MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF HENRICO COUNTY, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE HENRICO COUNTY GOVERNMENT COMPLEX, ON THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 28, 2000, AT 9:00 A.M., NOTICE HAVING BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE RICHMOND TIMES-DISPATCH ON SEPTEMBER 7 AND 14, 2000.

5 6

1 2

3 4

Members Present:

Richard Kirkland, Chairman

Daniel Balfour, Vice-Chairman

Gene L McKinney, C.P.C. C.B.7

Gene L. McKinney, C.P.C., C.B.Z.A.

James W. Nunnally

R. A. Wright

Also Present:

Benjamin Blankinship, Secretary Susan W. Blackburn, County Planner II Priscilla M. Parker, Recording Secretary

7 8

9

Mr. Kirkland - Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, welcome to the September meeting of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Before we get started, I'll have Mr. Blankinship read the rules.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Blankinship -Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, ladies and gentlemen. The rules for this meeting are as follows. The Secretary, myself, will call each case. Then the applicant will come to the podium. At that time I'll ask all those who intend to speak, in favor or opposition, to stand, and you will be sworn in. The applicants will then present their testimony. When the applicant is finished, anyone else who wants to speak, will be given an opportunity to speak. After everyone has spoken, the applicant, and only the applicant, will be given the opportunity for rebuttal. After hearing the case, and asking questions, the Board will take the matter under advisement. They will render all of their decisions at the end of the meeting. If you wish to know what their decision is, you may stay until the end of the meeting, or you may call the Planning Office at the end of the day. This meeting is being tape recorded, so we will ask everyone to speak directly into the microphone on the podium, and to state your name for the record. Out in the foyer, there are two binders, which contain the staff report for each case, including the conditions suggested by the staff. Mr. Chairman?

26 27 28

Mr. Kirkland - If anyone's outside in the lobby, the meeting has started, and we are on the 9:00 o'clock agenda. Do we have any requests for deferrals or withdrawals?

30 31 32

33

34

35

29

Mr. Blankinship - We have one request for a **deferral**, which is **A-93-2000 TPP, Inc.** appeals a decision of the Director of Planning pursuant to Section 24-116(a) of the County Code. The Director of Planning has determined that the proposed Genesis Treatment Agency is allowed in the B-2 zoning district. The affected property is 6806

Paragon Place (tax parcel 93-A-1C) zoned B-2C, Business District (Conditional) 36 (Brookland). The applicant requests the Board of Zoning Appeals reverse the decision 37 of the Director of Planning. 38 39 Mr. Kirkland -Do I have a motion to defer this? 40 A - 93-2000 **TPP INC.** appeals a decision of the Director of Planning pursuant to 41 Section 24-116(a) of the County Code. The Director of Planning has 42 determined that the proposed Genesis Treatment Agency is allowed 43 in the B-2 zoning district. The affected property is 6806 Paragon Place 44 (tax parcel 93-A-1C) zoned B-2C, Business District (Conditional) 45 (Brookland). The applicant requests the Board of Zoning Appeals 46 reverse the decision of the Director of Planning. 47 48 Upon a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board of Zoning 49 Appeals **deferred** this application from the September 28, 2000, until the October 26, 50 51 2000, meeting, 52 Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Affirmative: 53 54 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 55 56 Mr. Kirkland -That's the deferrals and withdrawals. Do I have a motion to 57 move any of the cases further ahead? 58 59 60 Mr. Wright -Mr. Chairman, I'd like to have case number A-94-2000 called at this time. 61 62 Mr. Kirkland -Mr. Blankinship, if you would call the case. 63 64 A - 94-2000 STEPHEN AND MELISSA TRUE, ET ALS. appeal a decision of 65 the Director of Planning pursuant to Section 24-116(a) of the 66 County Code. The Director of Planning has determined that certain 67 structures and uses connected with the Virginia State Fair may be 68 allowed in the A-1 zoning district by conditional use permit. The 69 affected property lies in the northeast quadrant of the intersection of 70 Interstate 64 and Interstate 295 (tax parcels 163-A-3A, 176-A-14A, 71 14C, 14D, 19, 20 and 21) zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina). 72 The applicants request the Board of Zoning Appeals reverse the 73 decision of the Director of Planning. 74 75 76 Mr. Kirkland -Do I have a motion by any Board members?

McKinney, the Board **dismissed** this application for the above-referenced matter as it

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr.

77

| 00 | found the metter t | a he most due to the August 9 action of the Poord of Supervisors | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 80 81 | found the matter to be moot, due to the August 8 action of the Board of Supervisors, amending Section 24-52(g) of the Henrico County Code. | | | | |
| 82 | | | | | |
| 83 | Affirmative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 | | | |
| 84 | Negative: | 0 | | | |
| 85 | Absent: | 0 | | | |
| 86 | | | | | |
| 87 | Mr. Kirkland - | The case is dismissed. | | | |
| 88 | | | | | |
| 89 | UP- 28-2000 | VIRGINIA POWER, INC. requests a conditional use permit | | | |
| 90 | | pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(2) of Chapter 24 of the County Code | | | |
| 91 | | to construct an electrical substation at 3899 Portugee Road (Tax | | | |
| 92 | | Parcel 187-A-5 (part)), zoned M-2, General Industrial District | | | |
| 93 | | (Varina). | | | |
| 94 | | | | | |
| 95 | Mr. Kirkland - | Anyone here wish to speak on this case? You the applicant, | | | |
| 96 | ma'am? If you wo | uld, raise your right hand and be sworn in. Does anyone else wish to | | | |
| 97 | speak on this case | at this time? | | | |
| 98 | | | | | |
| 99 | Mr. Blankinship - | Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the | | | |
| 100 | truth, the whole tru | th, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? | | | |
| 101 | | | | | |
| 102 | Mr. Kirkland - | Okay. State your name for the record please. | | | |
| 103 | | | | | |
| 104 | Ms. Lamm - | I do. Gail Lamm, from Virginia Power. | | | |
| 105 | NA 12:11 | | | | |
| 106 | Mr. Kirkland - | Okay, if you would, state your case. | | | |
| 107 | Ms. Lamm - | Our planned growth in the White Oak Technology Park, and | | | |
| 108 | | o, requires the construction of a new Elko Substation on Portugee | | | |
| 109 110 | | ution planning department continues to review our load facility needs. | | | |
| | | rent studies, we plan to begin site preparation for the substation in | | | |
| 111 | 2002. | ent studies, we plan to begin site preparation for the substation in | | | |
| 112 113 | 2002. | | | | |
| 114 | Mr. Kirkland - | Ma'am, may I interrupt you just a second, before we get | | | |
| 115 | | rgot to ask you if all your notices had been turned in, according to the | | | |
| 116 | • | ave to do that for the record; we're taping this. Okay, we have them. | | | |
| 117 | Okay, continue, I'm | | | | |
| 117 | Oray, Continue, III | i sorry. | | | |
| 119 | Ms. Lamm - | We plan to begin site preparation for the substation in 2002, | | | |
| 120 | | nstruction to be completed in 2003. We would like for the conditional | | | |
| 121 | | over this time frame. We're here at this time, so that we can be ready | | | |
| 122 | | e is an earlier need for this facility. Virginia Power did respond to | | | |
| 123 | • | in August, and I would like to give you a copy of that if I could. The | | | |
| 124 | • | s generally acceptable to the company; we think it's a good plan, and | | | |
| 125 | | work that the County did to meet our clearance requirements and the | | | |
| 120 | TO appropiate the | work that the bounty and to most our oleanance requirements and the | | | |

transmission right-of-way. Our only real concern is the size availability of some of the species. Our arborist called several vendors after she reviewed the proposed plans, and did not find them readily available. She's most concerned with having healthy plants, and notices the sizes suggested are obtainable and adapt well. We also placed the landscape plan on our engineering drawings. Now this is showing our size suggestions. If our size recommendations are not acceptable, we'll try our best to meet those requirements.

134 Mr. McKinney- Excuse me just a minute, Ms. Lamm – how long have you 135 had these?

137 Ms. Lamm - I delivered them to the County, I believe, on the 19th.

Mr. McKinney- And you expect us to go over these now and render a decision on these, and you're just getting them to us? And we're just hearing your case?

Ms. Lamm - I did bring them to the County, thinking they were going to be included with your package. I found out when I got the staff report this week, that they were not.

Mr. Chairman, shall I explain that? When we received this information, we circulated it among staff for review, before sending it out to you. We discussed, during that process, that the original recommendations of the staff had been approved by the White Oak Architectural Design Review Board, and the decision was made that since the Design Review Board at White Oak had reviewed the original plan and had not seen these, that we should not base our recommendation on these plans, so we're going with the original work that was approved by the staff.

Ms. Lamm - We have no problem with the plans; our only concern is getting the size plants, having healthy plants at this new seat. Our arborist comments she has a real concern with getting plants at that size. If that's not acceptable, we'll try our best to get the plants suggested. It's no problem with the plan itself. All we did was put the County's plans on our engineering drawings. We accept the suggested conditions of the case report, and we would like to note that we just plan minimal security lighting in the station; we usually have a watch light and just sort of a porch light over the door of the control enclosure. Again, we would like to plan for the site preparation in 2002, with the substation to be in service in 2003. That's unless customer requirements dictate an acceleration of the schedule. I'd be happy to answer any questions that you might have.

Mr. Kirkland- Mr. Blankinship, on condition # 5, "All landscaping shall be maintained in a healthy condition Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time.........." What normally is a "reasonable" time for dead plants? A season or what?

- Mr. Blankinship -Depends on what time of year it is, and 12 months, 6 172 months, -- either way it says "removed with a reasonable time and replaced during the 173 normal planting season," so it wouldn't be any longer than whatever the next season is, 174 175 the spring or fall planting. 176 Mr. Kirkland-So if it was taken out in the fall, it would be the next fall 177 before it was replaced, correct? 178 179 Mr. Blankinship -No, we'd ask them to plant in the spring. 181
- 180

182 Mr. Wright-Isn't this question of the size of these plants something that the staff would review and work out with the -- that's really not before us. 183

184

And we've crafted the condition that way, to say, "A detailed 185 Mr. Blankinship landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Planning Office for review and approval." 186

187

188 Ms. Lamm -That isn't a problem; that was our concern.

189

Mr. Blankinship -At this point staff's position is that we want what was 190 originally recommended, so it is good to have the discussion in front of you, for you to 191 be aware of staff's position, as well as Virginia Power's. 192

193

Mr. Kirkland-But if you all sit down at a table and work it out, and come to 194 an agreement, it doesn't interfere with what we're deciding today? 195

196

197 Mr. Blankinship -Right.

198

My point is, it's open, you're not binding either side; it's up to 199 Mr. Wrightthe staff. Get with the staff, if they would be willing to listen to you and work with you on 200 that. 201

202

203 Mr. McKinney-This criteria you have here for the landscaping – is it normal in M-2? 204

205

This was done specifically for the White Oak 206 Mr. Blankinship -No. Development – they have, in their restrictive covenants out there, they have much 207 higher than average requirements for, I think a variety of esthetic concerns, so this was 208 done very much with the White Oak Design Review Board in mind. 209

210

Mr. Kirkland -Any other questions of Board members? Anyone else wish 211 to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. 212

213

Upon a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted your 214 application **UP-28-2000** for a conditional use permit subject to the following conditions: 215

- 1. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

2. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall submit erosion control plans to the Department of Public Works for review and approval. Throughout the life of the operation, the applicant shall continuously satisfy the Department of Public Works that erosion control procedures are properly maintained, and shall furnish plans and bonds that the department deems necessary.

3. A detailed landscaping and site lighting plan shall be submitted to the Planning Office for review and approval.

4. All exterior lighting shall be shielded to direct light away from adjacent property and streets.

5. All landscaping shall be maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the normal planting season.

6. This conditional use permit is not transferable.

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

| 243 | Affirmative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright | 5 | |
|-----|--------------|---|---|---|
| 244 | Negative: | | | 0 |
| 245 | Absent: | | | 0 |

| 246 | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------------|----------|---|
| 247 | A - 87-2000 | URSI | JLA M. BARRAVECCHIA requests a variance from Section |
| 248 | | | of Chapter 24 of the County Code to enclose a deck as a |
| 249 | | | om at 3808 Reynard Court (Foxhall) (Tax Parcel 45-2-B-71), |
| 250 | | | R-2AC, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Three |
| 251 | | | t). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant has 34 feet |
| 252 | | | yard setback, where the Code requires 45 feet rear yard |
| 253 | | setba | ck. The applicant requests a variance of 11 feet rear yard |
| 254 | | setba | ck. |
| 255 | | | |
| 256 | Mr. Kirkland - | | Is the applicant here for this case? If you would, come |
| 257 | forward. Does any | one el | se wish to speak on this case? If you would, raise your right |
| 258 | hand and be sworn | ı in. | |
| 259 | | | |
| 260 | Mr. Blankinship - | | Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the |
| 261 | truth, the whole trut | th, and | nothing but the truth, so help you God? |
| 262 | | | |
| 263 | Mr. Kirkland - | | State your name for the record, please. |
| 264 | M D 1. | | |
| 265 | Ms. Barravecchia - | | I do. Ursula M. Barravecchia. |
| 266 | Mr. Long | | Edward Lana |
| 267 | Mr. Lane - | | Edward Lane. |
| 268 269 | Mr. Kirkland - | | Have all your notices been turned in, according to County |
| 270 | Code? If you would | | , |
| 270 271 | Code: Il you would | u, State | your case. |
| 272 | Ms Barravecchia - | | I presently have a deck on the back of my house that |
| 273 | | | ong, and it gets extremely hot out there, and what I would like |
| 274 | | • | screen it in, so that we could enjoy the use of the deck. It's in |
| 275 | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | ong the kitchen, and in the afternoons, I have to drop all the |
| 276 | | • | hot out there, and we don't get any shade from any existing |
| 277 | • | | is 8 feet above ground, and so we'd like to put a roof and |
| 278 | screen in the deck | | · |
| 279 | | | |
| 280 | Mr. Wright- | | Is there any screening to the rear of your property, between |
| 281 | your property and t | he prop | |
| 282 | | | · |
| 283 | Ms. Barravecchia - | | Yes sir, there is a full tree line there. |
| 284 | | | |
| 285 | Mr. Wright- | | So this would probably not be visible, quite visible, from the |
| 286 | property to the rear | ? | |
| 287 | | | |
| 288 | Ms. Barravecchia - | | Correct. |

rectangular in shape.....

Mr. Wright-

289

290

291

Also, it appears that you have, at least the lot is not perfectly

| 292 | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| 293 294 | Ms. Barravecchia - | It's a very odd shaped lot, sir. |
| 295 296 | Mr. Kirkland - | Any other questions? |
| 297 298 | Mr. McKinney- | What's under this deck? |
| 299 300 301 | Ms. Barravecchia - underneath there, and there | We have a walk-out basement, so there's a walk-out n there's gravel |
| 302 303 304 | Mr. McKinney- have sliding doors | I mean, you go out of what to get underneath it - do you |
| 305 306 307 | Ms. Barravecchia - the rest of it is the garage. | French doors, yes sir. From the rec room down below; then |
| 308 309 310 311 | | Do you ever talk about screening that in? Then you would rlds. I just made the suggestion. You might want to think |
| 312 313 314 | Ms. Barravecchia - would be very nice. | No, because Well, that would be nice, OK. That |
| 315 316 317 318 | Mr. Lane - heat coming on the back usability of the deck. | But screening that in, it just wouldn't solve the problem of the of the house, as far as the kitchen is concerned, and the |
| 319 320 | Mr. McKinney- | They make awnings for that also, Mr. Lane. |
| 321 322 323 | Mr. Lane- going to be esthetically ple | Yes, she just wanted to do something, though, that was asing and blend in with the architecture of the home. |
| 324 325 326 | Mr. McKinney- out of this. | Are you the contractor? Well, see, you might get two jobs |
| 327 328 329 | Mr. Kirkland - anything to say, sir, other concludes the case | Any other questions of the Board members? Do you have than what you have? If there are no other questions, that |

330 331 Upon a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board approved this request subject to the following condition: 332 333 Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be 334 1. constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with 335 the applicable regulations of the County Code. 336 337 338 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this variance will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will 339 not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 340 341 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 342 Negative: 343 0 Absent: 0 344 345 346 Mr. Kirkland -Next one, sir. 347 A - 92-2000 **WILLIS L. BARNETT** requests a variance from Section 24-30.1(a) 348 349 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 5430 Barleycorn Drive (Village of Azalea) (Tax Parcel 84-4-A-33), zoned 350 R-5, General Residence District (Fairfield). The rear yard setback is 351 not met. The applicant has 24 feet rear yard setback, where the 352 Code requires 35 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a 353 variance of 11 feet rear yard setback. 354 355 Mr. Kirkland -If you would, please. Does anyone else here wish to speak 356 on this case. If you would, raise your right hand and be sworn in. 357 358 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the 359 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 360 361 362 Mr. Barnett-I do. 363 Mr. Kirkland -Would you state your name for the record. 364 365 Willis L. Barnett. 366 Mr. Barnett -367 368 Mr. Kirkland -Have all your notices been turned in, according to the Count Code? Thank you very much. If you would, proceed with the case. 369 370

Yes sir. Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Board 371 Mr. Barnett members. Thank you. In 1984 my home was constructed. My wife and I purchased 372 that house from friends in 1987. At that time we had 3 small children under age 6. I 373 374 serve as a minister of worship, and I teach at Virginia Union University. My line of work 375

requires that I have a place to study and write quietly. Since 1987 my 3 small children

have become 4 children, and the 3 small ones have become 3 adults, one married, possibility of becoming a grandfather. The quiet house has now become Grand Central Station. My wife teaches and leads a very active life, civic wise, in the community, and we just don't have enough space for study, for sharing with friends, and for eating, and we need to expand. We don't want to move; we like the neighborhood and want to remain there, and that's why we need to do the addition.

382

383 Mr. Kirkland - Any questions of Board members?

384

385 Mr. Nunnally- What size addition are you going to put on, Mr. Barnett?

386

387 Mr. Barnett - I believe it's 20 by 17.

388

389 Mr. McKinney- Eighteen by 17, according to the plans.

390 391

391 Mr. Barnett - I better ask the expert here.

392

393 Mr. McKinney- One's 20, and one's 18.

394

395 Mr. Keith - The existing house has a cantilever; it's a basement with a

396 first floor.

397

398 Mr. McKinney- And your name sir?

398

Mr. Keith - Jeffrey Keith, with Keith and Associates. The basement, the first floor has a 2-foot cantilever over top of the basement in the rear.

402

403 Mr. Wright- Mr. Barnett, what's located to the rear of your property?

404

405 Mr. Barnett - An apartment complex, Brook Ridge Apartments.

406

407 Mr. Wright- And what is characteristic of the size of your lot, the shape of

408 your lot?

409

410 Mr. Barnett - It's very peculiar; it looks somewhat, we're in a cul-de-sac; it

looks like a strange rectangle, and our house, a triangle. Our home is rectangular, and it sits right in the middle at an awkward angle.

413

414 Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions? If not, that concludes the case.

| 416 417 418 | After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board approved this variance request subject to the following conditions: | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 419 420 421 422 | 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. | | | |
| 423 424 425 426 | authorizing this vari | d this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that ance will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will the purpose of the zoning regulations. | | |
| 427 428 429 430 | Affirmative: Negative: Absent: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 0 0 | | |
| 431 | Mr. Kirkland - | Next one, sir. | | |
| 432 433 434 435 436 437 | UP- 30-2000 | SANDSTON MOOSE LODGE requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to operate a turkey shoot at 4505 Oakley's Lane (Tax Parcel 155-A-2), zoned A-1, Agricultural District and M-1C, Light Industrial District (Conditional) (Varina). | | |
| 438 439 440 | Mr. Kirkland - your right hand and | Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would, raise be sworn in. | | |
| 441 442 443 | Mr. Blankinship - truth, the whole trut | Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the h, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? | | |
| 444 445 446 | Mr. Kirkland - been turned in, acc | State your name for the record sir. Have all your notices ording to the County Code? If you would, state your case. | | |
| 447 448 449 450 451 | • | I do. It's Chris Klein. What we would like to do, we've been shoot since 1982, and we would like to get a renewal, I'll call it. We e money back to the community and Massey Cancer, and that's | | |
| 452 453 454 | Mr. Kirkland - shoot? | Mr. Secretary, have we had any complaints on this turkey | | |
| 455 456 | Mr. Blankinship - | Not to my knowledge. | | |
| 457 458 459 | Mr. Nunnally- | This is the same operation that you've been having? | | |
| 459 460 | Mr Bowles - | You get one shell out at a time, so | | |

| 472 473 474 | Mr. Nunnally- Now, what I'd like to know – you said 7:00 pm to 11:00 pm on Fridays and Saturdays. When does this turkey shoot start and end? I mean, does it start in November and go until December – what is the date on it. | | | |
|-------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|--|
| 475 | Start III | i i tovelliber ana go c | what is the date of it. | |
| 476 | Mr. Bo | wles - | December. September, as soon as we get this permit, to | |
| 477 | | nber. It'll stop Decer | · | |
| 478 | 2000 | ж | | |
| 479 | Mr. Kle | ein - | Normally we end it the first or second week in December. | |
| 480 | | | , | |
| 481 | Mr. Bla | ankinship - | And this permit would be for 2 seasons, for this season and | |
| 482 | next. | ' | , | |
| 483 | | | | |
| 484 | Mr. Kle | ein - | Two seasons, it's a double season permit. | |
| 485 | | | · | |
| 486 | Mr. Kir | kland - | OK. Thank you. Any other questions by Board members? | |
| 487 | Anyon | e else wish to speak | on this case? That concludes the case. | |
| 488 | | | | |
| 489 | After a | an advertised public | hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. | |
| 490 | Wright | t, the Board granted | I this temporary use permit to operate a turkey shoot subject | |
| 491 | to the following conditions: | | | |
| 492 | | | | |
| 493 | 1. | Hours of firing shall | be from 7:00 PM to 11:00 PM on Fridays and Saturdays. | |
| 494 | | | | |
| 495 | 2. | • | roperly posted to clearly show the particular area in which the | |
| 496 | shooting is occurring. | | | |
| 497 | | | | |
| 498 | 3. | | ges shall be consumed on the area of the shooting. A sign to | |
| 499 | this eff | fect shall be posted o | on the property. | |
| 500 | | - | | |
| 501 | 4. | Restrooms shall be | provided. | |
| 502 | _ | T | | |
| 503 | 5. | - | nall involve only the use of shotguns no larger than 12 gauge | |
| 504 | and lo | w powered shells co | ntaining No. 8 shot. | |
| 505 | 0 | This payment is valid f | inama Camtamahan 4, 2000, thurawah Dagamahan 24, 2004 | |
| 506 | 6. | rnis permit is valid f | from September 1, 2000 through December 31, 2001. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

State your name, sir, for the record.

time, so there's no chance of anybody being endangered. We run one of the safest

Yes sir. We meet them all.

Have you read the conditions in this case.

My name is Mike Bowles. I run it. I give one shell out at a

461

462

463

464

465

466 467

468 469 470

471

Mr. Kirkland -

Mr. Bowles -

Mr. Nunnally-

Mr. Bowles -

ones there is in the County.

507 508 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent 509 property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 510 511 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 512 Negative: 0 513 Absent: 0 514 515 Mr. Kirkland -516 Next case sir. 517 A - 95-2000 HAROLD NEAL requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 518 24 of the County Code to allow a screened porch to remain at 8016 519 Nicewood Road (Mountain Ridge) (Tax Parcel 42-2-B-11), zoned 520 R-3, One-family Residence District (Fairfield). The rear yard 521 setback is not met. The applicant has 20 feet rear yard setback, 522 where the Code requires 40 feet rear yard setback. The applicant 523 requests a variance of 20 feet rear yard setback. 524 525 526 Mr. Kirkland -Is the applicant here? Come forward sir. Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If you would sir, raise your right hand and be sworn in. 527 528 529 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 530 531 532 Mr. Kirkland -Would you state your name for the record. 533 I do. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. Mr. Neal -534 My name is Harold Neal, N-E-A-L. 535 536 OK, have all your notices been turned in, according to the 537 Mr. Kirkland -County Code. Thank you, if you would, proceed with the case. 538 539 Mr. Neal -Yes sir. Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, I have a 540 patio, and my privacy is somewhat situated where this patio is in between the home and 541 the rear, and I had submitted a request to build a deck, and at the time, we didn't know 542 that we had to have a separate permission to put a roof on top of it, so all of this had 543 been completed, and later on I learned that I had to get permission to put a roof on top 544 of the enclosure. Well, my wife and I are now retired, and we have a family, as was 545 mentioned by a previous person here, that had increased, and in the area where we 546

547

548

549

550 551 are, in the rear, there are a lot of trees in the wooded area, and there are a lot of bugs

and insects, and when we sit out there, we can't enjoy ourselves for the insects. So our

intent was to screen it in, so that when we try to sit out there, we won't be escorted in

the house by these insects, and won't be constantly fanning ourselves. This patio that

we had was already constructed there, and it was in concrete, and I extended the porch

about 8 or 9 feet beyond that, and the reason that I'm here today, because the setback 552 that I'm supposed to meet, is only half the distance, for it should be 40, and it's only 20. 553 554 Mr. Wright-Mr. Neal, what's located to the rear of your property? 555 556 Mr. Neal -It's undeveloped area in the back, sir. 557 558 Mr. Wright-Is there any screening between your property and that 559 property, any trees or bushes or anything? 560 561 Mr. Neal -562 There are trees, oh, yes sir, all the way across, behind it. 563 Mr. McKinney-Can you go back to the picture that you had before, can you 564 site that one. Mr. Blankinship, who took this picture, do you know? 565 566 Mr. Blankinship -Either I did, or Jim Lehmann. 567 568 Mr. McKinney-The house on the other side of this, did it have a variance? 569 It appears that it's out further than this one. 570 571 That is a detached accessory building; it looks like a house Mr. Blankinship -572 from this view. 573 574 OK, it's a detached accessory building? Mr. McKinney-575 576 577 Mr. Blankinship -Yes sir, you see almost the whole thing there. There's only about a foot of it cut off. 578 579 Mr. McKinney-Why did the picture change? And it has double hung 580 windows – what's that used for? 581 582 Mr. Blankinship -I have no idea – do you know Mr. Neal? 583 584 Mr. Neal -That was an addition that the neighbor placed on the corner, 585 one of these sun type, a sunroom, yes sir. 586 587 Mr. McKinney-Is it attached to his house? 588 589 590 Mr. Neal -Yes sir, they had to get a variance also, yes they did, 591 Mr. Blankinship-They did? 592

Mr. McKinney-

593 594

595

It does not show it being attached to the house.

| 596 597 | Mr. Neal - where my addition starts, | What you see now is the addition. The home, that screened in area. The other part of that house co | • |
|---------------------------------|--|---|----------|
| 598 599 | even from that part, but the | nis is the addition that you see. | |
| 600 601 | Mr. McKinney- | I'm looking just beyond that pick-up truck. | |
| 602 | Mr. Neal - | Right – that's the addition. | |
| 603 604 605 606 | Mr. McKinney- yours? It appears to be a | That's an addition? And that protrudes out furtly residence rather than a tool shed or whatever. | ner than |
| 607 608 609 | Mr.; Kirkland - wish to speak on this cas | Any other questions of the Board members? Anyoe? That concludes the case. Thank you sir | one else |
| 610 611 | Mr. Neal - | Thank you. | |
| 612 613 | <u>.</u> | c hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconde ted your variance subject to the following conditions: | d by Mr. |
| 614 615 616 617 618 | | ents shown on the plan filed with the application this approval. Any additional improvements shall cons of the County Code. | • |
| 619 620 621 622 | authorizing this variance | s request, as it found from the evidence present will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property ourpose of the zoning regulations. | • |
| 622 623 624 625 626 | Affirmative: Balfo Negative: Absent: | our, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 | 0 0 |
| 627 628 | Mr. Kirkland - | Next case. | |

| 629 | A - 96-2000 | LITTLE SISTERS OF THE POOR requests a variance from |
|-----|-------------|---|
| 630 | | Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an |
| 631 | | independent living facility at 1503 Michael Road (Tax Parcel 91-A- |
| 632 | | 47A), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Three Chopt). The structure |
| 633 | | height requirement is not met. The applicant requests a variance to |
| 634 | | allow construction of four stories where the Code allows three |
| 635 | | stories. |

637 Mr. Kirkland - Anyone else wish to speak on this case?

638

639 Mr. Spinella - Angela Newsome, who is the architect, and Mother Regina 640 and I will speak.

641

642 Mr. Kirkland - Okay, if you would, raise your right hand and be sworn in.

643

644 Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

646

647 Mr. Kirkland - If you would, sir, state your name for the record.

648

649 Mr. Spinella - I do. Nicholas A. Spinella, an attorney representing Little 650 Sisters of the Poor, and I have the notices here.

651

652 Mr. Kirkland- Are they the originals?

653

654 Mr. Blankinship - Yes, these are the originals; the copies are in the file.

655 656

656 Mr. Kirkland - Okay, if you would, sir, state your case.

657 658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

Mr. Spinella -All right sir. My name is Nicholas A. Spinella; I'm an attorney and have represented the Little Sisters of the Poor for many years. We first presented this variance on 8/26/99, and at that time, the Board granted it. However, we have been attempting to raise money for the project and, so that we have another variance to present to you at this time, the same variance. Mother Regina is here; she is the President of the Little Sisters of the Poor, and Sister Marie is back there with her. We also have Angela L. Newsome, who is the architect, who can answer any questions regarding this matter. Little Sisters of the Poor first came to the Richmond area in 1874, having the General Assembly pass an act to incorporate them on April 17, 1874. Prior to the existence of the State Corporation Commission. On September 11, last year, this coming year they have celebrated 126 anniversary in serving the poor and aged, first in the city of Richmond, and now in Henrico County, at their home on Michael Road, which has been in existence for about 24 years. The variance itself is for a variance due to the maximum number of stories is exceeded. The Little Sisters require a variance of one story in height. The new building will have the same roofline as the existing chapel, and the ground slopes down toward both ends. Accordingly, because of the slope, there is room for an additional floor. The second floor of the proposed building will be

level with the first floor of the existing building. The Little Sisters need to make the most efficient use of the property, and we feel that a hardship exists, because of the nature of the site, and although they could build the same number of units with 3 stories, it would prevent buffering from other properties and would be an inefficient use of the property and cause impervious services to be present. Because of the existing use of the property as a home for the aged, it would be a hardship on the Little Sisters not to have a variance granted by this Board, for the construction of 4 stories, instead of 3 stories, due to the slope downward of the site where the new building is constructed. There is no other site on their property, and therefore, this constitutes a hardship. The architect is here, and if you have any further questions by any Board members, I think she could respond to them.

Mr. Wright- Mr. Spinella, I only have one question. It appears to me that if it were 3 stories, it would look odd from the street. The appearance would be much better for it to be at the same line as the other building.

691 Mr. Spinella - Yes, it would. That's right.

693 Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions of the Board members?

695 Mr. McKinney- Mr. Spinella, the materials that this would be constructed out 696 of, it's the same as what's existing?

698 Mr. Spinella - I believe so, but the I think the architect could respond to 699 that.

701 Mr. Kirkland - Yes ma'am, if you'd state your name?

Ms. Newsome - Angela Newsome, and yes sir, we intend to match the brick and keep porches similar to what's existing.

706 Mr. McKinney- Okay, thank you.

708 Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. Thank you.

710 711 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** this variance subject to the following conditions 712 713 Only the improvements, subject to this approval and shown on the plan filed with 714 1. the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional 715 improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. 716 717 718 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that 719 authorizing this variance will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 720 721 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 722 Negative: 0 723 Absent: 0 724 725 726 Mr. Kirkland -Next, sir. 727 A - 97-2000 CHARLES T. AND KAREN S. ELLIOTT request a variance from 728 729 Section 24-95(i)(1) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an uncovered deck at 3309 Cartwright Court (Foxhall) (Tax Parcel 35-730 1-A-6), zoned R-2A, One-family Residence District (Three Chopt). 731 The setback for a deck is not met. The applicants have 25 feet rear 732 yard setback, where the Code requires 35 feet rear yard setback. 733 The applicants request a variance of 10 feet rear yard setback. 734 735 Does anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, sir, Mr. Kirkland -736 raise your right hand. 737 738 739 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 740 741 742 Mr. Kirkland -State your name for the record, please. 743 Mr. Elliott -I do. Tom Elliott. 744 745 Mr. Kirkland -Mr. Elliott, have all your notices been turned in, according to 746 the County Code? Thank you very much. Proceed with your case. 747 748

Mr. Elliott - Yes they have. Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, I am before the Board today to request in order to construct an uncovered deck. The background information in your packet indicates adding to the existing deck; however, this is incorrect. The request is to demolish the present deck and construct a new one. When completed, the new deck will be less visible than the existing deck, due to the step-down configuration of the planned deck and the extensive screening that is being planted. As presented in the submitted plans, the planned deck will come off the back

749

750

751

752

753

754

of the house for 5 feet and step down close to ground level, will be screened from view.

Due to the restrictions that we face, we are requesting that a variance of 10 feet rear yard setback be granted. Do you have any questions?

759

760 Mr. Wright- Mr. Elliott, describe the shape of your lot, please.

761

Mr. Elliott - I've always described it to my neighbors as being a peninsula in our neighborhood. We've got 3 sides of essentially frontage, with just a back to our neighbors.

765

Mr. Wright- Makes it very difficult to do any kind of construction, doesn't it? And secondly, is there any screening behind this deck and the lot that would be adjacent to it, lot 5.

769 770

771

772

773

Mr. Elliott - Very difficult, yes sir. As you can see, it's a wooded area, and that wooded area extends all the way up to the garage area, and at the garage we have, over to the left part, you can just see some of the English laurel right there, and that extends across, probably about 8 to 10 feet high now, and we're also planting across the back with Nellie hollies too, to also provide further screening.

774 775

776 Mr. McKinney- Mr. Elliott, are you Charles T.?

777

778 Mr. Elliott - Charles T. Elliott, that's correct.

779

780 Mr. McKinney- You listed yourself as Tom; I just wondered if you were the same one. Go by your middle name.

782

783 Mr. Elliott - Correct.

784

785 Mr. Kirkland - Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case.

787

788 Mr. Elliott - Thank you for your consideration.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board **granted** variance subject to the following conditions:

1. The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the plan filed with the application. No changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this variance will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

| Affirmative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright | 5 | |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| Negative: | | | 0 |
| Absent: | | | 0 |

UP- 32-2000 WEST SAND & GRAVEL CO., INC. requests a conditional use

permit pursuant to Sections 24-52(d) and 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to extract materials from the earth at 3464 Meadow Road (Tax Parcel 167-A-25), zoned A-1, Agricultural

District (Varina).

Mr. Kirkland - Would the applicant come forward, please. Anyone else wish to speak on this case? Okay, if you will raise your right hands and be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

817 Mr. Kirkland - If you would, sir, state your name.

Mr. McCaul - I do. Mr. Chairman, for the record, my name is Eugene McCaul, and I'm here on behalf of West Sand and Gravel Company, the applicant.

822 Mr. Kirkland - Have all your notices been turned in?

Mr. McCaul - They have, yes sir. This is a request for a renewal. As your record will reflect, this operation started 2 years ago. At the present time, we are requesting a renewal on 65.43 acres of land. You will note that it is substantially less than what we had under bond initially. That comes about by reason of the fact that \$1,000 an acre is not so bad, but when you get to \$2,000 an acre, it begins to hurt. Therefore, there was a more discreet outline of the area which was going to be subject to our operation steward the next couple of years. We've reviewed the conditions; we find them all to be in order, and we are prepared to go along with them. I would like, however, to make 2 comments. They relate to conditions 11 and 12. Twelve, I'll address that first – provides that we will enforce the regulations which are imposed by that particular operation. We discussed this at some length 2 years ago when it came

up, because of the language that it would be enforced by West Sand and Gravel Company. On site we can do that. Once the trucks leave our entrance, or course, we have no control over them, in so far as the operation is concerned. We will do our best to see that everything is conducted in an efficient and a safe manner. With respect to number 11, you have a new provision that truck traffic from this site will not be permitted on that road to the north. There has been a complaint by neighbors in that area, that truckers were using that as a shortcut to get over to Route 60. When this came to our attention, we directed the truckers to cease that use, and it has been done. We are agreeable to that condition, and we will undertake in every way to enforce it. I have only one comment to make in connection therewith; that is that we may occasionally have a local order that we have to fulfill in that area. If such is the case, we, of course would need to turn that particular truck to that direction in order to serve that customer. The bond is agreeable. The only other thing that I would call to your attention is that we do have a duplication in conditions 22 and 30; they appear to be the same, and we'll comply with them twice if that be necessary. Mr. Brian Cline, who's the engineer for the company is here, and is there's any questions, he can respond to them, I'm sure.

850 851

835

836

837 838

839

840 841

842

843 844

845

846

847

848

849

Mr. McCaul, who owns these trucks?

853

Mr. McCaul - They are owned by individual operators, Mr. McKinney. West Sand and Gravel Company has no trucks at all.

856 857

Mr. McKinney - But you can take care of them on condition 11, but you can't on 12; I mean you can give them a list of rules required by West Sand and Gravel to be a contract hauler for them.

859 860

858

Mr. McCaul - We do that sir. And we do have signs posted with respect to no traffic to the right upon leaving.

863

Mr. McKinney- Your comment on condition number 4 – did it go from \$1,000 to \$2,000, or was it \$2,000 in the past?

866

867 Mr. McCaul - No, it was \$1,000 last time.

868

869 Mr. McKinney- And why did it go to \$2,000 this time?

870

Mr. McCaul - Well, Mr. Blankinship says that you all have decided that you need \$2,000 in order to do rehabilitation if it falls upon you to do so.

873 874

875

876

877

Mr. McKinney- I don't know if it's Code; we have discussed this in certain areas, and some of them we've had \$1,000, and some of them we've had \$2,000 – is this the opinion of the Planning Department, Mr. Blankinship, that it's going to take \$2,000 if West Sand and Gravel should go under, that's been in business around here for 100 years.

Mr. Blankinship - Yes sir, for some time we've been using, for several months, not more than a year, we've been doing all the new renewals at \$2,000. It's partly on the advice of the Department of Mines, Minerals, and Energy, and is a result of a telephone survey that we did.

884 885

Mr. McKinney- Have we got a report on it, because I haven't seen anything on it.

886 887

888 Mr. Blankinship - Well, we've discussed it.....we haven't had anything in writing. Of course the final decision is yours; you can set the bond wherever you wish.

890 891

892

893

894

895

Mr. McKinney- I know we've discussed it. I mean if it should be \$2,000, I don't have any problem with \$2,000. If it's undue hardship on the applicant, and they haven't done it in the past, because every time we do this, it gets passed along to the public, and the public, bottom line, pays for this. I think if we can look out for the public, it's one thing. If it's really going to take that much to put this land back in shape, then I agree that it if it has to be done, it has to be done, that's my opinion.

896 897 898

Mr. Nunnally- Well we've approved 3 or 4, I guess, in the last 3 or 4 months, and we put \$2,000 on there, so I don't think we can......

899 900 901

Mr. McKinney- Were they renewals or were they new ones?

902

903 Mr. Nunnally- Both.

904 905

Mr. Kirkland - Any further questions? Anyone else wish to speak in favor of the case? Okay, we'll hear the opposition, and then you can rebut......opposition to the case?

907 908 909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

906

Mr. Slater -Thank you. May it please the Board, my name is Park Slater. I live at 1243 Grapevine Road. I'm here to address the Board as it considers renewing the permit of West Sand and Gravel as it hauls from the Meadow Road location. As I digress from what I've prepared for just a second, to say that I've read, just a few moments ago, the recommendations of the Board, but lest I leave anything to chance, and the time I spent at 2:00 o'clock this morning putting this presentation together be wasted, if the Board would indulge me just for a moment, please. As this Board knows, Simons Hauling operates the pit on Grapevine Road nearest its terminus with Meadow Road. To my satisfaction and that of every other resident of Grapevine Road with whom I've spoken, the BZA restricts the Simons route to either Drybridge Road or to go out Meadow Road. No trucks are permitted to haul, either empty or full. Again, when the JJ & B pit on Meadow Road was recently active, again this Board required that trucks servicing JJ & B to use identical routes. However, I for one, have been waiting for this day for about a year and a half, after the Meadow Road pit for West Sand and Gravel, opened. For when the West pit opens, truck drivers immediately found that absent any route restrictions imposed by this Board, not only could they, by choice, use the routes required of the trucks servicing the former pits, but

they could also traverse Grapevine Road, in its entirety, adversely affecting the health, safety, and welfare of the residents. Were this Board to impose route restrictions on all trucks involved in the West Sand and Gravel operation on Meadow Road, the following would be possible. A mere 9/10ths of a mile trek to Route 60, which is a 4-lane divided highway, only passes one residence. But because there are no restrictions, many of these trucks travel Grapevine Road, now passing directly by 78 houses, not including the many houses from three other subdivisions as well, it's an additional 100. I didn't have the exact miles there at the time, but I would submit to the Board that it exceeds 3 miles. In the meantime the Board can help stem this tide. I want to remind the Board exactly what we're dealing with here, realizing that we cannot live in a vacuum, I still strongly believe that the BZA can help us restore the quality of life that has caused many of us to move here. I submit to the Board again that the health, safety, and welfare is at the center of this particular issue. On Grapevine Road we enjoy a rural, residential quality of life. It is not at all uncommon to see farm machinery passing down the road, past the residences that we take pride in. Many of us have purposely chosen this area to raise our children, but this traffic remains a threat to them. School buses pick up and discharge children. The dangers of cars traveling 45 to 55 miles an hour without impunity, are miniscule compared to the exponentially higher number of foot pounds of energy generated by a fully loaded dump truck or 18-wheeler at the same speed. There is no comparison. Grapevine Road is also the home of the Trent House, a Civil War hospital that sometimes draws both pedestrians and tour buses. Confederate soldier re-enactors have marched up Grapevine Road, recreating the trek to Malvern Hill. Grapevine Road is the place where neighbors meet neighbors at the fence and go for a walk in the evening. It has been declared a state bicycle route, where it is routine to see a dozen or more cyclists at a time, out for exercise. As I've testified before to this Board, along with my wife, Grapevine Road has undergone rapid Gravel pit activity from the construction of the state highway depot on Ponderosa Drive, as well as other area construction, in the gravel pit traffic, has cumulatively transformed our road right before our eyes. And now we find that Grapevine Road looks like this. (film of truck traffic) Again, the wonderful world of electronics. This computer seems to be frozen up, but I'll continue. As you can see, Grapevine Road is not even wide enough to accommodate striping, and passing trucks have in the past, forced cyclists, like you saw a moment ago, into the ditch. The trucks cannot even negotiate the turns without either going into the oncoming lanes of travel, or driving onto the shoulder. I had in my presentation pictures of skid marks from trucks, on both sides of the road, that can't negotiate the turns safely. The recently asphalted surface has already shown unusual signs of wear caused by the undo weight. In addition, the noisy practice of braking occasionally occurs as trucks try to slow to negotiate the 90-degree turn at Old Hanover Road. In contrast to Grapevine, Meadow Road is much wider, and it is marked with lines. Members of the Board, West Sand and Gravel has posted a sign near where its trucks exit on Meadow Road. Not recalling the exact wording, I will submit that the gist of it is this. "Remember we have neighbors on Meadow Road, and we want to be good ones - do what you can to foster a good relationship." Well, if West means what it says, and by hearing testimony this morning, it sounds as if they do, it should not be objectionable to reasonable restrictions on its permit. At the same time, I submit to the BZA that your choice should be relatively

926

927

928 929

930

931 932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940 941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

simple - to weigh the minor inconvenience upon truck drivers that will cost a few minutes time, against the health, safety and welfare of a community now plagued by commercial vehicles. Members of the Board, I had pictures of the 2 children earlier they're my children, and they're but 2 of the people who are counting on you make a decision. I ask that you seriously consider the restrictions you have placed on the Simons permit, in order to be fair to all. Not knowing the existing parameters of the West permit, I respectfully request that 1. you restrict the number of trucks, 2. the hours of operation, and 3. that all trucks servicing the West Sand and Gravel pit be required to turn left when they exit the facility and travel the 9/10 of a mile to Route 60. That concludes what I had prepared. In looking at the recommendations this morning, they are a little vague, and I see that in the opening paragraph, West says that they will be glad to instruct the trucks to access from the south. I'm concerned both about ingress and egress in the direction of travel. I told the Board when I appeared before them, most recently on the Simons permit, that I've been working with Mr. Donati, and requested him to take some action that would prohibit all through truck traffic off the road, so that my request today, for instance, would be a moot point. So far that has not proceeded. I'm glad to hear that West Sand and Gravel is willing to do what they can, like they say they are. I'm willing to be a good neighbor, but this has gotten out of control, and I've asked the Board to do what they can to rein it in. I'll be glad to answer any questions that you might have. Yes sir.

991 992 993

972

973

974 975

976

977 978

979

980 981

982

983

984

985

986 987

988

989

990

Mr. Balfour - You say it's a little vague – what specifically are you asking us to consider as a condition that's not there?

994 995 996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

Mr. Slater - Currently, to require all truck traffic to turn left as it comes out of Meadow Road, comes onto Meadow Road, and go to Route 60 that way. To not be allowed to travel what would be reported as north on Meadow Road, to take either Grapevine Road as a route or Drybridge Road. I'm speaking for the people who live along Meadow Road, the people in Green Meadows subdivision as well. I noticed in the recommendations this morning, that under daylight savings time, the truck traffic will be permitted as early as 6:00 o'clock in the morning. Some of us sometime enjoy to sleep past 6:00 o'clock, and I would ask the Board to consider moving that to 7:00 opening time, regardless of whether it's Eastern Standard Time or Eastern Daylight Time.

1004 1005 1006

Mr. Balfour- If it all comes down your road, it wouldn't make any difference what time in the morning though, would it?

1007 1008

1009 Mr. Slater - That is correct; that would be a moot point as well, for me.

1010

1011 Mr. Wright- Number 11 says "travel to and from the site from the south."

1012

Mr. Slater - I was referring to the opening paragraph, and to tell you the truth, I got home at 2:00 o'clock this morning, and I wrote it the best I could.

1015

1016 Mr. Wright- Well wouldn't that take care of it, this new number 11 requires all truck traffic to travel to and from the site from the south via Meadow Road.

| 1010 | | |
|------|------------------------------|---|
| 1018 | Mr. Clotor | That would take care of it was air, but I didn't want to loove |
| 1019 | Mr. Slater - | That would take care of it, yes sir, but I didn't want to leave |
| 1020 | that for any interpretation, | room for interpretation. |
| 1021 | NA NA 12: | |
| 1022 | | It also says that the truck traffic from the site will not be |
| 1023 | permitted on Meadow Roa | ad to the north. |
| 1024 | | |
| 1025 | Mr. Slater - | OK, I realize that those are recommendations, but |
| 1026 | | |
| 1027 | Mr. McKinney- | No, these are recommendations, but if we approve this, this |
| 1028 | is it, this would go along w | vith it, unless we take it out. |
| 1029 | | |
| 1030 | Mr. Slater - | OK, well a year and a half ago, when this truck traffic began |
| 1031 | a crescendo, I called, and | they said well, we'd have to wait another year and a half to |
| 1032 | | I said I can't believe that, so I've been waiting all this time |
| 1033 | | t on just hope that the Board would pass this. |
| 1034 | and maon rigoring to mise i | |
| 1035 | Mr. Wright- | But doesn't that take care of your concerns? |
| 1036 | viii vviigiit | Dut dood it that take dare of your contourne. |
| 1037 | Mr. Slater - | Yes sir, it would. |
| 1037 | Wii. Glatei | 100 on, it would. |
| 1039 | Mr. Wright - | I think that's what the intent was, to put that in. |
| 1039 | wii. wrigint - | Tullink that's what the intent was, to put that in. |
| 1040 | Mr. Kirkland- | And you wouldn't have any trouble with the time being 6:00? |
| 1041 | IVII. KIIKIAITU- | And you wouldn't have any trouble with the time being 0.00: |
| 1042 | Mr. Slater - | No, there's only one house between where the trucks would |
| | | Route 60, and maybe you'll hear from them next time. Any |
| 1044 | | Noute 60, and maybe you'll fleat from them flext time. Any |
| 1045 | other questions? | |
| 1046 | Mr. Dalfarra | Var. fact confortable that you are call the notice or |
| 1047 | Mr. Balfour- | You feel comfortable that you can call the police or |
| 1048 | whomever if you see viola | tions to this restriction? |
| 1049 | | |
| 1050 | Mr. Slater - | Yes, my wife calls them every day and complains about the |
| 1051 | | officer. Sometimes a profit has no water in his home town, |
| 1052 | | things done for people, but I can't get things done for myself. |
| 1053 | Any other questions? | |
| 1054 | | |
| 1055 | Mr. Kirkland - | Anyone else wish to speak in opposition to the case? Mr. |
| 1056 | McCaul, if you'd like to reb | out? Thank you sir. |
| 1057 | | |
| 1058 | Mr. McCaul - | I want to assure you, we're going to try to comply with this |
| 1059 | condition. We may have to | to make local deliveries occasionally – if this gentleman wants |
| 1060 | • | ng to have to turn right to get to his house. |
| 1061 | <i>J</i> , | |
| 1062 | Mr. Slater - | It's the through traffic that I have a problem with, and |
| 1063 | | nyone else's, servicing customers. |
| | , | , |

| 1064 | | |
|------|----------------------------|---|
| 1065 | Mr. Balfour- | Would you agree to amending it to say "south" or whatever |
| 1066 | the word was that Mr. Wrig | ght suggested – oh, it's there. |
| 1067 | | |
| 1068 | Mr. McCaul - | We request that we keep our hours of operation; those are |
| 1069 | essential. | |
| 1070 | | |
| 1071 | Mr. Kirkland - | Anyone else wish to speak? If not, that concludes the case. |
| 1072 | | |
| 1073 | • | hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. |
| 1074 | . | ed the renewal of this use permit subject to the following |
| 1075 | conditions: | |

- 1076
 1077
 1. This use permit is subject to all requirements of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the
 1078
 1079
 1070
 1070
 1071
 1071
 1072
 1073
 1074
 1074
 1075
 1076
 1077
 1078
 1078
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079
 1079</l
- 2. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall obtain a mine license from the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

 3. Before beginning any work, the areas approved for mining under this permit shall be delineated on the ground by five(5)-foot-high metal posts at least five(5) inches in diameter and painted in alternate one(1) foot stripes of red and white. These posts shall be so located as to clearly define the area in which the mining is permitted. They shall be located, and their location certified, by a certified land surveyor. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

4. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall provide a financial guaranty in an amount of \$2,000.00 per acre for each acre of land to be disturbed, for a total of \$130,860.00, guaranteeing that the land will be restored to a reasonably level and drainable condition. This permit does not become valid until the financial guaranty has been approved by the County Attorney. The financial guaranty may provide for termination after 90 days notice in writing to the County. In the event of termination, this permit shall be void, and work incident thereto shall cease. Within the next 90 days the applicant shall restore the land as provided for under the conditions of this use permit. Termination of such financial guaranty shall not relieve the applicant from its obligation to indemnify the County of Henrico for any breach of the conditions of this use permit. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

5. The applicant shall furnish a certification of his financial guaranty each year, verifying that the financial guaranty is in effect, premiums have been paid, and the financial guaranty holder affirms its responsibility under the use permit conditions. This certification shall be submitted to the Board on September 30 of each year.

6. Before beginning any work, the applicant shall submit erosion control plans to the Department of Public Works for review and approval. Throughout the life of the operation, the applicant shall continuously satisfy the Department of Public Works that erosion control procedures are properly maintained, and shall furnish plans and bonds that the department deems necessary. The applicant shall provide certification from a licensed professional engineer that dams, embankments and sediment control structures meet the approved design criteria as set forth by the State. If this condition is not satisfied within 90 days of approval, the use permit shall be void.

7. In the event that the Board's approval of this use permit is appealed, all conditions requiring action within 90 days will be deemed satisfied if the required actions are taken within 90 days of final action on the appeal.

1121 8. The applicant shall comply with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and all state 1122 and local regulations administered under such act applicable to the property, and shall 1123 furnish to the Planning Office copies of all reports required by such act or regulations.

9. Hours of operation shall be from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. when Daylight Savings Time is in effect, and from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at all other times.

10. No operations of any kind are to be conducted at the site on Sundays or national holidays.

- 1131 11. All means of access to the property shall be from the established entrance onto
- Meadow Road. All truck traffic will travel to and from the site from the south via
- Meadow Road. Truck traffic from this site will not be permitted on Meadow Road to the

1134 North.

1135

12. Rules for on-site operation of trucks and methods of their departure from the site to ensure their operation is safe and does not constitute or create traffic hazards to other users of Meadow Road shall be posted in a conspicuous place and enforced by West Sand and Gravel Company.

1140

13. The applicant shall erect and maintain gates at all entrances to the property. These gates shall be locked at all times, except when authorized representatives of the

applicant are on the property.

11441145

1146

1147

14. The applicant shall post and maintain a sign at the entrance to the mining site stating the name of the operator, the use permit number, the mine license number, and the telephone number of the operator. The sign shall be 12 square feet in area and the letters shall be three inches high.

11481149

15. The applicant shall post and maintain "No Trespassing" signs every 250 feet along the perimeter of the property. The letters shall be three inches high. The applicant shall furnish the Chief of Police a letter authorizing the Division of Police to enforce the "No Trespassing" regulations, and agreeing to send a representative to testify in court as required or requested by the Division of Police.

1155

1156 16. Standard "Truck Entering Highway" signs shall be erected on Meadow Road on each side of the entrances to the property. These signs will be placed by the County, at the applicant's expense.

11591160

17. The applicant shall post and maintain a standard stop sign at the entrance to Meadow Road.

1161 1162 1163

1164

18. All roads used in connection with this use permit shall be effectively treated with calcium chloride or other wetting agents to eliminate any dust nuisance.

1165

19. The operation shall be so scheduled that trucks will travel at regular intervals and not in groups of three or more.

1168 1169

20. Trucks shall be loaded in a way to prevent overloading or spilling of materials of any kind on any public road.

1170 1171

21. The applicant shall maintain the property, fences, and roads in a safe and secure condition indefinitely, or convert the property to some other safe use.

- 1175 22. If, in the course of its preliminary investigation or operations, the applicant 1176 discovers evidence of cultural or historical resources, or an endangered species, or a 1177 significant habitat, it shall notify appropriate authorities and provide them with an 1178 opportunity to investigate the site. The applicant shall report the results of any such 1179 investigation to the Planning Office.
- 1181 23. Open and vertical excavations having a depth of 10 feet or more, for a period of more than 30 days, shall be effectively sloped to a 2:1 slope or flatter to protect the public safety.
- 24. Topsoil shall not be removed from any part of the property outside of the area in 1185 which mining is authorized. Sufficient topsoil shall be stockpiled on the property for 1186 respreading in a layer with five inches of minimum depth. All topsoil shall be stockpiled 1187 within the authorized mining area and provided with adequate erosion control 1188 protection. If the site does not yield sufficient topsoil, additional topsoil shall be brought 1189 to the site to provide the required five-inch layer of cover. All topsoil shall be treated 1190 with a mixture of seed, fertilizer, and lime as recommended by the County after soil 1191 tests have been provided to the County. 1192
- 1194 25. No offsite-generated materials shall be deposited on the mining site without prior written approval of the Director of Planning.
 - 26. A superintendent, who shall be personally familiar with all the terms and conditions of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code, as well as the terms and conditions of this use permit, shall be present at the beginning and conclusion of operations each work day to see that all the conditions of the Code and this use permit are observed.
 - 27. A progress report shall be submitted to the Board on September 30, 2001. This progress report must contain information concerning how much property has been mined to date of the report, the amount of land left to be mined, how much rehabilitation has been performed, when and how the remaining amount of land will be rehabilitated, and any other pertinent information about the operation that would be helpful to the Board.
- 28. Excavation shall be discontinued by September 30, 2002 and restoration accomplished by not later than September 30, 2003, unless a new permit is applied for no later than 60 days before the expiration of the permit, and is subsequently granted by the Board of Zoning Appeals.
- 1215 29. The rehabilitation of the property shall take place simultaneously with the mining 1216 process. Rehabilitation shall not be considered completed until the mined area is 1217 covered completely with permanent vegetation.
- 1219 30. No more than 20 truck loads of material shall be removed from the site in any one 1220 hour on days that Henrico County Public Schools are in session and 25 truck loads per 1221 hour on days that schools are not in session.

1184

1193

1196 1197

1198

1199

1200

1201 1202

1203

1204 1205

1206

1207 1208

1209

1214

| 1222 | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|-----------|
| 1223 | 31. Adequate | sight distan | ce must be provided at the access poin | ts of the | site. | |
| 1224 1225 1226 1227 1228 1229 1230 | 32. A 200 foot setback shall be maintained from any Chesapeake Bay Resource Protection Area features on the site. Additionally, a 50 foot setback shall be maintained from any non Chesapeake Bay Resource Protection Area wetland within the property boundaries unless a permit has been obtained for impacting these wetlands. Any encroachment into the setback areas would require approval by the Department of Public Works. | | | | | |
| 1231 1232 1233 | 33. Failure to permit. | comply with | any of the foregoing conditions shall au | tomatical | ly void this | S |
| 1234 1235 1236 1237 | authorizing the | e renewal of | request, as it found from the evid this use permit will not be of substantia rially impair the purpose of the zoning re | al detrime | nt to adja | |
| 1238 1239 1240 1241 1242 | Affirmative: Negative: Absent: | Balfou | ur, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wrigh | t | 5 0 0 | |
| 1243 1244 1245 1246 1247 1248 1249 | A - 98-2000 | 24 of the Lane (Varina). applicant feet publi | P. JELLIE requests a variance from Sec County Code to build a single family dw (Tax Parcel 267-A-8 (part)), zoned A-1 The public street frontage requirement in has 0 feet public street frontage, where c street frontage. The applicant reques c street frontage. | velling at a second to the contract of the contract of the code of | 9380 She ural Distri t. The e requires | rry ct |
| 1250 1251 1252 | Mr. Kirkland - wish to speak | on this case | Would the applicant come forward, ? Okay, if you will raise your right hand | • | • | |
| 1253 1254 1255 | Mr. Blankinshi truth, the whol | • | Do you swear that the testimony you a nothing but the truth, so help you God? | | to give is | the |
| 1256 1257 1258 | Mr. Kirkland - | | If you would, sir, state your name. | | | |
| 1256 1259 1260 | Ms. Jellie - | | I am Carol Jellie, | | | |
| 1261 1262 | Mr. Kirkland - | | Have all your notices been turned in? | | | |
| 1263 1264 | Ms Jellie- | | Yes they have. | | | |
| 1265 1266 | Mr. Kirkland- | | Please present your case. | | | |

1267 Ms. Jellie-I would like to request a variance to build a single family dwelling and I would like to share a little history with you on this property. Originally, I 1268 lived in the house on the property. There were two lots, the larger lot is the one we are 1269 1270 speaking of now. The former owners had built a barn on part of the lot. When we decided to sell our home, we spoke to several realtors, the health department and had 1271 the lot surveyed and with all those folks we talked to, there was no indication that the 1272 way we were separating the property there would be a problem. I was surprised when 1273 1274 we learned that there was no road frontage for this lot. My request is that we be allowed to build a home on this lot, it does have access to the road. 1275

1276

When did you sell this property? Did you sell this lot to your 1277 Mr. Nunnallyneighbor? 1278

1279

Ms. Jellie-No sir. We owned the lot with the house on it and the extra 1280 lot. It was a field with a barn. When we sold the house, we had the line changed to 1281 include the barn with the house. We kept the extra lot, because we were not sure what 1282 we wanted to do with it. We would like the variance approved for another home. And 1283 as you can see, it would be very accessible to the cul-de-sac. 1284

1285

1286 Mr. Nunnally-Have you read the conditions, Ms. Jellie? You do have a legal access to the property, you can get back to the property? 1287

1288

1289 Ms. Jellie-Yes.

1290 1291

Mr. Wright-Eventually, that cul-de-sac is not an official cul-de-sac. 1292

1293 Ms. Jellie-In 22 years, I did not know that. I was shocked.

1294

1295 Mr. Kirkland-Any other questions by Board Members?

1296

Ms. Jellie-I have spoken to my neighbors, and they do not object. In 1297 fact it would probably help the neighborhood, because several of the residents use the 1298 lot for dumping trees. 1299

1300

Mr. Kirkland-Any other questions? That concludes the case. Thank you. 1301

1302 1303

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** variance subject to the following conditions:

1304 1305 1306

1. This variance only allows the lot shown on the plan filed with the application to be used for a single-family dwelling. Any other use or improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

1308 1309

1307

2. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building 1310 permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not 1311

limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval of a well 1312 1313 location. 1314 1315 3. The applicant must present proof with the building permit application that a legal access to the property has been obtained. 1316 1317 1318 4. The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility for 1319 maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access is improved to County standards and accepted into the County road system for maintenance. 1320 1321 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that 1322 authorizing this variance will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will 1323 not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 1324 1325 1326 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 1327 Absent: 0 1328 1329 UP- 33-2000 MARCIA HUDSON requests a conditional use permit pursuant to 1330 Section 24-12(e) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to keep four or 1331 more cats at 2266 High Bush Circle (Mountain Laurel) (Tax Parcel 32-1332 7-L-3), zoned R-5C, General Residence District (Conditional) 1333 (Fairfield). 1334 1335 1336 Mr. Kirkland -Would the applicant come forward, please. Anyone else 1337 wish to speak on this case? Okay, if you will raise your right hands and be sworn in. 1338 1339 1340 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 1341 1342 Ms. Hudson-Yes sir. 1343 1344 Mr. Kirkland -If you would, sir, state your name. 1345 1346 1347 Ms. Hudson -I am Marcia Hudson. 1348 Mr. Kirkland -1349 Have all your notices been turned in? 1350 1351 Ms Hudson-Yes they have. 1352 1353 Mr. Kirkland-Please present your case. 1354 Ms. Hudson-I am applying for a conditional use permit in order to keep 1355 1356 my family of 8 cats. First of all, I want everyone to understand that these cats do not go outside and roam all over the neighborhood. I only have 3 that basically go outside, the 1357

others stay inside. The 3 that go outside are my oldest ones. I have had these cats since 1991. The last 3 that I received, one was from my mother, who could no longer take care of it, one I found on the side of the road, and the other adopted me.

1361 1362

1363

1364

1365

These last 3 do not go outside. I always have them spade and neutered. They are up to date on their shots. I keep my place spotless. I really didn't think I was doing any harm in keeping the cats. I am an animal lover, and when I call the shelters to try and find homes for these cats, the shelters ask if I can keep the animals at my house for awhile since they are so crowded. Well, they end up staying.

1366 1367 1368

1369

1370

1371

I am also on the board of directors of Mountain Laurel Townhouse Association, anyone could have come to me and mentioned this. Even though, the 3 cats do go outside, but stay around the house. My main concern is, that if I am not able to keep the cats, finding homes for them. I do not want any more cats.

1372

1373 Mr. McKinney- How old are these cats?

1374

Ms. Hudson- My oldest ones are from 6-7 years old. The youngest are from 1-2 years.

1377

1378 Mr. McKinney You have 3 that are 1-2 years old? And the other 4 are 6-7 years old? And the dog?

1380

1381 Ms. Hudson- My little dog is 2. He doesn't go outside at all.

1382

1383 Mr. McKinney- Just the 3 go outside.

1384

Mr. Wright- Mr. Blankinship, If she has 8 cats, why do you only have 7 animals in the condition? In her letter she states she has 8 cats and 1 dog. You are asking for 8 cats and 1 dog?

1388

1390

1389 Ms. Hudson- Yes.

1391 Mr. McKinney- The first condition calls for 7 cats and 1 dog.

1392

Mr. Wright- And you understand that if this approved, you cannot get any more animals nor can you replace animals. The goal is to get down to the 3 allowed by code.

1396

1397 Ms. Hudson- That is fine.

1398

Mr. Kirkland- Any other questions by Board Members? If not let us hear from the opposition. Anyone opposed to the case? If not, that concludes the case.

1401

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Balfour, the Board **granted** this use permit subject to the following conditions:

September 28, 2000

- 1404
 1405
 1. This approval is only for the eight cats and one dog owned by the property owner.
 1406
 The approval is not for the boarding or breeding of any other animals at any time.
- 1408 2. No new or replacement animals may be added, so that the number of animals will be reduced by natural means to three.
- 1411 3. The applicant must maintain the property so that odors are controlled.

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

| 1417 | Affirmative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright | 5 | |
|------|--------------|---|---|---|
| 1418 | Negative: | | | 0 |
| 1419 | Absent: | | | 0 |

- 1420 A - 99-2000 **JEFFREY B. DICKERSON** requests a variance from Section 24-94 of 1421 Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a recreation room and 1422 screened porch at 13500 Reynard Lane (Foxhall) (Tax Parcel 45-2-B-1423 76), zoned R-2AC, One-family Residence District (Conditional) 1424 (Tuckahoe). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant has 40 1425 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 45 feet rear yard 1426 setback. The applicant requests a variance of 5 feet rear yard setback. 1427
- Mr. Kirkland Would the applicant come forward, please. Anyone else wish to speak on this case? Okay, if you will raise your right hands and be sworn in.
- Mr. Blankinship Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?
- 1436 Mr. Dickerson- Yes sir.

1407

1410

1416

1428 1429

1432

1435

1437

1439

1441

1446

1438 Mr. Kirkland - If you would, sir, state your name.

- 1440 Mr. Dickerson I am Jeffrey B. Dickerson.
- 1442 Mr. Kirkland Have all your notices been turned in?
- 1444 Mr. Dickerson- Yes they have.1445
- Mr. Dickerson- We are looking to add a recreation room onto the rear of our house and cover the deck to create a screened porch. As you can see from the survey, our rear setback is 45 feet our addition goes 3 feet over that line. The screen porch

Please present your case.

Mr. Kirkland-

1451 goes 5 feet over the setback line. We are going to use addition as a family room and the deck will become the screened porch. We have approached our neighbors about 1452 the additions, and they have no problem with them. They have submitted a signed 1453 1454 statement as such. 1455 1456 Mr. Kirkland-Any questions of Mr. Dickerson? 1457 Mr. McKinney-What kind of siding is on your house? 1458 1459 Mr. Dickerson-We have that hardboard siding. We plan on keeping the 1460 look of the house the same. 1461 1462 Please describe the shape of your lot. 1463 Mr. Wright-1464 Its pie shaped and there lies the problem. As you can see 1465 Mr. Dickersonfrom the survey, we have no problem with the side setback, we will be well within the 1466 requirements. The lot itself and the angle of the house, causes the 2 additions to 1467 extend into the setbacks. 1468 1469 1470 Mr. Wright-Is there any screening between your lot and you neighbors? 1471 Mr. Dickerson-We have a heavy tree screen. And we plan on keeping that. 1472 The addition is going in the area were the garden is now, but the tree screen blocks the 1473 1474 view. 1475 Mr. Kirkland-1476 Any other questions? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not that concludes the case. 1477 1478 1479 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this use permit subject to the following conditions: 1480 1481 1482 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with 1483 the applicable regulations of the County Code. 1484 1485 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that 1486 authorizing this use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and 1487 will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 1488 1489 1490 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 1491

Absent:

1492

1493 1494

| 1494 1495 1496 1497 1498 1499 1500 1501 | A -100-2000 | Chapter 2 at 1130 V Parcel 63 setback i where the | O J. JESSUP, DDS requests a variance from Section 24-94 of 24 of the County Code to build an addition to an office building Wilkinson Road (Chamberlayne Farms Shopping Center) (Tax 3-7-A-1A), zoned O-2, Office District (Fairfield). The rear yard s not met. The applicant has 15.1 feet rear yard setback, a Code requires 35 feet rear yard setback. The applicant a variance of 19.9 feet rear yard setback. | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| 1501 | Mr. Kirkland - | | Would the applicant come forward, please. Anyone else | | |
| 1503 | wish to speak o | n this case | e? Okay, if you will raise your right hands and be sworn in. | | |
| 1504 | · | | | | |
| 1505 | Mr. Blankinship | | Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the | | |
| 1506 | truth, the whole | truth, and | nothing but the truth, so help you God? | | |
| 1507 | _ | | | | |
| 1508 | Dr. Jessup- | | Yes sir. | | |
| 1509 | | | | | |
| 1510 | Mr. Kirkland - | | If you would, sir, state your name. | | |
| 1511 | D. L | | Less Be Bereit Library | | |
| 1512 | Dr. Jessup - | | I am Dr. Ronald J. Jessup. | | |
| 1513 | Ma Kiddaa d | | Have all your nations been turned in? | | |
| 1514 1515 | Mr. Kirkland - | | Have all your notices been turned in? | | |
| 1516 | Dr. Jessup- | | Yes they have. | | |
| 1517 | Dr. 0633up- | | res triey have. | | |
| 1517 | Mr. Kirkland- | | Please present your case. | | |
| 1519 | Wii. Pairaaria | | riodoo procent your case. | | |
| 1520 | Dr. Jessup- | | I want to merge my practice with an existing practice, Dr. | | |
| 1521 | • | le has bee | en at this location, and I need to add to his building. The rear | | |
| 1522 | | | e we don't have enough room for the addition. The addition | | |
| 1523 | | | ne front of his building. But a variance was granted to him in | | |
| 1524 | 1986 for a rear setback. That is what we are hoping to do this time also. We would like | | | | |
| 1525 | to add some pa | rking also. | | | |
| 1526 | | | | | |
| 1527 | Mr. Wright- | | What is the size of the proposed addition? | | |
| 1528 | | | | | |
| 1529 | Dr. Jessup- | | 1400 square feet. The existing building is about 1600 | | |
| 1530 | square feet. | | | | |
| 1531 | | | | | |
| 1532 | Mr. Wright- | | What is located to the rear of your property? | | |
| 1533 | Do Jane | | Did Date that has residentially seemed to 20 | | |
| 1534 | Dr. Jessup- | | Dirk Drive, that has residential homes along it. | | |
| 1535 | Mr Dlankinahin | | That is technically the rear is the changing contar | | |
| 1536 | Mr. Blankinship | - | That is technically the rear is the shopping center. | | |

| 1538 | Mr. Wright- | | The homes are across the street from the office | e. What kind |
|------|--|-------------------|---|---------------|
| 1539 | • | nter is this? | Is it zoned business? | |
| 1540 | | | | |
| 1541 | Mr. Blankinship | - | Yes. | |
| 1542 | | | | |
| 1543 | Mr. Wright- | | The hatched area on the plot plan is the addit | ion, correct? |
| 1544 | And doesn't th | e existing | building already encroach into the setback? | So this new |
| 1545 | addition would r | not project | any farther? | |
| 1546 | | | | |
| 1547 | Mr. Blankinship | - | Yes. | |
| 1548 | | | | |
| 1549 | Mr. McKinney- | | You are going to relocate off of Route 1? | |
| 1550 | | | | |
| 1551 | Dr. Jessup- | | Yes. | |
| 1552 | | | | |
| 1553 | Mr. Kirkland- | | Any more questions by the Board Members? | Anyone else |
| 1554 | wish to speak o | n this case | ? If not that concludes the case. | |
| 1555 | | | | |
| 1556 | After an adverti | sed public | hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seco | nded by Mr. |
| 1557 | Wright, the Boa | rd granted | this variance subject to the following conditions: | |
| 1558 | | | | |
| 1559 | 1. Only the imp | rovements | shown on the plan filed with the application may | be |
| 1560 | constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with | | | |
| 1561 | the applicable regulations of the County Code. | | | |
| 1562 | | | | |
| 1563 | 2. If land distur | bance will | exceed 2,500 square feet the requirements of Cha | apter 10 of |
| 1564 | the County Code apply. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall | | | |
| 1565 | submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure | | | |
| 1566 | compliance with | n the requir | ements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act | and the |
| 1567 | code requirements for water quality standards. | | | |
| 1568 | | | | |
| 1569 | 3. This approva | al is subject | t to all conditions that may be placed on the propo | sed Plan of |
| 1570 | Development by the Planning Commission. | | | |
| 1571 | | | | |
| 1572 | | | request, as it found from the evidence pre- | • |
| 1573 | authorizing this variance will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will | | | |
| 1574 | not materially in | npair the pu | urpose of the zoning regulations. | |
| 1575 | | | | |
| 1576 | Affirmative: | Balfou | ır, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 | |
| 1577 | Negative: | | | 0 |
| 1578 | Absent: | | | 0 |
| 1579 | | | | • |
| 1580 | UP- 34-2000 | | IATE SCHOOLS requests a conditional use perm | |
| 1581 | | | n 24-12(b) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to us | |
| 1582 | | • | ractice at 9400 River Road (Tax Parcel 111-A-16 | ט), zoned R- |
| 1583 | | 1, Une-fa | mily Residence District (Tuckahoe). | |
| 1584 | | | | |
| | | | | |

Mr. Kirkland -Would the applicant come forward, please. Anyone else 1585 1586 wish to speak on this case? Okay, if you will raise your right hand and be sworn in. 1587 1588 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 1589 1590 Mr. Custis-Yes sir. 1591 1592 Mr. Kirkland -1593 If you would, sir, state your name. 1594 Mr. Custis -I am Glen Custis with Draper Aden Associates who is 1595 representing Collegiate Schools. 1596 1597 Mr. Kirkland -1598 Have all your notices been turned in? 1599 1600 Mr. Custis-Yes they have. 1601 Mr. Kirkland-1602 Please present your case. 1603 1604 Mr. Custis-Collegiate Schools is applying for this permit to use the vacant lot as a practice soccer field. They have been using this field for several years 1605 and did not realize that this was an issue. I guess some other sports clubs started using 1606 the field, and some problems arose. The School was informed by the County that they 1607 needed a permit. At that time, the School stop using the field and we applied for the 1608 permit this summer. 1609 1610 We are basically asking to continue using the field for practice soccer fields. The 4 1611 suggested conditions for the case, we are in complete agreement with them. The 1612 School is only looking to use this field through the summer. There is a sports complex 1613 being constructed on the campus in Goochland, so this would be used until that is 1614 completed. 1615 1616 1617 Mr. Balfour-Through the summer of 2001? 1618 Mr. Custis-1619 Yes sir. 1620 Mr. McKinneyso you could accept a conditions stati9ng that? 1621 1622 1623 Mr. Custis-Yes. 1624 Mr. McKinney-What about the spectators? Where are they going to sit or 1625 1626 park?

1630

1629

1627 1628

Mr. Custis-

students will be brought from the school on a bus and taken back to the school.

There are no games held there, this is just for practice. The

| 1631 1632 1633 1634 1635 1636 | that no cars would be park | I am Robert Settavee, I Vice President for Finance at us is practice space, if the Board were to impose a condition ked there during practice we would accept that conditions. We at there practicing only. We will bus them out and bus them |
|--|-------------------------------|---|
| 1637 | Mr. McKinney- | I have been by there when activities have been going on, |
| 1638 | • | all over the place. Across the street, on the road etc. |
| 1639 | and more are eare parties | an ever the placer y torocc the energy on the read ever |
| 1640 | Mr. Settavee- | There are a number of athletic groups that have been using |
| 1641 | | ing is that some of the incidents that occurred did not involve |
| 1642 | collegiate school. | |
| 1643 | 3 | |
| 1644 | Mr. McKinney- | Who owns this piece of property? |
| 1645 | , | |
| 1646 | Mr. Settavee- | JG Construction. |
| 1647 | | |
| 1648 | Mr. Kirkland- | Is there any sign on the property to say that you are the sole |
| 1649 | user? | |
| 1650 | | |
| 1651 | Mr. Settavee- | At this point, this sign says no organized athletic events on |
| 1652 | the property. Which I believe | eve this sign was posted at the County's request. |
| 1653 | | |
| 1654 | Mr. Kirkland- | When you practice, do you practice during school hours? |
| 1655 | | |
| 1656 | Mr. Settavee- | The practice is held after school. Typically 3:30 to 5:30 - |
| 1657 | | summer months it could be we practice at various times during |
| 1658 | | conditions speaks about 3-6:30 during the school year and 8-6 |
| 1659 | during the summer month | s. That is perfectly fine with us. |
| 1660 | | |
| 1661 | Mr. McKinney- | How many days a week? |
| 1662 | | |
| 1663 | Mr. Settavee- | As many as 5 days a week. It would not necessarily be every |
| 1664 | day, but it could be. | |
| 1665 | 115 14 16 | W 11%1 0 4 1 0 |
| 1666 | MR. McKinney- | Would it be on Saturdays? |
| 1667 | 14 0 " | |
| 1668 | Mr. Settavee- | No sir. Monday through Friday only. |
| 1669 | | |
| 1670 | Mr. McKinney- | How about Holidays? |
| 1671 | 14 0 " | |
| 1672 | Mr. Settavee- | When we will only be practicing when school is in session. |
| 1673 | Na Maldinasi | And you need the eite through with an O |
| 1674 | Mr. McKinney- | And you need the site through when? |

1676 MR. Settavee-Through this coming summer. We have a 55 acre athletic complex that is under construction in Goochland, and we are advised that the surface 1677 will be playable come fall of 2001. 1678

1679

Do you think September 1 will give you enough time, or Mr. Balfour-1680 should we make it until the end of 2001? 1681

1682

Mr. Wright-Why don't we make it through 2001, incase there are delays 1683 in construction. 1684

1685

Mr. Settavee-That would be fine. 1686

1687

Mr. Kirkland-Anyone else in favor of the case? 1688

1689

If we have a condition to grant this through 2001, or as soon 1690 Mr. Balfouras the new fields are finished that would cover you both ways. 1691

1692

That would be fine. Mr. Settavee-1693

1694

1695 Mr. McKinney-And what does the signage say on this property?

1696

Mr. Settavee-The current signage says something like "no organized 1697 athletic events permitted on the property" I am told that was a sign Mr. Gummenick was 1698 asked to post after it was discovered that there were no appropriate permits to use the 1699 property for such use. The county asked him to do this. We stopped all use at the 1700 property at that point. 1701

1702

1703 Mr. Kirkland-Any other questions? Alright, lets hear from the opposition.

1704

Mr. Young-My name is Daniel Young Jr. I live at 9505 Carterwood 1705 Road. I have prepared a statement. We are not specially opposing Collegiate, but we 1706 are concerned about the property being used for sports activities. We were subjected to 1707 problems when ever activities were held on the site. We had a lot of noise coming from 1708 the fields, we couldn't even sit on our deck. They were using the woods for toilets, 1709 since none were provided. They left litter all over the place. Parking and traffic was a 1710 major issue for us. We have people driving in and out of the neighborhood, and we 1711 were concerned about our children playing. The Police were called on one occasion 1712 and ticketed many of the cars. We think granting Collegiate this permit will allow others 1713 to think they can use the fields also. I have a problem with that. 1714 Collegiate is responsible for their activities, they have not caused these problems. Probably the 1715 whistle blowing by the coach during drills is the most irritating. But we have suggested 1716 some additional conditions to the staffs conditions. We believe the staff zeroed in on 1717 many of the concerns we have. 1. They are using if for practice only, no organized 1718 games or spectators.

1719

2 No parking allowed. I do have a concern as to how that will be enforced unless all the 1720 streets are marked with no parking signs. 1721

3. No activities on the weekends and restricted hours during the school year. We are suggesting 10-12 noon in the morning and 2-4 in the afternoon. We would like the activity broken up so the field is not used for sports all day.

We agree with the staff that there be no permanent lighting and we don't want any buildings put on the property. The applicant has said that they would bring in portable toilets, but I don't want one in my back yard. I have a problem with them being there, they smell and who knows who will use them at any time of the day or night. We agree that the field should be maintained at all times in a neat and orderly manner. And we agree that this permit be issued only for Collegiate to use the field and no one else. I want this to be a temporary use permit and not a permanent one.

Mr. Kirkland- If Collegiate is going to be the only user of this site, the signs need to be changed to reflect this. This will help the Police, if they are called, to actually enforce this provision.

Mr. O'Neil- My name is Bill O'Neil, I live on Walsing Drive. My lot has a deep grade to it, and when they park there they many times get stuck. You have covered most everything, but I would like to know who will be there after Collegiate?

Mr. Kirkland- No one. Any other questions?

Mr. Settaveeare not interested in games. We are happy to have a restriction to use the fields only
during the school days on weekdays and restricted hours during the summers. We
have no desire to put in any lighting, none of our fields are lit now. Nor will we seek a
permit to erect any structure. I thought we could erect stockade fencing around portable
toilets to make them less visible. The one I am having the most trouble with is taking
the toilets away at the end of the day. That is just not possible. I am not familiar with a
mobile portable toilet that can be removed at the end of each day. We will have to
investigate that.

Mr. Kirkland
They do have some on trailers, I have seen them at various

1756 Mr. Settavee- That conditions means that we would have to bring them out and take them away every day.

1759 Mr. McKinney- How many do you have?

Mr. Settavee- We don't have any toilets and have not put any out there.

There has not been a need for them during the few hours that we are on the field. I

think the toilet issue arose because of some the weekend activities that were not ours.

Mr. Dorwin-Our trees behind my house have been used for toilets, but Collegiate has not been the ones doing this.

types of shows.

Mr. Settavee- If the neighbors don't want any toilets, then we won't even discuss it, since we did not plan on using them. We will pick up any litter and I will ask the coaches to watch the whistle blowing. We have no interest in transferring this permit and only want a temporary permit.

1773 Mr. Kirkland- Any other questions by Board Members? If not than that concludes the case.

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** this temporary use permit subject to the following conditions:

1. Use of the property subject to this permit shall be limited to school athletic practices only. No organized games or spectators will be permitted.

2. Parking at the site shall be limited to not more than two school buses. The buses shall be parked such that they do not impede traffic on any public street.

3. Hours of operation shall be limited to 3:00 - 6:30 PM during the school year and 10:00 AM - 12:00 Noon and 2:00 - 5:00 PM during the summer break. There shall be no operations on Saturdays, Sundays, or national holidays.

4. No buildings, structures, portable toilets, or lighting, either temporary or permanent, shall be erected at the site.

5. The property shall be maintained in a neat and orderly fashion, with trash removed at the end of each practice.

6. This permit shall expire on December 31, 2001, or when alternative facilities become available, whichever comes first.

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

| 1803 | Affirmative: | Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright | 5 | |
|------|--------------|---|---|---|
| 1804 | Negative: | , , , | | 0 |
| 1805 | Absent: | | | 0 |
| 4000 | | | | |

| 1807 | A -101-2000 | MR. AND MRS. PHILLIP J. KIRBY request a variance from Section |
|------|-------------|--|
| 1808 | | 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to allow a garage to remain |
| 1809 | | and build an addition at 8315 Whistler Court (Chamberlayne Farms) |
| 1810 | | (Tax Parcel 64-3-N-21) zoned R-2, One-family Residence District |
| 1811 | | (Fairfield). The minimum side yard setback and total side yard setback |
| 1812 | | are not met. The applicants have 14.8 feet minimum side yard setback, |

| 1813 1814 1815 | minimu | .1 feet total side yard setback, where the Code requires 15 feet im side yard setback and 35 feet total side yard setback. The ints request variances of 0.2 feet minimum side yard setback | | |
|----------------------|---|---|--|--|
| 1816 | and 2.9 | et total side yard setback. | | |
| 1817 1818 1819 | Mr. Kirkland - wish to speak on this ca | Would the applicant come forward, please. Anyone else se? Okay, if you will raise your right hand and be sworn in. | | |
| 1820 1821 1822 | Mr. Blankinship - truth, the whole truth, ar | Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the nd nothing but the truth, so help you God? | | |
| 1823 | | | | |
| 1824 | Mr. Wilson- | Yes sir. | | |
| 1825 | Mr. Kirkland - | If you would gir state your name | | |
| 1826 1827 | IVII. NIIKIAITU - | If you would, sir, state your name. | | |
| 1828 | Mr. Wilson - | Phillip Wilson. | | |
| 1829 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 1830 | Mr. Kirkland - | Have all your notices been turned in? | | |
| 1831 | | • | | |
| 1832 | Mr. Custis- | Yes they have. | | |
| 1833 | | | | |
| 1834 | Mr. Kirkland- | Please present your case. | | |
| 1835 | NA VACI | | | |
| 1836 | Mr. Wilson- | I am the contractor. The proposal by Mr. And Mrs. Kirby is | | |
| 1837 1838 | | droom addition for an elderly parent. The lot is pie-shaped ne property. The floor layout that the Kirby's propose is the | | |
| 1839 | | es. This allows for better use of the space considering the | | |
| 1840 | | gh door way where the access will be for the addition. If the | | |
| 1841 | • | ed farther back to comply with the setbacks requirements, this | | |
| 1842 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 1843 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 1844 | and the rear corner would be 27.6 feet from the side boundary due to the shape of the | | | |
| 1845 | lot. Letters have been received from adjoining neighbors at 8313 and 8317 Whistler | | | |
| 1846 | Court, and neither have any objections. There is another minor issue, prior to the | | | |
| 1847 | | he dwelling, an attached garage addition was approved that | | |
| 1848 | | e setback on the opposite side of the house. WE would like to | | |
| 1849 | | included in this variance. This design would create less impact | | |
| 1850 | J | e planning staff agrees, as stated in the staff report. And for | | |
| 1851 | these reasons, I reques | t that the variance be granted. | | |
| 1852 | NA. 34/2:17 | What is to the consecutible Decree to O | | |
| 1853 | Mr. Wright- | What is to the rear of this Property? | | |
| 1854 | Mr Wilson | There are no homes, it is the main highway, Doute 201 | | |
| 1855 | Mr. Wilson- | There are no homes, it is the main highway, Route 301. | | |
| 1856 1857 | Mr. Kirkland- | Any additional questions of the applicant? Any one else | | |
| 1858 | | se? If not, that concludes the case. | | |
| . 555 | on to opean on the ou | | | |

1859 1860 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** this variance subject to the following conditions: 1861 1862 Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be 1863 1. constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with 1864 the applicable regulations of the County Code. 1865 1866 1867 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this variance will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will 1868 not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 1869 1870 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 1871 Negative: 1872 Absent: 1873 1874 UP- 35-2000 WALTER D. GRAY D/B/A PLANT LAND requests a temporary 1875 conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of Chapter 1876 24 of the County Code to erect a temporary sales tent and 1877 amusement rides at 12496 Gayton Road (Tax Parcel 65-A-7B), 1878 zoned B-3, Business District (Tuckahoe). 1879 1880 Mr. Kirkland -Would the applicant come forward, please. Anyone else 1881 wish to speak on this case? Okay, if you will raise your right hand and be sworn in. 1882 1883 1884 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 1885 1886 Mr. Thorton -Yes sir. 1887 1888 Mr. Kirkland -1889 If you would, sir, state your name. 1890 1891 Mr. Thorton -Chris Thorton. 1892 1893 Mr. Kirkland -Have all your notices been turned in? 1894

0

0

1895

Mr. Thorton-Yes they have.

1896 1897

Mr. Kirkland-Please present your case.

1899 1900

1898

1901

1902

1903

1904

Mr. Thorton-We are applying for a temporary use permit to do sales of pumpkins and fall decoration. We have been at this location for the past 3 years, this year we found out we have 3 to go through this process. We have had no problems in the past. As far as these amusement rides, I did not know we hade to have approval of that through the planning office. I do have some photos showing you how things were setup last year.

| Mr. Kirkland- You had rides last year? Mr. Thorton- Inflatable-type amusements, they are not moving rides. Mr. Kirkland- Inspections? Mr. Thorton- Inspections? Mr. Thorton- Inspections? Mr. Thorton- Insurance. Mr. Kirkland- Insurance. Mr. Kirkland- Insurance. Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Kirkland- Insurance. Insurance. Mr. Kirkland- Insurance. Insurance. Mr. Thorton- Insurance. Insu | | | | | | | |
|--|------|---|---|--------------------|-------------------|---------|------------|
| Mr. Thorton- inflatable-type amusements, they are not moving rides. Mr. Kirkland- inspections? Mr. Thorton- insurance. Mr. Kirkland- insurance. Mr. Kirkland- insurance. Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Kirkland- insurance. Mr. Kirkland- insurance. Mr. Kirkland- insurance. Mr. Kirkland- insurance. Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Kirkland- insurance. Mr. Thorton- insurance. Mr. K | | | ., | | | | |
| Mr. Thorton- They were moon walks, a slide and a ball crawl. They are inflatable-type amusements, they are not moving rides. Mr. Kirkland- Will these amusements be inspected by Building Inspections? Mr. Thorton- Yes, they have inspected them every year, and we provide insurance. Mr. Kirkland- No traffic complaints, Mr. Blankinship? Mr. Blankinship - No sir. Mr. Blankinship - No calls from the Police? Mr. Kirkland- No calls from the Police? Mr. Blankinship - They have not contacted us. Mr. Kirkland- Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 Absent: 0 All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | Mr. Kirkland- | You had rides last year? | | | | |
| inflatable-type amusements, they are not moving rides. Mr. Kirkland- Inspections? Mr. Thorton- Yes, they have inspected them every year, and we provide insurance. Mr. Kirkland- No traffic complaints, Mr. Blankinship? Mr. Blankinship - No sir. Mr. Kirkland- No calls from the Police? Mr. Kirkland- Nor. Kirkland- Mr. Kirkland- No calls from the Police? Mr. Kirkland- Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | | T 1 | | | | |
| Mr. Kirkland- Will these amusements be inspected by Building Inspections? Mr. Thorton- Yes, they have inspected them every year, and we provide insurance. Mr. Kirkland- No traffic complaints, Mr. Blankinship? Mr. Blankinship- No sir. Mr. Kirkland- No calls from the Police? Mr. Blankinship- They have not contacted us. Mr. Kirkland- Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. | | | • | · · | e and a ball cra | awl. I | ney are |
| Mr. Kirkland- Inspections? Mr. Thorton- Insurance. Mr. Blankinship - No sir. Mr. Blankinship - No calls from the Police? Mr. Kirkland - No traffic complaints, Mr. Blankinship? Mr. Blankinship - No sir. Mr. Blankinship - They have not contacted us. Mr. Kirkland - Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 Absent: 0 All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | inflatable-type amusemen | ts, they are not r | noving rides. | | | |
| Inspections? Mr. Thorton- insurance. Mr. Kirkland- Mr. Kirkland- Mr. Blankinship - Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 Absent: 0 All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | NAC IZ I I con | MAPH (L | | | | D 11 11 |
| Mr. Thorton- insurance. Mr. Kirkland- Mr. Blankinship- Mr. Blankinship- Mr. Kirkland- No calls from the Police? Mr. Kirkland- No calls from the Police? Mr. Kirkland- Mr. Blankinship- Mr. Blankinship- Mr. Blankinship- They have not contacted us. Mr. Kirkland- Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 O Absent: 0 O All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | | will these a | amusements t | e inspected | by | Building |
| Mr. Thorton- insurance. Yes, they have inspected them every year, and we provide insurance. Mr. Kirkland- Mr. Kirkland- No traffic complaints, Mr. Blankinship? Mr. Blankinship - No sir. Mr. Blankinship - No calls from the Police? Mr. Blankinship - They have not contacted us. Mr. Kirkland- Speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. Mright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit Will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright, Seconded by Mr. Negative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright, seconded by Mr. Negative: O Absent: O Absent: O Absent: O Absent: All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | inspections? | | | | | |
| insurance. Mr. Kirkland- No traffic complaints, Mr. Blankinship? Mr. Blankinship - No sir. Mr. Kirkland - No calls from the Police? Mr. Blankinship - They have not contacted us. Mr. Kirkland - Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. | | NA: The ates | Van than bana | | | | |
| Mr. Kirkland- No traffic complaints, Mr. Blankinship? Mr. Blankinship - No sir. Mr. Kirkland - No calls from the Police? Mr. Blankinship - They have not contacted us. Mr. Kirkland - Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. | | | res, they have | e inspected then | n every year, a | na we | provide |
| 1917 Mr. Kirkland- 1918 Mr. Blankinship - No sir. 1920 1921 Mr. Kirkland - No calls from the Police? 1922 Mr. Blankinship- 1923 They have not contacted us. 1924 1925 Mr. Kirkland - Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. 1927 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. 1936 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 1939 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 1941 Negative: 0 1942 Absent: 0 1943 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. 1944 All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | insurance. | | | | | |
| Mr. Blankinship - No sir. Mr. Kirkland - No calls from the Police? Mr. Blankinship - They have not contacted us. Mr. Kirkland - Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | NAC IZ I I con | NI. ((C | Latata Mar Dia at | 11.1.0 | | |
| Mr. Blankinship - No sir. Mr. Kirkland - No calls from the Police? Mr. Blankinship - They have not contacted us. Mr. Kirkland - Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | Mr. Kirkland- | No traffic comp | ilaints, Mr. Blank | inship? | | |
| Mr. Kirkland - No calls from the Police? Mr. Blankinship- They have not contacted us. Mr. Kirkland - Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Afsent: 0 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | M DI I: I: | . . | | | | |
| Mr. Kirkland - No calls from the Police? Mr. Blankinship- They have not contacted us. Mr. Kirkland- Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. | | Mr. Blankinship - | No sir. | | | | |
| Mr. Blankinship- They have not contacted us. Mr. Kirkland- Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | Marie III and | H. C O . D.P. | 0 | | | |
| Mr. Blankinship- They have not contacted us. Mr. Kirkland- speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | Mr. Kirkland - No ca | ils from the Police | ce? | | | |
| Mr. Kirkland- Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. | | M DI I: I: | T | | | | |
| Mr. Kirkland- Any further questions of Mr. Thorton? Anyone else wish to speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | Mr. Blankinship- | They have not | contacted us. | | | |
| speak on this case? If not, that concludes the case. After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | NAC IZ I I con | A | C | I (O . A | | 2.1 (|
| After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | | • | | norton? Anyon | e eise | wish to |
| After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000, subject to the following conditions: 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | speak on this case? If not | , that concludes | the case. | | | |
| Wright, the Board granted this temporary use permit UP-35-2000 , subject to the following conditions: 1931 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | | | | | | |
| following conditions: 1931 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | | | | | | |
| 1931 1932 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. 1935 1936 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. 1939 1940 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 1941 Negative: 0 1942 Absent: 0 1943 1944 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. 1947 All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | | ed this tempora | ary use permit | UP-35-2000, | subject | to the |
| 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | following conditions: | | | | | |
| constructed pursuant to this approval. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | 4 Only the Survey | | the mine Class | | 4! | |
| the applicable regulations of the County Code. The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | • | | • | | | • |
| The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright Negative: Absent: O There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | • | | • | provements sna | ali com | piy with |
| The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented, that authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | the applicable regulations of the County Code. | | | | | |
| authorizing this temporary use permit will not be of substantial detriment to adjacent property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 1942 Absent: 0 1943 1944 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | The Deerd greated this | request es it | found from th | aa ayidanaa n | | امطا امد |
| property and will not materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations. Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 Negative: 0 Absent: 0 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | | • | | • | | |
| 1939 1940 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 5 1941 Negative: 0 1942 Absent: 0 1943 1944 There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. 1946 All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | | • | | | | aujaceni |
| Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright Negative: Absent: There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | property and will not mate | nally impair the p | ourpose of the Z | oning regulation | ıs. | |
| Negative: Absent: There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | Affirmative: Dalfa | ur Kirkland Mak | Cinnov Nunnally | \//riabt | E | |
| Absent: There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | | ii, Kiikiand, ivich | driney, Numany | , wright | 5 | 0 |
| There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | • | | | | | |
| There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | Absent. | | | | | U |
| Mr. Nunnally, the Board adjourned until October 26, 2000, at 9:00 am. All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | | | mostion by Mr M | Urialet econolo | يرما ام | |
| 1946 1947 All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | • | | _ | • | а бу | |
| All of the aforementioned decisions have been filed in the office of the Board of Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | | Mr. Nunnally, the Board ac | ajournea unui Od | 2000er 26, 2000, | at 9:00 am. | | |
| Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | 1946 | | | | | | |
| Zoning Appeals as of October 2, 2000. | 1947 | All of the aforementioned | decisions have | heen filed in the | e office of the F | Roard o | of |
| | | | | Doon mod in the | Joined of the L | Jouru C | , 1 |
| | 1949 | Lotting Appeals do of Ook | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | |

| 1950 | |
|------|----------------------------|
| 1951 | |
| 1952 | Richard Kirkland, |
| 1953 | Chairman |
| 1954 | |
| 1955 | |
| 1956 | Benjamin Blankinship, AICP |
| 1957 | Secretary |