Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of Henrico County held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and Hungary Springs Roads beginning at 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, December 14, 2016.

4 Members Present:

Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C., Chair (Fairfield)

Mr. Gregory R. Baka (Tuckahoe)
Mr. Eric Leabough, C.P.C., (Varina)
Mrs. Sandra M. Marshall (Three Chopt)
Mr. Robert H. Witte, Jr., (Brookland)
Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., AICP,
Director of Planning, Secretary

Mr. Frank J. Thornton,

Board of Supervisors' Representative

Others Present:

Ms. Jean Moore, Assistant Director of Planning

Ms. Leslie A. News, PLA, Senior Principal Planner Mr. Kevin D. Wilhite, C.P.C., AICP, County Planner

Mr. Michael F. Kennedy, County Planner

Ms. Christina L. Goggin, AICP, County Planner Mr. Tony Greulich, C.P.C., County Planner

Mr. Matt Ward, County Planner

Mr. Gregory Garrison, AICP, County Planner Mr. Lee Pambid, C.P.C., County Planner Ms. Aimee B. Crady, AICP, County Planner

Ms. Kate McMillion, County Planner

Ms. Sharon Smidler, P.E., Traffic Engineer Mr. Gary A. DuVal, P.E., Traffic Engineer

Mr. Ross Lewis, Division of Police Ms. Latrice Gordon, Division of Police

Ms. Melissa Ferrante, Office Assistant / Recording Secretary

5

Mr. Frank J. Thornton, the Board of Supervisors' representative, abstains on all cases unless otherwise noted.

7 8 9

10

11

12

Mr. Archer - The Planning Commission will come to order. Good morning, everyone. Welcome to the last official Planning Commission meeting of the year, December 14, 2016, subdivisions and plans of development. I would like to ask that you please turn off or mute your cell phones. With that, let us rise and pledge allegiance to the flag.

13 14 15

16

Thank you. I'd like to welcome Mr. Frank Thornton, who is the Fairfield District supervisor who has been serving as the liaison between the Board and us this year. Good to see you sir.

17 18 19

Mr. Thornton - Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Archer - With that I'll turn things over to our secretary, Mr. Emerson, and we'll begin.

Mr. Emerson - Thank you, Mr. Chairman. First on your agenda this morning are the requests for deferrals and withdrawals. Those will be presented by Ms. Leslie News.

27 28

Mr. Archer - Good morning, Ms. News.

29 30

31

32

33

Ms. News - Good morning, members of the Commission. We have one item that has requested a deferral on your agenda this morning. That's on page 7 of your agenda and located in the Fairfield District. This is POD2015-00555, Walmart Neighborhood Market at Henrico Plaza Shopping Center. A deferral has been requested by the applicant to the January 25, 2017 meeting.

34 35 36

(Deferred from the September 28, 2016 Meeting)

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

37 38

> POD2015-00555 Walmart Neighborhood Market at Henrico Plaza Shopping Center – 4000 Mechanicsville Turnpike (U.S. Route 360)

Bohler Engineering for GBR Henrico Limited Liability Company/Plaza 360 Resources LP and Wal-Mart Real Estate Business Trust: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story 41,952 square foot retail grocery store with drive-through pharmacy, and a one-story 754 square foot convenience market with fueling center in an existing shopping center. The 7.47 acre portion of the 27.389-acre site is located on the west line of Mechanicsville Turnpike (U.S. Route 360), approximately 550 feet south of Evans Road, on part of parcel 803-737-0018. The zoning is B-2, Business District and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Fairfield)

39 40

41

42 43 Mr. Archer - Thank you, Ms. News. Is there anyone present who is opposed to the deferral of POD2015-00555, Walmart Neighborhood Market at Henrico Plaza Shopping Center? In that case, I move that POD2015-00555, Walmart Neighborhood Market at Henrico Plaza Shopping Center, be deferred to the January 25, 2017 meeting at the request of the applicant.

44 45 46

Mr. Witte - Second.

47

Mr. Archer - Motion by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Witte. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred POD2015-00555, 51 Walmart Neighborhood Market at Henrico Plaza Shopping Center, to its January 25, 2017 52 meeting. 53 54 Staff is not aware of any further requests. Ms. News -55 56 Mr. Emerson -Mr. Chairman, if the Commission has no further deferrals to 57 enter at this time, we now move on to the expedited agenda, which also will be presented 58 by Ms. Leslie News. 59 60 We have five items on our expedited agenda this morning. The Ms. News -61 first is found on page 4 of your agenda and located in the Three Chopt District. This is a 62 transfer of approval for POD-030-87, 4800 Building, formerly the Owens-Minor Office 63 Building. Staff recommends approval. 64 65 TRANSFER OF APPROVAL 66 67 Lucas Crocker for Allegiancy: Request for transfer of POD-030-87 approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the POD2016-00464 Henrico County Code from The Innsbrook Corporation to 4800 Building (Formerly REVA Kay Innsbrook, LLC. The 4.94-acre site is located on Owens-Minor Office the western line of Cox Road, approximately 500 feet north Building) – 4800 Cox of Nuckols Road, on parcel 751-767-7589. The zoning is O-Road 3C, Office District (Conditional) and C-1C, Conservation District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt) 68 Thank you. Is there anyone present who is opposed to this Mr. Archer -69 transfer for POD-030-87 (POD2016-00464), 4800 Building (formerly Owens-Minor Office 70 Building)? I see no opposition. 71 72 Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the transfer of approval for Mrs. Marshall -73 POD-030-87 (POD2016-00464), 4800 Building (formerly Owens-Minor Office Building), as 74 presented, subject to the previously approved conditions on the expedited agenda. 75 76 Mr. Leabough -Second. 77 78 Motion by Mrs. Marshall and seconded by Mr. Leabough. All in Mr. Archer -79 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes. 80

3

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-030-87

(POD2016-00464), 4800 Building (formerly Owens-Minor Office Building) from The

Innsbrook Corporation to REVA Kay Innsbrook, LLC, subject to the standard and added

conditions previously approved.

81

82

83

84

Ms. News - The next item is on page 5 of your agenda and located in the Fairfield District. This is a transfer of approval for POD-113-97, Auto Haven Brook Road, which was formerly Master Clean Car Wash. Staff recommends approval.

899091

87

88

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

92

POD-113-97 POD2014-00412 Auto Haven – Brook Road (Formerly Master Clean Car Wash) – 7220 Brook Road

Bruce R. Kurlander for JIHong, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Master Clean Carwash and Chesapeake Holdings BRV, LLC to JIHong, LLC. The 2.25-acre site is located on the southwest corner of the intersection of Brook Road and Lakeside Avenue, on parcel 784-751-5971. The zoning is B-3, Business District. County water and sewer. (Fairfield)

93 94

95

96

97

Mr. Archer - Thank you, Ms. News. Anyone present who is opposed to this transfer, POD-113-97 (POD2014-00412), Auto Haven – Brook Road (formerly Master Clean Car Wash)? No opposition. I move that transfer of approval POD-113-97 (POD2014-00412), Auto Haven – Brook Road (formerly Master Clean Car Wash) be approved.

98 99 100

Mrs. Marshall -

101102

Mr. Archer - Motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mrs. Marshall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

Second.

103104105

106

107

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-113-97 (POD2014-00412), Auto Haven – Brook Road (formerly Master Clean Car Wash), from Master Clean Carwash and Chesapeake Holdings BRV, LLC to JIHong, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

108 109 110

111

Ms. News - The next item is on page 6 of your agenda and located in the Tuckahoe District. This is a transfer of approval of approval for POD-063-97, Brookdale West End Richmond, formerly Brighton Gardens. Staff recommends approval.

112113114

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

115

POD-063-97 POD2015-00280 Brookdale West End Richmond (Formerly Brighton Gardens) – 1800 Gaskins Road Eric Hoaglund for HCP Aur1 Virginia, LLC & LP: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Rainbrook Company and Marriott International to HCP Aur1 Virignia, LLC & LP. The 5.98-acre site is located on the western line of Gaskins Road at its intersection with Della Drive, on parcel 746-748-9956. The zoning is R-6, General Residence District. County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe)

- 117 Mr. Archer Thank you. Is there anyone present who is opposed to this 118 transfer of approval POD-063-97 (POD2015-00280), Brookdale West End Richmond 119 (formerly Brighton Gardens)? No opposition, Mr. Witte.
- Mr. Baka Mr. Chairman, I move for approval—
- 123 Mr. Archer Oh, I'm sorry.
 124
 125 Mr. Baka That's okay.
- 128
 129 Mr. Baka That's fine. Mr. Chairman, I move for approval for transfer of POD-063-97 (POD2015-00280), Brookdale West End Richmond (formerly Brighton
- Gardens), as presented, subject to the previously approved conditions.

Second.

Mr. Baka.

- Mr. Archer Motion by Mr. Baka and seconded by Mr. Witte. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.
- The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-063-97 (POD2015-00280), Brookdale West End Richmond (formerly Brighton Gardens) from Rainbrook Company and Marriott International to HCP Aur1 Virignia, LLC & LP, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.
 - Ms. News The next item is on page 14 of your agenda and located in the Tuckahoe District. This is a plan of development and a lighting plan for POD2016-00499, Forest Avenue, Medical Office Building at Reynolds Crossing. There is an addendum item on page 1 of your addendum that includes a revised plan indicating that the drainage issues have been resolved and also a revised conceptual landscape plan adding a row of shrubs along Glenside Drive. This is an added item on the addendum to add 9 amended to this case so that the landscaping would come back for later consideration to the Commission. With that, staff recommends approval.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

Forest Avenue MOB at
Reynolds Crossing –
6946 Forest Ave

RK&K for Reynol
Development: R
development and I
Section 24-106 of two-story 49,200 s

RK&K for Reynolds Holdings, LLC and Clear Springs Development: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a two-story 49,200 square foot medical office building in an existing shopping center. The 4.98-acre site is located on the south line of Glenside Drive in Reynolds Crossing, south of the intersection of I-64 and Glenside Drive, on parcel 765-745-8202. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. **(Tuckahoe)**

126

127

133

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150 151

152 153 Mr. Archer -

Mr. Witte -

Mr. Archer - All right, thank you ma'am. Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2016-00499, Forest Avenue MOB at Reynolds Crossing? I see no opposition. Mr. Baka.

158

Mr. Baka - Mr. Chairman, I would move for approval of POD2016-00499, Forest Avenue MOB at Reynolds Crossing as presented and as amended subject to the annotations on the plans and to the amended conditions for development including condition #9 amended addressing landscaping changes.

163

164 Mr. Leabough - Second.

165

Mr. Archer - Motion by Mr. Baka and seconded by Mr. Leabough. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

168 169

170

171

The Planning Commission approved the plan of development and lighting plan for POD2016-00499, Forest Avenue MOB at Reynolds Crossing, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

172173

- 9. **AMENDED ADDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 177 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
- Only retail business establishments permitted in a B-2 zone may be located in this center.
- The ground area covered by all the buildings shall not exceed in the aggregate 25 percent of the total site area.
- No merchandise shall be displayed or stored outside of the building(s) or on sidewalk(s).
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-13C-07 and C-22C-04 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- The existing sanitary sewer easement in conflict with the building footprint shall be vacated prior to approval of the certificate of occupancy for the said building.
- Evidence of a joint ingress/egress and maintenance agreement must be submitted to the Department of Planning and approved prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for this development.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

The final item is on page 18 of your agenda and located in the Ms. News -Three Chopt District. This is a landscape and lighting plan for POD2016-00497 and POD2016-00498, Retail West at West Broad Marketplace, Phase 5, for a landscape plan and revised lighting plan. Staff recommends approval

203 204 205

206

200

201

202

LANDSCAPE AND LIGHTING PLAN

POD2016-00497 & POD2016-00498 Retail West at West Broad Marketplace. Phase 5 -12300 West Broad Street

VHB for Excel West Broad Marketplace, LLC: Request for approval of a landscape plan and a revised lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 12.7-acre site is located on the northern line of West Broad Street, approximately 2.000 feet west of its intersection with North Gayton Road, on parcel 731-765-7981. The zoning is B-3C, Business District (Conditional) and WSBO, West Broad Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

207 208

209

Thank you. Is there anyone present who is opposed to Mr. Archer -POD2016-00497 and POD2016-00498, Retail West at West Broad Marketplace, Phase 5? No opposition, Mrs. Marshall.

210 211 212

213

214

Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the landscape plan and Mrs. Marshall revised lighting plan for POD2016-00497 and POD2016-00498, Retail West at West Broad Marketplace. Phase 5, on the expedited agenda, subject to the annotations on the plans and the standard conditions for landscape and lighting plans.

215 216

217 Mr. Baka -Second.

218 219

Motion by Mrs. Marshall and seconded by Mr. Baka. All in favor Mr. Archer say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

220 221 222

223

224

The Planning Commission approved the landscape and lighting plan for POD2016-00497 and POD2016-00498, Retail West at West Broad Marketplace, Phase 5, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for landscape and lighting plans.

225 226 227

Ms. News -That completes our expedited agenda.

228

Thank you, Ms. News. Mr. Archer -229

230

Ms. News -You're welcome. 231

232

Mr. Chairman, we now move on to Subdivision Extensions of Mr. Emerson -233 Conditional Approval. Those will be presented by Ms. Kate McMillion. 234

235

EXTENSIONS - FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

240

Subdivision	Original No. of Lots	Remaining Lots	Previous Extensions	Magisterial District	Recommended Extension
SUB2012- 00155 Ridings at Warner Farm (December 2012 Plan)	314	247	3	Varina	December 13, 2017

241 242

Mr. Archer -

Good morning, Ms. McMillion.

243 244

245

246

247

248

Ms. McMillion -Good morning. There is one informational conditional subdivision extension on the agenda this morning. The map indicates in red the location of the subdivision, Ridings at Warner Farm (December 2012 Plan) which is presented for the extension of conditional approval. This case, located in the Varina District, is eligible for a one-year extension, which does not require Commission action and is for information purposes only.

249 250 251

I'm available for any questions that you may have.

252

Mr. Archer -253

Thank you, Ms. McMillion. Anyone have a question? No

questions. Thank you so much. 254

255 256

Ms. McMillion -

Thank you.

257 258

259

Mr. Emerson -

Mr. Chairman, we now move into your regular agenda, page 3, for POD-002-07 and POD2016-00426, Thalhimer for Joseph Bruce, LLC. The staff report

will be presented by Ms. Aimee Crady.

260 261

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

262 263

> POD-002-07 (pt) POD2016-00426 East Parham (Formerly Panera Bread & Retail Shops) - 8800 Staples Mill Road

Thalhimer for Joseph Bruce, LLC: Request for transfer of approval of a portion of a plan of development as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Dynamic Commercial Real Estate Advisors to Joseph Bruce, LLC. The 1.58-acre site is located on the northwest corner at the intersection of East Parham Road and Staples Mill Road (U.S. Route 33), on parcel 769-755-9242. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Brookland)

Mr. Archer - Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD-002-07 (pt) POD2016-00426, East Parham (formerly Panera Bread & Retail Shops)? No opposition. Good morning, Ms. Crady.

268269

270

271

272

Ms. Crady - Good morning. The new owner's managing agent has addressed deficiencies including the excess dumpster removal in the rear, replacement of missing landscaping, and pavement and concrete repair. All the work is complete as the adjacent site has just started construction on that new building. Staff recommends approval of the transfer request for this.

273274275

Mr. Archer - All right. Are there any questions?

276277

Mr. Witte - Mr. Chairman, I move approval of POD-002-07 (pt) POD2016-00426, East Parham (formerly Panera Bread & Retail Shops), as presented, subject to the previously approved conditions.

279280

278

281 Mr. Leabough - Second.

282 283

Mr. Archer - All right. Motion by Mr. Witte, seconded by Mr. Leabough. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

284 285 286

287

288

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-002-07 (pt) POD2016-00426, East Parham (formerly Panera Bread & Retail Shops) from Dynamic Commercial Real Estate Advisors to Joseph Bruce, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

289 290 291

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, we now move to page 10 of your agenda for POD2016-00484, Bay Companies for Mankin Properties, LLC and Godsey Properties. The staff report will be presented by Ms. Aimee Crady.

293 294 295

292

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

296

POD2016-00484 Townes at Oakley's Bluff, Section 2 – 4201 Oakley's Lane Bay Companies for Mankin Properties, LLC and Godsey Properties: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct 36 residential townhouses for sale. The 2.33-acre portion of the 28.67-acre site is located on the northern side of Oakley's Lane, approximately 100 feet east of Oakley's Place, on parcels 817-721-1183, 817-721-1858, 817-721-3839, 817-721-6515, 817-721-5981, and 816-721-9466. The zoning is RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional) and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)

- Mr. Archer -298 All right, thank you. Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2016-00484, Townes at Oakley's Bluff, Section 2? We have opposition in the back. 299
- We will get to you, sir. Thank you. Ms. Crady? 300

Ms. Crady -Good morning. The Townes at Oakley's Bluff residential townhome development is currently under construction for the first 45 units approved 303 previously with Section 1. These additional 36 lots for approval today in Section 2 are 304 located generally within the current area of disturbance and will infill the blocks reserved 305 by the previous plan for a total of 81 units. 306

307

Elevations for the units are included in your agenda and they match the architectural 308 elevations approved in 2011 for Section 1. Proffers of the 2011 zoning case further 309 regulate details such as minimum house size, number of windows on side units, roofing 310 materials, chimneys, garages, and sound suppression. Construction hours are limited to 311 Monday through Friday and specifically regulate the type of activity unless otherwise 312 arranged with the adjacent property owner of Mankin Mansion. 313

314

Staff finds the plan to be consistent with the proffered concept plan and requirements, and 315 recommends approval subject to the annotations on the plan, the standard conditions for 316 developments of this type, and conditions 29 through 39 in the agenda. 317

318

Dan Caskie of Bay Companies is here representing the applicant, and staff can answer 319 any questions you may have of staff. 320

321

Mr. Archer -322 Thank you, Ms. Crady. We do have opposition. Are there any questions for Ms. Crady from the Commission? Okay. 323

324

325 Mr. Leabough -Mr. Archer, could we hear from the opposition first, please?

326

Mr. Archer -327 All right. Would you please come down, sir, and state your name for the record? 328

329

Mr. Ramirez -330 Martin Ramirez, owner of Historic Mankin Mansion.

331

Mr. Archer -332 Good morning, Mr. Ramirez.

333

334 Mr. Ramirez -Good morning Mr. Chairman, members of the Planning Commission, and Mr. Thornton. This is not so much opposition but just some concerns 335 regarding the project. Since the project was approved a couple years ago, there have been 336 a couple of things. We are mainly concerned with the working on the weekends. According 337 to proffer 27-hours of construction, they're not supposed to be doing any work whatsoever 338 on the outside. And once it becomes buildings, then they can check with Mankin Mansion 339 about working on Saturdays. 340

341

Over the last couple of years, they have been working on Saturdays, and we will go over 342 there and ask them if they could stop working at a certain time because of our business 343

being affected. Most of the time it's people that are subcontracted, so they're not working directly with Mr. Godsey. However, a couple of months ago, my wife went over there prior to a Saturday wedding that we had and asked the people to stop working. The gentleman said—actually, Mr. Godsey is right there on the bulldozer. And he said would you like to talk to him, and my wife said yes. And so the gentleman came back and said Mr. Godsey said we'll stop working right away. My wife left. A couple of hours later, I had to go back again because they were still working out there, and we were about to start our wedding. At that point, the gentleman said we have about 15 more minutes and then we're finished.

So our main concern is that the proffers aren't being followed in terms of the hours of construction. Godsey management has never reached out to us to find out when we are having events at our place. Our events are usually planned out a year out, so we could gladly give them a schedule of what's going on. We're not against necessarily them working, but just we wanted to know what's going on so we can tell them at this time we have an event and it's going to affect it if you keep on working.

A couple other things of concern would be the buffer that is on #26. Just wanting to know when that's going to be started, along with the construction. The road maintenance that's being affected by this project, they have two entrances that basically surround our property. If you're familiar with our property, they have entrances on the left and on the right side so their construction vehicles are going back and forth, creating a lot of debris. They're mostly like dirt trucks, dump trucks that are going back and forth. They've actually had to fill in some potholes themselves because of the damage that they're causing on the road. Again, it affects the look of our business as potential clients come up and not only see construction happening, but these bulldozers parked literally right across the street from us.

Those are our main concerns in terms of the project. Not so much opposition, again, just concerns that these proffers, in particular the working on weekends is not being followed. Thank you for your time.

Mr. Archer - Thank you, Mr. Ramirez. Any questions?

Mr. Leabough - One quick question. When they were working on the weekends and the other concerns that you have, have you conveyed those to the County staff?

Mr. Ramirez - We did call about a month ago or so. I believe it was Jean Moore that we spoke to. Since then there has not been any work on the weekends.

Mr. Leabough - But prior to that. You mentioned two years ago or something like that?

Mr. Ramirez - Oh, just over the last couple of years. Since a couple of years ago when the project was approved, their work over there has been very sporadic. They have not had regular hours. They'll work one day, two days, then they'll disappear for three weeks. Then they'll come back and work. And if it's not affecting us on a Saturday, we

390 391 392	haven't gone over there. We don't mind as long as when we do have something going on that they check in with us, as the proffers state.	
393 394	Mr. Leabough -	But when you contacted staff, things got better.
395 396 397 398	Mr. Ramirez - correct. I don't know if it's that.	Since then, there has not been any work on Saturdays, that is coincidence, but we appreciate the County helping us out with
399 400	Mr. Leabough -	Okay. Thank you, sir.
401 402	Mr. Archer -	Thank you, Mr. Ramirez.
403 404 405	Mr. Baka - south of the subject prope	Just to clarify your location, sir, you're the property directly on Oakley's Lane, A-1 zoned?
406 407	Mr. Ramirez -	I'm not sure if that—
408 409	Mr. Leabough -	It's hard to see on that map.
410 411	Mr. Baka -	Directly south.
412 413	Mr. Archer -	Right there.
414 415 416 417	Mr. Ramirez - be their main entrance. And And then that's where they	Yes. They have an entrance on the left of us, which seems to not on the right of us, they also have another area of entrance. It park their bulldozers and all that, right next to the street.
418 419	Mr. Baka -	Thank you.
420 421	Mr. Leabough -	Thank you, sir.
422 423 424	Mr. Archer - need to hear from the app	All right, Mr. Leabough, how do you want to proceed? Do you licant?
425 426	Mr. Leabough -	Yes sir.
427 428 429	Mr. Archer - name for the record, pleas	All right. Will the applicant please come forward. And state your e.
430 431	Mr. Caskie -	Good morning. I'm Dan Caskie with Bay Companies.
432 433	Mr. Archer -	Good morning, Mr. Caskie.
434 435	Mr. Leabough - related to hours of constru	So you've heard a number of concerns raised by Mr. Ramirez ction.

436	Mr. Cookio	Yes, sir. I received an e-mail I guess a day or two ago, so I
437 438	Mr. Caskie -	alked to Doug Godsey. He's the developer and the contractor.
439	And first of all, he's telling n	ne that all of his construction is complete with Section 1. Section
440	1 is pretty well complete. T	here's a little bit that has to be constructed in Section 2, just so
441	everybody knows where he	
442	, ,	
443	I think it would be helpful for	or us to get a schedule from Mankin Mansion to make sure that
444	that's in front of Doug so h	e understands what their conflicts are.
445		
446	Mr. Leabough -	It shouldn't matter because they're not supposed to be working
447	on Saturdays anyway.	
448		Digital sight I understand I thought there was a provision in
449	Mr. Caskie -	Right, right, I understand. I thought there was a provision in
450	there that if they talked to	o the folks at Mankin Mansion, if they coordinated that—and ordination that's fallen through the cracks. And he isn't on there
451	overy day, and I think that	may be part of the challenge of what's going on. I talked to him
452 453		's aware of what's going on.
454	yesterday about it, and no	o awaro or what o going on
455	Mr. Leabough -	So what's his plan to address it? Just to better coordinate with
456	Mankin Mansion?	
457		*
458	Mr. Caskie -	Yes. That's what I would suggest, yes.
459		
460	Mr. Leabough -	Okay.
461		A 1 100 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 Martin appele up the calcula
462	Mr. Caskie -	And I'll make sure that Martin sends us the schedule.
463	Mr. Loobough	Okay. Buffer. That was brought up as well. Could you speak to
464 465	Mr. Leabough - that?	Okay. Bullet. That was brought up as well. Obdid you spout to
466	tilat:	
467	Mr. Caskie -	Yes sir. With the grading recently complete, I would expect that
468	he's going to start plantin	ig that sometime soon. I think now is the time to really do it,
469	between now and spring.	So I expect that that's when it's going to be planted. I think Ryan
470	Homes is getting ready to	start building in there.
471		
472	Mr. Leabough -	So Ryan is the builder?
473		
474	Mr. Caskie -	Ryan's the builder, yes, sir.
475	Mar I and accord	Okay So they would need to be goordinated with as well
476	Mr. Leabough -	Okay. So they would need to be coordinated with as well,
477	correct?	
478 479	Mr. Caskie -	That's correct.
480	Wii. Oddicio	
481	Mr. Leabough -	Road maintenance was another concern Mr. Ramirez shared.
	J	

482		
483	Mr. Caskie -	This is the first I've heard of that. I assume, and maybe it's an
484	incorrect assumption, that	t Doug is tearing something up on the road that he's fixing,
485	sounds like he is. But it so	unds like he needs to also be a little bit more diligent by cleaning
486	the road if there are dump	trucks going on that road.
487		
488	Mr. Leabough -	Okay. And so since he's finishing up with the site work, it
489	sounds like the equipment	should be a moot issue at this point? I think Mr. Ramirez shared
490	that they're staging equipr	ment close to the roadway instead of kind of interior to the site?
491		·
492	Mr. Caskie -	Right. I'm not sure if he's going to keep anything on site for
493	Section 2, because there i	is a little bit of stuff on the back of Section 2 that needs to—
494		
495	Mr. Leabough -	But he could store the equipment back there.
496	_	
497	Mr. Caskie -	He could, he could. And I'll tell him about that, to get his stuff
498	to the rear of the site.	, and the state of the state
499		
500	Mr. Leabough -	Okay. Anything else I missed?
501	G	, and an
502	Mr. Archer -	Anybody catch anything he missed?
503		, as all states and anning the timesed.
504	Mr. Emerson -	I believe you covered it all, Mr. Leabough.
505		,
506	Mr. Leabough -	Okay. I would in normal conditions defer this, but it sounds like
507	you all are willing to be a go	ood neighbor, and the Mankin Mansion people seem like they're
508	reasonable to work with in	terms of coordination and working through this. Can I get your
509	commitment to work through	gh this offline with the Mankin Mansion folks?
510		
511	Mr. Caskie -	Yes. Yes sir.
512		
513	Mr. Leabough -	Okay. Monday through Friday construction, Mr. Ramirez, if
514	you're having an issue, cal	I the County staff. They'll definitely deal with it. They're going to
515	work with you. I just want to	make sure that the subs—because you know that's what often
516	happens. It's not the gener	al contractor, because their team knows. It's the subs that show
517	up because they're behind	and they're on a deadline to complete work, so they may not
518	know. So if you all could kin	nd of police it as well, in addition to sharing your phone number,
519	like on the weekend, some	one that can be reached if they're out there working so that they
520	can address it immediately	/.
521	,	
522	Mr. Caskie -	Okay.
523		v
524	Mr. Leabough -	That would be great.
525	Ü	
526	Mr. Caskie -	Yes sir.
505		

Thank you, Mr. Caskie. Could you please come back down? Mr. Leabough -528 529 Mr. Ramirez, you have to come back down front, sir. Mr. Archer -530 531 Is there something that can be posted or should be posted Mr. Ramirez -532 regarding the hours of operation for the subs to know and be aware? 533 534 Sure, that's a great idea. Mr. Caskie, could you come back up? Mr. Leabough -535 Mr. Ramirez is asking could you post a sign or something— 536 537 Yes sir. 538 Mr. Caskie -539 —that conveys the hours of operation so that any sub that pulls Mr. Leabough -540 up, they would know that they're in the wrong if they go back there and start working, 541 unless it's preauthorized or preapproved. 542 543 Mr. Caskie -I will, yes sir. 544 545 Thank you, sir. Mr. Leabough -546 547 All right, Mr. Leabough. Mr. Archer -548 549 Mr. Chair, if there are no other questions, I move that Mr. Leabough -550 POD2016-00484, Townes at Oakley's Bluff, Section 2, be approved subject to annotations 551 on the plans, standard conditions for developments of this type, and the additional 552 conditions 29 through 39 as noted on the agenda. 553 554 Mr. Baka -Second. 555 556 Motion by Mr. Leabough and seconded by Mr. Baka. All in 557 Mr. Archer favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes. 558 559 The Planning Commission approved POD2016-00484, Townes at Oakley's Bluff, Section 560 2, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these 561 minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions: 562 563 The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives. 29. 564 The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the 30. 565 Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be 566 included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name 567 signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval. 568 The subdivision plat for Townes at Oakley's Bluff Section Two shall be recorded 31. 569 before any building permits are issued. 570 Prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for any building in this development, 32. 571 the engineer of record shall certify that the site has been graded in accordance with 572 the approved grading plans.

- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-1C-11 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- A construction staging plan which includes details for traffic control, fire protection, stockpile locations, construction fencing and hours of construction shall be submitted for County review and prior to the approval of any final construction plans.
- 35. A note in bold lettering shall be provided on the erosion control plan indicating that sediment basins or traps located within buildable areas or building pads shall be reclaimed with engineered fill. All materials shall be deposited and compacted in accordance with the applicable sections of the state building code and geotechnical guidelines established by the engineer. An engineer's report certifying the suitability of the fill materials and its compaction shall be submitted for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Director of Public Works and the Building Official prior to the issuance of any building permit(s) on the affected sites.
 - 36. The pavement shall be of an SM-2A type and shall be constructed in accordance with County standard and specifications. The developer shall post a defect bond for all pavement with the Department of Planning the exact type, amount and implementation shall be determined by the Director of Planning, to protect the interest of the members of the Homeowners Association. The defect bond shall remain in effect for a period of three years from the date of the issuance of the final occupancy permit. Prior to the issuance of the last Certificate of Occupancy, a professional engineer must certify that the roads have been designed and constructed in accordance with County standards.
 - 37. The developer shall provide signage, the wording and location as deemed appropriate by the Director of Public works, which addresses the possible future extension of any stub street.
 - The limits and elevations of the Special Flood Hazard Area shall be conspicuously noted on the plan and labeled "Limits of Special Flood Hazard Area." In addition, the delineated Special Flood Hazard Area must be labeled "Variable Width Drainage and Utility Easement." The easement shall be granted to the County prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
 - 39. Except for junction boxes, meters, and existing overhead utility lines, and for technical or environmental reasons, all utility lines shall be underground.

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, we move on to page 12 of your agenda for POD2016-00474, Engineering Design Associates for Siddiqi Almel & David Gripshover and Questar Builders, LLC. The staff report will be presented by Mr. Greg Garrison.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

POD2016-00474 Settler's Ridge Section C – Burning Tree Road Engineering Design Associates for Siddiqi Almel & David Gripshover and Questar Builders, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct 70 detached dwellings for sale with zero lot lines. The 18.82-acre site is located on the south line of Burning Tree Road, approximately 1,300 feet west of its intersection with South Laburnum Avenue, and along the north line of Pocahontas Parkway (State Route 895), on parcel 805-692-5206. The zoning is R-5AC, General Residential District. County water and sewer. (Varina)

Mr. Archer - Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2016-00474, Settler's Ridge Section C? We have opposition. We'll get to you, sir. All right. Good morning, Mr. Garrison.

Mr. Garrison - Good morning. This is a request to construct 70 detached dwellings for sale with zero lot lines in Section C. The elevations submitted are consistent with the exhibits from zoning case C-74C-03 and with what has been previously approved in Sections A and B.

The original layout submitted for Section C was in general conformance with the conditional subdivision that was approved May 26, 2004, including an emergency access that was through Newcastle Road onto Ansley, right here. However, since 2004, staff has consistently requested two full points of access onto Burning Tree Road in lieu of the emergency access originally proposed at Newcastle Road. The new owners have agreed and prefer a second point of access as requested by staff. The plan in your agenda reflects the second point of access onto Burning Tree Road; however, staff has received concerns from current residents residing in Sections A and B, and are here to voice their concerns regarding security.

The plan does meet the technical requirements for staff to recommend approval subject to the annotations on the plans, standard conditions for zero-lot-line developments, and added conditions 9 and 11 AMENDED and 29 through 37.

Staff and Randy Hooker are available to answer any questions you may have, and the applicants are available as well.

653 Mr. Archer - All right, thank you, Mr. Garrison. Are there questions from the 654 Commission for Mr. Garrison?

Mr. Leabough - Mr. Garrison, just to confirm. Staff is recommending that the second point of access be incorporated within the site plan.

Mr. Garrison - Yes, sir.

660 661	Mr. Loobough	Okova Pill and Abrah Abrah Abrah Commission
662	Mr. Leabough -	Okay. I'll ask that the traffic engineer come up and give us ng why that is recommended. But that is a staff recommendation.
663	Good morning, Mr. DuVa	ing why that is recommended. But that is a stail recommendation.
664	Cood morning, Wr. Duva	II.
665	Mr. DuVal -	Good morning, Commission. My name is Gary DuVal. With
666	Settler's Ridge, we suppo	ort the second access. The code calls for any time we have above
667	50 units that we have a se	econd point of access. This is mainly due to safety. Safety issues
668	are paramount for the Co	ounty, and we encourage and strongly support a second access
669	to Settler's Ridge.	
670	NA . I I I	
671	Mr. Leabough -	Can you please elaborate in terms of safety why this makes
672 673	this site more safe by add	ding that second point of access?
674	Mr. DuVal -	Ves sir. If there was comothing to bennen at the entrepes that
675		Yes sir. If there was something to happen at the entrance that —a car on fire, a car disabled, or anything such as that, it would
676	deter access to the prop	erty. The emergency access that is there currently through the
677	adjacent neighborhood is	a gravel lot and road that is hard to access. And a paved access
678	would provide the access	that the County requires any time we go above 50 units.
679		
680	Mr. Leabough -	What about from a traffic flow perspective?
681	Mr. Dullal	
682 683	Mr. DuVal -	The two points of access allow traffic to flow from certain areas
684	reflected As the County r	one unique and continuous subdivision, and so therefore it's eviews the subdivision for its acceptance, it just provides a better
685	access. We allow access	spacing of 150 feet along roads such as Burning Tree to do that
686	for safe ingress and egre	ss, and this meets all of the criteria of the County for that.
687	3	to, and the most and of the ording for that.
688	Mr. Leabough -	Okay. No further questions, Mr. Chair.
689		
690	Mr. Archer -	All right. Anyone else have any questions?
691	Mr. Lookarrak	The Land Book of
692	Mr. Leabough -	Thank you, Mr. DuVal.
693 694	Mr. Archer -	All right Mr. Loohaugh we have ennesition
695	Wil. Allonor	All right. Mr. Leabough, we have opposition.
696	Mr. Leabough -	Yes.
697	3	
698	Mr. Archer -	Who would you like to hear from first?
699		
700	Mr. Leabough -	The opposition first, please.
701	Ma. Analana	
702	Mr. Archer -	Okay. Sir, would you come up please and state your name for
703 704	the record?	
704	Mr. Leabough -	Have we read the guidelines for speaking?
703	Loaboagii -	Thave we read the guidelines for speaking?

Mr. Archer -

No. Mr. Secretary will do that now.

Mr. Emerson - Yes sir, Mr. Chairman. As noted, you do have guidelines regarding your public hearings, and they are as follows: The applicant is allowed ten minutes to present the request and time may be reserved for responses to testimony. The opposition is allowed a cumulative ten minutes to present its concerns, meaning everyone that wishes to speak in reference to the case needs to do so within that ten minutes.

Commission questions do not count into the time limits. The Commission may waive the limits for either party at its discretion. All comments must be directly related to the case under consideration.

Mr. Archer - Thank you, sir. All right, sir, your name for the record, if you would please.

Mr. Kain - The name's Randy Kain. I'm president of the board of directors at Settler's Ridge, Sections A and B.

Mr. Archer - Go right ahead.

Mr. Kain - The access road that is being proposed, we're not going to fight against that right now. The access road we think is adequate with what we have. We have a boulevard entrance, which is two roads. So if one road got blocked, they could certainly use the other road to get in. And then you have the emergency exit also. So with the County, whichever way they want to go, that's fine with us.

My biggest dilemma right now is the POD, if it gets approved today, we've been negotiating with the developers on some other matters to annex Section C back into Settler's Ridge. As you may not know or do know, Section C declared bankruptcy and fell out of the original declaration. So they were not part of Settler's Ridge, Inc. Now, we want them to come back in, to be very honest with you. But we have some negotiations that we're trying to perform to expand our community building to allow us to have the meetings that we've been having for the last ten years with all our residents, including Section C. We have a community building right now where we have 97 residents that participate. And we do have active participation, by the way. We had our Christmas party last night.

Anyway, so now if we bring 70 more residents in, it will overflow the building and we cannot maintain our schedules of having dinners and meetings with all of the community. And this is important to us. We are an over-55, active community group and want to keep maintaining our lifestyle.

We have been negotiating with the developers in order to annex Section C. The document in the form of a supplemental declaration in addition to annexing the property to our declaration will also reflect the terms of the agreement between the developers and Settler's Ridge Homeowners Association, Inc., which would be recorded in the land

records for Henrico County. We are working to reach an agreement for presentation as an additional condition for Planning Commission approval.

This has not happened. Our first meeting was with Mike Siddiqi on Sunday, 12/4, where a nine-point document was presented. Eight of the nine points were agreed to by Mr. Siddiqi. The meeting was adjourned and there was a call for a second meeting. The second meeting was requested by the developers on this past Monday. I believe 12/12 is the date, yes. Mr. Siddiqi and Mr. Gripshover did not appear. They had sent Questar to represent them. And we did ask the question do you have authorization to represent them, and we were told yes.

After a lengthy discussion, Questar left saying a proposal would be made by Tuesday, 12/13, which came to us at 15:48 that day. We had asked for a monetary amount per home in order to expand the community building. Questar estimated that that expansion would cost \$400,000. Which if you take the 70 homes would be about \$6,000 per home to come back to the association. And we had proposed that we put it in a separate account for the expansion. We did get this proposal back and it logistically did not meet our needs. So we have decided that we want to continue to negotiate before the POD is approved.

We were told three points in an e-mail. Number one, they said we do have the means to go this alone. Number two, we are prepared, if necessary, to engage our attorney, as we feel we have the legal high ground. And then the third one is they said they were open to design modifications that best suit the association needs. If open to do that, that's what we need to do. We want to design the modification that will meet our needs in being able to have our community meet in one building.

So we're asking that the POD be deferred for 30 days to give both parties the opportunity to reach an agreement.

Mr. Leabough - Mr. Secretary, so a quick point of clarification. The POD and their discussions in the matter regarding the HOA and whether they would include this section have no bearing on this case, correct?

Mr. Emerson - No they don't. They're separate.

Mr. Leabough - That's a separate matter. And even if we wanted to, we could not delay the decision around this case specifically related to that matter.

Mr. Emerson - It certainly would be ill advised. That's a civil matter between the two parties and not something the Commission enters into.

Mr. Leabough - What's before us is the plan of development for Section C. You're speaking to a matter that's a civil matter between you and the developer.

Mr. Kain - I understand what you're saying. But we want to have Section C part of Settler's Ridge Inc. We need to perform an annexation to do that. All of our people

have agreed that we want to bring them back into the fold. But without an annexation... we need them to be members of the community. And members pay their dues so they can use the building and use the swimming pool and use whatever. That's all.

Mr. Leabough - Unfortunately, that's still—we're here today to take an administrative action related to the plan of development, whether it meets development code and the other ordinances that apply. It's not related to the matter between your HOA and the developer regarding this section being added to your HOA. So our decision is does the plan of development that they submitted meet the Zoning Ordinance and the other ordinances and codes that apply to this particular case. What you're describing is a civil matter between you and the developer—the HOA, not you personally, and the developer, unfortunately.

811 Mr. Kain - Unfortunately.

Mr. Leabough - Yes.

815 Mr. Kain - We've stated our case. That's all I can say. Thank you for your time.

Mr. Archer - Thank you, Mr. Kain. Anyone else have a question or comment for him before he takes a seat? Thank you, sir. Is there anyone else who is opposed that wishes to speak? We have about five minutes left. Didn't see any. Mr. Leabough, do you need to hear from the applicant?

Mr. Leabough - Please. I am curious, though, even though it has no bearing on this case, what the developer's plans are is it relates to incorporating this section within the HOA.

Mr. Archer - Okay. Would the applicant or applicant's representative come forward please?

Mr. Fedor - I'm Bob Fedor with Questar. When the association was first built, the clubhouse was built in mind with Section A, B, and C, which was all inclusive, which means that the clubhouse was big enough for all three sections to be built. The original developer faced bankruptcy and that portion was not built, Section C. What we feel is that we're being extorted to go ahead and join the association by adding onto a clubhouse that has already been designed and approved for the maximum number of units that are in the association. We are willing to work with the association and give them some additional space on the clubhouse. We scheduled our architect to come out this Friday to go ahead and design something for them.

Mr. Leabough - So you've answered my question. So you are interested in joining.

843 844 845 846 847	what we paid for the prop	Yes, we are. It would be really stupid for us not to want to join we cannot be blackmailed by 11 points for more money than erty. I think that would be unfair. We have to negotiate that out. ed right now, we should be allowed to proceed.
848 849 850	Mr. Leabough - just my personal opinion,	Okay. Yes, I think it would benefit you to be a part of the HOA, as you try to market those homes.
851 852	Mr. Fedor -	It's our opinion too.
853 854	Mr. Leabough -	So hopefully you all can come to an agreement.
855 856	Mr. Fedor -	Some kind of an agreement outside of—you got it.
857 858	Mr. Leabough -	Even though it has no reflection on this case.
859 860	Mr. Fedor -	Yes sir.
861 862	Mr. Leabough -	So it sounds like you're willing to at least sit down at the table.
863 864	Mr. Fedor -	We've been doing that.
865 866	Mr. Leabough -	Okay. Thank you.
867 868	Mr. Fedor -	Thank you.
869 870	Mr. Leabough -	I have no further questions, Mr. Chairman.
871 872	Mr. Archer -	All right, anyone else? All right.
873 874	Mr. Leabough -	You have more opposition.
875 876 877	Mr. Archer - record.	I'm sorry. Please come up, sir, and state your name for the
878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886	up is technically we're one and everything at the entra guardhouse over there. Ar could actually go that final don't have the money. We	Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is George the first owners in Settler's. One of the things that we have set step from being a gated community. We've got the guardhouse ince. Putting a second entrance means we've got to put another and that means we're going to have to employ two people if we step. So therefore, that's putting a lot of expense on people who 're everything from 55 up to 90. And therefore we have a lot of mortgages now in order to be able to live there. It's better than the take care of each other.

When you have a second entrance, we have no control over people coming in and stealing things, which we have signs right at our gate solicitors are not allowed because of elderly people who could get sucked in very easily. So therefore, that's another reason for having the entrances that we have. And the emergency entrance is technically locked, and the fire department has the key to the lock.

This brings up a big thing, the fact that we are one step from being a gated community. It would not take us much to put the gate in and hire a guard. For myself, because of when I first moved in, I live right near the clubhouse. I'm the one that did the security for the first eight years. I'd watch who comes in and out of that gate. Because of and as a result of this, we have had very, very little crime. They saw me moving around at night. And the kids that were living next door in the other development kept coming over and creating some problems. I had the police take their pictures. That's the last we saw of them.

So the second entrance is going to make it a lot harder to control. Basically, you want to say we're a nursing home, but we take care of ourselves. But in order for us to take care of ourselves, we have to have control of the situation of people in and out. The original plan, there was a cul-de-sac at the end of that road, not an entrance. And all of us that are already living in there accepted that. And when we took the vote just the other day in our meeting, all the homeowners, they accepted the original plan. It's a situation of control versus non-control. That's the big problem right here. And like I say, because we have the senior citizen community, we have to control it better than letting things ride.

So take that into consideration, gentlemen. Thank you.

913 Mr. Archer - Thank you, Mr. Pickering. Are there questions?

Mr. Witte - Yes. Sir? Have you considered the remote control gates rather than paying a guard? It's very popular these days.

Mr. Pickering - That's very possible. We have not really sat down for a good little while to talk about this. But when I painted the roads, I painted it so that we could have a guard or, like you say, a gate.

922 Mr. Witte - It appears that the remotely controlled gates are far less expensive than—

925 Mr. Pickering - Than the old way. I agree, I agree.

927 Mr. Witte - That's also a possibility at both entrances, which solves your 928 problem. Only people with the code or the card or whatever can get in and out.

930 Mr. Leabough - But can you do that on a public road?

- Mr. Pickering I agree in one sense of the word, but who's watching it? Who's
- watching that other gate?

934		
935 936	Mr. Fedor -	[Off microphone.] You can put a little monitor there.
937 938	Mr. Pickering -	A monitor for who?
939 940	Mr. Fedor -	[Off microphone.] For you guys. For the association.
941 942	Mr. Pickering -	I'm the only one that did any of the monitoring—
943 944	Mr. Witte -	Excuse me. We don't need—
945 946	Mr. Pickering -	We've got cameras and everything at the gate now.
947 948	Mr. Witte -	Good.

Mr. Pickering - And quite often, I had to go up there with the police and check who came in at a particular time. So that means altogether other cameras from that standpoint. But the thing is, is finding somebody that will take care of this. I was the only one that would take care of the so-called security during those first years. Somebody comes in at 11:00 at night, don't think I'm not up in the windows watching. Where'd they go? When the rescue squads come in, I see where they went. And if I feel that it's somebody we know is in bad shape, I've actually gotten up and gone over to watch to see who it was and pass the word on to the rest of the group. I can't do that if we have a second entrance.

Mr. Witte - Well, I understand that and I applaud you for taking care of the community. But as a retired emergency services person with Henrico County, we have found that additional entrances can be critical in time and life-saving abilities, as well as property damage and other things. If there was an issue and it happened at Red Hill Club Lane and Settler's Ridge Boulevard, it could block everybody from getting in or out. And if you had emergencies with that amount of people, in my opinion it's critical to have a second entrance just for public safety. I'm not saying that's good or bad; that's just my opinion. And I understand where you're coming from also. And if you want to move, I've got a spot in my neighborhood we could use you.

Mr. Pickering - I rest my case. Like I say, most elderly people don't want to do anything. They are looking for the Lord. And so therefore, they don't want take care of all of these other responsibilities. When I moved in, I took care of all of the responsibilities, per se, because our board really was made up of the contractor who really didn't care. He was taking care of building. Fine. But I took care of the irrigation, I took care of everything for a while. I am basically the engineer. I am a professional engineer by trade. I know where all the pipes are at. I know right where the taps are at for the new section. And the irrigation, if something were to happen to it, I know where all the wires and all the pipes are at. But that's beside the point.

979 980	Mr. Archer - time by quite a bit.	Sir, we need to bring this to a conclusion. We've gone over our
981 982 983	Mr. Pickering -	Okay. Sorry, gentlemen.
984	Mr. Archer -	That's all right.
985 986	Mr. Pickering -	Any other questions?
987 988	Mr. Leabough -	Thank you, sir.
989 990	Mr. Pickering -	Okay.
991 992	Mr. Archer -	All right, Mr. Leabough. Anyone else you need to hear from?
993 994	Mr. Leabough -	I don't think so.
995		

All right, go right ahead, sir.

Mr. Leabough - Let me just say this. Safety is the utmost concern, I think, for every decision that we make as a Commission. Crime safety is one aspect of it, but I think Mr. Witte alluded to the fact that there are other incidents that can occur that far exceed crime. There may be access needed for emergency vehicles, fire equipment, things of that nature. Because of the fact that you have a lot of elderly people in this community, I think time is of the essence. And having to navigate through a gravel road and a gate that has to be unlocked and the lock has to be cut or whatever that's required to access the community, we have to consider that safety aspect. And I'm not an engineer. I'm not a professional engineer by trade; I'm a planner. But we do have professional engineers that have indicated that a second point of access is critical.

So I hear your concerns about crime and safety. I live not too far from here, so I'm a neighbor. I drive by this subdivision a lot. You all have done a great job in terms of maintaining it. And hopefully the developer and you all can come to some agreement around adding that section to the HOA. I think having an extra 70 homes helping to pay dues is always helpful, as long as they're in alignment with what you all are doing as far as maintenance.

With that, I move that POD2016-00474, Settler's Ridge Section C, be approved subject to annotations on the plans, standard conditions for developments of this type, and the additional conditions 9 amended—which means that the landscaping plan comes back, so you'll have an opportunity to see what they're planning to do around landscaping—11 amended, and conditions 29 through 37 as noted on the agenda.

Mr. Witte - Second,

Mr. Archer -

- Mr. Archer Motion by Mr. Leabough seconded by Mr. Witte. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.
- The Planning Commission approved POD2016-00474, Settler's Ridge Section C, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:
- AMENDED A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- AMENDED Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including depictions of light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and Planning Commission approval.
- Roof edge ornamental features that extend over the zero lot line, and which are permitted by Section 24-95(i)(1), must be authorized in the covenants.
- Eight-foot easements for construction, drainage, and maintenance access for abutting lots shall be provided and shown on the POD plans.
- Building permit request for individual dwellings shall each include two (2) copies of a layout plan sheet as approved with the plan of development. The developer may utilize alternate building types providing that each may be located within the building footprint shown on the approved plan. Any deviation in building footprint or infrastructure shall require submission and approval of an administrative site plan.
- Windows on the zero lot line side of the dwelling can only be approved with an exception granted by the Building Official and the Director of Planning during the building permit application process.
- The mechanical equipment for each building shall be located on its respective lot. Except for wall-mounted electric meters, in no case shall the eight-foot easement for construction, drainage, and maintenance access on the abutting lot be used to locate other mechanical equipment (such as HVAC equipment, generators, and the like) for the subject lot.
- The subdivision plat for Settler's Ridge Section C shall be recorded before any building permits are issued.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-74C-03 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- A note in bold lettering shall be provided on the erosion control plan indicating that 1059 36. sediment basins or traps located within buildable areas or building pads shall be 1060 reclaimed with engineered fill. All materials shall be deposited and compacted in 1061 accordance with the applicable sections of the state building code and geotechnical 1062 quidelines established by the engineer. An engineer's report certifying the suitability 1063 of the fill materials and its compaction shall be submitted for review and approval 1064 1065 by the Director of Planning and Director of Public Works and the Building Official prior to the issuance of any building permit(s) on the affected sites. 1066
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.

1071 1072

Mr. Chairman, we now move on to page 16 of your regular Mr. Emerson agenda for POD2016-00291, Silvercore for England, Tommy W ET ALS and Waffle House, Inc.

we start down this road, Mr. Chairman, I would like to note again to the Commission, and

I know there are many citizens here today, this is a use by right. What the Commission

does at these meetings is review uses that are already approved. This is not a type of

zoning or legislative action. It is purely an administrative action. The use that is proposed

on this site today is not in question; it is allowed. The hours of operation are not in question;

they are allowed. The zoning has been there since 1960, and actually it was commercially

So what's in question today is site design, certain portions of elevations, landscaping,

lighting, setbacks, ingress/egress, parking, things of that nature. I just want everybody to

be advised the use is not within the purview of the Commission today, neither are the

hours of operation. I know those are major concerns; however, that's a question—the site

is vested; it has these rights. So I just want everybody to be clear on that.

1073 1074 1075

1076

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

zoned prior to 1960, but it did become B-3 in 1960.

POD2016-00291 Waffle House at 2800 Old Pump Road – 2800 Old Pump Road

Mr. Emerson -

Silvercore for England, Tommy W Et Als and Waffle House, Inc: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to demolish an existing convenience store with fuel pumps, and construct a onestory, 1,875 square foot restaurant. The 0.48-acre site is located at the northwest corner of the intersection of Church Road and John Rolfe Parkway, and at the southern terminus of Old Pump Road, on parcel 739-755-4826. The zoning is B-3. Business District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

The staff report will be presented by Mr. Kennedy. But before

1077

1078 1079 1080

1081 1082

1083 1084

1085

1086 1087

1089 1090 1091

1088

1092 1093 1094

1095 1096

1098 1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1097 Mr. Kennedy -

Mr. Archer -

Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission.

The proposed 1,875-square-foot, 24-hour Waffle House restaurant would replace a closed 696-square-foot. 24-hour convenience store with fuel pumps formerly operated as Lucky's/Citgo Convenience Mart. The proposed restaurant requires 19 parking spaces and 26 parking spaces are provided in the plan. Access to the property would be from an existing driveway from Church Road and a modified driveway from Old Pump Road. The plan includes a lighting plan with 20-foot tall pole-mounted LED concealed source light fixtures, as well as building mounted LED concealed source fixtures mounted at 12 foot height.

Redevelopment of this site for a restaurant use and 24-hour operation is permitted by right in the B-3 zoning district, as indicated by the director. The subject property was rezoned B-3 Business District on December 23, 1959. So it has been zoned that way since 1960 on. There are no proffered conditions that would further regulate the use of the property.

 The developer has provided several upgrades to the architectural elevations. The brick color is a traditional dark red "Richmond" brick. I do have a sample over here that shows it. A brick knee wall has been added to the storefront, and windows as well as a brick enclosure for the refrigerator. The standard yellow cornice has been replaced with a brick parapet wall screening the rooftop HVAC, with internally illuminated channel cut letters for the attached signs, and a green standing seam metal canopy has been added over the storefront windows.

A community informational meeting was held on Monday, December 5, 2016 at Pocahontas Middle School. Thirteen residents attended at that time. They indicated concerns regarding: hours of operation, traffic, security, lighting, signage, and landscaping. The developer indicated that this site, like all company-owned Waffle House Restaurants, would be open to the public 24 hours a day. The developer has indicated that all site improvements including signage and landscaping would comply with County design standards. The POD has been reviewed by the applicable County development review agencies for conformance to County Code requirements. All agencies have indicated that all County code requirements have been satisfied and they recommend approval of the POD.

The staff recommends approval subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions: 11B, which requires the landscape plan to be reviewed again by staff at final submission to make sure it complies with the plan; conditions 29 through 33. On the last condition, the developer has expressed opposition to it. He's willing to accept through 32, but has expressed opposition to condition 33.

LARGE PARAGRAPH DESCRIBING CONDITIONS

We had the community meetings and 13 residents attended. We received comments from the 13 property owners. In total, we received correspondence from 30 property owners. And as recently as this morning, we received a resolution from the Barrington Property Owners Association in opposition to this request.

Mr. Walter Barineau, the developer for Waffle House, and his engineer, Steve King, are here to answer questions. At this point, staff concludes that it meets the requirements of the Zoning Ordinance and we must recommend approval. The traffic engineer is here. There were some questions raised about access and trip generation. They're ready to answer questions as well. If you have any questions, I'll be happy to answer them.

1151		
1151	Mr. Archer -	Thank you, Mr. Kennedy. Are there questions from the
1152	Commission?	mank you, wil. Kennedy. Are there questions nom the
1153	Commission?	
1154	NAVA NAVALANI	Can we have from the troffic ancincer places?
1155	Mrs. Marshall -	Can we hear from the traffic engineer, please?
1156		NA
1157	Mr. Archer -	Would the traffic engineer please come up?
1158		C I C D V I code For the Weffle House that
1159	Mr. DuVal -	Good morning, Gary DuVal again. For the Waffle House that
1160		300 Old Pump Road, our review has concluded that the proposal
1161	meets all of our requiren	nents for traffic requirements for the proposal. Using the ITE
1162		ration, we don't see this as a fast foot restaurant without a drive-
1163		t as a high-turnover, sit-down restaurant, and it complies with all
1164	the regulations that we ha	ive in the County. Any questions?
1165		
1166	Mrs. Marshall -	Yes. If that were to be a convenience store, would the traffic
1167	numbers be higher than it	would be for the Waffle House?
1168		
1169	Mr. DuVal -	To give you an example, the daily trip generation as the
1170	engineer has provided is r	oughly 244 trips per day. The generation of an eight-pump small
1171		be roughly 1300 vehicle trips per day. So it would be roughly five
1172		ic generated for the prior use versus the use that is proposed
1173	today. And that's per the l	
	to do.y. r and another plan and	· - 9 ·····
1174		
1174 1175	Mrs. Marshall -	Okav. thank vou.
1175	Mrs. Marshall -	Okay, thank you.
1175 1176		
1175 1176 1177	Mrs. Marshall - Mr. DuVal -	Okay, thank you. Okay, thank you.
1175 1176 1177 1178	Mr. DuVal -	Okay, thank you.
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179		
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer -	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir.
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181	Mr. DuVal -	Okay, thank you.
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer - Mr. DuVal -	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir. Thank you very much.
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer -	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir.
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer - Mr. DuVal - Mr. Witte -	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir. Thank you very much. Actually, I do have a question.
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer - Mr. DuVal -	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir. Thank you very much.
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer - Mr. DuVal - Mr. Witte - Mr. Archer -	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir. Thank you very much. Actually, I do have a question. Sir? Mr. DuVal?
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer - Mr. DuVal - Mr. Witte - Mr. Archer - Mr. Witte -	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir. Thank you very much. Actually, I do have a question. Sir? Mr. DuVal? Not with Mr. DuVal. We're working on these sign ordinances.
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer - Mr. DuVal - Mr. Witte - Mr. Archer -	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir. Thank you very much. Actually, I do have a question. Sir? Mr. DuVal? Not with Mr. DuVal. We're working on these sign ordinances.
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer - Mr. DuVal - Mr. Witte - Mr. Archer - Mr. Witte - Would this meet the Sign	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir. Thank you very much. Actually, I do have a question. Sir? Mr. DuVal? Not with Mr. DuVal. We're working on these sign ordinances. Ordinance?
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188 1189 1190	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer - Mr. DuVal - Mr. Witte - Mr. Archer - Mr. Witte -	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir. Thank you very much. Actually, I do have a question. Sir? Mr. DuVal? Not with Mr. DuVal. We're working on these sign ordinances.
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188 1189 1190	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer - Mr. DuVal - Mr. Witte - Mr. Archer - Mr. Witte - Would this meet the Sign Mr. Emerson -	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir. Thank you very much. Actually, I do have a question. Sir? Mr. DuVal? Not with Mr. DuVal. We're working on these sign ordinances. Ordinance? Yes sir, it would.
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188 1189 1190 1191	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer - Mr. DuVal - Mr. Witte - Mr. Archer - Mr. Witte - Would this meet the Sign	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir. Thank you very much. Actually, I do have a question. Sir? Mr. DuVal? Not with Mr. DuVal. We're working on these sign ordinances. Ordinance?
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188 1189 1190 1191 1192 1193	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer - Mr. DuVal - Mr. Witte - Mr. Archer - Mr. Witte - Would this meet the Sign Mr. Emerson - Mr. Witte -	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir. Thank you very much. Actually, I do have a question. Sir? Mr. DuVal? Not with Mr. DuVal. We're working on these sign ordinances. Ordinance? Yes sir, it would. Okay.
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188 1189 1190 1191 1192 1193 1194	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer - Mr. DuVal - Mr. Witte - Mr. Archer - Mr. Witte - Would this meet the Sign Mr. Emerson - Mr. Witte -	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir. Thank you very much. Actually, I do have a question. Sir? Mr. DuVal? Not with Mr. DuVal. We're working on these sign ordinances. Ordinance? Yes sir, it would. Okay. Actually, we've requested condition 33 limiting the height of the
1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188 1189 1190 1191 1192 1193	Mr. DuVal - Mr. Archer - Mr. DuVal - Mr. Witte - Mr. Archer - Mr. Witte - Would this meet the Sign Mr. Emerson - Mr. Witte - Mr. Witte -	Okay, thank you. Anyone else have a question? Thank you, sir. Thank you very much. Actually, I do have a question. Sir? Mr. DuVal? Not with Mr. DuVal. We're working on these sign ordinances. Ordinance? Yes sir, it would. Okay.

1198 Mr. Witte -

All right. Thank you.

1200 Mr. Kennedy -

I should note a freestanding sign could be 150 square feet.

Mr. Emerson - So they're well within their limits on the signage. Again, just to reiterate what Mr. Kennedy said, there was a community meeting that the Board member requested we have. We notified as many people as possible. Certainly the news spread quickly. This is a use by right. I think it's safe to say we haven't had, save one, one property owner in support for this. But the opposition is to the use and the hours of operation, both of which are vested within the site, along with many, many other uses that are included.

Now just to clarify, I understand that—and I've seen some e-mails where some folks have gone in and looked at the code. What's been quoted back is the preamble prior to what the code specifically says, which is essentially just clarifying the purposes, but that's not necessarily what is actually enforceable within the adopted limits of what the Board of Supervisors has in its code nor what the Code of Virginia allows on this site.

 Those have been the major issues, I believe, as I recall, Mr. Kennedy. The traffic engineer has stated the intersection will handle the traffic. The site as designed, it meets all parking requirements. It meets our lighting plan requirements. We anticipate it will meet our landscaping requirements.

Mr. Kennedy -

Yes, in fact they're saving the existing trees on the site.

Mr. Emerson - Signage is in keeping. The applicant has come forward with a higher level elevation of a building than quite honestly we could require. But we requested it, and they were cooperative and came in with what is not their typical Waffle House design. We have requested that they use black lettering on the building versus the yellow. I think we're still having some discussions about that. But we've done our best to try to make it fit within the neighborhood.

You could have a convenience store. You could have a number of other uses that would work on this site that would be able to operate 24 hours. So, what's within our control we've tried to work with. However, the major opposition are areas that this Commission does not have authority to go into because, again, this is not a zoning case, it's not a legislative action; it is a ministerial action.

Mr. Witte -

Thank you.

1237 Mr. Archer -

Thank you, sir. Are there any questions of Mr. Kennedy?

1239 Mr. Baka - Yes sir, Mr. Chairman. One question for Mr. Kennedy just to

follow up on the underground storage tanks. You had mentioned that the demolition permit

would address the removal. When the underground storage tanks are found—and there

are some there because it was a gas station—is it required that they be removed as part of this POD?

Mr. Kennedy - They're required to be removed or remediated in accordance with state requirements. So if they fill it, that's another alternative.

Mr. Baka -

Okay. Thank you.

1250 Mr. Kennedy - This is a picture of a Waffle House with a similar design. It's in South Carolina. You can see what the building would look like.

Mr. Archer - Okay. Anyone else? Thank you, Mr. Kennedy. Mrs. Marshall, how would you like to proceed?

1256 Mrs. Marshall - Opposition, please.

1258 Mr. Archer - Okay. Would the opposition please come forward?

Mr. Archer - Before the opposition comes forward, did you hear when Mr. Secretary read the rules for opposition? So we don't need to read them again. Thank you so much.

Mr. Leer - Good morning. Thank you for hearing us. My name is Ben Leer. I'm a resident along Sunrise Road about a thousand feet from this proposed development. I respect everything that you said, Mr. Emerson, with regard to what we can and can't talk about here today.

Our concerns lie with the traffic pattern, as well as with the notice of the public hearing. The traffic pattern I understand—and thank you for the testimony from the traffic engineer—has two essentially right-in/right-out access points along John Rolfe Parkway and Church Road. For those folks or patrons of the proposed establishment that may be coming from the neighborhoods of Waterford and the like, likely they will come through the neighborhood streets, as well as anyone that quickly recognizes that they'd like to get back to Broad Street. They're likely not going to use those right-in/right-outs and have to make U-turns. They're going to go through the neighborhood—Old Pump Road, Thaddeus, Laurel Woods to Sunrise, and then make a left on Pump Road to get themselves back north.

There were traffic counts done on Sunrise in 2011, as well as traffic counts done in 2015.
I'm not aware of a new study. Just in that short duration, the traffic has increased 20 percent on the road.

1284 I recognize it's by prior right and we can't do anything about a 24-hour establishment, but 1285 I think it's clear that the time for the traffic increase is undesirable for neighborhood streets. 1286 So from a safety perspective, we're dealing with a route in the morning hours that has as 1287 many as seven bus stops and has a daycare facility. Almost all the residents along that route out to Pump Road have children. And so we're concerned mainly for increased traffic in that area. That's one of our concerns.

Our second concern is that noticing of a public hearing. I understand that the developer did due diligence and notified the immediate neighboring residents, and I think the secretary had recommended that they notify some additional property owners, such as Lake Lorraine and Barrington and some of the residents in Waterford. We're a little concerned that none of the residents along the actual transportation route that would be affected were notified at all, such as ourselves or any of our neighbors. I don't know if there's anything that can be done there in terms of a re-notice. I'm quite shocked that only 13 people showed up at the public hearing.

That's all. I appreciate you hearing us.

Mrs. Marshall - Thank you.

1304 Mr. Archer - Thank you, sir. Are there questions before he takes a seat? No 1305 questions? Thank you, sir. Anyone else who wishes to come up? We have about seven 1306 minutes left. Is that it? Thank you so much. Mrs. Marshall, do you need to hear from the 1307 applicant?

Mrs. Marshall - Yes. Is there anyone here that is for the Waffle House?

Mr. Parker - Ladies and gentlemen, Planning Commission, my name is Philip Parker. I'm a resident of Three Chopt. I live on the other side of Lauderdale, which is not near this area. But I am a member of the community, the Hospital Community Association, Parks and Rec, and Vo-Tech. I have some of my friends here. Our children are friends; we're friends. I understand their concerns.

My immediate reaction with a Waffle House coming in to an abandoned gas station/convenience store was probably the same reaction they had. But the more I thought about it, the more I delved into the legalities of this property, this is probably one of the softest uses that could come in on this property. A local restaurant might be the best choice, but beyond that, I think this is probably the best thing that could happen. I understand it's in their business model to look for properties that are zoned without conditions and that's probably a good move. That being the case, I do support this.

I appreciate staff's effort in getting as much as they're gotten on our behalf. The developer did not have to do any of that. I appreciate them trying to come in and be a good neighbor. It's not going to be easy. We're kind of cliquish. But I think this will work pretty well here and it'll be a softer use than what's already there. Thank you.

1330 Mr. Archer - Thank you, Mr. Parker. Any questions from the Commission?

1331 All right.

1333 Mrs. Marshall - Mr. Barineau, can you please come up?

1334
1335 Mr. Emerson - You have a lady back here, Mr. Chairman.
1336

1337 Mr. Archer - Oh, I'm sorry. Hold on just a moment, sir. Are you in opposition,

1338 ma'am?

1340 Female - [Off microphone.] No, I'm [inaudible.]

1342 Mr. Archer - Good morning.

Ms. Turner - Hi, I'm Stacy Turner, and I live at 10945 Parkshire Lane over in Shire Place, the nearby new townhouse subdivision. This may not have been my dream development for this location. I definitely understand it's a by-right use that's been that way. The property owners have their rights. Waffle House has their rights. And so I definitely would not say I wanted to say in opposition during that time frame. I would also like to say I definitely appreciate how helpful staff has been during the process of reviewing this. They did not have to have the neighborhood meeting that they had for the surrounding neighborhoods, but they did. It was not very well attended, unfortunately. But from that to the information they were able to send out through e-mail to me has been absolutely ideal. And so I would like to tell them I really do appreciate that.

I appreciate also that Waffle House has changed the design of the building. It could have looked like a historic Waffle House—and I use that term kind of loosely. And they've made the effort to make it fit more in with the residential area adjacent there. And I think that's great. I think it will look even more attractive if they can keep some of the signs off of the windows facing out from it, but that might not be able to happen.

The only things I would like to make sure are emphasized are some of the things staff has already brought out, that the sign height be lowered. I think 15 feet is definitely sufficient for a Waffle House. I would even think perhaps lower. We saw the picture from I think it was in South Carolina, and it was even lower there. I hope that that is supported by the Planning Commission.

And the monument style of it. I would like to perhaps see more landscaping on this site. Typically, Waffle Houses don't have landscaping around their foundations. I wish that would happen. I know Waffle House says that they are very cognizant of meeting ADA requirements. They do have an ample sidewalk there. It would be nice if there was landscaping around that dumpster enclosure. I do understand there's a sight distance easement along the frontage on Church Road, so that may prohibit some more intense landscaping from that area. But there still may be able to be some low-lying landscaping. And there is also a utility easement there I believe. So there may be an opportunity for some low-lying landscaping or for some that have a canopy above where sight distance concerns would be. I would hope maybe that could also be emphasized with this.

That's really just about all I had, that I just would like a couple of things to be emphasized in the site plan review, hoping that it can be as attractive as possible. Thank you.

1381 Mr. Archer - Thank you. Ms. Turner. Are there questions? Thank you, ma'am. Anyone else before this gentleman comes? Come on up sir, I think that's it.

Mr. Barineau - My name is Walter Barineau, and I'm with Waffle House Inc. For reference, we are a 60-year-old company, so there are some historic Waffle Houses, I guess.

I think Mr. Kennedy has pretty much said it all. But one thing that I did want to address is the sign issue that we're somewhat in opposition to. We're all for lowering the sign to 15 feet and actually limiting it to 50 square feet, which is far below what we're allowed to do. The big issue for me is we're being asked to do a monument sign. And if it's a 15-foot-tall monument sign, that's going to put a pretty big wall up on a site that has a lot of sight distance and easement issues already. So my big concern is how that's going to fit onto what's already a pretty tight site. We'll work to do that. We do monument signs all the time. They're no more expensive or less expensive than pole signs. It's just in this particular case with the sight distance easements and the other utility easements that are on the site, I don't see where that wall is going to go without blocking a lot of our glass line.

1399 Mr. Witte - Mr. Secretary, do columns count as a monument sign?

Mr. Emerson - No, they wouldn't. We could modify the condition and limit the height and possibly the design.

Mr. Witte - I was trying to work with the line-of-sight issue.

Mr. Emerson - The light-of-sight issue is there; it is present. I don't discount that at all. We were looking at the monument sign that we saw at other locations. And the 15 feet, we had actually thought about lower than that. But we felt that based on some of our previous cases throughout the County that normally we've limited it at 15. So we wanted to give them the opportunity to have some flexibility. We try to work within the constraints on the site. But certainly if something acceptable with pylons or something similar could be done that would better work within the sight distance parameters of the site, if the Commission is comfortable with that, we could work with it, I believe.

Mr. Witte - It was just a thought.

Mr. Leabough - Well they've done all that work on the building to make it look nice. You'd hate to have the sign take away from it.

1420 Mr. Emerson - Oh, I don't disagree there.

1422 Mr. Leabough - Which this sign would take away from it, in my opinion.

Mr. Emerson - Right. Of course the intent wasn't necessarily for them to use

the entire 15 feet.

1426 1427	Mr. Barineau -	Well you still get into your sight distance with height then.
1428		
1429	Mr. Emerson -	Right.
1430		
1431	Mr. Leabough -	So hopefully they're willing to kind of work with us around
1432	aesthetics for the sign too.	
1433		
1434	Mr. Witte -	One other thing. You mentioned you were working on getting
1435	the black letters for the bui	lding as they have on the sign. Has that been worked out?
1436		•
1437	Mr. Emerson -	No sir, not to my knowledge.
1438		3
1439	Mrs. Marshall -	Mr. Barineau, are you willing to use black letters as opposed
1440	to the neon yellow standar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1441	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1442	Mr. Barineau -	Can we go back to our original design and the revised, what
1443		nis is what we're frankly allowed to do. It has black letters, but it
1444		vith it. What we've proposed is this. We can change the green
1445	awning to black. But I need	
1446	awing to black. But I need	a como bramanig.
1447	Mr. Witte -	Okay. I just wanted to know if it had been worked out either
1448	way. And I understand. Th	• •
1449	way. / and randorotana. Th	
1450	Mr. Barineau -	It's not currently a condition. I would not want to see it as a
1451	condition.	
1452		
1453	Mr. Witte -	Okay.
1454	Will TVILLO	ondy,
1455	Mr. Barineau -	And this, certainly compared to what—
1456	m. Barrioud	, in a time, consens, company to the consens
1457	Mr. Witte -	They recently built one in my neighborhood. I wish it looked
1458		orhood, my district. This is incredible compared to that. I applaud
1459	everybody involved for ma	
1460	overybody inverved for ma	ining it look to good.
1461	Mr. Archer -	All right, anything further?
1462	1411. 7 (10110)	7 th right, drift thing factors.
1463	Mrs. Marshall -	Yes. As far as the 24 hours go-I live in the Three Chopt
1464		on the street. My question to you is what kind of traffic do you
1465		generate between like 1 a.m. and 5 a.m. to suffice being open
1466	24 hours?	gonorate potreon into Tanin and oanin to oanioo poing open
1467	ZT HOUIS:	
1468	Mr. Barineau -	First of all, let me say that we're open 24 hours at every one of
1469		a policy of ours. In the one closest to me, I feel bad because
1409	every weekend my wife	and I walk to the Waffle House that closest to me. It's three-
		loesn't do very much business on third shift either, but it does a
1471	quarters of a fille. And it u	besit the very much business on third shift citrici, but it does a

1472 ton on first and second. And it does do some on third. And it provides a place for—when I go by there on occasion on third shift, it provides a place for emergency workers, for police. 1473 There's a fire station right around the corner from us that does a good business with the 1474

Waffle House. 1475

1476 1477

1478

1479

For this particular location, we don't expect it to be a raucous 24-hour third shift. We have one close to VCU that does a tremendous third shift, as I'm sure you can imagine. I don't expect that here. But the fact of the matter is we stay open 24 hours at every one of our locations. That's something that is a corporate policy.

1480 1481

Mrs. Marshall -1482

So, that's not something you're willing to change.

1483

1484 Mr. Barineau - No, ma'am.

1485

1486 Mrs. Marshall -As far as your late-night hours and the traffic, can you explain 1487 the shift times to me, at least say from 12 to 5?

1488

1489 Mr. Barineau -

Our first shift is 7 to 2. Second shift is 2 to 9. Third shift is 9 to

1490 7.

1491

1492 Mrs. Marshall -

Okay. At any of your other locations, is security necessary?

1493

1495

1498

1499

1500

1501

1502

1503

1504

1494 Mr. Barineau -Security at our 2,000 locations, yes security is necessary at some of them. We provide security at all of our openings. We provide security day one. And we cut back on it or ramp it up as it's necessary or not necessary. In this particular 1496 location—we are a reflection of what our community is. The crime statistic for this area is 1497 25; average is 100. So this is a quarter of the crime that you see at an average place in America. I don't anticipate that we'll have much of a security issue here. But if it's necessary, we do provide it. We do have 24-hour CCTV cameras. The one thing that is certainly prevalent is we have staff members in the restaurant at all times. So, it's never not staffed. There's not a break room for people to go take naps or fall asleep or those types of things. So there's somebody out front. As you can see, there's a good glass line. That's designed so that if there is anything going on that shouldn't be going on inside, it's

1505 1506

Mrs. Marshall -

visible to everyone outside.

So my understanding is at the beginning you will have security.

1507 1508

1509 Mr. Barineau - Yes, ma'am.

1510

1511 Mrs. Marshall - You will. And if it's necessary, you will keep it.

1512 1513

Mr. Barineau -

Correct.

1514

1515 Mrs. Marshall -

Okay.

1517	Mr. Archer - from anyone else?	All right, anything further? Ms. Marshall, do you need to hear			
1519 1520 1521	Mrs. Marshall -	Anybody else like to speak? Opposition? Or for? Thank you.			
1521 1522 1523	Mr. Archer -	Thank you, sir. All right, moving right along.			
1524 1525 1526	Mr. Emerson - #33.	Mr. Chairman, Mrs. Marshall and I were conferring on condition			
1527 1528	Mr. Archer -	All right.			
1529 1530 1531 1532 1533	Mr. Emerson - manner: Detached signag- be landscaped, shall be li Director of Planning for fin	I believe she's supportive of changing the language in this e shall be of a material consistent with that on the building, shall mited in height to 15 feet, and design shall be submitted to the al approval.			
1534 1535	Mr. Archer -	Okay. So that does away effectively with the monument style.			
1536 1537	Mr. Emerson -	Correct.			
1538 1539	Mr. Archer -	All right.			
1540 1541	Mr. Emerson -	Unless it works. Unless we can find a way to make it work.			
1542 1543	Mr. Archer -	Right.			
1544 1545	Mr. Emerson -	It gives more flexibility.			
1546 1547	Mr. Archer -	I think it does. Thank you, sir. All right, Mrs. Marshall.			
1548 1549 1550 1551 1552	Mrs. Marshall - Mr. Chairman, I move POD2016-00291,Waffle House at 2800 Old Pump Road, including the lighting plan, be approved subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, and additional conditions 11B and 29 through 33 on the agenda.				
1553 1554	Mr. Witte -	Second.			
1555 1556 1557	Mr. Archer - say aye. All opposed say	Motion by Mrs. Marshall and seconded by Mr. Witte. All in favor no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.			
1558 1559 1560 1561	The Planning Commission approved the plan of development and lighting plan for POD2016-00291, Waffle House at 2800 Old Pump Road, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:				

1562

- Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- The developer shall obtain a Demolition Permit from the Building Official's Office prior to demolition of the existing structures. The demolition permit shall address the removal of any abandoned underground storage tanks.
- Prior to issuance of a building permit, the developer must furnish a letter from Dominion Virginia Power stating that this proposed development does not conflict with their facilities.
- Detached signage shall be of a material consistent with that on the building, shall be landscaped, shall be limited in height to 15 feet, and design shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval.

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, we now move on to page 19 of your agenda and page 2 of your amended agenda for POD2016-00521, Liz Crim/Doug Cole for Gaskins & Paterson Inc. and GGC Associates LLC. The staff report will be presented by Mr. Greg Garrison.

LANDSCAPE AND FENCE PLAN

POD2016-00521 Grayson Hill Section 5 – Revised – 9514 Derbyshire Road

1586

1587

1588

1589

1590 1591 1592

1593

1594

1598 1599 Liz Crim/Doug Cole for Gaskins & Patterson, Inc. and GGC Associates, LLC: Request for approval of a landscape and fence plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 6-acre site is located on the northern line of Derbyshire Road, approximately 1,145 feet east of its intersection with North Gaskins Road, on parcel 745-740-6503. The zoning is RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe)

Mr. Archer - All right. Thank you, sir. Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2016-00521, Grayson Hill Section 5–Revised? Opposition, sir? Are you in opposition?

[Off microphone.] I just wanted to comment about it.

Mr. Nelson -

1600 1601	Mr. Archer -	Okay, we'll get to you. Go ahead, Mr. Garrison.				
1602						
1603	Mr. Garrison -	Good morning. This is a request for approval to revise the				
1604	previously approved lands	cape plan for Section 5 of Grayson Hill to include a fence and				
1605	supplemental plantings along Derbyshire.					
1606						
1607	The proposed six-foot-tall	fence and supplemental plant material are consistent with the				
1608	recently approved amend	ed proffers of REZ2016-00036. Staff has received additional				
1609	information, which is loca	ited in your addendum, where existing plant material will be				
1610	relocated to accommodate	e the new fence. That is right here.				
1611						
1612		approval subject to the standard conditions for landscape plans.				
1613		opposition to this request, but has been in contact with adjacent				
1614		ant species. I believe their concerns have been addressed. I am				
1615	available to answer any qu	uestions that you may have.				
1616						
1617	Mr. Archer -	Thank you, sir. Any questions for Mr. Garrison? None? All right.				
1618	I nank you, sir. Anyone ne	ed to hear from the applicant?				
1619	Ma Dala	The applicant but also have from the apposition of the				
1620	Mr. Baka -	The applicant, but also hear from the opposition of the from the neighborhood first.				
1621 1622	gentieman with comments	Tion the neighborhood list.				
1623	Mr. Archer -	Okay. Sir, would you come forward? Give your name for the				
1624	record, please.	Onay. On, would you do no forward? One your name for the				
1625	recera, piedee.					
1626	Mr. Nelson -	I'm Dr. William Nelson. I'm a resident, 409 Branway Drive,				
1627		re. The new plan is much improved over the original plan. The				
1628	committee is very grateful	for the developer and staff for working with us to improve it. We				
1629	wish there was some more	e coverage, but we think it's about as good as it'll get.				
1630						
1631		ow is maintenance of the plantings over time. A couple of the				
1632	plants that they've plante	d before have died, and they don't look so good. I've been				
1633		d that that's the responsibility of the Grayson Hill community. Is				
1634	that correct? And they will	stay on top of that?				
1635		NA THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER				
1636	Mr. Archer -	Mr. Theobald is nodding in the affirmative.				
1637	Mar Malana	Observing the angle of the				
1638	Mr. Nelson -	Okay. Well then the community appreciates the efforts of the				
1639	applicant to improve the p	roject. Thank you.				
1640	Mr Arabar	Thank you, sir. Any questions for him?				
1641 1642	Mr. Archer -	mank you, an. Any questions for mine				
1643	Mr. Baka -	No questions of Dr. Nelson. I just have a question for staff. If I				
1644		versations, these plants would be irrigated. There's also an				
1645		ssue from the County's standpoint. And there's the maintenance				

1646 issue thirdly from the applicant's standpoint, that they can maintain their own plants. Is that correct? 1647 1648 Mr. Garrison -1649 Yes, they are required to maintain their own plants. And there is irrigation that is required in this buffer. 1650 1651 Mr. Baka -1652 So because we have those three factors, irrigation, ordinance, and maintenance—there's been substantial improvements and additional landscaping, 1653 particularly when you drive out Branway Drive and you're at the stop sign looking straight 1654 ahead. I think there is sufficient improvement there. Does anyone else have any other 1655 1656 questions? 1657 Mr. Archer -1658 Anyone else? I think not, Mr. Baka. 1659 1660 Mr. Baka -At this point, unless anyone has any other questions for the staff or the applicant, Mr. Chairman, I would approve POD2016-00521, Grayson Hill 1661 Section 5-Revised, as presented here today with the annotations on the plan and subject 1662 to the standard conditions including the revised landscaping plan as discussed and 1663 1664 amended. 1665 1666 Mr. Leabough -Second. 1667 1668 Mr. Archer -Motion by Mr. Baka and seconded by Mr. Leabough. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes. 1669 1670 1671 The Planning Commission approved the landscape and fence plan for POD2016-00521, Grayson Hill Section 5-Revised, subject to the annotations on the plans and the standard 1672 conditions attached to these minutes for landscape and fence plans. 1673 1674 Mr. Emerson -1675 Mr. Chairman, next on your agenda would be consideration of the approval of your minutes from your November 16th meeting. There is no errata sheet 1676 with those this morning. However, any changes you may have of course we will entertain. 1677 1678 1679 APPROVAL OF MINUTES: November 16, 2016 1680 Mr. Archer -1681 Anyone have a change to make, a correction? Mr. Thornton? 1682 Mr. Thornton -1683 I was looking at the minutes, and this is just a suggestion. And maybe it's been my fault. As I look at the minutes, I have a tendency to come up and say 1684 something at the end. But as these minutes are archival, I think it may be a good idea to

have those remarks in here that a person from the Board or someone may have said. I

didn't see any of those. I try not to say anything in a flippant way. But someone looking

over these years from now might want to see what was the thinking of the Board at that

time. And so it may be helpful when we have these suggestions, recommendations at the

end to have them printed. I have noticed that I didn't see anything here. And it could be

1685

1686

1687

1688

1689

1690

1691	my fault. Maybe there was a pearl at the end, and whoever put these down just didn't say						
692	anything about that.						
1693							
1694	Mr. Emerson -	Yes sir. We'll go back and listen to the tape and see if we can					
1695	correct that.						
1696							
1697	Mr. Thornton -	It's not for me, but for archival purposes.					
1698							
1699	Mr. Emerson -	Absolutely.					
1700		•					
1701	Mr. Thornton -	It teaches us a lot about what's going on. Thank you.					
1702		,					
1703	Mr. Emerson -	Absolutely, yes sir.					
1704		, 1500.tato.j, j 00 0					
1705	Mr. Archer -	Thank you, Mr. Thornton. All right, is there a motion on the					
1706	minutes?	Thank you, in thomas the right, to there a medicine as the					
1707	minutes:						
1707	Mr. Witte -	A motion to approve.					
	Wii. Witte -	A motion to approve.					
1709	Mr. Archer -	Right.					
1710	MI. Alchei -	ragni.					
1711	Mr. Witte -	So moved.					
1712	wii. witte -	30 moved.					
1713	Mr. Loobough	Second.					
1714	Mr. Leabough -	Second.					
1715	Mr. Archer -	Motion by Mr. Witte and seconded by Mr. Leabough. All in					
1716		d say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.					
1717	lavoi say aye. Ali opposet	a say no. The ayes have it, the motion passes.					
1718	The Planning Commission	n approved the November 16, 2016 minutes as submitted.					
1719	The Flaming Commission	1 approved the November 10, 2010 minutes as submitted.					
1720	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Chairman. I have nothing further for the Commission this					
1721							
1722	morning other than to wish everybody a Merry Christmas. I look forward to seeing you in the New Year. And thank you, Mr. Thornton, for bearing with us for an entire year. It's been						
1723							
1724	enjoyable and it's always	nice. We get to see you once every five years.					
1725	Mr. Thornton	That is true					
1726	Mr. Thornton -	That is true.					
1727	NA: Australia	Lucanted to everyone those come continents. And it is also					
1728	Mr. Archer -	I wanted to express those same sentiments. And it is also					
1729	customary for us to thank staff for your wonderful support during the year. I'd also thank						
1730	those who serve and protect us, some seen and some unseen. I should say some overt						
1731	and come coveπ. And I w	ish everyone a happy holiday season.					
1732	This is a second of the second	and the same and also in the ball barra same also were the same to					
1733	This will probably be my last time serving as chair. I think I'll have some cleanup things to						
1734	do at the next meeting in January, but other than that it has been my pleasure to serve as						
1735	your chair. I think this is the fifth time I've done it, and it looks like I would have gotten it right by now. Anyway, it has been a pleasure.						
1736	right by now. Anyway, it h	as peen a pleasure.					

1737						
1738 1739 1740	One quick announcement I'd like to make. I have been advised that there is a video circulating called <i>Dance of the Pope</i> . If you receive it on your device, do not open it. If you're curious, then go ahead and open it, but that's what I've been told.					
1741 1742	Mr. Leabough -	There may be some consequences.				
1743 1744 1745 1746 1747 1748	Mr. Witte - Your comments are heed so much. Members of the more than one occasion.	Mr. Thornton, it's been a pleasure. It's been very enlightening ed. I appreciate it. And staff, another wonderful year. Thank you Commission, thank you. You've kept me in line on let's just say				
1749 1750	Mr. Archer -	I'm not sure about that, but we'll accept that.				
1751 1752 1753 1754 1755 1756 1757 1758	Board for what you do. Yo always were taught to sh have done them well. I dknows. But I'll say that oth	And Mr. Chairman, my last pearl would be that on behalf of my the Board of Supervisors, we really want to thank this august ou do a stellar job. Those of us who were raised up where I was ow gratitude and tell me people when they've done things and on't know that I will be on this Board again in the future, who ler than the Board of Supervisors, the most important auspicious mmission. So you as Commissioners, you have been fantastic.				
1759 1760	Mr. Archer -	We thank you, sir.				
1761 1762 1763 1764 1765	the meetings, but I can't q	Also a comment, Mr. Archer, for his leadership during the minutes will come in handy. I try to take notes while he's leading uite capture everything. So I'll go back to the minutes as well to etter when I serve as chair next. Hopefully.				
1766 1767	Mr. Witte - literally has our backs in e	I think we need to give a special thanks to Ms. Moore as she everything.				
1768 1769	Mr. Archer -	She does. She backs us.				
1770 1771 1772	Mr. Leabough -	But no, you've done a great job, Mr. Archer.				
1772 1773 1774 1775	Mr. Archer - much.	Thank you, sir. And Mr. Emerson, we appreciate you very				
1776 1777	Mrs. Marshall -	Happy holidays.				
1778 1779 1780	Mr. Archer - meeting adjourned at 10:3	All right. If there is nothing further, then I will declare this 33 a.m.				
1781 1782	Mr. Witte – Second.	Kliffeller				
1783 1784 1785 1786		Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C., Chairman				
1787	/	Mr. Joseph Emerson V. Secretary				

Conventional Single-Family Subdivisions Served By Public Utilities

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of sixteen (16) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water. (Substitute condition 5A if well)
- 5A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer. (Substitute condition 6A if on-site sewage disposal/septic)
- 6A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **January 25, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **January 24, 2018**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be

- filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements as applicable, and design considerations.
- Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

Conventional Single-Family Subdivisions Not Served By Public Utilities

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage and erosion control plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of eleven (11) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 7. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **January 25, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 8. This approval shall expire on **January 24, 2018**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 9. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.

- 10. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements and design considerations.
- Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

Residential Townhouse for Sale (RTH) Subdivisions

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- Onstruction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
 - 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **January 25, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **January 24, 2018**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.

- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.
- 12. A draft of the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review, prior to final approval. The proposed Homeowners Association for the project shall be responsible for the exterior maintenance of all buildings and grounds.
- 13. All block corners shall be monumented and referenced, where possible, to the exterior boundaries of the site
- 14. The record plat shall contain a statement that the common area is dedicated to the common use and enjoyment of the homeowners of (name of subdivision) and is not dedicated for use by the general public. This statement shall refer to the applicable article in the covenants recorded with the plat.

Zero Lot Line Subdivisions

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **January 25**, 2017, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **January 24, 2018**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change may be implemented.

- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.
- 12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers and Chesapeake Bay Act Areas.

Road Dedication with No Lots

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of sixteen (16) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **January 25, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **January 24, 2018**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.



A. Standard Conditions for all POD's:

- 1. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water and sewer. (when the property is served by public utilities)
- 1A. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public sewer. The well location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public water system when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public water)
- 1B. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water. The septic tank location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public sewer when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public sewer)
- 2. The Director of the Department of Public Utilities shall approve the plan of development for construction of public water and sewer, prior to beginning any construction of these utilities. The Department of Public Utilities shall be notified at least 48 hours prior to the start of any County water or sewer construction.
- 3. The parking lot shall be subject to the requirements of Chapter 24, Section 24-98 of the Henrico County Code.
- 4. The parking spaces shall be marked on the pavement surface with four-inch-wide traffic painted lines. All lane lines and parking lines shall be white in color with the exception that those dividing traffic shall yellow.
- 5. Sufficient, effectively usable parking shall be provided. If experience indicates the need, additional parking shall be provided.
- 6. Curb and gutter and necessary storm sewer shall be constructed as shown on approved plans.
- 7. The plan of development plan shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan dated **January 25, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if details were fully described herein. Nine (9) sets of revised plans, including the detailed drainage, erosion control and utility plans, shall be submitted by the design engineer who prepared the plans to the Department of Planning for final review. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. Two (2) sets of the approved plan shall be attached to the building permit application. (**Revised October 2015**)
- 8. Two copies of an Erosion and Sediment Control Agreement with required escrow shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works. Approval is required prior to construction plan approval and beginning construction. The Department of Public Works shall be notified at least 24 hours prior to the start of any construction.
- 9. A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 9. **AMENDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 10. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced no later than the next planting season.

- 11. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and approval.
- 11. **AMENDED** Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including depictions of light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and Planning Commission approval.
- Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature. (For POD which includes lighting plan approval)
- 12. All exterior lighting shall be designed and arranged to direct the light and glarc away from nearby residential property and streets.
- 13. The site, including the parking areas, shall be kept clean of litter and debris on a daily basis. Trash container units/litter receptacles and recycling containers shall be maintained with regular pickups scheduled and shall be screened properly on all four sides. The gate(s) shall remain closed except when the receptacle(s) are being filled or serviced and shall be repaired or replaced as necessary. Details shall be included with the final site plan or required landscape plan for review and approval.
- 14. Required fire lanes shall be marked and maintained in accordance with the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code.
- 15. Traffic control signs shall be provided as indicated on the Department of Planning Staff plan. All signs shall be fabricated as shown in The National Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways.
- 16. The assigned property number(s) shall be displayed so it is easily readable from the street. If assistance is needed with the address, please contact the Department of Planning at 501-4284. The Planning Department must assign all property addresses. (Revised January 2008)
- 17. The owner shall have a set of plans approved by the Director of Public Works, Public Utilities and Secretary of the Planning Commission available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 18. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 19. Upon completion of the improvements and prior to the certification of the permanent occupancy permit, the owner shall furnish a statement by the engineer or land surveyor who prepared the POD plan, to the effect that all construction including water and sewer is in conformance to the regulations and requirements of the POD.
- 20. The approved Plan of Development is granted by the Planning Commission only to the owners(s)/applicant(s) listed on the Plan of Development application on file for this project. Upon written notification to the Director of Planning, the Plan of Development approval may be transferred to subsequent owner(s) subject to approval by this Commission, or approval by the Director of Planning provided the property is transferred to new ownership no later than 24 months following initial construction plan approval. (Revised August 2016)

- 21. Vehicles shall be parked only in approved and constructed parking spaces.
- 22. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 23. The site, including paving, pavement markings, signage, curb and gutter, dumpster screens, walls, fences, lighting and other site improvements shall be properly maintained in good condition at all times. Any necessary repairs shall be made in a timely manner.
- 24. The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- 25. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations shall be included on the final construction plans for approval by the Department of Public Utilities prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 26. Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- 27. The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- 29. (Start of miscellaneous conditions)

STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR LANDSCAPE/LIGHTING/FENCE PLANS

- 1. The plan shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **January 25, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein. Four (4) sets of prints of the revised plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval stamps and distribution.
- 2. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 3. The owner shall have a set of approved plans available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 4. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the normal planting season. (DELETE IF NO LANDSCAPING)
- 5. All exterior lighting shall be shielded to direct lights away from adjacent residential property and streets. (**DELETE IF NO LIGHTING**)
- 6. All fences, walls, and screens, including gates and doors, shall be maintained in good repair by the owner. Trash and debris should not be allowed to accumulate along the fence or wall. (DELETE IF NO FENCE, WALL, OR DUMPSTER SCREEN)

- B. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Zero Lot Line Developments shall apply:
- 29. Roof edge ornamental features that extend over the zero lot line, and which are permitted by Section 24-95(i)(1), must be authorized in the covenants.
- 30. Eight-foot easements for construction, drainage, and maintenance access for abutting lots shall be provided and shown on the POD plans.
- 31. Building permit request for individual dwellings shall each include two (2) copies of a layout plan sheet as approved with the plan of development. The developer may utilize alternate building types providing that each may be located within the building footprint shown on the approved plan. Any deviation in building footprint or infrastructure shall require submission and approval of an administrative site plan.
- Windows on the zero lot line side of the dwelling can only be approved with an exception granted by the Building Official and the Director of Planning during the building permit application process.
- 33. The mechanical equipment for each building shall be located on its respective lot. Except for wall-mounted electric meters, in no case shall the eight-foot easement for construction, drainage, and maintenance access on the abutting lot be used to locate other mechanical equipment (such as HVAC equipment, generators, and the like) for the subject lot.
- C. Standard Conditions for Approval of All Dry Cleaners and Laundries in Addition to Item A:
- 29. The dry cleaning establishment shall use only non-inflammable cleaning solvents and have fully enclosed cleaning and solvent reclamation processes and fully enclosed pressing equipment with no outside steam exhaust.
- D. In addition to Item A, the Following Conditions for Approval of All Shopping Centers Shall Apply:
- 29. Only retail business establishments permitted in a **ZONE** may be located in this center.
- 30. The ground area covered by all the buildings shall not exceed in the aggregate 25 percent of the total site area
- 31. No merchandise shall be displayed or stored outside of the building(s) or on sidewalk(s).
- E. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Multi-Family Shall Apply:
- 29. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- 30. The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.

F. In addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Service Station Developments Shall Apply:

- 29. This business shall not remain in operation after midnight and no exterior signs shall remain lighted after (12:00 midnight B-1) (1:00 o'clock a.m. B-2) (no limit B-3).
- No merchandise shall be displayed outside of the building except that oil racks will be allowed on the pump islands.
- 31. This service station shall be used only for the sale of petroleum products and automobile accessories and parts. It shall not be used to sell or rent camping trailers, nor as a base of operation for truck fleets or fuel oil delivery or other such use that is not strictly a service station operation.
- 32. Only light repair work shall be allowed at this station, including motor tune-up, brake, generator, ignition, and exhaust repairs, and wheel balancing. The only work that can be performed outside the building is those services that are normally furnished at the pump island and the changing of tires.
- 33. No wrecked automobiles, nor automobiles incapable of being operated, shall be kept on the premises.
- 34. The prospective operator of this station shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.

G. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A B-2 ZONE

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- There shall be no exterior display of merchandise except on pump islands and on paved walkway areas within three (3) feet of building.
- 31. Lighting fixtures shall not exceed a height greater than twenty (20) feet.
- No temporary storage of wrecked or inoperative vehicles or rental of vehicles, trailer campers, vans or similar equipment shall be permitted.
- 33. Not more than two (2) electronic amusement games shall be permitted.
- 34. Not more than two (2) vending machines for food and beverage and similar merchandise shall be permitted on the premises outside of an enclosed building.
- 35. The prospective operator of this facility shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.
- The landscaping plan shall include details for screening of refuse containers and refuse storage facilities in accordance with Section 24-61(i).
- Refuse containers or refuse storage facilities shall be serviced during business hours only.
- 38. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way.
- 39. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

H. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A B-3 ZONE

29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.

- 30. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)
- 31. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)