MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF HENRICO COUNTY, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE GOVERNMENT CENTER AT PARHAM AND HUNGARY SPRING ROADS, ON THURSDAY OCTOBER 28, 2021 AT 9:00 A.M., NOTICE HAVING BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE RICHMOND TIMES-DISPATCH OCTOBER 11, 2021 AND OCTOBER 18, 2021.

7 8 9

10

11

12

5

6

Members Present: Terone B. Green, Chair

Walter L. Johnson, Jr., Vice-Chair

Gentry Bell

Terrell A. Pollard James W. Reid, Jr.

13 14 15

16

17

Also Present: Benjamin Blankinship, Secretary

> Paul M. Gidley, County Planner R. Miguel Madrigal, County Planner Michael Morris, County Planner Kuronda Powell, Account Clerk

18 19

20

21

22

Mr. Green -

24 25

26

27 28

29 30

31 32 33

34 35 36

37

38 39 40

41

42

46

43 44 45

It's the Board of Zoning Appeals for Thursday, October 28, 2021. For those of you who are able, would you please stand and join us in the Pledge of Allegiance?

[Recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance]

Good morning. We have a full complement of our Board, which we normally do, and we thank you for your presence here and we're going to be respectful in listening to your cases. You can hear me? We're going -- okay. We're going to be respectful to speaking up so you can hear us. Is that okay, sir? Okay.

Before we start Mr. Blankinship will read our rules. But I wanted to note some changes that we have -- that have occurred in the way we proceed. Once we hear your case, we will vote on your case and that way you don't have to wait until the end to see the outcome of your case. So once we vote on your case, you are free to leave unless you want to stay and hear the rest of the cases that are before us. So I will then -- now turn it over to Mr. Blankinship to read our rules.

Mr. Blankinship -Good morning, Mr. Chair, members of the Board. And good morning to those of you who are in the room with us today. I would also like to welcome everyone who's joining us remotely on Webex. If you wish to observe the meeting but you do not intend to speak, welcome and thank you for joining us.

For those of you on Webex who wish to speak, we need to know that in advance so that we can connect you at the appropriate time. So if you are an applicant or if you have questions or comments on one of the cases, please press the chat button now. It's located in the bottom-right corner of the screen and when the chat window opens, please select Kristin Smith from the list of participants and let her know your name and which case you are interested in. The chat feature is only being used to identify speakers, so please do not type questions or comments into a chat, but please send a chat to Kristin Smith now.

So, acting as secretary I will call each case and then we will ask everyone in the room who intends to speak to that case to stand and be sworn in. And then for the conditional use permits and variances, a member of the Planning Department staff will give a brief presentation, and then the applicant will speak, and then anyone else who wishes to speak will be given the opportunity. We'll hear from citizens in the room first and then from those on Webex and after every one has had a chance to speak. The applicant and only the applicant will have an opportunity for rebuttal.

This meeting is being recorded, so we'll ask everyone who speaks to speak into the microphone on the podium there in the back of the room. Please state your name and please spell your last name so we get it correctly in the record. As the chair mentioned once the case is over, you're free to leave. There's no need for you to stay in the room for the rest of the meeting. And with that, Mr. Chair, would you like me to call the first case?

Mr. Green - Yes. But just so you -- so the audience know, Mr. Blankinship will read the agenda caption, swear in all speakers, and introduce the staff. So, yes.

Mr. Blankinship - All right. The first case actually is also a request for a deferral, which is Conditional Use Permit 2021, number 12, Great Richmond Aquatics Partnership.

CUP2021-00012 GREATER RICHMOND AQUATICS PARTNERSHIP requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-4205 of the County Code to expand a noncommercial recreation facility at 317 N Wilkinson Rd (Parcel 792-753-8870) zoned One-Family Residence District (R-2A) (Fairfield).

Mr. Blankinship - Is there anyone in the room to state the request for deferral? I don't believe they are on Webex either.

Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. Would you take the place at the microphone there? Raise your right hand, please. Do you swear the -- do you swear the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Ms. Bates - I do.

Mr. Blankinship - Thank you.

91 Ms. Bates - Good morning. My name is Joanne Bates. My last name, 92 Bates, is B-a-t-e-s, for the record. I am here representing the applicant, Swim RDA.

	Malus resumeting a deform	al until the December DZA bearing in orde	or for us to complete
93	We're requesting a deferral until the December BZA hearing in order for us to complete our community outreach. We present at the Northern Henrico Civic Association meeting		
94 95	in November.	We present at the Northern Hermico Olivic	Association meeting
96	iii November.		
97	After the meeting we will a	give an update to the staff so that they car	include that update
98	in their staff report.	give an apacie to the etail of that they ear	, morado mar apadro
99	m mon otan roport.		
100	Mr. Green -	Thank you. Is there a motion to de	efer this case until
101	December?	,	
102			
103	Mr. Pollard -	Move that we defer this request to all	ow the applicant to
104	continue to work with the	neighbors to resolve their concerns.	
105			
106	Mr. Green -	Is there a second?	
107			
108	Mr. Reid -	I second it.	
109		T) (1 A D II)	
110	Mr. Green -	The motion was made by Mr. Pollard	i, seconded by ivir.
111	Johnson, all in favor say a	aye.	
112	On a motion by Mr. Po	llard, seconded by Mr. Johnson, the Bo	pard deferred case
113 14	•	December 16, 2021 Board of Zoning Appe	
115	COF 2021-00012 dritti tile	December 10, 2021 Board of Zoning Appe	cais meeting.
116			
117	Affirmative:	Bell, Green, Johnson, Pollard, Reid	5
118	Negative:		0
119	Absent:		0
120			
121			
122	Mr. Green -	The motion passes. Your request has	been deferred until
123	December. Thank you.		
124			
125	Ms. Bates -	Thank you.	
126	M. B. III II	All the Theorem to Control	
127	Mr. Blankinship -	All right. The next case is Conditiona	Use Permit 2021,
128	number 21, Zachary Turn	er.	
129	CUD2024 00024 7ACHA	DV TUDNED requests a conditional use	normit nurquent to
130		RY TURNER requests a conditional use County Code to allow short-term rental of	
131 132		K HILL HEIGHTS) (Parcel 787-746-8344)	•
	DIOOK THE CITCLE (DIVOCI		2011ed Offe-1 arrilly
133	Residence District (R-3) (Faimein)	
133 134	Residence District (R-3) (raimeid).	
134	, ,	,	to this case please
134 135	Mr. Blankinship -	Would everyone who intends to speak	•
134	Mr. Blankinship - stand and be sworn in? F	,	st stay right there for

Mr.

Mr. Turner - I do.

Mr. Blankinship - All right. Thank you. Mr. Madrigal will begin and then you can speak as soon as he's finished.

Mr. Madrigal - Thank you Mr. Secretary, good morning gentlemen, Mr. Chair, members of the Board. Before you is a request to allow the short-term rental of a guest house in a one-family district. The parcel consists of three lots fronting on a circular street in the Brook Hill Heights Subdivision. The property is improved with a one-story 1,700-square-foot home constructed in 1948.

Other improvements include a pair of one-story cinderblock detached garages located in the northwest corner of the lot. Those were built in the early '50s. The garage closest to the house is 768-square-feet in area and will remain as a 3-car garage. The second garage farther from house and at the rear corner of the lot is 800-square-feet in area. The applicant purchased the property in July of 2019 as his -- as his primary residence. He intends to convert the 800-square-foot garage into a 1-bedroom guest house with a full bath and a kitchenette to lease as a short-term rental. The proposed use is consistent with the SR-2 land-use designation of the property.

The parcel is zoned R-3 and it exceeds the minimum lot-area and lot-width requirements for this district. Detached accessory structures are allowed as subordinate structures to a single-family dwelling and are permitted by right when located in the rear yard subject to minimum setback requirements.

In this instance, the rear corner of the garage appears to be less than three feet to the northern property line. Since both structures pre-date the 1960 ordinance and were legally built, they are legal nonconforming. Because of the varying zoning designations surrounding this small neighborhood and the variety of lot sizes and widths, staff does not anticipate any significant issues with the proposed use.

The subject parcel fronts on a circular street and has over 380 feet of public street frontage. There is abundant on-site parking and the northern half of the lot is moderately wooded providing substantial screening from the street. Since both garages are located to the rear of the lot, they are mostly visible from their respective driveways. The proposed conversion of garage to a guest house is permitted by code and using it for a short-term rental requires the approval of a CUP.

 The short-term rental use would not be out of character with the surrounding uses due to the range of lot sizes and the distances to other homes. The closest home to the proposed use is approximately 60 feet to the northeast and the second closest home is 120 feet to the west.

The guest house would be to the rear of both of these dwellings. In order to preserve the privacy and minimize any detrimental impacts, staff recommends the installation of a 6foot-tall privacy fence for a length of 50 feet along the northern and western property lines.

In conclusion, the proposed use is consistent with both the zoning and comprehensive plan designations on the property. The site is of sufficient size and the existing vegetation of the northern half of the lot will provide screening of the proposed use. The conversion of the garage to a guest house is allowed by code and there is adequate space for onsite parking and the closest homes impacted by the use are between 60 and 120 feet

away.

As long as the applicant adheres to the suggested conditions of approval, staff does not anticipate any detrimental impacts. Based on these facts, staff recommends approval of this request subject to conditions. This concludes my presentation and I'll be happy to answer any questions.

197 198 199

184

185 186

187

188

189

190

191

192 193

194

195

196

Mr. Green -Are there any questions from the Board members to staff? Hearing none, we will now hear from the applicant.

200 201 202

203

204

205

Mr. Turner -Thank you. Yeah. So, like he said, we have a guest house that we're trying to convert into an Airbnb. And I've spoken with all the neighbors. I believe the 6-foot privacy fence was the main concern. None of the neighbors who are close have any issues. They're all super excited, actually, to be able to have a guest house for their family to come and stay at.

206 207 208

209

Yeah. We are more than willing to comply with all of the conditions that you've set forth? I think there were 11 on the page that I received. And I see no issue with that. So I'm more than happy to comply. All right. Do you all have any guestions for me?

210 211

Mr. Green -Are there any questions from the Board to the applicant? 212 Hearing none, is there a motion --213

214

Do you want to call -- just to get --Mr. Blankinship -215

216

Mr. Green -Oh I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Are there any witnesses? 217

218

Mr. Blankinship -Anybody else wish to speak in favor or in opposition of this 219 case?

220

221 222

Mr. Green -Or is anybody on Webex?

223

Mr. Morris -There is no one on Webex interested in speaking on this case. 224

225 226

Mr. Blankinship -Thank you. Once again, are there any --

228

Mr. Gidley -Just state your name.

229		
230	Mr. Turner -	My name is Zachary Turner.
231	11 2	
232	Mr. Green -	Excuse me?
233		
234	Mr. Turner -	He said to state my name into the microphone.
235		
236	Mr. Green -	Oh, okay.
237		
238	Mr. Turner -	Sorry.
239		
240	Mr. Blankinship -	Oh, okay.
241		
242	Mr. Green -	Did you state it and
243		
244	Mr. Turner -	I did. I think he got it.
245		
246	Mr. Green -	Okay. Once again, are there any questions from the Board to
247	the applicant? Hearing no	one is there a motion?
248		
249	Mr. Pollard -	I move that we approve the conditional use permit subject to
250	the conditions recommend	led by the staff.
251		
252	Mr. Green -	The motion was made by Mr. Pollard. Is there a second?
253		
254	Mr. Reid -	Second.
255		
256	Mr. Green -	The motion was made and seconded. All of those in favor of
257	approving the motion say	aye. All those opposed say nay.
258		
259	On a motion by Mr. Pollard	d, seconded by Mr. Reid, the Board approved case CUP2021-
260	00021 ZACHARY TURNE	R request for a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-
261	4430.A of the County Coo	le to allow short-term rental of a guesthouse at 802 Brook Hill
262	Circle (BROOK HILL HEI	GHTS) (Parcel 787-746-8344) zoned One-Family Residence
263	District (R-3) (Fairfield).	The Board approved the request subject to the following
264	conditions:	
265		
266	1. This conditional use	permit allows only the short-term rental of a one-bedroom
267	detached guesthouse for	up to 2 guests at a time. All other applicable regulations of the
268	County Code remain in for	

269270271

2. This conditional use permit applies only to the improvements shown on the plot plan filed with the application. Any additional improvements must comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. Any substantial changes or additions to the design or location of the improvements will require a new conditional use permit.

273274

- 3. Before listing the property for short-term rental, the applicant must obtain approval from the Department of Building Construction and Inspection to change the use of the building to a guesthouse for short-term rental. This must be accomplished no later than October 30, 2023, or this conditional use permit will expire. If the building permit is cancelled or revoked after that date due to failure to diligently pursue construction, this conditional use permit will expire at that time.
- 4. The new construction must match the existing dwelling as nearly as practical in materials and color.
- 5. Before beginning any clearing, grading, or other land disturbing activity, the applicant must obtain approval of an environmental compliance plan from the Department of Public Works.
- 6. The applicant must obtain approval for the second driveway from the Department of Public Works. The driveway must be improved with gravel or asphalt.
 - 7. This approval is subject to the County noise ordinance (Sec. 10-67 through 10-69), registry ordinance (Sec. 20-280 through 20-282), and short-term rental development standards (Sec. 24-4430).
 - 8. All short-term renters must park on the private driveway, not on Brook Hill Circle.
 - 9. The applicant or co-host must respond in person whenever necessary to resolve issues and complaints arising in connection with the short-term rental.
 - 10. Any exterior lighting must be shielded to direct light away from adjacent property and streets.
 - 11. The applicant must install a six-foot-tall privacy fence running along the western and northern property lines. The fence must extend from the northwest corner of the lot at least 50 feet along each property line.

309 Affirmative: Bell, Green, Johnson, Pollard, Reid 5
310 Negative: 0
311 Absent: 0

The motion is passed.

≈96 **≠**97

316 Mr. Turner - Thank you for your time.

Mr. Green - Thank you, sir.

Mr. Blankinship - All right. The next case is Conditional Use Permit 2021 number 24, Brad Kite.

CUP2021-00024 BRAD KITE requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-4404.A.1 of the County Code to build a detached carport in the front yard at 2326 Thousand Oaks Drive (THOUSAND OAKS) (Parcel 762-749-4475) zoned One-Family Residence District (R-3) (Tuckahoe).

Mr. Blankinship - Would everyone interested in speaking to this case please stand and be sworn in? Raise your right hand, please. Do you swear the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God?

333 Mr. Kite - Yes, sir

Mr. Blankinship - Thank you. Mr. Gidley, if you'll begin.

Mr. Gidley - Thank you, Mr. Secretary, and good morning, Mr. Chair, members of the Board. The subject property is located in the Thousand Oaks Subdivision, which is near the intersection of Skipwith and Hungary Spring. It is a one-quarter-acre lot that contains an existing single-family dwelling. And this is a picture of the home here.

The applicant wishes to construct a two-post awning, or a car port, in the front yard over their driveway. The structure would measure 9 1/2 feet by 16.3 feet. And this is a -- this would be the structure right here located over the driveway.

The applicant indicates it would be used to provide shelter for our neighborhood gatherings that would occur on the driveway. One reason for the placement in the front yard, he indicated he thought the rear yard was in the floodplain, but actually it's not in the floodplain, so that would not prohibit it from being in the rear yard. Regardless, in order to construct a structure in the front yard, a conditional use permit is required from this Board, as you know.

In evaluating this request, the single-family dwelling is consistent with the land-use plan and the zoning designation of the property. A carport is a customary accessory use to a one-family dwelling. As far as compatibility with the surrounding area, accessory structures are typically located in the rear yard and not in the front yard. Most residents along Thousand Oaks Drive have detached structures, as you can see here in the rear yard. Two of them have them in their side yard and one has a detached garage in the front yard.

While the proposed structures are limited in size, the purpose is simply to provide shelter for neighborhood gatherings. The same goal could be achieved by placing it in the rear yard in accordance with the zoning ordinance.

C ₃₆₆	Finally as far as nublic h	nealth and welfare, staff does not believe this would have any
367		public health, safety, and welfare. It should not impact people
368	entering or leaving the dri	
369		
370 371	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	plicant would like to place a structure on his front driveway to aborhood gatherings. While staff does not believe it would
371		alth, safety, or welfare of nearby property, it's unaware of any
373		ced in the rear yard. However, if the applicant can explain why
374	it is necessary to be in the	e front yard, then staff could support the request.
375	T1:	
376	This concludes my preser Thank you.	ntation. If you have any questions, I'll be happy to answer those.
377 378	mank you.	
379	Mr. Green -	Yes. We'll just ask for a recommendation to support or deny
380	the request.	
381		
382	Mr. Gidley -	Staff takes the view right now if it's simply we're going to
383 384		borhood gathering and we just want a shelter, then it should be We don't want a situation where people get into the habit, so to
385		ng a use permit and start putting structures regularly in the front
386		olicant could provide a valid reason why it has to be in the front
C ³⁸⁷	yard and can't be located	in the rear yard, then we could support it with conditions.
300	Mr. Croon	Dut as of your staff is not comparting it upless the condition is
389 390	Mr. Green - met.	But as of now, staff is not supporting it unless the condition is
391	met.	
392	Mr. Gidley -	If it's simply to have neighborhood gatherings and provide
393	shelter, then that could be	e achieved in the rear yard.
394	Mr. Croon	Olany
395 396	Mr. Green -	Okay.
397	Mr. Green -	Are there any other questions from the Board to staff?
398		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
399	Mr. Bell -	Will they just be using it for parking their say if you got a
400	trailer or something like the	nat? Just parking something there in front of it?
401 402	Mr. Gidley -	You'd have to ask the applicant that question.
402	Wir. Oldicy -	Toda have to ask the applicant that question.
404	Mr. Green -	Okay.
405		
406	Mr. Gidley -	It's stated in the BZA report or in the application for the use
407		host occasional neighborhood gatherings that would occur in
408	the universaly. So that's w	hat we wrote the report based on. Thank you.

October 28, 2021

Mr. Green -

from the applicant.

Hearing no other questions from the Board, we will now hear

412		
413	Mr. Kite -	Hello. My name is Brad Kite and that's K-i-t-e. Pretty much I
414		osed carport would be just that. It would be utilized to protect
415		oak trees as well as for occasional social gatherings. It would
416		w, the ability to have some shelter in the driveway. But that
417	would be occasional.	
418		
419		our neighborhood civic association and our neighborhood
420		er on my behalf just stating that it is a welcome structure to the
421	•	uld be, you know, a structure that would add value as well as
422	not being obtrusive to neig	indors.
423	0 11	
424		ood does not have a board of architects, or a board for
425	·	en a covenant in position. So really my first initial step to have
426		proved was to contact my civic association. And it has been
427	• •	And I do have a letter with me stating that. But, yes, I just want
428		you know, protection for my car from the neighboring oak trees
429	that do overhang the drive	way.
430 431	Mr. Green -	Mr. Kite, my only question is so far we're focused on what the
431		s was being built for social gatherings as more so than the, you
433		s and parking. So what is it? Is it going to be more social
434		to be parking? Because, as you heard, staff will support the
435	request under certain cond	
436	request under certain con	attoris.
437	Mr. Kite -	It would be definitely more for protection of the car from
438		rees with the occasional social gathering taking place under it.
439		uld be there the majority of the time under it.
440	zat, you throw, are our tro-	and the arrest are majority or are arrest and arrest are
441	Mr. Green -	Mr. Gidley, how do we reconcile now the concerns that staff
442		stated. So we can either understand whether the staff will
443		mend it'd be approved or denied. What would we need to do
444	and understand?	
445		
446	Mr. Gidley -	Well let's see here, where the

452 453

447

448

449

450

451

Mr. Green - Mr. Gidley.

to the rear yard as well. Just really wasn't an option for us.

455456

454

Mr. Kite -

yard -- it may not be exactly on a floodplain, but it gets super muddy -- there is a drainage

easement in the back of the lot and it does get super muddy back there during early

spring. And also the rear of the property is fenced in with the small dog in the back yard.

So having the carport in the rear and it also would require the driveway being extended

I did want to add one more thing. With the rear yard, our rear

457		Ultimately it's up to the Board to make a value judgement, as		
458	I stated. On one hand,	the structure is not significant. I don't think it's going to be		
459		nything like that. On the other hand, you know, the preference		
460		ures in the rear yard. The applicant had stated in the form here		
461		to use it as additional shelter and outdoor space, family and social neighborhood events		
462		ngs. And that's what he had listed.		
463	on the driveway gathern	ngs. And that's what he had listed.		
	If it is to shelter his car	from the trees, I don't think it's going to have a substantial		
464		neighborhood. If that's what it's used for. And the Board will		
465	-	_		
466	have to make adjustment	on that.		
467				
468	Mr. Green -	But what would staff's recommendation be now that you've		
469	heard his explanation? I d	don't want to try to pin you down, but I'm trying to pin you down.		
470				
471	Mr. Blankinship -	He's trying hard not to be pinned down.		
472				
473	Mr. Johnson -	Do we have a copy of the letter?		
474		• •		
475	Mr. Green -	Mr. Johnson well, first, can you if you don't want to answer		
476	that, I understand it. But -			
477	that, randorotana it. Dat			
478	Mr. Gidley -	I basically spelled out, I think, where we were. The reason it		
179	•	house is the applicant had built with the variance into the side-		
480	_	ade pretty good use of the house. But, as I've said too, it's not		
		ructure. Some things we've seen come in are pretty bad, too.		
481				
482		ave it to the Board whether or not placing it in the front yard is		
483	justifiable here.			
484				
485	Mr. Green -	Okay. Mr. Johnson.		
486				
487		Yes. To the applicant. How often do you think you going to		
488	have some kind of activitie	es outside?		
489				
490	Mr. Kite -	You know, for us to utilize that with the neighborhood, I mean,		
491	it could be something very	y infrequent. You know, a couple times during the year, during		
492		as, you know, for day-in, day-out, it would definitely be utilized		
493		know, the car would be parked under there.		
494		, , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
495	Mr. Johnson -	Right. So it's very seldom with the social events, then. Okay.		
495	Wii. 0011113011 -	raght. 33 kg vory soldom mar the social events, then offay.		
	Mr. Green -	Are there any questions from the Board to the applicant?		
497	WII. GIEEH -	Are there any questions from the board to the applicant:		

Mr. Pollard -

back yard?

499

I have a question. Remind me why you didn't want to use the

502 503 504 505	driveway extension going	Well, the back yard currently is fenced in. And, basically, you it gets very, very muddy back there. And also there's not a to the back yard, so that would that would also have to take property is fenced in because we have a dog.
506	place. But the real of the	property is reficed in because we have a dog.
507	Mr. Pollard -	And what type of protection would the carport provide?
508	Ma Wita	Desirably protection from two con according to the
509 510	Mr. Kite - basically tree debris.	Basically, protection from tree sap, acorns, limbs, leaves,
511	basically free debits.	
512	Mr. Green -	Mr. Bell.
513	14 15 11	
514	Mr. JBell -	In the backyard, are there any other structures already there,
515 516	attached to the house?	you include the dog at night if he stays out all night or anything
517	attached to the house.	
518	Mr. Kite -	Could you repeat that, please?
519		
520	Mr. Bell -	Are there any other sheds or like structures in your back yard?
521 522		cial area that he stays like a doghouse, which very few people ructure or porch that's been attached to the back part of your
523	house.	acture of poron that o book attached to the back part of your
524		
525	Mr. Kite -	Yeah. Right now there is nothing attached to the back of our
526 527		we do have two structures, two toolsheds, that are presently s the dog goes, it is an indoor dog. But he or she has full
528		ring the day, in the morning.
529	,	3
530	Mr. Green -	Are there any other questions from the Board to the applicant
531	and or staff?	
532 533	Mr. Pollard -	Do we have a copy of the letter?
534	Wii. I Ollara	Do we have a copy of the letter.
535	Mr. Gidley -	Yeah.
536		
537	Mr. Green -	You can give it to Mr. Pollard first, then pass it down, since he
538 539	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	se us while we read this letter. Are there any individuals in the against this proposal? Are there any individuals on Webex to
540	speak for or against this p	
541		
542	Mr. Morris -	There is no one on Webex interested in speaking on this case.
543	Mr. Green -	Hearing none what is the pleasure of the Board?
544 545	WII. GIEEH -	Hearing none what is the pleasure of the Board?
546	Mr. Reid -	Based on the information from the applicant that's answered
547	some of the questions I ha	nd regarding the information from the home-owners association,

the civic association, I move that we approve the conditional use permit subject to the conditions recommended by staff.

Mr. Green - Second by myself. The motion was made by Mr. Reid to approve. Seconded by myself, Mr. Green. Any discussions among the Board before we vote? All in favor. All opposed.

On a motion by Mr. Reid, seconded by Mr. Green, the Board **approved** case **CUP2021-00024 BRAD KITE's** request for a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-4404.A.1 of the County Code to build a detached carport in the front yard at 2326 Thousand Oaks Drive (THOUSAND OAKS) (Parcel 762-749-4475) zoned One-Family Residence District (R-3) (Tuckahoe). The Board approved the request subject to the following conditions:

1. This conditional use permit only authorizes the location of the proposed structure in the front yard. All other applicable regulations of the County Code remain in force.

2. This conditional use permit applies only to the improvements shown on the drawing titled, "Special Use Request for 2 Post Driveway Awning Install" by Brad Kite dated 9/9/2021. Any additional improvements must comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. Any substantial changes or additions to the design or location of the improvements will require a new conditional use permit.

3. Any exterior lighting must be shielded to direct light away from adjacent property and streets.

4. The applicant must obtain approval for the proposed structure from the Department of Building Construction and Inspections by October 30, 2023, or this conditional use permit will expire. If the building permit is cancelled or revoked after that date due to failure to diligently pursue construction, this conditional use permit will expire at that time.

5. The applicant must satisfy the requirements of the covenants, conditions, and restrictions for Thousand Oaks subdivision, as well as any requirements of the homeowners' association.

584 Affirmative: Bell, Green, Johnson, Pollard, Reid 5
585 Negative: 0
586 Absent: 0

Mr. Green - Motion passes.

Mr. Blankinship - All right. The last of the four conditional use permits on this morning's agenda is Conditional User Permit 2021 number 25, the Colonies Swim & Tennis Club.

CUP2021-00025 COLONIES SWIM & TENNIS CLUB requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-4205 of the County Code to expand a noncommercial recreation facility at 2801 Causeway Drive (Parcel 731-756-4317) zoned One-Family Residence District (R-2A) (Three Chopt).

Mr. Blankinship - Would everyone who intends to speak to this case please stand and be sworn in? Raise your right hand, please. Do you swear the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God?

Mr. Bernier - I do

607 Mr. Blankinship - Thank you. Mr. Madrigal.

Mr. Madrigal - Thank you, Mr. Secretary, Mr. Chair, members of the Board.

Before you is a request to amend the conditions of approval for a noncommercial recreational facility. The subject property is a 5-acre parcel at the northeast corner of Causeway and Wilde Lake Drive acquired by the Colonies Associates in 1984.

In 1985 the Board approved a CUP to construct a noncommercial recreational facility, which was conveyed to the Colony Swim Team -- or, excuse me, Swim and Tennis Club three years later.

In the early '90s the County approved the addition of tennis courts and lighting, which included supplement landscaping as a requirement. Condition number 2 of that approval requires that the swimming pool be enclosed by a 6-foot-tall fence. The club would like to replace a part of the existing fence with a 5-foot-tall fence. Although this would have a minor impact on the facility, because of the specific language in the condition a shorter fence requires an amendment to the original condition which can only be approved by the Board.

The applicant has also requested approval to relocate the existing trash enclosure. The new location will be in a corner of the parking lot approximately 65 feet distant from the closest home at 12303 Sentury Meadow Drive.

With respect to the evaluation, the proposed project is consistent with both the zoning and comprehensive plan designations on the property and satisfies all applicable code requirements. The recreation facility has been in use for over 35 years and is an integral part of the community. These minor changes will not affect the character of the surrounding neighborhood and no adverse impacts are anticipated from the fence replacement. The relocation of the trash enclosure could result in a negative impact on the adjacent home due to increased noise when servicing the container, but there are some options for that.

In conclusion, the recreation facility has been in operation since 1985. It was specifically required to provide a 6-foot-tall fence around the pool, which the club would like to replace 641 with a slightly lower fence. Because the new fence height would be contrary to specific 642 condition. Board approval is required to amend it. Based on the facts of the case and 643 anticipated impact, staff recommends approval of this request subject to conditions. 644 645 Are there any questions from the Board to staff? Hearing 646 none, we will move to the applicant. Would you state your name and spell it? 647 648 Good morning, gentlemen. My name is Sam Barnier, B as in 649 Mr. Bernier -650

boy, e-r-n-i-e-r. I am the president of the Colonies Swim and Tennis Club, Incorporated. And I have -- I was told I could bring a drive with some pictures. I just have a couple 651 pictures that I think also sort of illustrate exactly what we're doing, if that might be helpful 652 to the Board. Or I can just talk through it. 653

654

657

658 659

661 162

663

664

665 666

667 668

672

673 674

675

676

677

678

679

680 681

682

683

84

Mr. Blankinship -Yeah. If you just use our pictures, it would save us a little bit 655 of juggling. 656

Okay, perfect. Mr. Bernier -

Mr. Blankinship -But we can certainly show them if it's something that's really 660 important.

Mr. Bernier -Sure. So if you would go to the picture that highlights the fence that's around the pool, that would be super helpful. I think it's an overhead shot and it shows where the fence that goes around the pool is. I saw it.

Mr. Blankinship -Oh, an aerial?

Yes. But there's one that just highlights the fence there. Mr. Bernier -669 That's the property line and then there's another one that highlights the fence that goes 670 around the pool. 671

Oh. On the site map I think we had it sketched. Mr. Blankinship -

Yes, sir. There it is. Okay. So where we're seeing here, Mr. Bernier gentlemen, is the highlighted portion, is the portion of the fence that we're looking to replace. So around the rest of the pool structure, which is right to the right as you look at this picture that -- yes. Exactly where staff has the cursor. That's the pool. Around the rest of it is a black chain-link, 6-foot fence like you would see around, you know, commonly around the county.

The highlighted portion is a wooden lattice fence that has big bushes that were planted back in the '80s that have since grown into the fence. And so you can see that lattice fence right there and it goes all the way -- ah. There we are. So these are aggregate tiers that folks sit on and you can see the covered pool there and you can kind of see the bushes growing through the fence right there.

So what we'd like to do, and we're going to change some of the landscaping as well by taking out some of the bushes and redoing the landscaping. We actually -- the Facilities Director, Karen Welch, W-e-I-c-h, did I get that right?

Ms Welch - Yeah.

Mr. Bernier - Is here with us and she actually owns her own landscaping design company. So she's a great asset to our volunteer board. But -- so she's going to redesign the landscape. But it's just that wooden part of the fence that is rotting and not in good shape that needs to come down. And we're going to replace that with an aluminum, a black aluminum-brushed fence that's going to be about 8-feet behind where the fence is, so towards the parking lot away from the pool, which we actually think will add greater security because, you know, I probably weight about 185 pounds. If I put my shoulder into it, I could probably come through that lattice if I really wanted to.

So -- and then we're going to replace the gate. So it will go, as we saw on that overhead, it will just be that highlighted wooden portion. The rest of the black chain-link fence around the rest of the pool is going to stay in place. And then it will move slightly because we have a retaining wall there that we don't -- we don't want to build the new metal fence on top of the wooden retaining wall, so the wooden fence will come off the wooden retaining wall, the wooden retaining wall will stay in place, and then the new metal fence will be behind that so that we don't have to replace that wooden retaining wall, which would be really expensive.

As far as the trash is concerned, staff, do you mind going to the picture of that -- the trash enclosure? Thank you so much. Okay. So behind that rickety-looking wooden gate right there is 10 normal residential trash cans. And that's currently where we have the trash. Behind that is the pool, it just -- there's a large, I don't know, 12, 15-foot retaining wall behind that and it drops off to the pool. So that whole part of the fence is going to get replaced.

And what we'd like to do is rather than have that rash coral there, is move the dumpster to a -- or -- excuse me -- move the trash to a different part of the property in the far-right corner there, take up two parking spaces, build an enclosure that would -- Karen, do you remember how high the wall was going to be for that? Yeah. So it's an 8-foot wall, either stucco or brick on the outside. We're hoping to be able to do brick because that's more in keeping with the neighborhood. And then the trash truck would come in directly into it as you're looking at it right here.

And that would, A, give us more space for trash, which we need because the -- we rent the clubhouse, and our most -- our busiest times, as you might imagine, are right around graduation. Everybody wants to have a graduation party at the pool, at the clubhouse. The Colonies is a 318-single-family-home neighborhood. We are not part of The Colonies

HOA, but most people in The Colonies are members of The Colonies Swim and Tennis Club. So the clubhouse is very popular and we have to have multiple pickups per week during that -- those seasons and around the other holidays because the trash is overflowing from that trash coral. People don't want to go back to the back and put it in the very last trash can that's in the back corner of the trash coral.

So this is going to be an easier, more effective, way for us to dispose of the trash. We're cognizant that -- so as you're looking at this picture, behind that stand of bushes on the right about, as staff said, about 60 feet back there is the first home in The Colonies. The closest one that borders our property line. And so we're cognizant that they don't want to see a dumpster. We all live in The Colonies that are on the Board of the Colonies Swim and Tennis Club. I wouldn't want to see a dumpster. So we're going to have that nice 8-foot wall there.

I think the pickups are going to be probably less than once a week unless we're in the busy season. And we can certainly arrange with the company that picks up the dumpster to not pick it up at 6:00 in the morning. So we're happy to do that. I think that to the extent that there's noise from the dumpster being picked up, I mean, I -- if your baby's napping at 10:00, I can't control that. But I certainly don't want to wake anyone up at 6:00 a.m. with a dumpster pickup. So. I mean, I live there, too.

So. If you all have any questions for me, I am happy to answer them. And if I have to ask Karen, I'm happy to do that.

Mr. Green - Okay. I have a question. Staff reported out that you were going to talk about a 5-foot fence, but you're stating an 8-foot fence. What is the correct height of the fence?

Mr. Bernier - Yes. So the 5-foot fence is the replacement for the wooden fence that borders the pool. So we'd like to take that -- it's currently 6 feet. My understanding is the reg -- if, like, if I bought a -- brought -- excuse me -- built a new pool today, the reg would be 4 feet. But when we got the -- and when I say we I mean the people back in the '80s that did -- that built the pool. When they got the original permit, it specified 6 feet. We'd like to bring it to 5 and that's one of the two things we're asking the Board for. Is that -- to bring that wooden fence down from 6 to 5. The 8-foot fence is going around the dumpster, and that's a, like, a CMU with a nice facing. Hopefully brick. But stucco if not brick. That's a different -- that doesn't connect into this fence. It's going to be on the other side of the parking lot.

Mr. Green - Are there any other questions from the Board to the applicant?

Mr. Bell - Yes. I have one.

Mr. Green - Yes, sir, Mr. Bell.

Mr. Bell - A couple in fact. Around the trash are there any lights?

√53 754

777	Mr. Dornier	Are there any lights?
778 779	Mr. Bernier -	Are there any lights?
780	Mr. Bell -	Lights.
781	Wil. Dell	Eighto.
782	Mr. Bernier -	Our parking lot is it lighted over there, Karen? Yes. The
783	it's lighted over there.	can painting for the indigenous cross after a first contract of the contract o
784	3	
785	Mr. Bell -	And, secondly, who's responsible for cleaning up around the
786	trash can? Is it the people	who own the trash can or someone from the organization?
787		
788	Mr. Bernier -	Who is responsible for cleaning up around the trash cans
789	now? Or the dumpster in	the future? Or both?
790		
791	Mr. Bell -	Now or both. Who does it right now and who should be
792	doing it then.	
793		
794	Mr. Bernier -	Well, so the Colonies Swim and Tennis Club is responsible for
795		and what I typically do is I walk around there every day, because
796	_	and I walk my dog, and when I see a bunch of trash, I contact
797	•	Scout unit and I ask if somebody needs some volunteer hours,
798	and then I send them over	there to pick up all the trash.
799	Mr. Blankinship -	That is also addressed by condition number 10, Mr. Bell.
800 801	ivii. Bialikiiiship -	That is also addressed by condition humber 10, wir. bell.
802	Mr. Green -	So will the trash area be locked to prevent people from just
803		sh cans? Because I notice I live in Wellesley and I see a lot
804		ese when you see these various trash-can sites.
805		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
806	Mr. Bernier -	Yes. That's a really good question. And we did talk about that
807	as a Board. My first reac	tion was to not lock it and see if there's a problem, but I don't
808		rience administering a dumpster, so if somebody with more
809	experience administering	a dumpster recommends that we lock it right off the bat, that's
810		nat. But my first instinct was, Let's not lock it and see if there's
811	a and see if there's a pro	oblem. And if there's a problem, it's easy to add a lock.
812		
813	Mr. Green -	Okay. My other question is, you said that this is going to be a
814	dumpster, or will it be 12 t	rash cans?
815		MALL CONTRACTOR AND
816	Mr. Bernier -	We're going to go from the 12 trash cans to 1 dumpster.
817	Ma One and	Oleman
818	Mr. Green -	Okay.
819	Mr. Bernier -	Because right now the County Waste comes in, they come in
820		cle, they have to pull 12 trash cans out, and then that truck has
821 822	0	our, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, eleven, twelve.
044	to dump one, two, timee, it	our, hvo, on, seven, eight, hine, ten, eleven, twelve.

823 824	Mr. Green -	Right. Right.
825	Wir. Green	right. right.
826	Mr. Bernier -	And so it's sitting there for a while doing all that work. And
827		be able to come around, circle around, hit that dumpster head
828	on, dump it once, and go o	
829		
830	Mr. Green -	I would like to see because, like I said, I see it in my area,
831	that somehow you put a lo	ock around it. Because people are just throwing trash all over
832	•	eas it's unsightly to me. Would you be receptive to entertaining
833		en necessary? Because, you know, as people are moving or
834		'll throw stuff over there and they may, you know, if they don't
835	want to lift if, they'll just thr	row it
836		
837	Mr. Bernier -	Right. Just into the enclosure.
838	Mr. Croon	Diaht
839	Mr. Green -	Right.
840	Mr. Bernier -	Yes, certainly. Like I said, I don't have a lot of experience
841 842		, but if somebody with more experience thinks that we should
843		ik there was already going to be a gate that the trash company
№ 44		n to be able to get to the trash can, so I think it's pretty easy to
345	put a lock on that.	to be able to get to the trach early
846		
847	Mr. Green -	Can we put that as part of a condition? Because then that
848	way, like I said, I just wan	t to make sure that we keep the integrity of the neighborhood
849	sound. Because, like I sai	id, you will see people dumping trash all over the place.
850		
851	Mr. Bernier -	Yes, sir. And it can be pretty easy for us, too, if we put a
852		ally what we do is if somebody rents the clubhouse there is a
853		get from the person on our Board who manages the clubhouse
854	,	sible for dumping the trash from their party in the trash coral.
855		o have the same type of thing where they get a code for the
856	dumpster lock and then the	ey can unlock the dumpster and put their stuff in the dumpster.
857	Ma. Casasa	Thenlesses
858	Mr. Green -	Thank you.
859	Mr. Pornior	And then the same thing for the need staff. When we're in need
860	Mr. Bernier -	And then the same thing for the pool staff. When we're in pool now, Douglas Aquatics managers our pool, and they can have
861 862	the code and their guards	
863	the code and their gualus	can damp the tracti.
864	Mr. Green -	Thank you. Are there any other questions from the Board?
865		you. The there any other quotient in the bound.
≥ 866	Mr. Pollard -	I have one.

Mr. Green -

868

Yes, sir, Mr. Pollard.

869 870	Mr. Pollard -	Why do you want to go to five feet from six feet?
871 872	Mr. Bernier -	Well, so we think that a 5-foot fence will be more aesthetically
873 874 875	so the nice clean back u aesthetics. The personal to	of what I'm talking about is that brushed aluminum fence that pright with the little points on the top. And we think that just aste of the board as we thought about it and looked at different
876 877	pictures and took a vote.	The vast majority wanted 5-foot.
878 879	Mr. Green -	But technically County rule is, like, 4 feet?
880 881 882 883 884		The 4-foot section is for specifically for the pool. So that's lding code. This fence here in particular, it's really more of a ople out of that overall area. Not specifically to, you know, the
885	Mr. Green -	Okay.
886 887	Mr. Madrigal -	Again, the pool is stepped down. It's at a different grade level
888 889 890		you know, that's another reason for the fence as well as just
891 892	Mr. Green -	Right.
893 894 895 896 897	0	I think we have an obligation as an attractive nuisance, in to keep people out of the pool. If we don't have a fence, then to keep an attractive nuisance safe from folks who would be
898 899 900 901 902		Right. Any other questions from the Board to the applicant? In the audience that would like to speak in favor or against this e on Webex that would like to speak in favor or against this
903	Mr. Morris -	There is no one on Webex interested in speaking on this case.
904 905 906	Mr. Green - we approve the conditional	As the Three Chopt representative for that area, I move that all use permit subject to the conditions recommended by staff.
907 908 909 910	It is consistent with the con changes to approve condi	reprehensive plan. It is consistent with R2A zoning. Very minor tions will not adversely affect the health and safety. And the to would be a lock on the fence. Is that good?
910 911 912	Mr. Bernier -	Yes, sir.
913	Mr. Green -	So I have made that motion. Is there a second?



Mr. Bell -

Seconded.

Mr. Green - The motion was made by myself, Mr. Green. It was seconded by Mr. Bell. Is there any discussion among the Board members? Hearing none, all in favor say aye.

On a motion by Mr. Green, seconded by Mr. Bell, the Board approved case CUP2021-00025 COLONIES SWIM & TENNIS CLUB's request for a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-4205 of the County Code to expand a noncommercial recreation facility at 2801 Causeway Drive (Parcel 731-756-4317) zoned One-Family Residence District (R-2A) (Three Chopt). The Board approved the request subject to the following conditions:

1. This conditional use permit authorizes the use of the property as a noncommercial recreation facility. All other applicable regulations of the County Code remain in force. Any additional improvements must comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. Any substantial changes or additions to the design or location of the improvements will require a new conditional use permit.

2. The property must be operated on a nonprofit basis for members and guests only. Membership must not exceed 300 families.

C935

3. One parking space must be provided for every three families in the membership. The parking lot must be maintained in accordance with Article 5, Division 1 of the Zoning Ordinance. Fire lanes must be marked and maintained in accordance with the Fire Prevention Code.

4. For the safety of swimmers, lights beamed only on the pool and operated by a timer must be provided when water is in the pool.

5. Hours of operation must be limited to 8:00 am to 10:00 pm for outdoor activities and 8:00 am to 12:00 midnight for indoor activities. Up to four times per year, the hours of outdoor activities may be extended to 12:00 midnight for swim meets.

6. Sound amplification may be used during the four swim meets and during emergencies, but at no other time.

7. All exterior lighting must be shielded to direct light away from adjacent property andstreets.

8. All landscaping must be maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials must be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the normal planting season.

C93

- 9. The new or reconstructed fence must be located as shown on the plan submitted with the application, and must be constructed of durable all-weather materials such as masonry, stone, wrought iron, welded steel, electroplated aluminum, pressure-treated or rot-resistant lumber, composite materials designed to appear as wood or masonry, vinyl, or similar customary fencing materials. The fence and associated landscaping must be maintained in good repair and in a safe condition.
- 10. The recycling and refuse collection area must comply with the requirements of Sec. 24-4427 of the Zoning Ordinance and must be screened in compliance with Sec. 24-5311. The gate must be kept locked except when it is necessary to open it. All trash must be in closed containers with regular pickups, the containers must be kept clean, and must not be serviced earlier than 8:00 am. If full-size (8 cubic yard) containers are used, the enclosure must be located at least 100 feet from the nearest dwelling.

Affirmative:	Bell, Green, Johnson, Pollard, Reid	5
Negative:		0
Absent:		0

The motion is approved. 980 Mr. Bernier -Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, gentlemen, thank you 981 staff. 982

Mr. Green -Yes. I ask that we take a 5-minute break. We'll be back in 5 984 minutes. 985

[Break in Audio] 987

Mr. Green -

959

960

961

962

963

964 965

966

967

968

969

970

983

986

988

992

993

994 995 996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

Mr. Green -The Board of Zoning Appeals is back in order. We will now 989 990 move to variances. 991

Yes, sir. We have completed the conditional use permit Mr. Blankinship portion of our agenda. There are three variances on this morning's agenda. The first is variance 2021, number 27, Watchtower Homes and Construction

VAR2021-00027 WATCHTOWER HOMES AND CONSTRUCTION requests a variance from Section 24-4306.E and Section 24-6402.A.2 of the County Code to build a onefamily dwelling at 5415 Edgefield Street (CHAMBERLAYNE ESTATES) (Parcel 790-746-1548) zoned One-Family Residence District (R-4) (Fairfield). The public street frontage requirement, lot width requirement and total lot area requirement are not met. The applicant proposes 5,133 square feet lot area, 40 feet lot width, and 40 feet public street frontage, where the Code requires 6,000 square feet lot area, 50 feet lot width, and 50 feet public street frontage. The applicant requests a variance of 867 square feet lot area, 10 feet lot width, and 10 feet public street frontage.



Mr. Blankinship - Would everyone who intends to speak tot his case, please stand and be sworn in? Raise your right hand, please. Do you swear the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God? Thank you. Mr. Gidley.

Mr. Gidley - All right. Thank you, Mr. Secretary, Mr. Chair, members of the Board.

The subject property is part of the Chamberlayne Estates Subdivision. It is one of five rather narrow lots in a row, as you can see right here, and they all front on Edgefield Street. Three of these lots have obtained variances in the past to allow homes to be built on them.

Because the lot was recorded prior to 1960, it does have reduced lot-area and lot-width standards. Despite this, it still lacks the required lot-area and lot-width and public street frontage requirements. As all result, the applicant is requesting a variance in order to construct a single-family home on the property.

N26

27

As far as looking at the threshold tests for a variance, as you know, one of the three need to be met. Staff believes two are met, actually. First, this lot was recorded in 1935. At the time, it was a legal, buildable lot. However, code changes occurred in 1958 and in 1960 and as a result of those code changes, the lot was no longer buildable. So, effectively, one, the lot lacks a reasonable beneficial use. And, second, there were physical characteristics of the lot, namely its dimensions that existed prior to the change in the zoning ordinance. Thus two of the three threshold tests are met. And because of this, staff believes we can move on to the five subtests, all of which need to be met for a variance to be granted.

As noted in the staff report, staff believes all are met. Just real quickly, detrimental impact. You can see three of the homes right here that were constructed on these lots. So the, you know, development pattern on these five lots has pretty much been set. This is just a continuation of that development pattern, it's consistent with that pattern, and you can see the homes out here. And the proposed home is similar in style, so staff does not see a substantial detrimental impact if this is constructed on.

So, in conclusion, absent of variance a home may not be constructed on the property, leaving it with no reasonable use. In addition, the lot was platted in 1935 prior to the first zoning ordinance so the lack of lot-area, lot-width, and the public street frontage requirement is a hardship that existed prior to the adoption of these ordinance requirements.

As noted, all five subtests are met, so staff recommends approval of this request subject to the conditions in your staff report. If you have any questions, I will be happy to answer those. Thank you.

C₀₄₉

Mr. Green - Are there any questions from the Board to the staff? Hearing none, we move to the applicant. Would you please state your name and spell it, please, sir?

Mr. Baker - Mark Baker, M-a-r-k B-a-k-e-r. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. I represent the applicant, in this case the contract purchaser, and I want to thank staff for their assistance to the process. Always very knowledgeable and helpful and a pleasure to deal with.

This is, again, a variance request. Relief is requested for lot area, lot width, public street frontage. It's an original lot from the Chamberlain State Subdivision, as indicated by staff, recorded in 1935. It's currently vacant and, absent the variance, it's undevelopable. Again, it's one of five parcels that's noted by staff, which are all similarly sized. They're from the same subdivision. Three of those parcels suffered from the same issues, obviously, they were granted variances for the construction of single-family homes.

And so the goal here is the same. Construction of a single-family dwelling. High Quality infill product. It'd be compatible with the neighborhood, it'd be compatible with adjacent lots, it would be a home ownership opportunity, and it would be designed to meet the expectations of today's market. Approximately 1,600 square feet.

It's a nice floor plan. Open floor plan on the first floor. Three bedrooms, two and a half baths. The exterior would be compatible with other dwellings in the area and include similar building materials and they're proposing a horizontal outside veneer, a brick veneer, on the -- on the foundation, et cetera. It's a similar roof style to the other dwellings in the vicinity with a forefront facing gable element.

And there's a full front porch proposed as well as a deck, which would be usable for the future owners. Now staff does a great job in their report at discussing the evidentiary requirements where the variance is concerned. I don't need to, you know, that I want to belabor the point, but I do just want to stress for the record that as noted by the staff, the threshold tests are met. I won't go through them, because they did such a good job in their report. Again, I won't duplicate their efforts, but I would also just concur where the five additional subtests are concerned that they are met, as indicated in the report.

And now we did do some neighborhood outreach here. We did send out letters to all owners within 150 feet explaining their quest, notifying them of our application, but we've not heard any opposition to the request as of today. And finally like to thank you for your time and, of course, we respectfully request your approval. And I can try to answer any questions you might have.

Mr. Green - Are there any questions from the Board to the applicant?
Hearing none, is there a motion? Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Are there any individuals in
the audience to speak for or against this proposal? Are there any individuals on Webex
to speak for or against this proposal?

1097 Mr. Morris - There is no one on Webex interested in speaking on this case.

Mr. Green - Hearing none, I will accept a motion from the Board as to the disposition of this variance.

Mr. Pollard - I move that we approve the variance subject to the conditions recommended by staff. Otherwise, there is no other reasonable use of the property and the hardship was created before the ordinance was adopted.

Mr. Johnson - I second.

Mr. Green - Okay. The motion was made by Mr. Pollard and actually was seconded by Mr. Johnson. Is there any discussion among the Board in reference to this variance? Hearing none, all in favor say aye. Opposed like sign.

On a motion by Mr. Pollard, seconded by Mr. Johnson, the Board **approved** case **VAR2021-00027 WATCHTOWER HOMES AND CONSTRUCTION's** request for a variance from Section 24-4306.E and Section 24-6402.A.2 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 5415 Edgefield Street (CHAMBERLAYNE ESTATES) (Parcel 790-746-1548) zoned One-Family Residence District (R-4) (Fairfield). The Board approved the request subject to the following conditions:

C117

1. This variance applies only to the lot area, lot width and public street frontage requirements for one dwelling only. All other applicable regulations of the County Code remain in force.

2. This variance applies only to the plot plan by Virginia Surveys, dated 8-25-2021, and building design titled "Watchtower Homes, 5415 Edgefield Rd" dated 8-6-2021 and filed with the application. Any additional improvements must comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. Any substantial changes or additions to the design or location of the improvements will require a new variance.

3. Before beginning any clearing, grading, or land disturbing activity, the applicant must obtain approval of an environmental compliance plan from the Department of Public Works.

4. Any dwelling on the property must be served by public water and sewer.

5. The applicant must obtain a building permit for the proposed dwelling by October 30, 2023, or this variance will expire. After that date, if the building permit is cancelled or revoked due to failure to diligently pursue construction, this variance will expire at that time.

C1139

1142	Affirmative:	Bell, Green, Johnson, Pollard, Reid	5
1143	Negative:		0
1144	Absent:		0
1145			
1146		The conference has been provided	
1147	Mr. Green -	The variance has been granted.	
1148	Me Displainable	The next sees is Verience 2021 number	or 20 Kannath I
1149 1150	Mr. Blankinship - Sheffield.	The next case is Variance 2021, number	er zo, Kenneth L.
1151			
1152		ETH L. SHEFFIELD requests a variance to	
1153		.2 of the County Code to build a one-family dw	•
1154	•	PRINGS) (Parcel 821-724-3964) zoned One-	
1155	, , ,	he public street frontage requirement and lot	•
1156		int proposes 35 feet lot width and 35 feet pub	0 .
1157		50 feet lot width and 50 feet public street front	
1158	requests a variance of 1	5 feet lot width and 15 feet public street fronta	ige.
1159			
1160	Mr. Blankinship -	Would everyone who intends to speak to	
1161		s the applicant here? This is Variance 2021, no	
1162	L. Sheffield. We don't by	chance have an applicant on Webex, do we	?
1163	Ma Mauria	The applicant is not an Wahay. No	
1164	Mr. Morris -	The applicant is not on Webex. No.	
1165	Mr. Green -	Civen that the applicant is not here w	ould we entertain
1166		Given that the applicant is not here, w n make sure that the applicant is fully represe	
1167 1168	•	ld you like to proceed with hearing this case?	inteu and here! Of
1169	do you would like wou	d you like to proceed with hearing this case!	
1170	Mr. Blankinship -	You don't have any idea why the applicant	's not here?
1170	Wir. Blattkinging	Tod don't have any idea willy the applicant	o not note:
1172	Mr. Madrigal -	No, sir. I did send the staff report to the ap	policant, Mr. Tuttle
1173	www.waangar	res, on. raid cond the stan report to the ap	product, im. radio.
1174	Mr. Green -	What is the pleasure of the Board? To def	er until we can find
1175		e applicant because of the	
1176	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	- PP	
1177	Mr. Johnson -	I motion that we defer the request until the	e applicant can be
1178	with us. Because I have	a couple of questions for him.	
1179			
1180	Mr. Pollard -	Second.	
1181			
1182	Mr. Green -	Yes, sir. The motion was made by Mr. John	nson, seconded by
1183	Mr. Pollard. Is there any	discussion among the Board? All in favor sa	y aye.
1184			
1185		ohnson, seconded by Mr. Pollard, the Boa	
1186	VAR2021-00028 KENN	ETH L. SHEFFIELD until the November 1	8, 2021 Board of
1187	Zoning appeals meeting		

C ₁₈₈				
1189				
1190	Affirmative:	Bell, Green, Johnson, Pollard, Reid	5 0	
1191 1192	Negative: Absent:		0	
1193	71000116			
1194 1195	Mr. Green -	The motion is deferred till December.		
1196 1197	Mr. Reid -	November.		
1198 1199	Mr. Green -	November.		
1200	Will Groom			
1201 1202	Mr. Blankinship -	Which meeting? November, or December?		
1203 1204	Mr. Johnson -	November.		
1205	Mr. Green -	November.		
1206 1207	Mr. Blankinship -	We deferred the other one to December just t	o allow them to	
1207		eting. All right. Well that brings us to the la		
209		is Variance 2021, number 30, Herman F. Blake		
210				
1211 1212	VAR2021-00030 HERMA	AN F. BLAKE, JR. requests a variance fro	m Section 24-	
1213	4306.E.1 of the County C	4306.E.1 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 197 Pilgrim Lane (Parcel		
1214	795-755-4366) zoned Ag	ricultural District (A-1) (Fairfield). The public	street frontage	
1215	requirement is not met. I	he applicant proposes 0 feet public street front lic street frontage. The applicant requests a var	age, where the	
1216 1217	public street frontage.	ille street frontage. The applicant requests a var	larice of 50 feet	
1218	pasiio sii oot ii omage.			
1219		Would everyone who intends to speak to the		
1220		Raise your right hand, please. Do you swea		
1221 1222	you're about to give is the God?	e truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the tru	ath so help you	
1222	Gou!			
1224	Mr. Blake -	I do.		
1225				
1226 1227	Mr. Blankinship - also several mernoranda l	All right. Now a mernber of the staff will prese left on the table for this case.	rit. There were	
1228	Mr. Gidlov	Okay. Thank you, Mr. Secretary, Mr. Chairma	an members of	
1229 1230	Mr. Gidley - the Board. As the secre	etary noted, since the staff report went out,		
1230	additional letters in opposition, including one from the homeowner's association and			
232	copies of those should be	at your desk.		
1233				

As far as this case itself is concerned, the subject property is a 4-acre parcel located along the Chickahominy River floodplain just southeast of Chamberlayne Road. It was originally part of a 214-acre parcel that was developed into the Chickahominy Bluffs subdivision, shown here, and also the Sterling Forest subdivision further south. And this was done in the late 1960s and the early '70s. The parcel was left out of the subdivision, possibly due to the development constraints on the property, including steep slopes, floodplain, and wetlands.

In 1975, the applicant purchased the property at 8331 Fulham Court, shown down here, and this is his home. And shortly thereafter the applicant also purchased the subject property up here. Which is, you know, immediately behind their current property.

In 2001 the land to the north was developed as part of the Rolling Hills Subdivision. At the time, the developer agreed to provide a 20-foot access easement to the subject property that crosses over 200 Pilgrim Lane, right here. And you can see the access easement drawn in. This is 200 Pilgrim Lane here and this is where the access easement would go in, right through here.

The access easement, despite its existence, does not, however, satisfy the public street frontage requirement. As a result, the applicant is requesting a variance today for lack of public street frontage to allow a dwelling to be built on the property.

Staff does have concerns regarding the access easement. First, you can see here, it says 20-foot access easement and that's all it really says on the plat. Staff could find no other legal documentation governing the easement. So does this mean you can access it, like, as a pedestrian access or a vehicle access, or what? It's not particularly clear, to be frank about the situation.

During the subdivision review the developer's engineer did send a letter to the Planning Department. And in the letter, they stated their understanding was the only dwelling that could be placed on the property was a summer home for seasonal use. And this was due to the lack of public street frontage. So, evidently, the developer's engineer didn't think it would be, you know, turned into an actual residence fulltime. Whereas a summer home or a fishing cabin did not require public street frontage and, therefore, that was a permitted use.

So, other than this letter and the notation on the subdivision plat, we've not been able to locate anything describing the rights that go with the easement. So that's one concern. The second concern deals with the easement itself and whether it's effectively usable. This is a topo map here. The blue lines of boundary between the two parcels, the easement runs along the southern line of this. As you can see, this goes down an extremely steep ravine. From this point here to this point here is just over two hundred feet, and the fall in elevation is 40 feet over that distance. Not 4 feet, but 40 feet. So that's a significant drop that goes down there.

The other thing I would point out here is, if you're over here (205 Pilgrim Court) and you go down towards the floodplain, it just slopes straight on down and it's steep. But you notice the topo here looks a little different. It's steep going not just from the cul-de-sac down to the subject property, but also between these two homes in the neighborhood, side to side, it's also quite steep there. And that's why we refer to the ravine being so steep in our staff report. So we definitely have some concern as to whether or not it's effectively usable.

In looking at the special requirement for a variance, as you know, one of the three must be met for one to be granted. When the Board of Supervisors adopted the zoning ordinance in 1960 to require public street frontage for all dwellings, this property was part of a larger 214-acre parcel. As I noted, this parcel was developed into the Chickahominy Bluffs and Sterling Forest subdivisions. These provided a valuable use for the 214-acre parcel. The 4-acre parcel was just a remnant that contained steep slopes, floodplain, and wetlands.

Because it lacked public street frontage, unlike the previous case we had, this was never a buildable lot under the zoning ordinance for a dwelling. So, as a result, staff's position is neither of the first two threshold tests are met. This obviously does not involve ADA accessibility for a disabled person, so none of the three threshold tests are met in staff's view. As a result, the variance should not be granted.

I would note, however, even if one of the three were met, all five subtests must also be met. Staff is concerned, as noted, about the ravine. It's heavily wooded now, as you can see here, which helps to stabilize the ravine as it currently exists. If a driveway were to be placed down it, filling the ravine, attempting to stabilize it from erosion, would have a significant impact on the adjacent homes. And, again, this is quite steep here.

Both of the homeowners have written in and expressed concerns over what impact it would have on their property on the erosion vis-à-vis their foundation. So staff concurs that it would have a substantial detrimental impact on not only the neighboring properties, but would also be disruptive to an established neighborhood as well. So staff does not believe this subtest is met.

In conclusion, staff does not believe the required threshold tests are met. In addition, subtest two is not met as placing a driveway in this easement would result in the clearing of mature trees, mass grading, and potential erosion problems for adjacent residents. As a result, it would have a detrimental impact on nearby property. For these reasons, staff recommends denial of this request.

I know this has been a long presentation. It's a detailed case. And if you have any questions, I will be happy to answer those. Thank you.

Mr. Green - Could you go back a couple pictures. Because I want a clear understanding.

×300

1325	Mr. Gidley -	Which picture do you want?
1326		
1327	Mr. Green -	Click back two about two of them.
1328		T
1329	Mr. Gidley -	There's the aerial.
1330		
1331	Mr. Green -	Yes, the aerial. So Audley Lane. So what you're talking about
1332	is coming in from Audley	Lane to access that parcel.
1333		
1334	Mr. Gidley -	No, sir. Actually, it's off of Pilgrim Lane here.
1335		
1336	Mr. Green -	Oh, Pilgrim. Coming into Pilgrim.
1337		
1338	Mr. Gidley -	Yes. It runs right between these two houses here.
1339		
1340	Mr. Green -	Okay. And so what the applicant wants to do is build on that
1341	4-acre land. On that 4-ac	ere lot.
1342		
1343	Mr. Gidley -	My understanding is there is a client in Maryland moving to
1344		g purchasing this property. And so in order to build a residence
1345		ariance and the access to the property as I said earlier, it never
1346		treet frontage. And so they would want to access through the
1347		een these two houses here. And, unfortunately, that easement
1348	goes right down that stee	p ravine I showed you right here.
1349		to the control of the
1350	Mr. Green -	Is there any other way that could you go back? Is there any
1351	other way that that lot cou	IId be accessed?
1352	M 0: II	MACHERINE TO SEE THE SECRETARIES OF THE SECRETARIES
1353	Mr. Gidley -	Well, the applicant's existing home borders on the lot here.
1354		ave accessed it over the years for hunting and things like that.
1355		cess to it, which is one of the reasons staff noted it was never a
1356		created. From the very get-go it did not meet the requirements
1357		And that's what the engineer noted in his letter where he wrote,
1358		operty is zoned A-1. And without the possibility of being able to
1359		n the future, the only dwelling allowed would be a summer home
1360		eir understanding. And, obviously, you're not going to get any
1361	road in there.	
1362		Oleve If we hall a survey home for a constal use how do
1363	Mr. Green -	Okay. If you build a summer home for seasonal use, how do
1364	you access the summer h	iome?
1365	Mr. Cidle:	That's a good question. And again it sames down to much
1366	Mr. Gidley -	That's a good question. And, again, it comes down to much
1367	or what i mentioned earlie	er, the details of the easement are not particularly clear.
1368	Mr. Dlankinchia	Dut it is anasifically exempt from the public etreet frontess
1369	Mr. Blankinship -	But it is specifically exempt from the public street frontage
1370	requirement in the zoning	ordinance.

C		
1371	Mr. Gidley -	Right.
1373 1374	Mr. Green -	So could you go back to the picture between the two houses?
1375 1376	Mr. Gidley -	Sure.
1377 1378	Mr. Green -	So, basically, those trees would have to come down.
1379 1380 1381	Mr. Gidley -	Yes, sir.
1381 1382 1383	Mr. Green -	To access that lot.
1384 1385	Mr. Gidley -	Yes, sir.
1386 1387	Mr. Green - into that area.	So 20 feet would have to be taken so someone could go down
1388 1389 1390 1391		Yes, sir. That's correct. And when staff went down there, we gnificance of that ravine. It was quite steep. And going in there ally have a significant impact on the neighborhood.
C ³⁹² S ³⁹³	Mr. Green -	So it'd be 20-feet wide and how far back?
1394 1395	Mr. Gidley -	Let's see here.
1396 1397	Mr. Martel Zeldin -	Four hundred feet.
1398 1399	Mr. Gidley -	Yes. You can see it right here.
1400 1401	Mr. Green -	Wow.
1402 1403 1404	Mr. Gidley - So, as someone said, ove	And here's another V here. It's 68 to here and 384 down here. er 400 feet down.
1405 1406	Mr. Green -	That's significant road. That's a significant road.
1407 1408	Mr. Gidley -	Yes, sir. It is significant.
1409 1410	Mr. Green -	Are there any other questions from the
1411	Mr. Pollard -	Where's the applicant's current property?
1413	Mr. Johnson -	That's what I was going to ask.
1416	Mr. Pollard -	Could you, like, hover over it with your cursor?

1417				
1417	Mr. Gidley -	Sure. Here's the subject property. The applicant currently		
1419	lives right here.	outo. There of the education property. The applicant carrents		
1420	iivoo rigitti noro.			
1421	Mr. Green -	And the applicant is interested in selling that four-acres to the		
1422	individual who's moving d			
1423	marriada villo o moving d	ionn many and		
1424	Mr. Gidley -	Right. And then they would access it through this		
1425	neighborhood here.	tight.		
1426	noight of the carrier of			
1427	Mr. Green -	Right.		
1428				
1429	Mr. Pollard -	And what is the, like, the state of that land? Is it wetland or		
1430	dry or woody?			
1431	,			
1432	Mr. Gidley -	On this property here? There's significant downward let's		
1433		so showed a lot of that. You can see a little bit here where it		
1434	slopes down towards the			
1435				
1436	Over on the northeast con	rner, that's floodplain. There is a small area in here where they		
1437	may be able to build. But	may be able to build. But even then, that was a concern of staff. Because when this		
1438	subdivision came in here	e and they showed the plans, they showed RPA, which is a		
1439	Resource Protection Area	Resource Protection Area, a no disturb basically, that kind of cut right along through here.		
1440	And it looked like it went	into this area that would otherwise potentially be buildable. So		
1441	staff has concerns wheth	er or not, you know, this would even be buildable as such. But		
1442	we didn't have to get into	that, because, you know, we didn't feel it met the tests for a		
1443	variance.			
1444				
1445	Mr. Green -	Right. Are there any other questions from the Board for staff?		
1446				
1447	Mr. Johnson -	Yes.		
1448				
1449	Mr. Green -	Hold on. Mr. Reid.		
1450				
1451	Mr. Reid -	Was the easement ever recorded? I'm confused about		
1452	been reading all about gr	ound.		
1453	Mar O'allan	The automorphism I found . Iline a come the Ale the common man		
1454	Mr. Gidley -	The only recordation I found I'm sorry, that's the wrong plan.		
1455	•	ne only recordation that I was able to find. And even in the		
1456	subdivision's covenants, when they talked about easements, it's to tot lots and things like that, giving the utilities the right to access easements and all. But this is all there is. So			
1457				
1458	It's not particularly clear v	pedestrian easement for people to get down there? Is it more?		
1459	it s not particularly clear v	viiat it iiivoives.		
1460 1461	Mr. Reid -	And, secondly, did the applicant have the opportunity to put		
1461		erty from Fulham Court and right to the property, the four acres?		
1402	a odoomont on mo prope	orty in order and right to the property; the roat delest		

,					
463					
1464	Mr. Gidley -	I would think he, you know, certainly could put an easement			
1465	on his property. He would still need a variance for the public street frontage. But the easement could come across his property. Sure.				
1466 1467	easement could come acr	oss his property. Sure.			
1468	Mr. Reid -	Okay. Thank you.			
1469					
1470	Mr. Gidley -	Yes, sir.			
1471	Ma Canan	Mr. Jahnson			
1472 1473	Mr. Green -	Mr. Johnson.			
1474	Mr. Johnson -	And my question was the entrance that you talked about			
1475	going. If it went in from				
1476					
1477	Mr. Green -	Where those big trees are? There?			
1478 1479	Mr. Johnson -	No. Not there, but on the opposite side. Right there.			
1479	WII. JOHNSON -	140. Not there, but on the opposite side. Taght there.			
1481	Mr. Gidley -	Yeah.			
1482					
1483	Mr. Johnson -	Having an easement coming in from over there if they could			
484	do it, would it be flatter the	ere than it would be on the other side?			
1486	Mr. Gidley -	When I looked at topographical maps, the area immediately			
1487		me was somewhat level. But, again, as you get a little further			
1488	into the property it does s	ort of slope down to the floodplain for the river. If any of you			
1489		hickahominy River, you know how you start going downhill real			
1490	quick.				
1491 1492	Mr. Johnson -	But you stated earlier that it looked like the one and only			
1492		back. Was it back there and potentially in the front and in middle			
1494	is unusable? Is that your				
1495					
1496	Mr. Gidley -	This area here where the cursor is, is pretty steep. And then			
1497		So the practical matter is it would have to go up here. But			
1498 1499		there are I believe there's, like 35-feet worth of easements that run through here. And as I noted, there seem to be Chesapeake Bay buffers noted on the subdivision plans up			
1500	here or that crossed over. So in reality how buildable it is, that's certainly up in the air, so to speak. And, again, we didn't really look at that just because we don't think the applicant				
1501					
1502	met the requirements for t	the variance.			
1503	Mr. Johnson	Thank you			
1504 1505	Mr. Johnson -	Thank you.			
J 506	Mr. Green -	Any other questions from the Board to the staff? Hearing			
-07		he applicant. Is the applicant here?			

1509 Mr. Blake - Yes, sir.

Mr. Green - Would you please go to the podium? State and spell your name, sir.

Mr. Blake - Morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board and the audience. I am, for the record, Herman Blake Jr. along with my wife, the owners of this property in question. It's a brain twister. It seems that it's gotten to be a brain twister. But I'm before you today because I was told that I needed a 20-foot access to this property.

A few years back I thought about selling it, and so a real estate agent and I went over to the County, to the Planning office, and we thought because of what the developer of Rolling Hills told me when he got this plant recorded, the whole subdivision, that he'd given me access to my property. He also told me that his engineer had told him that there were at least two-house sites there and maybe three. But certainly two. I'm really trying to settle down. I'll sell you all 40 acres in Hanover you would like that, too.

I'm to the point in my life where I just kind of want out. Just sort of understand me just a little bit better. I am a developer. I have developed subdivisions Hanover, Chesterfield, and Henrico. In all cases, I have subbed the work out to the stone people, the clearing people, the road builders, and the curb and gutter people. I have paid those bills. I have supervised the work. I'm not trying to be braggadocios at all, but I am a graduate of the - it was VPI back in my day, it is now Virginia Tech. So that'd give you an idea of how old I am.

Mr. Green - We forgive you.

Mr. Blake - But, anyway, my degree was in building construction. Some of our courses were taken in the Civil Engineering Department, so I am familiar with surveying. We had to take surveying classes. I am familiar with cut and fill. I'm familiar with road construction. There's nothing that we really propose to do. And, believe me, I don't blame anybody for protesting and being afraid. I really don't. I understand all of that. I've lived in Chickahominy Bluffs. I moved in there -- first I built my house. One house in '71. And then I moved to this back piece and actually moved in the house, I think, in '76.

And in between, you know, I bought the property I just wanted to be back in that area on a cul-de-sac. It was private. And, you know, I like that parcel because my boys were starting to be interested in hunting. They've hunted that property plus they got permission to hunt the property over here to the right that's owned by a hunt club. So my boys had access to that. So one of my boys got a six-point deer right there in -- we call it a back yard. And they could fish down in the Chickahominy River, because they could go across there in the floodplain of the Hunting Club's property and fish.

It's been a real pleasant place to live. I've enjoyed it. We have seen a lot of change come forth there. We, you know, the neighborhood was concerned about Rolling Hills. They were concerned about Chamberlayne Estates. They were concerned about the other one. And justifiably so. I think neighbors have a right to know. They have a right to be concerned about what's going to happen in their area.

I never was really worried about Rolling Hills, because I know the developer and he promised me that he would get me an access easement into my house. I mean, into my property. And, you know, I felt pretty good about that, because before that there was an access easement that was closed when Rolling Hills was developed.

My kids call it the VEPCO Trail. I think it was actually a big easement that went down with the County sewer line. But, anyway, that's how they went in and out. The neighborhood kids played back in there. They had rope swiings over one ravine and did all kinds of things. It's been a great place to live.

I'm going to downsize and so, therefore, I've decided to sell that. What I did is I gave you all the information. I have always seen it as being a usable access easement that I could open up at some point. Now, obviously, I wouldn't open it up without telling the people or meeting with them or anything else about going across it.

But I've always looked at it as -- and I know the developer did, too. And why his engineer wrote a letter about a fishing cabin is beyond me. I only found out about it the other day when Mr. Gidley told me about it. And he sent me a copy and that's what the engineer said. Well, that wasn't the engineer's instructions from the developer, as I understand it. He would be -- I was to get an access that I could build a driveway in, drive cars back in there, and sell off some of the property if I wanted to.

I thought I had two lots as I could break it up into two pieces. But I went up to the Planning Office with a real estate agent. That has probably I don't know. I tried to find exactly when it was. But, anyway, we went there together and the Planning staff member told me that I could only get one house back there. And he said, You don't have enough road frontage for that.

I think he was probably doing the same thing I did. Read that plat, it's recorded, showing that easement and knowing that that whole thing had gone before the Board of Supervisors. Now forget about the ordinance. It went before the Board of Supervisors showing an easement right into Pilgrim Lane.

Now maybe it was inadvertently approved by them. That's what I have to assume now. Because I had no idea until a few days before the filing on this case was due that I had to come before you folks. So, you know, I was totally ignorant of that fact. And I still have an issue with it. But in order to move on forward, I rushed in to get my filing done. I have a purchaser who's actually signed a contract. He is from Baltimore, wants to move to Richmond, and set up his life out of Maryland and into Virginia.

\$575

#76

So when the notices came out, I tried to get him down so we could go ahead and meet the two homeowners that are affected the most on each side of the easement. Meet with them personally and see what we had in mind. And, unfortunately, he couldn't get here the day after the notices went out. It was Monday, I think the holiday, Columbus Day, I think it was that he could get in town.

And we did go out and met with Mr. Zeldin and his wife and Mr. Bell and explained what we were trying to do. But unfortunately, you know, prior to that I had gone over to the County just to make an inquiry. The settlement was due to be before September the 30th. I was asked the question by the attorney and so I wanted to do a little checking to see what was actually going to be the problem to get a curb-cut permit and build an apron, you know, into the driveway. I think this is all we got to do.

We will get a permit, the County's not going to let you break the curb and gutter out without the permit, justifiably so. So, you know, that's what I went over there to see. This was, like, 3:45 in the afternoon on a Friday. It was Friday, September the 18th. I went to Public Works because they're the ones that have to issue the permit for a curb-cut.

And they said, you know, you got a problem, you're going to have to go to the Board of Zoning Appeals. I said, What? Oh, no, no. They said, You've got to go to the Planning Office. I said, Okay. So time is rushing on. I went on over and luckily I was able to see someone in the Zoning Office. And I don't like calling names, so I won't. You know.

Anyway, I got good information there that I had to come before the Board here to get a variance. And rather than argue about it I said, When can I file? And he said, Well go get your application, you know, out at the desk at the Permit Center. So I went there and the young lady was really helpful and gave me the forms and I said, When do I have to file for this? I was looking for something November, December. I mean, I thought, Oh, Lord. This is awful.

She said, well, if you get your paperwork in by the 24th, then you'll be good for the October meeting. And I said, well that's good, because I need to give my purchasers some kind of answer. It's just not fair to him to hold him, you know, for another 30, 60 days or whatever. So I really felt blessed, you know, I got there in the nick of time, so to speak. And I filed it, got everything together. I actually asked for a variance not of 0 feet. I asked for a variance of 30 feet, I think, because I figured it already had 20, and 20 and 30 is 50, and I think 50 was a number that you needed.

From the very beginning I thought I had a good lot, and I still do, because the 20 feet would be good if a parcel were developed along with Rolling Hills as a stem lot. Which, you know, I wasn't worried about it. You know. It never occurred to me I'd better develop this with that. But I didn't. The developer told me he was going to give me an easement, and as far as I'm concerned, I got it. If you notice, on the handout I had done, I've got one for the audience if they want to pick it up.

But what I did is I took the 12 pages of the new sheet, of the new ordinances, 12 pages in there that spell out the uses of each piece of property. And you've got to go down the table for yours. And mine is the first one agricultural and conservation. You've got to go down that one. Then you go to 11 more pages and go down those. And that's what I did. Took me about a half a day to get it. Staff may want to hang on to that. Save them a lot of looking. But they are all uses that are by right and by conditional use or provisional use in agriculture.

I could go over there right now -- by taking a tractor down by my garage and so forth. But I don't have a place on my lot where I live to get an easement to access that property. I got a house in the way and I got a garage in the way. And that's why the developer, who is a personal friend of mine, was willing to give me an access to that while they were developing this property. So it was done before anybody bought anything over there. It's on the plat of the whole subdivision for the world to see. And I think it's in 2001 when it was recorded.

I think the Real Estate Department here in the County decided, Oh, Mr. Blake's got a buildable lot. You will notice that my tax assessment went from 5,000-some dollars up to 40-some thousand dollars and change. It's all there in front of you. And then each year as the lots were sold it kept creeping up and it got up to \$83,000 and stayed there for years. Now I'm selling the lot, hopefully. I mean, I'm trying to be fair. I'm selling it for the assessment. I figured if the County thinks it's worth \$83,000, that if that lot -- it's got the potential to be able to a \$250,000 lot if you can get into it with a driveway.

So what I've tried to do is leave enough money. And I've had my engineer look at the slope in there and he says it's only going to be, like, a 10 to 1 slope with the most of 12. Or 10 percent or 12 percent. That is not a bad slope. Subdivision I did in Chesterfield --we got slopes in there way worse than that. And it works. It all passed the State Highway Department, Design, Chesterfield. It's all signed off, the subdivision's built.

So I'm depending on my engineer to do this. And what we would do is the best engineering practices will answer most of these problems. There's not a subdivision anywhere that I know of it has wooded parcels on it, Chickahominy Bluffs included, Rolling Hills included, that you don't have to take down trees, you don't have to destabilize soil for the time being, but you've got to restabilize it. Good engineering practices take care of that.

I just wish that I'd done something different, so it didn't have to disturb the Zeldins and the Bells. I really do. But I really feel like in the heart that I already have an established easement. The Board of Supervisors might have inadvertently passed it. I feel like I'm entitled to it and I have every intention of working with the neighborhood. And they're making claims like, you know, they're going to get a flash flood. Well, there's no way you're going to get a flash flood. If it didn't get a flash flood during Gaston, or whatever that hurricane was that came in here and flooded everything, it's never going to be one.

But, to make my point, there's curb and gutter all the way down around that cul-de-sac that I counted -- it's either 7 or 8 stormwater catch basins. You'll see one in the picture there, I think. So all that water -- most of that water is getting out of there by pipe. The water that's now going and bothers Mr. Bell is surface water. It's basically the sheet flow that came in through the natural grade of the subdivision. And when it got to that, they called it a ravine. I called it a ditch. And they're saying that the water in there now -- that's what caused that ditch, In my opinion, that ravine.

What it was, that's the way the water was coming out of the subdivision before it was ever a subdivision. And over time it dug that trench through there. That water that's going through there now is nothing but sheet flow and it's not much of that. And we would build the road to the -- I'm not going to call it a road, either. It's a driveway. Some of the neighbors are saying, you know, that they're worried about opening up ground behind it. But if you look at the map -- Mr. Gidley, could you go back to the regional map for me, please.

There. If you look at the maps there really was no other way to satisfactorily get an easement into my four acres. When the developed Chickahominy Bluff Subdivision, they were left over with this parcel because there was no way to get to it. They didn't own this land that's Rolling Hills.

So it's kind of — it's in a way some sort of amusing, I guess. But I don't know — I just still feel like that something happened and I don't have any answers to it. I feel like I'm entitled to use what I thought I had. And I can understand they are concerned. Honesty to gosh I an. And I want to work with everybody. I lived there longer than anybody other than one of the ladies in my subdivision. Period. Since 1971. Living there longer than most anybody maybe in Chamberlayne Hills except for Mr. LeVecchia.

And I've done nothing but work to be a good citizen and I will continue to do that. And it's something I found out about I wasn't aware of it. And I just happy that I can at least get a filing in here. And, if there's any questions you gentleman would like to ask me, or whatever, about anymore, what I've done or what I know about this stuff, I'd be happy to try to answer those questions.

Mr. Smith is probably -- he told me he was going to be on the Zoom call because he is out of town. But, I thought it was important for us to get ahead of it with the neighbors as best we could and let them know it's for a man who really wants to live there and that's what we tried to do.

Mr. Green - Mr. Blake, I have a question.

1731 Mr. Blake - Yes, sir.

1733 Mr. Green - You say you've done extensive development work. So you've built subdivisions and all of that. And you also stated that you were told you would be given this easement. Is there anything in writing that you have? Because how did you

formalize that? I mean, people say all kind of things, but I would think that with you being a developer there would be some formal documentation that you could produce or someone could produce that was actually -- not to challenge anything that you've said, but -- because I believe you. But, you know.

Mr. Blake - The only thing I could do would be to get a letter from the developer, you know, attesting to the fact of what his intent was. But he was so happy, you know, he had some rough times, I think, getting his subdivision done. I mean, a lot of neighbors' concerns not in, I mean, in the general vicinity. I mean, probably Chickahominy Bluffs. I didn't go to the hearings and probably Chamberlayne Hills. I mean, there was concern.

So he was happy when he got it and let me know that everything had been approved, signed off, and he was good to go. And I'm sure he would be happy to give me some kind of letter. He's in and out of town. Right now I think he's in Europe.

But that's all I've got right now is word of mouth. And the staff has looked back and they can't find any more than what they found. And I was not even aware. And where the engineer comes up with the idea you're going to build a fishing cabin back there and you can't drive back there to it or something. To me it's ludicrous. I can use all of the things that you can use in agriculture. I don't know. It's really twisted. It's a tough one.

Mr. Green - I have a question for staff. Could you explain to me when someone -- he said it was the intent to give him that. How do you go from intent to actuality? And what is the process to make it legal?

Mr. Gidley - Well typically an easement would, A, be recorded as it was on the subdivision plan and, B, would have a document recorded spelling out what exactly the easement does, who has the rights to that easement, and what those rights are. As I said, you know, this could be a pedestrian easement, this could be a vehicular easement, we don't really know. But that's typically the two parts. There's, A, the recordation on the plat, but there's no, B, really spelling out what those rights to the easement are.

Mr. Green - So you literally have an easement, but you don't know what it's for.

1772 Mr. Gidley - Yes, sir. That's basically what it boils down to.

1774 Mr. Green - Goes from intent to actuality.

1776 Mr. Gidley - Yeah. And all we have in writing as such is a letter from the engineer and I can hand this out if you want to --

1778
1779 Mr. Green - No I'm just trying to follow a legal process.

1781 Mr. Gidley - Yes.

₽757

1782 Because it's one thing to intend to do something, but then Mr. Green -1783

once it's legal then that puts it into some other frame. And because we can't understand

what it's for, whose problem is that? Is that the owner's problem, or is that our problem?

1785 1786

1784

Mr. Gidley -We mentioned that as a concern for the Board to consider. 1787 You know. Ultimately if the variance were granted, it's something that I would suspect 1788 the homeowners would quite possibly litigate to see exactly what those rights were. From 1789 staff's perspective, the request before you is a variance, and I would just go down and 1790

review the variance based upon the conditions under state law.

1791 1792

I'm just trying to understand the process. Are there any other Mr. Green -1793 questions from the Board to the applicant or staff? 1794

1795

Mr. Pollard -And either one can answer this. I know it's speculation. But 1796 is there any way feasible to use the applicant's property to get access to his other 1797 1798 property?

1799 1800

1801

1802

1803

1804

Mr. Blake -I can get access to it by walking around my garage. I could take, like, a farm track and go back through there. But I don't have any access way to have traffic come -- and, you know, people drive an automobile back and come into it and fourth. I've got the same issue on my cul-de-sac. In fact we now have less -- I think we've only got, like, 65 feet of cul-de-sac. I'm not sure, but I know that it's tight there and I don't see any way to do it. Really, I don't.

1805 1806 1807

Okay. Thank you. Mr. Pollard -

1808 1809

1810

1811

1812

1813

1814

Mr. Blake -You could take a track machine, farm machine, and you could go around behind my garage and down. I mean. But you couldn't put a driveway all down through there. We could access it and to build some farm structures or something back in there where you could park those things and leave them and do the rest of it. We could probably go in there and, you know, log it without a whole lot of trouble because it'd take a skidder and pull it up through the hills and weave through my yard to get to the street to load it.

1815 1816 1817

1818

1819

1820

1821

It gets kind of ridiculous. The easiest and the best and the highest value of that piece of property is a residential lot. Right now it's agricultural. And, if you notice, they have accessed me \$18,900 and almost \$19,000 an acre for farmland. And the only farmland that I can imagine would be worth that kind of money would have to be somebody's big farm off an interchange off an interstate close to some place that's being rapidly developed with apartments or condominiums or small lots and big houses.

1822 1823

A farmer couldn't afford to buy it and make a living out of it. And it could be farmed. I 1824 mean, it really could. There's a flat area and it slopes on both sides and it's steep on this 1825 side. We've got floodplain down there that you could actually, as far as I know, could 1826 farm it. You could certainly raise grass in it and cut hay. 1827

pr.				
1828				
1829	There's a lot of things that could be done with it. But I still contend that a nice residence			
1830	,	ne best thing for it. If I didn't believe it I wouldn't be here. I		
1831		it like that. I'd tie it into my house and say, Come by an inner-		
1832		t's what I might have to do. But I don't know. I really think I've		
1833	got people that want to live here. They're nice people and I'd like to help them live here.			
1834	And I think they'd be an as	sset to the area. And I told them that.		
1835	M. Balla d	01 14		
1836	Mr. Pollard -	Okay. And the purchaser has seen the easement?		
1837	Ma Diales	0:-0		
1838	Mr. Blake -	Sir?		
1839	Mr. Dollard	The numberer has even the executed that you're proposing		
1840	Mr. Pollard -	The purchaser has seen the easement that you're proposing the? Be built on? The purchaser, the person you're selling the		
1841		of, like, how it's restricted as far as access?		
1842	property to, they see kind	or, like, flow it's restricted as far as access?		
1843 1844	Mr. Blake -	He's walked that property they've walked it several different		
1845				
1846	times. And, you know, they know the situation. And as soon as I found out I had to go to the Board of Zoning Appeals, you know, according to Planning staff, I notified him. I've			
1847	sent him everything that I've been sent. You know. So he's up to date on it. And I hope			
1848	he wasn't on the road somewhere where he couldn't Zoom, but I don't know. That was			
₹ 849		, I don't know. He might just say give up and then forget about		
850	it.	, racin time in the imagina just car give up and an energy care and		
1851				
1852	Mr. Green -	Since we're discussing this, can we make sure that he is not		
1853	on Zoom or Webex?	3		
1854				
1855	Mr. Blankinship -	There is someone on Webex who has not informed staff of		
1856	their interest. Mr. Morris,	is it possible for staff to reach out and ask if Mr. Smith, the		
1857	contract purchaser, is on \	Vebex?		
1858				
1859	Mr. Morris -	Mr. Smith is not on, but we will reach out to that fourth		
1860	participant or attendee to	see if they have an interest in speaking.		
1861				
1862	Mr. Blankinship -	Okay. Thank you.		
1863				
1864	Mr. Green -	Well thank you, Mr. Blake. We will now move to those who		
1865	would like to speak for or	against the variance.		
1866	Ma Diales	The articles of the second sec		
1867	Mr. Blake -	Thank you, gentlemen.		
1868	Mr. Croon	Mould those individuals who would like to enack for an against		
1869	Mr. Green -	Would those individuals who would like to speak for or against		

Board of Zoning Appeals - BZA

identify themselves.

Unknown Speaker -

1870

1873

Against as well?

Mr. Green -For or against. Yes. Mr. Johnson -Just for, first. Mr. Green -Who is for? Is anybody for? For clarification, the gentleman at the podium, are you for or against? Mr. Gidley -He's against. Mr. Johnson -He's against. Mr. Green -Oh. Mr. Zeldin -Yes, sir. My name is Martel Zeldin. I'm the co-owner of the property at 200 Pilgrim Lane. Mr. Green -Would you spell your name, please? Mr. Zeldin -M-a-r-t-e-l Z-e-l-d-i-n. My spouse is Carol Parish, P-a-r-i-s-h. She's available through your video connection. Mr. Blankinship -Oh, okay. Mr. Zeldin -Let me start off by saying, I understand the dilemma that Mr.

Mr. Zeldin - Let me start off by saying, I understand the dilemma that Mr. Blake is confronting. But let me address some of the issues that I see as a property owner adjacent to the easement, the access easement, that we're talking about. The picture on the slide is a little bit deceptive. Imagine that flora going down about 50 or 60 feet in pitch, 400 feet long, to the bottom of a hill. It's very hard to appreciate that when you're sitting behind desks. But I was very appreciative of Mr. Pollard who came out and walked that property to see what it was like.

It is a steep drop and a ravine on the left-hand side as you face the easement. And the question that I raised for myself is, how can a road or driveway be constructed in that particular location? First, there'd have to be excavation, which means removing all the trees in at least a 20-foot-wide easement and all the flora 400 feet down. What would that do to the -- to the nature of the land that's there?

Indeed, we do have rains and storms and there is runoff. And those trees and flora hold that hill together. Removing those trees and flora will create an erosion problem that I don't think can be remediated.

Second, at the bottom of the hill, there's a stream. Actually it's two streams. And they are repositories of the runoff water. Sometimes those streams get as high as a couple of feet. Mr. Blake is asking to build a road with a bridge across the stream. The only way he could do that is by filling in a portion of that stream and putting a road above it. To me that represents a dam for the flow of the water in that stream which normally takes

the water to the Chickahominy River. So that would create a barrier. The road and the bridge would create a barrier to the free flow of that water.

A third point, suppose he's able to build a driveway going down 400 feet and then up again another 50 feet and a bridge across the stream. Suppose a residence is built on that 4.3-acre landlock lot. What happens in the case of an emergency like a fire or an EMT -- a medical emergency? How would a firetruck transverse a hill that goes down 400 feet with a ravine on the left side? I can't imagine that occurring. I think it'd be a disaster waiting to happen.

And, finally, we have one more point. To the right of the easement is my property. Perhaps my house is about 10 or 15 feet from the easement. On my property, next to the easement, there are several trees. Two of them are above 100 feet tall. They've been there for a century. One is a giant poplar. If Mr. Blake builds a road there and excavates that easement, it will impinge upon the roots of those trees that are right next to my property. I think you're aware that if you take out 30, 40, or 50 percent of the roots of a tree, that tree is dead. It will die.

One of those trees hangs over my roof. If that tree dies, I either have to remove it at my expense, or it will topple on my house. And it's not like it's 30, 40 feet away from the house. It's only about 10 feet away from the house. About 15 feet away from the easement. So those roots will be damaged as well.

I think the bottom line for me is that the report by the Planning Office was accurate, but not complete, that there'll be a problem with environmental concerns with runoff, with erosion. It will be a disaster waiting to happen. It will change the nature of the cul-desac. It'll change the nature of Rolling Hills. That's all I've prepared to say at this point. I'm happy to answer any questions.

Mr. Green - Are there any questions from the Board to the applicant? I mean, to the person who is against this proposal? Okay. We'll hear the next person. Would you state your name and spell it, sir, please.

Mr. Winston - Yeah. Good morning. I'm Leslie Winston, W-i-n-s-t-o-n. My wife, Betty and I, reside at 204 Pilgrim Lane in the Rolling Hills Subdivision. We're right next door to Mr. Zeldin. And we are here to speak against this request.

The property of Rolling Hills, it's a special area. It's quiet. We live out in the cul-de-sac. The property in question, if a road were constructed there, it would seriously impact the value of our property.

The nature and the beauty are one thing. Or a couple of the things that attracted us to that development of Rolling Hills. And it's obvious that the easement that is there was never meant to handle any kind of vehicular traffic.

941 **1**

-64 We heard that there might be some kind of foot traffic that the particular 20-foot easement might be able to accommodate and maybe had so in the past. However, due to the topography, if you happen to see it, I mean, the testimony has been there's 400 feet, a huge elevation, decrease in elevation.

Of course, our property backs up to the natural resource area that we are in and we are limited as homeowners with what we can do at the rear of our property because of it. And that's an established resource-management area that has been established by the County. No doubt wetlands are involved, because you have the confluence of a couple of streams there. So we're going to ask -- and it's opposition -- that you all deny Mr. Blake's request for this easement.

Thank goodness that the staff were on duty that day and caught Mr. Blake before he came in to do any kind of curb cut or bring in any kind of excavating equipment to come in and try and establish this 20-foot easement. Because it could have been a detriment to all of our properties. And, you know, we're concerned about our neighbors next door, the Zeldins, with the Bells on the other side of the 20-foot easement. Any kind of disturbance of that land could really impact their property, their foundations, and what have you.

So I just want to say, Please do not grant this variance.

The other thing I wanted to say that Mr. Blake -- he's even spoken this morning that his property, where he currently lives, backs right up to the 4 acres in question. So he could easily come and develop a roadway or an access easement to the property in question, the 4. -- whatever acres. So if he wanted to sell the four acres, he could do it from his side, which is on the Chickahominy Bluffs side, where he currently resides.

Mr. Blake even said, Hey, I believe I can put two or three houses up there on the property. So if this variance was allowed, it's up in the air whether he'll sell it for a single-family dwelling or multiple dwellings. So it's just our stance that we are against the variance in question. And I want to thank the staff that has done their due diligence and recognized the fact that there's a huge problem there and we just do not want it. We don't need it. And it would be to a detriment to the Rolling Hills community. Thank you.

Mr. Green - Okay. Any questions of the applicant? I mean, Mr. Winston, who has opposed it? You can just ask the question. Okay.

Mr. Pollard - So a lot of neighbors, residents of Rolling Hills, have sent in letters. And you all are here today. And we see you. If anybody wants to say something, feel free. If it's something different we ask that you speak. If there's something that you can kind of forego, then please do so just in the interest of time. But feel free. It looks like you want to speak. Yes. Go ahead to the mic.

Ms. Winston - Good morning. I'm Betty Winston, wife of Leslie Winston. B-e-t-t-y W-i-n-s-t-o-n. And I don't have much to add to what my husband said other than

C_{011}	to say that our association	n is vehemently against this. We've had a meeting, and we do			
2012	not want this to happen. So.				
2013					
2014	Mr. Greem -	Thank you.			
2015					
2016	Ms. Winston -	And I believe you do have a letter from our Chair.			
2017	Mr. Pollard -	Yes.			
2018 2019	MI. Foliard -	Tes.			
2020	Ms. Winston -	And we have a petition also.			
2021		, and the matter appearance and a			
2022	Mr. Green -	Yes, thank you.			
2023					
2024	Ms. Winston -	Thank you.			
2025					
2026	Mr. Green -	There are three individuals on Webex. One we were going to			
2027	go back to see if that indiv	/idual was			
2028 2029	Mr. Blankinship -	Yes. Mr. Smith is apparently not one of them.			
2029	Wit. Blatikinginp	res. Wir. Office is apparently not one of them.			
2031	Mr. Green -	And the three individuals on Webex, are they for or against			
2032	the project?	, ,			
033					
2034	Mr. Blankinship -	I believe they're all in opposition.			
2035					
2036	Mr. Green -	Would you defer and follow the comments made by Mr.			
2037 2038	of this.	ring anything additional to say? Because I think we get the gist			
2038	or triis.				
2040	Mr. Blankinship -	Yeah. Just please don't repeat anything that's already been			
2041	•	information, please provide it.			
2042					
2043	Mr. Green -	So are there any individuals with new information on Webex?			
2044					
2045	Mr. Morris -	Yes, sir. It's my understanding the three individuals are still			
2046	interested in speaking.				
2047 2048	Mr. Green -	Okay. Are the three individuals going to provide us with			
2049		ot heard? Because if we've heard it already, then well I'm going			
2050		cutting them short, because we've heard a lot and we don't want			
2051	to repeat the same thing.				
2052					
2053	Mr. Morris -	Understood. I'm sorry, but they have not provided us with			
2054	details on what they are g	joing to speak about.			
55					

Board of Zoning Appeals - BZA

Mr. Blankinship -

2056

Okay. Let's have the first one.

2057

Mr. Morris -2058

Mr. Green -

Mr. Green -

Staff -

Right. The first one is Carol Parish who is unmuted right now.

2059

2060

2061 2062

2063

2068 2069

2070 2071

2072

2073 2074

2075 2076

2077

2078

2079 2080

2081 2082

2083 2084

2085 2086 2087

2088 2089 2090

2091 2092

2093 2094

2095

2096 2097 2098

> 2099 2100 2101

Ms. Parish -Hello. Thank you very much for the opportunity to speak in opposition to this variance. My name is Carol Parish, P-a-r-i-s-h. And I reside with Marty Zeldin at 200 Pilgrim Lane. I've lived at this address since 2005.

The easement under consideration is on the property I share with Marty Zeldin, and I ask you to vote no on this variance for the following new reasons. There seems to be no evidence that Mr. Blake has any legal right to use the easement. As has been said, the easement lies along a very steep ravine; what hasn't been emphasized is that ravine -the proposed road, that may lie on this ravine would be feet from my house. The northern wall of my house would be within feet of the road, disturbing the ravine in order to build that road will surely destabilize the foundation of my house.

I have a PhD in computational chemistry. I train students who want to become engineers. There is no miracle of engineering that could allow a road feet from the foundation of a house along a ravine that would prevent the destabilization of that house. No amount of money would be able to rectify that situation.

Thank you.

Ms. Parish -My last point is that the variance application requests an exception to the County's 50-foot frontage requirement and the allowance of a 0-foot frontage. As I'm sure you know, frontage requirements are important for the preservation of communities, for assuring homeowner right-of-way, and for a multitude of other good reasons.

Approving this variance for absolutely 0 frontage undermines the good planning and stewardship and sets a precedent for unchecked development in the undeveloped land in the Rolling Hills Development. This will fundamentally change the nature of the development and longer term could destabilize the economic stability of the surrounding area and have a negative impact on the County's tax base. Thank you very much for your time, and I urge you to vote no on this variance.

Thank you. Next individual.

The next speaker is Anthony Bell, who is unmuted right now.

Mr. Bell -Hello, everyone. My name's Anthony Bell. I live at 201 Pilgrim Lane with my wife Abby Bell. Thank you for showing on the cursor where we live. We live right next to the proposed easement. And I want to thank the Board members and County staff. I will keep my comments short and I don't wish to reiterate anything that's already been said.

But I had sent in one of the letters that I believe may be in your packet earlier. A lot of the photos from the staff presentation are from the outside of the ravine. If you haven't had a chance, please look at some of the photos I've provided inside the ravine and you can get a better sense of the steepness of the slope both from the road going down, but then also on either side to where our house and Marty and Carol's house sit as well as the number of trees that are in there that are certainly in that ravine place.

I'm not going to, you know, rehash anything that's been spoken to already, but I wish to just comment and respond to a couple things that Mr. Blake had said. I hope that no one underestimates the amount of water that flows through this area. It is true that it's mostly sheet flow, as Mr. Blake said, but it is in a large volume as it -- the house where I sit -- flows across my back yard into this ravine.

It is a large quantity of water, and I don't want it to be underestimated. Mr. Blake even said so, in his own words. The water flows into that ravine. And then, in the same breath, he made the claim that the amount of water that goes through that ravine -- is what shaped and formed this ravine. So it's no small amount of water.

S123

Also, I just want to clarify and reiterate that we, well, at least I don't object to Mr. Blake utilizing his property for any of the ways that he, you know, has mentioned. If he wants to log it, farm it, fine. I don't understand how he thinks he could. Because same thing like my property. Adjacent. It's either on the hill. Anything below the hill is a swamp. But the objection isn't to him logging or farming his property. It is specifically to this proposed access road that would unquestionably destabilize that area and put the house at 201 Pilgrim Lane at risk and the house at 200 Pilgrim Lane at risk.

I have also built my --

Mr. Green - We lost you?

2132 Mr. Johnson - We lost --

2134 Mr. Green - Can we get him back?

2136 Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Morris.

2138 Mr. Morris - Yes, sir. It appears we have lost Mr. Bell.

2140 Mr. Green - I think we've gotten the essence of what Mr. Bell has stated.

2142 Mr. Blankinship - There's one more.

2144 Mr. Green - Is there -- there was three.

Staff - Yes, sir. There's one more speaker. Jayell Alexander. And

l'm going to unmute him right now.

2148 Good morning. My name is Jayell Alexander. First name is Ms. Alexander -2149 spelled J-a-y-e-l-l, and Alexander the traditional spelling. I reside at 8223 Pilgrim Terrace 2150 in the Rolling Hills community. And I also served as the Homeowner's Association 2151 president for the last couple of years since my residence in this community in 2016. 2152 2153 We had an informal meeting of our community members about two weeks ago where 2154 about a third of our members came together to learn about this situation, but also to voice 2155 opposition. So I am on this call today to represent the 81 residents in our community as 2156 well as the 39 homes that this variance will impact and hope that you vote today to decline 2157 this variance application. Thank you. 2158 2159 Mr. Green -Thank you. 2160 2161 Mr. Johnson -Thank you. 2162 2163 Mr. Green -Are there any -- we have one other individual in the audience. 2164 Are you speaking for or against? 2165 2166 Pardon? 2167 Mr. Tiedeman -2168 Mr. Green -For or against? 2169 2170 Mr. Tiedeman -2171 Against. 2172 Mr. Green -Okay, sir. Would you go up to the podium and give us your 2173 name and spell it, please, sir. 2174 2175 Mr. Tiedeman -My name is Edward Craig Tiedeman. That's T as in Tom, i-e-2176 d-e-m-a-n. And I'm completely against this variance request for three specific reasons. 2177 2178 The first one is, you know, look, I've purchased a number of properties over the years and 2179 one of the first things I ever do is I check ingress and egress capabilities. And if it's very 2180 specifically stated that I can get into my lot. And, obviously, this was not done in the case 2181 of this parcel of ground. 2182 2183 The second thing is, is that I live on 221 Pilgrim. And while I'm not next to the homes that 2184 are going to suffer the greatest damage because of this -- I call it a road, not a driveway. 2185 There is a parcel of ground behind me in between us and the church that's back there. 2186 And I've been told it's a green zone, but I've never seen anything legally about it. 2187 2188 But I would hate to see a precedent set here where somebody says, Well, I have a piece 2189 of land-locked ground back here. I want to access it, so I'm going to get a variance so I 2190 can put a driveway right in between those two houses right there. I certainly would not 2191

to each house once they put the driveway in.

2192

2193

October 28, 2021

want to see a driveway in between us and the Webers. Because, I mean, it's like 30 feet

C194

And then the last thing is the statement that was made that the water all heads to those culverts in the street. Well, I have what I call the Little Rolling Hills River that runs behind right through my back yard when it rains. Doesn't have to rain hard. But it starts off at about one inch deep and when it really rains it gets up 4 inches deep. And I'm finding an erosion problem and I'm six houses up the street from them. And it's all downhill to them. So I know the erosion problems are going to be insurmountable on that road that they put in there. It's going to be a constant problem. And I thank you for your time.

Mr. Green - Thank you. Are there any other individuals on Webex.

Mr. Morris - No, sir. There is no one else on Webex interested in speaking.

Mr. Green - And with no one else in the audience is willing to -- is -- we're done with the fors and against with individuals in the audience.

One thing I'd like to state before we move forward with this is that one of the things that we as a Board do, and you all may not know, is while Mr. Pollard was given credit for going out looking at property as it relates to -- because this is within his district. We all tend to do that. And you may not see that, but we will drive the properties to look at them.

 $\frac{2215}{216}$

We're not to engage with individuals when we do that, so you may not know when we come and when we're there. So a lot of times when individuals are explaining things to us, we have already seen them, site visited them, and you may not know. And, like I said, we do that very discretely, because we don't want -- because if we have access to one side, then we have to grant access to the other. We're the Board of Zoning ninjas.

And so quite a few of us have been out and seen and we certainly understand. And so I just wanted to make that clear that a lot of times people want to over explain things to us. But we see. We go and we visit and we make copious notes on the things that we do -- we see.

Hearing no other discussion what is the pleasure of the Board?

2229 Mr. Johnson - Do you have any questions after him --

Mr. Green - Oh. You wanted to rebut. Yes, sir. Could you not reinforce what you've already said, Mr. Blake.

2234 Mr. Blake - Beg your pardon?

Mr. Green - I said, do not reinforce anything you've already said, because you've given a very thorough explanation.

²²³⁷

Mr. Blake - I just want to make a couple corrections. People are entitled to their opinion, but not fact. Excuse me. But I never once indicated or said I intended to build a bridge anywhere on this driveway. It's a fact that there're two streams. The -- has looked at this, calculated drainage, and we need two 30-inch pipes to handle that.

The other thing. It's been stated that my driveway and my homeowner's home and whatnot that happens will degrade the real estate values in their subdivision. Nobody's proved that. I mean, how do you prove it? I guarantee you that next year if the bottom doesn't drop out of the whole country, they can sell their houses for more than they paid for them by quite a bit and probably more than they assessed for this year.

Things like we're proposing do not degrade a neighborhood. There's no way either. Because I see it that I thought in the beginning that I had three house sites there, because the developer told me two for sure.

This -- I went to the County and I found out I could not do but one. And this been about three or four years ago. There's no way that we can go back there and get multi-family or commercial, or anything else. I mean, reason would prevail on that. Plus the County Code would take care of it, too. That can't happen.

Other than that, I guess I've got nothing to say. I did not say I was going to build a bridge. I do not think that the real estate values will go downhill. I still think that the best use for the land is residential use. One house, one driveway. Call it a road if you want to. It's not going to be a road, because a road has to be built to state highway specs that will take it in the system. And Henrico County has to be built to Henrico County standards, so they will take it in the system, because Henrico County owns their road system.

But when you start talking about building it close. Maybe somebody ought to ride up to Sliding Hill Road in Hanover and take a look at the Home Depot that's sitting up on a -- about a 50 or 60-foot hill with a little driveway behind it enough to unload trucks. I think proper engineering, proper erosion control, will answer all f those considerations. I do.

And I've got to emphasize, too, to the people, that when you file for a building permit it goes through a process. Public Works will get that permit and Public Works will determine whether we need to get a study from the Corps of Engineers, how much wetland we're in, and my engineer — if we do the driveway, will do all of that homework ahead of time and he will do that all on the plan that's going to be submitted that will have to be submitted when the house plans are submitted.

So all of those considerations will happen. To say I would drive up there with a truck, dump off a bulldozer, and start bulldozing the woods, is absolutely ridiculous. They'd lock me up because I don't have a permit to disturb the land.

People must understand and say what's true, not what they think or what they believe. They need to prove their case. And I don't think anybody has proven their case. And maybe I haven't either. But I contend and I still contend and I believe it was inadvertently

that the Planning Commission okayed this plat. It's signed off in 2001. I know there was intention of the developer to give me access, vehicular access, to the property.

Now where'd it fall through the cracks? I don't know. I have no letter that I can prove by. And where the engineer thought he had the authority to say, I can build a fishing cabin back there and use it during the season, is absolutely ludicrous. It is ridiculous. It's almost laughable. I guess that's all I've got to say, gentlemen. I don't know. I think that when the County saw this thing and they upped my taxes from a \$5,000 property to a \$40,000-some property, all the way up to an \$83,000 property, they've prorated for years. The County real estate section saw this as a buildable residential piece of property.

Now is the County going to give me back my tax money? If this is turned over will I get my money back? Because my property goes right back down to agricultural. These are questions that I can't answer and, you know, honest to God I will do anything I can do verify what I've told you. I just didn't think it was necessary. But I'll be glad to get a letter or whatever I've got to do. I ask you to prove it. I feel like I've got it.

I mean, I feel like it was given -- it might be inadvertently, but that plat, that subdivision plat, was examined. It went through the planning process, it went back and forth between the Planning staff and the engineer and the developer. I know it did. And I just feel like that it was granted and what I've got to do at this point is go forward with the permits and everything that I need, which will be done in due course. And these concerns will be addressed at that time, they would have to be.

That's all I have to say. I appreciate the time. I appreciate you all listening. And I would ask that you take my position that it was recorded and it's valid, and it can be used that easy. Thank you.

Mr. Green - Thank you.

Mr. Pollard - Thank you, Mr. Blake.

Mr. Green - One other thing I would like to inform individuals in the audience. We get a stack of paper and we diligently read this stack of paper. And we have -- and, like I said, in addition to us doing our own homework, because we do our homework, we were given a very large code book that the County developed that we have to follow. So we've done that. We've also gone through training on zoning, and there's continuing training that we continue to do.

What is more important is we rely on the -- and I'm going to take my mask off to say this -- the objective viewpoint that our competent staff gives us. Never once since I've been on this Board have I felt that there's been anything biased being given to us. Everything has been objective and it's been factual and it has been verifiable. And so while individuals may not like decisions that we make, you know, there are multiple levels of ways in which we make that decision.

06

And I'm going to complement once again the entire staff, because it is balanced and it is objective and it is what it is. So having said that, I think I've said too much and I'd like to move forward with the Board's recommendation.

Mr. Pollard - Mr. Blake, I heard everything that you had to say and I take it very seriously, especially when it comes to each person's use of their own property. And so I heard some of your concerns about your property value and taxes paid and there's remedies outside this Board that you can pursue. With that said, I believe in 1960-something the ordinance was passed and the subdivisions were divided up in 1975 with the knowledge of the ordinance.

So all that to say is, as mentioned, I had walked the property. I could not be as much of a ninja as I would like to be, because I would really be in someone's back yard. But it is a huge undertaking to turn that into a driveway. I understand it won't be a road, just a driveway. But their property is very close to the ravine and I can see where it would disturb -- and I don't have a PhD and I don't have work experience in that, but it's very obvious the type of disturbance that would happen.

All of that to say is I'm also empathetic to the neighbors and what will happen between their homes and the amount of time and the amount of everything else that goes into making that into a driveway.

With all that said, all of the persons in that neighborhood are against it and it's hard to not be sympathetic to how strongly they feel about the driveway. I move that we deny the variance.

2357 Mr. Green - Do you have a reason that you want --?

2359 Mr. Pollard - That was it. Deny. I make a motion that we deny the variance.

Mr. Johnson - For the reason --

2363 Mr. Pollard - I just said it.

2365 Mr. Green - A motion has been made by Mr. Pollard to deny the variance.
2366 Is there a second?

2368 Mr. Johnson - I second.

Mr. Green - Johnson. A motion has been made to deny the variance. It has been seconded by Mr. Johnson. All in favor say aye. All opposed like sign, nay.

On a motion by Mr. Pollard, seconded by Mr. Johnson, the Board **denied** case **VAR2021-00030 HERMAN F. BLAKE, JR.'s** request for a variance from Section 24-4306.E.1 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 197 Pilgrim Lane (Parcel 795-755-4366)

6	_	t (A-1) (Fairfield). The public street frontage re	quirement is not
7 8	met.		
9			
0	Affirmative:	Bell, Green, Johnson, Pollard, Reid	5
1	Negative:		0
2	Absent:		0
3			
† 5	Mr. Green -	It's been denied. Thank you for your time and	l speaking to us
		tro been demed. Thank you for your time and	ropeaning to do.
		on to the approval of the minutes. Has eveninutes? Is there a motion	veryone had an
	Mr. Johnson -	I motion that we approve the September mini	ites
	Wir. Goringon	Thought that we approve the deptember him	atco.
	Mr. Reid -	So moved.	
	Mr. Green -	All in favor. All opposed.	
	On a motion by Mr. John	son seconded by Mr. Poid the Reard annroy	ad the minutes
On a motion by Mr. Johnson, seconded by Mr. Reid, the Board approved the minutes of the September 23, 2021 Board of Zoning Appeals meeting.			
	01 110 00ptombor 20, 202	Today of Zormig Appeals Mosting.	
	Affirmative:	Bell, Green, Johnson, Pollard, Reid	5
	Negative:		0
	Absent:		0
	Mr. Green -	Minutes been approved. Thank you.	
		,	
	Mr. Blankinship -	There is no other new business.	
		•	
		ρ	
		1	
		Am /	
		Mr. Terone B. Green Chair	
			2
		(4) (4) (4)	
		M. Barris M. Blands	\(\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} =
		Mr. Benjamin W. Blankinship	ecretary
		9	