the front and side yards at 9501 Gayton Road (Marywood) (Parcel 747-743-4993). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1100 1101 1102

1. This variance applies only to the location of the parking lot in the side and front yard. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

1103 1104 1105

2. This approval is subject to all conditions that may be placed on the proposed Plan of Development by the Planning Commission.

11061107

1109 1110

1108 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright

Negative: 0

1111 Absent: 0

1112

1113

1114 1115

1116

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

11171118

1119 A -132-2002 LENARD W. TUCK, JR. requests a variance from Section 24-1120 95(b) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family 1121 dwelling at 10175 Greenwood Road (Greenwood Park) (Parcel 1122 780-764-4509 (part)), zoned R-4, One-family Residence District 1123 (Fairfield). The total lot area requirement is not met. 1124 applicant has 5,595 square feet total lot area, where the Code 1125 requires 6,000 square feet total lot area. The applicant 1126 requests a variance of 405 square feet total lot area.

1127

Mr. Balfour - Any others to speak on this matter? Everybody who plans to speak, would you raise your right hand please.

1131 Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

11331134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

11461147

1148

1149

Mr. Tuck -I do. My name is Lenard Tuck, and I'm the applicant in this request for a variance. The property that we're dealing with here, is part of a larger parcel that is located in the changing area of Greenwood Road. We have a little bit more than the 5595 square feet, based on a tabulation that was recently provided. We have roughly 5625. What we're asking to do is be allowed to build a one-story or story-and-a-half model here that is compatible with other homes in It would be prohibitive to do otherwise, primarily because of the improvements along Greenwood Road that we have to make with the sewer extension. This area is compatible to a Lakeside or a Biltmore area; the home that's planned would tie in very nicely with that area. To do otherwise would be somewhat prohibitive because of the existing home that is there on the corner and the property that is in between. I've talked to Mr. Lehmann extensively on this, and I don't think there is so much of an objection from the County, up to this point. My intentions are to renovate the existing home and to, on a personal note, either put my niece in that home on the corner, or put my niece in a home on that site right there.

1150 1151

Mr. Balfour - Questions from Board members?

1152

1153 Mr. Wright- Mr. Tuck, you say you own this property?

1154

1155 Mr. Tuck - Yes sir, I do.

1156

1157 Mr. Wright- I'm curious. Why does our agenda show that it's owned 1158 by somebody named Morris?

1159

1160 Mr. Tuck - The Morrises were the sellers to me. I have been working with Mr. And Mrs. Morris for a year or two. We just recently purchased this; it went to record back in May.

1163

1164 Mr. Wright- They don't own it. I didn't know whether that had any 1165 bearing.

1166

1167 Mr. Blankinship - Our records haven't been updated then.

1168

1169 Mr. Tuck - That was addressed in the early stage of the filing as 1170 well.

1171

1172 Mr. Balfour - Any other questions? Thank you sir.

1173

1174 Mr. McKinney - One other question. In doing the math, the applicant has

1175 5,595 square feet total lot area, and you said, Mr. Tuck, you have ..........

1176

1177 Mr. Tuck - We've got about 5,625. That dimension that shows 117 is actually around 120. Thank you.

1179

1180 Mr. Balfour - Would you ladies like to speak.

1181

1182 Ms. Anderson -Good morning. My name is Barbara Anderson, and I have 1183 no problem with him building his house, but I have a question. I live directly behind 1184 the existing home that's there now. When I got the notice in the mail, I went to 1185 the Records Room and was looking at records and stuff, and I found something 1186 that confused me. I'm young; this was my first house my family bought; I don't 1187 know anything about real estate, but when we started looking at our papers, that 1188 Lansdowne Road that's back there that was originally proposed, in 1978 the 1189 County gave that land back, split it up between the owners, and apparently all of 1190 our surveys are incorrect. I think they may think that they own the 20 feet that 1191 I'm supposed to have. It shows that it's on my taxes, and I have the paper where 1192 the County gave it back in 1978, and I think the surveys are wrong. It's a 20-foot 1193 section, 100 feet wide, 20 feet in length, and I don't know what Mr. Tuck's survey 1194 says. I'm so confused, and I didn't have the money to hire a bunch of lawyers and 1195 all that stuff, so I thought I would come here today to you and see if you could 1196 help us figure out just whose land is what and what land is whose.

1197

1198 Mr. Wright- How wide did they show Lansdowne Road?

1199

Ms. Anderson - Forty feet, and 20 feet would have gone to Mr. Morris, and 20 feet would have gone to the person who owned my house at the time.

1202

1203 Mr. McKinney- That should have happened when they vacated it.

1204

1205 Mr. Blankinship - Twenty to each; it's a forty-foot right-of-way.

1206

Ms. Anderson - Right. But I didn't know that I had that, and my survey only shows me as having the 200 feet; it never added it back in; it never added it back in. I think they have got it, or I don't know.

1210

Mr. Blankinship - Their survey shows 20 feet from the center line of Lansdowne west, and then the other 20 feet from the center line towards your property would be yours.

1214

1215 Ms. Anderson - How do we get that fixed? How would we go about 1216 doing that?

1217

1218 Mr. Blankinship - If you wanted to, you could hire a surveyor to come out

1219	and re-survey your	property, showing that vacated property	
1220	N.4 - AI	that I have 20 man feet min to the line than I	
1221		that I have 20 more feet prior to the line than I	
1222	nave now, so I wo	uld actually have 220 feet, as opposed to the 200.	
1223	N. A. 14'		
1224	•	You have it, Ms. Anderson, you've got it, but you haven't	
1225	•	e since it was vacated, so it doesn't show on your plat. If you	
1226	•	ally doesn't make any difference unless you want to sell it. You	
1227	, -	t, but if it's sold and a mortgage put on it, it would be surveyed,	
1228		that 20 feet, as they have done, the applicant. They only show	
1229	20 feet. Each one	of you got 20 feet.	
1230			
1231		So all I need to do is hire a surveyor to come back out	
1232	and add the 20 fee	et back onto my side.	
1233			
1234	Mr. Blankinship -	If you want to. You could get documents from our real	
1235	property office her	e, showing you that the road was vacated and that 20 feet of it	
1236	belongs to you.		
1237			
1238	Mr. McKinney -	When you do that, they might want to tax you for that	
1239	20 feet.		
1240			
1241	Ms. Anderson -	I've already been paying the taxes on it. The real estate	
1242	office says I had, b	out I didn't even know I owned it. Thank you.	
1243			
1244	Mr. Tuck -	Ms. Anderson evidently didn't receive a card that I left in	
1245	her door about 3 v	weeks ago, and Ms. Leffler, I don't believe her number is listed.	
1246	Exactly the way t	he Board interpreted it is correct. There was a 40-foot road;	
1247	when it was vacat	ted, half went to each adjacent property owner. When we had	
1248	our property surve	yed, that's when we determined that they did own an extra 20	
1249	feet behind ours, a	nd I'd love to talk to them about it.	
1250			
1251	After an advertised	d public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by	
1252	Mr. Nunnally, the Board granted application A-132-2002 for a variance to build a		
1253	one-family dwelling	g at 10175 Greenwood Road (Greenwood Park) (Parcel 780-764-	
1254	4509 (part)). The	Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:	
1255			
1256	1. This varianc	e applies only to the minimum total area requirement. All other	
1257	applicable regulation	ons of the County Code shall remain in force.	
1258			
1259	2. Approval of	this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued.	
1260			
1261	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	
1262		5	

1263 Negative: 0 1264 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A -133-2002 COASTAL AMERICAN CORPORATION requests a variance from Section 24-96(a) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to locate an overflow parking lot at 2587 Homeview Drive (Parcel 759-756-9275), zoned B-3C, Business District (Conditional) (Brookland). The parking lot location requirement is not met. The applicant proposes off-site parking, where the Code requires that parking be provided on the same lot as the principal use.

Mr. Balfour - All who expect to testify in this case, please stand. If you might, go ahead and stand and be sworn in at one time.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Vilseck -I do. My name is Joe Vilseck, and I'm an engineer with Timmons Consulting Engineers, here this morning, representing Coastal American Corporation, the client who is requesting a variance for this case. I'm here this morning with Duffy Martitus, who is representing counsel for this case as well. Coastal American Corporation requests a variance for construction of a parking lot that is situated across right-of-way from their Gold's Gym Plaza, formerly known as Loehmann's Plaza. It's situated on West Broad Street, between West End Drive and Homeview Drive. Currently, the site has 520 parking spaces that they own, and the site leases 2 parking lots, one adjacent to the property, and one across Homeview Drive that they lease for additional parking. However, they'd like to construct a parking lot that is adjacent to one of the existing parking lots that they currently lease for their site. In addition, Homeview Drive really is a 6-foot right-ofway that really serves as a collector street, but it's a dead-end road, and really that street serves the shopping center and the 2 lots as they're used in the back of the site, and also serves as a secondary access point to the Virginia Home for Boys of Richmond.

Mr. Martitus - Good morning, my name is Duffy Martitus, and I represent Coastal American generally. In working with Mr. Vilseck to prepare the application, we obviously think it's abundantly clear that the owner of Gold's Gym Plaza is seeking to obtain this parking for service to the plaza. The two lots that

1307 Mr. Vilseck pointed out are currently not owned; they are leased by the owner for 1308 off-site parking that does serve the plaza. The variance that's been requested 1309 obviously is an effort to satisfy the requirements of Section 24-96(a) of the County 1310 Code, in terms of having that authorization for this additional parking that will be 1311 owned by the owner, and ultimately will, we believe if the variance is granted, be 1312 added to the existing Plaza property as part of a POD submission. This is an effort 1313 by the owner, to address what it views as a hardship, in that existing parking is 1314 currently leased and not owned. If, for some reason, some factor over which the 1315 owner has no control, that parking was not available in the future, it would present 1316 a significant problem and a significant restriction on what uses could be made at 1317 the Gold's Gym Plaza. Essentially this application is a request that is two-fold. 1318 Number 1, for approval of parking that would be off-site, and secondly, the 1319 variance directs the authority to construct parking ultimately that would cross a 1320 public right-of-way. I think Mr. Vilseck has addressed the nature of Homeview 1321 Drive. It is something less than a busy thoroughfare. The terminus at the Virginia 1322 Home for Boys, I think, indicates the extent to which it is made use of regularly. 1323 We believe that the parking lot, if it were constructed, would also be beneficial, 1324 generally to adjoining property owners, in that it would help facilitate traffic flow 1325 and during peak hours of operation, would avoid further congestion. 1326

1327 Mr. Balfour -How is that going to help them? I'm not sure I follow 1328 you on that point. Looks like to me, if anything, you're really providing parking, I 1329 suspect, for the movie theatre – is that what you're doing?

1331 Mr. Martitus -1332

That's correct. This parking would be intended to serve the facility as a whole.

1335

1330

1333 1334

Mr. Balfour -Comment a little bit on that last part - how is that going to help serve the neighborhood to have another parking lot there?

1336

1337 Mr. Martitus -Well, at this point, there are only 2 lots that are there. 1338 What we are anticipating is a situation where if one of the existing leased lots is 1339 not available, that does limit severely the parking that is available.

1340

1341 Mr. Balfour -The 2 leased ones are the W. S. Richardson, right 1342 adjacent to you, is that what you're talking about?

1343

1344 Mr. Martitus -Right adjacent, and then north, on Homeview Drive, at 1345 the bend.

1346

1347 Mr. Balfour -Does the church use any of those lots for overflow?

1348

1349 Mr. Martitus -No sir.

1351 Mr. Balfour - Questions by Board members.

1353 Mr. McKinney - Mr. Blankinship, the security standard for February 28, 2000, could that be a condition, or suggested condition, or does that come under the POD?

Mr. Blankinship - Those are the standard conditions that we attach to certain provisional use permits. I had envisioned standards like that being attached to the POD, which is why the condition that we suggested just says they shall comply with anything imposed on the POD. Thinking about that a little further, though, we have a broader latitude, the Board of Supervisors has a broader latitude on a provisional use permit than they do on a POD, and it may be that it would be better to attach those conditions now, as conditions of a variance, rather than leave them for POD. I'm not positive that they would be enforceable on a POD.

1366 Mr. McKinney - So we could put this on condition # 5, security standards as of February 28, 2000. Gentlemen, have you seen a copy of this?

Mr. Martitus - We did speak with Mr. Blankinship earlier, with a question that related to loitering, I believe was how staff presented it, and I spoke with the owner about that. I don't believe that the owner was aware of any particular complaints or problems.

Mr. Blankinship - We actually went as far, on the advice of some other staff, of running the police reports on the property, and it's nothing egregious. In the last year and a half there has been a handful of arrests, certainly nothing out of the ordinary.

1383 Mr. Martitus - The owner did ask me to state, to the extent that the 1384 Board needs an assurance that they'll comply with local authorities, they are certainly prepared to do that to address those problems.

1387 Mr. Balfour- And you're comfortable with those other conditions that are on there?

1390 Mr. Martitus - Yes sir.

1392 Mr. Balfour - Any others to speak? Any opposition?

1394 Mr. Hall - Good morning sir. I'm Raymond Hall. I live at 8919

1395 Mapleview Avenue.

1396

Mr. Balfour- Do you have a copy of these conditions that we were just discussing?

1399

1400 Mr. Hall -Yes. I'm not in opposition to this parking lot, but I do 1401 have several questions. The questions are partly answered, that 2 of the parking 1402 lots are owned by Steve Richardson, and those lots hold 180 parking spaces on the 1403 back parking lot, and 174 parking spaces on the one on Homeview Drive, across 1404 the street from the theater. He's build a new parking lot that will only hold 178 1405 cars. If he loses his lease on these, this 178 is not going to hold this number of 1406 cars for these 2 parking lots. The way I understand it, the theater has to have a 1407 number of parking spaces for each theater. I don't know exactly how many 1408 theaters they have; it's either 10 or 12. That's one of the questions. Second 1409 question is a drainage pond. Every business on this side of Broad Street has a 1410 drainage pond. CVS has a drainage pond. Bruce's has 2 drainage ponds. The 1411 Small Business Park has a drainage pond. The main parking lot in front of the 1412 theater has a drainage pond. The one across the street has 2 drainage ponds, and 1413 the one that backs up to my property shares a drainage pond. No why the County 1414 does not require this new parking lot to have a drainage pond, I don't know, but 1415 they do have an outlet in front of the property. That outlet goes to a creek that 1416 goes into a culvert, that goes behind a subdivision and goes onto Hungary Road. When it rains, that creek is full; I guarantee you it's full. I've seen where it goes 1417 1418 over the banks on Hungary Road. It also has a second way to get out; it's a 1419 holding tank underneath the theater parking lot, and then it drains in there, then 1420 goes into the main sewage on West End Drive. I don't know how they're going to 1421 run a drainage system on this parking lot; maybe they can tell you how they're 1422 going to do it; I don't know. That's my second question. As far as maintenance of 1423 the parking lot, Mr. John Murcell and I have been working for the past 2 ½ months 1424 trying to get the theater to clean this parking lot up. They've promised me when 1425 this parking lot was built that they would maintain it. For 2 ½ months, we've been 1426 wrangling over this thing, and the only way Mr. John Murcell has gotten these 1427 people to clean this mess up, is to tell them he's going to have to take them into 1428 court. So far they have cleaned some of it up, but they have a long ways to go 1429 yet. Now if they build a new one, what's going to happen then. There will be 1430 more trash. The only time I've seen it swept is when the wind blows, and there's 1431 generally a lot of beer cans on the parking lot that's never swept, grass never been 1432 cut, the shrubbery's not maintained, and drainage is stopped up. Here lately when 1433 they've been butting the grass, those grass cuttings are on the parking lot and 1434 stopped the drain up. I asked them if they would clean the drain out, because it's 1435 overflowing and coming over the sides, and they came out and did a half-way job 1436 on it.

14371438

Mr. Balfour- So your 3 concerns are one, what happens if the lots that

are leased if the lessor decides you're not going to park there anymore, and then you're concerned about the drainage off the new lot, and third, you're concerned about the clean up of the existing lots. We can't do anything about the third; you can call the County about it. The first 2, we'll see what they've got to say about it.

1444

Mr. Hall - Well, OK, Mr. Murcell and I have been working together to see if it has been cleaned up. We've been having trouble out there with rodents.

1447

1448 Mr. Balfour- We'll put a condition perhaps on this one, if it's approved.

1450

Mr. Hall - I do want to talk about, I just don't understand why they need another parking lot; they've got 3 parking lots up there now. If they lose the 2 parking lots, which are leased, which have 180 spaces, and 174, and they're going to build a parking lot to hold 178, that doesn't quite add up to me.

1455

Mr. Balfour- I guess the 2 lots that are leased, the landlord has a lot of leverage to put a high price on the property to make them buy it one day if they're going to need it for parking.

1459

1460 Mr. Hall - Well this new parking lot is only going to have 178 spaces, and the 2 that they have are double that almost.

1462

1463 Mr. Balfour - Any questions by members of the Board?

1464

1465 Mr. Hall - I'd also like to know how they're going to drain water 1466 from that parking lot. Thank you.

1467

1468 Mr. Balfour - Excuse me, let's see if anybody has any questions they want to ask you.

1470

1471 Mr. McKinney -Mr. Hall, I think your concerns on the drainage – they 1472 can't take this to the Planning Commission for a POD, unless they get approval 1473 from this body. If they get approval from this body, with these conditions, it goes 1474 The Planning Commission routes it to all to the Planning Commission. 1475 departments, of which Public Works is one, which addresses the retainage ponds, 1476 so that's where that will be put on, on what they're going to do with the water. 1477 That takes care of that concern that you've got, because there will be another 1478 hearing on this.

1479

Mr. Balfour - And you may want to show up to tell them about the grass and the overflow and things of that nature. In fact, I think they heard you today, but we'll see. Would one of you gentlemen like to respond.

Mr. Martitus -We have attempted to anticipate Mr. Hall's concerns. With regards to the drainage issue again, if we're able to get to the point where a POD application is submitted, obviously the conditions contemplate satisfying all of the County's requirements in that regard. With regards to maintenance, again, this is an issue that Mr. Blankinship had raised for us when the application was The owner certainly does not oppose a condition that requires submitted. maintenance that would contemplate regular maintenance, trimming of grass, shrubs, and maintenance of cleaning. With regards to the new lot, those are functions that the owner will control directly. With regards to the existing 2 lots, the owner is certainly prepared to make whatever arrangements are necessary with the landlord. I've tried to take notes, and I think that those were the principal issues that Mr. Hall had raised, but if there are any other questions, I'd be happy to

address them.

1498 Mr. Balfour - Any questions by Board members? There appear to be 1499 none.

1501 Mr. McKinney - One other thing – if you don't do the POD within one year, this is lost, just for your information.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted application A-133-2002 for a variance to locate an overflow parking lot at 2587 Homeview Drive (Parcel 759-756-9275). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. This variance applies only to the off-site parking requirement. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

2. This approval is subject to all conditions that may be placed on the proposed Plan of Development by the Planning Commission.

3. The applicant shall satisfy the Department of Public Works that pedestrians crossing Homeview Drive will not create any traffic hazards.

4. The applicant shall provide a transitional buffer adjacent to the Virginia Home for Boys as required for the Plan of Development.

5. The owner or operator shall install a security camera and video system designed by a security specialist. This security system shall include exterior surveillance cameras monitoring the parking area. Such security cameras shall provide clear imagery of the establishment's patrons and their vehicles. Tapes recording activities in the parking lot shall be preserved for a period of four months. Authorized representatives of the Henrico County Police Department or the Henrico County Planning Office shall have access to such tapes upon request.

- 1528
- 1529 6. The owner or operator shall provide lighting for the parking lot sufficient for clear visual and security camera surveillance.
- 1531
- 1532 7. The owner or operator shall require customers to leave the parking lot 1533 immediately after the close of business.

1535 8. The parking lot shall be maintained in a neat, orderly and sanitary condition at all 1536 times.

1537

1538 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
1539 Negative: 0
1540 Absent: 0

1541

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

1547

1548 Mr. Balfour - Mr. Secretary, do you want to call once again the 2 cases we passed on by.

1550

1552

1553

1554 1555

1556

1557

1558

1551 **A -130-2002** 

GEORGE XYDERIS requests a variance from Section 24-30.1(b) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to convert a duplex to a multifamily dwelling at 5300 West Franklin Street (Shenandoah Place) (Parcel 771-736-0926), zoned R-5, General Residence District (Brookland). The minimum side yard setback is not met. The applicant has 21 feet minimum side yard setback, where the Code requires 25 feet minimum side yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 4 feet minimum side yard setback.

1559 1560

1561 Mr. Balfour - Any others to speak on this case? Where have you been, 1562 Mr. Wienckowski?

1562 Mr 1563

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

- Mr. Wienckowski I do. I apologize; I was delayed getting here, and the owner is out of the country. I'm William Lee Wienckowski; I'm an architect here in Richmond. I'll try to be brief in giving you the history and the intent of the owner. The owner came, without representation initially, to the staff, to try to change this
- 1570 The owner came, without representation initially, to the staff, to try to change this 1571 from a duplex to a fourplex. There really isn't a classification for a fourplex; it
- 1572 becomes multi-family. He was first told that it had to be rezoned completely in

order to do that. By further investigation, the use of multi-family is permitted by right in the R-5 zoning. This is an existing building; it's on 2 ½ lots, and the corner lot is a larger lot by 10 feet. The building was huge by any standards; the first floor unit is 2230 square feet, 4 bedroom, 2 baths. The owner's intention in making this request is to make 4 units that would be reasonable 2-bedrooms and stabilize the tenants, because with the huge space that's there now, what you've been experiencing is that they have roommates, and that's not as stable a tenant, not as good a tenant in terms of taking care of the property. The impact with parking is probably no greater or less, in fact it might be less, by making them useable 2-bedrooms that could be affordable rent for young professionals or persons who didn't want roommates to share the cost of the rent. understanding is that we would have to go before the Planning Commission also for a POD to meet the questions that have been raised in terms of parking, screening, trash removal, all of the other things. We couldn't apply for the POD or get the permit till we got this part done, so I don't know if we're in the proper order, but I assume if we have this variance and we can't meet the conditions and terms that the staff has brought up in the report, the parking and screening and all that sort of stuff, that we will not be able to get a permit. Then this variance, if granted, would expire, if we can't meet those terms, and he would have to continue with the use as it exists.

Mr. Balfour - Questions by Board members?

Mr. Kirkland - I assume you've read all the 10 suggested conditions that are with this case?

Mr. Wienckowski - Yes sir, which are more apt to be addressed in the POD, so we basically are asking a question and trying to figure out how we could do this, and the first step, it seemed, even if we got the Plan of Development, we couldn't get a building permit if we didn't have the variance on the side yard. I want to be clear that we're not expanding the footprint; we're not changing anything, the additional area, so as far as the visual space of the building, we would end up with 2-bedroom units that are going to be 1100 to 1200 square feet apiece, which are very generous. We're not taking a small building and trying to divide it up into economy housing. These will still be substantial units.

Mr. Kirkland - How many square feet do you think these substantial units will be?

Mr. Wienckowski - Each unit will be between 1100 and 1200 square feet, and they have 2 bedrooms, 2 baths, and a kitchen, dining area, living, and the first floor units, as well as the second floor, will have decks in the back. We will have to provide the parking. This used to be a dead end, but West Franklin now is serving as an entrance for the Jewish Community Center and their renovation

program, so traffic has been routed in there. We've been disrupted with utility work for some time, so they've been improving the public utilities in that area. It's kind of a secluded or strange area. I suppose in Willow Lawn's hey-day, this huge unit would have been justified, but to the east of us is the Keswick Garden Apartments, which are competing for rent. Most of the units in the neighborhood are absentee owners who lease as duplexes. Some are owner-occupied duplexes, but most of the list of notices were absentee. The intent here really is to improve the property and stabilize the type of tenant that we have in there, and in order to do that, we have to have this variance granted. The other alternative, as I said, is just to leave it as it exists and deal with a number of roommates, if you have boyfriends and girlfriends, each one has 4 bedrooms and they have other visitors over there, you could have 8 cars. If you have 4 reasonable families in 2-bedroom units, you might have 8 cars, and we can provide those on site.

Mr. Balfour - Any other questions? Thank you sir.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** application **A-130-2002** for a variance to convert a duplex to a multifamily dwelling at 5300 West Franklin Street (Shenandoah Place) (Parcel 771-736-0926). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

3. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

4. This approval is subject to all conditions that may be placed on the proposed Plan of Development by the Planning Commission.

5. The parking lot, driveways, and loading areas shall be subject to the requirements of Section 24-98 of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

1660 6. The applicant shall present a complete grading, drainage, and erosion control plan prepared by a Professional Engineer certified in the state of Virginia to the Department of Public Works for approval. This plan must include the necessary floodplain information if applicable.

1665 7. A detailed landscaping and lighting plan shall be submitted to the Planning 1666 Office with the building permit for review and approval.

8. All landscaping shall be maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the normal planting season.

1672 9. Parking spaces shall be marked on the pavement surface with four inch wide 1673 painted lines. All lane lines shall be white in color with the exception that those 1674 dividing traffic shall be yellow.

1676 10. All trash shall be in closed containers with regular pickups, the area shall be hept clean, and the containers shall be properly screened.

1679 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright

1681 Negative: 0

1682 Absent:

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - We had one other that was called on the earlier docket.

TRAMMELL CROW CO. requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to locate a temporary office/storage trailer at 3951 Westerre Parkway (Parcel 750-759-4330), zoned O-3C, Office District (Conditional) (Three Chopt).

Mr. Balfour - Anyone here to speak on that case?

Upon a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Kirkland the Board **deferred** application **UP-28-2002** for a conditional use permit Code to locate a temporary office/storage trailer at 3951 Westerre Parkway (Parcel 750-759-4330). The case

1703 1704	was deferred formeeting,	or 30 days, from the	July 25, 2002, until the August	22, 200	2,
1705	meeting,				
	A ££!	Dalfarm Kinldered	MalZina and Neuron aller Malainet		_
1706	Affirmative:	Baitour, Kirkiand,	McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	•	5
1707	Negative:			0	
1708	Absent:			0	
1709					
1710	Mr. Balfour -	We've got s	everal items further on the agenda.		
1711					
1712	On a motion by	Mr. McKinney seconde	d by Mr. Wright, the Board approved,	the	
1713	Minutes of the February 28, 2002, Henrico County Board of Zoning Appeals				
1714	meeting.				
1715					
1716	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland,	McKinney, Nunnally, Wright		5
1717	Negative:		, , , , , ,	0	
1718	Absent:			0	
1719	7 10001161			Ū	
1720	On a motion by	Mr Nunnally seconde	d by Mr. Wright, the Board approved	the	
1721	•	<b>3</b> ·	enrico County Board of Zoning App		
1721	meeting.	. March 20, 2002, 110	critico dodnity board of Zorling App	Cais	
1722	meeting.				
1723	Affirmative:	Polfour Kirkland	McKinney Nunnelly Wright		5
		Dallour, Kirkianu,	McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	0	5
1725	Negative:			0	
1726	Absent:			0	
1727					
1728	Mr. Balfour -		ed to approve the calendar for 2003.	Ihis	
1729	is a change from	the usual, you'll notice	).		
1730	1.	November 20	(third Thursday)		
1731	2.	December 18	(third Thursday)		
1732					
1733	On a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board approved				
1734	the 2003 Board	of Zoning Appeals Mo	eeting Calendar, as amended.		
1735			_		
1736	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland,	McKinney, Nunnally, Wright		5
1737	Negative:		, , , , ,	0	
1738	Absent:			0	
1739				-	
1740	There being no	further husiness and	on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, second	led by M	lr
1740	There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board adjourned until August 22, 2002, at 9:00 am.				
1741	wickining, the t	Joana adjourned until i	-agust 22, 2002, at 0.00 am.		
1743					
1744					

1745	Daniel T. Balfour,
1746	Chairman
1747	
1748	
1749	Benjamin Blankinship, AICP
1750	Secretary
1751	