Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of Henrico County, held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and Hungary Springs Roads beginning at 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, June 24, 2009.

4

Members Present: Mr. Ernest B. Vanarsdall, C.P.C., Acting Chairperson

(Brookland)

Mr. E. Ray Jernigan, C.P.C., (Varina) Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C. (Fairfield) Mr. Tommy Branin (Three Chopt)

Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, Secretary

Mr. James B. Donati (Varina)

Board of Supervisors Representative

Members Absent:

Mrs. Bonnie-Leigh Jones, Chairperson (Tuckahoe)

Others Present:

Mr. David D. O'Kelly, Assistant Director of Planning

Ms. Leslie A. News, CLA, Principal Planner

Mr. Kevin D. Wilhite, C.P.C., AICP, County Planner

Mr. Michael F. Kennedy, County Planner

Ms. Christina L. Goggin, AICP, County Planner Mr. Tony Greulich, C.P.C., County Planner

Mr. Matt Ward, County Planner

Mr. Gregory Garrison, County Planner Mr. Lee Pambid, C.P.C., County Planner

Ms. Aimee Berndt, County Planner Ms. Robin Wilder, Public Works Mr. John Woodburn, Public Works

Mr. Tommy Catlett, Assistant Traffic Engineer

Ms. Kim Vann, Henrico Police

Ms. Holly Zinn, Recording Secretary

5

# Mr. James B. Donati, the Board of Supervisors' representative, abstains from voting on all cases unless otherwise noted.

7 8 9

10

11

12

Mr. Vanarsdall - Good morning, everybody, and welcome to the Planning Commission. I welcome my colleagues here and Mr. Secretary. Mr. Donati, who represents the Board of Supervisors, will join us shortly. I think the production staff over there is ready. With that, I'd like to ask you to stand for the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

13 14 15

16

Thank you. I told you Mr. Donati would be here shortly, and that's about as short as you can get. Good morning, Mr. Donati. Glad to have you representing the Board this morning.

17 18 19

Let's turn the meeting over to our Secretary and Director of Planning, Mr. Joe Emerson.

21 22 23 24	Mr. Emerson - morning is the request for Leslie News.	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The first item on your agenda this or deferrals and withdrawals. Those will be handled by Ms.
25 26 27	Ms. News - Commission.	Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the
28 29	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Good morning, Ms. News.
30 31 32 33 34		Staff has two requests for deferrals this morning. The first is agenda and is located in the Varina District. This is POD-14-e Building. The applicant is requesting a deferral to the July
35 36	PLAN OF DEVELOPMEN	lΤ
	POD-14-09 IBEW - Multi-Purpose Building - 1400 E. Nine Mile Road (POD-72-01 Rev.)	Engineering Design Associates for IBEW Building Corp.: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a 10,790 square foot, onestory, multi-purpose meeting and training building on the site of an existing office building. The 12.811-acre site is located on the east line of E. Nine Mile Road (State Route 33), approximately 500 feet south of N. Airport Drive, on parcel 825-720-7093. The zoning is B-3C, Business District (Conditional) and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)
37 38 39 40	Mr. Vanarsdall - 14-09, IBEW – Multi-Purp	Anyone in the audience in opposition to the deferral of PODose Building? No opposition.
41 42 43	Mr. Jernigan - 09, IBEW – Multi-Purpose	Mr. Chairman, with that, I will move for deferral of POD-14- e Building, to July 22, 2009, by request of the applicant.
43 44 45	Mr. Branin -	Second.

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred POD-14-09, IBEW – Multi-Purpose Building, to its July 22, 2009 meeting.

say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

Motion by Mr. Jernigan, seconded by Mr. Branin. All in favor

Ms. News - The next request is found on page 16 of your agenda and is located in the Three Chopt District. This is POD-41-07, Pouncey Place, Phase 1. The applicant is requesting a deferral to the September 23, 2009 meeting.

54 55

51 52

53

46

47

Mr. Vanarsdall -

5	6
5	7

POD-41-07 Pouncey Place, Phase 1 – Pouncey Tract Rd. and Twin Hickory Lake Dr. (POD-57-86 Rev.) Bay Design Group, P.C. for Pouncey Place, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a shopping center with two, one-story buildings for a total of 27,630 sq. feet. The 5.25-acre site is part of a 10.10 acre parcel and is located on the southeast corner of Pouncey Tract Road (State Route 271) and Twin Hickory Lake Drive on parcel 740-765-2150. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional) and WBSO, West Broad Street Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

58

Mr. Vanarsdall - Anyone in the audience opposed to the deferment of case POD-41-07, Pouncey Place, Phase 1? No opposition.

61

Mr. Branin - Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that POD-41-07, Pouncey Place, Phase 1, be deferred to the September 23, 2009 meeting per the applicant's request.

65 66

Mr. Archer - Second.

67 68

Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion made Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

69 70 71

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred POD-41-07, Pouncey Place, Phase 1 to its September 23, 2009 meeting.

72 73 74

Ms. News - Those are all the requests that staff has received. I believe the Commission may have some additional requests.

75 76 77

Mr. Archer - I do, Mr. Chairman.

78 79

Mr. Vanarsdall - What page is it on?

80

Mr. Archer - This is on page 18. It's SUB-10-09, Oakleys Chase. The applicant is requesting a deferral to the July 9, 2009 Zoning meeting.

#### SUBDIVISION

SUB-10-09 Oakleys Chase (June 2009 Plan) Thornhurst Street Balzer and Associates, Inc. for Edward E. Jr. and Steven N. West, EJD Associates, Inc., and Thornhurst Land Company, LLC: The 107.31-acre site proposed for a subdivision of 146 single-family homes is located at the southeast intersection of S. Laburnum Avenue and Thornhurst Street and on the south line of Colwyck Drive, approximately 150 feet west of Gretna Court, on parcels 815-721-3551 and 813-720-2876 and part of parcel 813-721-9111. The zoning is R-3C, One Family Residence District (Conditional), C-1, Conservation District, M-1, Light Industrial District, and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Fairfield) 146 Lots

86 87

88

84 85

Mr. Vanarsdall - July 9, 2009? I have a request for deferral of SUB-10-09, Oakleys Chase. Anyone in the audience in opposition to deferment of this case? No opposition.

89 90

91 Mr. Archer - I move that SUB-10-09, Oakleys Chase, be deferred to the 92 July 9, 2009 Zoning meeting.

93

94 Mr. Branin - Second.

95

Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mr. Branin. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

98

At the request of the Commission, the Planning Commission deferred SUB-10-09, Oakleys Chase, to the July 9, 2009 Zoning meeting.

101

102 Mr. Vanarsdall - Mr. Secretary?

103

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, that takes us to the next item on your agenda, which is the Expedited Agenda. That will also be presented by Ms. News.

106

Ms. News - Yes, sir. We have eight items on our expedited agenda this morning. The first item is found on page 3 of your agenda and is located in the Tuckahoe District. This is a transfer of approval for POD-07-90, Ridgefield Medical Building, (Formerly Ridgefield Office Park). Staff recommends approval.

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL	. (Deferred from the N	May 27,	2009 Meeting)
----------------------	------------------------	---------	---------------

POD-07-90 Ridgefield Medical Building (Formerly Ridgefield Office Park) – 2200 Pump Road Judy Guild for CPC Ridgefield, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Earl Thompson, Inc. to CPC Ridgefield, LLC. The 1.876-acre site is located at the northwest corner of Pump Road and Ridgefield Parkway, on parcel 741-751-5040. The zoning is O-2C, Office District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe)

114

112113

115 Mr. Vanarsdall - Any opposition to POD-07-90, Ridgefield Medical Building, (Formerly Ridgefield Office Park)? No opposition.

117

Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, I move that POD-07-90, be approved on the expedited agenda.

120

121 Mr. Branin - Second.

122

Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mr. Branin. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

125 126

127

128

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-07-90, Ridgefield Medical Building, (Formerly Ridgefield Office Park), from Earl Thompson, Inc. to CPC Ridgefield, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved and the following additional condition:

129 130 131

1. The site deficiencies, as identified in the inspector's reports, dated February 20, 2009, and May 15, 2009, shall be corrected by July 10, 2009.

132133134

135

Ms. News - The next item is on page 4 of your agenda and is located in the Tuckahoe District. This is a transfer of approval for POD-135-87, The MCA Center. Staff can recommend approval.

136 137

### TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

138139

POD-135-87 The MCA Center – 8917 Fargo Road

**LLC:** Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Fargo Road Office Associates to RHMJ Enright Fargo Properties, LLC. The 1.07-acre site is located on the south line of Fargo Road, approximately 450 feet west of N. Parham Road, on parcel 753-745-2291. The zoning

David Schleider for RHMJ Enright Fargo Properties,

is O-1, Office District. County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe)

141 142 143 144 145 146	Mr. Vanarsdall - opposition.	Any opposition to case POD-135-87, The MCA Center? No
	Mr. Archer - be approved on the exped	Mr. Chairman, I move that transfer of approval POD-135-87 ited agenda.
147 148	Mr. Branin -	Second.
149 150 151	Mr. Vanarsdall - say aye. All opposed say r	Motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mr. Branin. All in favor no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.
152 153 154	The MCA Center, from	n approved the transfer of approval request for POD-135-87, Fargo Road Office Associates to RHMJ Enright Fargo the standard and added conditions previously approved.
155 156 157 158	Ms. News - Chopt District, is a tran- recommends approval.	Next, on page 5 of your agenda and located in the Three sfer of approval for POD-131-87, Culpeper Farms. Staff
159 160 161	TRANSFER OF APPROV	AL
	POD-131-87 Culpeper Farms Apartments – 9505 W. Broad Street	Sandra Becker for CAPREIT Culpeper Farms, LP: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Lokey Properties and John H. Streicker Trust to CAPREIT Culpeper Farms, LP. The 14.29-acre site is located south of W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250) on both the north and south lines of Mayland Drive, approximately 850 feet east of Pemberton Road, on parcel 755-757-0805. The zoning is R-5C, General Residence District and R-6C, General Residence District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)
162 163 164	Mr. Vanarsdall - Culpeper Farms Apartmer	Is there any opposition to transfer of approval POD-131-87, nts? No opposition.
165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172	Mr. Branin - 131-87, Culpeper Farms A	Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that transfer of approval POD- Apartments, be approved on the expedited agenda.
	Mr. Jernigan -	Second.
	Mr. Vanarsdall - say aye. All opposed say	Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All in favor no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.
173 174 175	<del>-</del>	n approved the transfer of approval request for POD-131-87, ents, from Lokey Properties and John H. Streicker Trust to

176 177	approved and the following additional condition:			
178 179 180 181		es, as identified in the inspection report, dated February 27 rected by June 30, 2009, or a bond shall be posted to cover ciencies.		
182 183 184 185	Mr. Archer - item on page 3, I think I n	Mr. Chairman, before we go further, when I moved on the eglected to say subject to the condition in the agenda.		
186 187	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Page 3?		
188 189	Mr. Archer -	Yes.		
190 191	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Still on the expedited, right?		
192 193	Mr. Archer -	Oh, yes.		
194 195 196 197	•	The next item is on page 6 of your agenda and is located in ct. This is a transfer of approval for POD-12-84, S & k condition on the agenda. Staff recommends approval.		
198 199	TRANSFER OF APPROV	/AL		
	POD-12-84 S & K Headquarters– 11100 W. Broad Street	Mark Slusher for 11100 West Broad Street, LC and TGM Realty Investors: Request for transfer of approva as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from S & K Famous Brands, Inc. to 11100 West Broad Street, LC. The 8.5-acre site is located at the northwest corner of W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250) and I-64, on parcel 744-761-3043. The zoning is M-1, Ligh Industrial District and WBSO, West Broad Street Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)		

Mr. Vanarsdall - Any opposition to transfer of approval POD-12-84, S & K Headquarters? No opposition.

Mr. Branin - Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that transfer of approval POD-12-84, S & K Headquarters, be approved on the expedited agenda, including condition one.

207208 Mr. Archer - Second.

Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

200

203

213	The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-12-84,
214	S & K Headquarters, from S & K Famous Brands, Inc. to 11100 West Broad Street, LC,
215	subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved and the following
216	additional condition:

219

1. The site deficiencies, as identified in the inspection report, dated April 24, 2009. shall be corrected by July 31, 2009, or a bond shall be posted to cover the remaining deficiencies.

220 221 222

223

224

Ms. News -The next item is on page 7 of your agenda and is also located in the Three Chopt District. This is transfer of approval for POD-57-97. This is a partial POD. This is S & K Retail Store. Staff recommends approval subject to the condition in the agenda.

225 226 227

#### TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

228

POD-57-97 (Part) S & K Retail Store -11102 W. Broad Street Mark Slusher for 11100 West Broad Street, LC and TGM Realty Investors: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Brookhollow of Virginia and S & K Famous Brands, Inc. to 11100 West Broad Street, LC. The 1.36-acre site is located on the north line of W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250), approximately 300 feet east of Brookriver Drive, on parcel 743-761-9431. The zoning is M-1, Light Industrial District and WBSO, West Broad Street Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

229

Mr. Vanarsdall -Any opposition to transfer of approval POD-57-97 (Part) 230 S & K Retail Store? No opposition. 231

232

Mr. Branin -Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that transfer of approval POD-233 57-97 (Part) S & K Retail Store, be approved on the expedited agenda, including 234 condition one. 235

236

Mr. Archer -Second. 237

238

239 Mr. Vanarsdall -Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes. 240

241

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-57-97 242 (Part) S & K Retail Store, from Brookhollow of Virginia and S & K Famous Brands, Inc. 243 to 11100 West Broad Street, LC, subject to the standard and added conditions 244 previously approved and the following additional condition: 245

1. The site deficiencies, as identified in the inspection report, dated April 24, 2009, shall be corrected by July 31, 2009, or a bond shall be posted to cover the remaining deficiencies.

Ms. News - The next item is on page 8 of you agenda and is located in the Brookland District. This is LP/POD-32-08, Wallace Gymnasium Landscape and Lighting Plan. There is an addendum item on page 1 of your addendum. This addendum includes a revised plan and revised recommendation stating that the plan submitted now addresses outstanding issues relating to landscape and lighting. Trees have been added along the parking lot adjacent to the private road, Westwood Trail, and seven pole lights have been added throughout the parking areas to provide the light levels recommended by the Division of Police. Staff can now recommend approval.

# LANDSCAPE & LIGHTING PLAN (Deferred from the May 27, 2009 Meeting)

LP/POD-32-08 Wallace Gymnasium – 2206 Westwood Avenue Engineering Design Associates for BCW 45<sup>th</sup>, LLC and Gordon Construction, Inc.: Request for approval of a landscape and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 3.24-acre site is located on the north line of Westwood Avenue at its intersection with Westwood Trail on parcel 779-735-7361. The zoning is M-2, General Industrial District. County water and City sewer. (Brookland)

Mr. Vanarsdall - Is there any opposition in the audience to LP/POD-32-08, Wallace Gymnasium? No opposition. Before I make a motion, I want to say I'm very glad this case is before us this morning. This is the gymnasium that Ben Wallace who plays for the Cleveland Cavaliers is erecting. Last month, we had some things that had to be done, and I want to thank Randy Hooker, who represents it, for his cooperation in deferring it. I want to thank Lee Pambid and Leslie News for their part, and I see that Kim Vann is back there. Kim, your input from the police helped very much. Mr. Hooker said he would like to hear it before the Commission and wished he had the privilege. I'm glad he did because of how the Commission felt about it. I want to thank Mr. Branin and Mr. Jernigan for their input on it. So now we'll get the Oscar out.

I think this is going to be—I know it will be a tribute to the neighborhood. This is going to be more than just a gymnasium. They're going to have a lot of youth activity and so forth.

With that, I recommend approval of LP/POD-32-08, Wallace Gymnasium, subject to standard conditions of landscape and lighting plans, and the annotations on the plan.

Mr. Jernigan - Second.

283 284 285	Mr. Vanarsdall - favor say aye. All opposed	Motion by Mr. Vanarsdall, seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All in say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.		
286 287 288 289		n approved the landscape and lighting plan for LP/POD-32-08, eject to the standard conditions attached to these minutes for ins.		
290 291 292 293	Ms. News - located in the Tuckahoe I Addition. Staff recommend	The next item is found on page 13 of your agenda and is District. This is a landscape plan for Steward School Building ds approval.		
294	LANDSCAPE PLAN			
295	LP/POD-20-08 Steward School Building Addition – 11600 Gayton Road	Koontz-Bryant, P.C. for Dixon Independent School Corp.: Request for approval of a landscape plan for building additions, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 35.73-acre site is located on the northwest corner of the intersection of Gayton and Ryandale Roads, on parcels 736-748-4535, 736-747-0678 and 8260. The zoning is A-1, Agricultural District. (Tuckahoe)		
296 297 298	Mr. Vanarsdall - Building Addition? No op	Anyone in opposition to LP/POD-20-08, Steward School position.		
299 300 301 302	Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, I move that LP/POD-20-08, Steward School Building Addition, be approved subject to the standard conditions for landscape plans.			
303	Mr. Branin -	Second.		
304 305 306 307	Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mr. Branin. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.			
308 309 310 311	•	on approved the landscape plan for LP/POD-20-08, Steward subject to the standard conditions attached to these minutes plans.		
312 313 314	Ms. News - the Brookland District. T Staff recommends approv	The final item is on page 17 of your agenda and is located in his is SUB-12-09, Springfield (June 2009 Plan), for two lots.		

#### SUBDIVISION

316317

SUB-12-09 Springfield (June 2009 Plan) Old Springfield Road Gene Watson and Associates, P.C. for Robert and Barbara Woodburn: The 0.827-acre site proposed for a subdivision of 2 single-family homes is located on the north line of Old Springfield Road, approximately 580 feet west of Old Mountain Road, on parcel 762-771-3985. The zoning is R-4, One Family Residence District. County water and sewer. (Brookland) 2 Lots

318 319

320

321

Mr. Vanarsdall - Any opposition to SUB-12-09, Springfield (June 2009 Plan)? No opposition. I move that SUB-12-09, Springfield (June 2009 Plan), be approved on the expedited agenda with the standard conditions for subdivisions served by public utilities, and condition #13.

322323

324 Mr. Jernigan - Second.

325

Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion by Mr. Vanarsdall, seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

328 329

330 331 The Planning Commission granted conditional approval to SUB-12-09, Springfield (June 2009 Plan), subject to the standard conditions attached to these minutes for subdivisions served by public utilities, the annotations on the plans, and the following additional condition:

332333334

335

13. Prior to final plat approval, the owner shall obtain any necessary building permits for accessory structures as required by the Building Official along with documentation that the accessory structures satisfy yard requirements.

336337338

Ms. News - That completes our expedited agenda.

339 340

Mr. Vanarsdall - Thank you, Ms. News. Now, Mr. Secretary.

341 342

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, that takes us to the next item on your agenda, which are Subdivision Extensions of Conditional Approval. Those will be presented by Mr. Lee Pambid.

344345

#### SUBDIVISION EXTENSIONS OF CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

346 347 348

#### FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

349

Subdivision	Original No. of Lots	Remaining Lots	Previous Extensions	Magisterial District	Recommended Extension
SUB2008-00152 Midview Farms (June 2005 Plan)	95	9	3	Varina	06/23/10
SUB2008-00151 (SUB-17-07) Nature's Way (June 2007 Plan)	8	8	1	Fairfield	06/23/10
SUB2008-00182 Tech Park (June 2005 Plan)	0	0	3	Varina	06/23/10

350 351

Mr. Vanarsdall -

Good morning, Mr. Pambid.

352 353

354

355

Mr. Pambid -Good morning members of the Planning Commission. This month there are three conditional subdivisions for which extensions have been requested. These are for informational purposes only and do not require Commission action at this time. I can field any questions that you might have regarding these items.

356 357

Mr. Emerson -

The Commission has no questions?

358 359 360

Mr. Vanarsdall -That was so short that it caught me off guard. Any questions by Commission members?

361 362

Mr. Branin -

Mr. Archer answered all my questions.

363 364

Mr. Emerson -365 366

That completes this item. Mr. Chairman, the next item on your agenda is on page 11, going into your regular agenda.

367 368

#### ALTERNATIVE FENCE HEIGHT PLAN

369

B-289 Sea Ray of Richmond -1800 Dabney Road

Benjamin Gibson, Jr. for Sea Ray of Richmond: Request for approval of an alternative fence height plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-95(I)(5)c and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code, to allow a fence exceeding a height of 42 inches in a front yard. The 4.39acre site is located on the west line of Dabney Road. approximately 260 feet north of the intersection of Dabney Road and Westwood Avenue, on parcel 777-734-4965. The zoning is M-1, Light Industrial District. (Brookland)

Mr. Vanarsdall -Any opposition to B-289, Sea Ray of Richmond? No 371 opposition. Good morning again, Mr. Pambid. 372

373 374

375

376

377

378 379

The applicant has requested an Mr. Pambid -Good morning, again. alternative fence height of 8 feet within the required front yard of 25 feet, which is in the M-1, Light Industrial District. Normally, a maximum height of 3 feet, 6 inches, or a total of 42 inches is permitted, but the requested deviation is 4 feet, 6 inches, which is a 54inch total deviation above the maximum. A deviation is necessary for approximately 230 feet of fence on Dabney Road, 24 feet of fence along the driveway, and 35 feet of fence along the adjacent property to the south. There are no residential properties either adjacent to or in the vicinity of this site.

381 382 383

384 385

386

387

388 389

390

391

380

The fence has already been erected and is a 7-foot-high chain link fence coated with black vinyl and three strands of barbed wire for a total of 8 feet. approximately 11 feet and 5 inches behind the back of the curb. The ordinance also permits the exterior display or storage of vehicles or boats, but it should be separated from any existing or proposed street right-of-way by a landscape strip not less than 10 feet in width. There should be appropriate planting materials designed. However, the fence had been erected on the property line, and the portion of the property that would normally contain the 10-foot strip has been paved for quite some time. This would be considered an existing non-conforming parking lot, and this was developed prior to the POD process.

392 393 394

395

396

397

It is the responsibility of the applicant to present his case to the Planning Commission. While staff customarily does not make recommendations on requests of this type, staff has no objection to the application, and no adverse effects were found pursuant to those five criteria stated in the ordinance for the approval of alternate fence heights.

398 399

This concludes my presentation. Mr. Gibson, the applicant, is here to present his case.

400 401

402

403

404

405

406

Mr. Vanarsdall -Any questions by Commission members for Mr. Pambid? I talked to Mr. Gibson, who is here with us this morning on the front row. The fence seems to be in order. Someone had told him it made the place look ugly; I don't agree with that. I think if it was a solid fence or something, it may. People couldn't peek behind it. With that, I recommend approval of the alternative fence height for B-289, Sea Ray of Richmond, with the annotations on the plan, and since staff has no objection.

407 408 409

Second. Mr. Jernigan -

410

Mr. Vanarsdall -Motion made by Mr. Vanarsdall, seconded by Mr. Jernigan. 411 All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes. 412

413

415

The Planning Commission approved the alternative fence height plan for B-289, Sea 414 Ray of Richmond, subjection to the standard conditions attached to these minutes for fence plans and the annotations on the plan. 416

# **SUBDIVISION** (Deferred from the May 27, 2009 Meeting)

SUB-06-09 Hampshire (April 2009 Plan) Hames Lane/Peavey Street

Bay Design Group, P.C. for Boushra and Edna Hanna, Donald M. and S. B. Whitehorn and Hanna Properties, LLC: The 7.13-acre site proposed for a subdivision of 12 single-family homes is located at the southeast terminus of Peavey Street, on part of parcels 742-773-4344 and 5604. The zoning is R-2AC, One Family Residence District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt) 12 Lots

Mr. Vanarsdall - Anyone in the audience in opposition to SUB-06-09, Hampshire? No opposition. Good morning.

423 Mr. Garrison - Good morning.

Mr. Vanarsdall - Before you begin, I want to recognize Katherine Calos in the audience with the Times-Dispatch. She slipped in on us without warning. Glad to have you.

Mr. Garrison - The applicant is requesting approval to re-subdivide lots 13 and 14 of the Bridlewood Subdivision to extend and create 12 lots in the Hampshire Subdivision. Staff has received concerns from adjacent residents regarding wetlands and drainage. In April, the applicant held a community meeting in an attempt to address these concerns. Additionally, this project was deferred from the Planning Commission from the April 22, 2009 meeting in an attempt to further address those drainage concerns. Since then, staff, including DPW, has met onsite to discuss options for the applicant to consider. Public Works has determined that the plans at this stage adequately address drainage and wetlands. The technical requirements have been met for staff to recommend conditional approval subject to the annotations on the plan, standard conditions for developments of this type, and added conditions 13 through 21.

Staff and representatives of the applicant are available to answer any questions that you may have.

Mr. Vanarsdall - Questions for Mr. Garrison by Commission members?

 Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, if I could add something. I know that this case has had quite a bit of discussion. The approval of subdivisions is an administrative act. When the development has met all the requirements of the Code, and all the reviewing agencies have submitted their comments and they've been addressed, the Commission is placed in the position of approving the subdivision because it does meet the Code. That's what you're doing here, reviewing the development as per the Code of the County and the Code of Virginia. So you have very little leeway in regards to not approving this case once all the criteria have been

addressed. As Mr. Garrison just noted, all the agencies have reviewed this subdivision proposal, and everyone is satisfied that it meets the criteria of the Code. You do have additional conditions to address some outstanding concerns, but you don't have a lot of leeway in regards to your actions on this case. I just wanted to make sure everybody understood that.

Mr. Vanarsdall - I appreciate that very much, Mr. Secretary. Any questions by Commission members?

463 Mr. Branin - Not for Mr. Garrison.

465 Mr. Vanarsdall - Thank you, Mr. Garrison. Tommy, would you like to hear 466 from the applicant?

468 Mr. Branin - I would like to hear from the opposition first, if I may.

470 Mr. Vanarsdall - I'd like to hear from the opposition, please. Please come on down front. Good morning.

 Ms. Kenny - Good morning. My name is Danielle Kenny, and I'm in Bridlewood. We own the pond. I have a question. I met on April 24, 2009, out at our pond to discuss drainage. Mr. Garrison was there. They suggested to myself and my husband that potentially they would change the drainage, and instead of draining directly into our pond, that they would change the drainage into some of the natural land that's already there so that by the time it got to our pond, at least there was some natural drainage. I just have a question. Have they addressed that and have there been any changes as far as drainage? Thank you.

482 Mr. Vanarsdall - Any questions? Anyone else? Come on down, please.
483 Good morning.

Ms. Swart - Good morning, gentlemen, Planning Commission members. My name is Margie Swart, and I reside on Lot 12 in Millrace Subdivision. I would like to address Virginia Code 15.2-2259, which specifically requires an applicant to make a good-faith effort to identify all deficiencies in a plat. The applicant in this case, SUB-06-09, has not met this requirement for the following reasons. As shown on a soil map that we have as an exhibit—and I'll get to later—most of the entire seven acres of this proposed subdivision lies within an all hydric soil area. This information is not just necessary, it's vital when determining the subdivision's appropriate use and plat layout. By not disclosing this information, the applicant has failed to meet the requirements as set forth in the above-noted Virginia Code. That was the first reason that I ask for your denial of this case.

The second reason is the applicant has failed to identify the stream on the plat. Since land along streams is subject to flooding, the Planning Commission needs to know the precise location of any stream on the plat in order to make a well-informed and proper

decision. Failing to identify the location of the stream is required under Henrico County Code, Section 19-51 and Section 19-52. The Planning Commission is denied the opportunity to consider its potential—the stream's potential health and safety hazards in relation to its proposed, or to the proposed lot location. Policy 10 of the County's Land Use Plan calls to require conspicuous statements on all subdivision plats to alert prospective purchasers of preexisting conditions which may be hazardous or affect the use and enjoyment of the property. By not identifying the stream and the all-hydric soil condition, the applicant, again, has failed to act in good faith.

Number three. Throughout the entire rezoning process, Lot 9 was presented to the Planning Commission and to the Board of Supervisors as almost entirely wetlands. In fact, on August 3, 2007, a wetland delineation was performed by Katie Perkins of Bay Design Group. Ms. Perkins has been trained in wetland delineation through the Wetland Training Institute. She has additional training through academic classes in groundwater hydrology and has a degree in Biological Systems Engineering from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University. Neighboring residents, Planning Commissioners, and the Board of Supervisors all expressed concern over impacting the entire site to build a home. Fearing he might lose the site due to the expressed concerns of the aforementioned, the applicant had another environmental firm negotiate the wetland boundaries on Lot 9 with the Army Corp of Engineers. I was informed yesterday by the Department of Environmental Quality that the determination of wetland boundaries is a negotiated process. If Lot 9 is approved for a home site and the future homeowners encounter water or drainage problems, I hope they can come to the County so that they might be able to negotiate repair and replacement costs.

Finally, under the Erosion and Sediment Control Law, specifically Section 4 of Virginia Code 50-30-40 19F, the applicant has failed to provide a plan of maintenance agreement for the storm water runoff facility. Until the applicant, the County, and the private property owners have a maintenance agreement in place, this subdivision should not be approved, as a maintenance agreement is required under the Erosion and Sediment Control Act.

I respectfully ask that you deny this subdivision, as it does not meet the requirements of Virginia Code 15.2-559, the requirements of the Erosion and Sediment Control Act, Henrico County Code Section 19-51 and 19-52, and the policies set forth in the Henrico County Land Use Plan. I would love to answer any guestions, I think, if you have any.

Mr. Vanarsdall - Any questions?

Ms. Swart - Can I just address something that Mr. Emerson noted before we started on this case? The fact that we're here this morning was a proffer from the applicant's attorney at the rezoning process that we would be allowed this opportunity. Mr. Glover made it clear at rezoning that they were not approving this subdivision. Mr. Glover made it clear they were only addressing a zoning change, and it would be at this meeting that changes could be made, if the Planning Commission saw fit. It's on the record. It's in the minutes. So, I just wanted to make that statement.

5	46	
5	47	

Mr. Jernigan - Ms. Swart.

548

549 Ms. Swart -

Yes.

550 551

Mr. Jernigan - You said yesterday you found out that this is a negotiation—that the wetlands are negotiable?

552553554

555

556

557

558

559 560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

Ms. Swart -In general, yes. Mr. Roger Harris of the Department of Environmental Quality said wetland delineation is, in fact, a negotiated process. I think that's what happened in order to get Millrace Subdivision pushed through zoning and built on, is because they were able to negotiate—well, we'll give you wetlands on the back of this lot, that lot, and the other lot if you allow us to impact this for our road. So they can just kind of move them around. Lot 12 in Millrace and—on the plat map. I can't see the lot numbers from here. Oh, this one is good here, this erosion control—I believe Ms. Danielle Kenny was concerned—where you see the sediment trap basin by not showing where the stream is on this plat—There you go. Perfect. Thank you, sir. Everything's going to have to be clear-cut all the way down to the stream bank for this sediment trap and to meet erosion control measures. At a previous meeting, I showed you a picture of the culverts and the stream that fed into the private pond. So by your not being able to see where this stream lies, you can't make an informed decision as to whether homes should be on those specific lots and whether you should allow complete clear-cutting of every last bush, tree, and blade of grass to get a sediment trap in. Then if we can go to the hydric soil map, please.

569570571

572

573

574

575

576577

578

579

580 581 This is a little bit different than the one that I have. This is, I guess, specific soil that exists underneath these 7 acres. As you see—it shows 11069, which is my home site. That purple outline pretty much follows the wetlands on the back portion of my lot. Actually, it extends all the way over to my lot line, and this almost entire seven acres is going to be built on top of this Pouncey Sandy Loam. The soil has been determined to be all hydric. This is a little bit different map, and there isn't a home—and I don't know about this, is it quarter-mile, half a mile radius—that has other home sites built on all hydric soil. In fact, these 10-acre lots built back in 1983 or in the early 1980's in Bridlewood, if you would drive down Hames Lane and look at these large sites, the homes are built on top of the good soil, not on top of the partially hydric soil or the all-hydric soil. So even back then, 25 or 26 years ago, they were careful not to build homes on top of hydric soil.

582 583 584

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, could I ask a question?

585 586

Mr. Vanarsdall - Absolutely.

587 588

Mr. Emerson - Ms. Swart, what's the source of your soil maps?

589 590

Ms. Swart - This came from a Henrico County Planning gal.

Mr. Emerson - Right. And the source of that data is the Soil Conservation Service Soil Survey?

595 Ms. Swart - Henrico County's Geographical Information System.

Mr. Emerson - I believe that probably the source is the SCS Soil Survey, which is done on hectors. It's one boring for hector; therefore, it's not site-specific. It's very general in nature. When you begin developing property, you have to go in and be a little more specific. It's not unusual for soils, or the types of soils to be different when you get into a very detailed study. The Soil Survey Study is very, very broad in nature.

603 Ms. Swart - Okay, I—

Mr. Emerson - While the information is a good general guide, it's not a specific guide.

Ms. Swart - I understand that. For the record, I would like to leave each and every Planning Commission member with a copy of this map that was provided by the County to me, just in case there are any problems in the future if this subdivision goes through. I'm standing in front of you, but I want these comments on record for the future homeowners of these 12 lots, if all 12 go in, that this information was provided. You have all been provided with concerns and problems from Millrace and Hampshire South. I believe someone from Hampshire Section 4 is here today to talk about some of the problems that he has, and he's on Lot 20 right next to the pond. He's adjacent to this property. I'm sure he wishes he would have had a copy of this or the developer would have been required to let him know of this deficiency in his land before he paid a premium for the lot that he's on. I'll let him describe the mess after a year. It's taken some of us in Millrace maybe five years to experience the problems. This gentleman is experiencing them a year later. Thank you.

Mr. Vanarsdall - Any questions by Commission members? Mr. Secretary? Good morning.

Mr. Groener - Mr. Chairman, hi. My name is Max Groener. As Margie already said, I live in Lot 20, which is adjacent to the areas that are under proposal. I moved there last year, February, so I've been living there just over a year. I was not aware on my plat, interestingly enough. Nothing was shown to me about hydric soil that I would be encountering there. I was aware of on the other plan—I think it was number three—the wetlands area there. I was pretty much aware of the wetlands there as they show here.

Interestingly enough, just like Margie said this morning, negotiation probably took place with my lot also. It's very interesting that the wetlands should just kind of not go over into my area, and that there's a boundary that the water is going to come in. That's not what I'm experiencing. I can tell you, the last couple of weeks, I had my backyard relandscaped. The person who came out with a small bobcat got stuck multiple times in

my backyard, couldn't even do the landscaping towards that property line on that side that is under proposal because it is so wet. I was aware of water coming out from underneath a patio, a concrete patio that I have from a walkout patio in the back. For several months, and since I've lived here, really, I was always, like, why is there a stream constantly coming out from underneath my area, from underneath my basement. So the landscaper and I, we looked at it. He said, "You just got some kind of natural water coming out that's constantly there." We actually put in for this landscaping just to work and get some of that water off my backyard. We put in drainage to drain some of that away.

So, I'm basically in mud. I'm in wetlands back there. They're not designated wetlands, so I go do anything really with my backyard that I want, but it's a terrible situation. I just hate to see what would happen if per proposal, we're going to cut down trees, which obviously help with the erosion and keeping the water away. Since I'm downstream from all of this, it'll probably get much worse, especially if we're—until just this morning—I wasn't even aware of the fact that maybe we're not going to drain everything into the pond, and we're going to try to drain it over the land.

Other concerns that I really have are, just looking at the time, when this is supposed to happen. When I purchased my area from Ryan Homes, who was the builder back then, I very specifically said I have small children; I want to live in a quiet area, cul-de-sac area. They said, "We've got a perfect lot for you. We're not going to do anything else with it." Possibly—and this is what they told me after I said, "Make sure that you don't do anything else. Make sure it doesn't get developed." The road sign, the extension sign, wasn't up at the time I made the purchase. That came up about two weeks after I moved in. I said, "Make very sure that nothing is going to happen." They came back to me and said, "It's wetlands back there. Our only plan, if any—and we're probably not even going to do that—is build two houses at the end of the cul-de-sac, but probably not even that because it is wetlands and nobody would want to get in there." I understand that from seeing one of the layouts from Bay, actually, that Ryan is the one who is interested now in developing those homes. I don't know if that has been disclosed to you. I feel cheated some because I did also pay a premium for that lot just to be in a quiet cul-de-sac area. So, that's one of the other concerns.

My other concern is we have a lot of areas here in Henrico County right now, houses that have been for sale for six months, eight months, nine months, over a year, even in Hampshire. We have Ryan Homes, Centex, and other developers who can't sell lots over in Bentley, over in Grey Oaks, on Broad Street. They can't see. We have that huge development that Kroger was going to build; it's laying flat. Erosion's going to happen there. My concern is if we go in there and we start clearing this, and they're not selling homes, that land is going to get worse and worse back there. I'm going to have problems with drainage again. It's just not the right time to do it either.

So, a lot of concerns that I have as a lot owner there who has problems, ongoing problems, that I would just like to be considered also. It's not the right area to build into,

683 684 685	and it's not the right time questions? Thank you ver	e to build into it. I appreciate you listening to me. Any y much.
686 687	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Thank you very much.
688 689 690	Mr. Emerson - like to bring in someone fro	Mr. Chairman, in regards to Ms. Swart's comments, I would om Public Works.
691 692	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Who's here from Public Works? Good morning.
693 694 695 696		Good morning, gentlemen. My name is Robin Wilder. I work Water Quality Analyst, also known as the Wetland Girl. Can
697 698 699	Mr. Branin - this before.	Yes, I can hear you. Negotiated wetlands. I've never heard
700 701 702 703 704 705	they're going to be big. If lot of data, and then have the wetlands called smalle bigger wetlands delineator	If you have the Corps out to delineate your wetlands for you, you hire an environmental consultant to come out and take a the Corps come out, typically the wetland consultant can get er because they have the data to back it up. So, sometimes are better at some other parameters than others, and so lineations can be different by different individuals.
706 707 708	Mr. Branin -	So, it is indeed negotiated?
709 710 711 712	Ms. Wilder - would say that sometimes Engineers.	I don't know that I would use the word <i>negotiation</i> , but I different people pick their different battles with the Corps of
713 714 715	Mr. Branin - comments, didn't you?	Okay. The stream through Lot 9. You heard Ms. Swart's
716 717	Ms. Wilder -	I did.
718 719 720	Mr. Branin - to see the stream?	It's not shown on the design through Lot 9. You've been out
721 722 723 724 725	swale there is a stream. channel, unless they're be	I have. I don't know that there's a stream on lot—well, yes. hole property there is a stream, and halfway up the middle Typically, those are delineated on a map to show as a stream eing classified as a wetland rather than a stream. This one think it's something that is up to the delineator on how they

72 <b>8</b> 729	Mr. Branin - Wetland Girl?	All right. Does anybody else have any questions for our
730	vvetiana Sin:	
731	Mr. Jernigan -	I'm back to the negotiation part. In other words, you're
732		crew in there to negotiate, somebody that's really—let's put it
733	in lawyer terms. If you h	ad an Alan Dershowitz who was out there as an attorney and
734		going to probably get the wetlands down lower than what an
735	average other guy would.	
736		
737	Ms. Wilder -	I'm not familiar with who Alan Dershowitz is.
738	Mr. Jaminan	Mall alsoy Proporty. He was one of the levesors with O. I.
739	Mr. Jernigan -	Well, okay, I'm sorry. He was one of the lawyers with O. J.
740 741	Simpson.	
741 742	Ms. Wilder -	Oh.
743	IVIS. VVIIGEI	O11.
744	Mr. Jernigan -	I forgot; I'm a little older than you are, Robin. I've spoken to
745	•	wetlands. It's been amazing because some of the factors are
746	you have to have a certa	in type of flower. In one case I spoke to you about the other
747		ni-storage. It was dry, had a manmade County ditch through it,
748		have two acres of wetlands. It was dry as a bone, but it had
749	that little flower sticking u	p there, which is—you said there's quite a group of them.
750	Use well-ed this preparty	I did it this week. I told you I was going to go down thore. It's
751 752		I did it this week. I told you I was going to go down there. It's ed that stream, and you said that is not a perennial stream
753	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	all the time. Yet, Mr. Branin said when we had a drought two
754		was dry, it was still flowing. We still have to determine it's not
755	perennial.	, nac ary, a mac can norm g. The can make to be a common a me me
756	•	
757	Ms. Wilder -	Yes, we do. We're looking for preponderance of evidence
758		ial. It would have a geomorphology that's quite different from
759	what's out there now if it	was indeed taking perennial flow. I'm sorry I cut you off.
760	Maria I and a second	All 2 Lt. The state of D. L.
761	Mr. Jernigan -	All right. Thank you, Robin.
762 763	Ms. Wilder -	Anything else?
764	Wis. Wilder -	Arrything else:
765	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Any other questions by Commission members? Thank you
766	very much.	. m., care queenere by commencer members. Thank you
767	•	
768	Mr. Branin -	Mr. Secretary, do you have any questions?
769		
770	Mr. Archer -	Mr. Branin, may I ask a question, ask you a question?
771	Mr. Drog:-	Variance all the amosticus and
772	Mr. Branin -	You can ask me all the questions you want.

Mr. Archer - Ms. Swart cited quite a few items, old issues. Have you had an opportunity [inaudible-blank] old issues?

777 Mr. Branin - I haven't. I haven't, Mr. Archer.

779 Mr. Archer - Are you comfortable with what—

Mr. Branin - If you saw, I was smiling. I was thinking she needs to be a consultant for us. She's pulled up stuff that I wasn't aware of because I don't know the Codes as well as I guess I should. No, I don't know all of the Codes that she was referring to, and I haven't had an opportunity.

786 Mr. Archer - Just wondered.

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, I could probably run through some of these issues, and possibly someone from Works may want to weigh into this. Good-faith efforts are essentially that, good-faith efforts. I don't know that you can say that good-faith efforts haven't been made on anyone's part in regards to putting this information together. Ms. Wilder addressed the question regarding the stream. Statements on the plats, all the plats' recordations hold statements depending upon the particulars, and in this case, yes, several conditions, including the one that's been added regarding geotechnical information that needs to be made available to the Building Inspection Office prior to the construction of any house. So, I believe you have addressed that.

The rezoning process, the comments made regarding that. Yes, the rezoning process was the initial part, and that is where a lot of subjective decisions are made. Ms. Swart, I know that you talked with the County Attorney yesterday, and he explained all this to you. The subdivision process itself, again, is ministerial. If all the criteria have been met, the Commission has to certify that has been met and move this along. At that time, that's when those items are taken care of.

The E and S Controls—this is a conditional approval; it is not final signature. Mr. Woodburn may want to address this item; however, if there is a maintenance agreement that is outstanding, it would be addressed by Public Works prior to final signature. Is that not correct, Mr. Woodburn?

810 Mr. Woodburn - That is correct.

Mr. Emerson - Would you come to the podium?

814 Mr. Vanarsdall - We need to get that on the mic.

816 Mr. Branin - Sir, would you state your name for the record, please?

- 818 Mr. Woodburn Good morning, members. I'm John Woodburn. I work for
- Public Works.

820	Mr. Emoron	Could you address the grantier remarking overiencediment
821	Mr. Emerson -	Could you address the question regarding erosion sediment
822	control?	
823 824	Mr. Woodburn -	Regarding the maintenance agreement for the pond, it's
825		storm water management pond or BMP by Public Works; it's
826	•	and would not be required to have a maintenance agreement
827	with us.	and would not be required to have a maintenance agreement
828	with us.	
829	Mr. Emerson -	But any other outstanding items would be addressed, if
830	there are any, prior to fina	
831	there are any, phor to ma	roightataro, correct.
832	Mr. Woodburn -	Yes.
833		
834	Mr. Branin -	I have a question in regards to that. Can you explain to
835		come up next-can you explain to me how that's a private
836		ess of drainage from all of the area, and has to allow that
837		st said originally that they had bought the pond, we were all
838	dumbstruck that the pond	had been sold. There's an agreement that has the water, the
839		s free right to flow into that, correct? But we have no-I just
840	•	rivate pond—What is the legality of the right for free flow into
841	that pond? Am I saying th	at right?
842		
843	Mr. Emerson -	I believe what the question is, if I could restate it, what is the
844		as that pond designed to catch all the drainage from this
845		was developed; and, therefore, is it obligated to accept that
846		ty develops. Is that basically—does that make it more
847	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	plicated? This was designed at the time it was done—Mr
848	Correct?	ith this—to accommodate the drainage within that watershed
849	Correct?	
850 851	Mr. Woodburn -	Yes.
852	Wir. VVOOdbaiii -	ies.
853 853	Mr. Emerson -	So therefore, regardless of ownership, the natural drainage
854		n. So, when the water runs off, it goes to the pond, and the
855		m, so it has to accept that because it was designed to that
856	level of drainage. Correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
857	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	·
858	Mr. Woodburn -	Yes. It was upgraded as part of the Hampshire Section 4
859	plan.	1 3 1 3
860	•	
861	Mr. Emerson -	And your plans require that the entire watershed be taker
862	into consideration at the	time of design of a storm water type facility such as that
863	correct?	
864		

Mr. Woodburn - That is correct. We don't always see a plan that would anticipate future development in the upgrade, but this one did.

Mr. Emerson - Does that answer your question, Mr. Branin?

Mr. Branin - It does, Mr. Emerson, but I still—the County has no, we have no regulation or we don't oversee or have any responsibility towards this private pond, correct?

874 Mr. Emerson - That's correct.

Mr. Branin - Is there an agreement that the homeowner has that they will allow all of this water to flow, and changes of the water? If they decide they want to put in a dam, because it isn't a perennial creek? It isn't a creek, so they're going to put a swimming pool where that creek is, which would stop the flow of these properties. I just don't understand how someone can own a pond—we have no bearing over the pond because it's a privately-owned pond. If it's privately owned, can't they do whatever they want with it? Can they remove that pond if they wish because they own it?

Mr. Emerson - I'd have to defer to Mr. Woodburn, but the State Code speaks to downstream flow, as I recall.

Mr. Branin - Do you understand my—

Mr. Emerson - I understand what you're—

Mr. Branin - When we started this case, we had the whole drainage issues and wetland issues, and one of the key factors in this case is allowing that drainage and that flow to go into the pond. Then, it was a complete surprise when we found out it was a private pond. Now, the County says we don't oversee that pond; we have no responsibility towards it because it's private. Well, if it's a private pond, and Ms. Kenny says tomorrow, "Well, you know, I don't want any more flow into this pond because I'm going to block it off, and I'm going to put an aeration system in it, and I'm going to raise coy." Does she have that right?

Mr. Emerson - That would get into State Code. I'm not sure. If it's designed to accommodate—

 Mr. Branin - The whole case is based on drainage into that pond. There are a lot of dark, looming factors with this case, Mr. Emerson, which I've brought to you, and I've brought to my fellow Commissioners over and over again. That's one of those dark, looming issues. I'm not real comfortable moving this case because we have a lot of outstanding issues. I know the environmental is taken care of. Mr. Hackett and the gang will make sure that all environmental erosion is taken care of before it comes on. But the pond. Everything is based on the pond.

911 912	Mr. Emerson -	Right. That's a Public Works-related question.
913 914 915	Mr. Branin - baffled by this case becau for me and my district.	I didn't know how to voice that. To tell you the truth, I'm still se there are so many issues with this case. Many unknowns
916 917 918 919 920		Am I to understand what you're saying, Mr. Branin, is that coming in there? Mr. Woodburn, how does that fall? That e all that flow.
921 922	Mr. Woodburn -	That is correct.
923 924 925		Is there any legal—was there anything when that was sold at they could still take the flow?
923 926 927	Mr. Woodburn-	I am not aware of any.
928 929 930 931		Again, we've designated it as a non-perennial stream. So, onsidered just a drainage ditch? If it's a drainage ditch, then be moved to the side.
932 933 934	Ms. Wilder - regulated by the State and	It's not regulated by the County as a perennial stream. It is the Federal government.
935	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Pull the mic over to you, Robin.
936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943	they do, they would have just like this developer wo is some kind of State law,	It's regulated by the State and the Federal government as a use it is in line with the whole drainage system. So, anything to get permission from the State and the Federal government, all have to get a permit for her wetland impacts. I think there but I'm just a lowly County employee. I'm not familiar with the ing drainage down a drainage way.
944	Mr. Branin -	So, what do State and Federal consider that?
945 946 947	Ms. Wilder -	Waters of the U. S., Waters of the United States.
948 949 950	Mr. Branin - U.S.? Would that be consi	Would you explain to me the difference of Waters of the dered a consistently flowing stream?
951 952 953 954	Ms. Wilder - are considered a subset surface water.	It is a stream, and this is a dam stream. In fact, wetlands of Waters of the U.S. So, Waters of the U.S. is basically
954	Mr Branin -	Okay And our classification of a perennial stream is?

957 Ms. Wilder - A perennially flowing water body that is getting the majority of its flow from groundwater sources.

Mr. Branin - Where does all this water that consistently flows through this stream come from?

 Ms. Wilder - Ms. Swart was telling you about the soils on the site. They are considered hydric, and even Colfax soils are considered potentially hydric soils. When it rains on these soils, these soils swell up just like a sponge and release the water slowly. Therefore, that stream can maintain that flow for longer than any other stream that doesn't have these kinds of soils associated with it, but it's not groundwater; it's actually surface water.

Mr. Branin - Mr. Chairman, may I make my motion, please?

Mr. Vanarsdall - Come on down. Good morning.

 Ms. Harbison - Good morning. My name is Brenda Harbison. I'm on Lot 14. It's interesting that you raise these questions because last night I got to thinking about it, and I thought about the Kenny's pond issue. I just started looking up some of these issues because I feel really bad for them. They've been raising these issues numerous times, and nobody's ever had anything to say about what's going to happen to all this water. We have these drainage proposals. So far, the applicant has never said where he's going to dump all this water, and how he proposes to drain it all into their private pond. So, I looked up all of this and, in any event, I found that he's basically going to illegally dump it into the Kenny's pond. It's going to be a trespass because absent any contractual or statutory privilege, you cannot collect this water and divert it onto their land.

Mr. Emerson - Ma'am, please cite the source of your—

Ms. Harbison - It's a Virginia Supreme Court case. It's Seventeen, Inc. versus Pilot Life Insurance Company. It's 215 Va. 74 (205 S.E.2d 648), and it's a 1974 case. There are more recent cases, but this was kind of a similar case that cites other different cases. Essentially, I hadn't ever heard the applicant say that he had gone to the Kenny's and asked for an easement or any kind of contract, that he was going to pay them to dump the water into their pond, or pay them to create these natural basins. So, it got me to thinking, you know, how is he going to essentially collect the water from these 25 different lots—the 12 that he's proposing, and then Hampshire and Millrace—and essentially put it into her pond? Then, she's going to be responsible for maintaining this pond and all the effects of all of this water. One of the other things this case says is that "the City's approval of the drainage system proposed by the landowner cannot legally trespass upon the lands of another."

So, it's just kind of an interesting case that raises some of the issues that are going on, and essentially says that surface water is a common enemy. And that's just a common

1003 1004 1005 1006 1007 1008 1009 1010	just common law that sur he's basically a landowner to put it in a culvert, and p going to get sued. I do proposal, and then she's g	rinciple. It's not in that statute. You're not going to find it in the Virginia Code. It's ommon law that surface water is a common enemy, and trying to divert—which pasically a landowner and she's a landowner. If you take surface water and you try it in a culvert, and pipe it away, and stick it onto your neighbor's property, you're to get sued. I don't think it's right that you can rubber-stamp his drainage is al, and then she's going to have to come back and essentially sue him for all the tems that it's going to cause her.	
1011 1012	Mr. Branin -	Aren't you glad that I brought this up today?	
1012 1013 1014	Ms. Harbison -	Well, I was thinking about it last night, too, but.	
1015 1016 1017	Mr. Branin - When you purchased this	It gave us some court cases. Mr. Secretary, Ms. Kenny. pond—I'm sorry, would you state your name?	
1017 1018 1019	Ms. Kenny -	Danielle Kenny.	
1020 1021	Mr. Vanarsdall -	State your name again before you answer.	
1022 1023	Ms. Kenny -	Danielle Kenny. I can say it as many times as you want.	
1024 1025 1026	Mr. Branin - that you accepted with it?	When you purchased this pond, was there an agreement	
1027 1028	Ms. Kenny -	An agreement—	
1029 1030	Mr. Branin -	Who'd you buy it from?	
1031 1032 1033	Ms. Kenny - the owners.	I bought it from Neil Farmer and Shadow, LLC. They were	
1033 1034 1035 1036	Mr. Branin - conditions with your purch	When you bought that, was there a conditional—were there ase?	
1030 1037 1038	Ms. Kenny -	There were no conditions.	
1038 1039 1040	Mr. Branin -	No conditions. Mr. Secretary.	
1040 1041 1042	Mr. Emerson -	Yes, sir.	
1043 1044	Mr. Branin - that are previously on it, z	When someone buys a pond, a piece of land, any conditions oning, continue with it.	
1045 1046	Mr. Emerson -	Yes, sir, they would.	
1047 1048	Mr. Branin -	Do we know what conditions are with that pond?	

Mr. Emerson - With the drainage.

1052 Mr. Branin - Theoretically, it was a private pond to start with, correct?

Mr. Emerson - Correct. That's correct. And it was designed—

Ms. Kenny - Can I just clarify one thing? I don't mean to cut you off. I want to clarify that this pond was built by my neighbors, the Fleshers. They built that pond. It wasn't that there was a pond that was there or naturally built or any of that. It was a privately built initial pond. So, I just want to clarify that from the beginning.

Mr. Emerson - But I believe that pond was improved at the time. It was expanded, and an overflow was placed in, in order to allow it to accept additional capacity. I have the request—drainage, obviously, is a Public Works' issue. I have requested Mr. O'Kelly to see if he could get Mr. Perry and Mr. White down here to answer some of these questions regarding the applicability of this pond, and whether or not it has to accept the flow. It's my understanding it does. Thank you for the court cases. I believe all those court cases noted are before the latest Erosion Sediment Control and Drainage laws in the State of Virginia that I'm aware of. Most of those came into effect—at least the most recent ones—in the '80's versus the early '70's. I believe some the Clean Water Act legislation came in after that, too. So I'm not sure of the applicability of those court cases that were mentioned. Again, that's getting beyond where we normally go because drainage is a Public Works' issue. We're trying to get someone down here from Works. I would suggest possibly you may want to ask the applicant some questions and see what their responses are at this point.

Ms. Kenny -I just want to put this on the record because our biggest concern is the statement that the County has no maintenance concerns or obligations for this pond. If you are redirecting—and I stated this at our last meeting here—it's a difference of putting a hose at the end of this room and letting it rush down, or putting it directly to my face. I'm concerned that we're going to have water directly into this pond that's not being directed now. It's making its way in natural ways. It's going over a lot of land before it gets to my pond. But if you're directing it, gushing straight to my pond, there are additional maintenance issues. Our concern is later on down the line, the County's going to come along and say there are additional maintenance requirements for our pond that as a private owner we have to do with no repercussions from the builder or the County. That's not right. We had several people at the pond looking at it. You guys, I think you're confusing a few things. There is a stream, and then there's the pond. Robin was there; she saw the stream. Some of this water does not make it into the pond. When you're going to redirect all of these houses directly into my pond, it's not just normal rush-off water. You also have all the pesticides that these homeowners will be using. We have well water; we don't use pesticides. My concern is this water is going through the pond, going down my creek, and into my land that's eventually making its way to our well. I know there was a concern saying that we don't have to

1094 1095	worry about that, but if the backyard—	e Chesapeake Bay is worried about what we're doing in our
1096		
1097	Mr. Branin -	<b> </b>
1098		
1099	Ms. Kenny -	—then I have a concern about my well.
1100		
1101	Mr. Branin -	I agree with you, Ms. Kenny, but just the one statement.
1102	•	e hundred percent I'm with you on it. But the creek, you're
1103		truns alongside of it that's connected to the bottom of this
1104	property?	
1105		
1106	Ms. Kenny -	Well, there is rush-off. There's a stream that comes to the
1107	•	map—of the pond. But our pond feeds directly into a creek
1108 1109	that runs in the middle of n	ny property.
1110	Mr. Branin -	It's not the same non-perennial water of the-
1111		
1112	Ms. Kenny -	If you look at where this pond is on the left corner-up, if
1113	you-This left corner of the	e pond where that—Yes, that corner. There is the runoff from
1114	the pond, and there's a cre	eek that runs through the back of our property. Yes. Straight
1115	through there, and the	neighbor's property, and makes its way into Wyndham
1116	<del>-</del>	om the pond, it's rush-off is directly into the creek that runs in
1117	the middle of my property.	•
1118	, , ,	
1119	Mr. Branin -	Okay.
1120		
1121	Ms. Kenny -	So our concern is all this extra water that's going to run into
1122	the pond is going to run in	nto that creek. It certainly can overflow. It's overflowed when
1123	we've had heavy rains, a	nd that's not with extra directed water. The pesticides and
1124		s from people's properties is going to be directly flushed that
1125	way. I'm not trying to en	d their whole plan of building; I think it's going to end up
1126	happening. Mr. Kenny	and I, we acknowledge all that. Our concern is future
1127	maintenance of the pond,	and how the water is getting into the pond. When they were
1128	there at our property-and	d Robin was there and Greg was there—we discussed we'd
1129	prefer having some of that	water drain back into land and have it naturally make its way.
1130		Mr. Emerson, I acknowledge—
1131	·	•
1132	Mr. Branin -	Would cause it to filter.
1133		
1134	Ms. Kenny -	Right, there's more filtering.
1135	·	
1136	Mr. Branin -	Right.
1137		-
1138	Ms. Kenny -	I acknowledge you're saying that it naturally takes it now,
1139	and it should continue to	take that. But there's a difference between letting it naturally

make its way, which is what it does now—and we can't do anything about what it does now—and having a pipe that is pouring the water into the pond. That's the difference.

1142

1143 Mr. Vanarsdall - We understand where you're coming from. Thank you. Mr.

1144 Branin?

1145

1146 Mr. Branin - Sir?

1147

1148 Mr. Vanarsdall - Do you want to hold it up until the boss gets here?

1149 1150

Mr. Branin - May I hear from the applicant?

1151

Mr. Caskie - Good morning. I'm Dan Caskie with Bay Design Group. I also have Rick Atkinson here with Williamsburg Environmental, and the developer,

1154 Boushra Hanna.

1155 1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164 1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

It's interesting where this case has gone from the beginning. It started with the drainage up at Millrace, and then went to the wetlands. It seems like there are some convenient arguments that are being thrown around depending on whether some of our neighbors feel like they're losing some traction. Anyway, we're at the pond right now. The pond—we dug up the plans from E. D. Lewis when they did Hampshire 4. The pond is designed for an overflow. There are a couple different overflow places on that pond. There is an emergency spillway at the end of the pond, I guess on the bottom left side, but there is also, up at the property, a weir, like a 30-foot wide weir where they designed water to stage up a little bit on our property, and then overflow that weir, and run down through that. There's an overflow ditch, I guess, just to the south of the Kenny's pond. So, that entire pond is part of the drainage system for Hampshire 4 and all of the drainage above the pond. It's intended to be part of the drainage system. As you have that, it was also supposed to be part of the Hampshire 4 common area, being maintained by the Hampshire HOA. Then, Hampshire 4 annexed into all of Hampshire, the Estates of Hampshire so the maintenance for that pond is supposed to be covered by that HOA. When somebody privately buys something like that, they buy all of the maintenance responsibilities for that. Who's going to maintain it? The Kenny's are going to maintain it; that's what they bought. So, it is what it is. We are not putting any more water in this pond than what was planned for. Actually, we're putting less in than it was planned for, so.

117511761177

1178

1179 1180

1181

1182

I think there was a comment from the adjacent owner about releasing drainage onto downstream properties. Well, you know, if you go with that thought process, then I guess we could say Millrace needs to stop putting their drainage on us, and then everybody upstream needs to stop doing it as well. So, I'm not sure that that's an argument. As Joe was saying, I don't know if that's really an argument that would hold water. I'd be happy to answer any questions. I know there are lots of things that have been thrown around.

1183 1184

1185 Mr. Vanarsdall - Questions for Mr. Caskie?

1186		
1187	Mr. Branin -	Mr. Caskie, there are still some outstanding looming
1188	questions that I have in	n regards to legality responsibility. I guess I'm going to have to
1189	go through a course or	n perennial streams. I'm going to make my motion to defer this
1190	another month. I know	you guys aren't happy to hear that. With all good conscience, I
1191	can't allow this to go th	rough without having the answers. You saw the staff scrambling
1192	to get people down her	re to answer the questions so we could move forward. We can't
1193	get the people I need d	own here.
1194		
1195	Mr. Caskie -	We did have a meeting with Public Works. Keith White was
1196	in there. Greg Garrison	was in there. John Woodburn was as well. We kicked around—

Mr. Caskie - We did have a meeting with Public Works. Keith White was in there. Greg Garrison was in there. John Woodburn was as well. We kicked around—this was right after the meeting, the onsite meeting that they had with the Kenny's—we talked about differences in areas of what we're able to do and what we are not able to do. Really, the legality of what we're doing was never questioned. Since it is part of the drainage system, that's really not an issue. Just an FYI, it cost the developer for the mailings alone \$500, because we're required to do certified mail to everybody that was mailed to. These meetings are about \$2,000 to \$3,000 by the time the consultants are involved. Just to let you know.

involved. Ju

Mr. Branin -

Mr. Caskie, that's not my problem.

Mr. Caskie - I understand.

Mr. Branin - It's not my problem. If you had listened the first time when I said this is a difficult case, and it may not be able to yield the amount of money that this case is going to cost, then we might not have been in this position to start with. But you chose to go down—so, your money issue is not an issue for myself, nor this Commission, nor the County.

Mr. Caskie - And that's fair enough.

Mr. Branin - We represent your client, as well as the community. If the community has issues, if I have possible legal problems that I can't get answered, then another two-week delay is not going to cost your client another—because there won't be another mailing. I can't say, "Oh well, then we need to pass this because it's costing your client money."

Mr. Caskie - I was just pointing that out, that there are some ancillary costs that go along with this.

Mr. Branin - Anytime any developer chooses to develop, it costs a lot of money. Mr. Chairman, I'm going to ask for a deferral. We can look at two weeks, if possible. I don't know what the schedule is like, or our itinerary is like.

Mr. Emerson - It's probably not that heavy.

1232	Mr. Branin -	It hasn't been for quite a few months, so I wouldn't think it	
1233	would be.		
1234			
	Mr. Emerson -	Paged on the notification requirements on the zening ages	
1235		Based on the notification requirements on the zoning case,	
1236	, <u> </u>	e staff—drainage, as you know, while it comes in front of you,	
1237	it really is a Public Works	issue. I want to make sure that the Public Works staff is here.	
1238	You may just want to take	it to July 22, 2009.	
1239	, ,	, ,	
	Mr. Propin	That's fine if that's what you would like and I'd like to have	
1240	Mr. Branin - That's fine, if that's what you would like, and I'd like to have		
1241	a meeting with staff and N	ır. Kapısarda.	
1242			
1243	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Have you made your motion?	
1244		·	
1245	Mr. Branin -	I'd like to move that this case be deferred until the-what's	
		To like to move that this case be deferred with the what's	
1246	the date?		
1247			
1248	Mr. Emerson -	July 22, 2009.	
1249			
1250	Mr. Branin -	July 22, 2009, per Commission request.	
1251		<b>y</b>	
1252	Mr. Jernigan -	Second.	
	Wil. Jernigan -	Gecond.	
1253	<b></b>		
1254	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Motion made by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All in	
1255	favor say aye. All oppose	d say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.	
1256			
1257	At the request of the Commission, the Planning Commission deferred SUB-06-09,		
1258	Hampshire, to its July 22, 2009 meeting.		
1259	riamponite, to its dary 22,	2000 Meeting.	
	Mr. Emercen	Mr. Chairman that takes up to the next item on your	
1260	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Chairman, that takes us to the next item on your	
1261	agenda, which would be t	the approval of the minutes from your May 27, 2009 meeting.	
1262			
1263	APPROVAL OF MINUTE	S: May 27, 2009 Minutes	
1264		•	
1265	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Anyone have any changes?	
	Will Variatodali	Allyone have any changes:	
1266	Mar. Augloga	Ves Mar Breeds Lebel Leves Les comes Lemes et al. 1955	
1267	Mr. Archer -	Yes. Mrs. Bonnie-Leigh Jones has some changes, and I'm	
1268		her. On page 33, line 1334, we need to insert the word "to"	
1269	between "needed" and "remain." And on page 34, at the top of the page, "situations I		
1270	can," is not needed. So we just need to strike out that one because it's already stated.		
1271	,	,	
1272	Mr. Vanarsdall -	All right. Anybody else have any changes? If not, I'll	
		All right. Anybody else have any changes! If hot, the	
1273	entertain a motion.		
1274			
1275	Mr. Branin -	I move for approval with changes.	
1276			
1277	Mr. Archer -	Second.	

1278			
1279	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Archer. All in favor	
1280	say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.		
1281			
1282	The Planning Commission approved the May 27, 2009 minutes as corrected		
1283	M	Mr. Chairman that completes your masting for today, unless	
1284	Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, that completes your meeting for today, unless there are other items that the Commission has.		
1285 1286	there are other items that	THE COMMISSION Mas.	
1287	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Do you have anything for us, Mr. Secretary?	
1288	Wii. Vallaisaali	be you have anything for us, fin. occiding:	
1289	Mr. Emerson -	No, sir, I don't.	
1290		,,	
1291	Mr. Vanarsdall -	There will be no more business, so I'll entertain a motion.	
1292			
1293	Mr. Archer -	I move we adjourn.	
1294			
1295	Mr. Branin -	Second.	
1296	Mar Managadall	Marting his Mar Anchon accompled his Mar Drowing All in forces	
1297	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mr. Branin. All in favor no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.	
1298 1299	say aye. All opposed say	no. The ayes have it, the motion passes.	
1300	The meeting is adjourned	1	
1301	The meeting is adjourned	··	
1302			
1303		10 11 11 22 20 2/2/	
1304		Ernest B. Vanarsdall, Acting Chairperson	
1305			
1306			
1307			
1308			
1309		Secretary	
1310			
1311			
1312			

### PLANS OF DEVELOPMENT

# A. Standard Conditions for all POD's:

- 1. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water and sewer. (when the property is served by public utilities)
- 1A. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water. The well location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public water system when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public water)
- 1B. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public sewer. The septic tank location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public sewer when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public sewer)
- 2. The Director of the Department of Public Utilities shall approve the plan of development for construction of public water and sewer, prior to beginning any construction of these utilities. The Department of Public Utilities shall be notified at least 48 hours prior to the start of any County water or sewer construction.
- 3. The parking lot shall be subject to the requirements of Chapter 24, Section 24-98 of the Henrico County Code.
- 4. The parking spaces shall be marked on the pavement surface with four-inch-wide traffic painted lines. All lane lines and parking lines shall be white in color with the exception that those dividing traffic shall yellow.
- 5. Sufficient, effectively usable parking shall be provided. If experience indicates the need, additional parking shall be provided.
- 6. Curb and gutter and necessary storm sewer shall be constructed as shown on approved plans.
- 7. The plan of development plan shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan dated June 24, 2009, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if details were fully described herein. Eight (8) sets of revised plans, including the detailed drainage, erosion control and utility plans, shall be submitted by the design engineer who prepared the plans to the Department of Planning for final review. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. Two (2) sets of the approved plan shall be attached to the building permit application. (Revised January 2008)
- 8. Two copies of an Erosion and Sediment Control Agreement with required escrow shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works. Approval is required prior to construction plan approval and beginning construction. The Department of Public Works shall be notified at least 24 hours prior to the start of any construction.
- 9. A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.

- 9. **AMENDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 10. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced no later than the next planting season.
- 11. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and approval.
- 11A. AMENDED Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including depictions of light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and Planning Commission approval.
- 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature. (For POD which includes lighting plan approval)
- 12. All exterior lighting shall be designed and arranged to direct the light and glare away from nearby residential property and streets.
- Trash container units/litter receptacles and recycling containers shall be maintained with regular pickups scheduled and shall be screened properly on all four sides. The gate(s) shall remain closed except when the receptacle(s) are being filled or serviced and shall be repaired or replaced as necessary. Details shall be included with the final site plan or required landscape plan for review and approval.
- 14. Required fire lanes shall be marked and maintained in accordance with the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code.
- 15. Traffic control signs shall be provided as indicated on the Department of Planning Staff plan. All signs shall be fabricated as shown in <u>The National Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways</u> and <u>The Virginia Supplement to The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways</u>.
- 16. The assigned property number(s) shall be displayed so it is easily readable from the street. If assistance is needed with the address, please contact the Department of Planning at 501-4284. The Planning Department must assign all property addresses. (Revised January 2008)
- 17. The owner shall have a set of plans approved by the Director of Public Works, Public Utilities and Secretary of the Planning Commission available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 18. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.

- 19. Upon completion of the improvements and prior to the certification of the permanent occupancy permit, the owner shall furnish a statement by the engineer or land surveyor who prepared the POD plan, to the effect that all construction including water and sewer is in conformance to the regulations and requirements of the POD.
- 20. The approved Plan of Development is granted by the Planning Commission only to the owners(s)/applicant(s) listed on the Plan of Development application on file for this project. Upon written notification to the Director of Planning, the Plan of Development approval may be transferred to subsequent owner(s) subject to approval by this Commission (Revised July 2007).
- 21. Vehicles shall be parked only in approved and constructed parking spaces.
- 22. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 23. The site, including paving, pavement markings, signage, curb and gutter, dumpster screens, walls, fences, lighting and other site improvements shall be properly maintained in good condition at all times. Any necessary repairs shall be made in a timely manner.
- 24. The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- 25. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations shall be included on the final construction plans for approval by the Department of Public Utilities prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 26. Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- 27. The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- 28. Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- 29. (Start of miscellaneous conditions)

#### STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR LANDSCAPE /LIGHTING/FENCE PLANS

- 1. The plan shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **June 24, 2009**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein. **Five (5)** sets of **prints** of the revised plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval stamps and distribution.
- 2. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 3. The owner shall have a set of approved plans available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 4. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the normal planting season. (DELETE IF NO LANDSCAPING)
- 5. All exterior lighting shall be shielded to direct lights away from adjacent residential property and streets. (DELETE IF NO LIGHTING)
- 6. All fences, walls, and screens, including gates and doors, shall be maintained in good repair by the owner. Trash and debris should not be allowed to accumulate along the fence or wall. (DELETE IF NO FENCE, WALL, OR DUMPSTER SCREEN)

## B. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Zero Lot Line Developments shall apply:

- 29. Roof edge ornamental features that extend over the zero lot line, and which are permitted by Section 24-95(i)(1), must be authorized in the covenants.
- 30. Eight-foot easements for construction, drainage, and maintenance access for abutting lots shall be provided and shown on the POD plans.
- 31. Building permit request for individual dwellings shall each include two (2) copies of a layout plan sheet as approved with the plan of development. The developer may utilize alternate building types providing that each may be located within the building footprint shown on the approved plan. Any deviation in building footprint or infrastructure shall require submission and approval of an administrative site plan.
- Windows on the zero lot line side of the dwelling can only be approved with an exception granted by the Building Official and the Director of Planning during the building permit application process.

### C. Standard Conditions for Approval of All Dry Cleaners and Laundries in Addition to Item A:

29. The dry cleaning establishment shall use only non-inflammable cleaning solvents and have fully enclosed cleaning and solvent reclamation processes and fully enclosed pressing equipment with no outside steam exhaust.

# D. In addition to Item A, the Following Conditions for Approval of All Shopping Centers Shall Apply:

- 29. Only retail business establishments permitted in a **zone** may be located in this center.
- 30. The ground area covered by all the buildings shall not exceed in the aggregate 25 percent of the total site area.
- 31. No merchandise shall be displayed or stored outside of the building(s) or on sidewalk(s).

## E. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Multi-Family Shall Apply:

- 29. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- 30. The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.

## F. In addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Service Station Developments Shall Apply:

- 29. This business shall not remain in operation after midnight and no exterior signs shall remain lighted after (12:00 midnight B-1) (1:00 o'clock a.m. B-2) (no limit B-3).
- 30. No merchandise shall be displayed outside of the building except that oil racks will be allowed on the pump islands.
- 31. This service station shall be used only for the sale of petroleum products and automobile accessories and parts. It shall not be used to sell or rent camping trailers, nor as a base of operation for truck fleets or fuel oil delivery or other such use that is not strictly a service station operation.
- 32. Only light repair work shall be allowed at this station, including motor tune-up, brake, generator, ignition, and exhaust repairs, and wheel balancing. The only work that can be performed outside the building is those services that are normally furnished at the pump island and the changing of tires.
- No wrecked automobiles, nor automobiles incapable of being operated, shall be kept on the premises.
- 34. The prospective operator of this station shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.

### G. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A

#### **B-2 ZONE**

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. There shall be no exterior display of merchandise except on pump islands and on paved walkway areas within three (3) feet of building.
- 31. Lighting fixtures shall not exceed a height greater than twenty (20) feet.
- 32. No temporary storage of wrecked or inoperative vehicles or rental of vehicles, trailer campers, vans or similar equipment shall be permitted.
- 33. Not more than two (2) electronic amusement games shall be permitted.
- Not more than two (2) vending machines for food and beverage and similar merchandise shall be permitted on the premises outside of an enclosed building.
- 35. The prospective operator of this facility shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.
- 36. The landscaping plan shall include details for screening of refuse containers and refuse storage facilities in accordance with Section 24-61(i).
- 37. Refuse containers or refuse storage facilities shall be serviced during business hours only.
- 38. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way.
- 39. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

### H. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A

#### **B-3 ZONE**

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)
- 31. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

#### **SUBDIVISION - CONDITIONAL APPROVAL**

# <u>Standard Conditions for Conventional Subdivisions Served By Public Utilities</u> <u>Public Water and/or Sewer (January 2008)</u>

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water. (Substitute condition 5A if well)
- 5A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer. (Substitute condition 6A if on site sewage disposal/septic)
- 6A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>June 24, 2009</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.

- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>June 23, 2010</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements as applicable, and design considerations.
- 12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

## <u>Standard Conditions for Conventional Subdivisions Not Served By Public Utilities</u> (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage and erosion control plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, fifteen (15) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 7. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>June 24, 2009</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 8. This approval shall expire on <u>June 23, 2010</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 9. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 10. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements and design considerations.

11. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

# <u>Standard Conditions for Residential Townhouse for Sale (RTH) Subdivisions</u>\ (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>June 24, 2009</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>June 23, 2010</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.

- 12. A draft of the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review, prior to final approval. The proposed Homeowners Association for the project shall be responsible for the exterior maintenance of all buildings and grounds.
- 13. All block corners shall be monumented and referenced, where possible, to the exterior boundaries of the site
- 14. The record plat shall contain a statement that the common area is dedicated to the common use and enjoyment of the homeowners of (name of subdivision) and is not dedicated for use by the general public. This statement shall refer to the applicable article in the covenants recorded with the plat.

## Standard Conditions for Zero Lot Line Subdivisions (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>June 24, 2009</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>June 23, 2010</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change may be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.

12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers and Chesapeake Bay Act Areas.

#### SUBDIVISION - CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

## <u>Standard Conditions for Conventional Subdivisions Served By Public Utilities</u> <u>Road Dedication (No Lots)</u> (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>June 24, 2009</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>June 23, 2010</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.