MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ZONING
APPEALS OF HENRICO COUNTY, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION
BUILDING IN THE GOVERNMENT CENTER AT PARHAM AND HUNGARY
SPRING ROADS, ON THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 27, 2012 AT 9:00 A.M.,
NOTICE HAVING BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE RICHMOND TIMES-DISPATCH
SEPTEMBER 10, 2012 AND SEPTEMBER 17, 2012.

7 Members Present:

R. A. Wright, Chairman

James W. Nunnally, Vice Chairman

Greg Baka Gentry Bell

Member Absent:

Helen E. Harris

Also Present:

David D. O'Kelly, Jr., Assistant Director of Planning

Benjamin Blankinship, Secretary Paul Gidley, County Planner

R. Miguel Madrigal, County Planner

8 9

Mr. Wright - Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, welcome to the September meeting of the Henrico County Board of Zoning Appeals. Please stand and join with me in pledging allegiance to the flag of our country.

11 12

10

Mr. Blankinship, please read our rules.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Mr. Blankinship - Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, ladies and gentlemen. The rules for this meeting are as follows. Acting as secretary I'll call each case. And as I'm speaking the applicant should come down to the podium. We'll then ask everyone who intends to speak to that case to stand and be sworn in. Then the applicant will present their testimony. Then anyone else who wishes to speak will be given the opportunity. After everyone has had a chance to speak, the applicant, and only the applicant, will have an opportunity for rebuttal. The Board will then take the matter under advisement and go on to the next public hearing. They will render all of their decisions at the end of the meeting. So if you wish to know their decision on a specific case, you can either stay until the end of the meeting, or you can check the Planning Department website—we usually get it updated a half an hour or an hour after the meeting ends—or you can call the Planning Department this afternoon.

272829

This meeting is being recorded so we'll ask everyone who speaks to speak directly into the microphone on the podium, state your name, and please spell your last name so that we get it correctly in the record.

313233

34

30

And finally, out in the foyer there is a binder that contains the staff report for each case, including the conditions that have been recommended by the staff.

35			
36	Ms. Helen Harris, member	of the Board, is not able to be with us this morning for	
37	medical reasons. There is a provision in state law that in order for the Board to		
38		cularly to rule in favor of an appellant on an appeal,	
39	100 *	three affirmative votes. We'll offer now at the beginning	
40		y who wishes to be heard by the full Board rather than	
		should go ahead and request deferral at this time.	
41	a loui-member board you	should go ahead and request deterral at this time.	
42	Mar Marianta	Dans and body wish to request defend at this time?	
43	Mr. Wright -	Does anybody wish to request deferral at this time?	
44	All right, sir, please state y	our name.	
45			
46	Mr. Shumaker -	My name is William Shewmake. I'm an attorney for	
47		rney for Park 'N Go on appeal. In light of the state law,	
48	of which I'm familiar, I wou	lld respectfully request a thirty-day deferral.	
49			
50	Mr. Wright -	All right, that would be—	
51			
52	Mr. Blankinship -	APL2012-00003, Park 'N Go of Virginia.	
53			
54	APL2012-00003	PARK 'N GO OF VIRGINIA LLC appeals a decision	
55	of the director of planning	g pursuant to Section 24-116(a) of the County Code	
56	regarding the property at 5	5701 Audubon Drive (Parcel 821-716-8025) zoned A-1,	
57	Agricultural District, B-3,	Business District and M-1, Light Industrial District	
58	(Varina).	. •	
59	,		
60	Mr. Wright -	Does anyone other than this applicant have an	
61	interest in this case? All rig		
62		,	
63	Mr. Hart -	Yes sir. I'm Jason Hart. I'm the assistant county	
64		Department of Planning in this case. I wasn't aware	
65		be a deferral so you will have to speak to Ben as to	
66	Department of Planning's		
67	Department of Flamming o	position on the.	
68	Mr. Wright -	I think we are pretty well committed to grant the	
69	9	I just wanted to recognize others so you would	
70		s case, which we probably will, it will be the first case	
71		of the Board in October. Do we have a motion on this	
72	case?	of the board in October. Do we have a motion on this	
	case!		
73	Mr. Nunnally	Las mays we defer it for thirty days	
74	Mr. Nunnally -	I so move we defer it for thirty days.	
75	Mr. Doll	I'll accord that motion	
76	Mr. Bell -	I'll second that motion.	
77	Mr Wright	All right Motion is made and assended Arm	
78	Mr. Wright -	All right. Motion is made and seconded. Any	
79		e, all in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes	
80	have it; the motion passes		

E.	81	A.C. L. P. L. L.P.	to an extension of the control of th	
	82		hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seco	
	83		, Park 'N Go of Virginia LLC, has been defer	rea unui
	84	the October 25, 2012 mee	eung.	
	85			
	86	Affirmative:	Paka Pall Nunnally Wright	4
	87		Baka, Bell, Nunnally, Wright	4 0
	88 89	Negative: Absent:	Harris	1
	90	Absent.	Hallis	I.
	91			
	92	Mr. Wright -	Go ahead, Mr. Condlin.	
	93	Wii. Wiigiit -	Go aricad, Wir. Goridini.	
	94	Mr. Condlin -	Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, my	name is
	95		i-n—from Williams Mullen here on behalf of the	
	96	•	4. I, as well as Mr. Shewmake, for the same	
	97		all board on our appeal to be able to have	
	98		se, which obviously is a little bit complicated ar	
	99	lot of history. So we'd like	to be able to have that opportunity with the full	Board.
	100			
	101	APL2012-00004	LOLITA EPPS appeals a decision of the di	
	102		ection 24-116(a) of the County Code regard	
	103		I Avenue (HUNGARY BROOK) (Parcel 783-75	57-5816)
	104	zoned B-3, Business Distr	ict (Fairfield).	
	105			
	106	Mr. Wright -	Is there anyone else here that has any intere	st in this
	107	case? Hearing none do I h	near a motion?	
	108	Mr. Nunnally	Lalaa maya wa dafar it far thirty daya Mr. Co	ومنالم
	109	Mr. Nunnally -	I also move we defer it for—thirty days, Mr. Co	onalin?
	110 111	Mr. Condlin -	Yes.	
	112	Wit. Condiin -	165.	
	113	Mr. Nunnally -	Thirty days.	
	114	Will I varifically	rimty days.	
	115	Mr. Condlin -	Yes sir.	
	116			
	117	Mr. Baka -	Second.	
	118			
	119	Mr. Wright -	All right. Any discussion? Hearing none, all	in favor
	120	say aye. All opposed say	no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.	
	121			
	122		c hearing, and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, se	
	123		0004, Lolita Epps, has been deferred until the	October
	124	25, 2012 meeting.		
	125			

127	Affirmative:	Baka, Bell, Nunnally, Wright	4
128	Negative:		0
129	Absent:	Harris	1
130			

Mr. Wright - Mr. Blankinship, please call the first case.

CUP2012-00005 WESTHAMPTON MEMORIAL PARK requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-52(h) of the County Code to expand an existing cemetery at 10000 Patterson Avenue (Parcel 744-742-5871) zoned R-1, One-Family Residence District and A-1, Agricultural District (Tuckahoe).

Mr. Wright - Will the applicant please come forward? Anyone else who has an interest in this and desires to speak needs to stand and be sworn.

Mr. Blankinship - Raise your right hands, please. Do you swear the testimony you're about to give is the truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

Mr. Wright - All right, sir, please present your case.

Mr. Wilson - Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. My name is Jack Wilson, and I am an attorney representing Westhampton Memorial Park in connection with this conditional use permit application. This case has been on your docket for several months, and we have repeatedly come before you and asked for deferrals. The reason for that is because we were continuing to try to work through some issues with the adjacent neighbors at Westhampton Glen. I'm pleased to report that those discussions have borne fruit. I think we have reached an understanding with the neighborhood as to how we would like to move forward with this conditional use permit application.

Let me give you a very brief background on sort of our legal portion on this case, where we were at the beginning and how we came to the conclusion and the understanding we have with the neighborhood.

We believe that this cemetery predated—I think the staff report recognizes—the ordinance that would govern cemetery uses in Henrico County. From that standpoint we believe that we were vested and theoretically could have burial sites right up to the edge of our property. Alternatively, even if we were to look at the existing statute and say that we came within the confines of that and were bound by those terms, we would be considered an existing cemetery and under the language of the statute could have graves as close as thirty-two feet from the property line because we have an existing grave thirty-two feet from a property line.

Notwithstanding those legal issues, which we would preserve for an appeal, we decided that we would work with the neighbors to see if we could reach some compromise with them that could satisfy their concerns, and at the same time allow for the reasonable and logical expansion of the cemetery. And so based on that we've had a series of discussions and were able to develop a plat that would actually establish what the various setbacks would be within the cemetery against the adjacent property owners. Mr. Blankinship, I have several copies of this, as well as larger version of it.

Again, I don't need to get into a whole lot of detail about this plat, other than to say what we have done is we have recognized various setbacks from various parcels. Used setbacks both from the dwellings and from the property lines to reach what would be the ultimate setbacks and the possible expansion of the cemetery. So essentially everything on that plat that is in green would be available for future burial sites within the cemetery. Everything that is in white between the green and the property lines would stay as the setback. There would be no burial sites within that. Beyond that we have also agreed with the neighbors that we would maintain the existing vegetation within that setback area, and we would also then enhance that landscaping by planting evergreen plants within that to, again, provide further screening from the neighborhood. We have also suggested that this plat itself be made a part of the conditional use permit and make this one of the conditions, that the setbacks would be as established by this plat. Again, another condition would be that the landscaping, as I just mentioned, would be required. Ben, I should have given these to you when you came down the first time. I have several copies of proposed conditions as well.

And what Mr. Blankinship is handing you now, again, would be proposed conditions. Condition #1, would be, as I mentioned, would make this plat—it has a blank date in it now because I think the ink is still wet on the plat itself. But it's September 14, 2012, would be the date that would go in that blank. Condition 2 would be the one that I just discussed about the landscaping, again requiring that the existing vegetation remain, that enhanced landscaping be planted, that the cemetery would be obligated to replant any of the evergreens that died they were planted, etcetera. We've also talked in here about the height of the evergreens at the time they were planted, how far apart they would be, and so forth. And these have all been discussed with the homeowners. And again, the purpose of that is while there is already some significant vegetation out there, we wanted to put some additional evergreen vegetation in there to provide a year-round screen, which is something the neighborhood was interested in.

And finally, we've also in here proposed Condition #3, which would be that the requirements that we have agreed to with the neighborhood would be recorded as a restrictive covenant for the benefit of the homeowners association and the thirty-seven lot owners within that subdivision so that there would be no possibility of coming back at any time in the future to amend these conditions.

These are conditions that we're willing to live with in perpetuity, and so we're willing to put the conditions themselves in a restrictive covenant so that the neighborhood knows for certain that these conditions will be there for as long as there are homes and the neighborhood there.

We believe that this is an equitable resolution to this. Again, I think I mentioned this is a unique circumstance. This cemetery has been around for quite a while, predating the ordinance, has a number of legal issues involved as to whether or not we even have to go through a conditional use permit process or whatever. But we think that working through with the neighborhood and reaching a consensus in agreement with them is the best solution. And what we're asking, and I think the neighborhood will stand up and ask for the same thing, is that you sort of honor the agreement from the five or six months of negotiations that have gone back and forth and approve our conditional use permit pursuant to the neighborhood's wishes and our wishes.

Mr. Wright - Have you read the suggested conditions that the staff has proposed for this case?

Mr. Wilson - I have. Condition #1, that it's only for the expansion of new grave sites has nothing to do with the expansion of the mausoleum. Sir, we have no problem with that also being a condition. I think our conditions would replace Condition #2 of the staff's suggested conditions. And we have no problem with Condition #3 requiring the erosion and sediment control plan.

So essentially what we would be proposing, then, is to accept staff's Condition #1, replace staff's Condition #2 with our Conditions 1, 2, and 3, and then keep the staff's Condition #3 as written.

Mr. Wright - Mr. Blankinship, has the staff had an opportunity to review this?

Mr. Blankinship - Only very briefly. As you see in our report, I take a different view of what the County code requires than Mr. Wilson does. We knew that months ago. It's a fair debate, and I think both sides have been presented to you fairly. If you have any other questions for either of us, I'm sure we both would be happy to answer. And ultimately if the staff disagreed with his reading of the code, then the question would be appealed back to you anyway. So ultimately it's going to rest with the Board to interpret that setback provision of 24-52(h).

Mr. Wright - Well, I understand the law. But to get into that I would need to—if the staff disagrees with the conditions here—that's what your statement is.

264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271	locate a gravesite closer approved gravesite within Westhampton Glen subd prior to this approval, I rea each of those new dwelli	My reading of the County code is that they cannot than 250 feet to a dwelling unless there is already an 250 feet of that dwelling. And because the dwellings in ivision have been built over the past year or two and ad that condition as imposing the 250-foot setback from ngs. Mr. Wilson disagrees, and he's explained how he conforming aspect of the question comes into play.
272 273 274 275	Mr. Wright - information, then, to distinant a presentation of the	I don't know whether the Board has enough aguish between the two unless we hear a full discussion basis for your position.
273 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283	authority. It's a conditional conditions you want. You Blankinship would take a	I can do that briefly. Again, what we had hoped to do that the Board of Zoning Appeals would have the all use permit, and you can impose or adopt whatever really don't have to, I don't believe—and maybe Ben different opinion. But I believe you have the authority Appeals to impose whatever conditions you deem ional use permit.
284	Mr. Wright -	As long as it's in accordance with the law.
285 286 287	Mr. Wilson -	Correct.
288 289	Mr. Wright -	The code. And that's the rub, I believe.
290 291 292		Our contentions, if you look at the ordinance itself, it existing cemeteries—and there's no question, I don't we are an existing cemetery—
293 294	Mr. Wright -	Existing when?
295 296	Mr. Blankinship -	January 1, 1960.
297 298 299	Mr. Wright -	Okay. At that time it was existing.
300	Mr. Wilson -	It was existing.
301 302	Mr. Wright -	But then you sold off land.
303 304	Mr. Wilson -	Sold off a portion of the property.
305 306	Mr. Wright -	And that's the problem.
307 308 309	Mr. Wilson - subdivision.	Well that's what became the Westhampton Glen

210			
310	Mr. Wright	I know that Dut if they had not hald off the present.	
311	Mr. Wright -	I know that. But if they had not sold off the property	
312	you wouldn't be here today.		
313			
314	Mr. Wilson -	Perhaps not, but eventually.	
315			
316	Mr. Wright -	No you wouldn't because you had plenty of land to do	
317	what you wanted to do.		
318			
319	Mr. Wilson -	There was more land at that point. But nonetheless,	
320	whether we sold it off or n	ot, we're still an existing cemetery. The cemetery was	
321		1960 and is an existing cemetery today.	
322	an emeaning connecting are en	Toda and to an existing completely loady.	
323	Mr. Wright -	As far as I'm concerned you have to satisfy this Board	
324	•	ne law. You sell off property and benefited from it, now	
	, ,	want to change the rules. That's what I need to hear	
325	•	•	
326	something about. At least	assume the Board wants to hear something on it.	
327	NA NACTOR S	The Conflict control of the Conflict control of the Conflict o	
328	Mr. Wilson -	Under the code itself there are provisions for what	
329	happens if you're an existing	ng cemetery.	
330			
331	Mr. Wright -	Existing in 1960.	
332			
333	Mr. Wilson -	Which we were. And we were existing then, and we're	
334	existing now at the time th	is application was submitted. The ordinance itself says	
335	that new gravesites withi	n an existing cemetery are subject to the setback	
336	requirements that would are	oply to new cemeteries.	
337			
338	Mr. Wright -	In 1960.	
339	0		
340	Mr. Wilson -	Correct. Fifty feet from the property line or 250 feet	
341		, except when there is an existing grave prior to the	
342	homes being built and do f		
343	Tromes being bank and do i		
344	Mr. Wright -	I understand that.	
	Wil. Wright -	Tunderstand that.	
345	Mr. Wilson -	Prior to any of the homes being built in Westhampton	
346		Prior to any of the homes being built in Westhampton	
347	Gien subdivision there was	s a grave thirty-two feet from the property line.	
348			
349	Mr. Wright -	Where is that? Which grave is that?	
350			
351	Mr. Wilson -	It's up in the upper right-hand corner. You can see—	
352			
353	Mr. Wright -	I don't see it.	
354			
355	Mr. Blankinship -	I don't think you point on that.	

358359

Mr. Wilson - It's right there. Those are existing graves where the pen is. One lot to the north of where the pen is it's thirty-two feet. And then if you'll look to the distance to the left, I think it's 42 feet...41.4 feet. Those graves were existing at the time or prior to any of those dwellings being built.

360361362

Mr. Baka - So is it your contention you could build a grave up to thirty-two feet from the property line today on any other portion of the cemetery?

363364365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372373

374

375376

377378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386 387 Mr. Wilson -Because that the property—our property line runs from—our boundary, our property line is that entire property line. Again, staff takes a different view. What we were hoping to do is not have to reach a determination on the proper legal interpretation of the ordinance, but rather which we've been doing over the last several months—reach an agreement with the homeowners that would satisfy them. Because again, this ordinance is designed to protect the adjacent homeowners. And I think their best interest was that we not fight and litigate whether we could actually be thirty-feet from the property line or because we predated the ordinance itself whether we aren't even governed by this ordinance, therefore do not even need a conditional use permit, and could go right to the property line. Those are the legal issues that we were hoping to avoid by working with the neighbors and coming to an agreement that they would be comfortable with. Again, honoring the intent of the ordinance, which is to provide separation and screening from cemeteries from neighborhoods. And that's what we've done over the last several months. That's why we've come in and repeatedly asked for deferrals so that we could continue to have that dialogue with the neighborhood. And like I say, we've now reached the point where the neighbors and the homeowners association are comfortable with what we've presented to you. And I believe they would affirm that here in a few minutes. That's what we're asking then, that the Board of Zoning Appeals recognize, that in order to avoid what could be protracted and perhaps detrimental litigation, that we approve a conditional use permit that the applicant and the affected property owners are comfortable with.

388 389

Mr. Bell - On your plat you're showing nine residents. Are all of them in agreement?

391

390

Mr. Wilson - I believe so. There is nobody here speaking in opposition that I'm aware of.

394395

Mr. Bell - How many of these particular nine are here?

396 397

398

399

400

401

Mr. Wilson - One of them that's adjacent and then we have the homeowners association. The attorneys have been working with the homeowners association. But we've had various meetings with all of them. I think at one point or another all of them have been in attendance. And the homeowners association has reached out and been in dialogue with them.

402		
403 404	Mr. Wright - the situation.	I think we can take your word on that if you say that's
404	the situation.	
406	Mr. Wilson -	They may each have differing views as to what may
407		interest. But I believe they all recognize that what is
408		in the best interest of the neighborhood as a whole. I
409	0 ,	the association's mouth. I think that's a fair statement.
410		TI:
411	Mr. Wright -	This grave is thirty-two feet from the property line?
412	How far is it from the house	se?
413	Mar Miles	Maid be adding another
414	Mr. Wilson -	We'd be adding another—
415	Mr. Doko	About 1222
416	Mr. Baka -	About 132?
417 418	Mr. Wilson -	Another 90 feet, so about 120 feet perhaps.
419	WII. WIISOII -	Another 30 leet, 30 about 120 leet perhaps.
420	Mr. Wright -	Your position is that doesn't make any difference
421	because it's thirty-two fee	·
422	booduse it e timity two lee	•
423	Mr. Wilson -	From the property line. Exactly. Under the language
424		far you are from the dwelling, it's from the property line.
425		
426	Mr. Blankinship -	In the second sentence it's not explicit.
427		
428	Mr. Wilson -	That's right. It only talks about property line, not
429		, we believe, and we've believed from the outset, that a
430	0 1	nd valid that we could be thirty-two feet with graves all
431		But we didn't want to have to go through that and put
432		that, put the County through that, if we could reach an
433	-	hborhood, which we've done. And that's why we're
434		g you to essentially honor the agreement that the
435		th the neighborhood so that we don't have to test what
436		ins and ultimately have some judge who really hasn't
437	•	ocess tell us what that thirty-two foot means. We're
438		promise that we've reached with the neighbors. And I e. And we're just asking that the BZA then honor that
439 440	_	recognize that there is a disagreement in the
441		ance, and based on that and the unique circumstances
442		nor the agreement that we've reached with the
443	neighborhood.	is the agreement that we've reached with the
444		
445	Mr. Wright -	Staff's position is if this grave were over here you
446	wouldn't have any probler	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Mr. Blankinship - If there was a grave within 32 feet or 120 feet, whatever, of each of those dwellings, then I would agree with Mr. Wilson's position. The other thing I'll say—well, no, except that those dwellings were built—well, the graves aren't there so that—

Mr. Wilson - But even then—

Mr. Blankinship - The only thing I would add is that this is a unique situation in the County. This is certainly not the only cemetery in the County, but the only one with this set of facts. So there is not the danger that you'd make a decision this morning that would then put us in a bind somewhere else.

Mr. Wilson - Again, I'd also point out that we do have the mausoleum. That would, I think, have to be considered an existing grave. I mean there are remains in the mausoleum. That's at a certain distance from the property line as well, which would bring in a couple of other properties that would just muddle this whole thing if we actually had to go through, and appeal it back to you, and then perhaps ultimately the Circuit Court. Nobody wants to do that. We don't want to do that; the neighbors don't want to do that. And I don't think it would be in the best interest of the County to want to do that. And so we can achieve everybody's wishes if the BZA were to say let's honor the agreement that's been reached and not have to worry about those legal nicities—

Mr. Wright - What about the one that was supposed to be a temporary mausoleum? You were granted a permit I guess it was in 1998. It was supposed to be removed. It never has been removed.

Mr. Wilson - That is something we're going to have to look at. I noticed that in the staff report this time. That was handled—we did not own the cemetery when that was done, and so we're going to have to look in to see exactly how that happened and what can be done. But I don't know that that needs to be addressed in this conditional use permit.

Mr. Wright - It doesn't, but it just concerns me that we have a violation when we're coming in to ask for something else.

Mr. Wilson - I understand. And that's something that we're going to have to explore again. That was not done by this owner. That conditional use permit was obtained by the prior owner. I don't think that was in an earlier staff report. So we have to figure out what the solution to that one is separately.

Mr. Baka - Since that's an outstanding zoning violation is it appropriate to consider whether that could be a condition of this case if it were to be approved?

Mr. Wilson - I don't from a procedural and legal standpoint. I know it's raised in the staff report, but I don't think we've been actually cited yet with a zoning violation.

Mr. Baka - No need to cite you; it's just literally a condition of the case to say yes we're willing to remove this. It's a negotiation; it's not a citation.

Mr. Wilson - Okay. I don't know all the ramifications of what we have to do to do that yet, to be able to say yes, let's do it. Obviously there are remains in that facility that would have to be relocated. And as I stand here this morning I don't know what the process is for doing that. And so I don't want to put a condition of this that says we're going to do something that I don't know we can do within whatever time frame we have to do it. We may have to go through Circuit Court processes and everything else to notify heirs, and next of kin, and who knows what to accomplish what that condition requires. I can tell you we're going to explore that. We don't want to be in violation of that old conditional use permit, so we're going to explore what we need to do, and obviously work with staff to do that. I just don't know what the remedy is yet.

Mr. Wright - Did you notice the other statement in the report about the unauthorized service yard that's been used by the cemetery for a number of years?

Mr. Wilson - Yes. And that, I believe, has been resolved. There has already been a site plan approved to resolve all of that maintenance area issue, in fact, I think all we're doing is waiting for the erosion and sediment control bond to be posted so we can begin the work that the County has approved for us to remedy that problem. That one is in the process of being taken care of through a separate site plan process.

Mr. Wright - It's your position, to clarify, for us to consider that due to the fact that this grave was there in 1960 thirty-two feet from the property line that that would enable you to use that for the exception for the entire property you have there. All the way around to Patterson Avenue.

528 Mr. Wilson - The other property on Patterson Avenue is right up to 529 the property line. There is no setback there.

Mr. Blankinship - Across the property line.

Mr. Wilson - Across the property line, perhaps. And then we also have the burial sites in the mausoleum that are only ninety-two feet from the property line. So all of those would be legal issues that ultimately would have to be decided. But I don't think you need to decide those today in order to approve the conditional use permit that we're asking for, because again, we're not asking to go thirty-two feet from the property line; we're asking to go no closer to any

residential property line of sixty feet. And in the most part we're ninety to a hundred feet from the property lines. So that's the compromise we've reached with the neighbors rather than forcing the issue. And as I suspect you know, that property is valuable. The burial property that we're giving up is substantial. It could very well be in the hundreds of thousand of dollars of value in that white area of that setback. And so from an economic standpoint it would probably make sense to litigate that issue. But we didn't want to go that route if we could reach an agreement with the neighborhood, and provide certainty for them and provide certainty for us. And so because of that we were willing to give up those legal issues in order to reach the compromise with the neighborhood. And that's what we've done.

Mr. Wright - You haven't determined how many graves would violate the ordinance in accordance with Mr. Blankinship's understanding or his position, have you? If we were to approve this plan that you have, how many graves would be in there that would violate the law if we applied it the way the staff has?

Mr. Wilson - I don't know exactly because, again, we'd have to measure 250 feet from each of the dwellings, I guess. And those would be arcs and those would obviously cut into that green area.

Mr. Wright - But there would be some.

Mr. Wilson - And again, that's why we wanted—if we want to go with the staff's interpretation of the ordinance, we would be litigating the issue.

Mr. Blankinship - It's well over half of what they're asking for. It's probably about three-fourths of what they're asking for.

Mr. Wilson - Again, that's why we thought it best to work with the neighborhood to see if we could reach an understanding and come back before you. Otherwise, if we went with the staff's interpretation we would not be asking for a conditional use permit; we would be litigating the issue.

574 Mr. Wright - I understand now.

Mr. Wilson - And that, again, is why we sort of recognized a compromise was the best solution.

579 Mr. Wright - Any other questions?

Mr. Baka - Yes sir. It says Section 24-52(h), and towards the end of that phrase it says, "Other graves may be as close as the closest existing grave." So if the applicant contends that the grave thirty-two feet away from the

584	property line gives them the prerogative to put any gave in and around the entire		
585	perimeter—		
586			
587	Mr. Wright -	For the entire cemetery.	
588	-	·	
589	Mr. Baka -	This sentence ends with, "as close as the closest	
590	existing grave." It doesn't	specify whether it's close to the home. So since the	
591	0 0	at is the closest existing grave from, the question for	
592		's concern or objection to the Board interpreting that to	
593		way that it could be thirty-two feet from any property	
594	line?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
595	330.5		
596	Mr. Blankinship -	I wouldn't say that staff has an objection to the Board	
597	· ·	n. It's not in my view the most natural reading of the	
598	· .	ertainly concede that it's fairly debatable.	
599	interned the dead. But i de	manny contocut that he rainy departure.	
600	Mr. Baka -	But since it's silent on what it's closest from we're	
601		most interpreting it too much because it doesn't—	
602	amost roading into aria an	most merprotang it too maan boosaaco it accomt	
603	Mr. Blankinship -	You have to read something into it.	
604		Tour name to rodu comotiming into it.	
605	Mr. Baka -	It doesn't specify.	
606	= 5.1.6.		
607	Mr. Wright -	It's just a matter of opinion.	
608	3	,	
609	Mr. Blankinship -	It's a matter of interpretation.	
610		•	
611	Mr. Wright -	All right.	
612			
613	Mr. Blankinship -	You have to read something there. I read one thing;	
614	Mr. Wilson reads some	thing else. And fortunately you're not replacement	
615	referees.		
616			
617	Mr. Wilson -	Our view is you don't even need to referee that issue.	
618	You could recognize that	this is a conditional use permit, and there may be some	
619		e, and you decided that this is in the best interest of the	
620		nt property owners. Sort of as a housekeeping matter,	
621		Ives that I gave you, from further discussions with the	
622		ndition #3 that addresses the restrictive covenant, we	
623		age that says prior to recordation of that covenant, the	
624.		would have the right to review and approve that	
625		pproval could not be unreasonably withheld.	
626.	-	· -	
627	Mr. Blankinship -	That should also be reviewed for—would you accept	
628	it also being reviewed for		
620		£	

630 631 632 633	Mr. Wilson - crafted somewhat at the even as we get here this r	Yes, that would be fine too. Obviously this is being last minute, so we're still working with the neighbors morning.
634 635 636 637		We do appreciate the effort the cemetery has made ors. If we could get everybody to do this, our burden ad it's been going on for about what, four months.
638 639 640 641 642 643 644	think Mr. Burnett will spea work. And then Lynn Ma been a good dialogue an had to deal with one clie	Working with the neighbors, obviously there are rent opinions, and it's taken some back and forth. And I ak in a minute, and I just want to thank Alex for his hard irshall, also with the association, has been active. It's d it's been a lot of back and forth. But of course I only nt; they had to deal with thirty-seven different property, so it's taken a little bit longer than it otherwise might appreciate their efforts.
646 647 648 649	Mr. Wright - your application?	Do you have someone else to speak on behalf of
650 651 652 653	Mr. Wilson - speaking on behalf of ou you.	Hopefully the homeowners association will be ur application. But that's it for our presentation. Thank
654	Mr. Wright -	All right.
655 656 657 658		Good morning, Mr. Chairperson, and members of the lexander Burnett. I am a resident of the Westhampton 'm also an attorney at Williams Mullen.
659	Mr. Blankinship -	Would you please spell your last name?
661 662 663	Mr. Burnett -	Yes. It's B-u-r-n-e-t-t.
664 665	Mr. Blankinship -	Thank you.
666 667 668 669 670 671	Greg Augustine, who is t Marshall, who is one of t	I'm here today on behalf of the board of directors of domeowners Association. Here today with me we have he president of the HOA Board of Directors, and Lynn he adjacent landowners. It's the property that is in the lat. I believe those are the only folks that we have here bood.
673 674		that there is no misunderstanding with the Board. I am uthorized to speak on behalf of the board. I am not here

675

on behalf of all thirty-seven residents. We tried to include all of them in these

discussions. I've been sending updates throughout the neighborhood as we progressed with all of this. I've heard back from some; I have not heard back from all. And as you might imagine, some of this is a little bit fluid because several of the adjacent properties are at various stages of construction and sale. And so several of these lots are still owned by builders and not by homeowners. Because of that fluidity I can't tell you—well because I haven't heard from everybody and because of that fluidity I can't tell you that I'm here on behalf of all thirty-seven lot owners, but rather I'm authorized to make the comments that I'm going to make today. I've been authorized by the board of directors for the homeowners association.

687 Mr. Wright - Of course those lots owners had notice of this hearing.

690 Mr. Blankinship - And they would all have a right of appeal if they disagree with whatever decision the Board makes.

693 Mr. Wright - Right.

Mr. Burnett - Right. I can tell you that the board of directors is happy with the proposal, this plat that's been presented to you today. We've had a great deal of back-and-forth and negotiations with the cemetery. We believe that this is in the best interest of the neighborhood. And the board is of that opinion. Because of the certain—because of the conditions and because of the covenants, the board feels that having these covenants recorded in the land records, and having some obligations by the cemetery to landscape the setback area and create essentially what would be a visual screen between the cemetery and the neighborhood, we feel that will protect the neighborhood going forward in the future. And therefore we're on board with the setbacks that have been—the board is on board with the setbacks that have been proposed today.

We are still working on the fine details of these conditions. The conditions that were presented to you this morning, a draft was circulated for the first time last night. I've reviewed it. The board of directors has not reviewed these conditions. And I don't believe the homeowners have had an opportunity to review the language in these conditions. While we can convey the message that this plat has been approved by the board, the language in the conditions has not been approved. It's our position that we'd like another thirty days to be able to try to work out the fine details of both the language in the conditions and the language that would be recorded in the restrictive covenants. I'd like to have an opportunity for the board of directors to be able to approve the language in both the conditions and the language in the covenants before getting final approval from the Board of Zoning Appeals for the language in these conditions. But again, I can tell you that the board of directors for the homeowners association has approved the setbacks in that plat.

For example, one thing I did want to point out, in paragraph two it says that landscaping will be either within—this is starting on the third line—either the twenty-foot-wide landscape drainage and underground utility easement as recorded on the plat as it may exist and within the designated variable with setback area. I suspect that a number of the adjacent lot owners would not want to have this landscaping in that first part, in that twenty-foot-wide landscape drainage and utility easement, but are expecting and anticipating that this landscaping would be placed within that white setback area on the cemetery's side of the property. I would imagine that a number of those adjacent landowners would—while I'm not sure where everybody stands, I would imagine that a number of them would not want those trees on their property line or on their side of the property line.

So there are some small details like that I think we need to just kind of finalize with the board and with some of these landowners before I can tell you that we are in agreement with the language in these conditions.

That's all of my comments. I'm happy to take questions, or if you all want to hear from the other two homeowners that are here too. But do you all have any questions for me or for the board?

Mr. Wright - What you're asking is another thirty-day deferral to work out the conditions.

Mr. Burnett - Correct.

748 Mr. Wright - Which we have just seen also.

750 Mr. Baka - Yes.

Mr. Burnett - Right, right. I just got this last night. I provided some of my own personal feedback to Mr. Wilson, but the board has not had an opportunity to do that. And I don't know what the adjacent landowners would say on the fine details of what's in here.

Mr. Baka - May I ask would a thirty-day deferral also afford you the opportunity to get these three conditions—well I'll say five conditions because the applicant was going to merge these three with two of staff's conditions—these five conditions to all nine affected adjacent homeowners and the entire homeowners association? Would that be able to be circulated to them in the next month?

764 Mr. Burnett - You're asking for approval from all thirty-seven—

766 767 768 769		I'm just asking to simply notify them. And maybe aff could do, is just simply share that information with nformation at their disposal.
770 771	Mr. Blankinship -	We can certainly do that.
771 772 773 774 775 776 777 778 779	what we would do. We wan interim draft now, but meeting. And then hope	And I would certainly plan to notify the homeowners say, circulate a draft. That's a great point. That's exactly ould circulate kind of our final draft. Well, I will circulate then we'd circulate a final draft before the next board fully be able to come back and report to you that the it, and we've heard back from at least some of the
780 781 782 783		Okay. I appreciate the applicant's made great strides wners here, and they appear to be very close to an ome language needs to be worked out it appears.
784 785 786	Mr. Wright - already been deferred for	Mr. Wilson, do you have any objection, since it's four months, of one more?
787 788 789 790		Mr. Chairman, I have no objection. Again, I was ause the sentiment of the Board last month seemed to be forward one way or the other. So I figured I'd let Alex
791 792 793 794	Mr. Wright - we could put a condition agreement.	Well, we're so close. We're so close and I don't think in here unless we understood that everybody was in
795 796 797 798 799 800 801	and then give the homeo back next month. Presum	And that's fine. We would welcome the opportunity to litions, incorporate the staff's proposed conditions in it, where an opportunity to review. And then we can come hably all we'd have to do is say we're in agreement and hem to staff in plenty of time to get them into the staff
802 803 804	Mr. Wright -	Okay.
805 806	Mr. Baka - the next meeting.	With that, I move to defer this case for thirty days until
807 808	Mr. Wright -	The next meeting is October 25 th .
809 810	Mr. Baka -	Until October 25, 2012.

	812	Mr. Bell -	Second.	
	813 814 815 816	Mr. Wright - discussion? Hearing none have it; the motion passes	Motion by Mr. Baka, seconded by Mr. Bell. e, all in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The a s.	•
817 818 819 820 821	818 819 820 821	•	hearing, and on a motion by Mr. Baka, seconder b, Westhampton Memorial Park , has been defe r meeting.	-
	822823824825826827	Affirmative: Negative: Absent:	Baka, Bell, Nunnally, Wright 4 Harris 1	
	827 828 829 830 831 832	develop a wetland mitigati	VARINA MITIGATION LLC requests a conditional ctions 24-12(b) and 24-52(a) of the County Code to on bank at 9421 Osborne Turnpike (Majestic 2-3167), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina).	
	832 833 834 835 836		Will the applicant please come forward? Give hill swear everybody in. Everyone who desires to spect so we can swear you in.	
	837 838 839 840	Mr. Blankinship - you swear the testimony y so help you God?	Would everyone please raise your right hands. ou're about to give is the truth and nothing but the t	
	841 842 843	Mr. Wright - case.	All right, sir. Please state your name and present	your
	844 845 846 847 848	* *	Mr. Chairman, honorable members of the Board, munity, my name is Chris Dodson—D-o-d-s-o-n. I I I'm here on behalf of Varina Stream Mitigation E	am
	849 850 851 852 853	We're here to obtain appr	packground on why we're here and clarify a few po oval for a conditional use permit for a stream mitigat of a 183-acre parcel located in Varina near Osbo	ation
	854 855 856 857	we entered the banking p of Engineers, the DEQ,	ne stream mitigation bank a little over a year ago wherevers with the inner-agency review team of the Color and other regulatory agencies. Back in 2005 on the color 123 one-acre lots was originally approved nationally approved nationally approved the color and the col	orps the

Majestic Meadows. It was a well-and-septic subdivision with no sewer or water proposed in the project. It was approved. During that process, the County proposed to do a steam restoration project with buffers in the same channels that we're talking about doing a stream mitigation bank on here today. Needless to say the residential subdivision did not move forward. The property has not exchanged hands. The Nelsons continue to actively cultivate the property today.

Now we're at the point where we propose to do a stream mitigation bank. The use is consistent with the 2026 Land Use Plan. This area is shown as being Rural Residential and Environmental Protection. The activities we're proposing also are very consistent with the Chesapeake Bay Act from the standpoint of trying to improve and preserve water quality for the Bay. These activities would promote that as well. And given that it's been designated a national treasure, trying to find opportunities in communities such as Henrico County are very important.

One of the additional benefits I'd like to point out is there are currently no stream mitigation banks in Henrico County. So when development occurs anywhere in the County, somebody has to purchase mitigation. They have to go outside of the County, make their purchase elsewhere, whether that's west of the County out in Appomattox or somewhere else. That money is spent there. So the benefit of approving a bank here goes directly to Henrico County. So there is an ancillary benefit there.

One of the important things to note here is that we are only talking about fortyone acres of this piece of property. That is the limit of the bank. And as part of the process to get a bank approved, we have to put a declaration of restrictions on the bank and the buffers associated with it. That is a mandatory easement that gets recorded and is part of the process. We're not allowed to have utilities or anything else running parallel through those easements that would render the bank un-approvable by the regulatory agencies. It is not consistent with the bank mitigation rule of 2008, it is not consistent with the construction plans, the bank goals, the applicant's goals, or anything else. So I want to make that distinction because part of what is in the staff report is that there is a conservation easement on the remainder of the property. We are not here to debate that, to push that point forward; we are only here to obtain approval of the conditional use permit for the forty-one acres and the required easement associated with that. The conservation easement on the rest of the land that the Nelsons may propose to do in the future, they desire to do, that's a voluntary activity. We're not here to push that issue.

We've reviewed staff's comments, recommendations. Conditions 1 through 7 we have no objection to. Conditions 8 and 9 are, as I mentioned earlier, inconsistent with the goals and objections of what we can handle through the process.

One other thing I'd like to note is the bank proponent has met with the community, adjacent property owners, residents in the area regarding the Department of Public Utilities' desire and comments during the process to have future easements, a fifty-foot easement along these stream channels to potentially put a pump station on the Harland property downstream of where our project is proposed. When the project was approved previously as Majestic Meadows subdivision, there was no utility planned or requirement for that. I believe that there is already a potential site over at Osborne Landing. If a pump station was needed, it could come down the roadway. But in talking with the residents, which many of them are here today in support of the project. We've had no one that we're aware of that is in objection as an adjacent community member. None of them desire to have water or sewer to their property, and are currently very please with it. They're in support of the project. They like the rural community aspect that is out there. And it's also important to note that adjacent to this property is the National Battlefield Park.

So this is a larger context, preserving the nature of their life out there. And the applicant is requesting approval of the conditional use permit striking Conditions 8 and 9.

Mr. Wright - Mr. Blankinship, to do what the applicant says, do we need 8 and 9 if he's going to restrict the conservation easement just to the bank, he's not talking about the entire property.

Mr. Blankinship - Thanks correct. No sir. There is not a requirement for Conditions 8 and 9. They are not standard conditions. They were added by staff because the issues had been raised by the Department of Public Utilities. It's generally our practice if an issue like that comes up we put it down on paper because it's easier for the Board to say let's strike eight and nine, than for us to come up with a condition on the spur of the moment.

Mr. Wright - Okay.

Mr. Blankinship - The Department of Public Utilities is represented here this morning, so if you have specific questions on their position I'm sure they'll be available to answer those questions.

If I could ask, just to clarify in my own mind. You've shown on the screen here the area of the mitigation bank. It's how wide?

Mr. Dodson - It is 100 feet to the top of the bank on each side of the creek. So 200 feet wide total, yes sir.

946 Mr. Blankinship - Approximately.

948 Mr. Dodson - Correct.

949		
950	Mr. Blankinship -	And in order for the project to go forward you have to
951		trip of land that would prohibit utilities going through.
952	par an eacoment on that of	and or laria that would promote attitude going through.
953	Mr. Dodson -	Yes sir.
954	20000	
955	Mr. Blankinship -	Okay. There would be now immediate conflict with the
956	<u> </u>	re utilities located just outside.
957		,
958	Mr. Dodson -	Correct.
959		
960	Mr. Blankinship -	Okay, thank you. That's what I've been trying to find
961	•	to finally have the answer to that.
962	· ·	•
963	Mr. Baka -	Could you just summarize and explain, clarify, why
964	Conditions 8 and 9 are so	onerous to you as an applicant that you would not be
965	willing to accept approval i	f they were in the case?
966		
967	Mr. Dodson -	Conditions 8 and 9, we've already provided a draft
968		document to Corps and DEQ. Corps council is a
969		s council reviews it. They take an incredible amount of
970	_	a template document in their mind, to review the
971		e it's consistent with their current policy. Prior to
972		bw the Department of Public Utilities and Public Works
973		nat recordation, potentially add additional conditions to
974	(2)	would not be consistent with what we're allowed to do
975		The plans are complete. And per the conditions of this
976		a change to the construction plans, I would have to
977	come back before you aga	in for a modification to my conditional use permit.
978 979	Rack to the point of clarific	cation, there are two separate easements we're talking
980	•	ement is only as it relates to the bank. The question I
981		t define whether we're talking about the conservation
982		141 acres of the property, or whether Conditions 8 and
983		cres that I'm here to try to get a conditional use permit
984	for.	ores that the here to try to get a semantional use permit
985		
986	Mr. Baka -	Can we clarify that now and give you more peace of
987	mind on that?	3 - ,
988		
989	Mr. Dodson -	As it relates to the forty-one acres, we cannot have
990	any additional utilities or	activities in those forty-one acres. That's where the
991	problem is with eight and r	nine.
992		
993	Mr. Baka -	Is that what was intended by the draft of the condition
994	Ben?	

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002 1003

1004 1005

1006

1007

1008

Mr. Blankinship -The condition was drafted without clear understanding on my part of the distinction between the two easements. I don't mind telling you that. It has made me uncomfortable from the beginning because this is a long-term land use issue of what's best for the County. And those decisions are more correctly made by the Board of Supervisors. Those are not decisions that would ordinarily be brought before you as the Board of Zoning Appeals. So separating those two sets of questions I think is helpful. It allows the BZA to rule on the set of plans that are in front of you this morning, and allows the County, the Board of Supervisors, and the County administration to then deal with Virginia Outdoors Foundation, which has approached the County to get the County's response as to whether the conservation easement is a good thing. That removes that guestion from the Board of Zoning Appeals and puts it in front of the Board of Supervisors and their staff, which I think is where it more properly belongs.

1009 1010 1011

Mr. Wright - So the simple solution is he doesn't have any objection to taking eight and nine out, if we restrict the conservation easement to the forty-one acres. Which is the bank.

1013 1014

1012

1015 Mr. Dodson - Yes sir.

1016 1017

Mr. Wright - All right. Do you have anything to present to us?

1018 1019

Mr. Dodson - No sir.

1020

Mr. Wright - Anyone else desire to speak in favor of this application?

1023 1024

1025

1026

Mr. Robbie Nelson - Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, my name is Robbie Nelson. I'm part of the family that owns the property. I represent Engineering Design, which has [unintelligible] in this subdivision of 123 lots on this property is currently approved. And it's not off the table.

1027 1028 1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

I've also represented the owners of the property in the negotiations with the Department of Public Works for the approximately two years that they wanted to do this same project. After many hours with my cousin and I meeting with the County staff through about two years, the project went south. Bird Creek Mitigation approached us or Varina Stream Mitigation approached us and picked up the project.

1034 1035 1036

As Chris is saying, I can't stress to you enough that this is basically two different projects that staff is sort of muddling up. What takes place here, and what's in front of you today, is a stream mitigation project. That's it.

1038 1039 1040

1037

Mr. Wright - This conservation easement necessary to protect it.

Mr. Robbie Nelson - Those are conservation easements tied to the riparian buffers associated with that creek in a mitigation project. They have nothing to do with the Virginia Outdoors Foundation conservation easement, which may or may not be done. VOF has talked with County staff, as they're required to do, I believe. And Ben can vouch for that.

I have a lot of history and a lot of I guess family pride in this piece of property. I've grown up in Varina; I've hunted all this land. I know this land probably just as good as my cousin does. Like I say, I've been working with staff for years on this piece through a subdivision process, through a mitigation process for the County of Henrico. I've known about the pumping station probably for approximately eight years. I've seen numerous sewer maps. Until the day before last month's meeting I never, ever saw anything from Public Utilities that showed any easements through this property. That worries me. That's one thing that worries me.

Another thing is as a representative of Engineering Design and a designer of many developments in the County, and I've developed property in the County, and represented developers in this County, I've never—and I'm not saying it doesn't happen—I've never seen the County require fifty-foot corridors for what I consider private utility easements for the future. If we look at what they have sent us, those easements go—well they're corridors that they would like to preserve, the fifty-foot corridors, which is approximately 8,900 linear feet of future sewer. And it involves about ten acres of property to serve private developments that are pigeonholed inside of the National Park. They can't cross these roads with these utilities. I'm still a little bewildered as to where the sewer is going and what it's serving, and why all of a sudden it shows up.

Mr. Wright - Well that's not before us now.

Mr. Robbie Nelson - That's right. But I want that distinction. What's before you is that stream mitigation bank.

1075 Mr. Wright - And the conservation easement—

Mr. Robbie Nelson - Associated with just the bank and those riparian rights. Whether or not the property owner records the conservation of VOF has yet to be determined.

1081 Mr. Wright - That's not before us.

1083 Mr. Robbie Nelson - That's fine.

1085 Mr. Wright - And we would have no authority to discuss that.

Mr. Robbie Nelson - That's correct. I think Chris has done a good job of separating those two. I think Ben understands now that those two items are separate. So as a family member and as a representative of the development community and some of the Varina community; I would like the County to strike Conditions #8 and #9 and vote in favor of this use permit.

Mr. Wright - Thank you very much. Any questions?

Mr. Bill Nelson - Gentlemen, my name is Bill Nelson. I'm here to represent my family. Robbie is my cousin. My parents, Phyllis and Ridge Nelson, who are the owners of the property, are here today as well.

My family has owned this property for over half a century and we've been stewards of this land. For three generations we farmed it. I'm currently the farmer running the operation today. My parents saw an opportunity to preserve the watershed and the stream bank through this restoration mitigation project. We intensively farm this property today. And under the Chesapeake Bay guidelines we're allowed to farm within twenty-five feet of these streams because of our nutrient management plans and our conservation plans. When we put a conservation easement, this mitigation and easement on this property, that increases our buffer to 100 feet. It will be a planted buffer that will enhance the environmental protection and limit the runoff, improving the water quality for the County. They see it as a good thing; I see it as a good thing. The conservation easement with VOF has been entertained, looked into, but is not on the table today in front of you.

I respectfully request for you to approve this project as presented today and remove eight and nine from the conditions.

Mr. Wright - Any questions? Thank you. Anyone else desire to speak in favor of the application?

Mr. Edmunds - Good morning. My name is Andy Edmunds. I'm a long-time resident of Varina. Edmunds—E-d-m-u-n-d-s. I live at 9510 Osborne Turnpike, which happens to be right across the road from this site.

 I want to speak in support of this mitigation project for the creek restoration, insofar as it relates the possibility for the entire site to, in fact, go into a conservation easement. I speak to that not just from a point of preservation of the land because it certainly does have significant historical points related to the land, but it's not about preservation of the land. If we tried to preserve every piece of land in Virginia that had historical significance we'd never build anything. And I'm an economic development person; I work in economic development in tourism. However, this site in particular does have significant historical significance with being the home of Thomas Jefferson's father, Peter Jefferson, the village of Osborne is close by. There are other historical sites close by with

the Battlefield Park, etcetera. But mainly it ties into a bigger vision for this part of the County in the future in terms of tourism, agri-tourism, and how this wide open space of Varina can really play into an opportunity for the future. So instead of looking back at the preservation, I'd like to look forward at the economic opportunity this part of the County can offer to us.

Tourism is \$18 billion a year industry, employs over 200,000 people. To have this much open space so close to a vibrant downtown financial district—for example, you gave drive six minutes from downtown Richmond and be in this rural wide-open territory. In my business in the film business just recently we filmed Lincoln. Steven Spielberg came to film the movie Lincoln. In fact, we looked at this very site as a possible site to film some scenes. So Spielberg was just amazed that so much open land was so close to downtown. Now the \$70 million that this movie spent in this region is real money. This type of asset, having it so close to downtown is something worth preserving, not for preservation sake, but for economic development sake. And I know that the intent of this Board and the Board of Supervisors is to look out for the best interests of this country moving forward, jobs that tourism can create. Once we build 120 [unintelligible] [1:05:28]* in site like this it's not coming back.

And I just hope that this Board, and the decisions you make, and the decisions that the Board of Supervisors makes as it relates to this opportunity to create conservation districts, keep in the mind the jobs, the economic opportunity that can bring for the County. Thank you very much.

Mr. Wright - Thank you. Any questions?

Mr. Blankinship - The Board also has written comments from Mr. Edmunds in the package that you were given.

Mr. Henry Nelson - Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, my name is Henry Nelson. I live at 3600 New Market Road. I'm interested in this area because of its history, and also I'm currently a chairperson of a local civic group.

There are several things I think that are key to this issue that rest with your hands today. That's why I'm here. It's so important to the entire community.

This is a situation where we're looking at stream mitigation, and we're looking at striking those two Conditions 8 and 9, which I certainly hope you do strike those because they present a very interesting paradox. Here we find a family interested in conservation and preservation of stream lands and other things that to us as a community is a dream come true. We were much in celebration when we heard this. And then we were much in mourning when we heard that it might be mitigated in such a way as to negate it. It's not only a distraction and something that's disappointing to them, but also it could lead to others not wanting to bother with going through all the trouble it takes to get to this point of

trying to have a stream mitigation or a conservation situation and then find that the rug is pulled from them in this good effort.

Agriculture is what put Henrico County on the board as far as money. I know we look at revenue very closely. In 1614 John Rolfe came up with a controversial crop that's still in debate as to whether its value to the health [sic] offsets its detriment to the body. But still, nonetheless, it does bring in revenue.

And we also look at tourism; Andy alluded to that. But that's a multi-billion-dollar business. This view that we have of this farm is what you get when you drive up out of Osborne Landing. That's what you see. When you drive up out of Osborne Landing Park, that's the farm that you see before you. To think that it could remain that way and it could be in perpetuity just to us a community is a dream we'd like to see realized.

 We'd like to see people who are willing to conserve and wiling to put things aside for the benefit of others, to be encouraged not discouraged. And we do see it as a valuable asset to the County because it is providing a service to people wanting to mitigate stream damages in other areas, and this does afford that opportunity, which has in itself a great value, especially in a metro area like we have. As far as I know it's the only site, or would be the only site, within the County so designated.

So I think all these things merit having it approved. And I also think that if we get rid of eight and nine we have other ways to provide sewer to the County that won't put this aside and discourage people from looking at this type conservation in the future. So I hope you'll give this due consideration. I appreciate the opportunity to talk to you about it. And I look forward to your decision. Thank you.

Mr. Wright - Thank you very much. Any questions?

Mr. Helmboldt - My name is Jakob Helmboldt. First name is J-a-k-o-b. Last name Helmboldt—H-e-l-m-b-o-l-d-t. I'm a resident of the National Battlefield Park adjacent to the property in question.

I'm also in support of the approval of this conditional use permit and specifically with striking Conditions 8 and 9. I'd like to basically echo much of what Mr. Nelson just said—Henry Nelson that is; we have a lot of Nelsons to reflect viewpoints here. He's been more articulate that I can be in this, but let me just again echo some of that sentiment.

This kind of conservation and use of this kind of mitigation on this property is very much in line with what people out there would like to see. It's in line with the citizen survey that Henrico conducted in terms of having more conservation and limited development out in this area. It's consistent with the 2026 Comp Plan. And, in fact, there's a bit of irony with respect to that. As mentioned, this

particular property is designated on the Comp Plan as Rural Residential. Immediately across the street where the future pump station is, is prime agriculture. So again, it's questionable as to the need for this kind of sewer and other utility infrastructure given the proposed nature of the land use, the future land use that the County itself has identified out here. So it has a lot of us scratching our heads why this would even be necessary. And the fact that those utility corridors would go right through the middle of that mitigation area, completely rendering it useless and basically moot is a significant concern.

I'll also point out that there are two character protection areas right here that come to a confluence at the corner of this property, the Osborne Character Preservation Area and the River Character Preservation Area. And then nearby is the New Market Character Preservation Area. This entire area really has received a lot of attention for this nature of conservation and preservation. Again, that sewer easement is really inconsistent with all of this.

One other little aside where I'll kind of put my soapbox down here is it strikes me as a little bit absurd that a conditional use permit is even required for something of this nature. We're talking about rural agricultural land which the owners would like to essentially keep in that type of use with a little expansion of conservation efforts. Whereas so much of our rural area can be developed by right, it seems like it's a little bit upside down to me. We often speak about property rights in a very sacrosanct manner; however, it's often done or spoken in such a context as to facilitate development, not to facilitate this kind of conservation, especially a large parcel conservation where it especially makes sense.

I will also echo the sentiment that this is a benefit to the County. You don't have to deal with the very troubling expansion of Osborne Turnpike, widening the roadway, adding utilities, adding schools, adding services. So there are very significant economic benefits to the County when we start looking at those types of planning issues for land use. So again, I ask you to not only support this conditional use permit and notably striking eight and nine, but also going forward consider how we might be able to better facilitate this kind of conservation easement in the future and not make it so onerous, cumbersome, and expensive for landowners.

1261 Mr. Wright - Thank you. Anyone else desire to speak in favor of the application?

Mr. Montgomery - May it please the Board, very briefly. John Montgomery. I live at 9170 Willis Church Road. And I want to speak in support of the wetlands mitigation project, and support also the striking of Conditions 8 and 9. While I had several notes, quite often we're told to stop talking at some point in time when things appear to be going in a particular direction. But I want to echo Mr. Robbie Nelson's point regarding the process.

If you go back just a moment to the case you heard earlier today regarding the graveyard, the cemetery, and the fact that there are two buffers there. There's a fifty-foot buffer from the property line and the 250 feet from an adjacent dwelling. And what the Department of Public Works has asked in an analogous fashion in this particular case with the mitigation process is to say you know what—let's go back to the graveyard. We don't have houses adjacent, but there is a buffer, there's a requirement that you be no closer than 250 feet to an adjacent house. And there are residential lots where houses could be built, so we're going to go ahead and look into the future and say for those private individuals who may come along and build a house, we're going to go ahead and anticipate that and impose the 250-foot requirement. That is identical to what's being asked here by Department of Public Works.

As Mr. Nelson said, these are private sewer easements to serve groups of lots that are private lots. And in effect what DPU is saying is those have not been developed; they're sitting out there; they maybe be developed in the future. But in order to anticipate that we're going to require you, the private owner, to set aside this large portion of land and do these things. So I would suggest to you that there's another reason to strike eight and nine, in addition to the fact that it's unnecessary. But it also turns the process on its head and puts DPU in a position where if you go forward with this sort of analogy, with this sort of logic, with this sort of planning, then in fact it will turn the process on its head. And outside properties will then begin to use—be able to use DPU for private rights. And that's certainly not the case in my experience up to this point. So I would ask that you strike eight and nine for that reason as well. Thank you.

Mr. Wright - Thank you. Anyone else desire to speak in favor of the application? Is anyone here in opposition to this? Oh, wait a minute. I didn't see you; I'm sorry.

Ms. Anderson-Ellis - I'm going to be very quick. My name is Nicole Anderson-Ellis. I live on Chaffins Bluff Lane. And I'm here representing the residents of Osborne Turnpike.

I just wanted to quickly say that in keeping with the reasons that have already been given, the residents of Osborne Turnpike as a neighborhood association support the request before you. And I'd love to ask for a show of hands from those of you who actually took off work as I did this morning to be present to show support.

And I'd also like to note that having read the staff report as it was sent to me yesterday—and I don't know if there were amendments to it—but there were fifteen letters of support. Every single letter that you received from the community that was included in the staff report was in favor. And I'd like to clarify there was one where there was some confusion, and I shared your confusion and I followed up. I just sent you this e-mail this morning, but for clarification

[unintelligible; distorted audio] Nicole, I'm not sure what you heard, but I do not oppose the creek mitigation project, I'm in favor of it. I oppose the easement for the sewer lines, Sam Bagby. So I've sent that to you for the record. But everyone is unanimous in their support of this project, and I hope you will support us. Thank you.

1323 Mr. Blankinship - There were about ten more e-mails received after the package went out that were handed to the Board this morning.

1326 Mr. Wright - Yes, we have all of those.

1328 Ms. Anderson-Ellis - Thank you.

1330 Mr. Wright - Anyone else to speak in favor of the applicant?

- 1332 Mr. Harlan Good morning. My name is Will Harlan—H-a-r-l-a-n.
- 1333 I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to speak and address this issue.

My wife and I, Martha, and our boys, have lived across the road from the Nelson property for twenty-five years and built our home there. We're certainly in agreement with the proposed wetlands mitigation project for all the reasons that have previously been stated.

One comment that has not been made maybe as fully. Henry Nelson made mention of alternatives to the sewage distribution system that's proposed by the Department of Public Utilities. I wanted to speak on that just a second.

I'm a civil engineer by training. And I and others have considered the sewer layout proposed by the Public Utilities Department and found it to be flawed and unnecessary. If the desire ever truly arises to make sewer available to our neighborhood, we certainly don't see the sense in seizing private property and disrupting settled citizens, and jeopardizing a beneficial project such as the Nelson's when there is a better alternative. And that better alternative exists in two components.

The County has ample property at the Osborne Boat Landing Park for a sewage pumping station if ever desired to supply the remaining citizens that exist in the community already, when and if this property goes into the conservancy. This property exists at a much lower elevation than my front yard where the future pumping station is indicated on the drawing. And if it was located at a lower elevation it could serve a more expansive area. Item two of the proposed system would be the existing utility corridors that exist as part of the right of way for Osborne and Kingsland Roads.

Both of these components being in the control of the County would not preclude the County from providing sewer to the remaining community if in fact they chose to do so in the future. It would certainly not kill the project that's before you.

For these reasons we request that you support the conditional use permit while striking Conditions 8 and 9.

1368 Mr. Wright - Thank you, sir. Anyone else? The only thing we ask is that you don't repeat what has been said before.

Mr. Jones - Good morning, gentlemen. Clinton E. Jones Jr. My wife and I live at 9420 Osborne Turnpike. I would like to compliment the efforts of the Nelson family doing this on behalf of the County. We would very much recommend that the Board accept this with the exception of eight and nine. Thank you.

Mr. Wright -

Thank you, sir.

Ms. Koontz - My name is Jane Koontz—K-o-o-n-t-z. I live at 9184 Hoke Brady Road in Henrico out in Varina. I am one of the landowners with property fronting a national historical park. One of the proposed sewer trunk lines would come right through my backyard. So yes, I do have a personal interest in opposing the Department of Utilities sudden and unexpected imposition of the sewer line across the Nelson property. This would cause invalidation of the conservation efforts, the conservation easement, and the stream mitigation project, which is so important. Sparse residential density throughout the rural agricultural Kingsland/Osborne Turnpike area does not warrant conversion to a public water and sewer system for County landowners.

For every dollar collected in revenue from the residential sector, local governments spend more than a dollar to supply community services to residents. For example, schools, police, fire, water, sewer, roads, libraries, etcetera. For every dollar collected in revenue from farmland and forest, local governments spend less than a dollar to supply community services. Trees, gardens, soybeans, and corn do not go to school.

These plans contradict policies stated in Henrico County's 2026 Comp Plan. The following passages are quoted from Chapter 11:

 Locate and design public facilities in a manner that minimizes disruption to established land uses.

 Whenever possible, water and sewer lines should follow public rights-ofways.

• Encourage new development adjacent to existing lines.

1407		
	So Lurgo the Board of	Zanina Annoala to aivo sorious consideration to the
1408	_	Zoning Appeals to give serious consideration to the
1409		and rule in favor of the Nelson family and its
1410		on efforts. And I'm delivering to you eight letters that
1411		did not receive in time to get in your folders. These are
1412		eived in my e-mail that I was asked to print and deliver
1413		u probably don't have them. Thank you so much for
1414	listening.	
1415	NA - NA/C -I-A	The leaves were to Assess the Leaves to the second in
1416	Mr. Wright -	Thank you very much. Anyone else desire to speak in
1417		All right, that concludes the applicant's testimony. Does
1418		in opposition to this application? Hearing none, that
1419	concludes the case. I han	k you very much for appearing.
1420		
1421	-	the public hearings, the Board discussed the case
1422		This portion of the transcript is included here for
1423	convenience of reference	e.]
1424		
1425	Mr. Blankinship -	
1426	the Department of Public U	Utilities to come back so he could answer questions.
1427		
1428	Mr. Wright -	All right.
1429		
1430	Mr. Claytor -	Good morning, I'm Ralph Claytor.
1431		
1432	Mr. Wright -	Mr. Bell had a question I think he wanted to ask.
1433		
1434	Mr. Bell -	Yes. In the report from the County in talking about the
1435		a, there was a statement that sort of implied there were
1436	other options than going th	nis way. My question is what are those options.
1437		
1438	Mr. Claytor -	We do not know. We developed a master plan as one
1439		nsive Land Use Plan. We developed what we think is
1440	•	r serving both existing as well as future sewer sheds.
1441	_	other options. It's not certain that other options would
1442	•	cally feasible. It would take some work to look at what
1443	might be available.	
1444		
1445	Mr. Bell -	What, then, would be the effects if we would vote for
1446	the case and not for the co	onservation case in developing that area in the future?
1447		
1448	Mr. Claytor -	All right. In this instance, the plan that was developed
1449		ted a potential location for a pumping station south of
1450		ity sewers typically follow streams, and you need to be
1451		llel to a stream so that the depth does not become
1452	excessive in order to con-	struct it and to maintain it in the future. This parcel is

)	1453 1454 1455	central to the small sewer shed that can be served. If the easements are put in place, that would preclude the extension of sewer across the property and segregate properties into three smaller areas. We've not looked at how those					
	1456	other areas could be served of if they even could be served.					
	1457 1458	Mr. Bell -	Thank you.				
	1459 1460 1461	Mr. Wright -	Is that it?				
	1462 1463	Mr. Bell -	Yes.				
	1464 1465	Mr. Wright -	Okay. All right, do I hear a motion?				
	1466 1467 1468 1469 1470 1471	an asset to the County. I welfare of the persons	I make a motion that we approve this use permut of the suggested conditions. I believe this would affect the health, same residing or working on the premises or affect or change the neighborhood. So I motion	ould be fety, or in the			
	1473	Mr. Wright -	Do I hear a second?				
	1474 1475 1476	Mr. Bell -	I'll second it.				
	1477 1478 1479	Mr. Wright - Motion made and seconded. Is there any further discussion? I understand the motion would be to approve it with all of the conditions except eight and nine.					
	1480 1481	Mr. Nunnally -	Yes sir.				
	1482 1483 1484 1485	Mr. Wright - opposed say no. The ayes	Okay, that is the motion. All in favor say a have it; the motion passes.	aye. All			
	1486 1487 1488 1489 1490 1491 1492 1493	After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. Bell, the Board approved application CUP2012-00026 , VARINA MITIGATION LLC's request for a conditional use permit pursuant to Sections 24-12(b) and 24-52(a) of the County Code to develop a wetland mitigation bank at 9421 Osborne Turnpike (Majestic Meadows) (Parcel 808-672-3167), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina).					
	1494 1495 1496	Affirmative: Negative: Absent:	Baka, Bell, Nunnally, Wright Harris	4 0 1			

1499	[At this point,	the transcript	continues	with th	e public	hearing	on t	he i	next
1500	case.]								

The Board will take a five-minute recess.

1504 Mr. Wright - Let's come back to order. Mr. Blankinship, call the next case, please.

CUP2012-00030 BETTY H. MARTIN requests a temporary conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116(c)(1) of the County Code to occupy a recreational vehicle on a temporary basis at 10509 Delray Road (Laurel Glen) (Parcel 765-765-8897), zoned R-2, One-family Residence District (Brookland).

Mr. Wright - Anyone else who desires to speak in reference to this case, please stand and you'll be sworn in.

Mr. Blankinship - Please raise your right hand. Do you swear the testimony you're about to give is the truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

1519 Mr. Wright - Thank you. Ma'am, would you state your name for the record and present your case.

Ms. Martin - I'm Debbie H. Martin—M-a-r-t-i-n. I'm extremely deaf and have asked my daughter to sit up here with me because I haven't understood a word any of you all have said all morning. So if you ask me questions I might need her to help me translate. So that's why she's sitting here as more of my translator.

But I have respectfully applied for the conditional use permit based on the need for a place to live during the conversation of our carport into an additional room. I'm the heir of an estate, and I just received yet another letter from the attorney indicating that should be settled shortly. It was our intention for this to be a very short-lived project, but unfortunately it's gone on now for almost two years. Supposedly the estate will be settled very soon, and I will be able to begin the construction. There really isn't enough living space in the interior of the house for an additional adult. That's why we want to enclose the attached carport and make it living quarters for me. And that was the reason for my living alone, no one else would live in the RV during the construction.

In response to Mr. Madrigal's comments in his report, the suggestion of moving the RV to the side or rear of the property, I have ordered landscape timbers and crushed gravel to install a driveway. Looking at the property, to install a driveway down the left side of the property, to move the RV over there, as well as installing a privacy fence across the front and down the side of the property to make the RV less conspicuous. Most of the driveways in the neighborhood go beside the

property to the rear of their yards. Unfortunately, ours only goes, as you can see, in the front of the yard and stops right at the carport. So I have already started the process for moving the RV.

As far as not having a building permit on file, I picked up all the paperwork for the building permit when I filed for the conditional use permit. And then after reading about sediment context and erosion control forms, I decided I had to hire a professional, which again is going to require the money coming from the estate.

And then finally, I only purchased the property in November 2011, so any of the references to prior violations I would hope the Board would not hold against me and my permission to reside here for a short period of time. Thank you.

1558 Mr. Wright - Ms. Martin, would you—

1560 Ms. Martin - Oh, I'm sorry. Excuse me.

1562 Mr. Wright - Mr. Bell wants to ask a question.

Mr. Bell - Did you get a chance to read the conditions that the staff wrote on the report?

1567 Ms. Martin - Do what?

Daughter - He asked if you had read the conditions.

Ms. Martin - Yes. That was my response. When he said that one of the conditions—excuse me; I'm sorry. One of the conditions was he wanted the RV moved. That's why I have bought the gravel and the timbers so that I can move it. He referred to debris. That piece of plywood has already been moved because it was the front of our utility trailer. So we have already moved the plywood and put it back on the trailer. The debris is a woodpile because we have a fireplace in the house. I don't really classify that as debris, sir. Now that the plywood is gone—and I have current picture, if you want to see it, without the plywood there. That's just firewood. No trash, no junk, no metal objects—just firewood.

1582 Mr. Bell - And you're in the process—

Ms. Martin - And then the carport itself has nothing on it currently but storage tubs and tables. There are no appliances, no refrigerators, no freezers, no washers. No furniture except two canvas lawn chairs. This almost a moot point because, of course, once the construction starts that's going to emptied completely anyway.

1590 1591	Mr. Bell - permit right now to put on	You're in the process, you said, of getting a building the carport.					
1592 1593 1594	Daughter -	In the process of getting a building permit.					
1595 1596 1597 1598 1599 1600	Ms. Martin - Oh, yes sir. I have acquired all the forms and we have you from the County, and was talking with the lady at the front desk. At these things about erosion and sediment control forms are still what I'm try figure out how to comply with. But yes sir, I am in the process of getting building permit.						
1601 1602 1603	Mr. Bell - the room built by April 1, 2	Now #4 does have termination date. Will you have 2013?					
1604 1605 1606	Daughter - 1 st .	He wants to know if you'll have the room build by April					
1607 1608 1609 1610 1611 1612 1613	share it with the world—ye more than sufficient to ha the existing walls are alre	Yes sir. I see no reason why. The attorney says it's the next—and if you want to see this—I don't want to ou can see how much money I'm going to get. It will be we the room completed ninety days at the latest. Two of eady there, so we're jut closing in two walls and putting not take more than ninety days maximum, sir.					
1614 1615 1616	Mr. Bell - months, roughly.	I just wanted you to be sure since this is just for six					
1617 1618	Ms. Martin -	Yes sir.					
1619 1620 1621 1622 1623		I wanted you to understand that. And then lastly, And you know that you cannot attach any extension olely dependent upon the RV if it becomes temporary					
1624 1625	Ms. Martin -	I'm sorry.					
1626 1627	Daughter -	I don't understand that. It would less intrusive—					
1628 1629 1630	[Ms. Martin and daughter unintelligible.]	speaking off microphone and both at the same time;					
1631 1632	Daughter:	—than have a generator running.					
1633 1634	Mr. Blankinship -	It can't be just a standard indoor electrical cord.					
1635	Ms. Martin -	Oh, no, no. No.					

	1636		
	1637	Mr. Blankinship -	It needs to be—
	1638 1639	Ms. Martin -	It's an RV plug that was installed by an electrician.
	1640	ivis. iviai tiii -	it's all it'v plug that was installed by all electrician.
	1641	Mr. Blankinship -	It was installed by an electrician. I think that was
	1642	the—	
1	1643		
1	1644	Ms. Martin -	[Speaking off microphone; inaudible.] I'm sorry.
1	1645		'm a little nervous. If you went to the back of the house
	1646		50 amp RV box that was installed by an electrician. It's
	1647	not an extension cord. It's	a \$250 extension cord.
	1648	M 5 "	
	1649	Mr. Bell -	Okay.
	1650	Ma Martin	But it's a 50 amp approved have and eard
	1651	Ms. Martin -	But it's a 50 amp approved box and cord.
	1652 1653	Mr. Bell -	Is the vehicle licensed?
	1654	Wil. Bell -	is the vernole hoerised:
	1655	Daughter -	Oh yes. She drives it.
	1656	2 a a g a	on your one and a
	1657	Ms. Martin -	Oh yeah, I drive it at least twice a month every month.
1	1658	It's inspected; it's insured;	it's licensed. And it is driven at least twice a month.
1	1659		
]	1660	Mr. Bell -	Thank you.
	1661		
	1662	Ms. Martin -	It doesn't just stay there forever.
	1663	D	10
	1664	Daughter -	[Speaking off microphone.] She drives it to the RV
	1665	park up in Ashland to have	e [inaudible] so that it—
	1666	Mr. Wright -	She needs to get up here.
	1667 1668	IVII. VVIIGIIL -	one needs to get up here.
	1669	Mr. Blankinship -	Yes. We need to have that on the record.
	1670	Wil. Blattichiothp	res. We need to have that on the resert.
	1671	Daughter -	Say that. Tell them that—
	1672	9	,
	1673	Ms. Martin -	Oh, I'm sorry, for the record. Yes, I drive it at least
	1674	twice a month to the RV d	umping station in Ashland to clean out the holding tank
]	1675	so that there is no accumu	lation of waste in the interior of the RV. It's dumped on
	1676	a regular basis.	
	1677		
	1678	Mr. Wright -	Any further questions? Anything further that you wish
	1679	to state in favor of this app	olication?
	1680	Davishtas	Anothing findhamman wish to state 0
	1681	Daughter -	Anything further you wish to state?

1682	Mar Marchae	
1683	Ms. Martin -	Oh, I'm sorry. No sir. And like I said, I didn't intend for
1684		idea the attorney was going to take this long to settle
1685	this estate. I thought it wol	uld be ninety days from when I brought the property.
1686	NA N	Milest I was developed in visual and another and will be available
1687	Mr. Wright -	What I understand is you're preparing and will move it
1688	to the backyard, and comp	by with these conditions.
1689	Ms. Martin -	Yes sir.
1690 1691	MS. Martin -	165 511.
1692	Mr. Wright -	If we approve it. All right.
1693	Wir. VViight -	ii we approve it. All right.
1694	Ms. Martin -	Yes sir.
1695	Wis. Wartin	100 011.
1696	Mr. Wright -	Thank you. All right. Anybody here in opposition to
1697	this request? All right. Wo	
1698		, on come terms.
1699	Mr. Kirkland -	Good morning, Mr. Chairman and members of the
1700	Board. My name is Rick K	Cirkland—K-i-r-k-l-a-n-d. I reside at 10333 Old Courtney
1701		e oldest homeowners in the Laurel Glen subdivision. It
1702	was my grandfather's hor	ne. I resided two blocks over when I was a child, and
1703	have lived there almost six	kty-two years.
1704		
1705	I am in opposition to any t	ype of trailer, any type of camper, or any type of use in
1706		s. In the past few years we have had storms here in
1707		k if everyone that had their house damaged, but still
1708		put a trailer in their front yard or in their side yard and
1709		I their house. You guys would be here all night hearing
1710	cases.	
1711		
1712		V park and have it dumped, they would probably work
1713		n for her to have a campsite for the next three or four
1714	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	to let her live there. It's just not right for a residential
1715		y have a lot of issues in our area. Revitalization has
1716	-	les with other issues going on. Not living in trailers. But
1717	•	has changed its complexion since I was a kid. And I
1718	_	take place and things change. But this is not one of ke place. I do not believe in people living in trailers in a
1719 1720	residential neighborhood.	
1721	residential neighborhood.	That's all I have to say.
1721	Mr. Wright -	Mr. Kirkland, you realize that she could park this
1723	vehicle in the rear yard if s	· ·
1724	Tanada an ano rour yuru me	
1725	Mr. Kirkland -	That is correct. She could probably park it on the
1726	street if she didn't live in it	

	1728 1729	Mr. Wright - for ninety more days while	So what she's asking is that we permit her to live in it she constructs this addition to her house.		
	1730	Ma Kinkland	Circumstant days		
	1731	Mr. Kirkland -	Sir, as well as you know, ninety days sometimes ke you all put a deadline on it.		
	1732	stretches out to April 1 , iii	ke you all put a deadline on it.		
	1733	Mr. Wright -	April 1 st is it?		
	1734 1735	wir. wright -	April 1 is it?		
	1736	Mr. Kirkland -	I know the BZA doesn't set precedent, but I do		
	1737		d be a mass disaster in this County. I have a thirty-		
	1737		out it in my side yard. My daughter and her husband		
	1739		ight now; they're selling their house and they're renting		
	1740		in my side yard. I have 2-1/2 acres of land. And I'd		
	1741		bu too. And it would be the next one and the next one.		
	1742	nave to come in none or ye	to to the troud of the floor offer and the floor offer.		
	1743	Mr. Baka -	I have a question, Mr. Chairman, if I may. You		
	1744		could be parked on the street if no one was living in it.		
	1745		ne driveway, where it shows in that picture, if there's no		
	1746	one living in it, or in the ba	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	1747		·		
	1748	Mr. Wright -	No, it can't be parked in the front.		
	1749				
	1750	Mr. Baka -	Okay. So it cannot be parked in the front, but it could		
ì	1751	be parked in the backyard. So I guess my question is, if this vehicle were parked			
	1752	in the backyard and the debris has been removed, if it were screened with some			
	1753		with a fence, would it be seen by the other adjacent		
	1754		backyard? What is the net affect or difference of		
	1755		RV in the backyard if it's screened and fenced versus		
	1756		t RV parked in the backyard when it's screened and		
	1757	fenced if the adjacent own	ers don't see it?		
	1758				
	1759	Mr. Kirkland -	Would you want a person living next door to you in an		
	1760	RV in their backyard?			
	1761	M. D.	N : 1 1: 1 N N W W 1:		
	1762	Mr. Baka -	I'm just asking what's the effect if you cannot see it?		
	1763	Mar Middless d			
	1764	Mr. Kirkland -	It's the idea that people can live in trailers on		
	1765	residential property and no	or in the aweiling.		
	1766	Mr. Boko	I understand that's the idea.		
	1767	Mr. Baka -	i understand that's the idea.		
	1768	Mr. Kirkland -	That's the whole philosophy behind this.		
	1769 1770	IVII. KIIKIAIIU -	mats the whole philosophly behind this.		
	1770	Mr. Baka -	I see your point. I'm just trying to understand the		
	1//1	IVII. Dana -	i ace your point. The just trying to understand the		

impact on the neighbors.

1774 Mr. Wright -The point is this is not a permanent residence. It would be for up to April 1st. 1775 1776 Mr. Kirkland -I'm still opposed to it. 1777 1778 Mr. Wright -So there is no precedent there because—what you're 1779 talking about is a permanent residence. We would not permit a permanent 1780 residence in a trailer. 1781 1782 Mr. Kirkland -I understand that. But there are a lot of those 1783 temporary things that take place in this County. 1784 1785 1786 Mr. Wright -Well, that's what we need to find out about and eliminate them. Anybody have any questions? Thank you, sir. 1787 1788 Mr. Gasser -My name is O. F. Gasser—G-a-s-s-e-r. I live at 10504 1789 Lambeth Road in Old Glen Allen. I retired from Virginia Power, but before that I 1790 worked with the officials of Henrico County to get R-2 zoning all through the Old 1791 Glen Allen area. And I think if you look at the zoning maps you'll see a lot more 1792 R-2 in comparison to the rest of the County. I always thought if you had an R-2 1793 zoning that you would have a lot big enough that the people would take a lot of 1794 1795 pride in it. Up to this point I think they have. 1796 Of course this section is no longer new in Henrico County. This was established 1797 1798 after World War II for the boys coming home from the war. The lots are big. I think these lots are probably 100 by 300. Most of the lots in there are 100 by 1799 300. I have to agree with Rick. I don't want to set a precedent of having a trailer 1800 or an RV sitting there for a residence. 1801 1802 I have noticed this property quite often. And I don't know how much of this the 1803 present owner created. She talked about firewood. The firewood has been sitting 1804 1805 there for about ten years from a tree that fell and was cut down in the front yard. I would be much more agreeable if the general housekeeping of this lot could be 1806 improved. But I really do think that it does set somewhat of a precedent. I believe 1807 the paper said a year? We're now down to April the 1st. Is that correct? 1808 1809 April the 1st. 1810 Mr. Blankinship -1811 Mr. Bell -About six months. 1812 1813

September 27, 2012

Mr. Gasser -

1814

1815

1816

1817

1818

1819

Okay. All right. And certainly move it around back. But

over there where the plywood board is in that picture there is a lot of tree debris

that's been there forever almost. And I'm sure some of the people who live

across the street would have a better idea exactly how long it's been there.

We're eight-eight, eighty-nine years old, my wife and I, and we live up the street.

We do our best to try to keep our property in very nice condition. And so far

we've been able to that. But to be frank with you, I don't know how much longer it's going to be before we'll have to move because of the fact that we just physically can't keep it. This photograph shows the wood that I was speaking of right there. And over on the left of this house is an area that looks like the grass and all has just grown up. I don't know what the story is there, whether there's something inside that natural area there or not.

But anyway, I leave it to you as to whether—and that's your job, of course, to make sure that the R-2 zoning is maintained. I always tried to get good proffers on the land and to get things settled, and sometimes the developers didn't like me. But anyway, I dealt with that too. I'm known as Big Boy at Henrico County Courthouse.

Mr. Wright - Thank you very much, Mr. Gasser. Appreciate it.

Unidentified Female - [Speaking off microphone.] Mr. Chairman, would you like me to answer the question as to—

1838 Mr. Wright - You'll have an opportunity to rebut this after all of the testimony is in.

Mr. Miller - Good morning. My name is Joe Miller. My wife, Carol Martin-Miller, and I live at 10508 Lambeth Road.

I'm here to present a view for the community because my wife purchased this home there many years ago, twenty years ago. We work diligently daily, and certainly weekly, to keep the place maintained, and then as pleasing as possible to the entire community. We experienced some damage four or five years ago with a lot of trees having to be removed, and sawed up, and chopped—a lot of work—and got that out. And because of the flooding we continued to work on some basement flood issues in this whole process. And it was done promptly in order to, frankly, be safe and to have a good appearance. So I'm here to say that this property is only three, four parcels from our location. And since there might be another alternative because of the biweekly pumping—you know, being able to move this RV, we would think that we'd like them to consider that other alternative as opposed to setting a precedent to have it on the property and lived in.

We respectfully depend upon your intelligence and judgment for now and in the future. And just want to thank you for taking the time to hear our concerns.

Mr. Bell - Sir, in the County, however—just to correct you a little bit. In the County an R-2, RV trailers are allowed on the property if they are on the side of the home or in the rear of the home.

Mr. Blankinship - And not occupied.

1866		
1867	Mr. Bell -	And not occupied.
1868		
1869	Mr. Miller -	Not occupied, correct.
1870		
1871	Mr. Bell -	If it was a permanent occupant situation we could not
1872	approve that. This is a tem	porary situation under certain conditions.
1873		
1874	Mr. Miller -	Well, I'd just respectfully like to respond that piece of
1875		point of view. However, I believe when you're living in
1876	•	idence whether it's for two months, three months, or
1877		ally suggest that there are no secrets, so that when
1878		don't necessarily take any action. But in future months
1879		ce another storm like we did a few years ago, again,
1880		our intelligence, and your ability to look into it, I
1881	respectfully ask that.	
1882		
1883	Mr. Bell -	Thank you.
1884		
1885	Mr. Miller -	Thank you.
1886		
1887	Mr. Wright -	Thank you, sir.
1888		
1889	Ms. Arnold -	Good morning. And thank you for the opportunity to
1890		on Arnold—A-r-n-o-l-d. I live immediately next door to
1891	Ms. Martin. I'm located at	10513 Delray Road. I moved in about eight years ago.
1892		
1893		rty before the housing boom took off so I got a good
1894	•	ecause it was a nice neighborhood. There are a lot of
1895		a lot of pride in ownership. I believe Mr. Gasser had
1896		let me know about houses in the neighborhood. So I
1897	was very well aware of this	s charming neighborhood.
1898		
1899		ext door to, I was aware that it was rental property. And
1900		use people have a right to live where they want to live.
1901		omed the tenant, which is here today. I welcomed her
1902	and her husband into the	neighborhood. And I said it's a great place to live; it's
1903		ng here. And I understand since then Ms. Martin has
1904		and the former tenants still remain as residents within
1905		es with them. I don't think I've ever had a cross word
1906	with you. I called when y	your dog got loose and held her so that the County
1907	wouldn't pick her up.	•
1908		
	T	1 1 1 1 1 P 1 2 1 0 -

1910

1911

I wasn't going to speak today, but I was listening to other people, and I think they

have valid points. I also think they have a valid point. They're trying to make the

best of a situation. They're waiting on money. I understand how estates go. And

1912	I'm listening. I don't want	to appear to be self-centered. No, I don't want to live
1913		don't want to see it in my backyard. But people have a
1914		t with their own land. And I would hope people would
1915		at I treat them. And I keep my property up. I try to keep
1916		grand last year taking down two trees because of the
1917	hurricane. I felt bad I had	yard debris everywhere. But you get it out as fast as
1918	you can.	
1919		8
1920		e on this. I cannot see the RV from the interior of my
1921		oor. I can look out any of the windows; I don't see it. So
1922		sonally. But once I get out to the street I can see it. I
1923	wouldn't want to be living	across the street looking out my picture windows and
1924	seeing it.	
1925		
1926		out a car that's parked in the backyard. I can see it;
1927		e it. But again, I'm sure the County code provides for
1928	that.	
1929		
1930	I wonder, do you have any	questions of me being an adjacent landowner?
1931 1932	Mr. Wright -	Yes. You understand here that this RV, if it's
1932	O .	be moved to her backyard.
1933	approved, would have to b	de moved to her backyard.
1935	Ms. Arnold -	That is my understanding.
1936	Wio. 7 Willow	That is my understanding.
1937	Mr. Wright -	Yes. And she would have a right under the County
1938	O	here any time, permanently.
1939	отання то то ранин дани.	,
1940	Ms. Arnold -	Right. Yes. I understand that.
1941		g
1942	Mr. Wright -	As long as it's in the backyard. The different is Ms.
1943		t if we approve this it would be just until April 1 st ,
1944	maximum. She would have	
1945		
1946	Ms. Arnold -	Yes. And what would happen on April 1 st ?
1947		* In I
1948	Mr Wright -	She would either move into this house or she'd have

1949

1952 Ms. Arnold - Okay. And what's the enforcement on that? What

to go somewhere else because she would not be permitted to stay in that RV

1953 happens?

past April 1st.

1955 Mr. Wright - If she continues to live there, the Board would take necessary action to have her removed from the RV.

1957

1958 1959	Ms. Arnold -	Okay.
1939 1960 1961	Mr. Blankinship -	The final step would be a summons to court.
1961 1962 1963	Ms. Arnold -	Okay.
1964 1965	Mr. Wright - permit.	We would take necessary action to enforce this use
1966 1967 1968	Ms. Arnold -	Right. Okay.
1969 1970	Mr. Wright -	I just wanted to make sure you understood that.
		e already the concrete sewer pipes with the—yes. That
1975 1976 1977	Mr. Wright -	She has a right to put in a gravel driveway.
1978 1979 1980 1981 1982	5	I understand that. But I'm also hearing we're going to ay, how does that affect my property value? I'm all for their own property. But I also think how will that affect
1983 1984	Mr. Wright - she has to do would be pe	Well, she's not going to do anything illegal. Whatever ermitted. You could do it; anybody could do it.
1985 1986 1987	Ms. Arnold -	Right.
1988 1989	Mr. Baka - opposition of the case?	Knowing this information, are you in favor or in
1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	really tight spot. I underst disagree with them. But I	Well, that's a tough question when you live next door going to be living next door to someone. It puts me in a and what everyone has said here. And I can't say that I also understand that they're trying to do something and be in violation; they may have been in violation. And if
1997 1998 1999	Mr. Wright -	April 1 st .
2000	Ms. Arnold - can live with that. As long	I can live with that. I've been living with it this long, I as it's a hard and fast cutoff, you know.

2003 2004	Female - would rather—	[Speaking off microphone.] Are you saying that you
2005 2006 2007	Mr. Wright - can go to the podium and	If you need to ask a question after she finishes you ask her. We'll ask her for you.
2008 2009 2010	Mr. Bell -	Whose house is this?
2011 2012	Ms. Arnold -	That's not my house.
2012 2013 2014 2015	Mr. Blankinship - Paul, if you want to click d	No, this is the other side. She would be on the left. lown there.
2016 2017	Ms. Arnold - and see the RV. I live on t	
2018 2019 2020	Mr. Bell - their driveway, pulling it.	But on that house I saw they have an RV parked in
2021 2022 2023	Ms. Arnold - covered some of the time	Yes. I don't see that either. They may have that
2024 2025 2026	Mr. Bell - same situation except it's	The point is it can be seen by the neighbors. The a pulled RV.
2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033	and see it. But if it's the	Right. I spoke with Mr. Madrigal and he said they can And I was like I wouldn't want to look out my windows code, it's the code, you know. And it can go in the not want to have to see it, but what can you do? So any
2034 2035 2036 2037 2038 2039	occupied for living or businequesting the condition	I have one question of staff as it relates to the current ort reads that 24-102 says that—RVs shall not be iness purposes. The application itself specifies they are all use permit pursuant to 24-116(c)(1). I guess we provision as a group here. How does that code section ly for this use?
2040 2041 2042 2043		24-116(c)(1) authorizes the Board to approve a no more than twenty-four months for any use that's not does not involve buildings, permanent buildings.
2044 2045 2046	Mr. Baka - about a couple months ag	Okay. So it's a temporary use provision we talked go. All right. Thanks.

204	18	Ms. Arnold -	I was talking to Mr. Madrigal and I reflect back on
204			e said there are other people on your street that have
205		•	ately on your other side, your other neighbor, they do
205			ard. And there's someone down the street that has one.
205			eone down the street who has one who stores it
205			e are a lot of different things going on there.
205		comewhere died. Co there	are a fet of amerent annige gening on there.
205		Mr. Wright -	Well there are plenty RVs in the County; I've seen
205		them all over the place.	trem there are plenty tree in the estating, the seem
205		arem an ever the place.	
205		Mr. Blankinship -	But they're not occupied.
205		Wit. Blattimornip	but they to het decapied.
206		Ms. Arnold -	Yes.
206		We. 7 William	100.
206		Mr. Wright -	They're not occupied.
206			y .o
206		Ms. Arnold -	That's all I have to say. And thank you for giving her
206		case some consideration.	,
206			
206		Mr. Wright -	Thank you very much.
206	68	•	
206	69	Ms. Arnold -	Thank you.
207	70		•
207	71	Mr. Wright -	Does anyone else desire to speak in opposition to the
207	72	case? Now, Ms. Martin, yo	
207	73		
207	74	Unidentified Male -	[Speaking off microphone.] Can we see the RV
207	75	[inaudible]? There you go.	That's the wood I'm talking about there.
207	76		
207	77	Mr. Wright -	We understand. We understand.
207	78		
207	79	Daughter -	The wood has been there for two years. I know this
208	30	almost to the day because	e my sister was married in my backyard two years ago
208	31	July, and that's when the	wood was placed there. At the time we were still renting
208	32	and our landlord had hire	ed a tree removal company. And he had paid them to
208	33	take the tree down and pu	ut the wood in the backyard. And they left it in the front
	9		

almost to the day because my sister was married in my backyard two years ago July, and that's when the wood was placed there. At the time we were still renting and our landlord had hired a tree removal company. And he had paid them to take the tree down and put the wood in the backyard. And they left it in the front yard. And all of my friends—I belong to a non-profit organization—they came to my house the two weeks before my sister's wedding and got it to that point. The pieces that the tree company left were so large they could not be moved. They had to be somewhat chopped. Part of that pile also is—a neighbor further up the street on Delray lost a tree. And he brought it and dumped it right there because he couldn't drive any further into our yard. At that time we didn't have the culvert. And so he just backed up into that section of the driveway. So the wood has been sitting there, part of it, because part of it I have burned. It was a very large tree. It was two trees. And I have been burning it, but I'm just one woman and I

2093 2094 2095	can't split all of that at or work. So my seventy-year-	ne time. My husband is not well and cannot do old mother and I do it all.	yard
2096 2097 2098	Mr. Wright - you very much for appearing	All right. Is that it? That concludes the case. Ing. We'll decide at the end of the docket.	⊺hank
2099 2100 2101 2102		the public hearings, the Board discussed the This portion of the transcript is included here.]	
2103 2104	Mr. Wright -	Do I hear a motion on this?	
2104 2105 2106 2107 2108 2109 2110	neighborhood, nor will it u	Yes. I move that we accept the conditions becarries affect the health, safety, or welfare conceasionably impair any sunlight or air. Also, with some to qualify for all the ramifications of any coding RVs.	of the th the
2111 2111 2112 2113	Mr. Wright - application and the condition	All right. Motion by Mr. Bell that we approve ons that have been proposed. Is there a second?	
2113 2114 2115	Mr. Baka -	Second.	
2116 2117 2118	Mr. Wright - discussion on this case? I The ayes have it; the motion	Motion is made and seconded. Is there dearing none, all in favor say aye. All opposed say passes.	
2119 2120 2121 2122 2123 2124 2125 2126	Baka, the Board approve request for a temporary coof the County Code to or	hearing and on a motion by Mr. Bell, seconded by description CUP2012-00030, BETTY H. MAR conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-116 ecupy a recreational vehicle on a temporary basel Glen) (Parcel 765-765-8897), zoned R-2, One-and).	TIN's (c)(1) sis at
2127 2128 2129	Affirmative: Negative:	Baka, Bell, Wright	
2130 2131 2132 2133	Abstain: Absent:	Nunally 1 Harris 1	
2134 2135 2136	[At this point, the transc	ript continues with the public hearing on the	next

CUP2012-00031 KAREN PLOTT requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-12(e) of the County Code to allow a noncommercial

2139 2140		e Street (North Willow Lawn) (Parcel 771-736-7771), esidence District (Brookland).
2141 2142 2143 2144	Mr. Wright - case, either for or against	Anyone who desires to speak with respect to this please stand and be sworn.
2145 2146 2147	•	Raise your right hands, please. Do you swear the give is the truth and nothing but the truth so help you
2148 2149 2150	Mr. Plott -	Yes.
2151 2152	Mr. Wright - name for the record and p	All right, sir. Please present your case. State your resent your case.
2153 2154 2155 2156 2157 2158 2159 2160 2161 2162 2163 2164	that we normally have an which is my wife's daugh unforeseen circumstances also had two dogs. And will not be living there for we have. We do not bree	Good morning. My name is Michael Plott—P-l-o-t-t. conditional use permit just to be able to keep the dogs and have had for a number of years. My stepdaughter, after, was living at 506 Westview Avenue. And due to a she had to move out and had nowhere to go. And she when she moved in that brought the number to five. She ever. We're just trying to keep the number of dogs that and them. They're all fixed—spayed or neutered. And I are and we agree to them. That's basically all I have to
2165 2166 2167		Mr. Plott, we have a letter from one of your neighbors, ently lives behind your property. It states that when the p over the fence into her yard.
2168 2169 2170 2171 2172		I have had one dog jump over the fence one time. I picked it up, and put it back in our yard. I have since hem from doing that. And they've only done it one time.
2172 2173 2174	Mr. Baka -	How high is that fence?
2174 2175 2176	Mr. Plott -	Right now it would be about six feet.
2176 2177 2178 2179	Mr. Baka - photograph right now.	So it's higher than what we're seeing in the
2180	Mr. Plott -	Yes, because I added additional fence to it.
2181 2182 2183	Mr. Baka -	Yes sir.

2184 2185	Mr. Plott - right. I've added additiona	On that side and the neighbor that would be to my all fencing there, too.
2186 2187	Mr. Bell - house most of the time, a	In reading the report, these dogs will be kept in the
2188 2189	nouse most of the time, a	in live of them?
2190	Mr. Plott -	Yes. They do not live outside. They stay inside the
2191		no more than a half hour at a time. I let them out to run,
2192		their business. And they're back sitting at the steps
2193	wanting to come in. The	ey don't like the heat. They have been with us for a
2194	number of years from p	supplies. And they're all rescue dogs. They don't stay
2195	outside that long at a time	2 .
2196		
2197	Mr. Bell -	How are you controlling their barking and odor?
2198		
2199	Mr. Plott -	I clean the yard about every other day, unless it rains.
2200		there in the rain. But if they get real unruly, for one of
2201		ar. And for another one I have a facial snout mask or
2202		rs have dogs that bark too. I can't control their barking. I
2203	can only do so much to c	ontrol my own.
2204	Mr. Bell -	Vour three dags that you've had a number of years
2205		Your three dogs that you've had a number of years, omplaints with them about barking?
2206 2207	have you ever had any co	omplaints with them about barking?
2207	Mr. Plott -	No.
2208	WII. I TOLL -	140.
2210	Mr. Bell -	Since you've gotten the two additional dogs have you
2211	had any complaints abou	, ,
2212	any somplaints about	
2213	Mr. Plott -	I haven't really heard any complaints other than
2214	myself going out there a	nd trying to calm them down or either bring them back
2215	inside.	, ,
2216		
2217	Mr. Bell -	My concern is—as you well know, that's a high
2218	density area. So it's n	ot just your next door neighbors, it's a number of
2219	neighbors. And dogs d	lo bark. And I realize there are other dogs in the
2220	•	ore interested in you having three and then adding two
2221	more. What's the effect?	
2222		
2223	Mr. Plott -	When one barks the other barks. If they hear
2224	neighbors' dogs bark, the	ey'll respond.
2225	5 "	
2226	Mr. Bell -	And what's your response?
2227	Ma Dlatt	They don't have all the times and all the times that they
2228	Mr. Plott -	They don't bark all the time, not all the time that I've
2229	neard. If they near stra	inge noises or someone trying to approach the yard

2230 2231	they're just protecting their property or letting me know that someone is out there or someone's coming towards them or whatever.		
2232 2233 2234 2235 2236	Mr. Wright - be heard too far. As you you say they're out in the	If they're barking inside the house I doubt if that can know, I'm talking about when they're in the yard. And yard how long each day?	
2237 2238 2239 2240 2241	inside. And maybe a few	I let them out in the morning. They're out there no minutes in the morning, and then they come back hours later they want to go back out. I let them back ore than a half hour at a time. I don't leave them out all	
2242 2243	Mr. Wright -	And you are there ever day?	
2244 2245	Mr. Plott -	Yes sir.	
2246 2247	Mr. Wright -	You're not employed elsewhere or anything?	
2248 2249	Mr. Plott -	No, I'm disabled. I'm legally blind.	
2250 2251	Mr. Wright -	So you are there to care for the dogs every day.	
2252 2253	Mr. Plott -	Right, yes.	
2254 2255 2256	Mr. Wright -	All day.	
2257 2258 2259	Mr. Plott - raked up; I keep it clean.	I'm the one that maintains the yard, too. I keep it	
2260 2261	Mr. Bell - for you?	So handling the five dogs, does it create any problem	
2262 2263 2264	Mr. Plott -	They're not creating a problem for me.	
2265 2266	Mr. Wright -	You can handle them, that's the question.	
2267 2268	Mr. Plott -	Yes sir.	
2269 2270 2271	Mr. Wright - wish to offer, Mr. Plott?	All right. Any further questions? Anything further you	
2272 2273	Mr. Plott -	No, other than we agree to the terms and conditions.	
2274 2275	Mr. Bell - daughter is not going to b	One other real quick question. You implied that your e there forever. When she leaves will her two dogs—	

	2276			
	2277	Mr. Plott -	Her two dogs will move with her, yes.	
	2278			
	2279	Mr. Bell -	Okay. But do you have any idea—	
	2280			
	2281	Mr. Plott -	Until she finds another residence she will remain	
	2282	there with us.		
	2283			
	2284	Mr. Bell -	Thank you.	
	2285		,	
	2286	Mr. Wright -	All right. Is anyone in opposition and desires to	
	2287	speak? Would you come of	•	
	2288	opean. Weala year come o	Novin, prodoc, on .	
	2289	Mr. Bell -	She wants to speak, I think.	
	2290	Wil. Dell -	one wants to speak, I tillik.	
	2290	Mr. Wright -	Oh yes. Did you want to speak in support? I'm sorry.	
	2291	Yes, we'll hear from you.	On yes. Did you want to speak in support? This sorry.	
		res, we in hear from you.		
	2293	Ma Fallan	Cood marning My name is Doobel Fellon From what	
	2294	Ms. Fallon -	Good morning. My name is Rachel Fallon. From what	
	2295	I see in this letter from Katherine Perkinson, it says that she owns the house and		
	2296	she rents the house. So she's not there all day long to see what's going on. I'm		
	2297		eekend. His stepdaughter is my best friend. The dogs	
	2298	-	log is going to bark; you can't stop it unless you take	
)	2299	their vocal chords out, but	that's not going to happen.	
	2300			
	2301		for three years. They're really not a problem. I fully	
	2302	support it.		
	2303			
	2304	Mr. Wright -	Do you live in the house?	
	2305	and the second		
	2306	Ms. Fallon -	No, I do not live there.	
	2307	*		
	2308	Mr. Wright -	Okay. So you visit.	
	2309			
	2310	Ms. Fallon -	Yes. I was the one that was living with his	
	2311	stepdaughter when we ha	d to move. I also had a dog. So it kind of put us in an	
	2312	awkward situation where she had to move back. There was nothing she could		
	2313	do. She's had one of the	dogs for seven years. Trying to get rid of that dog is	
	2314	unheard of.		
	2315			
	2316	Mr. Nunnally -	Where do you live? What is your address?	
	2317	-	•	
	2318	Ms. Fallon -	I live in Varina. I live off Route 5.	
	2319			
	2320	Mr. Nunnally -	You live in Varina and he lives in Brookland District,	
b	2321	right?		

2322			
2322	Ms. Fallon -	Yes. But that's all I have to say. I support it.	
2324	1013. 1 411011 -	res. But that's an i have to say, i support it.	
2325	Mr. Wright -	Thank you very much. Now we'll hear from the	
2326	opposition.	Thank you very mach. How we'll hour hom the	
2327	opposition.		
2328	Mr. Taylor -	I hate doing this but I have to do it, so. My name is	
2329	•	r. I'm the next door neighbor.	
2330	Whender raylor i a y ro	THE TIEST GOOD HOIGHDOT.	
2331	Mr. Baka -	Address, please.	
2332	Will Balla	Address, prodes.	
2333	Mr. Taylor -	5218 West Grace Street.	
2334	······ rayioi		
2335			
2336	Is that all right?		
2337		*	
2338	Mr. Wright -	Sir?	
2339	3		
2340	Mr. Taylor -	If I just read a statement is that okay?	
2341	•	•	
2342	Mr. Wright -	Oh yes, yes.	
2343		• ,•	
2344	Mr. Taylor -	I've lived at 5218 West Grace Street for over nine	
2345	years. A lot of changes in	the neighborhood have occurred during that time. On	
2346	the positive side, a new i	row of houses and condominiums have been built at	
2347	Monument Square about	a block away from me. Willow Lawn Shopping Center	
2348	has been completely redo	one. It's now become a destination for shopping and	
2349	dining. At the same time,	due to the recession my property value has gone down	
2350	somewhat, but I'm optimis	stic due to new construction close to my house so the	
2351	neighborhood is being upli	fted a bit.	
2352			
2353		s a quiet neighborhood. There were dogs barking here	
2354		s got dogs. But Mr. Dean Haskins, who is my next door	
2355		e at 5220, he past away a few years ago. His son, Tim	
2356		nting the house—he was trying to sell it, rent it, back	
2357		nd Beverly on the other side that he would rent it to no	
2358		d he wouldn't, but he did. And that's not an issue either	
2359		Like I said, the neighbor on the other side has beagles	
2360	and the neighbor on the ba	ackside has a Rottweiler mix.	
2361	NA NAC-14	11	
2362	Mr. Wright -	How many dogs are there next door to you?	
2363	Ma. Taulan	There are there are the address the three terms.	
2364	Mr. Taylor -	There are three on the other side, three beagles.	
2365	Mr. Mrinkt	Thou hours through	
2366	Mr. Wright -	They have three?	

2368 Mr. Taylor - Yes, three beagles. The County regulation I believe is three.

Mr. Wright - Three. Do they bark?

Mr. Taylor - They bark. But we've worked out a deal. I mean I complained to them so they—they don't bark at 11:45 at night anymore usually.

But the house was rented to the Plotts, and they have a pit bull, a Staffordshire sort of terrier, pit bull sort of terrier, and a Belgian shepherd. Those are the original three dogs. Very soon after moving in the pit bull jumped the back fence to the Perkinson's property where her son, Brad Fisher, lives. Attacked her son's girlfriend and dog. Sometime thereafter Beverly on the side was gardening, had gardening gloves on. She leaned against her fence with slats and the Staffordshire nipped at her. Didn't break the skin. Nobody reported anything but just went on. I tried to make friends with the dog. I gave them cheese and stuff for the first few months that they were there. They still try to bite me if I put my hand over the fence.

When the dogs are in the yard I pretty much stay out of my backyard. Because if I go out there they're going to come to the fence, rush the fence, and bark at me very aggressively. I'm not saying they bark all the time; I understand that. That's not my complaint. I'm not complaining about loud dog barking all day long. I'm talking about the nature of the barking and the nature of the behavior of the dogs. They're not friendly dogs.

The neighbors on the back side, the Perkinsons, they have a Rottweiler and another dog mix. The have to keep their dogs inside now because every time they let their dogs out, the dogs at 5220 rush to the back fence and try to get over that fence to get those dogs as much as they can. I don't think you play QuickTime movies here. I have some QuickTime movies of this. I can leave that with you. It's the nature of the barking.

For the first time in nine years, this past spring I found thousands of house flies on the bushes around my deck, which were never there before. And that was related to the dog feces that wasn't there before. You are pretty good at picking it up. He's gotten better. But still every now and then there's still the smell and the flies. You can't get away from that. By adding two more dogs you just increase that.

I'll say the last draw was when I went out there some time this past year—and I'd like to put this up, if I may. This is on the fence between our property. That is dog feces on the fence. This happened more than once. It separates our property. It falls over into my yard. It's unsightly. I can't see how adding two dogs is going to help this situation.

2414	ž ·	fall actually was to move, to sell my house and move to
2415		I've been there nine years and it's time to move. I can't
2416	sell my house right now.	With the dogs next door, particularly with five dogs next
2417	door, my property value	—the sales price is going to drop. As a result, tax
2418	revenue is going to drop.	If I do decide to move I'm going to have to rent. If I rent,
2419	as you know, nothing aga	ninst renters, but when you start having multiple renters
2420	in a neighborhood the val	ue of the surrounding property drops.
2421		
2422	This is an area that's bein	ng built up right now with Willow Lawn, with Monument
2423	Square and other things	that are going on. I can't see the County wanting this
2424	area to just sort of fall ap	art. I feel like adding a non-commercial kennel license
2425	to a 0.25-acre lot, dense	residential, Near West End, row of Cape Cods—I don't
2426	see how that's beneficial t	to anyone in the County.
2427		
2428	I'd like to leave this, and	I've like to leave my little disc with you, too, if that's
2429	okay.	
2430		
2431	Mr. Wright -	Let me ask you a question. If the dogs were
2432	restrained or tied up, wo	uld that make a difference? So they couldn't rush the
2433	fence or get to—	
2434		
2435	Mr. Taylor -	There are too many dogs to tie up. You tried tying
2436	Brent up for a while.	
2437		
2438	Mr. Wright -	I just said if he had them.
2439		
2440	Mr. Taylor -	You're still going to have the feces.
2441		
2442	Mr. Wright -	That would be in the yard, yes.
2443		
2444	Mr. Taylor -	The yard is right next to my yard. Fortunately I'm on
2445		mostly from the west. I don't see how that really helps.
2446	<u> </u>	kept them in, but honestly I don't know why you didn't
2447		ack you personally, but the front gate is not fenced any
2448	higher. If one of the dogs	wanted to jump the front gate they can.
2449		
2450	Mr. Wright -	If you take two dogs away you still have three dogs.
2451		
2452	Mr. Taylor -	So that's three. Proportionately when you're talking
2453	about dog feces—	
2454		
2455	Mr. Wright -	I understand what you're saying, but you're still going
2456	to have a problem.	
0 4 5 5		

Mr. Taylor -

me.

2457

24582459

I will, but it will be 40 percent less. And it's not just

2460)
2461	

Mr. Wright - All right, sir. Any questions for Mr. Taylor? Thank you very much. Anyone else desire to speak further in opposition to this? All right. Mr. Plott, you have an opportunity to rebut his testimony if you so desire.

Mr. Plott - As far as the dogs being tied, if I have to I can position tie-outs for them around the yard. It is big enough to place them in separate places for maybe twenty minutes so that they can do their business and come back inside.

As far as the rushing of the fence and all that, it's a dog's normal nature to think someone is going to invade their territory. That is their yard as much as ours. They're just trying to stop them from coming in. You can reach over the fence and pet them. The neighbor behind me has done it. He's reached over the fence and petted Brenna. He's petted my Staffordshire pit, Rocky.

As far as the feces on the fence, that is how this dog has done her business all her life. She is very timid. I don't know if she has to be up against a fence. She just doesn't do her business any other way. Once I noticed it in my neighbor's yard and all that, I did agree to go over there and clean it up and all that. I try to stop her from doing it. When I let her out if I see her go towards the fence I'll move her away, or pay attention to make sure she's not doing it anymore. I do watch the fence line and try to keep it all cleaned up.

Mr. Wright - All right, sir. Anything further you'd like to say? Any questions of Mr. Plott? Thank you very much. That concludes the case.

[After the conclusion of the public hearings, the Board discussed the case and made its decision. This portion of the transcript is included here for convenience of reference.]

Mr. Wright - Do I hear a motion on this?

Mr. Bell - I'm going to have to move that we do not accept that with the conditions. We have a situation here where I think odor and barking, and even concern about safety, correct or incorrect, has been expressed by people who have testified. This is a dog area. It seems that the neighborhood has many dogs. The County code does say that you can have three dogs, which is without a kennel. Beyond that you have to have a kennel license. Because of what I've heard in terms of the concerns of safety, and health, and welfare, odor, and because of what I've heard about how it might affect the surrounding areas, I vote that we do not approve this.

Mr. Wright - All right. Motion by Mr. Bell that we deny this. Is there a second?

2506 2507	Mr. Nunnally -	Second.	
2508 2509 2510 2511	Mr. Wright - all in favor of denial say a denied.	It is seconded. Any further discussion? Hearinge. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the n	-
2512 2513 2514 2515 2516 2517 2518 2519	Nunnally, the Board denied application CUP2012-00031 , KAREN PLOTT's request for a conditional use permit pursuant to Section 24-12(e) of the County Code to allow a non-commercial kennel at 5220 W Grace Street (North Willow Lawn) (Parcel 771-736-7771), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Brookland).		
2520 2521 2522 2523 2524	Affirmative: Negative: Absent:	Baka, Bell, Nunnally, Wright Harris	4 0 1
2525 2526 2527	Mr. Wright - approve the minutes or ar	Now about the minutes. Do I hear a more there any amendments to the minutes?	tion we
2528 2529 2530	Mr. Baka - approve the minutes as so	No changes that I see. I would make a motion ubmitted.	that we
2531 2532 2533	Mr. Wright - submitted. Is there a seco	Okay. Motion is made that we approve the minord to this motion?	nutes as
2534 2535	Mr. Nunnally -	Second.	
2536 2537 2538	Mr. Wright - Seconded. Any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the minutes are approved.		
2539 2540 2541 2542 2543	On a motion by Mr. Baka, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board approved as submitted the Minutes of the August 23, 2012, Henrico County Board of Zoning Appeals meeting.		
2544 2545 2546 2547	Affirmative: Negative: Absent:	Baka, Bell, Nunnally, Wright Harris	4 0 1
2548 2549 2550 2551	Mr. Wright - come before the Board?	Mr. Blankinship, is there anything further tha	t should

2552	Mr. Blankinship -	No sir.	
2553 2554	Mr. Wright -	Do I hear a motion that we adjourn?	
2555	ivii. vviigiit -	Do i near a motion that we adjourns	
2556	Mr. Bell -	I so move that we adjourn.	
2557			
2558	Mr. Baka -	Second.	
2559	Mr. Plankinghin	All in favor any ave. All enneed any no. Th	0.01/00
2560 2561	•	All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. Th . The Board is adjourned until its next meeting.	e ayes
2562	Trave it, the motion passes.	The board is adjourned until its flext fleeting.	
2563	Affirmative:	Baka, Bell, Nunnally, Wright	4
2564	Negative:		0
2565	Absent:	Harris	1
2566			
2567			
2568 2569			
2570			
2571			
2572			•
2573		Hy Mrex	(%)
2574			×
2575		/ R. A. Wright // Acting Chairman	
2576 2577		Acting Chairman	
2578		\mathcal{C}_{1} , \mathcal{C}_{1}	
2579			
2580		100.	
2581		Benjamin Blankinship,∕Al⊈P	
2582		Secretary	