Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of Henrico County, held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and Hungary Spring Roads beginning at 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, June 23, 2010.

2

Members Present: Mr. Ernest B. Vanarsdall, C.P.C., Chairman (Brookland)

Mrs. Bonnie-Leigh Jones, (Tuckahoe) Mr. E. Ray Jernigan, C.P.C., (Varina) Mr. Tommy Branin (Three Chopt)

Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, Secretary

Mr. David A. Kaechele (Three Chopt)
Board of Supervisors Representative

Members Absent:

Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C. Vice-Chairman (Fairfield)

Others Present:

Mr. David D. O'Kelly, Assistant Director of Planning

Ms. Leslie A. News, CLA, Principal Planner Mr. Benjamin Blankinship, Principal Planner

Mr. Seth Humphreys, County Planner

Mr. Kevin D. Wilhite, C.P.C., AICP, County Planner

Mr. Michael F. Kennedy, County Planner

Ms. Christina L. Goggin, AICP, County Planner Mr. Tony Greulich, C.P.C., County Planner

Mr. Matt Ward, County Planner

Mr. Gregory Garrison, County Planner Mr. Lee Pambid, C.P.C., County Planner Ms. Aimee Berndt, AICP, County Planner Mr. Tommy Catlett, Assistant Traffic Engineer

Ms. Kim Vann, Henrico Police

Ms. Holly Zinn, Recording Secretary

5 6

7

# Mr. David A. Kaechele, the Board of Supervisors' representative, abstains from voting on all cases unless otherwise noted.

8 9

10

11

13

14

Mr. Vanarsdall - Good morning, everybody. Welcome to the Henrico County Planning Commission of Subdivisions and Plans of Development. I'd like to pay special attention to Mr. Kaechele on the end down there, who represents the Board of Supervisors and who is the immediate past chairman of the Board of Supervisors. Fellow Commissioners, our secretary, Mr. Emerson. Is there anyone here from the news media? Now, I would like to ask you to stand and Pledge Allegiance to the Flag.

15 16 17

Thank you for that. Mr. Archer will not be joining us this morning; he's on a business trip. I will turn the meeting over to our secretary and Director of Planning, Mr. Emerson.

20 21 22 23	or withdrawals. That will	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We have no requests for deferral take us to the next section of your agenda, which are the lift be presented by Ms. Leslie News.		
24	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Good morning, Ms. News.		
25 26 27 28	Ms. News - This morning we have 7 ite	Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission ems on our expedited agenda.		
29 30 31	Mr. Jernigan - screens on up here.	Mr. News, first can I tell you, we don't have any computer		
32 33 34		We can go back like we did when we first started out, wher ens. Go ahead, Ms. News.		
35 36 37 38	of your agenda and is loc	The first item on your expedited agenda is found on page 3 ated in the Varina District. This is a transfer of approval for (formerly The Stanley Works). Staff recommends approval.		
39 40	TRANSFER OF APPROVAL			
41	POD-146-84 POD2010-00145 Smurfit-Stone (Formerly The Stanley Works) – 5710 S. Laburnum Avenue	Grattan Associates, P.C. for Smurfit-Stone Container Corporation: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from The Stanley Works, Inc., Annuity Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, C & O Railway, Company, and Empire Development Company to Smurfit-Stone Container Corporation. The 20.94-acre site is located on the northwest corner of Laburnum Avenue and Charles City Road, on parcel 816-710-2400. The zoning is M-1, Light Industrial District and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)		
42 43 44		Is anyone in the audience in opposition to POD-146-84, e Stanley Works)? No opposition.		
45 46 47	•	Mr. Chairman, with that I will move for approval of transfer of Smurfit-Stone (formerly The Stanley Works), which is now spedited agenda.		
48 49 50	Mrs. Jones -	Second.		

Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion by Mr. Jernigan, seconded by Mrs. Jones. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-146-84, Smurfit-Stone (formerly The Stanley Works), from The Stanley Works, Inc., Annuity Board of the Southern Baptist Convention, C & O Railway, Company, and Empire Development Company to Smurfit-Stone Container Corporation, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

Ms. News - The next item is found on page 4 of your agenda and is located in the Three Chopt District. This is transfer of approval for POD-61-72, Forest Office Building at Forest Office Park, which is formerly the Koger Executive Center. Staff recommends approval.

#### TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

POD-61-72
POD2010-00112
Forest Office Building at
Forest Office Park
(Formerly Koger Executive
Center) – 8001 Franklin
Farms Drive

CB Richard Ellis for 95-10 Richmond/Koger, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Koala Richmond Realty Holding and Forest Park Association, LLC to 95-10 Richmond/Koger, LLC. The 3.48-acre site is located at the southwest corner of the intersection of Forest Avenue and Franklin Farms Drive, on parcel 759-743-3775. The zoning is O-2, Office District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

Mr. Vanarsdall - Is there any opposition to POD-61-72, Forest Office Building at Forest Office Park (formerly Koger Executive Center)? No opposition, Mr. Branin.

Mr. Branin - Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that the transfer of approval of POD-61-72, Forest Office Building at Forest Office Park (formerly Koger Executive Center), move forward with approval on the expedited agenda.

Mrs. Jones - Second.

Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mrs. Jones. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-61-72, Forest Office Building at Forest Office Park (formerly Koger Executive Center), from Koala Richmond Realty Holding and Forest Park Association, LLC to 95-10 Richmond/Koger, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved and the following additional conditions:

- 1. All landscaping deficiencies, as identified in the inspection report, dated May 4, 2010, shall be corrected by October 31, 2010.
- 2. All parking lot deficiencies, as identified in the inspection report, dated May 4, 2010, shall be corrected by July 31, 2010.

91 92 93 94	Ms. News - The next item is found on page 5 your agenda and is located in the Tuckahoe District. This is a transfer of approval for POD-50-79, Gayton Crossing Shopping Center – Phase I. Staff recommends approval.				
95 96	TRANSFER OF APPROVAL				
	POD-50-79 POD2010-00113 Gayton Crossing Shopping Center - Phase I – 9700 Gayton Road	M.L. Bell Construction for FW VA – Gayton CRSG SC, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Gayton Crossing Joint Venture, Gayton and Gaskins, LP II, and USRPI, LLC to MacQuarie Countrywide – Regency II. The 7.46-acre site is located at the northwest corner of Gaskins and Gayton Roads, on parcel 746-745-0311. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe)			
97 98 99 100	Mr. Vanarsdall - Is there any opposition to POD-50-79, Gayton Crossing Shopping Center – Phase 1? No opposition. Mrs. Jones?				
101 102 103 104	Mrs. Jones - I move for approval on the expedited agenda of transfer of approval for POD-50-79, which is now POD2010-00113, for Gayton Crossing Shopping Center – Phase 1. This is with the following condition #1 listed on the agenda.				
104 105 106	Mr. Jernigan -	Second.			
107 108 109	Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion by Mrs. Jones, seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.				
110 111 112 113 114	The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-50-79, Gayton Crossing Shopping Center – Phase 1, from Gayton Crossing Joint Venture, Gayton and Gaskins, LP II, and USRPI, LLC to MacQuarie Countrywide – Regency II, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved and the following additional condition:				
115 116	1. The missing landsca	aping shall be corrected by October 31, 2010.			
117 118 119 120	located in the Tuckahoe [	The next item is on page 6 of your agenda and is also District. This is transfer of approval for POD-94-80, Gayton – Phase II. Staff recommends approval.			

> POD-94-80 POD2010-00162 Gayton Crossing Shopping Center – Phase II – 9700 Gayton Road

M.L. Bell Construction for Regency Centers: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Walter L. Hooker, Gayton and Gaskins LP, Gayton Triangle Land Co., Gayton and Gaskins, LP II, and USRPI, LLC to Macquire Countrywide – Regency II. The 8.14-acre site is located at the southeastern corner of Quioccasin and Gayton Roads, on parcel 745-745-5844. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe)

Mr. Vanarsdall - Again in the Tuckahoe District, is there any opposition to case POD-94-80, Gayton Crossing Shopping Center – Phase II? No opposition.

Mrs. Jones - I'll make a motion for approval on the expedited agenda of transfer of approval POD-94-80, as POD2010-00162, for Gayton Crossing Shopping Center – Phase II. Approval with the following condition #1 listed on the agenda.

Mr. Jernigan - Second.

Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion by Mrs. Jones, seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-94-80, Gayton Crossing Shopping Center – Phase II, from Walter L. Hooker, Gayton and Gaskins LP, Gayton Triangle Land Co., Gayton and Gaskins, LP II, and USRPI, LLC to Macquire Countrywide – Regency II, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved and the following additional condition:

1. The missing landscaping shall be corrected by October 31, 2010.

Ms. News - Next on page 7 of your agenda and located in the Three Chopt District is a transfer of approval for POD-75-80, 33-87, 107-88, and 16-97, Parham 2810 Building, which is formerly the GE Executive Center. There is an addendum item on page 1 of your addendum, which indicates that with the exception of parking maintenance, all other deficiencies have been addressed. Condition #1 has been added to address resealing and re-striping of the parking lot. Staff can recommend approval.

#### TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

POD-75-80, 33-87, 107-88, and 16-97 POD2009-00454; POD2010-00066; POD2010-00065;

POD2010-00066; POD2010-00065; POD2010-00067 Parham 2810 Building (Formerly GE Executive Center) - 2810 N. Parham Christopher Todd for Direct Invest Property Management, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Dominion Bankshares E.V.O.C. and First Union Operations Center to Direct Invest Property Management, LLC. The 9.93-acre site is located at 2810 N. Parham Road, approximately 255 feet from Mayland Drive, on parcel 757-753-9760. The zoning is O-3C, Office District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

157

Road

155

156

158 Mr. Vanarsdall - Is there any opposition to case POD-75-80, 33-87, 107-88, and 16-97, Parham 2810 Building (formerly GE Executive Center)? No opposition.

160

Mr. Branin - Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move for transfer of approval of POD-75-80, 33-87, 107-88, and 16-97, Parham 2810 Building (formerly GE Executive Center), with the addendum item #1 to move forward for approval.

164

165 Mr. Jernigan - Second.

166

Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

169 170

171

172

173

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-75-80, 33-87, 107-88, and 16-97, Parham 2810 Building (formerly GE Executive Center), from Dominion Bankshares E.V.O.C. and First Union Operations Center to Direct Invest Property Management, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved and the following additional condition:

174175176

1. All parking lot maintenance, including resealing and re-striping, shall be corrected by June 30, 2011, in accordance with the letter of agreement, dated June 14, 2010.

178 179 180

181

177

Ms. News - Next on page 8 of your agenda and located in the Three Chopt District is SUB-06-10, Oglethorpe Park at Greenbrooke, June 2010 plan for 49 lots. Staff recommends approval.

182 183

#### SUBDIVISION

SUB-06-10 SUB2010-00074 Oglethorpe Park at Greenbrooke (June 2010 Plan) Youngblood, Tyler and Associates, P.C. for Oglethorpe Park, LLC: The 12.37-acre site proposed for a subdivision of 49 single-family homes with zero lot lines is located on the south line of Dublin Road between the east line of Belfast Road and the west line of Glasgow Road, on parcels 745-764-7834, 7122, 6608, 2159, 1645, and 1031. The zoning is R-5AC, General Residential District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt) 49 Lots

187 188

Mr. Vanarsdall - In the Three Chopt District, is there any opposition to SUB-06-10, Oglethorpe Park at Greenbrooke (June 2010 Plan)? No opposition.

189 190

Mr. Branin - Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that SUB-06-10, Oglethorpe Park at Greenbrooke (June 2010 Plan), be approved on the expedited agenda.

193

194 Mr. Jernigan - You have conditions# 13 through 16.

195

196 Mr. Branin - I'm sorry. Conditions# 13 through 16.

197 198

Mr. Jernigan - Second.

199 200

Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

201202203

204

205

The Planning Commission granted conditional approval to SUB-06-10, Oglethorpe Park at Greenbrooke (June 2010 Plan), subject to the standard conditions attached to these minutes for zero lot line subdivisions served by public utilities, the annotations on the plans, and the following additional conditions:

206 207 208

209

13. The plat shall contain a statement that the common area is dedicated to the common use and enjoyment of the homeowners of Oglethorpe Park and is not dedicated for use by the general public. This statement shall refer to the applicable article in the covenants recorded with the plat.

210211212

213

214

14. At least sixty days prior to recordation of the plat, a draft of the covenants and deed restrictions for the maintenance of the common area shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review. Such covenants and restrictions shall be in form and substance, satisfactory to the County Attorney and shall be recorded prior to recordation of the subdivision plat.

215216217

15. Any necessary offsite drainage easements must be obtained prior to final approval of the construction plan by the Department of Public Works

218219220

16. The proffers approved as part of zoning case C-35C-09 shall be incorporated in this approval.

Ms. News - The final item is located in the Three Chopt District and is on page 9 of your agenda. This is POD-14-10, Community Health Office at Westerre Commons. There is an addendum item on page 1 of your addendum, which includes a revised plan with some minor revisions to address staff's previous annotations. Staff continues to recommend approval.

227 228

#### PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

229

POD-014-10 POD2010-00160 Virginia Community Health Office at Westerre Commons – 3831 Westerre Parkway (POD-67-04 Rev.) R K and K Engineers for Westerre Commons, LLC and Lingerfelt Development, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a two-story 25,268 square foot office building. The 1.83-acre site is located on the south line of Westerre Parkway, approximately 800 feet east of Cox Road, on parcel 749-759-0307. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional) and O-3C, Office District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

230

Mr. Vanarsdall - Is there any opposition to POD-14-10, Virginia Community
Health Office at Westerre Commons, in the Three Chopt District? No opposition.

233

Mr. Branin - Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that POD-14-10, Virginia Community Health Office at Westerre Commons, be approved on the expedited agenda with the additional conditions# 11, #29, #30, #31, #32 and the addendum.

237

238 Mr. Jernigan - Second.

239

Mr. Vanarsdall - Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

242243

The Planning Commission approved POD-14-10, Community Health Office at Westerre Commons, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

245246247

248

249250

- 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
- 251 29. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning cases C-63C-98 and C-45C-04 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- The certification of building permits, occupancy permits and change of occupancy permits for individual units shall be based on the number of parking spaces required for the proposed uses and the amount of parking available according to approved plans.

32. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

263264265

258

259

260

261

262

Ms. News -

That completes our expedited agenda.

266 267

Mr. Vanarsdall -

Thank you, Ms. News.

268 269

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, that takes us to the next item on your agenda this morning which is the subdivision extensions of conditional approval. Those will be presented by Mr. Lee Pambid.

271272273

270

#### SUBDIVISION EXTENSIONS OF CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

# 274275276

### FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY - SUBDIVISION EXTENSIONS

Original No. of Lots	Remaining Lots	Previous Extensions	Magisterial District	Recommended Extension
95	9	4	Varina	7/1/2014
8	8	2	Fairfield	7/1/2014
	No. of Lots	No. of Lots  95  95	No. of Lots Extensions  95 9 4	No. of Lots Extensions District  95 9 4 Varina

277 278

Mr. Vanarsdall -

(June 2005 Plan)

(SUB-69-05)

Techpark

Good morning, Mr. Pambid.

O

279 280

281

282

283

284

Mr. Pambid - Good morning. This map indicates the location of the 3 subdivisions that are presented for extensions of conditional approval. They are all eligible for extensions and will be extended to July 1, 2014, per the legislation passed last year by the General Assembly. These are for informational purposes only and do not require Commission action at this time. I can field any questions you might have regarding these.

285 286 287

Mr. Vanarsdall -

Questions for Mr. Pambid? Thank you.

288 289

Mr. Pambid -

Thank you.

7/1/2014

Varina

291	Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, the next item on your agenda this morning				
292	would be the approval of minutes for the May 26, 2010 meeting.				
293	ADDDOVAL OF MAINITE	0. No. 20. 2040			
294	APPROVAL OF MINUTES	S: May 26, 2010			
295	Mr. Venorodell	If any one didn't eak a share a to read the universe			
296	Mr. Vanarsdall -	If anyone didn't get a chance to read the minutes.			
297 298	Mrs. Jones -	Mr. Chairman I have a correction. On none 20 line 2006. I			
299		Mr. Chairman, I have a correction. On page 29, line 2096, I sbrook Owners Association and the line says, "Obviously, they			
300		They were not confused; they were enthused. I thought			
301	should set that straight.	They were not comused, they were chinased. I thought i			
302	ondad oot that ondight.				
303	Mr. Vanarsdall -	There's a little bit of difference isn't there?			
304					
305	Mrs. Jones -	Yes.			
306					
307	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Anybody else have any changes?			
308		, ,			
309	Mr. Branin -	Mr. Chairman, on page 14, line 448, strike "them." The			
310	statement was, "What I was more interested in is hearing about," not "interested in is				
311	them hearing about."				
312					
313		Okay. Wasn't quite as bad as the "confused" and			
314	"enthused". Anybody else	e? If not, I'll entertain a motion.			
315	Ada Insuriarus	On marked			
316	Mr. Jernigan -	So moved.			
317 318	Mr. Branin -	Second.			
319	IVII. DIGITIII -	Octobia.			
320	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Motion by Mr. Jernigan, seconded by Mr. Branin. All in favor			
321		no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.			
322					
323	The Planning Commission	approved the May 26, 2010 minutes as corrected.			
324	•				
325	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Chairman, that now takes us into our work sessions			
326		g. The first one is to review proposed amendments to Chapter			
327	24 of the County Code to minimize the impact of refuse servicing on adjacent				
328	properties. This was born out of some recent Noise Ordinance work, and the Board of				
329	Supervisors requested we take a look at the Zoning Ordinance and make some				
330	adjustments in order to provide some consistency and protection to residential areas.				
331	ivir. Ben Blankinship will b	e making this presentation.			
332	Mr. Vanaradall	Cood marning Mr. Blankinghin			
333	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Good morning, Mr. Blankinship.			
334 335	Mr. Blankinship -	Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission.			
335	•	As Mr. Emerson stated, this was brought up as part of some			
230	THATIK YOU, IVII. LITTETSUIT.	As IVII. Emersor stated, this was brought up as pair of some			

amendments to the Noise Ordinance. It was observed that along with other noise issues such as barking dogs, one of the more frequent noise complaints involved the servicing of dumpsters very early in the morning. A lot of times those companies like to get out at 4:30 or 5:00 in the morning to beat the heat on days like today, and that can be very troublesome to people who are trying to sleep. So, we were asked to develop an amendment to the Zoning Ordinance that would restrict the hours during which refuse companies can service their dumpsters, refuse containers.

We already have restrictions in the business districts. In the B-1 and B-2 districts where the hours of operation generally are limited from 6:00 a.m. to midnight, those hours also extend to the servicing of dumpsters. In the B-3 district, dumpsters that are located within 250 feet of an "R" district are currently limited to being serviced only between 6:00 a.m. and midnight. So, we already had those to begin with, and the amendment will extend those same restrictions essentially to all the zoning districts in the ordinance except for the UMU District. The UMU, the Urban Mixed Use District is intended to have a mixture of uses and operations going on 24 hours in some of those businesses. It was thought best just not to change the regulations in that district since it is in some ways separate from the rest of the ordinance anyway.

**8** 

We have brought before you a brief amendment that would restrict in all districts, except the O-3, B-3, and the 3 industrial districts, that refuse containers may not be serviced between 12:00 midnight and 6:00 a.m. Then, in O-3, B-3, and the industrial districts, refuse containers within 250 feet of an "R" District may not be serviced between 12:00 midnight and 6:00 a.m. So, it would extend, essentially, the same regulations we have now in the business districts to all districts. That includes even the single-family districts because there are uses such as churches, country clubs, and schools that are located in the one-family districts that do have dumpsters, and it could be annoying to neighbors to have those dumpsters serviced just like in a business or an industry. So, it does apply even in the one-family districts.

Finally, as we were going through and making these changes, we became aware that there is some language in the ordinance that is not consistent from one district to the next. Some of that has to do with the screening of refuse containers, and some of it has to do with the locations of refuse containers. While we were making these changes, we just standardized the language throughout the Code to try to avoid confusion. Among other things, as of now, according to Code, you can use landscape plantings as the only screening for your dumpsters. As you know, that has not really been our practice for quite some time. We have been requesting—and we've been very consistent in getting from applicants—either a fence or a wall to screen dumpsters. We just wanted to remove the words, "landscape plantings," from the Code so that it would be clear that is not really an acceptable material any longer.

That's all there is to this. You don't look enthused, but I hope you're not confused at least. Do you have any questions?

382	Mr. Branin -	Oh, I have lots. I'm not taking issue with it; I'm just
383	interested in knowing whe	re the 250-foot mark came about.
384	-	
385	•	That, as I say, has been in the B-3 District for several years.
386		hat amendment was first adopted. I can't tell you why it's 250
387		vill say that we did some maps through the GIS looking at 250-
388		things. The O-3, B-3, and Industrial districts are really the
389	only ones that are big eno to those 3 districts.	ugh to make that difference important. That's why we limited it
3 <del>9</del> 0 391	to those 3 districts.	
392	Mr. Vanarsdall -	I thought the Code said that it had to be 300 feet from an "R"
393	District. I know it used to.	Thought the doubt said that it had to be don lock from an it
394		
395	Mr. Blankinship -	There are certain uses that are restricted at 300 feet.
396	·	
397		I have a question for you, Ben. I'd asked you about one of
398		u again. You're right about one thing. In my district, the only
399		are usually 2:00, 3:00, or 4:00 in the morning for some reason.
400	They always blame it on tr	ne driver or that we had a new driver.
401 402	The other thing is that the	250 feet is from property line to property line.
403	The other uning is that the	250 feet is north property line to property line.
404	Mr. Blankinship -	Well from the dumpster to the property line.
405	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
406	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Okay, from the dumpster.
407		
408	Mr. Blankinship -	Yes, sir.
409	Mr. Managadall	Command value haves to EO fact hook and value have a
410 411	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Suppose your house is 50 feet back and you have a 10 feet back. The noise gets to the house, but it goes across
412	the property line.	To reet back. The hoise gets to the house, but it goes across
413	and property into.	
414	Mr. Blankinship -	The idea is if the dumpster itself is within 250 feet of any
415	residential district. It's real	ly not even the property line.
416		
417	Mr. Vanarsdall -	You just answered it. It's from the residential.
418	N. A. L. 1994 Cond. Summer to Sum	Professional Control of the Control
419	Mr. Blankinship -	District, yes.
420 421	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Okay.
422	IVII. Vallaisuali -	Oray.
423	Mr. Blankinship -	If the dumpster is within 250 feet of a residence district, that
424	•	d between 12:00 midnight and 6:00 a.m., but if you're looking
425	•	ple, you have a B-3 zoning district that's practically a mile
426	——————————————————————————————————————	vice dumpsters in the middle of the racetrack, it's not going to
427	hurt anybody, but the ones	s on the edge of the property would be restricted.

428	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Thank you that answered it
429 430	Wit. Variaisuali -	Thank you, that answered it.
431	Mr. Jernigan -	All right, Mr. Blankinship, on the UMU's, what are the
432	regulations for that?	The right, this branchisting, on the cities, what are the
433	A.J. Philamatic mater	Marie Paris A.P. 16 de la companya de la constitución de la constituci
434 435 436		We did not limit the hours of servicing refuse containers in ange. They're not limited today, and they would not be limited
437 438 439 440	years. If you have retail o	UMU is something that's just come around in the last few n the first floor and residential on the second and third floors, hiddle of the night, then those people are going to be affected.
44]		
442	•	It could create issues. The thinking was that there are no
443		f operation generally, so any business can be operating in the
444		om second-floor apartments, 24 hours a day. There will be
445		ity going on within that district. So, we decided that in this
446 447	•	is a work session, so we're here to hear your comments—we it would be best just not to involve the UMU district in this
448	amendment.	at would be best just not to involve the olivo district in this
449	amonament.	
450	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Jernigan, I guess to explain that a little bit further. The
451		know, is meant to be a very urban environment. So, if you
452		ronment with this 24-hour continuous operation of things, the
453		er it would just be very difficult to regulate. Those are certain
454		oving into that environment. You just as easily could have a
455		erating 24 hours a day. So, the dumping of a refuse container
456	***	ce compared to some other type of operation that's running
457	24/7.	
458	Mr. Vanarsdall -	I think you'd run into a problem with somewhere like West
459 460	Broad Village.	Think you'd full lifto a problem with somewhere like west
461	Dioad Village.	
462	Mr. Emerson -	Possible. But again, they have to be self-regulating as well.
463		
464	Mr. Jernigan -	That's what I was looking at because Tree Hill hasn't
465	developed yet, but it's goin	ng to. I'm just thinking. In that environment, that's the reason
466		you could have retail and residential above. Most of those
467 468	operations, I can't see that	many of them [inaudible].
469	Mr. Emerson -	I wouldn't think so, but these are certain things that you
470	accept moving into a comm	<u> </u>
471	_	
472 473	Mr. Jernigan - than you are the district.	And in a case of a UMU, you may be closer to the dumpster

Mr. Emerson -

Yes, sir, absolutely.

476

477 Mr. Blankinship -

If experience shows that we need to amend the Code again, it wouldn't be difficult to bring UMU into this.

478

479

480 481

Mr. Vanarsdall -My concern is how to police the 250 feet. I called Ben and asked him, and he said when they get a complaint. They don't have to worry about it

until they get a complaint.

482 483 484

485

486

487

488

489 490

491

492

493

494

495

496

Mr. Branin -In the spirit of this being a work session, the 250 feet is the only thing I'm struggling with, that distance. I know that sound will definitely travel 300 feet. I have a neighborhood that was alongside an office park where this became a big issue. Ms. Goggin was working with me diligently with this one neighborhood because even though the developer had stated it would not be dumped at night, the companies were still coming in and banging the dumpsters at 4:00 in the morning. My phone was actually ringing at 4:00 in the morning to tell me that they were banging the dumpsters. I had told the neighborhood if this happens again, tell me, because then I'll call the developer at 4:00 in the morning and let him know that we're all awake experiencing these dumpster problems. Believe it or not, that took care of the problem, because after the third 4:00 call from me in the morning and his wife said, "It's him again," it solved the problem. That was a much larger distance than 250 feet, and I don't think it was just this one neighborhood and this one office park. If you're going to look at something, I

497 498 499

500

501

502

503

504

505

That's a good point. Our Code hasn't been rewritten. I Mr. Vanarsdall understand, in many years. When they rewrite it, it wouldn't be too much if it had 3 miles from an "R" District. You can throw a baseball 300 feet, some people. I can't; I tried it. I actually called Paragon. They used to have a sweeper. That sweeper wouldn't run except 2:00 in the morning, and I kept getting complaints. I called the man that was in charge of that; he lived out in Goochland. I called him at 11:30 p.m. on a Saturday. He'd already gone to bed. He was all bent out of shape and told me I was rude for calling him. So, I know what you're talking about.

would ask that you look at that distance. I don't know if that's far enough.

506 507 508

509

Mr. Branin -Mr. Blankinship, that's the only thing, the distance. I can't sit here and say—and the proper distance should be 627 feet. I don't know what it is, but I just feel that 250 might not be adequate.

510 511

512 Mr. Blankinship - All right. I appreciate that.

513 514

Mr. Emerson -

We can take a look at that, as well as the Urban Mixed Use.

515

The reason I bring that up, Mr. Emerson, is because you Mr. Jernigan -516 517

have the greatest density in a UMU. We're trying to fix something here where we don't

have a lot of complaints. 518

20	Mr. Vanarsdall -	l always wo	nder in a U	MU how you	choose a p	lace to liv	e.
21.	Do you want it overtop of	the poolroom	or overtop	of the beer jo	oint that stay	rs open un	til
22	1:00 in the morning?						

Mr. Emerson - Therein lies the challenge. You make your choice when you move into the community. That's what makes it challenging in terms of trying to come up with any type of limitation like this. We'll look at it again.

Mrs. Jones - My only comment on the UMU would be that in certain situations, when you get a pattern established, it's much harder to rein it in than it is to start with a restriction and loosen it. Since we're new at this and we don't have a track record to go on, we may want to consider whether it's better to start with a consistent policy for dumpsters that might also extend to the UMU and then think about loosening it, or just do nothing and wait until the complaints roll in. That's essentially what we're asking about the UMU. I think this is reasonable. I like consistency anytime I can find it, and I have no problem with the policy. I guess I agree with Mr. Branin that I don't know what the distance should be, but we do have folks who will certainly let us know if it is a problem.

Mr. Blankinship - Again, in the B-3 and the Industrial districts, other uses are not restricted as to hours of operation. So, they could be loading and unloading trucks at those same hours.

543 Mr. Vanarsdall - I think you probably have it as good as you can get it. Thank you, Mr. Blankinship.

546 Mr. Blankinship - Thank you.

Mrs. Jones - Mr. Blankinship, can I just ask one unrelated question but one I'd like to know? This has to do only with the dumpsters, per se.

551 Mr. Blankinship - And recycling containers and other things very similar to 552 that.

Mrs. Jones - Right. As defined here in the definitions you gave us. When drivers come to pick up garbage in residential neighborhoods, are folks flooded with a lot of complaints about those hours as well, just regular garbage pickup?

 Mr. Blankinship - Those concerns do get raised from time to time. I mentioned at the very beginning of my remarks that this grew out of some changes that the County was doing to the Noise Ordinance. Those operators are regulated by the Noise Ordinance. There is another way to deal with those issues, but because dumpsters were already addressed in the Zoning Ordinance, we were instructed to go ahead and make that effective throughout the Zoning Ordinance. Since the Zoning Ordinance has never regulated the private door-to-door carriers, we decided not to bring that into this process.

566	<b>8.4</b>	NAMES IN STRUCTURE AND A STRUCTURE OF MARKET PROPERTY OF THE P		
567 568	Mrs. Jones -	Which includes the pickup of the recycling bins?		
569	Mr. Blankinship -	Individual door-to-door.		
570	wii. Widilika Griip	marriada dos to dos.		
571	Mrs. Jones -	Tough question. I know the Board has struggled with that.		
572	Okay, thank you.			
573	•			
574	Mr. Vanarsdall -	One of the problems we had was with Target at Staples Mill		
575	•	tell you how much trouble we had there. One of the problems		
576		spend the night and they don't turn their motor off. The diesel		
577		ave big condos behind there. They had a problem with that in		
578 579	addition to everything else	е.		
580	Mr. Blankinship -	We had a lot of 4:00 a.m. phone conversations over that.		
581	Wit. Diamentomp	vvo flad a fot of 4.00 a.m. priorio convoltationo ovor triat.		
582	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Tom Tokarz sent me the Noise Code on that. It didn't cover it		
583	because it didn't go past	50 feet or something. They could hear the truck when it was		
584	more than 50 feet. So, th	at didn't take care of anything. That's one of the things that it's		
585	•	more complaints about. You can't knock on the window and		
586	tell them. Thank you.			
587				
588	Mr. Blankinship -	Yes, sir.		
589 500	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Chairman, we can work on some of these items and		
590 591	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o discuss further. I was going to ask you to consider scheduling		
592	•	e items. I was going to suggest September 9, 2010, just due to		
593		ailable date would be August. I know with vacation schedules		
594		people in the public that might want to comment on this.		
595		. ,		
596	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Do you think we need a second meeting on it?		
597				
598	Mr. Emerson -	Probably not. We can work on these items, and you can		
599	discuss them at a public h	nearing, if you're comfortable with that.		
600	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Ud enthor do that if it's all right with the other		
601 602	Commissioners.	I'd rather do that, if it's all right with the other		
603	Commissioners.			
604	Mr. Jernigan -	It's alright with me.		
605	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
606	Mrs. Jones -	Yes.		
607				
608	Mr. Branin -	Yes.		
609	=			
610	Mr. Emerson -	Well, then I guess I would request you schedule a public		
611	nearing for September 9,	2010. That's your evening meeting in September.		

614 615 Mr. Emerson -Yes sir. 616 617 Mr. Jernigan -Mr. Chairman, I recommend that we have a public hearing on the Zoning Ordinance amendments for refuse containers on September 9, 2010. 618 619 Mr. Branin -Second. 620 621 622 Mr. Vanarsdall -Motion by Mr. Jernigan, seconded by Mr. Branin. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes: 623 624 Mr. Emerson -Mr. Chairman, that takes us to our next work session item. 625 which is the Innsbrook Area Land Use Study in the discussion of designating the area 626 as an Urban Development Area, as per the Code of Virginia. This staff presentation will 627 be made by Mr. Seth Humphreys. 628 629 Mr. Vanarsdall -Good morning, Mr. Humphreys. 630 631 Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Planning 632 Mr. Humphreys -Commission. In this work session, we will be discussing proposed amendments to the 633 2026 Comprehensive Plan regarding the addition of an Urban Development Area or a 634 UDA section. The Planning Department is proposing adding this section as part of the 635 Innsbrook Land Use Study. This presentation will describe what a UDA is, how the 636 Code of Virginia applies, what advantages exist for adding a UDA section, and the 637 actual amendments being proposed. 638 639 An Urban Development Area is a land use tool localities are required to adopt by the 640 Code of Virginia. The intent is for localities to identify an area or areas that are 641 appropriate for higher densities, possibly as redevelopment or infill within an under-642 developed area. The overriding goal is to capture a majority of the future growth into 643 these areas. 644 645 The amendment being discussed today would further describe the locational criteria for 646 these areas as including access to a regional transportation network and having 647 sufficient existing or planned infrastructure. We felt those were good additions that 648 649 weren't necessarily addressed in the Code of Virginia. 650 The Code of Virginia mandates several aspects of what localities must do to adopt an 651 Urban Development Area and what it must contain. Several aspects of the legislation 652 are of particular interest to the efforts to amend our Comprehensive Plan. State Code 653 mandates the total potential development within the Urban Development Areas must be 654 equal to 10 to 20 years' worth of the future growth for the locality. According to the State 655 Code, the mandated allowable densities for the UDA for a locality the size of Henrico 656 are 8 single-family units per acre; 12 townhouse units per acre; 24 apartment units per 657

Do you need a motion to do that?

612

613

Mr. Vanarsdall -

acre; and a commercial floor area ratio of 0.8. These densities do not mean that the development has to be built to that, but just that there is the opportunity for those densities to exist. It should be noted the density requirements will result in one change for Land Bay D, the most recently talked about addition to the Innsbrook Plan. That area will now have a recommended maximum density of 8 versus the previously recommended 7 single-family units per acre. The addition of Land Bay D would help the County satisfy the single-family component of the UDA legislation and is not intended to accommodate any apartments. The area to be defined as the UDA must also be shown on the Future Land Use Map, which we'll be discussing in a few slides. Lastly, the County must send all documentation regarding the adoption of an Urban Development Area to the Commission on Local Government.

These are some of the benefits of what we're going to be doing here. There are several benefits to adopt in an official Urban Development Area at this time. It will solidify the County's compliance with the Code of Virginia. With the adoption of the most recent Comprehensive Plan, we used the self-certification method. That is still available; however, this new section would clarify the County's UDA policy and help to make sure that we do satisfy the State Code. It also gives the option of a more defined vision for these areas. Potential legislation was brought forward during the last General Assembly session that would create future infrastructure funding to be made available for projects within Urban Development Areas. While this legislation was tabled, it could be brought forward again in the future. This could lead to another pool of funding for Henrico to tap for infrastructure projects. We deem it wise to do these Urban Development Areas so that we have the potential to tap any of those funds. Additionally, new stormwater regulations have allowances for developments located within Urban Development Areas. This would help with the Innsbrook area.

The actual amendments to the Comprehensive Plan we are proposing would be made to both Chapter 5 and Chapter 12. The overview section of Chapter 5 would be amended, and a new section for Urban Development Areas would be added. Those have been handed out to you today and were available on your portal previously. The overview section has been black-lined so you can see exactly what text changes were being proposed for that section. All the other sections are completely new, so they were not black-lined.

As part of the new section of Urban Development Areas in Chapter 5, these new keystone policies will be added to the plan in both Chapter 5, "Land Use", and Chapter 12, "Implementation". These keystone policies address residential/non-residential balance; vertically mixed uses; adequate public facilities; vehicular/pedestrian connectivity and circulation; housing variety; mass transit; incorporated environmental features; public open spaces; and transitional areas between the density as seen in the Urban Development Areas and the existing development outside of that. As discussed, the Future Land Use Map will be amended to show the Urban Development Area, as shown on this slide.

The amendments discussed today would accompany the Innsbrook Land Use Study through the remainder of its process. The next meeting for the Innsbrook Study will be at an open house on July 7, 2010, regarding the addition of Land Bay D. Then, a public hearing regarding the entire study will be held on July 15, 2010. After action is taken by the Planning Commission, the Study and amendments will come before the Board of Supervisors for a work session and public hearing.

That concludes my presentation. I'll be happy to take any questions at this time.

Mr. Vanarsdall - Any questions for Mr. Humphreys?

714 Mr. Kaechele - The State has mandated the minimum density that was 715 shown?

718-

Mr. Humphreys - It has mandated the minimum allowable density. It does not mean that it has to be built to that. For instance—8 single-family units per acre—we just have to allow the possibility for that. In reality, that density is very hard to obtain. It can be done through possibly zero lot line areas. Most of those developments that we're seeing are still in the 6 range. So, it doesn't have to be a minimum of 8; it just has to be allowed to go up to 8.

Mr. Kaechele - And also the potential funding for that future infrastructure, is there some requirement there? Is our Capital Program sufficient to cover that?

 Mr. Emerson - We have evaluated that briefly. As you know, there will be capital demands in water, sewer, and roads to develop this area. Those will be evaluated as development plans come forward with more specificity. The development community will be looked towards to assist, if not completely fund.

Mr. Kaechele - It doesn't change a lot from what's already being done.

Mr. Emerson - No, sir, that would not change from our current practice. Now there is potential—and it was discussed during the General Assembly several times—of certain funding being directed from the State towards Urban Development Areas. Once we place this into our plan and have the ability to work with it, if the General Assembly so sees fit and finds available funds—which may be a stretch in and of itself—possibly there may be some funding in the future if you designated UDA's and you're trying to direct your growth in this concentrated manner. The other thing I guess that they have dangled in front of us are some stormwater management benefits if a UDA is designated. That's pending the regulations that are still under development and, I guess, in review and question. Those are the answers, I guess, to your questions as best I can give at this time.

Mr. Kaechele - Okay, that's fine.

Mr. Vanarsdall - Any more questions for Mr. Humphreys? Thank you.

74<del>9</del> 750

Mr. Humphreys -

You're welcome.

751

752 Mr. Vanarsdall -

Mr. Secretary, this community meeting is going to be sort of

like the last one we had.

753 754 755

756

757

758 759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771 772

773

774 775

776

777

778

Yes, sir. This community meeting will be structured exactly Mr. Emerson as the last one you had, except it is focused only on the additional area to the study. You have a copy of the brochure that will be mailed I believe this week. It will be from 5:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. on July 7, 2010. One thing, again, I would like to mention. It's not anticipated any multi-family in this area; I know we've gone over it numerous times. Mr. Humphreys touched on it again. We did bump the recommended density from 7 to 8 to be compliant with the UDA mandates from the State of Virginia. The UDA designation does look for allowance for single-family. We received comments at the public hearing regarding the fact we had not made any allowances for single-family. Well, not at the public hearing, but at the post-public meeting. This allows a higher density of singlefamily in this area, if it's accepted. For your public hearing, we will draft 2 different resolutions for your adoption. One, if there is concern regarding this area, that would move forward the original core study area minus the additional area, and then one that would include the entire area. So at your public hearing, if there is still concern regarding these—I don't want to term it last-minute changes, but essentially that's what it is-to the overall study. If you choose that you are not comfortable with moving it forward with this additional 150-some-odd acres, there will be a resolution available for your adoption that would not include this area. The concept is it's single-family now, detached single-family. It will remain detached single-family, only at a higher density that would be in a gridded pattern complementary to what's envisioned for the whole. Of course, within the original study area, that is the area where we envision multi-family and very high density development along with additional office, retail, and commercial. This would merely be a higher density single-family detached residential component that could complement this area.

779 780

Mr. Vanarsdall -

Thank you. Questions for Mr. Emerson?

781

782 Mr. Humphreys -

No action is required of the Commission today, unless you

have comments or direction for us.

783 784 785

Mr. Vanarsdall -

Do you have anything else for us, Mr. Secretary?

786 787

Mr. Emerson -

No, sir, I don't.

788 789

Mr. Vanarsdali -

Mr. Vanarsdall -

Do the Commissioners have anything to bring to the table?

790

791 Mr. Branin -

No. sir.

792 793

All right. I guess that takes care of it. We will adjourn.

	795	Mr. Emerson -	Do you have a motion and a second on that?
	796		
	797	Mr. Jernigan -	You don't need it.
)	798		
	799	Mrs. Jones -	I move that we adjourn.
	800		
	801	Mr. Branin -	I second that.
	802		
	803	Mr. Emerson -	There we go. Just so you're clear, when you amended your
	804	- ·	ond, you really need to do that motion and a second. Thank
	805	you.	
	806	The meeting is adjourned	
	807	The meeting is adjourned.	
	808		
	809		
	810		
	811		
	812		and the same of th
	813		3.3.00
	814		Mr. Ernest B. Vanarsdall, Chairman
	815		
	816		
	817		
,	818		
	819		
	820		
	821		
	822		Ry Joseph Emerson, J., Secretary
	823		
	824		
	825		

#### PLANS OF DEVELOPMENT

#### A. Standard Conditions for all POD's:

- 1. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water and sewer. (when the property is served by public utilities)
- 1A. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water. The well location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public water system when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public water)
- 1B. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public sewer. The septic tank location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public sewer when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public sewer)
- 2. The Director of the Department of Public Utilities shall approve the plan of development for construction of public water and sewer, prior to beginning any construction of these utilities. The Department of Public Utilities shall be notified at least 48 hours prior to the start of any County water or sewer construction.
- 3. The parking lot shall be subject to the requirements of Chapter 24, Section 24-98 of the Henrico County Code.
- 4. The parking spaces shall be marked on the pavement surface with four-inch-wide traffic painted lines. All lane lines and parking lines shall be white in color with the exception that those dividing traffic shall yellow.
- 5. Sufficient, effectively usable parking shall be provided. If experience indicates the need, additional parking shall be provided.
- 6. Curb and gutter and necessary storm sewer shall be constructed as shown on approved plans.
- 7. The plan of development plan shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan dated June 23, 2010, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if details were fully described herein. Eight (8) sets of revised plans, including the detailed drainage, erosion control and utility plans, shall be submitted by the design engineer who prepared the plans to the Department of Planning for final review. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. Two (2) sets of the approved plan shall be attached to the building permit application. (Revised January 2008)
- 8. Two copies of an Erosion and Sediment Control Agreement with required escrow shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works. Approval is required prior to construction plan approval and beginning construction. The Department of Public Works shall be notified at least 24 hours prior to the start of any construction.
- 9. A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.

- 9. **AMENDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 10. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced no later than the next planting season.
- 11. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and approval.
- 11A. AMENDED Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including depictions of light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and Planning Commission approval.
- 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature. (For POD which includes lighting plan approval)
- 12. All exterior lighting shall be designed and arranged to direct the light and glare away from nearby residential property and streets.
- 13. The site, including the parking areas, shall be kept clean of litter and debris on a daily basis. Trash container units/litter receptacles and recycling containers shall be maintained with regular pickups scheduled and shall be screened properly on all four sides. The gate(s) shall remain closed except when the receptacle(s) are being filled or serviced and shall be repaired or replaced as necessary. Details shall be included with the final site plan or required landscape plan for review and approval.
- 14. Required fire lanes shall be marked and maintained in accordance with the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code.
- 15. Traffic control signs shall be provided as indicated on the Department of Planning Staff plan. All signs shall be fabricated as shown in <u>The National Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways</u> and <u>The Virginia Supplement to The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways</u>.
- 16. The assigned property number(s) shall be displayed so it is easily readable from the street. If assistance is needed with the address, please contact the Department of Planning at 501-4284. The Planning Department must assign all property addresses. (Revised January 2008)
- 17. The owner shall have a set of plans approved by the Director of Public Works, Public Utilities and Secretary of the Planning Commission available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 18. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.

- 19. Upon completion of the improvements and prior to the certification of the permanent occupancy permit, the owner shall furnish a statement by the engineer or land surveyor who prepared the POD plan, to the effect that all construction including water and sewer is in conformance to the regulations and requirements of the POD.
- 20. The approved Plan of Development is granted by the Planning Commission only to the owners(s)/applicant(s) listed on the Plan of Development application on file for this project. Upon written notification to the Director of Planning, the Plan of Development approval may be transferred to subsequent owner(s) subject to approval by this Commission (Revised July 2007).
- 21. Vehicles shall be parked only in approved and constructed parking spaces.
- 22. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 23. The site, including paving, pavement markings, signage, curb and gutter, dumpster screens, walls, fences, lighting and other site improvements shall be properly maintained in good condition at all times. Any necessary repairs shall be made in a timely manner.
- 24. The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- 25. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations shall be included on the final construction plans for approval by the Department of Public Utilities prior to issuance of a building permit.
- Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- 27. The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- 28. Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- 29. (Start of miscellaneous conditions)

#### STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR LANDSCAPE /LIGHTING/FENCE PLANS

- 1. The plan shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **June 23, 2010**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein. **Five (5)** sets of **prints** of the revised plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval stamps and distribution.
- 2. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 3. The owner shall have a set of approved plans available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 4. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the normal planting season. (DELETE IF NO LANDSCAPING)
- 5. All exterior lighting shall be shielded to direct lights away from adjacent residential property and streets. (**DELETE IF NO LIGHTING**)
- 6. All fences, walls, and screens, including gates and doors, shall be maintained in good repair by the owner. Trash and debris should not be allowed to accumulate along the fence or wall. (DELETE IF NO FENCE, WALL, OR DUMPSTER SCREEN)

# B. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Zero Lot Line Developments shall apply:

- 29. Roof edge ornamental features that extend over the zero lot line, and which are permitted by Section 24-95(i)(1), must be authorized in the covenants.
- 30. Eight-foot easements for construction, drainage, and maintenance access for abutting lots shall be provided and shown on the POD plans.
- 31. Building permit request for individual dwellings shall each include two (2) copies of a layout plan sheet as approved with the plan of development. The developer may utilize alternate building types providing that each may be located within the building footprint shown on the approved plan. Any deviation in building footprint or infrastructure shall require submission and approval of an administrative site plan.
- 32. Windows on the zero lot line side of the dwelling can only be approved with an exception granted by the Building Official and the Director of Planning during the building permit application process.

### C. Standard Conditions for Approval of All Dry Cleaners and Laundries in Addition to Item A:

29. The dry cleaning establishment shall use only non-inflammable cleaning solvents and have fully enclosed cleaning and solvent reclamation processes and fully enclosed pressing equipment with no outside steam exhaust.

# D. In addition to Item A, the Following Conditions for Approval of All Shopping Centers Shall Apply:

- 29. Only retail business establishments permitted in a zone may be located in this center.
- 30. The ground area covered by all the buildings shall not exceed in the aggregate 25 percent of the total site area.
- 31. No merchandise shall be displayed or stored outside of the building(s) or on sidewalk(s).

# E. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Multi-Family Shall Apply:

- 29. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- 30. The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.

# F. In addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Service Station Developments Shall Apply:

- 29. This business shall not remain in operation after midnight and no exterior signs shall remain lighted after (12:00 midnight B-1) (1:00 o'clock a.m. B-2) (no limit B-3).
- 30. No merchandise shall be displayed outside of the building except that oil racks will be allowed on the pump islands.
- 31. This service station shall be used only for the sale of petroleum products and automobile accessories and parts. It shall not be used to sell or rent camping trailers, nor as a base of operation for truck fleets or fuel oil delivery or other such use that is not strictly a service station operation.
- 32. Only light repair work shall be allowed at this station, including motor tune-up, brake, generator, ignition, and exhaust repairs, and wheel balancing. The only work that can be performed outside the building is those services that are normally furnished at the pump island and the changing of tires.
- 33. No wrecked automobiles, nor automobiles incapable of being operated, shall be kept on the premises.
- 34. The prospective operator of this station shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.

### G. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A

#### **B-2 ZONE**

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. There shall be no exterior display of merchandise except on pump islands and on paved walkway areas within three (3) feet of building.
- 31. Lighting fixtures shall not exceed a height greater than twenty (20) feet.
- 32. No temporary storage of wrecked or inoperative vehicles or rental of vehicles, trailer campers, vans or similar equipment shall be permitted.
- 33. Not more than two (2) electronic amusement games shall be permitted.
- Not more than two (2) vending machines for food and beverage and similar merchandise shall be permitted on the premises outside of an enclosed building.
- 35. The prospective operator of this facility shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.
- 36. The landscaping plan shall include details for screening of refuse containers and refuse storage facilities in accordance with Section 24-61(i).
- 37. Refuse containers or refuse storage facilities shall be serviced during business hours only.
- 38. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way.
- 39. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

### H. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A

#### **B-3 ZONE**

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)
- 31. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

#### SUBDIVISION - CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

# Standard Conditions for Conventional Subdivisions Served By Public Utilities Public Water and/or Sewer (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water. (Substitute condition 5A if well)
- 5A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer. (Substitute condition 6A if on site sewage disposal/septic)
- 6A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>June 23, 2010</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.

- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>June 22, 2011</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements as applicable, and design considerations.
- 12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

### <u>Standard Conditions for Conventional Subdivisions Not Served By Public Utilities</u> (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage and erosion control plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, fifteen (15) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 7. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>June 23, 2010</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 8. This approval shall expire on <u>June 22, 2011</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 9. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 10. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements and design considerations.

11. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

### Standard Conditions for Residential Townhouse for Sale (RTH) Subdivisions\ (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>June 23, 2010</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>June 22, 2011</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.
- 12. A draft of the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions shall be submitted to Page 5

- the Department of Planning for review, prior to final approval. The proposed Homeowners Association for the project shall be responsible for the exterior maintenance of all buildings and grounds.
- 13. All block corners shall be monumented and referenced, where possible, to the exterior boundaries of the site
- 14. The record plat shall contain a statement that the common area is dedicated to the common use and enjoyment of the homeowners of (name of subdivision) and is not dedicated for use by the general public. This statement shall refer to the applicable article in the covenants recorded with the plat.

### Standard Conditions for Zero Lot Line Subdivisions (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>June 23, 2010</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>June 22, 2011</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change may be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.
- 12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan

showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers and Chesapeake Bay Act Areas.

#### SUBDIVISION - CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

# Standard Conditions for Conventional Subdivisions Served By Public Utilities Road Dedication (No Lots) (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>June 23, 2010</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>June 22, 2011</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.