MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF HENRICO COUNTY, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE HENRICO COUNTY GOVERNMENT COMPLEX, ON THURSDAY, JUNE 24, 2004, AT 9:00 A.M., NOTICE HAVING BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE RICHMOND TIMES-DISPATCH ON JUNE 3 AND 10, 2004.

6

Members Present: R. A. Wright, Chairman

James W. Nunnally, Vice-Chairman Elizabeth G. Dwyer, Esq., CPC

Richard Kirkland

Gene L. McKinney, C.P.C., C.B.Z.A.

Also Present:

Benjamin Blankinship, Secretary Lee J. Tyson, County Planner

Priscilla M. Parker, Recording Secretary

7 8

9

10

Mr. Wright - Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, and welcome to the June meeting of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Would you stand and join me for the **Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of our Country.** Mr. Secretary, would you read the rules, please.

11 12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28 29

30

Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, ladies Mr. Blankinship and gentlemen. The rules for this meeting are as follows. As Secretary, I will call each case. Then at that time the applicant should come to the podium. I will ask all those who intend to speak on that case, in favor or in opposition, to stand and be sworn in. The applicants will then present their testimony. After the applicant has spoken, the Board will ask them guestions, and then anyone else who wishes to speak will be given the opportunity. After everyone has spoken, the applicant, and only the applicant, will have an opportunity for rebuttal. After hearing the case, and asking questions, the Board will take the matter under advisement. They will render all of their decisions at the end of the meeting. If you wish to know their decision on a specific case, you can either stay until the end of the meeting, or you can call the Planning Office later this afternoon, or you can check the website. The vote on each case will be posted to our website within an hour of the end of the meeting. This meeting is being tape recorded, so we will ask everyone who speaks, to speak directly into the microphone on the podium, to state your name, and to spell your last name please. And finally, out in the fover, there are two binders, containing the staff report for each case, including the conditions that have been recommended by the staff. Mr. Chairman, I've been asked to extend Mr. O'Kelly's apologies for not being with us this morning; he's in the Growth Retreat with the Board of Supervisors.

31 32

Beginning at 9:00

Call for deferrals and withdrawals

Mr. Wright - Thank you sir. Do we have any requests for withdrawals or deferrals?

 Mr. Blankinship - Yes sir, we have one deferral and two withdrawals on the 9:00 o'clock agenda. **UP-4-2004 The Country Club of Virginia** had a little trouble making up their minds whether to withdraw or defer one more time, and they absolutely assured me that they will be prepared for the July meeting, so they would like to be deferred to July 22.

UP- 4-2004 COUNTRY CLUB OF VIRGINIA requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-12(b) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to add a maintenance building and parking area at 710 S Gaskins Road (Parcel 735-733-6834), zoned R-0, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe).

Upon a motion by Ms. Dwyer, seconded by Mr. McKinney the Board **deferred** application **UP-4-2004** for a conditional use permit to add a maintenance building and parking area at 710 S Gaskins Road (Parcel 735-733-6834). The case was deferred at the request of the applicant, to allow time to submit revised plans, from the June 24, 2004, until the July 22, 2004, meeting.

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
Negative: 0
Absent: 0

Mr. Blankinship - **UP-11-2004 SHOWS BY JUTTA** was withdrawn before we put the packets together, but after the agenda was published.

UP- 11-2004SHOWS BY JUTTA requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to hold a carnival at 10101 Brook Road (Parcel 785-771-0111), zoned B-3, Business District (Fairfield).

Because the Board allowed withdrawal without prejudice, the case may be re-filed at any time.

Mr. Blankinship - A-42-2004 STEPHEN McDANIEL was withdrawn after we sent your packets.

A - 42-2004

STEPHEN MCDANIEL requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a sunroom and ramp at 2805 Maplewood Road (Hermitage Park) (Parcel 777-746-7298), zoned R-4, One-family Residence District (Brookland). The front yard setback is not met. The applicant has 23 feet front yard

setback, where the Code requires 35 feet front yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 12 feet front yard setback.

Because the Board allowed withdrawal at the request of the applicant, without prejudice, the case may be re-filed at any time.

Mr. Wright - All right, no action is required on those. Call the first case.

Deferred from Previous Meeting

A - 55-2004

BOBBY AND PHYLLIS POORE request a variance from Sections 24-95(c)(4) and (1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a covered front porch at 7109 Walford Avenue (Fort Hill) (Parcel 764-744-0521), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Three Chopt). The front yard setback and minimum side yard setback are not met. The applicants have 32 feet front yard setback and 5 feet minimum side yard setback, where the Code requires 35 feet front yard setback and 7 feet minimum side yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 3 feet front yard setback and 2 feet minimum side yard setback.

Mr. Wright - Is there anyone here on this case? Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Poore - I do. I'm Bobby Poore. I want to build a thirteen by eight porch on the front of my house; I had a stoop which disintegrated, and I tore it down in February and ever since then I have been trying to get this passed so I could go ahead and get my porch built. I had the property line done away with, so hopefully you will get the neighbors off my back so I can go ahead and get a porch built on it.

Mr. Wright - You want to build a front porch? Looks like your house is sort of angled on the lot there.

118 Mr. Poore - Yes sir, it was angled on the lot, and that's the reason I had 119 to have the property line variance done away with, so it would give me room enough to 120 build it.

Mr. Wright – What's the size of this proposed porch?

124 Mr. Poore - The size will be thirteen long by eight feet deep, with the steps going off to the driveway.

127 128	Mr. Wright -	The driveway is on which side?
129 130	Mr. Poore -	The driveway is on the right-hand side facing the house.
131 132 133	Mr. Wright - out of? It's going to be cir	What type of material do you propose to construct this porch iderblock foundation, and everything will be vinyl and wood.
134 135 136	Mr. Wright - in your area?	Are there any other porches on the houses on your street or
137 138	Mr. Poore -	Yes sir, there are.
139 140 141	Ms. Dwyer - neighbors off your back?	Sir, what did you mean when you said you wanted to get the
142 143 144 145 146 147	and tear it down, and he won it. I took off Fri., Sat.,	I tore my stoop down back in February, thinking that I could blem, and my contractor, Herman Blake, told me to go ahead would start on it, get the permit on Monday, and he would start and Sun., tore everything down, hauled it to the dump, and of lon't have nothing on the front.
148 149 150	Ms. Dwyer - just asking you why you ha	So they don't object to the porch you're proposing; they're aven't built something to replace your stoop.
151 152	Mr. Wright -	Do your neighbors object to the porch?
153 154 155 156 157 158 159	put a ladder up there, and get it passed if I could and	No, they don't object to it; they just wonder why it's been torn with nothing. It just looks crummy. I told them I was going to that's all I was going to use. But I would like to go ahead and d get this thing up and get it over with, because like I said, it's be we started it, and here it is, almost July, and I still have
160 161 162	Ms. Dwyer - vacation of the building lin	Just for information, what was the Board of Supervisors e, what was involved with that?
163 164 165 166		I think we lacked a foot or two feet of the property line of in they said if I have the property line done away with, it would that done, I think it was June 6 they passed it.
167 168 169	Mr. Blankinship - that's considered almost vacated as if it were a righ	Because the building line is shown on the subdivision plat, like a real property interest to the County, and it has to be it-of-way or an easement.
170	Ma Dunan	On the the first annually in a the Onivet, would be the first the

171 172 Ms. Dwyer - So that's just something the County used to do when they passed the zoning, they would show that line on the plat?

173				
174	Mr. Wright -	If this is approved, you'll certainly enjoy it; you	've been	
175	through enough to try to get it.			
176	anough chough to by to got it.			
177	Mr. Poore -	I certainly hope so. I've talked to Mr. Blankinship at	out two or	
178	three times on it.	Toortainly hope oo. The tanked to Mr. Blankinoring as	out two or	
179	unce unico on it.			
180	Mr. Wright -	Any further questions of members of the Board?	Do you	
181	have anything further	·	Do you	
182	nave anything further	51 to 3dy:		
183	Mr. Poore -	No, that's it. I just hope you'll let me build it and g	et it over	
184	with.	No, that's it. I just hope you'll let me build it and g	jet it over	
185	WILLII.			
186	Mr Mriaht	le there anyone here in ennecition to this request?		
	Mr. Wright -	Is there anyone here in opposition to this request?		
187	After an educantic cal	mulation because and an expection by Mar Niversity, accorded	. al Ja N.4 a	
188		public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconde	•	
189		ranted application A-55-2004 for a variance to build a cove		
190	•	ford Avenue (Fort Hill) (Parcel 764-744-0521). The Board	a granted	
191	the variance subject	t to the following conditions:		
192	4 0 1 11 1			
193		provements shown on the plan filed with the application	•	
194	•	nt to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to t	•	
195	-	out the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any		
196	improvements shall	comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.		
197				
198		onstruction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly	/ as	
199	practical.			
200				
201	Affirmative:	Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5	
202	Negative:		0	
203	Absent:		0	
204				
205	The Board granted	this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, o	due to the	
206	unique circumstand	ces of the subject property, strict application of the Cou	nty Code	
207	would produce undu	ue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the	area, and	
208	authorizing this vari	iance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent	t property	
209	nor materially impai	r the purpose of the zoning regulations.		
210				
211	A - 56-2004	MURLE L. VAUGHAN, JR. requests a variance from Sect	ion 24-94	
212		of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition	at 10815	
213		Westek Drive (Cross Keys) (Parcel 747-755-4091), zo	ned R-3,	
214		One-family Residence District (Three Chopt). The r	ear yard	
215		setback and total side yard setback are not met. The appl	licant has	
216		20 feet rear yard setback and 28 feet total side yard setback		
217		the Code requires 40 feet rear yard setback and 30 feet		
218		vard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 20		

219	yard s	etback and 2 feet total side yard setback.
220 221 222		Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this ur right hand and be sworn please?
223224225226	•	Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the nothing but the truth, so help you God?
227 228 229 230 231 232	a first-floor master suite of attached to that, all across	I do. Murle L. Vaughan, Jr. My wife and I would like to build on the back of our house with a screened porch and deck is the back part of our house. The bedroom would be sixteen would not exceed the twenty-foot request on the variance. It from on the side.
233 234	_	It's not clear to me from your drawing, Mr. Vaughan, what ar of the master bath to the rear of the property line.
235 236 237	Mr. Vaughan - to the back of the property	It's twenty-seven feet from where I'm requesting the variance line.
238 239 240	Mr. Blankinship -	So it's the same from the master suite as it is from the deck?
240 241 242	Mr. Vaughan -	Yes, yes, all the way across the back.
242 243 244	Ms. Dwyer -	The staff report says twenty feet?
245 246		I'm not sure that we interpreted that drawing the same way, buse is not parallel to the rear lot line.
247 248 249 250	•	I was having difficulty when I looked at this sketch – the venty feet across the back? What's the distance from the r line?
251 252 253	Mr. Blankinship -	The applicant is saying 27 feet.
253 254 255 256	Mr. Wright - is that what we're saying, t	So the master bedroom will be on the same line as the deck, pasically?
257 258 259	Mr. McKinney - probably be further.	Looks like that rear line goes on an angle also, so it'd
260 261 262	Mr. Wright - little more distance.	The rear line is sort of on an angle somewhat, so it'd be a
263 264		Yes, it kind of curves a little bit; it slants more to the left side e right; I have more room to the right where we're building, so

265 it will probably be a little farther. 266 267 Do you plan to leave the screened porch as it is? On your Mr. Wright -268 drawing, you show a screened porch, so you're building the deck around the screened 269 porch? 270 271 Mr. Vaughan -The master's going to be on the end, the screened porch will 272 be next to that, and the deck will be right where my old existing deck is will come out 273 right next to that, all run together. 274 275 Mr. Blankinship -Is there a screened porch there now? 276 277 Mr. Vaughan -No, just the deck that was originally built onto the house. 278 279 Mr. Wright -So when you say screened porch, that's your proposed 280 screened porch? 281 282 Mr. Vaughan -Yes sir. 283 284 Ms. Dwyer -You did this drawing yourself, just to give us an idea of where it would all be? Are you going to have a professional? 285 286 287 Mr. Vaughan -Yes. Actually, my next-door neighbor who submitted the 288 letter of support in favor of my building, the same builder who built that addition is going 289 to build ours. 290 291 Mr. Wright -Is there any screening along the rear line of your property, 292 between your property and the property to the rear? 293 294 Mr. Vaughan -Not yet, sir. I plan to start this weekend: I'm going to put an 295 eight-foot high privacy fence up that my neighbor behind me has requested that I do, 296 and he is going to assist me in building that. I have ordered the materials; they should 297 be here this weekend. 298 299 Mr. Wright -It looks like you have some trees there though, from this 300 picture. 301 302 Mr. Blankinship -You meant to say seven-foot high fence? 303 304 Mr. Vaughan -Yes sir. It's going to be landscaped up at the top. 305 306 Mr. Wright -What type of construction will this be? 307 308 Mr. Vaughan -It will be brick foundation, right now I have the Masonite

new addition and go from there.

309

310

siding on it. We will probably either put that or Hardy plank siding on the side of the

311		
312	Mr. Wright -	I take it will be compatible with your existing house?
313		
314	Mr. Vaughan -	Yes sir, most definitely.
315		
316		In this photo we're looking at now, is this the neighbor who
317	requested the fence, beca	use your house is quite a bit higher in elevation.
318		
319	•	Yes, my lot's slopes from front to back, and he sits down
320	•	oncern that he had was just to give it some more privacy. I
321	agreed to pay for the fence	e myself, to make everybody happy.
322	NA. VALCALI	If the control of the
323	Mr. Wright -	
324	a condition? what's the n	eight limit on the rear fence?
325	Mar Diaglicality	0
326	Mr. Blankinship -	Seven feet.
327	Mr. Mriabt	Co you con't build an eight fact force
328	Mr. Wright –	So you can't build an eight-foot fence.
329	Mr. Voughan	I've ordered eight feet heards, but what we're going to do is
330 331	_	I've ordered eight-foot boards, but what we're going to do, is a scrolling saw to make it look nice.
332	we're going to curve it with	i a scrolling saw to make it look flice.
333	Mr. Wright -	Is this a one-story addition?
334	Wii. Wright -	is this a one-story addition:
335	Mr. Vaughan -	Yes sir, just a one-story.
336	Wii. Vaagilari	res sir, just a one story.
337	Ms. Dwyer -	Mr. Blankinship, what's our policy regarding sketches and
338	drawings that we receive a	
339	arannigo arat no recente e	and their decardey.
340	Mr. Blankinship -	We accept pretty much whatever the applicant submits. We
341		trouble where the Board approves a variance based on a
342		f them, and when the applicant comes back with a more
343		eds what was approved by the Board, and we have to ask
344	them to resubmit or redesi	
345		
346	Mr. McKinney -	The building inspector's office is going to require a plat, a
347	drawing on a plat, and so	forth, before they will issue a permit.
348		
349	Ms. Dwyer -	Right, but in this case the staff report says there's a 20-foot
350	setback, and the applicar	nt has testified, and his drawings sort of show a 27-foot, so
351	when we have a discrepa	ncy like that in the application, which would control? I mean,
352	what are we approving?	
353		
354	Mr. Wright -	What did the applicant request, how much of a variance?
355	You said 20 feet. This say	s a variance of 20 feet rear yard and two feet total side yard.
356		

Mr. Blankinship - I think my concern in a case like this, is to make sure that the discussion before the Board included understanding that there was some lack of clarity of exactly what was in front of you, a fairly narrow range, I would hope, of what it is you're approving. Of course, if there is a doubt, the staff always errs on the side of putting more in front of you, because we can always draw back from that, but we can't approve more than that later. The applicant requested 27 feet on the applicant, but of course that was also filled out before he changed his plans.

Mr. Wright - It looks like he only needs thirteen feet.

Mr. Blankinship - Requested to have a setback of 27 feet, which would be a variance of 13 feet. This drawing is partly dimensioned, and scales approximately to those dimensions, so as I say, we did err some. I put that twenty feet on to leave some wiggle room, that if it turns out to be twenty-five or twenty-four of a setback.

Mr. Wright - But in reality it's going to be about thirteen feet.

374 Mr. Blankinship - Possibly. We won't know for sure until he has an architect or 375 someone draw a measured drawing.

Ms. Dwyer - But we could approve a thirteen-foot rear setback, which is what the applicant has requested in person and on paper.

Mr. Blankinship - But if it comes out to be thirteen feet, 6 inches, I'd hate to drag him back in here.

Mr. Wright - We could make it fourteen feet; that's a lot better than twenty feet. Any further questions of members of the Board? Anyone here in opposition to this request?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-56-2004** for a variance of 14 feet from the rear yard setback, to build an addition at 10815 Westek Drive (Cross Keys) (Parcel 747-755-4091). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

2. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

3. [Added] By the time construction of the addition is complete, the owner shall provide a privacy fence seven feet tall along the rear property line.

401 Affirmative: Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 402 Negative: 0

403 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

New Applications

UP-14-2004RICHMOND ELKS LODGE NO. 45 requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to conduct a turkey shoot at 10022 Elks Pass Lane (Parcel 750-768-4929), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Three Chopt).

419 Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this 420 case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

422 Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the 423 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

425 Mr. Nisbet - I do. My name is Basil Nisbet. I'm currently the Exalted 426 Ruler of the Elks Lodge. The Elks have had a turkey shoot at this location since 1984. 427 We have never had any incidents, and we police it as well as we can, and we're asking 428 for an extension of another two years for this permit.

430 Mr. Wright - Mr. Secretary, we've had no complaints to your knowledge?

Mr. Blankinship - No sir.

Mr. Wright - The only little concern we might have is that a lot of development has occurred there since you started, so we have to exercise extreme care, but I believe the conditions set forth are what we consider to be enough protection, is that not right Mr. Blankinship?

439 Mr. Blankinship - Yes sir, so far that's done the job.

441 Mr. Wright - Any questions of members of the Board? Anyone here in opposition to this request?

Ms. Dwyer - I have a question. It has to do with the conditions, not with the request made by the applicant. Looking at Condition # 2, when it relates to alcoholic beverages, it says may not "be consumed in the area of the turkey shoot," and then it says, "No person under the influence of alcohol may be permitted," and I guess just from an enforcement standpoint, those are vague terms in the area of the turkey shoot.

449 Does that mean outside the building, or does it mean consumption of alcohol inside the 450 building would be permissible? 451 452 Mr. Blankinship -That's the way I would read it, yes ma'am. 453 454 Ms. Dwyer -You'd be able to drink alcohol in the building and then go 455 shoot? 456 457 Not and then go shoot. I mean assuming that you drank to Mr. Blankinship -458 the point that you were under the influence of alcohol, you would then not be permitted 459 into the shooting area. 460 461 Ms. Dwyer -Which leads to my next question, is how do we define "under 462 the influence"? 463 464 I guess we would use whatever the police use for the same Mr. Blankinship -465 term for driving under the influence. 466 467 Mr. Mr. McKinney -Chairman, Mr. Blankinship. under "Suggested 468 Conditions" and under the "Background" of the staff report, you've got the hours of 469 operation. Are they going to automatically carry over into these conditions? 470 471 Mr. Blankinship -No, they probably should be attached as a condition. 472 473 Mr. McKinney -As Condition # 6? 474 475 Mr. Wright -That should be a condition. 476 477 Ms. Dwyer -I think we should be a little more specific as to what "under 478 the influence" means if there's ever an enforcement issue. 479 480 Mr. Blankinship -Do you have a suggested language? 481 482 Ms. Dwver -If we're going to define it in the same way that we define it 483 for "driving under the influence," for vehicles, we could say that. 484 485 Mr. Nisbet -May I just say one thing. If people come to do the turkey 486 shoot, and we suspect that they have been drinking, we do not allow them to shoot. 487 We, as a club, fall under the ABC laws, and we have a liquor license for the clubhouse,

490 491

488

489

492 Ms. Dwyer - Is the pavilion that area shown that's near the firing line on the picture?

494

which is extended to the pavilion. It was grandfather-claused that if we do have

functions, we can, if we have people who are members of the club, and their guests can consume alcoholic beverages. That is for specific functions, not for the turkey shoot.

495 496 497	Mr. Nisbet - beverages allowed.	Yes. We do have signs in the pavilion saying, no alcoholic
498 499	Ms. Dwyer -	But the ABC license does allow
500 501 502	Mr. Nisbet - consume alcoholic bevera	Yes, if we're going to have a picnic, we are allowed to ages in the picnic area.
503 504	Mr. McKinney -	Do you have picnics during the turkey shoot?
505 506	Mr. Nisbet -	No we do not.
507 508	Mr. Blankinship - the same time as the turk	Do you ever serve alcoholic beverages on the property at ey shoot?
509 510 511	Mr. Nisbet -	No we don't. Well, in the club itself we do.
512 513 514 515	safeguard do you have th	I think the concern is that somebody in the club might imbibe age in the turkey shoot or just wander his way around. What ere – is there somebody in particular who checks people when hey're not under the
516 517 518 519 520 521	individual the shot to she	What we do is that each person who shoots is supervised by so you go down the line as each person shoots, you hand the pot, and he stands with him. So we check and monitor the almost the entire evening. There is somebody there.
522 523 524	Mr. Wright - during the turkey shoot?	Have you ever had any problems with anybody drinking
525 526	Mr. Nisbet -	No, not to my knowledge.
527 528	Mr. Wright -	We can do the best we can to safeguard it.
529 530 531	Ms. Dwyer - imposing; we should be c	I think my question goes more to what the County's lear.
532 533 534 535	•	Why couldn't we just put a condition in there, "no alcoholic ds outside of the clubhouse during the turkey shoot." That
536 537 538		And then we could define "under the influence" using the probably be the easiest. I'm not sure which one it is.
539 540	Mr. Blankinship -	I can find it.

541 Mr. Wright - I think those are good suggestions. Anything further?

543 Mr. McKinney - You don't have any problem with that, do you Mr. Nisbet, "no alcoholic beverages on the outside of the clubhouse during the turkey shoot."

Mr. Nisbet - That would be acceptable, and we would enforce it.

Mr. Wright - Thank you very much; that concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **UP-14-2004** for a temporary conditional use permit to conduct a turkey shoot at 10022 Elks Pass Lane (Parcel 750-768-4929). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:

1. The land shall be clearly posted to show the particular area where the shooting is to occur and where the impact area is located.

2. [Amended] No alcoholic beverages may be consumed outside of the main building during the turkey shoot. A sign to this effect must be conspicuously posted in the immediate vicinity of the shooting area. No person under the influence of alcohol, as defined in Section 18.2-266 of the Code of Virginia, may be permitted in the shooting area.

3. Restrooms shall be provided.

4. The turkey shoot shall only involve the use of shotguns no larger than 12 gauge and low powered shells containing No. 8 shot.

5. A 6 foot high shot barrier of straw, hay bales, or mounded dirt shall be erected behind the targets as a precaution. This barrier shall be located a maximum of 10 feet behind the targets and extend 10 feet beyond each end of the target line.

6. [Added] The hours of the turkey shoot shall be limited to 5:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. Friday and 2:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m Saturday.

576 Affirmative: Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
577 Negative: 0
578 Absent: 0

The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

A - 61-2004 CENTEX HOMES requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 11404 Country Oaks Court (Cobblestone Landing at Twin Hickory) (Parcel 743-765-0237), zoned R-3C, One-family Residence District

587		(Conditional) (Three Chopt). The rear yard setback is not met. The
588		applicant proposes 37 feet rear yard setback, where the Code
589		requires 40 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a
590		variance of 3 feet rear yard setback.
591		
592	A - 62-2004	CENTEX HOMES requests a variance from Section 24-94 of
593	71 02 200 1	Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at
594		11504 Country Oaks Way (Cobblestone Landing at Twin Hickory)
595		(Parcel 742-765-7703), zoned R-3C, One-family Residence District
595 596		
		(Conditional) (Three Chopt). The rear yard setback is not met. The
597		applicant proposes 31 feet rear yard setback, where the Code
598		requires 40 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a
599		variance of 9 feet rear yard setback.
600		
601	Mr. Wright -	Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this
602	case? Would you	raise your right hand and be sworn please?
603	·	, c
604	Mr. Blankinship -	Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the
605	•	th, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
606	aratin, and miles ara	and nothing but the train, so note you boar
607	Mr. James -	Yes I do. My name is Sydney James, with Centex Homes.
608		rear yard setback for each of these lots so we can build houses
609	compatible with the	e neignbornood.
610	NA 12: 11 1	
611	Mr. Kirkland -	Mr. James, there are no other homes built in these cul-de-
612	sacs, is that correc	t?
613		
614	Mr. James -	Not yet.
615		
616	Mr. Kirkland -	In either cul-de-sac?
617		
618	Mr. James -	Not to my knowledge.
619		, 3
620	Mr. Wright -	It appears to me that if you need a variance to build this
621	_	ed one to build the other homes around this cul-de-sac, and the other
622	cul-de-sac too.	ca one to baila the other nomes around this car ac sac, and the other
	cul-ue-sac 100.	
623	Mr. Jamas	No six it's itest the configuration of these late that source
624	Mr. James -	No sir, it's just the configuration of these lots that causes
625	that.	
626		
627	Mr. Wright -	How about the configuration of 11500 and the ones right
628	adjacent to it, 1150	5?
629		
630	Mr. James -	We have no problems with those lots in our fits.
631		•
632	Mr. Wright -	You say that this particular problem is particular just to these
	5	and a series of the series of

633 634	two lots?	
635 636 637 638	Mr. James - neighborhood to accomm the additions of the backs	These two, yes, and it's really just because in this odate the square footage requirements, we're doing a lot of of the houses.
639 640 641 642		It looks like you have buildable area that you're not using. loor plans so that instead of extending into the setback area, at floor plan that allows an extension into the existing buildable
643 644 645 646 647 648		We don't have one, and we're a large production builder. In that, we'd have to retool our whole system. We don't have that. The engineers have tried to tweak it, and that's as close
649 650	Ms. Dwyer -	Just using your existing floor plans, not building a custom.
651 652 653	Mr. James - so to speak.	We do not do custom floor plans; we have a limited menu,
654 655 656	Ms. Dwyer - area had its limitations?	But when this was purchased, it was clear that the buildable
657 658	Mr. James -	This was true, yes.
659 660	Mr. Wright -	This is not just for the deck, is it?
661 662	Mr. James -	No. For that corner you see there, yes.
663 664 665 666	Mr. McKinney - Youngblood laid it out, th contract?	Mr. James, when Centex bought this property and nat's when you found out, after you had the property under
667 668	Mr. James -	That's correct.
669 670	Mr. McKinney -	So you really didn't know on these two lots?
671 672 673	Mr. James - typically don't have this pro	Not up front, no. You take the bad with the good. We oblem; once in a while it comes up.
674 675	Mr. McKinney -	To the rear of one there's I-295? On both of them?
676 677	Mr. James -	Both of them, yes, backed up to I-295.
678	Mr. Wright -	You have nothing that would interfere to the rear with either

679 one of these. Mr. Blankinship, does the deck cause a problem, or is it just the house? 680 681 Mr. Blankinship -The deck is allowed to extend ten feet into the setback, so I 682 think in both cases, the deck is okay. On A-61 it's very close. 683 684 Mr. Wright -So we're talking about just a little corner of the house? 685 686 Mr. Blankinship -On A-61 it's a fairly small request, I think 2.2 feet. On A-62 it's more like a little over eight feet, almost nine. 687 688 689 Did you say that you were not aware of the limitations of this Ms. Dwyer -690 lot when you bought it? Because it was noted in the staff report that it's on a 691 subdivision, that it's actually put on the plat. 692 693 I was not involved in this particular acquisition, but typically Mr. James -694 how we do this, especially on developed lots, we commit to take X number of lots from 695 the developer. If there's somebody else in the neighborhood with us, we have a lot 696 draw; you take one, I take one, and we find out when we get down to the end of it. 697 698 Ms. Dwyer -On a subdivision plat, it says lots marked with an asterisk 699

have limitations for dwelling shape, size and location. That was at time of subdivision, 700 so that was before you bought the lot.

702 Mr. James -We wouldn't know about that.

704 Mr. McKinney -Mr. James, we have a minimum square footage on the 705 conditions of a zoning case.

707 Mr. James -There is. I'm standing here today for Steve Miller. I knew it 708 was coming up. My guess is it's going to be 2500 square feet there, but there is a 709 restriction, yes. 710

711 When the developer rezoned the property, he had a Mr. McKinney condition in there with a minimum of 2500 square feet. 712 713

714 Mr. James -I'm sure. I'm guessing at the 2500, but in that neighborhood; 715 I'm sure it's at least that.

717 Mr. Wright -Any further questions of members of the Board? Anyone 718 here in opposition to this request?

720 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. 721 McKinney, the Board granted application A-61-2004 for a variance to build a one-family 722 dwelling at 11404 Country Oaks Court (Cobblestone Landing at Twin Hickory (Parcel 723 743-765-0237). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

701

703

706

716

719

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

730	Affirmative:	Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5
731	Negative:		0
732	Absent:		0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-62-2004** for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 11504 Country Oaks Way (Cobblestone Landing at Twin Hickory) (Parcel 742-765-7703). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

Affirmative:	Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5
Negative:		0
Absent:		0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A - 63-2004 RENEE FULLER HALTERMAN requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 410 North Ivy Avenue (Parcel 825-727-2361), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Varina). The lot width requirement is not met. The applicant has 50 feet lot width, where the Code requires 80 feet lot width. The applicant requests a

variance of 30 feet lot width.

Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

771 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the 772 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 773 774 Mr. Snipes -I do. I'm Harry Snipes. Renee Fuller's mother works for me. 775 This particular piece of property, or that location where we're trying to go back and build a house, was originally their home site. The house was torn down a couple of years 776 777 ago because of dilapidation, so she's trying to submit an application to build a new 778 house there. They had left fifty feet there, thinking that we had 190 feet on the front lot. 779 We have two lots, which we have combined together. We inadvertently thought that fifty 780 feet is what the mortgage company required, that we had 200 feet, or 190 feet, in the 781 back there, so when we applied for a building permit, we realized that we didn't have 782 enough. 783 784 Mr. Wright -They measure this at the building line; that's your problem. 785 786 Mr. Snipes -Being a realtor and having to sell a mortgage, the mortgage 787 companies typically require fifty feet, so we thought we had enough, and the lot is 197 788 feet, and inadvertently we made a mistake, and we're trying to correct it. 789 790 Mr. Wright -You've got the fifty-foot road frontage requirement, but you 791 don't have the width at the building line. What's the building line on this lot, Mr. 792 Blankinship? 793 794 Mr. Blankinship -Thirty-five feet I believe. 795 796 Mr. Wright -In other words, thirty-five feet from the road you should have 797 the width requirement, and that's the problem. 798 799 Mr. Snipes -We were looking to put the house on the back lot, you see 800 where that little scribble is on there; so our vision was to put the house back there 801 where it's actually several hundred feet, so we thought that where the house line was 802 met the thirty-five feet requirement. 803 804 Mr. Nunnally -You going to be back at 450 feet now? 805 806 Mr. Snipes -Yes. 807 808 Ms. Dwyer -I think at one time that was permissible, wasn't it, that you 809 could have a stem lot like this? 810 811

814 815 816

812 813

Ms. Dwyer

Mr. Wright -

Mr. Blankinship -

I think I remember when that was changed.

How long have you owned this property?

I want to say 1987.

817 818 819	Mr. Snipes - owned it. It's been in the daughter.	They inherited it, and I don't really know how long they've neir family for long as I've been alive. This is Buck Fuller's
820 821 822 823 824		They owned a much larger tract, and when Ivy Springs ed, they cut that out of this, so they left themselves with this he land off for that subdivision.
825 826	Mr. Nunnally -	When was the subdivision created?
827 828	Mr. Blankinship -	2002.
829 830	Mr. Nunnally - that's the last lot to the su	So that's recently. This lot you have here, the fifty feet there, bdivision, right?
831 832 833	Mr. Snipes -	It's not part of the subdivision.
834 835 836	Mr. Nunnally - subdivision.	I know it's not part of it; it's the last lot before you get to the
837 838	Mr. Snipes -	Right.
839 840 841 842	house in that front uppe	Looking at where you're thinking about locating the house, and elsewhere, and I'm wondering first why the location of the er corner of the parcel leads me to think that you might be o put other houses on this parcel.
843 844 845 846 847 848	site was, so they were p	No, the property's not suited but for one house; it slopes for the house being back there, that's where the original home retty much going pretty close to the original home site, and it ut you can't really build anything in the bulk of that property.
849 850	Ms. Dwyer -	You'd be surprised.
851 852 853	Mr. Snipes - road.	That's basically a view of where the house would sit to the
854 855	Ms. Dwyer -	Is this looking towards the front road?
856	Mr. Blankinship -	This is looking straight down that fifty-foot stem.
857 858 859 860	Mr. Snipes - property. It may not be probably where they want	That looks like it's pretty much right down the stem of that that it'll sit there, but that picture that you've got up there is sed to put the house.
861 862	Ma Dunior	The tenegraphy of the land is distating the location of the

Ms. Dwyer -

862

The topography of the land is dictating the location of the

house, is what you're saying.

Mr. Snipes - We could build a narrow house up there in the front, a 36 by 24 Cape and turn it sideways and put it right up on the front of the road, but the original thought was to put it back where the original home site was.

Mr. Nunnally - Are you purchasing this land to put a spec home on it?

Mr. Snipes - No, we're not building the house on it. Renee and Valerie, that's the two daughters – Valerie is sick right now, asthmatic and apparently got sent to the doctor, and they shoved this thing down her throat, well she lives in the other house where Buck's father lived. She needs a more environmentally sound house, so the thought was to build this house for her and Renee to kind of take care of her. She's out of work, so that's kind of where we were going with the plan. There were two houses on the property, one at one end which is sitting on fifty acres, and then this house, which got pulled down.

Mr. Wright - All right. Anything further sir? Any further questions of members of the Board? Anyone here in opposition to this request?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-63-2004** for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 410 North Ivy Avenue (Parcel 825-727-2361). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

2. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

3. Connections shall be made to public water and sewer.

898 Affirmative: Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
899 Negative: 0
900 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

UP- 15-2004 YOSEF GOEL requests a conditional use permit pursuant to

Section 24-50.7(c) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to operate a restaurant at 1602 Rolling Hills Drive (Parcel 759-744-1150), zoned O-2, Office District (Three Chopt).

Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Hayward - I do. My name is Allen Hayward; I'm an attorney, and Mr. Goel has asked me to speak on his behalf. This application is actually for an existing use, not a new use or any kind of change. Apparently a use permit was granted in 1975 to the original operator in this building, Mr. Dunst, and this operation has changed hands about three of four times since Mr. Dunst had it, and apparently no one realized that it was non-transferable, and in fact Mr. Goel acquired the operation about five years ago and had a business license. It was only when he decided to incorporate himself and have the business license transferred to his new LLC, that the County discovered that when it was originally granted, it was non-transferable, so that a reapplication would have to be made. It's always been operated in a manner consistent with the original conditions and perfectly consistent with the suggested conditions now, so we're just asking that the Board please grant Mr. Goel the right to continue the operation.

Mr. Wright - You just want us to approve what they've been doing all along?

935 Mr. Hayward - Yes sir.

937 Mr. Wright - No changes in anything? 938

Mr. Hayward - No changes are sought, intended or expected.

941 Mr. Wright - Any questions of members of the Board? Anyone in 942 opposition to this request? 943

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **UP-15-2004** for a conditional use permit to operate a restaurant at 1602 Rolling Hills Drive (Parcel 759-744-1150). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

- 1. There shall be no entrances directly from the street or parking lot to the restaurant.
- 2. There shall be no signs or other evidence indicating the existence of such businesses visible from the outside of the building.

955 The restaurant shall remain secondary to the primary use of the building for 956 professional and general office purposes. 957 958 Affirmative: Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 959 0 Negative: 960 Absent: 0 961 962 The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial 963 accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code. 964 965 A - 64-2004 **STEWART G. FUTCHER** requests a variance from Section 24-94 966 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a screened porch at 967 11604 Bosworth Drive (Hampshire) (Parcel 743-774-6960), zoned 968 R-2AC, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Three Chopt). 969 The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant proposes 35 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 45 feet rear yard 970 971 setback. The applicant requests a variance of 10 feet rear yard 972 setback. 973 974 Mr. Wright -Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this 975 case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please? 976 977 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 978 979 Mr. Futcher -980 I so. Stewart Futcher. My wife and I desire to build a screened-in porch on the back of our house, 15 by 21. Our variance is 45 feet. We 981 have an existing deck. Our intentions are basically to screen in the existing deck and 982 983 go eight more feet past the deck on the right. 984 985 The extension would not extend toward the rear line, or Mr. Wright -986 would it be on the side? 987 988 Mr. Futcher -The fifteen feet would go from the house into the variance, 989 into the back yard, from the house, and the 21 feet would be the width of the porch. 990 991 Ms. Dwyer -It looks from your drawing that the new porch would extend 992 farther toward the back property line than the existing deck. 993 994 Mr. Futcher -Correct. 995 996 Mr. Wright -It would be larger. 997 998 Can you say how much farther? It's not labeled. Mr. Blankinship -999 1000 Mr. Futcher -Depending on the pitch, if you can look at the picture of the

1001 back of the house, depending on the pitch, it's going to match the bump-out from our family room. That's looking from the house. It would probably extend beyond the deck 1002 no more than two feet. I have a professional builder who said that. If you look at where 1003 the gutter is, the pitch is going to match that pitch. So maybe two feet beyond where 1004 1005 the deck is, to match the pitch.

1006 1007

Mr. Wright -What type of construction would this be?

1008

1011

1009 Mr. Futcher -The materials have to be, with our homeowners association, 1010 they have to be the wood frame, the siding has to match exactly the same, and the shingles, and we'll have five brick piers to match aesthetically with the foundation of the 1012 house.

1013

1014 Mr. Wright -Your stairway, or access to the deck, would be off of the side 1015 of the deck?

1016

1017 Correct, right where the stairs are now, they will just Mr. Futcher -1018 basically come toward us. He didn't put the stairs on it, but the stairs would be right in 1019 front of the door of course.

1020

1021 Mr. Wriaht -Any questions of members of the Board? Is anyone here in 1022 opposition to this request?

1023 1024

1025 1026

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board granted application A-64-2004 for a variance to build a screened porch at 11604 Bosworth Drive (Hampshire) (Parcel 743-774-6960). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

1027 1028

1029

1030

1031

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

1032 1033

1034 Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Affirmative: 1035 Negative: 0 1036 Absent: 0

1037

1038

1039

1040 1041

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

1042 1043

1044 A - 65-2004 **EDITH H. WHITAKER** requests a variance from Section 24-95(k) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 6300 Impala 1045 Drive (Pinehurst Gardens) (Parcel 776-746-2646), zoned R-4, One-1046

1047	famil	y Residence District (Brookland). The minimum side yard
1047		ack is not met. The applicant has 15 feet minimum side yard
1049		ack, where the Code requires 25 feet minimum side yard
1050		ack. The applicant requests a variance of 10 feet minimum
		···
1051	side	yard setback.
1052	N.A. V.A. v. o. b. t	Dana anyone also desire to analy with reference to this
1053	Mr. Wright -	Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this
1054	case? vvould you raise y	our right hand and be sworn please?
1055	Mar Diagliantia	Decree as a conflict that the feetback are a considerable to a back the
1056	Mr. Blankinship -	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1057	truth, the whole truth, and	d nothing but the truth, so help you God?
1058		
1059	Ms. Whitaker -	I do. My name is Edith H. Whitaker. I need a variance of ten
1060	•	twelve foot utility room on the back of my house. The house
1061		om the line, and the Code now requires 25 feet. I need a
1062	variance of 10 feet.	
1063		
1064	Ms. Dwyer -	It looks like your addition is not going any farther into the
1065	side yard than your existi	ng house already is.
1066		
1067	Ms. Whitaker -	Oh no, it will be about six inches back from the corner of the
1068	house.	
1069		
1070	Mr. Wright -	And there also will be a bathroom in this addition?
	Mr. Wright -	And there also will be a bathroom in this addition?
1070 1071 1072	Ms. Whitaker -	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all
1070 1071	Ms. Whitaker -	
1070 1071 1072	Ms. Whitaker -	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract si	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract s	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract some Mr. Wright - materials of your house?	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract si	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract some Mr. Wright - materials of your house?	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction Mr. Blankinship, was the house in conformance with the
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract si Mr. Wright - materials of your house? Ms. Dwyer -	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction Mr. Blankinship, was the house in conformance with the
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract si Mr. Wright - materials of your house? Ms. Dwyer -	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction Mr. Blankinship, was the house in conformance with the
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079 1080	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract si Mr. Wright - materials of your house? Ms. Dwyer - Code when it was built, a Mr. Blankinship -	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction Mr. Blankinship, was the house in conformance with the and the laws changed?
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079 1080 1081	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract si Mr. Wright - materials of your house? Ms. Dwyer - Code when it was built, a Mr. Blankinship -	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction Mr. Blankinship, was the house in conformance with the and the laws changed? It may well have been. It looks like it was built in 1952. I'm ad reverse corner lots prior to 1960; in fact, that's part of the
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079 1080 1081 1082	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract si Mr. Wright - materials of your house? Ms. Dwyer - Code when it was built, a Mr. Blankinship - not sure how we handle	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction Mr. Blankinship, was the house in conformance with the and the laws changed? It may well have been. It looks like it was built in 1952. I'm ad reverse corner lots prior to 1960; in fact, that's part of the
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079 1080 1081 1082 1083	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract si Mr. Wright - materials of your house? Ms. Dwyer - Code when it was built, a Mr. Blankinship - not sure how we handle	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction Mr. Blankinship, was the house in conformance with the and the laws changed? It may well have been. It looks like it was built in 1952. I'm ad reverse corner lots prior to 1960; in fact, that's part of the
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079 1080 1081 1082 1083 1084	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract si Mr. Wright - materials of your house? Ms. Dwyer - Code when it was built, a Mr. Blankinship - not sure how we handle 1953 Ordinance, so yes re	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction Mr. Blankinship, was the house in conformance with the and the laws changed? It may well have been. It looks like it was built in 1952. I'm ad reverse corner lots prior to 1960; in fact, that's part of the ma'am, it probably was.
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079 1080 1081 1082 1083 1084 1085	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract signs of Mr. Wright - materials of your house? Ms. Dwyer - Code when it was built, at Mr. Blankinship - not sure how we handle 1953 Ordinance, so yes in Ms. Whitaker -	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction Mr. Blankinship, was the house in conformance with the and the laws changed? It may well have been. It looks like it was built in 1952. I'm ad reverse corner lots prior to 1960; in fact, that's part of the ma'am, it probably was.
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079 1080 1081 1082 1083 1084 1085 1086	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract signs of Mr. Wright - materials of your house? Ms. Dwyer - Code when it was built, at Mr. Blankinship - not sure how we handle 1953 Ordinance, so yes in Ms. Whitaker -	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction Mr. Blankinship, was the house in conformance with the and the laws changed? It may well have been. It looks like it was built in 1952. I'm ad reverse corner lots prior to 1960; in fact, that's part of the ma'am, it probably was.
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079 1080 1081 1082 1083 1084 1085 1086 1087	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract si Mr. Wright - materials of your house? Ms. Dwyer - Code when it was built, a Mr. Blankinship - not sure how we handle 1953 Ordinance, so yes in Ms. Whitaker - I bought the house.	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction Mr. Blankinship, was the house in conformance with the and the laws changed? It may well have been. It looks like it was built in 1952. I'm and reverse corner lots prior to 1960; in fact, that's part of the ma'am, it probably was. I did not know it was what they call a grandfathered lot when
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079 1080 1081 1082 1083 1084 1085 1086 1087 1088	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract si Mr. Wright - materials of your house? Ms. Dwyer - Code when it was built, a Mr. Blankinship - not sure how we handle 1953 Ordinance, so yes in Ms. Whitaker - I bought the house.	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction Mr. Blankinship, was the house in conformance with the and the laws changed? It may well have been. It looks like it was built in 1952. I'm and reverse corner lots prior to 1960; in fact, that's part of the ma'am, it probably was. I did not know it was what they call a grandfathered lot when
1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079 1080 1081 1082 1083 1084 1085 1086 1087 1088 1089	Ms. Whitaker - drawn and the contract si Mr. Wright - materials of your house? Ms. Dwyer - Code when it was built, a Mr. Blankinship - not sure how we handle 1953 Ordinance, so yes in Ms. Whitaker - I bought the house. Mr. Wright -	It's for a utility room and a half-bath. I had the plans all igned before I knew I had to have a variance. Will the construction be consistent with the construction Mr. Blankinship, was the house in conformance with the ind the laws changed? It may well have been. It looks like it was built in 1952. I'm indicate the conformance of the ma'am, it probably was. I did not know it was what they call a grandfathered lot when the what is the side yard requirement here?

Mr. Wright -

1092

So the house violates it already?

1094 Mr. Blankinship - Yes, it does now, but it's non-conforming, because it was 1095 built in 1952.

1097 Ms. Dwyer - When it was built, it was in conformance with the Code at the 1098 time.

1100 Mr. Wright - Any further questions of members of the Board? Anyone 1101 here in opposition to this request?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-65-2004** for a variance to build an addition at 6300 Impala Drive (Pinehurst Gardens) (Parcel 776-746-2646). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

 1. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

2. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

1114	Affirmative:	Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5
1115	Negative:		0
1116	Absent:		0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A - 66-2004 MICHAEL SCOTT requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to enclose the existing breezeway at 7625 Rock Creek Road (Rollingwood) (Parcel 760-740-1901), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). minimum side vard setback is not met. The applicant has 10 feet minimum side yard setback, where the Code requires 12 feet minimum side yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 2 feet minimum side yard setback.

Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1139 Mr. Scott -I do. Michael Scott. I bought this house for my family to move into in February of this year. I began the process of trying to make it large 1140 enough for the five of us to live in. I soon discovered that the minimum setback 1141 1142 requirement on the garage, which you see there, violated the setback by nineteen inches or so. I was told by the County that when the previous owners of the house 1143 connected that garage to the existing house by a breezeway, which I have taken down, 1144 that made the whole block of the house one, and therefore too close to the side yard 1145 property line. What I desire to do, and I hope you will grant me a variance to do so, is 1146 to enclose the breezeway and pull it forward by, I don't know exactly how many feet. 1147 1148 We're going, I believe, one or two feet past what looks like the front porch, that crisscross on the front. The problem seems to be that as I bought it, it violated the setback 1149 1150 requirement on that garage side by the nineteen inches. My building permit request to the County was to do two things, to build a master bedroom on the back, which 1151 conforms to all the requirements for setback, and also to enclose and extend forward 1152 1153 that breezeway. They gave me the permit for the back half, because it didn't do anything to the lines that was nonconforming. But they wanted the whole thing finally 1154 to be approved, because apparently that breezeway connection was never approved 1155 1156 by the County. So there I sit, hopeful that you will grant us the ability to have a dining room and a kitchen in that space that we're pulling forward, and to grandfather the 1157 1158 position of the garage relative to the side lot line. 1159

1160 Mr. Wright - The garage is already there?

1161 1162 Mr

Mr. Scott - The garage is already there.

1163

1164 Ms. Dwyer - Been there since the '50's.

1165 1166

Mr. Scott - Yes ma'am, and I believe I bought the house from the owners who built it, but I'm not certain that they were the last owners.

1167 1168 1169

Ms. Dwyer - So when you bought the house, it had the carport attached, and you tore the carport down, and you just want to re-do the carport?

1170 1171

1172 Mr. Scott - I want to enclose it and pull it forward with a dining room on the front.

1174 1175

1176

1177

Ms. Dwyer - I'm just wondering about the impact. One thing you have to look at is the impact on the neighbors, and I'm curious as to how you're going to handle the roof line, pulling this middle section forward, and you have some different roof lines you have to connect to.

1178 1179

Mr. Scott - Do you have a picture in here of the front façade of the house? Unfortunately, what you can't tell is that garage is sitting well back of the middle, about at the middle of the rectangular block of the house. It's a ranch style house, in sort of an L-shape. It'll help if we look at the plat first, before going to the front. If you've got the same plat drawing that I've got, that long horizontal "X" is a

covered front porch, and the little square right in the center of the house, beside that, is the front porch steps. Right beside that is a gable end, which faces the street the same way the garage does. What we envision is when we pull forward the existing carport, the whole idea is to match that same gable front, so that when you look at the house you're going to see over here by the landing of the front porch, and then we're going to pull the front out this way, so that this existing and this new will match. It'll actually make the house look much, much better.

Ms. Dwyer - The same height? The gables will be the same height?

Mr. Scott - Yes, so what then happens is that the main body of the house, that ridge line will just extend out to meet the garage wall, and I'll put a little cricket in there to connect with that little cupola thing on top of the garage.

Ms. Dwyer - So the gable on the addition will match sort of the same look as the gable on the garage too, right.

Mr. Scott - I wish there were a picture of the front of the house, because it's quite an awkward-looking house from the street. This is actually an architect who came to design and to suggest to us that we pull that forward and that we put that gable end on it to balance the house out, so that we have the two gable ends and then the center section with the porch.

Ms. Dwyer - I'm just curious not as to how that roof if tying in now to the garage, but the garage is substantially behind the addition.

Mr. Scott - I think you can see on the house side, the bricks there – they're all painted white except where the breezeway roof used to be. What we're proposing to do is go up to the ridge line, extend straight over to a point at which the wall of the garage raised straight up, would meet. And then down lower, to go back to the little cupola on top of the garage, you'd have another matching ridge line just lower, and then it would have the same pitches, etc., to get water and snow and all the rest of that stuff off.

Ms. Dwyer - But you did have an architect do that?

Mr. Scott - Yes, I could not manage that three-dimensional space myself. Had I realized I would need them, I could have brought you the plans; it would have been more helpful.

Ms. Dwyer - That was my main question, because on the drawing that you had, it looked like the carport was just even with the garage, but from the photo, it looked obviously like that foundation had come considerably forward.

Mr. Scott - Yes, it's come forward to the front line of the porch, which in this picture I think you can see the front column there of the covered porch is wrought

iron, and the front of the new foundation is in a continuous line across that front, so it will actually make the house look more balanced and even.

1234 Ms. Dwyer - And there's no front yard issue with that.

 Mr. Scott - No, the front yard is vast. And I will also say, just kind of for perspective, this is one of the last few houses among about twenty, that haven't got two-story, double-sized additions to the back, so this is quite under-improved for the neighborhood as it sits.

Mr. Wright - Anything further? Is anyone here in opposition to this request?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Ms. Dwyer, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-66-2004** for a variance to enclose the existing breezeway at 7625 Rock Creek Road (Rollingwood) (Parcel 760-740-1901). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

 1. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

 2. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

Affirmative: Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
Negative: 0
Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A - 67-2004

SUSAN AND FREDERICK LONG request a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a screened porch over the existing deck at 6104 Kinglet Court (Wrentham at Wyndham) (Parcel 736-778-1560), zoned R-3C, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Three Chopt). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicants propose 35 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 40 feet rear yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 5 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

1277 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 1278 1279 1280 Ms. Long -I do. Susan Long. We currently have a deck that sits behind 1281 our house that is approximately 16 by 20 feet, and we wish to enclose it as a screened 1282 porch. 1283 1284 Mr. Wright -So this would be enclosing exactly what is there, no extensions or additions to what's stated. 1285 1286 1287 Ms. Long -That's correct. 1288 1289 Mr. Wright -What type of construction would it be? 1290 1291 The construction we have along the entire back side of our Ms. Long house is a Hardy Plank siding, and the siding that would be used on the deck would 1292 1293 match the house exactly in color and in material, so all the materials would be 1294 consistent with the current architecture of the house, which is also a requirement of our 1295 homeowners association. 1296 1297 Mr. Wright -So this has been approved by your homeowners 1298 association? 1299 1300 Ms. Long -Yes, it has, and I have that approval. 1301 1302 Mr. Wright -Any further questions by members of the Board? Is anyone 1303 here in opposition to this request? 1304 1305 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. 1306 Kirkland, the Board granted application A-67-2004 for a variance to build a screened porch over the existing deck at 6104 Kinglet Court (Wrentham at Wyndham (Parcel 1307 1308 736-778-1560). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions: 1309 1310 1. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical. 1311 1312 The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made 1313 1314 without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. 1315 1316 Affirmative: Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 1317 Negative: 0 1318 Absent: 0 1319 1320 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the 1321 unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code

1322

would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and

authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

MILTON W. AND MARIANA O. JOHNSON request a variance A - 68-2004 from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 4904 Glenspring Road (Hechler Village) (Parcel 811-722-8160), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Fairfield). The minimum side yard setback and total side yard setback are not met. The applicants have 9 feet minimum side yard setback and 27 feet total side yard setback, where the Code requires 12 feet minimum side yard setback and 30 feet total side yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 3 feet minimum side yard setback and 3 feet total side vard setback.

Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Johnson - I do. Milton Johnson. I had a contract to build an addition to my house, and he told me he could give me, at first, 25 by 10 feet, and in the meantime I wanted another 12 feet to add a bathroom, so they totaled up the room addition. It would be 37 by 10. When he did the construction, he made the foundation eight feet wide by 37, and I questioned him about it, and he told me the County wouldn't allow him to go out but eight feet, so he put up everything to the room, the roof, the siding, and then he was cited because he didn't have a building permit, which we had questioned him about. He said he already had a building permit; he didn't have a building permit for the property. I went out and got a building permit because the contractor refused to get a building permit, and in the meantime I had to get rid of the contractor, and now I'm trying to get the room completed, and the room is still two feet, six inches over the amount the County allows. I'm asking for a variance for the three feet, because I'm two feet, six inches over.

Mr. McKinney - Mr. Johnson, you didn't pay that contractor, did you?

Mr. Johnson - Yes, I paid him most of the money, in phases I did.

Mr. Wright - What's the contractor's name?

Mr. Johnson - J. P. Watkins – you've probably heard the name before.

1366 Mr. Wright - We have a picture here. So this is already started, almost.

1368 Mr. McKinney - Mr. Johnson, have you had any inspections on this?

1369		
1370 1371 1372	material on there, and the	tch on the rear part of the roof, so I had to put a different ey had an engineer to come over and tell what had to be done
1373 1374 1375	inspectors back over befo	at has been done. If I get the variance, I have to get the ore I can go any further.
1376 1377	Mr. McKinney -	These inspections you got after you got the building permit?
1378 1379 1380	Mr. Johnson - building permit.	After I got the building permit, yes, because he didn't get a
1381 1382 1383	Mr. McKinney - inspection?	So your rear roof line was picked up on a framing
1384 1385 1386	Mr. Johnson - had to put a different mate	Yes it was, and they said I could use the same pitch, but I erial on there, which I did.
1387 1388	Mr. McKinney -	A different material? You talking about for the sheathing?
1389 1390 1391	Mr. Johnson - saying.	Yes, yes. I could not use that for shingles, is what he's
1392 1393	Mr. Kirkland -	You have to use like a rubber roof?
1394 1395	Mr. Johnson -	Exactly, on that part of the roof.
1396 1397	Mr. Wright -	That's to insure that you get the proper drainage.
1398 1399 1400	Mr. McKinney - around the bottom, and or	Mr. Johnson, what are you doing with that band board n the side also?
1401 1402 1403	Mr. Johnson - wood on the side.	On the side it's not a band board. It's just the salt-treated
1404 1405	Mr. McKinney -	Are you going to cover that with something?
1406 1407 1408 1409 1410 1411 1412	the side of the tree where	Yes a vinyl. It will be covered with vinyl over top of that, and as it is on the front right now. There will be a window there on that plywood is – that was cut out for a window. There will be not it will be brick on the front and vinyl siding on the side and What plans did you present to the County to get your
1413 1414	building permit?	virial plans did you present to the county to get your

			_
1415	Mr. Johnson -	The contractor drew up plans, and then the engine	er drew
1416	plans up for the roof truss	es inside the building.	
1417			
1418	Mr. McKinney -	We don't have a copy of those plans.	
1419	·	.,	
1420	Mr. Johnson -	I left them here; I left all the plans with Building Insp	pections
1421		rything would be in place here for that.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1422	omee neren nareagin eve	. yamig mada ba m piasa mera iar alaa	
1423	Ms. Dwyer -	In the front, around the window, will that be brick to	o match
1424	the house, or will that be		o matem
1425	the house, or will that be	/iiiyi:	
	Mr. Johnson	That will be brief	
1426	Mr. Johnson -	That will be brick.	
1427			
1428	Mr. Wright -	So the brick will extend all the way out?	
1429			
1430	Mr. Johnson -	All the way out to the end, to your left, on the front.	
1431			
1432	Mr. Wright -	Which would match what you have on your house?	
1433			
1434	Mr. Johnson -	Yes sir.	
1435			
1436	Mr. Wright -	All right. Any further questions of members of the	Board?
1437	Anyone here in opposition	·	
1438	, any one more an opposition	i to uno roquost.	
1439	After an advertised public	hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded	d by Mr
1440	•	d application A-68-2004 for a variance to build an ad	•
1441			
	. •	echler Village) (Parcel 811-722-8160). The Board gra	nieu ine
1442	variance subject to the fol	lowing conditions.	
1443	4		
1444	 The new construction 	on shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practi	cal.
1445			
1446		be developed in substantial conformance with the p	
1447	with the application. No	substantial changes or additions to the layout may be	e made
1448	without the approval of the	e Board of Zoning Appeals.	
1449			
1450	Affirmative: Dwye	er, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5
1451	Negative:		0
1452	Absent:		0
1453			
1454	The Board granted this re	quest, as it found from the evidence presented that, du	ie to the
1455		the subject property, strict application of the Coun	
1456	•	dship not generally shared by other properties in the a	•
1457	•	will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent	
1457	<u> </u>		property
1450	noi materially impair the p	ourpose of the zoning regulations.	

A - 69-2004

1458 1459 1460

ROBERT AND FLORENCE JONES request a variance from

1461	Section	on 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an
1462		ion at 1733 Forest Glen Road (Hungary Acres) (Parcel 780-
1463		2519), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Fairfield).
1464		minimum side yard setback is not met. The applicants have 8
1465		minimum side yard setback, where the Code requires 12 feet
1466		num side yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 4
1467		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1468	ieeti	ninimum side yard setback.
	Mr Mriabt	Daga anyone also desire to anack with reference to this
1469	Mr. Wright -	Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this
1470	case? would you raise yo	our right hand and be sworn please?
1471	M DI II II	
1472	Mr. Blankinship -	Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the
1473	truth, the whole truth, and	nothing but the truth, so help you God?
1474		
1475	Mr. Jones -	I do. Robert Jones. I request a four-foot variance on the
1476	side setback in order that	we might build an extension to the bedroom on the southwest
1477	corner, and it will be in line	e with the present construction that is currently there.
1478		
1479	Ms. Dwyer -	Mr. Jones, it's not clear to me exactly from the plat where the
1480	addition's going to be.	
1481	8 8	
1482	Mr. Jones -	Right off the far corner, the southwest corner, straight back
1483	into the rear yard.	
1484	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1485	Mr. McKinney -	Into the concrete drive?
1486		
1487	Mr. Jones -	Over on the right of the patio.
1488		e ven en une rigin er une peuter
1489	Mr. McKinney -	You're talking about something we don't see on this.
1490	Will Wild William	round talking about comouning we don't coo on the.
1491	Ms. Dwyer -	Is it going to extend beyond the existing side of the house
1492	right now, or is it going str	
1493	right now, or is it going st	aight out the baok!
1494	Mr. Jones -	It's going straight out the back.
1495	WII. 30HE3 -	it's going straight out the back.
1495	Mr. Wright -	So what you're stating is, this will be no closer to the
1490	•	30 what you're stating is, this will be no closer to the
	sideline?	
1498	Mar Jamas	Then it almost in . The bound is built them. This is saint
1499	Mr. Jones -	Than it already is. The house is built there. This is going
1500	toward the rear.	
1501	NA NA 12:	
1502	Mr. McKinney -	What's the material that this is to be built out of, Mr. Jones?
1503		
1504	Mr. Jones -	If we can find the existing brick, the brick that's already
1505		I it in that brick, but if we can't find the same brick, I'll put vinyl
1506	cidina	

siding.

1507	NA NA in lat	NAME and a simple of the amount of addition O
1508	Mr. Wright -	What's the size of the proposed addition?
1509 1510	Mr. Jones -	Eighteen by fourteen.
1510	WII. JOHES -	Eighteen by lourteen.
1512	Ms. Dwyer -	Oh it's on the other end of the house? Is it in the yellow?
1513	Wis. Dwyci	of its of the other cha of the floase: 13 it in the yellow:
1514	Mr. Kirkland -	Is that where it's going, the yellow square?
1515	······	is that misle it's genig, the yenen equals:
1516	Mr. Jones -	Yes, that's looking at the back yard.
1517		,
1518	Mr. Wright -	I thought it was going on the other side.
1519		
1520	Mr. Kirkland -	Me too.
1521		
1522	Mr. Blankinship -	I apologize; we copied the wrong copy of the plat there.
1523		
1524	Mr. Wright -	He wouldn't need a variance if he put it on the other side.
1525	NA. NASIZina	le this are extension are very header and
1526	Mr. McKinney -	Is this an extension on your bedroom?
1527 1528	Mr. Jones -	This is an extension on the bedroom.
1529	WII. JOHES -	This is an extension on the bedroom.
1530	Mr. McKinney -	You're opening the bedroom up?
1531	Will Working	Tod to opening the bedroom up.
1532	Mr. Jones -	Yes, we just want to open the bedroom up.
1533		, and just the state of the sta
1534	Mr. McKinney -	What's to be inside this addition, Mr. Jones?
1535	-	
1536	Mr. Jones -	A walk-in closet and a bathroom.
1537		
1538	Mr. McKinney -	You're putting a new bathroom in this?
1539		
1540	Mr. Jones -	Yes, in the extension.
1541	Ma. Dunian	
1542	Ms. Dwyer -	So does the front corner of the house, is that in conformance
1543 1544	•	ck requirement, and it's just because the house is angled that
1544	he's getting into a problen	II!
1546	Mr. Blankinship -	Part of the confusion on this one is that the lot that you see
1547	•	of the lot that he owns. It extends to the top of the page, I'm
1548	<u> </u>	direction it is, because he and his neighbor split the next lot up.
1549	•	a half lots, so you can't really see it from here, but the red line
1550	<u> </u>	hat's on this plat, that's his rear yard setback.
1551		,
1552	Ms. Dwyer -	So it's not a side yard problem, it's a rear yard one?

1553		
1554	Mr. Jones -	It's the side yard setback at the eight-foot, see where the
1555	twelve and eight-foot side	•
1556		
1557	Ms. Dwyer -	Right, but is this a reverse corner? With a different set of
1558	rules apply if it's on a corr	ner? So he's supposed to have a forty-foot setback
1559 1560	Mr. Jones -	In the back.
1561	Wil. Jones -	III tile back.
1562	Mr. McKinney -	Rear yard forty foot.
1563	wiii wier tiiniey	real yard forty root.
1564	Ms. Dwyer -	No, he would call it, and I would call it his side yard, so I
1565	think Mr. Blankinship is sa	aying that the rear yard setback applies.
1566		
1567	<u>-</u>	I'm sorry, I don't know what that forty-foot notation is on
1568	there; I'm the one who's o	confused.
1569	Mr. Jones	At first when it some up it some up in the convergation that
1570 1571	Mr. Jones -	At first, when it came up, it came up in the conversation that ear yard. This is all new to me. We bought the house back in
1571		out that we also own half of lot 21, which gives us much more
1572	than you really see on this	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1574	than you really occ on this	s timig.
1575	Mr. Blankinship -	I told you it was confusing. Looking at just what's in front of
1576	•	ears to be the front yard, which means that there is a forty-foot
1577		it actually, he owns not only what is shown here, but also half
1578		of this page, which means that the North Run Road frontage is
1579	•	en frontage, so that Forest Glen is the front of this lot, and this
1580	is a side yard setback.	
1581	N/m \N/mimbt	Lle frente en Ferent Clan?
1582 1583	Mr. Wright -	He fronts on Forest Glen?
1584	Mr. Blankinship -	Right.
1585	Wir. Blankinomp	ragni.
1586	Mr. Jones -	I front on Forest Glen.
1587		
1588	Mr. Wright -	That clears that up.
1589		
1590	Mr. McKinney -	But he's asking for four feet, where twelve feet is required on
1591	the side yard	
1592	Ma Jamas	Mileigh is subgreathed become in province of the become had been
1593 1594	Mr. Jones - there all the time.	Which is where the house is anyway, so the house has been
1594	there all the time.	
1595	Mr. McKinney -	So the house is not in conformance either, is it, Mr.
1597	Blankinship?	and model to the in comormation office, to it, ivii.
1598	= . .	

1599 1600	Mr. Blankinship -	Right, that's right.	
1601	Ms. Dwyer -	Well, he's only asking for four feet. It looks like, f	rom this
1602	•	house is slightly angled, so it may be that his front cor	
1603	conformity,		
1604	•		
1605	Mr. McKinney -	But the rear corner is not.	
1606			
1607	Mr. Jones -	The rear corner is what we're concerned with here.	
1608			
1609	Mr. Blankinship -	Sorry about that - we couldn't get all the information	ation we
1610	needed on one drawing.		
1611			
1612	Mr. Wright -	Any further questions of members of the Board.	Anyone
1613	here in opposition to this r	request?	
1614			
1615	·	hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconder	-
1616	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ted application A-69-2004 for a variance to build an ac	
1617		(Hungary Acres) (Parcel 780-760-2519). The Board	granted
1618	the variance subject to the	e following conditions:	
1619	4 Only the improvey	wanta ahaum an tha mlan filad with the amplication	
1620	•	ments shown on the plan filed with the application	•
1621	•	nis approval. No substantial changes or additions to the	•
1622	-	e approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any a	adillonai
1623 1624	improvements shall comp	ly with the applicable regulations of the County Code.	
1625	2. The new constructi	on shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as pract	ical
1626	2. The new constructi	on shall match the existing dwelling as hearly as pract	icai.
1627	Affirmative: Dwye	er, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5
1628	Negative:	r, Kirkianu, McKinney, Numnany, Wilght	0
1629	Absent:		0
1630	Absent.		O
1631	The Board granted this re	quest, as it found from the evidence presented that, do	ie to the
1632	_	the subject property, strict application of the Coun	
1633		dship not generally shared by other properties in the a	
1634		will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent	
1635	=	purpose of the zoning regulations.	p. op o. ty
1636	The materially impair the p	varipodd ar tiro zorinig roganationer	
1637	Mr. Wright -	The Board will take a five-minute recess.	
1638	5 -		
1639	Beginning at 10:00		
1640			
1641	Mr. Wright -	Mr. Secretary, would you read the rules, please, for	or those
1642	who have come in for the		
1012		•	

Mr. Blankinship -The rules for this meeting are as follows. As Secretary, I will call each case. Then at that time the applicant should come to the podium. I will ask all those who intend to speak on that case, in favor or in opposition, to stand and be sworn in. The applicants will then present their testimony. After the applicant has spoken, the Board will ask them questions, and then anyone else who wishes to speak will be given the opportunity. After everyone has spoken, the applicant, and only the applicant, will have an opportunity for rebuttal. After hearing the case, and asking questions, the Board will take the matter under advisement. They will render all of their decisions at the end of the meeting. If you wish to know their decision on a specific case, you can either stay until the end of the meeting, or you can call the Planning Office later this afternoon, or you can check the website. The vote on each case will be posted to our website within an hour of the end of the meeting. This meeting is being tape recorded, so we will ask everyone who speaks, to speak directly into the microphone on the podium, to state your name, and to spell your last name please. And finally, out in the foyer, there are two binders, containing the staff report for each case, including the conditions that have been recommended by the staff.

Call for deferrals and withdrawals

Mr. Wright - Any deferrals or withdrawals on the 10:00 o'clock agenda?

Mr. Blankinship - No sir.

New Applications

A - 70-2004

MATTHEW B. AND ANDREA WHITAKER request a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to enclose an existing screened porch at 214 Westham Parkway (Westham) (Parcel 758-736-0924), zoned R-1, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The minimum side yard setback and total side yard setback are not met. The applicants have 18 feet minimum side yard setback and 39 feet total side yard setback, where the Code requires 20 feet minimum side yard setback and 50 feet total side yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 2 feet minimum side yard setback and 11 feet total side yard setback.

Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Everett - I do. Kenneth Everett. I'm the representative for the Whitakers, looking to enclose the existing screened-in porch. The reading was that they are two feet short on the side yard and eleven feet for the total side yard setback requirements. The picture taken of the front of the house, the front right side which you

can't see, is another room, which is pretty much the same size as the screened-in porch that's there now on the left, and the front of that would match the windows, and everything would match the other side of the house and have a hard board siding put on to match the rest of the house. There would be a couple of windows on the side and one on the back also, which is kind of the same as the other side of the home at this point, so it would kind of balance the house out. In doing that, we'll get a little more living space inside the home, and that's what we're trying to do for them.

1697

1698 Mr. Wright - This would enclose exactly what's there, no extensions any closer to the side.

1700

1701 Mr. Everett - Yes sir. No more, exactly.

1702

1703 Ms. Dwyer - So the existing house does not conform to the setback 1704 requirements?

1705

Mr. Blankinship - Right, when this was built, the Code actually allowed a screened porch, this was build in 1941, so the old Code allowed a screened porch but did not allow an enclosed porch to extend into the setback. So at the time of enclosing that, it becomes an issue. Now of course we wouldn't allow either to extend into the setback.

1711

1712 Ms. Dwyer - I'm looking at the statistics, the numbers from the rear corner of the house to the property line. That seems to add up to 48, so I'm wondering if the original house was even in conformity even without the porch.

1715

1716 Mr. Blankinship - In R-1 zoning it may not have been.

1717

1718 Mr. Wright - I also notice, Mr. Everett, that your side yard on the other 1719 side of the house, that is away from where the porch is, seems to come back from a 1720 slight curve in the street, and it comes in, which cuts off some of your side yard there.

1721

1722 Ms. Dwyer - The front of your house may be in conformity; the rear is not, because it angles in.

1724

1725 Mr. Wright - You seem also to have considerable screening on the side between the porch and the lot adjacent there, a lot of trees.

1727

1728 Mr. Elliott - There are a lot of trees; the whole neighborhood is kind of 1729 like that. The trees and natural stuff have kind of been left in place.

1730

1731 Mr. Wright - Any further questions from members of the Board? Anyone here in opposition to this request?

1733

1734 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Dwyer, seconded by Mr. 1735 Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **A-70-2004** for a variance to enclose an existing

screened porch at 214 Westham Parkway (Westham) (Parcel 758-736-0924). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1739 1. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

 2. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

 Affirmative: Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
Negative: 0
Absent: 0

 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

UP- 16-2004 RYAN HOMES requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to locate a temporary sales trailer at 3621 Creighton Road (Dominion Townes) (Parcel 809-729-7165), zoned RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional) (Fairfield).

Mr. Wright - Does anyone here desire to speak on UP-16-2004? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. McKinney - Let's pass it by and hold it till the end.

(The case was called again at the end of the 10:00 o'clock docket)

Mr. McKinney - This doesn't sound like Ryan Homes; they're always here.

 Upon a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Kirkland the Board **deferred** application **UP-16-2004** for a temporary conditional use permit to locate a temporary sales trailer at 3621 Creighton Road (Dominion Townes) (Parcel 809-729-7165). The Board deferred the case because there was no one available to present the case, from the June 24, 2004, until the July 22, 2004, meeting.

1776 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright
1777 Negative: 0
1778 Absent: 0

A - 71-2004 ROGER AND JACQUELINE FOSTER request a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a

1782 screened porch at 5904 Shady Willow Court (Shady Ridge) (Parcel 744-777-2150), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Three 1783 Chopt). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicants propose 1784 34 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 40 feet rear 1785 yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 6 feet rear yard 1786 1787 setback. 1788 1789 Mr. Wright -Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

1790 1791

Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the 1792 Mr. Blankinship truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 1793 1794

1795 Mr. Foster -I do. Wesley Foster. My brother and my sister-in-law want to add a screened porch to the back of their house, and they're going to need a 1796 variance of six extra feet. Right now the Code calls for forty; they have 34. 1797

1799 What type of construction would this be if approved? Mr. Wright -1800

1801 Mr. Foster -It would be matching the existing, which the association has 1802 already approved.

1804 Mr. Wright -So it would be white siding. 1805

1806 Mr. Foster -Yes sir, and it would match the shingles on the roof, and the 1807 same pitch as the other roofs. 1808

1809 Mr. Wright -What's located to the rear of this property? 1810

1811 Mr. Foster -That row of mature cedar trees. And there is an open field 1812 for about 300 feet or so. 1813

1814 So you have an asphalt drive as you come in, facing the Mr. Wright house, to the left, to the rear. Any further questions of members of the Board? Anyone 1815 1816 here in opposition to this request?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board granted application A-71-2004 for a variance to build a screened porch at 5904 Shady Willow Court (Shady Ridge) (Parcel 744-777-2150). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition:

Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

1798

1803

1817 1818

1819

1820

1821 1822 1823

1824 1825

1828 1829 1830 1831	Affirmative: Negative: Absent:	Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	5 0 0	
1832 1833 1834 1835 1836 1837	The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.			
1838 1839 1840 1841 1842 1843 1844 1845	A - 72-2004	ANDWELE GARDNER requests a variance from Sect Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family 3881 Rising Mount Zion Road (Parcel 846-709-3471 (p A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). The public streer requirement is not met. The applicant has 0 feet p frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public streer The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public streer	dwelling at art)), zoned et frontage ublic street et frontage.	
1846 1847 1848	Mr. Wright - case? Would you	Does anyone else desire to speak with refere raise your right hand and be sworn please?	nce to this	
1849 1850 1851	Mr. Blankinship - truth, the whole tru	Do you swear that the testimony you are about to th, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?	give is the	
1852 1853 1854 1855 1856	Mr. Gardner - I do. Andwele Gardner. I have been given two acres of land where we propose to build a two-bedroom home, approximately 2,000 square feet, and without the variance, we won't be able to access the property. We've already had the site tested and certified by the public health.			
1857 1858	Mr. Wright -	I understand that this was a two-acre lot, or is a two-	vo-acre lot.	
1859 1860 1861	Mr. Gardner – me is off a seven-a	This particular portion is two portions, the one macre parcel.	y dad gave	
1862 1863 1864 1865	Mr. Wright - understand this wa Blankinship, with s	It was a seven-acre parcel, and this would be twas also for immediate family members to be used? No pubdivision?		
1866 1867	Mr. Gardner –	Yes. Yes.		
1868 1869	Mr. Blankinship -	None that I'm aware of.		
1870 1871	Mr. Wright -	And how would you access this property?		
40-0				

1872 1873 Mr. Gardner - I would plan to, it's about 410 feet, I estimate, you see where the line is, out to the private road, where the arrows are, at the other border of 3863 and

1874 1875	3871.	
1876 1877 1878	Mr. Wright - have it, but what gives yo	What type of legal access do you have? I see where you u the right to use that area?
1879 1880	Mr. Gardner -	I don't have anything yet; it's my dad's property.
1881 1882	Mr. Wright -	You think you can convince him to give it to you?
1883 1884	Mr. Gardner -	I think so.
1885 1886 1887 1888	Mr. Wright - your building permit, there these conditions?	You understand that if this is approved, when you apply for e are certain conditions that you have to meet. Have you read
1889 1890	Mr. Gardner -	I did; I didn't quite understand them. It's kind of new to me.
1891 1892	Mr. Wright -	Ask us what you need.
1893 1894	Mr. Gardner -	It said immediate family members I think?
1895 1896 1897 1898 1899	Mr. Blankinship - Because you are dividing the property , and it doesn't comply with the subdivision regulations, the only way you can do that is if it's transferred within the immediate family, so you'll just have to show evidence, when you apply for your building permit, that the five acres and the two acres are both in the family still.	
1900 1901 1902	Mr. Gardner - recommended that the lar	I see. And the other one, I think you suggested or not be divided.
1902 1903 1904	Mr. Wright -	Which one are you referring to?
1905 1906	Mr. McKinney -	Can't divide but three times, can you Mr. Blankinship?
1907 1908	Mr. Blankinship -	Family subdivisions are exempt from that, family divisions.
1909 1910 1911	Mr. McKinney - top of the plat – is that wh	This dotted line with the arrow going back and forth at the nere the proposed driveway is?
1912 1913	Mr. Blankinship -	Yes.
1914 1915 1916 1917	Mr. McKinney - this where we grant for what's going to happen.	If we grant this approval, shouldn't that be a condition, that it to be there? If he puts it somewhere else, we don't know
1017	Mr Blankinshin -	We can certainly do that

Mr. Blankinship -

1918

1919

We can certainly do that.

1920 Mr. Wright - You said you had a question about one of the other 1921 proposed conditions?

1923 Ms. Dwyer - Number 5 relates to the legal proof of access; I think that's what we were talking about when you said you had a question.

Mr. Wright - Number 5 is the one I was referring to that you have to submit proof that you have legal access to the property when you obtain your building permit, and I think Mr. McKinney wanted to say that it would go along the north line of that property.

1931 Mr. Gardner - I'm looking at Number 2, says, "The Board may find, 1932 however, that while it is reasonable to allow one dwelling on the parcel, it is not 1933 necessary to allow the parcel to be divided."

Mr. Wright - Number 2 where?

1937 Mr. Blankinship - In the evaluation.

1939 Mr. Wright - That's just for information. Really, what I'm referring to are the suggested conditions which would apply to this case that we are talking about. Any further questions of members of the Board? Anyone here in opposition to this request?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-72-2004** for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 3881 Rising Mount Zion Road (Parcel 846-709-3471 (part)). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

2. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval of a well location.

3. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

4. At the time of building permit application the owner shall demonstrate that the parcel created by this division has been conveyed to members of the immediate family, and the subdivision ordinance has not been circumvented.

- 1965 [Amended] The applicant shall present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained along the north property line, as 1966 shown on the plan submitted with the application. 1967
- The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept 1969 6. responsibility for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access 1970 is improved to County standards and accepted into the County road system for 1971 1972 maintenance.

1974 Affirmative: Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 0 1975 Negative: 1976 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code 1979 would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Ms. Dwyer -May I ask Mr. Blankinship a question about that? Will this applicant need to come back to get variances for setbacks, since they don't have road frontage. How are they going to meet setbacks? As measured from the road to the house for front yard setback and side yard setback and all that. How is all that handled in such an unusual case as this?

- Mr. Blankinship We would measure from their property line.
- 1992 Ms. Dwyer -So you wouldn't be measuring from Mt. Rising Zion Road? 1993
- 1994 Right. We would count the property line where the access Mr. Blankinship meets this property, is what we would consider the front lot line. 1995
- 1997 Mr. Wright -That's what we've been doing for years.
- 1999 Mr. Blankinship -And according to his sketch, it complies. 2000
- 2001 Ms. Dwyer -Would they have to set back fifty feet from the property line to account for their access road, or would it just be front setback from the property line? 2002
- 2004 From the property line. This looks like he has plenty of room Mr. Blankinship -2005 there; we should be able to locate it if what he has sketched complies.
- 2007 A - 73-2004 JONATHAN W. POWELL requests a variance from Section 24-9 of 2008 Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 2555 Yarnell Road (Parcel 815-695-1018), zoned A-1, Agricultural 2009 District (Varina). The public street frontage requirement is not met. 2010

1968

1973

1977 1978

1980

1981 1982

1983 1984

1985

1986

1987 1988

1989 1990

1991

1996

1998

2003

The applicant has 0 feet public street frontage, where the Code requires 50 feet public street frontage. The applicant requests a variance of 50 feet public street frontage.

2014 2015

Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

2016 2017 2018

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

2019 2020 2021

2022

2023

2024

2025

2026

2027

2028

2029

2030

2031 2032

2033

2034

2035

2036

20372038

2039

2040

Yes I do. Jonathan W. Powell. I purchased a piece of Mr. Powell property that was actually behind this piece of property, I think, back in December or January, I don't remember the exact date. When I purchased it, my agent explained to me that a variance had already been approved to go ahead and build a house for me and my family. After I closed on the house, I came to realize two things, the hard way. Another thing, too, is it didn't meet normal perk conditions; I had to pay quite a substantial amount of money to have an alternate septic system designed for it. I was okay with that because I pretty much knew that going into it. Once I got to the point to try to apply for a building permit, I realized two things, one, the variance had expired, which I wasn't aware of when I bought it, so I had to reapply and come back a couple of months ago and get that re-certified or re-issued. Another thing that I was very surprised of, is once I got to the point of paying for everything, and months after the fact, ready to build my house, I realized that the property was actually landlocked, and I could not get access any way from anybody around there. Basically, my only option after consulting my attorney, who represented me to buy it, if I still wanted to build a house, was to buy the lot right in front of it, which is this lot, and pretty much the lot in the back, the variance on that does me no good at this point. I'm just trying to build a house down there for myself and my family. The access to this property is not a problem; it just doesn't have a variance, and I thought the variance would extend to this one because they were connected, but I found out that it wasn't connected. It is connected, but the variance is not transferable to this piece of property as well.

2041 2042 2043

Mr. Wright - Mr. Powell, you say you own this parcel. Why do we have the property owner listed as Edward R. and Nancy Baker?

2045 2046

2044

Mr. Powell - I just purchased it within the last two weeks.

2047 2048

Mr. Wright - Since this application was done, you've purchased it?

2049 2050

Mr. Powell - Yes sir. Actually I purchased it, I was closing on it at 5:00 o'clock the day I filed this application, and it took a couple of weeks for it to record.

205120522053

Mr. Wright - So you haven't actually closed on the purchased yet?

2054 2055

Mr. Powell - Yes sir, I have.

2057 Mr. Blankinship - So how many houses do you intend to build now, one or 2058 two?

Mr. Powell - I intend to build one. The property in the back I have sold because it has no value to me. Basically that's the purpose of me, I'm going to build my house on this front lot because the back lot has no, in my opinion, value to me, for what I want to do. I really didn't have the money to buy the front lot, so basically I had to sell the back lot to pay for the front lot, out of necessity.

Ms. Dwyer - It looks like a private road is noted on the drawing that we have, so how is it that you have access to this lot, but not the lot behind it?

Mr. Powell - It's a thirty-foot private road that goes back to both lots, and it actually shows, I don't have the plat in front of me, it actually shows the road that actually goes through both lots. The only difference is, apparently I'm just finding out that Mr. Baker, originally when he divided he divided this property for his kids back in the '40's or '50's, whenever he acquired it, I don't remember the exact date, but basically what he did, is he gave access to this lot that I've now bought, but he didn't give access to the one behind it. That's information that I found out the hard way.

Mr. Wright - What you're saying is that the road that extends back, he granted the right to use that to this lot but not to the lot to the rear of this.

2080 Mr. Powell - Yes sir, that's correct, and I did not know that when I bought 2081 it.

2083 Mr. Wright - So he owns the road, I take it.

Mr. Wright -

2085 Mr. Powell - Yes sir, that's correct. He also owns all the land behind it.

Who maintains the road?

Mr. Powell - I'm not really sure at this point. I've already talked to my lender, and the attorney, as a requirement of building the house back here, I'm going to have to have a road maintenance agreement of some type and take care of it, which I'm willing to do.

2094 Ms. Dwyer - Has an easement been granted for the use of that road? 2095

Mr. Powell - Yes. I don't have a problem now that I've bought this lot, I don't have an issue. Everything's ready to go. I still need a separate variance to be able to build on this lot.

2100 Mr. Wright - What you're saying, there's something of record that grants 2101 this lot the right to use that road, which would have to be submitted if proven to the 2102 office when he applies for his building permit.

2103 2104 Mr. Powell -Right. There's a road there, but I just didn't have the access 2105 or right to use the road for the back lot. 2106 2107 Ms. Dwyer -So who bought the back lot? 2108 2109 Mr. Powell -I sold that to a guy who is going to try to obtain access from 2110 the people in the front, I forget their name; it's Mr. and Mrs. Baker's son and daughterin-law, who will eventually build a house on it. My only intention was to sell that; I didn't 2111 2112 make one penny of profit, to get, to be able to buy this lot so I could build my house. 2113 2114 Mr. Wright -Have you read the suggested conditions for this case, Mr. 2115 Powell? 2116 2117 Mr. Powell -I have read some of them, sir, and I apologize, I was actually 2118 living in a hotel because I was planning on starting my house a couple of months ago, 2119 and everything's in a P. O. Box, so I have not read what you have sent to me. 2120 2121 Mr. Wright -You'll need to look at those conditions to make sure that 2122 you're in accord with it. If this is approved, it would be subject to these conditions. 2123 2124 Ms. Dwyer -So this is what happens when we have family subdivisions 2125 down the line? I'm wondering if that was just an oversight, not including that last lot. 2126 We'll probably be seeing that again when someone else buys it. 2127 2128 Mr. Wright -Each case has to stand on its own. 2129 2130 Mr. McKinney - Who's your closing attorney, Mr. Powell? 2131 2132 Mr. Powell -Brian Stevens. I've got title insurance, and he told me the option would be to go to court and try to pursue some type of a variance, but he said 2133 2134 that could take up to two years to get. I wasn't in a position to wait two years to try to build a house, after I'd already been six months behind schedule at that point. 2135 2136 2137 Mr. McKinney -And you put a mortgage on this property? 2138 2139 Mr. Powell -No sir, I paid for the property with cash, and I can't get a 2140 mortgage until I have access. 2141 2142 Mr. Wright -Would you just take a look at those conditions and insure 2143 that you're in accord with them. 2144

Mr. Powell -

road frontage.

2145

2146

2147

2148

because I knew that once I spoke to the guy on the original lot, that I couldn't do anything without access. It was also without a variance that would adhere to the 50-foot

I actually have not applied for a new building permit on this,

2149 2150 Mr. Wright -All of this would apply when you apply for your building permit. You have to satisfy these conditions. 2151 2152 2153 Mr. Powell -Yes sir, I understand that. 2154 2155 Mr. Wright -Any further questions of members of the Board? 2156 2157 Ms. Dwyer -Would your house be oriented to the access road, the front 2158 of the house would be facing the access road?

2159

2160 Mr. Powell -I'd planned on having the front of the house facing the main 2161 road; it would be parallel with the main state road.

2162

2163 Ms. Dwyer -Is that Yarnell, as opposed to the access road?

2164

2165 Mr. Powell -Yes ma'am, that's correct.

2166

2167 Ms. Dwyer -The house next door to you, 2551, as it's identified on our 2168 picture – how is that house oriented?

2169

2170 Mr. Powell -That house is actually oriented, facing toward the private 2171 road that comes back, but the good thing about this whole situation is that the lots are very wooded, and you can't even see the houses next to you back there behind that 2172 2173 house.

2174

2175 Mr. Wright -What size is the lot?

2176

2177 Mr. Powell -Each one of them is a 1.3-acre lot, approximately. I think 2178 one of them may be a little less, but somewhere in the range.

2179

2180 Mr. Wright -Any further questions of members of the Board? Is anyone here in opposition to this request? 2181

2182 2183

2184

2185

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board granted application A-73-2004 for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 2555 Yarnell Road (Parcel 815-695-1018). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

2186 2187 2188

This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. All other 1. applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

- 2191 2. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. 2192 Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, 2193 but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval
- 2194 of a well location.

Affirmative:

UP- 17-2004

Negative:

- 2238 feet av 2239 In add
- 2240 mainta

June 24, 2004

- 3. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.
- 4. The applicant shall present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained.
- 5. The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access is improved to County standards and accepted into the County road system for maintenance.
 - Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
- Absent: 0
 - The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.
 - **YOUTH LIFE FOUNDATION** requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to place a temporary classroom trailer at 3800 Delmont Street (Parcel 793-737-2374), zoned R-6, General Residence District (Fairfield).
 - Mr. Wright Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?
 - Mr. Blankinship Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
 - Mr. Cullather I do. Jack Cullather. This is Heather Brown, who runs the Youth Life Foundation, which is located right now over at Delmont Plaza Apartments, over on Delmont Street. The Youth Life Foundation is an offshoot of the Darryl Green Foundation, which has helped fund this, and they operate on a one-on-one basis, with after-school kids. The progress they've made has been very significant, and that has led to the expanding of the program. These are strictly for kids at Delmont Plaza. What we would like to request, while the suggestions of the staff normally would be appropriate since this is a two-year grant for temporary use, is toilet facilities are only 16 feet away in the recreation building, we think we would like to have Number 3 not apply. In addition, since this is a two-year basis, submitting a detailed landscape plan and maintaining that would be not only costly, but it would also probably just start growing as

2241 we're moving the trailer back out. What they will be doing, is I'd like to have required skirting put into this application so that the trailer does get skirted, and they will 2242 voluntarily be planting flowers and things like that around the trailer. 2243

2244

2245 Mr. Wright -You're saying, when would the trailer be removed? 2246

2247

Mr. Cullather -In two years.

2248

2249 So within the two-year deadline. Mr. Wright -

2250

2251 Yes sir Mr. Cullather -

2252

2253 What do they do in this Youth Foundation, Mr. Cullather? Mr. McKinney -

2254

2255 Mr Cullather -I'll let Ms. Brown answer that.

2256

2257 We meet with the children every day after school and for six Ms. Brown -2258 weeks in the summer. They are children who are at risk. The average income is \$5,000, so we do academics with them, character training, computer skills, with the 2259 2260 children, and then we also have programs with the parents as well.

2261 2262

Mr. McKinney -What do you mean, at risk?

2263

2264 Ms. Brown -The children are in the lowest school in Henrico County, Glen Lea Elementary School, so they have previously just kind of roamed around that 2265 area, and so we're taking all the elementary school and getting them into a program to 2266 get them off the streets and into something productive in their afternoons and in the 2267 2268 summer.

2269

2270 Mr. McKinney -What's the age group?

2271

2272 Ms. Brown -Kindergarten through sixth grade. 2273

Mr. McKinney -2274 Then what happens to them after sixth grade?

2275

2276 Ms. Brown -We keep them through high school; we just start with them in elementary school, and so we took them K-5, and our fifth graders will now move on to 2277 sixth, and so we'll keep them all the way through high school. 2278

2279

2280 Mr. McKinney -But you said kindergarten through sixth grade.

2281

2282 Ms. Brown -Right now that's the ages.

2283

2284 Next year it'll be K-seventh. Mr. Blankinship -

2285

2286 It keeps adding each year. Ms. Brown -

2287

2288 Ms. Dwyer - Can you show us on the aerial photograph where the trailer 2289 will be located?

2290

2291 Mr. Cullather - Right where that mark is, where those two cars are, it would 2292 be back in that corner right along there, that's correct.

2293

2294 Mr. McKinney - You say it's how far from the restroom?

2295

Mr. Cullather - Yes, the restrooms are right there in that adjacent building. It's a recreation room that I've let Youth Life use, and have been very excited about the developments that have taken place there. It's really turned the community, especially the single mothers, into being more responsible, and actually they are attending some of the classes themselves. Keep in mind the ratio on some of these kids is almost one to one. Heather has volunteers coming in from the other school systems to help with these kids in the afternoon, so it's not like a child would go to the bathroom by herself.

2303

2304 Mr. Wright - So that building that we see there, to the left of the hand, is a 2305 recreation building?

2306

2307 Mr. Cullather - Yes sir, that's where the classes are now. There are two restrooms in there, that are handicapped accessible.

2309

2310 Mr. McKinney - Are you going to build a permanent building? You said two 2311 years for this trailer – what happens after two years?

2312

Mr. Cullather - I'll let her address that. My intention would be to pursue this further. If the program continues as a success, it hopefully would lead to a permanent building. This is only the third affiliation with the Darryl Green Foundation, and they are now providing some substantial grants to this operation, so it's a very exciting opportunity for a very high risk, at-risk children's neighborhood, to help the situation that volunteers are doing this.

2319

2320 Mr. McKinney - What are their hours of operation, Ms. Brown?

2321

Ms. Brown - In the summer we go from July 5 to August 12, from 9 to 3, and then in the after school, we're with the children when they get off the bus at 2:15 until 6:00 o'clock.

2325

2326 Mr. McKinney - Do you run this through the winter months also?

2327

2328 Ms. Brown - Yes, it's a full-year program.

2329

2330 Mr. McKinney - What happens if you have real inclement weather, and somebody has to use the restroom?

Ms. Brown -2333 If it's inclement weather, we won't be in session. We follow 2334 what Henrico County does, and we wouldn't be in session. We'd be at home. 2335 2336 Mr. McKinney -I thought that was the reason for this, was to get them here and out of the environment, but I would presume if they came, they would be in the 2337 recreation center, and not in the trailer. 2338 2339 2340 Ms. Brown -Correct, yes. 2341 Mr. McKinney -2342 Just for the purpose of using the facilities. 2343 2344 Ms. Dwyer -You'll still have full use of the recreation center? 2345 2346 Ms. Brown -Yes, we will. This will just provide us with extra space as we grow and have more children, to have separate classrooms for the older ones. 2347 2348 2349 Ms. Dwyer -I'm thinking in public schools when they have trailers, they usually don't have restrooms. 2350 2351 2352 Ms. Brown -And this trailer does not have restrooms in it. 2353 2354 Mr. McKinney - How many children do you have now? 2355 2356 Ms. Brown -Right now we have fifteen. 2357 Mr. McKinney - You have how many supervisors, so to speak? 2358 2359 2360 Ms. Brown -For the summer, we have myself, a certified teacher, two interns, and another teacher who will be there just for the summer, and then we have 2361 twenty volunteers who come throughout the week. 2362 2363 2364 Mr. Wright -You say the hours are 9 to 6? Is that Monday through Friday, or is that 2365 2366 2367 Ms. Brown -No, the hours are 9 to 3 in the summer, Monday through Thursday. The hours in the school year are 2:15 to 6:00 o'clock, and that runs Monday 2368 through Friday. 2369 2370 2371 Mr. Blankinship, with the detailed landscaping request, what Ms. Dwyer was envisioned there by the Planning Office? 2372 2373 2374 Normally when we do office trailers, a sales trailer or Mr. Blankinship -

screening. It's our standard condition.

Mr. Wright -

23752376

23772378

something like that, we get a row of shrubs in front of it, just to soften the look of the

This overhead picture shows some sort of screening to the

2379 right there. Do you see those trees or bushes or what? 2380 2381 M. Cullather -There are some pine trees there. 2382 2383 Mr. Wright -Doesn't show on that picture. 2384 Mr. Kirkland -2385 Would this trailer be handicapped accessible? 2386 2387 That is to be decided. The building is handicapped Ms. Brown accessible, and that would cost an extra \$2,000 to put the ramp, so we'd rather not, just 2388 2389 for our own raising support and everything, but if that's a condition, we'll raise the 2390 money. 2391 2392 Ms. Dwyer -I guess, Mr. Blankinship, regarding the landscaping, if they 2393 committed to a certain number of shrubs to be planted in front of the trailer, would that 2394 be detailed enough? 2395 2396 Ms. Brown -Shrubs or flowers. If we're leaving in two years, the trailer is 2397 going to be gone, and it's going to be a grassy space again. 2398 2399 Sometimes they're in pots; they just put out large potted Mr. Blankinship -2400 shrubs. 2401 2402 Ms. Brown -I don't know why that would be needed. 2403 2404 Mr. Blankinship -I'm not sure in this particular case, but like I say, it's a 2405 standard condition. 2406 2407 Mr. Cullather -I might add that before they started using the recreational building, there were no shrubs. Now there are beautiful plants and flowers that are 2408 there, that I believe her father has been installing. 2409 2410 2411 Ms. Brown -And the children. And a garden. 2412 2413 Ms. Dwyer -The commitment to having a garden area in front of the trailer, between the trailer and the sidewalk would be sufficient? 2414 2415 2416 Mr. Wright -Any further questions by members of the Board? Is anyone 2417 here in opposition to this request? 2418 2419 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr.

2422 2423

2420

2421

Kirkland, the Board granted application UP-17-2004 for a temporary conditional use

permit to place a temporary classroom trailer at 3800 Delmont Street (Parcel 793-737-

2374). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:

- 2424 The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed 2425 with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. 2426
- 2427
- 2428 The trailer shall be removed from the property on or before June 24, 2006, at 2. 2429 which time this permit shall expire. This permit shall not be renewed.
- 2430
- 2431 3. [Deleted]
- 2432 2433
- [Amended] A garden area shall be planted and maintained between the trailer 2434 and Delmont Street.
- 2435
- 2436 5. [Deleted]
- 2437
- 2438 On or before June 24, 2005, the applicant shall submit a report to the Planning Office describing their plans for permanent office space. 2439
- 2440 2441
- [Added] The trailer shall be skirted on all sides with a durable material. 7.
- 2442
- 2443 Affirmative: Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 2444 0 Negative:
- 2445 Absent:

0

- 2446 2447 2448
- The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

ROBERT J. RAPPOLD III requests a variance from Section 24-94

of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an attached garage at 10307 Gayton Road (Canterbury East) (Parcel 744-745-2078),

minimum side yard setback is not met. The applicant proposes 8

feet minimum side yard setback, where the Code requires 15 feet

minimum side yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 7

Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this

Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the

zoned R-2. One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe).

- 2449
- 2450 A - 74-2004
- 2451 2452 2453
- 2454 2455
- 2456 2457
- 2458
- 2459 2460
- 2461
- 2462 2463
- 2464
- 2465 Mr. Rappold -I do. My name is Robert J. Rappold III, and this is Mr. Harry

feet minimum side yard setback.

case? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

- Poston, our contractor. My wife and I request a variance to put a one-story garage on 2466 2467 the side of our house, as depicted on the diagram on the right. We request a seven-foot
- side yard setback. The garage would be 24 by 30 as shown, hold two cars, and we'd 2468 have an entrance from the front there, and a door in the back, and a couple windows, so 2469
 - June 24, 2004

Mr. Wright -

Mr. Blankinship -

2470	we could put our cars in the	nere and have them be covered. It would be a white color, just
2471	like the rest of the house	, and I show on the diagram, the top of the garage would be
2472	below the roof of the house	se. It would be the same slope and be of suitable construction,
2473	that it would fit right in with	•
2474	that it would lit right in with	Title flouse.
	Mr. Dlankinahin	Did you say a white color the come so the house?
2475	Mr. Blankinship -	Did you say a white color, the same as the house?
2476		
2477	Mr. Rappold -	Yes, the house is white, so it would be
2478		
2479	Mr. Blankinship -	The house is brick.
2480	·	
2481	Mr. Rappold -	No, it has white on the gables, and also we have white
2482	• •	at the house from the front, you see white there at the porch.
2483		wanted to match the color. We didn't want to have a different
		wanted to match the color. We didn't want to have a different
2484	color.	
2485		-
2486	Ms. Dwyer -	The house is brick and frame.
2487		
2488	Mr. Rappold -	Then it has white siding on it and things like that, so we
2489	wanted to have not a diffe	rent color than the white
2490		
2491	Mr. Blankinship -	But not brick either.
2492		
2493	Mr. Rappold -	Right, so it would be like that.
2494	ти. Карром -	right, so it would be like that.
	Ma Duniar	And the siding would be beginnered?
2495	Ms. Dwyer -	And the siding would be horizontal?
2496		N.
2497	Mr. Rappold -	Yes.
2498		
2499	Ms. Dwyer -	I wasn't sure from your drawings whether you were going to
2500	have sort of a wooden bo	ard and batten siding. That could be the framing plan; I wasn't
2501	sure whether that was the	framing plan.
2502		
2503	Mr. Rappold -	That was just the frame, but the siding would be horizontal,
2504	just like normal.	That was just the name, but the slamy would be nonzental,
2505	just like Horrial.	
	Mr. Dooton	Vould have visual aiding on the front and it would make an
2506	Mr. Poston -	You'd have vinyl siding on the front, and it would match on
2507	tne garage itself, whether	it would be all the same thing.
2508		
2509	Mr. McKinney -	What'd you say your last name was?
2510		
2511	Mr. Poston -	Poston.

Mr. Wright -

Mr. Rappold -

2512

2513

2514

2515

Mr. Poston is our contractor who did our addition in the back.

From this photo we have, it appears that there's some

2516 screening between your lot and the lot next door, right near where that truck sits. 2517 2518 Mr. Rappold -Yes, we have some ligustrums there, yes. 2519 2520 Mr. Wright -Look like there are about 6 to 7 feet tall, some bushes in 2521 there, could be red tip photinias. 2522 2523 Mr. Rappold -They're ligustrums, green in color. 2524 2525 Ms. Dwyer -It's better they're ligustrums; red tips are dying. 2526 Mr. Rappold -2527 Yes, Ligustrums are drought resistant; they're evergreen, so 2528 they produce nice screening year round. 2529 2530 Any further questions of members of the Board? Anyone Mr. Wright -2531 here in opposition to this request? 2532 2533 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Ms. Dwyer, seconded by Mr. 2534 Nunnally, the Board granted application A-74-2004 for a variance to build an attached garage at 10307 Gayton Road (Canterbury East) (Parcel 744-745-2078). The Board 2535 2536 granted the variance subject to the following conditions: 2537 2538 1. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical. 2539 2540 The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made 2541 2542 without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. 2543 2544 Affirmative: Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 2545 0 Negative: 2546 Absent: 0 2547 2548

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

2553
2554 **UP- 18-2004**BFI WASTE SYSTEMS OF VIRGINIA requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(3) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to expand the existing landfill at 2001 Charles City Road (Parcels 812-711-4422, 4005, 811-711-5111, 811-710-9875, 811-709-7458, 810-710-6142, 811-686-1061, 809-711-9586, 6079 and 808-709-9287), zoned M-2, General Industrial District (Varina).

2549 2550

2551

2561 Mr. Wright - Does anyone else desire to speak with reference to this case? We'd like for everyone to stand and be sworn at the same time, please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Spotts - I do. Yes, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, my name is Meade Spotts, and I'll be representing the applicant, BFI, in regards to this matter. I have with me Mike Rothermel from my firm; Eddie Snyder, who is BFI's District Representative for Virginia; Mr. Carlton Dudding, who is the Site Manager at the Old Dominion Landfill; Mr. Mike Fiore, with Resource International. Representing the County, I believe, will be Mr. Steve Yob, who is the Chief of Solid Waste for the County. Very briefly, the history and the background of the two sites today, as you're aware from the staff report, you have the Old Dominion Landfill already in existence, which is adjacent to the closed County Landfill, which is currently under contract, where BFI will be buying that from the County. The desire of BFI is to buy the County land so that the area marked on your report as Phase II can be filled, between the existing Old Dominion BFI Landfill and the Closed County Landfill. It is somewhat of a valley between the two landfills, created by the setbacks required by Code.

The Old Dominion Landfill has been servicing the community of Henrico County now since 1994. There is a ten-year track record; I know most of you are familiar with the last case in 1995. The County Landfill opened, I believe, in 1978; it closed in 1991, leaving the gap between the two sites. You'll note that these facilities are surrounded primarily by either landfills or other industry. The one subdivision located to the northeast of the site is protected by a large strip of vegetation, which has been proffered to maintain its condition. In looking at this property, if you come off of Charles City on Laburnum, for those of you not familiar with that area, you have the closed BFI Landfill, the closed Cox Construction Demolition Debris Landfill, you have the closed County Landfill, which is the subject of this use permit, and then the BFI Landfill. To the south, across the CSX tracks, you have two open construction demolition debris landfills and closed cells associated with them, and to the west and southwest you have the concrete plant that's currently in operation. It's a very heavily industrialized area. In looking how this use permit will affect current operations, as far as the Old Dominion facility, it's safe to say not much will change. It will continue to operate the way it has basically for the last ten years.

 I would touch briefly on the strong environmental record that this site has. It has not had an environmental related notice of violation in its history. It's a fairly friendly site to the neighboring community, due to the large amount of buffering that is naturally in existence on this site. The total property of BFI is 432 acres, and of that only about 25% is directly involved with disposal. It leaves the other 75% as a buffer area around the property, aside from the buffers that have been proffered. It also has about 20% open water and wetlands, and when I say wetlands, but as far as ponds and lakes on the site, it's very well buffered and very environmentally friendly.

The current expected life of the landfill is four to eight years, without the expansion. With the expansion, it will increase to twelve to fifteen years, providing this service to Henrico and especially Henrico industries for at least a decade, if not more. It also will help balance the County's waste disposal needs, in that the County currently has the Nuckols Road/Springfield Landfill in the western part of the County, and this would maintain the eastern location for the County. The contract and host agreement are currently in place between BFI and the County.

I will not go into the financial terms; I know they're not applicable to you, but I would like to discuss on the terms that directly affect the health, safety and welfare of the citizens. There is a real estate contract, which has been approved by the Board, and there is a host community agreement that will go into effect, assuming that a use permit is granted. The benefits to the County on health, safety, and welfare are substantial. It will allow the County to dispose of 70,000 tons of municipal solid waste at this site, for the life, basically, of the BFI Landfill. While that is free tipping at the County, the health/safety issue is that this is waste that will not be transported from the eastern district or any other area of the County through the County to the western district. It will continue to go to this site. The consideration of an East End Landfill basically goes away, and the County doesn't have to worry about paying for a replacement landfill. BFI is also required under terms of the host community agreement, to provide the County with a Solid Waste Safety Inspector, to be used anywhere in the County that the County desires. This is not currently required, and that will be paid, again, for the life of the Old Dominion Landfill, by BFI.

A very important issue is the tons per day that this site will be allowed to take. That will be capped under the new Host Community Agreement. Currently there is no cap in place, and it's been designed to make sure that the traffic on Charles City Road could not increase more than 5% if this use permit is approved. Again, that is protection the County does not currently have. The last issue that I believe is a safety issue to some degree, the old County Landfill, when it closed, the public use area remained in effect. It's been ongoing, from my knowledge, for the last ten to fifteen years. It prevents County residents in the East End from having to drive an exorbitant amount of distance to go to the County's West End locations. This wouldn't be maintained under this Host Community Agreement.

The County would be allowed to basically use that public use area free of charge as long as the landfill is open, and when the landfill does close, it will be given to the County. Again, that prevents a great deal of traffic and volume on the roads, plus all the waste going into that public use area, which is run by the County, local citizens, is transferred immediately to the Old Dominion Landfill, and not going back on the roads.

Ms. Dwyer - I'm sorry, what will be transferred back to the County, just the public use area?

2651 Mr. Spotts - The public use area. In other words, they will not have to go back and replicate that somewhere else.

2653		
2654	Mr. McKinney -	Mr. Spotts, what is the cap that you're going to put on it?
2655	_	
2656	Mr. Spotts -	The cap will be 4,000 tons per day; there are currently
2657		s per day, and this would allow for some growth, knowing that
2658	the County will probably r	redirect some of their waste to the County, based on their free
2659	tipping. It's not allowing a	great increase in tonnage.
2660		
2661	Mr. McKinney -	On this 200 feet, what percentage of this is of your total
2662	property?	
2663		
2664	Mr. Spotts -	I'm sorry, 200 feet?
2665	- p	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2666	Mr. McKinney -	What you're asking for.
2667	······································	Triat you to doming ton
2668	Mr. Spotts -	I didn't understand the distance reference.
2669	Wii : Opolio	r didn't dilderstand the distance reference.
2670	Mr. Wright –	What he's saying is, what percentage of your
2671	wii. wrigint –	What he 3 saying is, what percentage of your
2672	Mr. McKinney -	You have 200 feet wide, unused area between two landfills,
2673		
2673 2674	and that's what you want	to use, isn't it?
	Mr. Caatta	Correct
2675	Mr. Spotts -	Correct.
2676	Mar Markers	What considers 's that of an elaboration of O
2677	Mr. McKinney -	What percentage is that of your total property?
2678		TI 000 II 6 4 11 1 11 1 TO 6 II 4 4 1
2679	Mr Spotte	
	Mr. Spotts -	The 200 linear feet would probably be 5% of the total
2680	property.	The 200 linear feet would probably be 5% of the total
2681	property.	
2681 2682	property. Mr. McKinney -	That's why you said that the traffic would probably not
2681 2682 2683	property.	
2681 2682 2683 2684	property. Mr. McKinney -	
2681 2682 2683 2684 2685	property. Mr. McKinney -	
2681 2682 2683 2684	property. Mr. McKinney - increase over 5%.	That's why you said that the traffic would probably not The 5% was based on the number of trucks that are
2681 2682 2683 2684 2685	property. Mr. McKinney - increase over 5%. Mr. Spotts -	That's why you said that the traffic would probably not The 5% was based on the number of trucks that are
2681 2682 2683 2684 2685 2686	property. Mr. McKinney - increase over 5%. Mr. Spotts -	That's why you said that the traffic would probably not The 5% was based on the number of trucks that are
2681 2682 2683 2684 2685 2686 2687	property. Mr. McKinney - increase over 5%. Mr. Spotts - currently accessing the sit	That's why you said that the traffic would probably not The 5% was based on the number of trucks that are te.
2681 2682 2683 2684 2685 2686 2687 2688	property. Mr. McKinney - increase over 5%. Mr. Spotts - currently accessing the sit	That's why you said that the traffic would probably not The 5% was based on the number of trucks that are te.
2681 2682 2683 2684 2685 2686 2687 2688 2689	property. Mr. McKinney - increase over 5%. Mr. Spotts - currently accessing the sit Mr. McKinney - Mr. Spotts -	That's why you said that the traffic would probably not The 5% was based on the number of trucks that are te. How many trucks is that? The current number of trucks accessing the site today is
2681 2682 2683 2684 2685 2686 2687 2688 2689 2690	property. Mr. McKinney - increase over 5%. Mr. Spotts - currently accessing the sit Mr. McKinney - Mr. Spotts - about 320, and that would	That's why you said that the traffic would probably not The 5% was based on the number of trucks that are te. How many trucks is that?
2681 2682 2683 2684 2685 2686 2687 2688 2689 2690 2691 2692	property. Mr. McKinney - increase over 5%. Mr. Spotts - currently accessing the sit Mr. McKinney - Mr. Spotts - about 320, and that would tell you the history of the li	That's why you said that the traffic would probably not The 5% was based on the number of trucks that are te. How many trucks is that? The current number of trucks accessing the site today is d let it go up to about 360, assuming that cap was met. I will landfill it's very difficult to meet a cap, in other words, get up to
2681 2682 2683 2684 2685 2686 2687 2688 2689 2690 2691 2692 2693	property. Mr. McKinney - increase over 5%. Mr. Spotts - currently accessing the sit Mr. McKinney - Mr. Spotts - about 320, and that would tell you the history of the le exactly 4,000, because	That's why you said that the traffic would probably not The 5% was based on the number of trucks that are te. How many trucks is that? The current number of trucks accessing the site today is d let it go up to about 360, assuming that cap was met. I will landfill it's very difficult to meet a cap, in other words, get up to you run the risk of violating your cap, so it's pretty much
2681 2682 2683 2684 2685 2686 2687 2688 2689 2690 2691 2692 2693 2694	property. Mr. McKinney - increase over 5%. Mr. Spotts - currently accessing the sit Mr. McKinney - Mr. Spotts - about 320, and that would tell you the history of the li	That's why you said that the traffic would probably not The 5% was based on the number of trucks that are te. How many trucks is that? The current number of trucks accessing the site today is d let it go up to about 360, assuming that cap was met. I will landfill it's very difficult to meet a cap, in other words, get up to you run the risk of violating your cap, so it's pretty much
2681 2682 2683 2684 2685 2686 2687 2688 2689 2690 2691 2692 2693 2694 2695	property. Mr. McKinney - increase over 5%. Mr. Spotts - currently accessing the sit Mr. McKinney - Mr. Spotts - about 320, and that would tell you the history of the le exactly 4,000, because designed to keep the traff	That's why you said that the traffic would probably not The 5% was based on the number of trucks that are te. How many trucks is that? The current number of trucks accessing the site today is d let it go up to about 360, assuming that cap was met. I will landfill it's very difficult to meet a cap, in other words, get up to you run the risk of violating your cap, so it's pretty much ic where it is today.
2681 2682 2683 2684 2685 2686 2687 2688 2689 2690 2691 2692 2693 2694 2695 2696	property. Mr. McKinney - increase over 5%. Mr. Spotts - currently accessing the sit Mr. McKinney - Mr. Spotts - about 320, and that would tell you the history of the le exactly 4,000, because	That's why you said that the traffic would probably not The 5% was based on the number of trucks that are te. How many trucks is that? The current number of trucks accessing the site today is d let it go up to about 360, assuming that cap was met. I will landfill it's very difficult to meet a cap, in other words, get up to you run the risk of violating your cap, so it's pretty much
2681 2682 2683 2684 2685 2686 2687 2688 2689 2690 2691 2692 2693 2694 2695	property. Mr. McKinney - increase over 5%. Mr. Spotts - currently accessing the sit Mr. McKinney - Mr. Spotts - about 320, and that would tell you the history of the le exactly 4,000, because designed to keep the traff	That's why you said that the traffic would probably not The 5% was based on the number of trucks that are te. How many trucks is that? The current number of trucks accessing the site today is d let it go up to about 360, assuming that cap was met. I will landfill it's very difficult to meet a cap, in other words, get up to you run the risk of violating your cap, so it's pretty much ic where it is today.

2699 The reason for that reference is, less than 10% of the waste coming into that site will 2700 come from transfer stations picking up in northern Virginia area. Some of those waster haulers will access a transfer station in Maryland, and BFI requires them to segregate 2701 2702 the waste. They have a separate tipping floor area; it's very convoluted, and the reason for the 150-mile radius was to get away from that concern. That radius is also freely 2703 proffered by BFI, so there's no question that this is not intended to be a northeast waste 2704 site in any way, shape or form. 2705

2706 2707

And what type of waste do you take? Mr. McKinney -

Yes.

2708

2709 Municipal solid waste is defined by Virginia's Department of Mr. Spotts -Environmental Quality. It's basically household business waste. 2710

2711

2713

2712 Mr. McKinney -Is that garbage?

2714

2715

Mr. McKinney -

Mr. Spotts -

2716

2717 2718 Mr. Spotts -Hardees, business waste, County schools waste, residential waste picked up through neighborhoods.

Decaying food and stuff like that?

2719

2720 2721 Mr. McKinney -It generates flies and so forth. Let me ask you, when this is deposited in a landfill, how fast do you cover it up? 2722

2723

2724 I could have Mr. Dudding speak of that in detail, but the DEQ Mr. Spotts mandates that it be covered, and at night it has to be covered by a certain layer of what 2725 2726 they call "alternate daily fill," which is basically dirt. It cannot sit exposed overnight.

2727

2728 I think, and I could be corrected, that the County Landfill, has Mr. McKinney to close at 3:00 o'clock, and they start covering, so that it can be covered by nightfall. I 2729 2730 presume the guy from the County can correct me if I'm wrong.

2731

2732 Mr. Spotts -In a situation where everything has to be covered on a daily 2733 basis, I believe that holds true for all landfills, public or private.

2734

2735 Mr. McKinney -I was at the western landfill, Henrico County, Father's Day, and I want to tell you the odor was absolutely terrible, and I don't know what it is down 2736 2737 your way.

2738

2739 I appreciate your clarifying the western landfill. If I could Mr. Spotts address that, odor, as you have probably seen in the papers, is a problem statewide 2740 with landfills, always has been, always will be. There are quite a few steps you can take 2741 2742 to control that odor. One is in cover, and BFI in the last several months has been covering with more permanent clay cover, areas of their landfill at Old Dominion. There 2743 are also basically mechanical odor control devices that can be put in place. We've had 2744

one operating for over a month at BFI and Old Dominion; the residents we have spoken with have noticed a marked, fairly substantial change, and next week, General Electric (that's their subdivision) will be putting in a permanent odor control system. There are a lot of things that can be done. Cover is the best way, as you pointed out, to control that, but it has to be clay cover or a heavy cover that prevents the methane from coming through, and BFI has also upgraded their gas collection system at Old Dominion, which physically is a vacuum which pulls it out of the mass that's contained, and flares it. I can't speak to any other landfill except that one.

Mr. Wright - Mr. Blankinship, I don't see anywhere in our suggested conditions that we have included this cap that has been referred to.

Mr. Blankinship - We had some discussions between myself, Mr. Spotts, and the County Attorney's office also, how to handle some of the details that are already dealt with in both the real estate contract and the host community agreement. Rather than try to duplicate all of those conditions, or triplicate all of those conditions, we took a position that everything that's spelled out and that the County Manager has signed off on, in either the real estate contract or the host community agreement, we decided to leave there. If there's something in addition to these conditions that you want to bring into the conditional use permit, by all means we can do that.

Mr. Wright - We could just put a condition in that this is subject to complying with those requirements.

Mr. Blankinship - Yes sir.

2771 Mr. McKinney - I know we've got some opposition, so we'll find out.

Mr. Kirkland - Mr. Spotts, on Condition # 3, where it says, "may be acceptedfrom 6:30 AM to 6:00 PM Monday through Friday, from 7:00 AM to 6:00....," when do you start covering, at 6:00 o'clock, or do you work sections all day long?

Mr. Spotts - You have a face; in other words, the whole landfill is not open. You try to keep what they refer to as the face of the landfill as tight and as compact as possible for that very reason. If the amount of waste coming that day has dribbled off, if you will, they can start closing earlier. They will start as soon as that active face shuts down, and the last citizen or industry rep, or whoever comes in with a load, stops, we're just limited by those hours. So it could be as late as 6:01; they could have covered ¾ of it by 5:00 because there's no volume.

2786 Mr. McKinney - 6:00 pm on Eastern Standard Time, in the middle of winter, 2787 is dark.

2789 Mr. Spotts - DEQ requires lighting if you're operating after the hours of dark, and that's why you might expedite your coverage.

2791 2792 Mr. McKinney – You will be operating after dark; your hours are till 6:00 pm, and you've got trucks coming in at 6:00 pm, correct? 2793 2794 2795 They will come in, but basically the trucks controlled by BFI Mr. Spotts will come in earlier because they do not want a surge of trucks coming in at 5:59, but 2796 the DEQ regs mandate if you're operating in the hours of darkness, which as you 2797 2798 correctly pointed out, fluctuates, you have to have adequate lighting. 2799 2800 Mr. McKinney -Do they have any problems with not taking any trucks an 2801 hour before daylight? 2802 2803 Mr. Spotts -The problem with that is, as far as having them come in stages, you want to get them off the road, so those hours are hours that everybody's 2804 been fairly comfortable with for the last ten years. The Saturday hours have been 2805 revised to reflect several issues, one of which is taking the build-up of waste from the 2806 2807 public use area, which you can imagine is frequently quite heavy on weekends, out of 2808 the County's area, and into a landfill. 2809 2810 Mr. McKinney - Do you operate on Sundays? 2811 2812 Mr. Spotts -We do not currently operate unless it's by request of the 2813 County, and that's a clarification also. For example, with the hurricane we experienced 2814 last November, if we're asked to open up because of a problem like that, it allows us to do it without coming back to the BZA. 2815 2816 2817 Mr. McKinney -Mr. Blankinship, should we have a condition for that? 2818 Mr. Blankinship - We can certainly add that if you like. 2819 2820 Mr. McKinney -2821 I mean other than "as requested by the County under a disaster," or something to that effect. 2822 2823 2824 Mr. Kirkland -Number # kind of addresses that. 2825 2826 Mr. Spotts -We've tried to cover that very issue. 2827 Mr. Blankinship - Or "such other times as expressly required or permitted by 2828 2829 the Director of Planning or the Director of Public Utilities. Or their designees."

2830

Mr. Spotts - Mr. McKinney, the cost of being open on Sundays for the limited volume of waste that would come in, is not something BFI is happy about, but they wanted to address the County's concerns, because we hit that in November.

2834 2835

Mr. McKinney - Same as holidays.

2837 Ms. Dwyer - If you're picking up waste from a transfer station that's within 150 mile radius, how can you be sure that it's not coming from farther away?

2840 Mr. Spotts - They require segregation of the waste; it's inspected by the engineers at BFI. If there's any question, it cannot be accepted. They're very concerned about violating the intent of the agreement.

2844 Ms. Dwyer - So it's self monitored?

Mr. Spotts - At the same time, someone could pick up a bag of household waste from Bethesda, drive to Richmond, drop it in the County public use area, it would come to the landfill; we couldn't certify that that bag didn't come from Bethesda, Maryland, but we do everything we can do to meet the intent of the agreement.

Ms. Dwyer - Would you go over the proposed changes to the conditions that have been suggested today.

Mr. Spotts - I will.

Mr. Blankinship - Before he begins, let me just say that we have discussed these very briefly in just the last two days, and so I apologize that I didn't get you a set of revised conditions, but the staff is comfortable with these suggested changes.

Mr. Spotts - If I could just generalize, the vast majority of the conditions that we offered as the applicant, in which the staff, in some form or fashion, included in your report as their recommendation, are pretty much the same. There have been changes due to the fact that when the original CUP, use permits were granted by this Board, everything was done on a parcel number, and everything now has changed to a GPIN number, and there's a very large GPIN number incorporating numerous parcels that were identified in previously existing use permits. I think staff has worked very hard to try to bring everything together. That has necessitated some changes to make sure the intent of the '95, especially, restrictions go forward. If I could run over those with you, the time of operation, Saturdays and Sundays, Mr. McKinney has already addressed. The height change is primarily based on the fact that you've got two landfills now going together, which allows a higher height. The DEQ mandates a 3 to 1 slope; you're not talking a square block; you're talking a rounded hill.

Ms. Dwyer - You're talking now about Condition # 11?

 Mr. Spotts - Correct, and if I could get away from the 320 feet, from Charles City Road, there currently allowed about 100 feet of height. This will allow them to increase from 100 feet to about 150. Again, that's driven by the fact that you've got two cells coming together, and as far as longevity of the landfill, the height is what allows them the air space to keep the landfill open. And also the Board approves it.

2883 Ms. Dwyer - So you're allowed to go higher, because the footprint is 2884 larger?

2885 2886

2887

2888

2889

2890

Mr. Spotts - Correct. Also the Board approves it, and we could have gone, I think in '95 originally, the Board had been requested for a higher location, but I think the Board wanted to see the landfill operate for ten years, make sure the screening and everything was working, which it has, before they went higher than that. That is not a cap placed on BFI by the Department of Environmental Quality; that's just as high as they would want to take it

2891 2892 2893

Ms. Dwyer - So 320 feet above mean sea level is about 150 feet from Charles City Road.

2894 2895 2896

2897

2898

2899 2900

2901 2902

2903 2904

2905

2906

2907 2908

2909 2910

2911

2912

29132914

Mr. Spotts -That just gives you a little better reference point. The other changes, and I've got a handout that can be passed out, you have a copy of these already. If you look on that page, it says "Proposed Changes and Conditions." This will answer most of those questions. Condition # 15 combines several conditions that came out in '95, I believe many of the Board members will remember those. I'll discuss those later as new conditions. We support the Phase I, Phase II, restrictions that show specifically that area of the site that will be used for disposal. That's the most important; it makes sure the proffers are maintained for surrounding properties. Revised Condition # 16 that we would recommend is that the landfill needs to be fenced, and I believe it's a DEQ requirement that it be fenced. The original condition in the staff report was somewhat off-point, in that it wanted the fence on the northern line to be 1,000 feet off the road. That is somewhat the last thing you want. You want the fence to be on the outside perimeter of your site. The neighbors we met with on May 13, the one thing they were very adamant about was if there was anything we could do to improve the security of the site, to keep trespassers off of it, to keep children from getting on there, they would like for us to do. If we were to take the fence 1,000 feet off Charles City, we have to tear down a lot of existing fence, and it would also put the fence across the toe of the slope, which I don't think DEQ would approve. I think everybody's intent now, having reviewed it, is to have that fence as far against the outside perimeter as possible, and this would allow us to do that.

2915 2916 2917

2918

2919

Mr. Blankinship - As he mentioned earlier, the previous conditions referenced the old parcel numbers, and it was when I tried to figure out which of those parcels was where on the property, that I mistook that 1,000-foot limit, so that was just an error on my part.

2920 2921 2922

Ms. Dwyer - So how far off Charles City Road would the fence be?

29232924

29252926

2927

2928

Mr. Spotts - It will be at least 500 or 600 feet off of Charles City, because there are other properties not owned by BFI on Charles City. You will note on the overhead, the area comprising the lake, where it says Phase I to the north of that, is primarily a lake area. If you'll note the lake there, to the north center of the property, the fence is further north and east. If you notice the greenish area there, the fence is

2929 already in place to the north of that lake and to the south of that green strip along Charles City Road. That's already there, and that's well inside of 1,000 feet, but that's 2930 where we keep people from accessing the lake that would cause a problem. It sort of spills over into new condition 19 and 20. The '95 use permit basically had a provision 2932 based on a parcel number that required that lake to remain in place. BFI has no 2933 2934 problem with that; we have no intention of doing anything with the lake. It would take an act of Congress to drain a lake of that size. We want to make sure that provision stays 2935 in, because we agreed with the neighbors ten years ago that would be the case and do 2936 not want to change it. New condition 20 references that strip of land north of the lake 2937 2938 and south of Charles City Road. The neighbors ten years ago had wanted that strip to remain in place; we want it to remain in place because you can see it provides the best 2939 2940 buffer possible from Charles City Road to the landfill area. Even though BFI owns every house along that strip except one, they want to keep that strip in place, and that's why I suggested we clarify what that is and leave it in place. 2942 2943

Ms. Dwyer -Except for improvements to provide entrance or other improvements. What improvements do you think might happen there?

Mr. Spotts -That's really a quote from the existing use permit condition from '95. The entrance is in; it's done. All the curb and gutter and asphalt and everything you see there and several of those conditions to be done, are already done, and I believe we've added a restriction, if we ever move that entrance, we'd have to get approval on that.

2953 Ms. Dwyer -The entrance doesn't come in between the lake and Charles City Road. 2954

2956 Mr. Spotts -If you go the right about, right there, that's your entrance.

2958 Mr. Blankinship -It's there, but you can't read it. 2959

2960 Mr. Spotts -To answer your general question, there really are no other improvements scheduled except the actual landfill itself, the disposal area. 2961

2963 Ms. Dwyer -Would you show the lake on this one?

2965 Mr. Blankinship -You can see the corner I believe.

2967 Ms. Dwyer -So technically that is *(unintelligible)*. 2968

2969 The only change we propose at that entrance is right Mr. Spotts between the lake and the road itself, as it comes in, where we have a buffer area 2970 approved by the County Planning Commission. We plan to double that to increase 2972 planting. No other asphalt; all that's been done and in place.

2974 Mr. Blankinship -I can't read the fence in this photograph, but is it just along

2931

2941

2944

2945 2946 2947

2948

2949 2950

2951

2952

2955

2957

2962

2964

2966

2971

2975 the north side of the lake?

2977 Mr. Spotts - It's in the woods, slightly inside the north side of the lake. 2978 Again, that's a DEQ requirement, even if the BZA were to not require that.

Ms. Dwyer - Going back to condition # 15, you're eliminating all areas within 1,000 feet of Charles City shall remain in their natural state or be improved, and that's been taken out?

Mr. Spotts - If I could explain why – that referenced a parcel number that was the lake, and they had referenced it to say that that parcel number, which was the lake, would be left in its natural condition. It covered the lake and the strip of woods we just discussed. We're picking that up in 19 and 20. If you were to do that for the whole rest of the site, especially what we're purchasing from the County, all of BFI's operations buildings, the scale house, the County's public use area, would apply to all of that.

Mr. Blankinship - That's the same mistake that I referred to before, that I just misunderstood the maps as I was trying to revise the conditions.

2995 Ms. Dwyer - So what you've taken out in 15, you've in effect added back 2996 in 19 and 20.

2998 Mr. Spotts - Correct.

3000 Ms. Dwyer – Should we be more specific about the location of the fence, if it doesn't need to be 1,000, or did you want to have the opportunity to have a variable?

Mr. Spotts - If I could reference that, we have to submit within 45 days of this hearing, should this permit be granted, a fencing and landscaping design to the County. I would hope that we could specify the exact location at that time. The BFI site is already fenced; it's pretty much already complete. The County site has a great deal of fencing. I know that even though it's more expensive to the applicant, BFI will want to maximize it's fencing again to address the neighbors' concerns, to keep people away from the site.

If I could just briefly touch on some of the Ordinance requirements, the Board of course has to give their regard to the nature and condition of adjacent uses. I think we've talked about that, the fact that this site is somewhat surrounded by the industrial use. We've proffered the conditions to protect the residents on Pickwick Lane, to make sure that the very heavily vegetated area remains that way. The special characteristics of this industry do not change. That's one of the issues that I know you review. The traffic impact is nominal. It will basically extend the life span of this site.

In regards to health, safety, and welfare, I would state there's one improvement here. If you look at Phase II, one of the conditions that we have put forward, and the staff

likewise has put forward, is that when Phase II impacts that closed County cell, we have to cover the remainder of the County cell, even that which is not impacted by our fill, with a Subtitle D Cap Covering System. Currently the County Landfill is closed in accordance with the regulations existing at that time; however it was never a Subtitle D Landfill; it was what we refer to as a 1205 Landfill or even prior to that. So that would improve the environmental cover, protection, and more importantly, the monitoring of that cell, which of course benefits everybody in that area. I would say that the supply of light and air will not be affected here; there will be no increase to public danger; it's the same operating facility that's been in effect; the value character that the neighborhood has gained is not going to change as far as use, because there have been landfills on this road since 1972, and they'll be still operating probably after this has gone, on the C an D side.

The project is not even compatible with the general plans and objectives of the County, and I would stress again that a landfill can be an economic engine to growth in a county, and if this landfill were to have to close early, it would definitely not benefit the property owners who are trying to fill the vacancies in Laburnum Avenue and Williamsburg Road and Charles City Road, as far as trying to get industry back in. I'd like to make you all aware of the fact that on May 13 we held a neighborhood meeting. We mailed notices to all the people who were on the County's list who were adjacent to the East permit area. We also mailed to other people who were not adjacent to the East permit area whom we thought should be made aware of it. We mailed to the people, what's called the Carter Farm; it's the northwest block, I believe it's shown on your screen now. Mr. Wright, there's one other change here that we would submit. That block is called the Carter Farm, but agreement with the neighbors ten years ago; that was never to be included in the use permit. The GPIN number has captured it. Today we would like to exclude that from the use permit, in keeping with the agreements with the neighbors. You've got a plat there, which I believe, marks that as the upper left-hand block that's not shaded. Again, in speaking with the neighbors, we have tried to address all of their concerns. I know there's one gentleman here who's concerns we could not address. but we've only had one person raise issue with us on the project, and we have to reiterate the real estate contract, the host community agreement, the regulations of the Department of Environmental Quality, and the EPA, which governs the Subtitle D Landfill. This site is replete with protective ordinances to protect the citizens and the health, safety, and welfare of that community. If there are any questions you have, there are people here more technically experienced in landfilling who will be glad to answer them. I'll be glad to answer any other questions you might have.

Mr. Wright - How long have you operated this landfill there at your present location?

3062 Mr. Spotts - Since 1994. The County closed in 1991; I believe we 3063 opened in 1994.

3065 Mr. Wright - Is the entire area now operational, or have you had to close off any because it was used up or filled?

3021

3022

3023

3024

3025 3026

3027

3028

3029 3030

3031 3032

3033 3034

3035

3036

3037 3038

3039 3040

3041 3042

3043

3044

3045

3046

3047 3048

3049

3050

3051

3052

3053

3054 3055

3056 3057

3058 3059

3060

3061

Mr. Spotts - Looking at Phase I, the western side, that will close from west to east, and so yes, we have filled up our footprint for Phase I. The Phase II will allow up to go up more and out to the east until we hit the closed County cell, and then we will pretty much stop at that point. In line with your question, you will note to the east of the closed County landfill, you have the power line right-of-way. As you are familiar, that is not a telephone line; they are major Virginia Power lines that I think the tipping fee would have to be ten times what it is today to justify removing those. We have met with Virginia Power, and they have allowed us two berms on their power line to block any view from Charles City Road, but as far as your query about the expansion capability, I don't think there will be much after this.

Mr. Wright - Then I understand that basically what this would permit you to do is extend your operation more than would be to increase it.

Mr. Spotts - Yes sir, that's exactly correct.

3084 Mr. Wright - You're closing off part, and you're just using unused areas to extent your operation.

3087 Mr. Spotts - Yes sir, we would be closing east to west.

3089 Mr. Wright - Also, if this is approved, this would not enable you to use any area any closer to Charles City Road than what is being used now or was being used by the County.

3093 Mr. Spotts - That is correct, exactly correct.

3095 Ms. Dwyer - In looking at the map, the Phase II area seems to extend 3096 beyond the two hundred foot buffer line. I'm assuming the dashed lines are buffer lines 3097 that used to exist between the two landfills, is that correct?

 Mr. Spotts - Correct. In looking at your Phase II line without getting out the topos, what that does, is that is solid property. The land marked in the slash around there, a lot of that is wetlands that we could not go into. So all the setbacks requirements are met, and you're using to 200 feet; that's roughly the distance that was mentioned earlier as you come along that boundary between the BFI property and the closed County Landfill. It will come down to the south, but as you note, it goes just to the edge of the current closed County cell.

3107 Ms. Dwyer - I'm wondering, I'm just curious as to why Phase II includes 3108 the area that is beyond the 200-foot buffer. I guess I assumed coming into this, that we 3109 were just looking at the 200-foot buffer and allowing that to become a part of your 3110 operation.

3112 Mr. Spotts - If you went to where, again, it's sort of a variable point, if you

went further north and cut 100 feet out of it because of that area where it loops down, you would cut years off the life of the landfill. What they have done is come to the very southern toe of the slope, and they have gone west where they can. They would have gone straight west, but you've got wetlands in there that cannot be impacted, so they just picked up a small piece of useable land, which again increases the facility's lifespan without going into wetlands.

3120 Mr. Wright - Any further questions of members of the Board? Does that take care of your concerns at this time, Ms. Dwyer?

3123 Ms. Dwyer - It does.

Mr. Wright - Does that take care of your case at this time? Then we'll hear from the opposition. Please come up and state your name, and I would ask that we not have repetition, so if each person would speak, be sure that what you're saying is something additional that we need to hear.

Mr. Marshall - My name is Watson Marshall, and I'm an adjoining landowner to the west of this site, and I've opposed it ever since it started. Mr. Spotts tells you that the last several months they have been covering this up. Go back and look at the history. When they get ready to want something, a permit or something, they go down there and they clean the road, and they pick up all the trash, and they cover it and do all kinds of things to satisfy the citizens and the County, and I'm really surprised at this method that's been used to sell the land to the County. I went to a meeting with Mr. Donati last week, and I asked him about this coming up use permit, and he acted like it was already a done deal. He was really surprised that he was even being questioned about it. I would dare say that very few citizens even know that the County has contracted to sell this land to BFI, so they can expand the landfill.

They tell you it's about 300-some trucks a day, and that's a hell of a lot of trucks. If you go down there and watch them as they come down, it's a 35-mile-per-hour speed limit on Brittles Lane or Masonic Lane that has three names; when you come off of Nine Mile Road, it's Masonic Lane, and when you get to Gay Avenue, it's Brittles Lane, and when you get to Williamsburg Road, it's Charles City Road. It's 45-miles-per-hour on Charles City Road; it's a two-lane, narrow road, and these trucks don't run 45 miles an hour.

Several times, five or six times in the last six or eight months, I've been called to the restaurant (my son runs Yesterday's Restaurant on that corner, somebody breaking in, or we had a fire, and these trucks are up there at 5:00 o'clock or 5:30. They have a turning lane on Charles City Road, and they're all there, and I've run them off of the property at Yesterday's, and they tell you all this trash is coming from Virginia.

That's not so, unless these trucks that have Maryland license plates are working in northern Virginia. And they say 150 miles; they want to go and get trash, and they're going to police it. I don't know who polices what's going on down on Charles City Road, and I dare say no one. It disturbs me that Mr. Yob, he used to be an employee of BFI;

now he's on our side over here trying to sell you a bill of goods that this thing should be extended. About five years ago they said you don't have to have a cap. You can't regulate how far we go up, but you can, and the County did. Then they come here and they want something, and they ask for a little bit. They come back, and they want to raise the height. We opposed that, Mr. Conley Booth and I, we seem to be the only two, because BFI, they send you a notice to come to a little party. They give you a coca cola and a pack of Nabs or something and tell you what a wonderful neighbor they are and how wonderful this trash dump is for the citizens who live around it. I got mine a day or 2 before they had the meeting. I wouldn't have gone anyway. I went last time, and they just want to tell you how wonderful this is. They did the same thing, and they've sold Mr. Binter; he seems to think that everything they're doing is wonderful. I can tell you; you don't live there. There's not a person here except Jimmy Nunnally who probably knows where the landfill is.

But the traffic is tremendous on Charles City Road. It's the second highest traffic count on Rt. 60 between here and Virginia Beach. The largest one is Laburnum Avenue. So you've got a heck of a lot of traffic, and a lot of it is residents going home from working in the west end or somewhere. Now they're going to increase that 350 or 325. If somebody went down there and policed this thing, it would be more than 325, because it's a constant convoy, and they're running like hell going down to that landfill.

The stench, they say "oh, well, in the last several months we've been covering it up with some heavy clay." Do you know why they've been doing it? Because they've been coming here, and evidently the County has sold out to them by agreeing to sell them this land, so they knew they were coming here to get a permit so they could operate it, so they go down there and start covering up with the clay. Wonderful! Did they do it for the last ten years? No! And there's not a soul here, not Mr. Yob with the County, or anybody else with the County, can tell me they've been down there and policed this. They didn't cover it up – it stinks! Mr. Spotts tells you it's a wonderful-smelling thing. Flies – can you imagine how many flies that this thing generates? My son runs a restaurant, and sometimes you go there and get out of the car, the damn stench is so bad you don't even want to go in the restaurant.

 We were there before BFI. This originally was Commonwealth Sand and Gravel, all the lakes and ponds you see there were dug when the gravel was taken out. The County bought the first part of it when they closed the landfill and the trash over on Darbytown Road and moved over there in '71 or '72. It was for the citizens. There's nothing wrong with providing a place for the citizens to take their trash. Nothing. We don't have all the luxuries that they have in the west end. We don't have trash pick-up; we don't have it in the east end, unless you pay some private contractor. Most people in Varina go to this landfill; it's a good thing, but they put it in containers and then take it next door and dump it in BFI. Charles City is hell bent on creating the largest trash pile and business in the state. Why don't we let BFI go down to Charles City, buy a piece of land and join down there and take all this trash. It's not that far. It's about twenty miles; they could go down to Charles City and they would welcome them down there, they'd be happy.

What are we going to do with this after they fill it up? The methane gas and all the substance coming out of it for years and years, what are we going to do with it? I say let them finish what they said when they came here, and you granted them permission to put that landfill in there to start with, and they said they'd be through and gone in eight or ten years. That didn't satisfy them. Now they want to go up to heaven and keep on building it up. I say they shouldn't go more than 100 feet at any time. We didn't even want 100 feet. I don't think you have any concept of what a nuisance that is. When it rains, we get mud all over the road, and now they're just sweeping and cleaning it up. We've got trash all up and down Charles City Road; they go down and pick it up. For the last four or five months, they've been very attentive to what's going on outside of that landfill, but I'll tell you for the other ten years, they don't care, and the County doesn't care. There's nobody policing that landfill, and he can tell you all these wonderful things they're going to do, and I can tell you it's not so. Unless you go down there and look at it, I say deny this thing and don't let the County sell the land. If you deny it, they can't sell it; that's conditional upon the contract. How much are they paying or it anything? Are they like some of these developers, -- they're going to give you a place for a school, a place for a fire station - it'll be a hoax; they're going to let you come there and dump your trash. But they're going to fill it up so fast that you'll never, ever get any benefit from that hoax dump for the County. I'll be glad to answer any of your questions; I'm just hot as a citizen; I've lived here all my life, and every time we think that this thing is going away, we thought in 1995 when you let them go up to have a little bit more, that was the end of it, but now ten years later, here we go again. Now they want to explain it. Let them move; let them go somewhere else. I'm just stressed that Virginia is known as the second largest importer of trash in the United States, next to Pennsylvania. Are you proud of that? Is anybody in the County proud of that? I hope not.

Ms. Dwyer - Sir where do you live?

Mr. Marshall - I live at 9740 Osborne Landing, which is on the James River; it's at the intersection of Osborne Turnpike and Kingsland Road, and I also own 34 acres on Osborne Pike, own 110 acres down behind the old Bradley Scales, and I'm a taxpayer. I'm just distressed at what our leaders are doing to us, and it's just not right. They say it doesn't matter because everything else around here is industrial. I'm just frustrated.

Mr. Booth - I'm Conley Booth, and I reside at 4598 East Williamsburg Road in the Varina District. I'm opposed to this, pretty much in the same basis that Mr. Marshall was referring to. It's been going on a long time; they've been back several times and got increases to leave them there longer. I'm like him; I realize that you, all of us have refuse and trash, but that particular segment, as the attorney pointed out, has been used since 1972, for one reason or another, for some sort of refuse area. I feel like also that the area has been occupied long enough for that, and paid their fair share of dues there, so I think that's even more reason to consider. He says it's zoned industrial; it would have to be industrial in order to get rid of the waste, but I am in the front door, across the street, with 14 ½ acres zoned B-3. That's a big difference,

between B-3 and commercial use that they're using refuse for. It's killed the value and any potential I have to develop my property, and I'm like Mr. Marshall. I was there before they were. This has been ignored in the past, and I feel like it's time for them to finish up what they've got and let it go. If you want to show my property, I'd like to point it out to you. You see the entrance.

Mr. McKinney - Put the zoning map up. You the B-3 on Williamsburg Road?

No sir. I'm the B-3 on Charles City Road, right at the Mr. Booth entrance. You see the entrance there; that's my property. I've got over 300 feet of road frontage there, and they're in front of me all the way. I also offered to negotiate with them to sell the property to them and see if they could do something with it. I didn't get a response. They said "do what you've got to do." You want to put the other picture back up, the color picture, and you may see a better view of that. You see the property across the street and the entrance? The entrance of their property is in the center of my property, and to the left side of that is the State Library. I don't know if you're familiar with that or not; it's a very nice building. I'm surprised they ever built it there. They have trash and debris that comes from all these trucks. I ride by there daily because I have a lot of interests in that particular area, along the Williamsburg Road corridor, because I have several other properties in that immediate area, and I can give you the addresses on those if you like. I have one location at 3118 Williamsburg Road, another at 3200 Williamsburg Road, another at 3125 Williamsburg Road, and 3127 Williamsburg Road, 3306 Williamsburg Road, 3310 Williamsburg Road, 3320 Williamsburg Road, and 5201 Coxson Road. I am also a taxpayer, and I would look for some help in this situation.

Mr. Wright - Mr. Booth, what type of business do you operate on your B-3 property there?

Mr. Booth - There's no business there.

3282 Mr. Wright - That building is not your building? 3283

 Mr. Booth - No sir, that building belongs to the State; it's the State Library Archives.

Mr. Wright - Your property is unimproved.

Mr. Booth - Unimproved, and I have approached the County on this in the past, and did have an approved POD. That expired on me; however, I'm sure they'd re-enter it, but I could not get any takers, due to the fact of the location with the dump and the problems that you have with the dump. I would like to put an office/warehouse there, which would be income for the County, and gain some revenue. The whole area, to the front of it, is all zoned B-3, the part that's developed and not developed, all the way to Williamsburg Road. I don't think somebody looked at that to start with when they allowed the dump aspect to continue there, because there's no way you're going to

develop any business with the dump there. You can look at that area and see what is built or developed new in business in the last 25 years. There's none, and there's not going to be as long as that condition exists. As far as the health, safety and welfare, it's unreal. You'd have to go and see these trucks and the way they come in there, and they have accidents, one after another; they run on over top of people there, especially at Gay and Brittles Lane, and they come down the grade on Masonic, come down to the bottom of the hill, and those trucks are mostly, probably all overloaded, and they come down the hill and they cross the railroad track, and they're running wide open to get up that hill. All of them are running, whatever they can run, if it's 50 miles an hour, 60, that's what they're doing. Then they cross that intersection at Williamsburg Road, flying, and then it's 45 miles an hour going around a curve.

Mr. Nunnally - Have you reported any of this to the police?

3311 Mr. Booth - No, but there are plenty of police over there; the police ought to know about it.

3314 Mr. Nunnally - Why not call them and repot them, so they can put 3315 somebody on duty so they can catch them?

Mr. Booth - I certainly will, but as a matter of fact, the speed limit should be lower for those trucks, should be 25 miles an hour. That's a two-lane road, near Charles City Road. It's designated by the County to be a four-lane road, but it didn't happen. It should happen, either way, if they're going to allow it to operate, it should be expanded. They already have the right-of-way. The health issues, with everything that bad odors can attract, from flies and mosquitoes, the birds, seagulls, and crows, buzzards. Seagulls — I have a property, a strip shopping center there at 3320 Williamsburg Road. There are hundreds of birds that come from that dump, over there every morning. I go out there and drive my vehicle to try to get them off my property.

Mr. McKinney - Why do they go to your property? They usually go somewhere to feed.

Mr. Booth - I don't know what they're doing. I'm not putting any feed out there. Somebody else might be, but I'm not, but if I see any, I run them off. They're usually around in a wet period, maybe low spots in the parking lot or something like that, but somebody might feed them sometime; I don't know. But what's bringing them over there? I know they carry diseases, and then you put up with the droppings and all of that. Who's monitoring what they're bringing in? They say how much they're bringing in, but who monitors other than them? It's a negative impact on anybody who wants to build or develop over there. We had the same problem back when Nabisco came in down there. You almost lost them on account of the dump situation. I'm just requesting that some issues be looked at here, and to not allow them to extend operation any more than they've already got, which is more than I agreed to, to start with.

Mr. Wright - Anyone else in opposition? Mr. Spotts, you have a brief time

3343 to rebut.

3344 3345

3346

3347 3348

3349

3350

3351 3352

3353 3354

3355

3356

3357 3358

3359

3360

3361

3362

3363 3364

3365

3366

3367

3368 3369

3370 3371

3372

3373 3374

3375

3376 3377

3378

3379 3380

3381 3382

3383

3384 3385

3386

3387

3388

Mr. Spotts -I'll be as brief as possible, sir; I appreciate the time. I've read the transcripts of '95, and I'm aware that both Mr. Marshall and Mr. Booth expressed opposition at that time. There's not a whole lot to say, except that the landfill is there, we desire to expand it. I would like to comment on a couple of items that Mr. Marshall mentioned. If you recall, two years ago we had a drought. This last year we've had an incredible amount of rain. That is one thing that produces landfill gas more than anything else, as far as getting it into the environment. That's why you've read about the gas problem in other landfills, not Henrico's, and it's one of the reasons we've been doing so much work on odor control. Definitely to get ready for the meeting, there's no question about that, but it's also been mandated by the last twelve months of rain. The other issue is the slope cover we're doing now, as I address, I believe to Mr. McKinney, we are closing other areas of landfill. It's finished; it's reached its maximum height, and that's when you put your final cover on it. You have to. As far as the traffic on the road, both gentlemen are actually correct. One of the things that we brought out at the meeting with the neighbors on May 13, is that we would support any restriction on traffic. We would support any improvements on the road that we're told, based on the current budget. VDOT funds for that road are pretty much non-existent, but we strongly support any type of enforcement and lowering of speed on that road. As far as landfill policing, to use the term, where is it coming from, are they covering it? I'm sure you have read recently about the debacle in Page County, Virginia. Landfills are closed down by the Department of Environmental Quality. Private landfills are under the gun with the Department. They monitor them a great deal on a very great basis. If we do not cover, if we violate any of our terms, we get a notice of violation; if we don't correct, we are shut down. We've never had an environmental waste-related notice of violation at this site. If we do not pick up on Charles City Road, and pick up the litter, which we do the entire length of the landfill by agreement contained in the '95 use permit, we get a phone call from the County. It happens very rarely because we do what we're supposed to do. The cap that one gentleman referred to, we've always had a height cap. I was referring to the cap on tons per day. I think there was confusion there. Mr. Booth's concern, he's right across from the entrance to the property – I know that anybody with property that's business related wants to be across from a park, not a landfill, but I would submit to you that if you stand on his property and look across the road, what you see is a park. You see the turn-in; it's been planted, landscape buffered, if you look over that, you've got Lake Snyder. If you look at all the other entrances on Charles City Road, and I don't mean to speak ill of any other business on Charles City Road, I think you will find this is one of the nicer entrances along the whole front. Mr. Booth was wise to connect his property to Williamsburg Road so he'd have two means of ingress and egress, but I would point out to you, if you drive along Charles City Road. you will see "For Sale" signs to some degree. If you drive Williamsburg Road, you see a lot of vacant properties and "For Sale" signs. If you come off of 64 on Laburnum all the way down to Charles City, there are hundreds of thousands of square feet of office and especially office warehouse vacant, that I know the County and the Economic Development people are working hard to try to find some use for. That's not because of this landfill. If anything, when they find tenants to come there, those tenants are looking

for power, water, sewer and waste. They want readily available waste so that they can get rid of their waste cheaply and not go twenty or thirty miles or whatever the distance is, and be held hostage by an out-of-County provider. I would submit to you again, if BFI was not doing what it was supposed to do, and I don't mean the last three months, I mean since 1994, and back to 1972, when they first came on Charles City, if a pack of Nabs and a coke would buy people off, I would have delivered these gentlemen cases of it prior to this meeting. We've done our job; if we ever miss something, we are jumped on by the County, and by the DEQ, and that rarely happens, because we've done what we said we were going to do. I think if you look back to 1995, we've done what the Board was told in 1995. Any follow-up questions?

Mr. McKinney - Mr. Spotts, you don't take care of the waste for the business owners on Williamsburg Road and Charles City Road, do you?

Mr. Spotts - Say again, do we take care of it?

Mr. McKinney - You don't take their waste, do you?

Mr. Spotts - We take all we can get. We have competition for that, but we take all we can get, and I would imagine the bidding process, because of the proximity, we're able to keep rates low. If we were not there, I believe the rates would be much higher.

Mr. McKinney - In other words, you have trucks that go up and down Williamsburg Road and pick up trash and garbage? And also Charles City Road? To take to your landfill?

Mr. Spotts - BFI has a collection side as well. They pick up whatever businesses that they can contract with, Mr. McKinney, and have the best rate to pick up. Waste Management does; several other small private haulers go and pick up, and those go to obviously to the Old Dominion Landfill. Now if Waste Management picks up, they're going to take it either to the city of Richmond transfer station and ship it off one of their landfills, or perhaps go directly to Charles City. I can't really speak for the competing companies.

Mr. McKinney - But you have trash trucks in that area?

Mr. Spotts - Yes sir, we definitely do. As far as picking up on Charles City Road, to clarify, BFI has personnel who go out and literally pick up along that frontage road, all along Charles City Road by agreement with the County and the Board for '95, and that is of great value to the neighbors because the public use area on the weekends gets a lot of use. It's not the County's fault, but people drive in with trash in the back of their cars with the trunks open, with pick-up trucks. It creates quite a mess, which is very difficult for the County to control, but Monday morning BFI is picking all that up.

Mr. McKinney - So you pick up all the trash on Charles City Road, whether it's from your trucks or 3435 3436 3437 3438 Mr. Spotts -I'm talking litter, if I can restate that. They pick up litter along the road. Now if there's a business on Charles City Road, and they've got a contract 3439 with them, yes sir, they'll pick that up. 3440 3441 3442 Mr. McKinney - I'm saying, you police Charles City Road on a daily basis? 3443 3444 Mr. Spotts -I'll let Mr. Dudding qualify that – yes we do. 3445 Mr. McKinney -3446 On a daily basis? So you literally have people who are 3447 going out picking up littler, etc., on both sides of Charles City Road, whether it came off 3448 of your trucks or came from private vehicles or whatever. 3449 3450 Mr. Spotts -We cannot discriminate. Yesterday around 3:30 or 4:00 3451 o'clock, as I left the site, there was a gentleman out there with a pole in hand and a bag 3452 on the edge of that road, and as pointed out, it's a very narrow road. I would not want 3453 that job, but yes, it is done. 3454 3455 If this permit is not approved, how much longer will you be Mr. Wright -3456 able to operate at your present location? 3457 3458 Mr. Spotts -At the present location, they could continue to operate, four to eight years, and I'd like to be very clear on the reason for that gap. If this permit were 3459 not approved, my guess is my client would very clearly cut back and only take the waste 3460 that was the most profitable to take in. That could extend the life longer. 3461 3462 3463 Mr. McKinney -What does that mean? I understand where the profit is, but 3464 does that cut out other area, or are you going to be taking it from other areas because 3465 it's more profitable? 3466 3467 Mr. Spotts -For example, if they have a contract with a Hardees on Laburnum Avenue, and the rough tonnage is \$40 a ton to come in the gate, and they've 3468 3469 got a contract with a neighborhood off Mechanicsville Turnpike that the effective rate is 3470 \$50 a ton, they're going to raise their rates to get more \$50 a ton contracts to make the 3471 landfill last longer and maximize the value of that air space. 3472 3473 Mr. Wright – So you say four to eight years at the outside from now if you didn't get this permit approved? If this permit is approved, how much? 3474 3475

Mr. Spotts -

you could not do that.

3476

3477

3478

3479

3480

years, and it's easier to estimate that because you'll have a cap. If BFI were bought out

tomorrow by another company, and they say we want the City of Richmond contract,

the Henrico County contract, every other local government, we're going to fill this up,

As outlined, I believe somewhere between twelve to fourteen

3482 Mr. Wright - What you're saying is, the bottom line is, you would add about six years to the life of that operation if this is approved?

Mr. Spotts - That's correct. If the tonnage numbers were to decrease, if the market would be where they could not get the tonnage, it would only extend it longer, and the County would have that facility for a longer period of time.

3489 Mr. McKinney - If granted, what are you going to do to help the adjoining 3490 property owners, that you're not doing now?

Mr. Spotts - The buffer and screening requirements have to be submitted within 45 days will be done just as in '95 to block the visual of that landfill site.

Mr. McKinney - But you heard some of the objections to this and what you've done in the past. Are you going to do anything to make it better?

 Mr. Spotts - There's no question, as this landfill stays longer, more things are done to improve it, and I use the odor control, which came up really last fall. Yes sir, we're doing that. The system going in for odor has been improved; we've talked to several landowners in the past and bought properties. The gentleman who referenced he would gladly be bought out, the problem there was one of price. If we were to buy every property owner out at that price, the landfill would be bankrupt.

Mr. McKinney - You heard the complaint. Are you going to do anything to try to alleviate it -1 know if this is denied, you're still going to operate there for some time. The landowners have still got the same problem. If this is granted, it's a little give and take on both sides, what are you going to do to help these people out?

Mr. Spotts - As far as the specific comments, the only ones we've received are the ones we've received here today. The other issues from the neighbors, we have already addressed. I don't want you to think these are the only people who raised issues that they wanted answers. Throughout the ten-year operation, we've been adding things to address issues. As far as these specific issues, anything we could do for adjacent properties that actually want to develop business, whether it's retail business or otherwise, office warehouse has one of the highest vacancy rates in the Richmond area, much less Henrico, but assuming something were to go in there, we would work with that property owner, especially if they were immediately adjacent to our property, to try to help them with waste disposal rates.

Mr. McKinney - I'm talking about the concerns right now, what the property owners have as far as flies, odor, whatever, is that going to be reduced?

Mr. Spotts - I would say it is, and I will tell you why. Number 1, the odor control has been the focus of all the landfills in Virginia this last year, and based on the neighbors we have gone and talked to as recently as yesterday, they have said, "we

don't know what you're doing, but it's a lot better – continue it – which is why we're going from a temporary system into a permanent system, in addition to all the landfill extraction method. This is the actual odor control system.

3530

3531 Mr. McKinney - These concerns that we've had over years and years have 3532 got to get better.

3533 3534

3535

3536

3537

Mr. Spotts - Without going into too much detail, the GE Batts has a system about a control; it's not just masking. Without going into it, a method of getting the odor molecule attached to drop out of the air. You're correct; things are improving every year, and that's what we're going to put into place.

3538 3539

Mr. McKinney - Are you going to do this at this site?

3540

3541

Mr. Spotts - We'll be doing it at both sites, both this and another site that BFI controls. It's a statewide concern.

3542 3543

Mr. McKinney - When?

3544 3545 3546

3547 3548

3549

3550

3551

3552

3553

3554

3555

3556

3557

3558

3559

3560

3561

3562

Mr. Spotts -The system will probably be in place at this site within the next ten days. The temporary system has been there for several months. It's proven it works, and the permanent system will go in. I would like to comment on one thing though, so there's no misunderstanding. When you have heavy rain, combined with a low atmosphere pressure day, you're going to get gas at any landfill. So I don't want to say that when this system goes into effect, you'll never smell anything again at that site, but we will have addressed it as you suggest, with the highest technology available. Also, as we have capped the closed areas of this site, it's going to prevent a lot of gas from coming out, which is why we at least, and I believe the County, are getting fewer complaints about odor. As far as the traffic, very good points. We spoke with a neighbor. We suggested two things. The police, in fairness, have to respond to the number of complaints they receive on traffic. You should call every time you see a vehicle out here, and we will support any traffic speed reduction on that road. That's something we would like to do; we support the improvements on the road too. We've already done the extension lane to get the trucks off the road. Flies, have not been an issue that's been raised at the landfill. There are going to be flies on the face of the landfill during the summer; there's no question, but that's not something that's been brought to us from neighboring businesses.

3563 3564 3565

3566

3567

3568

3572

Mr. McKinney - Well, I'm familiar with the landfill, an I'm familiar with the restaurant that Mr. Marshall's talking about, because I've eaten lunch there fairly often. What you're saying is, it's going to be quite a bit better, a little bit better? Are you speaking on behalf of BFI, or do we have somebody here from BFI who can assure us of this?

3569 3570 3571

Mr. Spotts - I think I can assure you as well as anybody, and if you'll let me just qualify this. As the landfill closes from west to east, Yesterday's Restaurant is

all the way up on the top corner. We're going to be closing away from that area, so that in and of itself is going to be an improvement. I can tell you on the odor, the County has been very forceful with BFI. They know the problems; they have their own landfill in the west end that they're dealing with, but we have had to address that, and I will tell you that has already been an improvement. The flies and the birds – any time we can get a permit to reduce the bird population, I would tell you the landfills do. Buzzards, when you get those, they're federally protected, and you cannot take care of them in that manner in which you would like to.

3582 Mr. McKinney - You can't put somebody out there with a gun and shoot them 3583 down.

Mr. Spotts - Technically, no sir, you cannot. I won't say that self-help has not been used, but it's difficult.

Mr. Nunnally - You said that you'd talked to somebody, a bunch of people, yesterday, I think; you say you don't know what you're doing, but keep on doing it. Where were they located?

Mr. Spotts - They're located on Charles City Road and the Pickwick neighborhood, I believe. I refer to Pickwick neighborhood; that's the one area of residential development that's close to the landfill, and we've very cognizant of their concerns and try to address them.

Ms. Dwyer - How many people were at your citizens meeting?

Mr. Spotts - Twenty-one or twenty-two citizens.

Ms. Dwyer - And what were their complaints, because no one's here today representing that group, so I'm assuming their complaints were addressed.

Mr. Spotts - I don't mean to beat up on the County, but they talk about smell, and they talk about traffic, and the things that we tried to address; the traffic was the worst one. They know it's not us per se, but very correctly it should be a four-lane road; it's a narrow two-lane road. The main issue they had was they were very upset about the condition of the entrance. We were very shocked about that, because they said, "no, not your entrance, but the public use entrance of the County." In fairness to the County people, they get flooded on the weekends with people who don't come in with a waste container type vehicles. They get a lot of trash that comes off that they cannot help, and the residents don't like to see that. Of course we pick it up on Monday morning along the road. The County picks up the entrance-way. One of the ways, Mr. McKinney, to get back to your issue, our berms will go on both sides of the County's entrance to the public use area, to try to at least screen off the public use area.

3617 Mr. McKinney - Mr. Blankinship, has Public Works and the Traffic 3618 Department done any studies on the area down there?

3619
3620 Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Yob could probably answer that better than I.

3622 Mr. McKinney - As far as Tim Foster, or Lee Priestas, or any of those, as far 3623 as speed limits or any of that?

3625 Mr. Blankinship - Not that I'm aware of.

3627 Mr. McKinney - That's been going on a long time. It is a narrow, dangerous road, because I've driven it quite a few times, and I've seen trucks go up an down very fast. I don't know what the accident count is.

3631 Mr. Wright - These are some concerns, whether or not this is approved, 3632 that staff ought to look into, to see if we can approve them. We should cooperate in every way. 3634

Ms. Dwyer - What will happen to the landfill when you are finished with it?

Mr. Spotts - When the landfill is finished, a very good example of what it will be, when you drive down Charles City Road to the east, you will see the closed old BFI landfill from 1972. You will basically see a hill, with grass on it. The regulations for post-closure maintenance through the DEQ are substantial; there are millions of dollars in environmental bonds, to make sure that hill stays a hill. I'll be glad to go into the environmental inspections, the protests, the results, but in a nutshell, you'll end up with a grass covered hill. The only difference is, the one that you will see along Charles City Road to the east along Laburnum is very close to the road. This will be much more removed from the road. You'll have all the vegetation. You probably won't be able to see it, but if you did, you'd see a hill covered with grass. The Code mandates a cover, and they monitor it for years.

Ms. Dwyer - You will continue to own the property in perpetuity and monitor it?

Mr. Spotts - Correct. That's mandated again; the ownership is not mandates, but no one would probably buy it from you at that time, which is why the County wants to unload this albatross to someone who can use it. It would be owned by BFI, and the bonds that are required, Ms. Dwyer, are required, whether we sell it and go out of business; they have to be in place now. A lot has changed with landfills in the last ten years.

Mr. Wright - Anything further, Mr. Spotts? That concludes the case.

(Voice from audience) - I have a petition here from 89 people that signed, and I neglected to turn it in.

3664 Mr. Wright - You can submit that. That concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **UP-18-2004** for a conditional use permit to expand the existing landfill at 2001 Charles City Road (Parcels 812-711-4422, 4005, 811-711-5111, 811-710-9875, 811-709-7458, 810-710-6142, 811-686-1061, 809-711-9586, 6079 and 808-709-9287). The Board granted the use permit subject to the following conditions:

1. The landfill shall meet all applicable federal and state requirements regarding air pollution, water pollution and solid waste management.

2. The driveway to the landfill shall be paved for at least 400 feet off of Charles City Road and the operator shall take all necessary steps to prevent the tracking of mud or debris onto any public road.

3. Waste may be accepted for disposal from 6:30 AM to 6:00 PM Monday through Friday, from 7:00 AM to 6:00 PM Saturday, and such other times as expressly required or permitted by the Director of Planning or the Director of Public Utilities or their designees.

4. The facility shall not be used for the disposal of toxic or hazardous waste as defined by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

5. Curb and gutter and necessary storm drainage facilities shall be constructed and maintained along Charles City Road as required by the Department of Public Works.

6. Within 45 days of approval, the applicant shall submit a detailed landscaping, lighting and fencing plan for review and approval by the Planning Department.

7. A slurry wall shall be constructed prior to the disposal of any waste within five vertical feet of the ground water table.

8. No landfilling shall take place on any part of the property that lies within the 100-year floodplain.

3701 9. This facility shall not accept waste that was generated more than 150 miles from 3702 this location.

10. Water quality shall be monitored as required by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality and the results provided to the Henrico County Department of Public Utilities.

11. The final elevation of the property after completion shall not exceed 320 feet above mean sea level. Such final elevation point of 320 feet MSL shall be at least

- 3710 1,500 feet from any residence or from any property zoned residential and not owned by the applicant.
- 3713 12. All trucks hauling material to the property shall be covered with a tarp unless they are completely enclosed. 3715
- 3716 13. There shall be no entrance for the depositing of waste from any road other than 3717 Charles City Road. The main entrance to the site shall not be relocated without the approval of the Henrico County Director of Planning.
- 3720 14. The operator shall daily monitor and clean up trash on both sides of Charles City 3721 Road from Williamsburg Road to Laburnum Avenue. This condition may be satisfied in 3722 cooperation with the County operation of the convenience site on the adjoining property. 3723
- 3724 15. [Amended] Only the areas shown as "Phase 1" and "Phase 2" on the exhibits submitted with the application shall be used for the sanitary landfill disposal area. 3726
- 3727 16. [Amended] The landfill shall be fenced and shall be secured or monitored at all 3728 times.
- 3730 17. Prior to closing the landfill, the operator shall install a cover as required by Subtitle D of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency regulations on any portion of old County landfill not already covered by the expansion of the applicant's landfill. 3733
 - 18. All existing vegetation within 250 feet of Pickwick Lane shall be preserved subject to easements and other agreements for ingress, egress or utilities.
- 19. [Added] That portion of Parcel 808-712-0741 (formerly identified as Parcel 10-3738 A2-7) within 1,000 feet of Charles City Road and comprising a surface water body known as Lake Schneider, consisting of approximately 14.3 acres, shall be left in its natural condition and shall not be developed or used as a portion of the sanitary landfill.
- 20. [Added] That portion of Parcel 808-712-0741 (formerly identified as Parcel 10-3743 A2-7) north of Lake Schneider and toward Charles City Road shall remain in its natural state, except for improvements to provide the entrance to the landfill and for any other improvements approved by the Henrico County Director of Planning.
- 3747 21. [Added] The requirements and conditions of the County's contract to sell the 3748 property to BFI, and the Host Community Agreement, as they may be amended by both 3749 parties from time to time, are incorporated as if fully set out herein.

3751 Affirmative: Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5
3752 Negative: 0
3753 Absent: 0

3712

3719

3729

3734

3735 3736

The Board granted the request because it found the proposed use will be in substantial accordance with the general purpose and objectives of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

3757 3758 **A - 75-2004**

3755

3756

3759 3760

3761

3762

3763

3764 3765

3766 3767

3768

3769 3770

3771 3772 3773

3774

3775 3776

3777

3778

3779

3780

3781 3782

3783

3784

3785

3786

3787

3788

3789

3790 3791

3792

3793

3794

3795

3796

3797

3798

3799

3800

STUARD A. AND BETTE ROCK request a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 2308 Pleasant Run Drive (Tuckahoe Village West) (Parcel 730-750-2928), zoned R-2A, One-family Residence District (Tuckahoe). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicants propose 32 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 45 feet rear yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 13 feet rear yard setback.

Mr. Wright - I will have to disqualify myself from this case. Our Vice Chairman can take over.

Mr. Nunnally - Is anyone else here interested in this case, other than Mr. Axselle? Would you raise your right hand and be sworn please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Axselle -I do. Mr. Chairman, my name is Bill Axselle; I'm here on behalf of Al and Bette Rock, who are the applicants. Bette Rock is here with us; she's been my legal assistant for thirty-five years, and I feel the same situation I felt when I went to traffic court a number of years ago with my mother, but I did represent her, and we were successful, but I remember the pressure I felt. All and Bette Rock want to add an addition onto their home, as shown in the document. It would be an addition of the same material, the same construction, the same vinvl, the same look, the same windows, similar to the addition that's already on the other side. As the staff said, the requested variance shall not create any detrimental impact. I would like to provide to Mr. Blankinship a petition that has been signed by the neighbors and that notifies them of it, gives them a copy of the plan, and I quote, "The second purpose of this memo is to ask you to sign this memo as indication that you have been informed of our plans and have no objection to our variance request." The point is that all of the adjacent and adjoining neighborhood folks have been notified and also have indicated they have no objection. There are a number of factors that make this a very unusual case. This is a reverse corner lot, as indicated in the staff report, and as you can see, it's the inside of two curves. The staff also said it's an oddly shaped lot. Basically, you have two curved lot lines on Elmington and Pleasant Run, and then the two other lines go back in an angle and kind of come back in a narrowing effect. Also, the orientation of the house limits the flexibility of the property, and I will explain that. The front of this lot is on Elmington Road. The house faces Pleasant Run. The addition, which would be, if you were standing facing the house on Pleasant Run, which would be on your left, which would appear to be the side, is the side of the house, but it is the rear of the lot. That is the problem. They do not have an adequate rear lot depth and are requesting the variance. They could not go to what looked like the rear, because of the proximity to that line. The question might be, why could they not add the addition on towards Elmington, and there are probably four or five reasons. That side has a chimney and a fireplace. It has the air conditioning unit for the house on that side. It has the gas coming in there. It has the parking area, which is there, and also it would unbalance the house. I have a picture which I can pass up. I highlighted in yellow the paragraph which I quoted to you. As you know the applicant used to give the notice to the neighbors, and since I haven't visited with you for a while, that's what I started to do, and then I added this, because the neighbors preferred this to be the approach.

Ms. Dwyer - If we counted side yard, based on the way the house is oriented, you'd have plenty of space. Because it's rear yard, you don't.

Mr. Wright - Any other questions of Mr. Axselle?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Ms. Dwyer, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **A-75-2004** for a variance to build an addition at 2308 Pleasant Run Drive (Tuckahoe Village West) (Parcel 730-750-2928). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. The new construction shall match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical.

3827 Affirmative: Dwyer, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally 4
3828 Negative: 0
3829 Abstain: Wright 1

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Wright - Would you call the case again that we passed.

Mr. Wright - One of the members has requested a five-minute recess before we get started on the decisions.

3842 Mr. Wright - We will go back to front, for the people who have waited to 3843 hear their results.

3845 3846 3847 3848	On a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board approved as corrected, the Minutes of the December 18, 2003 , Henrico County Board of Zoning Appeals meeting.			
3849 3850	Affirmative: Negative:	Kirkland, McKinne	Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	
3851 3852	Abstain:	Dwyer		1
3853 3854 3855	There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board adjourned until July 22, 2004 , at 9:00 am.			
3856				
3857				
3858			Russell A. Wright, Esq.	
3859			Chairman	
3860				
3861				
3862			Benjamin Blankinship, AICP	
3863			Secretary	
3864				