1 2	Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of the County of Henrico, Virginia, held in the Board Room of the County Administration Building in the			
3	Government Center at Parham and Hungary Springs Roads, Beginning at 9:00 a.m			
4	Wednesday, May 22, 2002.			
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Members Present:	Mr. Allen Taylor, P.E., C.P.C., Chairperson (Three Chopt) Mr. E. Ray Jernigan, C.P.C., Vice Chairperson (Varina) Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C. (Fairfield) Mr. Ernest B. Vanarsdall, C.P.C. (Brookland) Mrs. Lisa D. Ware (Tuckahoe) Mr. Frank J. Thornton (Fairfield) Board of Supervisors Representative		
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Others Present:	Mr. John R. Marlles, AICP, Director of Planning, Secretary Mr. Randall R. Silber, Assistant Director of Planning Mr. David D. O'Kelly, Jr., Principal Planner Ms. Leslie A. News, CLA, County Planner Mr. James P. Strauss, CLA, County Planner Mr. E. J. (Ted) McGarry, III, County Planner Mr. Kevin D. Wilhite, C.P.C., AICP, County Planner Mr. Michael F. Kennedy, County Planner Ms. Christina L. Goggin, AICP, County Planner Mr. Michael P. Cooper, County Planner Mr. Todd Eure, Assistant Traffic Engineer Ms. Diana B. Carver, Recording Secretary		
27 28	Mr. Frank J. Thoracases unless otherwin	nton, the Board of Supervisors Representative, abstains on all ise noted.		
29 30 31	Mr. Taylor -	Good morning and welcome to the May POD meeting.		
32 33	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Good morning, Mr. Chairman.		
34 35 36	Mr. Taylor - will get started and w	I wanted to tell you that we have the usual long agenda, but we we will turn the meeting over to our Secretary, Mr. Marlles.		
37 38	Mr. Archer -	Good morning, Mr. Marlles.		
39 40 41 42 43	the agenda is our req Wilhite, I would ask months I have introd	Good morning, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Commission. norum today and, of course, can conduct business. The first item on quest for deferrals and withdrawals, but before I turn that over to Mr. that Regina Chandler stand. Mr. Chairman, over the past couple of duced a number of new staff members to you. Regina is our most		
44 45 46	was promoted. Sl	has filled the position that was vacated by Michael Cooper, when he has pretty extensive experience, actually, in a number of ecently James City County. She has also worked for Hopewell and		

- Petersburg, and we are very happy to have her, and I would just like to introduce her to you.
- 49
- 50 Mr. Vanarsdall Good morning, Regina, and welcome. And Mr. Secretary, I don't
- 51 believe we've ever had anybody from James City County.

53 <u>Mr. Marlles -</u> Well, actually, Mr. Vanarsdall, Mark Bittner came from James 54 City County. That's been a few years ago.

55

Mr. Vanarsdall - Mark Bittner. I thought he came from up north.

57

58 Mr. Marlles - No, I believe it was James City County.

59

60 Mr. Vanarsdall - Well, he turned out all right, so I hope you do.

61

62 <u>Ms. Regina Chandler</u> - Good morning members, and I am excited about working for Henrico County and looking forward to meeting each and every one of you all.

64

65 <u>Mr. Taylor -</u> Thank you, Regina. And, Regina, don't let Mr. Vanarsdall intimidate you. All right, Mr. Secretary.

Gresham Smith and Partners for Henrico Doctor's

Hospital: Request for approval of a transfer of approval, as

required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106, of the Henrico County

Code from Health South of Virginia to Central Virginia

Hospital, LLC. The 11.0 acre site is located on the north line of Parham Road, 1,100 feet east of Shrader Road on parcel

764-754-0992. The zoning is 0-3, Office District. (**Brookland**)

67

68 Mr. Marlles - Kevin Wilhite.

69 70

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

71

POD-14-00 Henrico Doctors Hospital Formerly Health South Medical Center MRI Addition and Master Plan (POD-83-93 Revised) 7700 E. Parham Road

72

73
74 Mr. Wilhite - Good morning, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Planning
75 Commission. We have three request for deferrals on the list, and one that we have gotten
76 just this morning. The first is on Page 2. The applicant requests deferral until June 26,

77 78 2002.

79 Mr. Taylor - Is there anybody in the audience who is opposed to deferral until 80 June 26, 2002? All right. Mr. Vanarsdall.

81

82 Mr. Vanarsdall - Which one is that?

83

84 Mr. Taylor - That is Page 2, POD-14-00.

85 86	Mr. Vanarsdall -		a motion that we defer POD-14-00, at the applicant's	
87	request, to June 26, 2	2002.		
88 89 90	Mr. Archer -	Second		
91 92	Mr. Taylor - in favor say aye. All		made by Mr. Vanarsdall and seconded by Mr. Archer. All say no. The motion is approved.	
93 94 95				
97				
98				
	POD-29-94 Heilig Meyers Distri Center - 9820 Park Drive		Scott Traynham for Norman Seay: Request for approval of a transfer of approval, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, from Va T. Orton, Trustee, Heilig-Meyers Furniture Company, to Norman Seay. The 5.990-acre site is located on the wes line of Park Central Drive, approximately 1,800 feet south of Parham Road on parcel 789-760-0587. The zoning is 0-SC, Office Service District (Conditional). (Fairfield)	
99			(
100 101	Mr. Wilhite -	The app	plicant requests deferral to June 26, 2002.	
102 103	Mr. Taylor - POD-29-94 to June 2		e anybody in the audience opposed to the deferral of Case No opposition. Mr. Archer.	
104 105 106 107	Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, I move deferral of POD-29-94 to June meeting at the applicant's request.			
107 108 109	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Second		
110 111 112	Mr. Taylor - in favor say aye. All		made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All say no. The motion passes.	
112 113 114 115	4	11	t, the Planning Commission deferred Transfer of Approval istribution Center, to June 26, 2002.	

116 117	SUBDIVISION	
	Trivett Woods (May 2002 Plan)	Goodfellow, Jalbert, Beard and Associates, Inc. for Salous-West, LLC: The 3.92-acre site is located between Telegraph Road and Battlefield Road south of Francis Road on parcel 785-765-6083. The zoning is R-2A, One-Family Residence District. County water and sewer. (Fairfield) 8 Lots
118		
119 120	Mr. Wilhite -	The applicant requests deferral to June 26, 2002, as well.
121 122 123	Mr. Taylor - Trivett Woods (May	Is there anybody in the audience who is opposed to the deferral of 2002 Plan) to June 26, 2002? No opposition, Mr. Archer.
124 125 126	Mr. Archer - June 26, 2002 meetir	Mr. Chairman, I move deferral of Subdivision Trivett Woods to the applicant's request.
127 128	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Second.
129 130 131	Mr. Taylor - in favor say aye. All	Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All opposed say no. The motion passes.
132 133		quest, the Planning Commission deferred Subdivision Trivett Woods ts meeting on June 26, 2002.
134 135 136	SUBDIVISION AN	D TRANSITIONAL BUFFER DEVIATION
130	Springfield Farms (April 2002 Plan)	Jordan Consulting Engineers, P.C. for Atack Properties, Inc.: The 23.37-acre site is located along the south line of Springfield Road, approximately 350 feet north of its intersection with Francistown Road on parcels 758-770-9505, 759-769-5799, and 759-770-3844. The zoning is R-3C, One-Family Residence District (Conditional) and C-1, Conservation District. County water and sewer. (Brookland) 36 Lots
137 138 139	Mr. Wilhite - deferral for 30 days u	This is on Page 10 of your agenda. The applicant has requested
140 141 142	Mr. Taylor - Subdivision to June 2	Is there anybody opposed to the deferral of Springfield Farms 26, 2002? No opposition. Mr. Vanarsdall.
143 144 145 146		Before I make a motion, this case had a couple of issues, three orked out but two, and one of them is the sidewalk along Springfield ust throwing this out for a reason and I will get to it in a minute. I

148

have always been in favor of sidewalks, but I want to know where they start and where

they end, and so forth, and Traffic, I think Mr. Eure is here this morning. He said it is not

- dangerous, and staff says it is not, so if between now and the 26th of June if the rest of the 149
- 150 Commission, this is like a Mission Impossible; what did they used to say? Your mission
- if you accept it. And the tape will not self destruct, because they keep it back there, but if 151
- 152 you all have a chance, just ride down that section by Echo Lake near Springfield Road
- and just look and see if you think they need a sidewalk, or who would use it, and so forth. 153
- 154 I usually don't ask the Commission to get in on my cases. I am not asking now, but it
- 155 would help. I would have to say now that for Springfield Farms I recommend a 30-day
- 156 deferral at the applicant's request, and I appreciate the applicant coming forward and
- 157 deferring it.

159 Mr. Jernigan-I second it.

160

- 161 Motion made by Mr. Vanarsdall and seconded by Mr. Jernigan. Mr. Taylor -
- 162 All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.

163

164 At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred Springfield Farms 165 (April 2002 Plan) to its meeting on June 26, 2002.

166

167 Mr. Marlles -The next item on the agenda is the Expedited Agenda and just for 168 the information for the audience, items on the Expedited Agenda are cases for which staff 169 is recommending approval. The Planning Commission member has no issues, and there 170 is no known citizen opposition. If there is citizen opposition, it is generally the policy of 171 the Commission to pull those items off the Expedited Agenda and handle them in the 172 order in which they appear on the agenda. Mr. Wilhite.

173

174 Thank you. We have 12 cases listed on the Expedited Agenda Mr. Wilhite -175 today. The first one is on Page 4.

176

SUBDIVISION

177 178

> **Hunters Trace** (May 2002 Plan)

Balzer and Associates, Inc. for Lillian S. Bernard and Stephen **Thomas:** The 4-72-acre site is located at the southern terminus of Pinedale Drive, approximately 100 feet south of its intersection with Avery Green Drive on parcel 18-A-15B and part of parcel 744-772-8254 and part of parcel 744-772-1191. The zoning is R-5AC, General Residence District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt) 12 Lots

179

- 180 Mr. Wilhite -On Page 3 of your Addendum, there is a revised recommendation
- of approval, a revised condition No. 15, and there is a revised staff plan associated with 181 this case.
- 182

- 184 Mr. Taylor -Is there any opposition to Subdivision Hunters Trace? No
- 185 opposition. I move approval of Subdivision Hunters Trace (May 2002 Plan), subject to
- 186 the standard conditions for subdivisions served by public utilities, conditions Nos. 12, 13,
- 187 14, 15 and the Addendum, and the annotations on the plan.

188						
189	Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.					
190 191 192 193	Mr. Taylor - Motion main favor say aye. All opposed say	nde by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All no. The motion is approved.				
193 194 195 196 197 198	(May 2002 Plan), subject to the	ted conditional approval to subdivision Hunters Trace standard conditions for subdivisions served by public lans, and added conditions Nos. 12 through 15 as shown				
199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210	construction plan by the D The proffers approved as approval. Prior to requesting the fination for the maintenance of the submitted to the Planning be in form and substance prior to recordation of the Prior to requesting final aplan showing a dwelling state.	nage easements must be obtained prior to approval of the repartment of Public Works. part of zoning case C-9C-02 shall be incorporated in this al approval, a draft of the covenants and deed restrictions he common area by a homeowners association shall be Office for review. Such covenants and restrictions shall satisfactory to the County Attorney and shall be recorded subdivision plat. pproval, the engineer shall furnish the Planning Office a situated on Lots 2 thru 8 to show that all cul-de-sac lots Section 24-95(v) of the Henrico County Code.				
211212213214						
214215	TRANSFER OF APPROVAL (Deferred from the April 24, 2002, Meeting)				
	Summit Breckenridge R Apartments – 9951 Racquet Club Lane B B B an	Real Estate Advisory, L.L.C. for BRGR, L.L.C.: Request for approval of a transfer of approval, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Summit Properties Partnership to BRGR, L.L.C. The 21.370 acre site is located along N. Gaskins Road, approximately 700 feet north of W. Broad Street (U. S. Route 250) on parcels 753-760-0679 and 753-760-3407. The zoning is R-5C, General Residence District (Conditional). (Three Chopt)				
216217218	Mr. Wilhite - On Page 4 approval, and five added condition	of your Addendum there is a revised recommendation for as listed.				
219220221222223		any opposition for the approval of POD-69-85? No of POD-69-85 plus the conditions on the Addendum, Nos.				
223224	Mr. Jernigan - I will secon	nd that.				

226 227	Mr. Taylor - favor say ay	Motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All in say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is approved.			
228229230231232	The Planning Commission voted to approve Transfer of Approval for POD-69-85, Summ Breckenridge Apartments, subject to the continued compliance with the conditions of the original approval and the following additional conditions: 1. A bond shall be posted to cover the site deficiencies as identified in the inspection report dated May 8, 2002, and shall be corrected by November 15, 2002. 2. All missing and damaged dumpster lids and handicap signs, as identified in the inspection report, dated May 8, 2002, shall be corrected by July 1, 2002.				
232 233 234 235 236 237					
238 239 240 241		2002. LANDSCAPE & LIGHTING PLAN			
	LP/POD-89 Lauderdale	-00 Office Building	Bay Design Group for Wilton Real Estate & Development Corporation: Request for approval of a landscape and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 1.42 acre site is located at the intersection of Lauderdale Drive and Gayton Road on parcel 734-758-5814. The zoning is O-1C, Office District (Conditional). (Three Chopt)		
242243244245	Mr. Wilhite with this cas	_ ~	e 5 of your Addendum there is a revised plan associated mmendation for approval.		
245 246 247 248 249 250	Lauderdale plans and th	-00 on the Expe Office Building, su e annotations on th	anybody in the audience opposed to the approval of edited Agenda? I move approval of LP/POD-89-00, abject to the standard conditions for landscape and lighting the plans plus the comments in the Addendum.		
251252	Mr. Jernigai	<u>n</u> - Second.			
253254255	Mr. Taylor - favor say ay		made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All in no. The ayes have it. The motion is approved.		
256 257 258 259	Lauderdale		proved the landscape and lighting plan for LP/POD-89-00, subject to the annotations on the plans and the standard ghting plans.		
260 261	PLAN OF I	DEVELOPMENT			
201	POD-42-02		Bay Design Group, P. C. for Wilton Development		

Townes @ Shady Grove, Section 3 – Shady Grove Road and Old Nuckols Road

Corporation: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code to construct 51, two-story residential townhouse units for sale. The 8.25 acre site is located at the intersection of Old Nuckols Road and Shady Grove Road on parcel 744-745-7094 and part of parcels 744-745-9263 and 2740. The zoning is RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional). County water and sewer. **(Three Chopt)**

262

263 Mr. Wilhite - This is also located in the Three Chopt District.

264

Mr. Taylor - Is there any opposition to the approval of POD-42-02 on the Expedited Agenda? No opposition? I will move approval of POD-42-02, Townes @ Shady Grove, Section 3, subject to the standard conditions for developments of this type, the annotations on the plans, and added conditions 23 through 30.

269

270 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

271

Mr. Taylor - Motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is approved.

274

The Planning Commission approved POD-42-02, Townes @ Shady Grove, Section 3 – Shady Grove Road and Old Nuckols Road, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type and the following additional conditions:

279

281

282

283

284

- 280 23. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
 - 24. The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be ordered from the County and installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.
- 286 25. The subdivision plat for Townes at Shady Grove, Section 3 shall be recorded before any building permits are issued.
- 288 26. The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- 290 27. The proffers approved as a part of zoning cases C-19C-00 and C-28C-99 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- 292 28. Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- 29. The pavement shall be of an SM-2A type and shall be constructed in accordance with County standard and specifications. The developer shall post a defect bond for all pavement with the Planning Office the exact type, amount and implementation shall be determined by the Director of Planning, to protect the

- interest of the members of the Homeowners Association. The bond shall become effective as of the date that the Homeowners Association assumes responsibility for the common areas.
 - 30. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and contracts and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the issuance of a building permit.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

POD-40-02 Church of Christ Educational and Worship Facility – Sandy Lane Road Griffith-Graham and Associates, Inc. for The Church of Christ: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code to construct a one-story, 900 seat, 48,705 square foot worship facility. The 10.54 acre site is located on the east line of Sandy Lane at the intersection with Howard Street on parcels 807-732-7606 and 2413. The zoning is A-1, Agricultural District and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Fairfield)

308

302

303 304

305 306

307

309 <u>Mr. Wilhite -</u> On Page 6 of your Addendum there is an added Condition #32. 310 Staff is recommending approval.

311

312 <u>Mr. Taylor -</u> Is there any opposition to the approval of POD-42-02 on the Expedited Agenda? There is none. Mr. Archer.

314

Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, I move approval of POD-40-02, Church of Christ Educational and Worship Facility – Sandy Lane Road, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, and the additional conditions Nos. 23 through 31 on the original agenda and No. 32 added on the Addendum.

319

320 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

321

- Mr. Taylor Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall to approve POD-42-02. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.
- The Planning Commission approved Plan of Development POD-42-02, Townes @ Shady
- 325 Grove, Section 3 Shady Grove Road and Old Nuckols Road, subject to the annotations
- on the plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, and added conditions
- Nos. 23 through 32 shown below:

- 329 23. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be ordered from the County and installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.

- The subdivision plat for Townes at Shady Grove, Section 3 shall be recorded before any building permits are issued.
- The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning cases C-19C-00 and C-28C-99 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- 341 28. Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be 342 obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the 343 construction plans.
- The pavement shall be of an SM-2A type and shall be constructed in accordance with County standard and specifications. The developer shall post a defect bond for all pavement with the Planning Office the exact type, amount and implementation shall be determined by the Director of Planning, to protect the interest of the members of the Homeowners Association. The bond shall become effective as of the date that the Homeowners Association assumes responsibility for the common areas.
- 351 30. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and contracts and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the issuance of a building permit.
- 31. Prior to requesting final approval, the engineer shall furnish the Planning Office a plan showing a dwelling situated on Lots 2 thru 8 to show that all cul-de-sac lots meet the requirements of Section 24-95(v) of the Henrico County Code.
- The owner agrees to complete the waterline loop from Watts Lane to Howard Lane (approximately 700 feet) along Sandy Lane. With the waterline loop, 1500 gpm would be available at the site to meet fire flow demands. If the waterline loop is not completed, then on-site storage would be required to meet fire flow demands.

SUBDIVISION

363 364

> Purcell Place (April 2002 Plan)

Grattan & Associates for Commonwealth Homebuilding Corporation: The 2.59-acre site is located on the west side of Purcell Road, approximately 250 feet north of Indale Road on parcel 771-765-0353. The zoning is R-3, One-Family Residence District. County water and sewer. (Brookland) 4 Lots

365

Mr. Wilhite - We have an Addendum item on Page 7 with a revised recommendation, and there is handed out to you already a staff plan with annotations added.

369

370 <u>Mr. Taylor -</u> Is there anyone in the audience opposed to the approval of Purcell Place Subdivision on the Expedited Agenda? There being none, Mr. Vanarsdall.

372

373 Mr. Vanarsdall - I move that Purcell Place be approve on the Expedited Agenda as 374 recommended by staff, with the standard conditions for developments of this type, the 375 annotations on the plan, and added conditions Nos. 12 through 15 and on the Addendum, 376 on Page 6, it just picks up that staff recommends conditional approval.

377

378 Mr. Jernigan - Second.

379

Mr. Taylor - Motion made by Mr. Vanarsdall and seconded by Mr. Jernigan.
 All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion is approved.

382

The Planning Commission granted conditional approval for subdivision Purcell Place (April 2002 Plan), subject to the standard conditions for subdivisions served by public utilities, the annotations on the plans, and the following additional conditions:

386

- Each lot shall contain at least 11,000 square feet exclusive of the flood plain areas.
- The limits and elevation of the 100 year frequency flood shall be conspicuously noted on the plat and construction plans and labeled "Limits of 100 year floodplain." Dedicate floodplain as a "Variable Width Drainage & Utilities Easement."
- The detailed plant list and specifications for the landscaping to be provided within the 25-foot-wide planting strip easement along Purcell Road shall be submitted to the Planning Office for review and approval prior to recordation of the plat.
- 395 15. Any necessary offsite drainage easements must be obtained prior to approval of the construction plan by the Department of Public Works.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

397 398

> POD-36-02 Short Pump Crossing – Pump Road (POD-20-96 Revised)

E. D. Lewis & Associates, P.C. for Sauer Properties Inc.: Request for approval of a revised plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code to construct a one-story, 6,660 square foot retail building. The .984 acre site is located on the west side of Pump Road, approximately 600 feet south of W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250) on parcel 739-761-6445. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (**Three Chopt**)

399

400 Mr. Taylor - Is there any opposition to the approval of POD-36-02 on the Expedited Agenda? I move approval of POD-36-02, Short Pump Crossing, on the Expedited Agenda, subject to the annotations on the plans, standard conditions for developments of this type, and conditions Nos. 23 through 32.

404 405

Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

406

407 Mr. Taylor - Motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion is approved.

- The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- Employees shall be required to use the parking spaces provided at the rear of the building(s) as shown on the approved plans.
- 419 26. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning cases C-21C-88, C-44C-99 and C-63C-88 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- 422 28. Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- 425 29. Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design 426 shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the 427 construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- 428 30. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and contracts and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the issuance of a building permit.

- 431 31. Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not 432 establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained 433 right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- 434 32. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment 435 (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, 436 transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All 437 equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the 438 Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

441

POD-48-00 Crestview Apartments – Phase 2 – Horsepen Road E. D. Lewis & Associates, P.C. for New Apartments, L.L.C. & New Recreation, L.L.C.: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code to construct 20, two-story apartment buildings totaling 130 134 units. The 13.55 acre site is located on the northwest corner of Horsepen Road and Catawba Lane on parcels 766-742-8033, 766-741-9079, 767-741-2394 and 3268. The zoning is R-5, General Residence District and R-5C, General Residence District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

442

443 On Page 7 of your Addendum, there is a revised caption. The Mr. Wilhite -444 number of units in this project has been reduced from 134 to 130 units. There are also 445 two added conditions, Nos. 32 and 33. Staff recommends approval.

446

447 Mr. Taylor -Is there anybody in the audience opposed to the approval of POD-448 48-00 on the Expedited Agenda? There is. All right, if you would sir...

449

450 Mr. Vanarsdall -Maybe he just has a question, Mr. Chairman.

451

452 Mr. Taylor -Pardon me?

453

- 454 Mr. Vanarsdall -Maybe he just has a question. 455
- 456 Mr. Jernigan -Sir, if you would come down. 457

458 Mr. Taylor -Come down to the microphone and identify yourself for the record.

459

- 460 Mr. Gwaltney -Good morning. I don't know if this is the right time to oppose this.
- I don't know the correct procedure or anything, but I was just opposed to the apartments 461 462 being built there. So, is that the time to be doing that, or...

463

464 Mr. Vanarsdall -Well, you understand that was all rezoned and all.

- 466 Mr. Gwaltney I know. I opposed it then, too, but I just wanted to oppose it again,
- and I don't know if it would make any difference.

469 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall -</u> But you don't have any problem with the structure or anything like 470 that.

471

472 Mr. Gwaltney - I have not seen any plans or anything, to be honest with you. And I thought this was what the meeting was going to be more about, to see the structures and stuff and buildings.

475

476 Mr. Vanarsdall - But you just don't like apartments? That is what you are opposed to?

478

Mr. Gwaltney - Well, I live on Horsepen Road and I have lived at this house for 40 plus years, and what we have there now is just a big opening, and I am kind of used more to that, whereas now, I believe, the apartments that are going to be built there are going to be pretty close built to Horsepen Road, which will, it is just going to take away from the view and the, more people, and I just like. I have been there 40 plus years, so I am kind of opposed to having something change.

485

486 Mr. Marlles - Mr. Chairman, what I would suggest is we allow the applicant's engineer to meet with the citizen out in the foyer, and at least present the plans so that you have an idea of what is being proposed, and we would take it up later in the agenda.

489

490 Mr. Gwaltney - OK. The other question I had was that further down Horsepen nice houses have been built. Why couldn't houses be built there, too, instead of apartments?

492

493 <u>Mr. Marlles -</u> Sir, I think it has already been explained. The property is already 494 zoned for this type of use, so...

495

496 <u>Mr. Gwaltney</u> - I was here at the other meeting and opposed it and it didn't make a difference.

498

499 <u>Mr. Marlles -</u> Well, again, staff recommendation is that they meet out in the foyer and we can take it back up.

501

502 <u>Mr. Jernigan</u> - Sir, could we have your name?

503

Mr. Vanarsdall - Mr. Lewis, who is the representative, is here this morning and he will be glad to meet with you out there, and then we can come back to it if that is what you want to do.

507

508 <u>Mr. James Gwaltney, Jr.</u> - My name is James Gwaltney, Jr. And I live at 6601 Horsepen Road.

510

511 Mr. Jernigan - Thank you, sir.

512		
513	Mr. Vanarsdall -	We appreciate your input.
514		
515	Mr. Taylor -	Mr. Gwaltney, I think that is what we will do. If you would, we
516	υ Ο	e next case and you can go ahead out and talk to Mr. Lewis, and we
517	will hear this at the e	nd of the agenda.
518	N. 6 - XX7'11 '4	TI
519	Mr. Wilhite -	The next case is on Page 23.
520 521	SUBDIVISION	
522	Tarry Oaks	Gene Watson & Associates, P.C. for Cameron M.
	(May 2002 Plan)	Cosby: The 2.588 acre site is located on the northwest
	(May 2002 Flail)	corner of Sleepy Hollow Road and Tarrytown Road on
		parcel 750-737-2545. The zoning is R-1, One-Family
		Residence District. County water and sewer.
		(Tuckahoe) 2 Lots
523		(
524	Mr. Wilhite -	There is a revised plan already in your packet.
525		
526	Mr. Taylor -	Is there anyone in the audience who is opposed to Subdivision
527		22 Plan)? No opposition. Ms. Ware, your motion.
528	• ` •	•
529	Ms. Ware -	I recommend approval of Tarry Oaks Subdivision subject to the
530	annotations on the	plans and standard conditions for subdivisions served by public
531	utilities and additiona	al conditions Nos. 12 through 15 on the Expedited Agenda.
532		
533	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Second.
534		
535	Mr. Taylor -	Motion by Ms. Ware and second by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor
536	say aye. All opposed	say no. The motion is approved.
537		
538	_	ission granted conditional approval to subdivision Tarry Oaks (May
539		t to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for
540	subdivisions served by	by public utilities, and the following additional conditions:
541		
542		plant list and specifications for the landscaping to be provided within
543		vide planting strip easement along Sleep Hollow Road shall be
544		he Planning Office for review and approval prior to recordation of the
545	plat.	
546	•	y offsite drainage easements must be obtained prior to approval of the
547	-	blan by the Department of Public Works.
548	14. The Dwelling	g on Lot 2 shall be oriented so that the front façade faces Tarrytown

Drive.

Drive.

549

550

551

15.

No fence greater than 48 inches in height shall be constructed along Tarrytown

552553 **SUBDIVISION**

Robin Park (May 2002 Plan) **Thomas & Associates, L.L.C. for H. F. Robbins, Jr. Construction Company:** The 0.8779 acre site is located on the south line of Pine Street, approximately 150 feet west of Barker Avenue on parcels 818-727-5336, 4439 and 6430. The zoning is R-3, One-Family Residence District and ASO (Airport Safety Overlay District). County water and sewer. **(Varina) 2 Lots**

555

554

556 Mr. Wilhite - Staff recommends approval.

557

- 558 <u>Mr. Taylor -</u> Is there anyone in the audience opposed to the approval or the 559 hearing of Robin Park on the Expedited Agenda? All right. There being none, Mr.
- 560 Jernigan.

561

562 Mr. Jernigan - Mr. Chairman, I make a motion to approve Subdivision Robin Park 563 on the May 2002 Plan, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for 564 subdivisions served by public utilities and additional conditions Nos. 12, 13 and 14.

565

566 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

567

Mr. Taylor - Motion made by Mr. Jernigan and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall.
All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.

570

The Planning Commission granted conditional approval for subdivision Robin Park (May 2002 Plan), subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for subdivisions served by public utilities and the following additional conditions:

574

- 575 12. Any necessary offsite drainage easements must be obtained prior to approval of the construction plan by the Department of Public Works.
- 577 13. The well shown on Lot 22C shall not be used for domestic water. If capped, all Health Department requirements shall be met.
- 579 14. Any dwelling constructed on Lot 22C shall be arranged so that the existing garage is located behind the dwelling or a variance obtained prior to approval of a building permit.

582 583

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT & LIGHTING PLAN

584

POD-41-02 Exxon @ Virginia Center Station – 9801 Brook Road **Bohler Engineering, P.C. and Trammell Crow Company for ExxonMobil Corporation:** Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a 3,900 square foot convenience store with gas pumps and car wash. The

1.907 acre site is located on the northeast corner of Brook Road (U. S. Route 1) and Virginia Center Parkway on parcel 783-767-9792. The zoning is M-1, Light Industrial District. County water and sewer. (Fairfield)

585

586 Mr. Wilhite - On Page 8 of your Addendum there are revised conditions. They are No. 35, 36 and 37.

588

589 <u>Mr. Taylor -</u> Is there anyone in the audience who is opposed to POD-41-02, 590 Exxon @ Virginia Center Station? Mr. Archer, no opposition.

591

- 592 Mr. Archer Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move approval of POD-41-02, Exxon
- 693 @ Virginia Center Station, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard
- conditions for developments of this type, conditions Nos. 11B and 23 through 37, with
- 595 35, 36 and 37 being revised on the Addendum.

596

597 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

598

Mr. Taylor - Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.

601

Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, may I ask a question before we move on?

603

604 Mr. Taylor - Certainly, sir.

605

- 606 Mr. Archer Maybe the Secretary can help me on this. Condition No. 32 in this 607 case says the location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment
- 608 (including HVAC units, electric meter, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and
- generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. Is this a matter we could use in the
- green box cases?

611

- 612 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall -</u> It sure is.
- 613 Mr. Marlles What I think...

614

615 <u>Mr. Archer -</u> It caught my attention when I was reading this.

616

Mr. Vanarsdall - I had not noticed that before either.

618

- 619 Mr. Marlles I think the reason for this particular condition is the policy that was
- developed, maybe, I think it was last year that it was actually adopted to where the
- 621 Commission requested staff to develop that policy in order to restrain these boxes.

622

623 <u>Mr. Archer</u> I was just wondering if we could use that condition in all of our subdivision plans and do away with the green boxes in the front yards?

- 626 Mr. Marlles I am not sure that we are going to do away with the green boxes,
- but I think what we are leading to is a policy that will perhaps require those boxes to be
- screened in some manner, and that is at least the thought of staff, and we do have, as you
- are probably aware, a committee where we are trying to get together, and we actually
- have looked at draft language for that type of policy, but, Mr. Archer, I think we are
- heading in that direction.

633 <u>Mr. Archer -</u> Good. I just didn't want to let that moment pass without acknowledging it.

635

636 Mr. Vanarsdall - I am glad you didn't. Once, many years ago we were both on a committee. In fact, he put me on a committee when he was chairman, and we had one meeting and another meeting, and the rest of them petered out and it just went away like it has never been a subject in the County.

640

641 <u>Mr. Archer -</u> It has been five years this month. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I apologize.

643

The Planning Commission approved POD and Lighting Plan for POD-41-02, Exxon @ Virginia Center Station – 9801 Brook Road, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
- The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- The entrances and drainage facilities on Brook Road (U. S. Route 1) shall be approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation and the County.
- A notice of completion form, certifying that the requirements of the Virginia Department of Transportation entrances permit have been completed, shall be submitted to the Planning Office prior to any occupancy permits being issued.
- Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and contracts and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the issuance of a building permit.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.

- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Virginia Department of Transportation maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by the contractor and approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- Evidence of a joint ingress/egress and maintenance agreement must be submitted to the Planning Office and approved prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for this development.
- The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility.
- Prior to construction plan approval, the applicant shall provide proof which shall be confirmed by the County Attorney, that the 20-foot private utility easement along Brook Road (U. S. Route 1) permits landscape plantings or revise the plan to provide a separate 10-foot landscape area between the private easement and the access isle.
- Revise the plan to provide landscape islands free of utility easements.
- 696 36. Prior to construction plan approval, the applicant shall provide a revised master plan for the development.

SUBDIVISION

699 700

Ansley Glen, Section 2 (April 2002 Plan)

Koontz-Bryant, P.C. for Rotunda Corporation: The 0.329 acre site is located at 1605 Verna Drive on parcel 779-761-8866. The zoning is R-4, One-Family Residence District. County water and sewer. (**Brookland**) (**Fairfield**) 1 Lot

701

702 Mr. Wilhite - This is located in the Fairfield District, not Brookland, as it shows 703 on the agenda. On Page 8 of your Addendum there is a revised recommendation for 704 approval and a corrected caption.

705

706 Mr. Taylor - Is there anybody in the audience opposed to Ansley Glen, Section 2, being heard on the Expedited Agenda? No opposition, Mr. Vanarsdall.

708

709 Mr. Vanarsdall - No, it is not me. Not I.

710

711 Mr. Archer - OK, then Mr. Chairman, I will move approval of Subdivision 712 Ansley Glen, Section 2 (April 2002 Plan), subject to the annotations on the plans and the 713 standard conditions for subdivisions served by public utilities.

714

715 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

716

717 Mr. Taylor - Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All 718 in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion is approved.

719

The Planning Commission granted conditional approval to subdivision Ansley Glen, Section 2, (April 2002 Plan) subject to the annotations on he plans and the standard conditions for subdivisions served by public utilities.

723

724 Mr. Vanarsdall - Mr. Secretary, before you start, I want to congratulate Mr. 725 Chairman. It seems like he got almost all of the Three Chopt ones on the Expedited Agenda, and that means we are about two hours ahead of time this morning.

727

728 <u>Mr. Taylor -</u> I will accept the glory, but the glory goes to the staff. Good job, staff. Now we are on the regular agenda, and we are at Page 3, I think.

730

731 <u>Mr. Marlles -</u> Well, we actually are at Subdivision Extensions of Conditional Approval. These, and there are three of them listed, three subdivisions, are being 733 presented for informational purposes only for the Commission, and Mr. Wilhite, would you review those.

SUBDIVISION EXTENSIONS OF CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

735 736

737 (FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSE ONLY) 738

Subdivision	Magisterial District	Original No. of Lots	Remaining Lots	Previous Extensions	Year(s) Extended
Four Mile Run (May 2001 Plan)	Varina	167	167	0	1 Year 5/28/03
Hampshire (May 2001 Plan)	Three Chopt	75	75	0	1 Year 5/28/03
Summerfield Woods (April 1998 Plan)	Fairfield	5	5	3	1 Year 5/28/03

739

742 743

744

745

746

740 741

Mr. Wilhite -Thank you. There are three subdivisions this month being extended by Director of Planning for a period of one year. The first is the Four Mile Run Subdivision (May 2001 Plan), located in Varina; Hampshire (May 2001 Plan), located in the Three Chopt District, and on Page 1 of your Addendum, we did get a request for an extension for Summerfield Woods (April 1998 Plan). This is located in the Fairfield District, and for this subdivision this will be the last extension the Director of Planning can grant. Any further extensions would have to be granted by the Planning Commission.

747 748 749

Thank you, Mr. Wilhite. Any questions from the Commission? Mr. Taylor -Back to Mr. Secretary.

750 751 752

The first case on your regular agenda is on Page 3. Mr. Marlles -

753 754

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT (Deferred from the April 23, 2002, Meeting)

755

757

758

POD-21-02 Trellis Crossing	Balzer & Associates, Inc. for Tascon – Ridgefield LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct 46 condominium units for sale, a clubhouse and associated parking areas. The 11.20 acre site is located on the southwest quadrant of the intersection of Pump Road and Ridgefield Parkway on parcel 741-750-3069 (66-A-11J) (67-A-2A). The zoning is RTHC, Residential Townhouse District
Mr. Marlles -	(Conditional). County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe) The staff report will be presented by Mr. Jim Strauss.

756

Is there any opposition to POD-21-02 in the audience? No

opposition. 759

Mr. Taylor -

762763

Mr. Strauss - Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Commission. This plan of development was deferred at our last meeting to allow the developer to meet with the neighborhood to discus the impact of the construction on the buffer area that was proffered with the original rezoning case.

764765766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

The site was rezoned from A-1 to RTHC last November, and the proffers of the rezoning require buffers along the entire periphery of the site. The buffer along the southwestern boundary, which adjoins the Royal Oaks Subdivision, and that would be on your screen in this location (referring to slide) here. Here is Royal Oaks (referring to slide). That buffer was the subject of a great deal of attention, and this was the buffer that was the subject of the neighborhood meeting last week. The buffer along this property line is to be 80 feet in width and undisturbed except as required for the utility connections. The existing sewer and storm drain connections are in that location. So, some disturbance is necessary in order to connect to these utilities. After meeting with the neighbors last week, the staff has made recommendations which are included in the form of written conditions in the most recent addendum, and the annotations on the most recent staff plan, which is also included in this morning's handouts. After working with the neighbors, it was determined that the best way to provide for protection for the Royal Oaks Subdivision is to recommend that this Commission approve the POD with a twophased landscape plan. The first phase would be submitted and reviewed with the construction plans and would address the planting and reforestation, if you will, of the southwest corner of the project site. This early approval of the Phase 1 landscape plan would allow an earlier installation of landscaping, as soon as the utilities in this area are in place, and it would also allow some protection for the Royal Oaks neighborhood while the rest of the construction of the project proceeds. That, in fact, constitutes much of what is Condition No. 35 in your addendum this morning, and No. 36. That is referring to the second phase landscape plan, I mean the first phase landscape plan. Saving trees in this area is also important to the staff and to the neighbors, and we are recommending the limits of clearing be flagged and certified by the engineer, and that a heavy duty silt fence would be used to delineate the limits of clearing. That is what Condition No.34 addresses. The fencing on the southwest corner that was proffered with the original proffers, that would be proffer 19, of the original zoning, is optional. In other words, the fence in this area was a subject of some discussion with Royal Oaks. They are not sure they want the fence. They might just want the buffering to be there, but we have to address that issue, so we are suggesting that we address the fence with the Phase 2 landscaping plan after the initial landscaping is installed. That is the reason for Condition No. 37.

797 798 799

800

801

802

803

804

805

During the review of rezoning and the POD, the subject of traffic calming devices were discussed with the developer. The staff recommends the use of raised pedestrian crosswalks, or you could call them rumble strips, in several locations to help slow vehicle traffic through the project. The developer is also considering a guard house at the entrance on Ridgefield Parkway. We believe that would have some effect in reducing the traffic entering that location. The neighbors were concerned and the staff was concerned about traffic entering through this project, and so we are hoping that those devices will

help. They were addressed in the original rezoning with a proffer, so we are providing for that with Condition No. 38, and we can discuss those strips further if you like. We have the Traffic Engineer here this morning as well.

That is an overview of the major issues that the neighborhood and staff are concerned with for this project. I would add that staff is recommending No. 9 Amended, and that would be No. 9 Amended for the Phase 2 landscape plan. The Phase 1 would be administrative, so we can get an early start on the landscaping installation. We are also recommending 11A, and that would be for the lighting plan to come back to the Commission. With that I will be happy to answer any questions that you may have. We have Mr. Steve Settlage here. He represents Tascon, the developer. We also have Mr. Steve Semones. He is with Balzer, the engineer. Thank you.

819 <u>Ms. Ware</u> - I know a lot went on yesterday as far as back and forth on these 820 amendments to this. I know Ms. Paganoe had faxed things to you, and that is pretty 821 much straight between what her desires are?

Mr. Strauss - I had a conversation with Ms. Pagano, who is the neighbor who has been involved with the project since the rezoning. She lives over here on Old Prescott Place, and the meeting took place in her backyard with the other neighbors, and as far as she was concerned, once we added the additional tweaking, so to speak, of the conditions we had faxed her, she was satisfied. So, obviously, she is not here this morning, so I take it that she is happy with the outcome of the discussions.

Ms. Ware - All right. I think that what you've done with getting the replanting and keeping that buffer as natural as possible has been wonderful. Thank you so much. Great job. And with that, I will recommend for approval POD-21-02, subject to the annotations on the plans and standard conditions for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions: Nos. 9 and 11 Amended, and 23 through 38.

Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

Mr. Taylor - Motion made by Ms. Ware and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.

- The Planning Commission approved POD-21-02, Trellis Crossing, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:
- 844 9. **AMENDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Planning 845 Office for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- AMENDED Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including depictions of light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Planning Office review and Planning Commission approval.

- The right-of-way for widening of Ridgefield Parkway and Pump Road, as shown on approved plans, shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- A standard concrete sidewalk shall be provided along the west side of Pump Road.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-26-01 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- The pavement shall be of an SM2A type and shall be constructed in accordance with County Standard and specifications. The developer shall post a defect bond for all pavement with the Planning Office the exact type, amount and implementation shall be determined by the Director of Planning, to protect the interest of the members of the Homeowners Association. The bond shall become effective as of the date of that the Homeowners Association assumes responsibility for the common areas.
- Storm water retention, based on the 50-10 concept, shall be incorporated into the drainage plans.
- Insurance Service Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and contracts and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the issuance of a building permit.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right of way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- The owners shall not begin clearing of the site until the following conditions have been met:
 - (a) The site engineer shall conspicuously illustrate on the Plan of Development and the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan, the limits of the areas to be cleared and the methods of protecting the required buffer areas, such limits and method subject to Planning Commission approval as shown on the approved Plan of Development. The location of utility lines, drainage structures and easements (except cable TV, telephone and Virginia Power easements) shall be shown.

892

893

894

895

896

- (b) After the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan has been approved but prior to any clearing or grading operations of the site, the owner shall have the limits of clearing delineated with approved methods such as flagging, silt fencing or temporary fencing, as specified on the plan of development as approved by the Planning Commission.
- (c) The site engineer shall certify in writing to the owner that the limits of clearing have been staked in accordance with the approved plans. A copy of this letter shall be sent to the Planning Office and the Department of Public Works.
- (d) The owner shall be responsible for the protection and maintenance of the buffer areas and for replanting and/or supplemental planting and other necessary improvements to the buffer as may be appropriate or required to correct problems. The details shall be included on the landscape plans for approval.
- 35. The owner shall provide a Phase One Landscape Plan for Planning Office review and approval and shall provide for the installation of supplemental landscape screening in the buffer area impacted by utility construction at the south western corner of the Trellis Crossing project site. (Adjacent to the lots identified on Henrico County Tax maps as parcels 66-18-A-9, 66-18-A-10 and 66-14-A-9).
- 36. The landscaping associated with the Phase One Landscape Plan shall be installed by the owner during the next available planting season, after clearing and utility construction has taken place. The owner shall continue thereafter to maintain the landscaping installed as part of the Phase One Landscape Plan (including the watering and replacement of dead plant material).
- 37. It is understood that the need for the fencing along the southwest corner of the Trellis Crossing project site will be reviewed at such time as the Phase Two Landscape Plan for the project is submitted for Planning Office review and Planning Commission approval. The need for said fencing will be determined by the owners of the lots identified on Henrico County tax maps as parcels 66-18-A-9, 66-18-A-10 and 66-14-A-9 (neighbors). Said, may at their option, choose to have landscape screening such as evergreen shrubs installed on their lots by the owner, to be thereafter maintained by said neighbors, in lieu of the fencing.
- 38. The owner shall provide traffic calming measures as indicated on the staff plan.

Mr. Taylor - I want to add my congratulations on the completion of the deliberations on that project. I know that has been a long process and it has been tedious, and I want to thank Mr. Settlege for working with us for several years on bringing Tascon to this area. I congratulate you on your achievements, sir.

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

POD-69-96 (partial) Brookhollow Center Phase 2 Kroger **Fenton Childers for Kroger Food Stores:** Request for approval of a transfer of approval, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County from Opus East L.L.C. to Kroger Limited Partnership I. The 5.84 acre site is located at 11280 W. Broad Street (U.S.

Route 250) approximately 600 feet west of Brook River Drive on parcel 742-762-0811. The zoning is M1C, Light Industrial District (Conditional).

(Three Chopt)

939

940 <u>Mr. Marlles -</u> The staff report will be given by Mr. Michael Kennedy.

941

942 Mr. Taylor - Good morning, again, Mr. Kennedy.

943

944 Mr. Kennedy-Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. This transfer of approval would transfer from Opus East, the developer of Brookhollow Shopping Center, to Kroger 945 946 Limited Partnership I, the old Home Place Store, which occupies a portion of that 947 shopping center. This was a phased development shopping center, so the first phase was 948 the development of Target, the second phase was actually the development of the Home 949 Depot and the, what was the Home Place store in that shopping center. The third phase 950 was actually Kohl's, so they actually developed it in phases. The first portion, Home 951 Depot, there was a transfer of approval where Opus East, L.L.C. transferred to them. We 952 never actually got a transfer of approval request for Target and we've done that 953 inspection coincident with this, and they are going to have to come over with their own 954 separate transfer of approval. Because of the kind of confusion with who owned what, 955 they had to do an actual survey, and the inspection was only done, actually, this week. 956 As far as the conditions, we have a slight revision to the conditions on the agenda. There 957 are only very, very minor details that need to be replaced as far as the deficiencies, so the 958 owner is asking that instead of posting a bond that the site deficiencies identified in 959 Inspection Report dated May 20, 2002, shall be corrected by June 30, 2002. The second 960 condition would be that the applicant shall furnish a cross-access shared parking, 961 drainage and utility agreement for review and approval of the Planning Office. That 962 should take care of the shared access across the sites. If you have any further questions, I 963 would be happy to answer them.

964 965

966

967

Mr. Taylor - Is there any body in the audience who is opposed to the transfer of approval of POD-69-96 at Brookhollow? I move approval of POD-69-96, Brookhollow Center, Phase 2 Kroger, subject to conditions Nos. 1 and 2.

968 Mr. Kennedy - No. 1 is Amended.

969

970 Mr. Taylor - No. 1 Amended and 2.

971

972 Mr. Archer - Second.

973

974 Mr. Taylor - Motion made by Mr. Taylor seconded b Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion is approved.

976

The Planning Commission approved Transfer of Approval for POD-69-96 (partial) Brookhollow Center Phase 2 Kroger, subject to continued compliance with the conditions of original approval and the following additional conditions:

- 981 1. Site deficiencies as identified in the inspection report, dated **May 20, 2002**, shall be corrected by **June 30, 2002**.
 - 2. The applicant shall furnish a cross-access, shared parking, drainage and utility agreement for review and approval by the Planning Commission and the County Attorney's office.

983

984

985

986

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

988 POD-10-02

Stylecraft Homes Office 6225 Lakeside Avenue

Kevin L. Floyd, P.E., L.S. for John D. Moyer and Stylecraft Homes Inc.: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code to construct a two-story, 5,415 5000 square foot office building. The 0.45 acre site is located at 6225 Lakeside Avenue on parcel 781-748-3772. The zoning is B-1, Business District. County water and sewer. (**Fairfield**)

989

990 Mr. Marlles - The staff report will be given by Mr. Ted McGarry.

991

992 Mr. Taylor - Is there anybody in the audience opposed to POD-10-02?

993

994 Mr. Kirby - I am not opposed to it, but I have questions I would like to ask.

995

996 Mr. Taylor - Would you like to speak when it is your turn, sir?

997

998 Mr. Kirby - Yes, sir.

999

1000 Mr. Taylor - Mr. McGarry, go ahead.

1001

1002 Mr. McGarry-Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. You are being handed a revised plan that came in after Friday, so during your motion, Mr. Archer, 1003 1004 you will need to waive the time limit for the revised plan. The applicant has reduced his 1005 floor area of the building to 5,000 square feet with this revised plan. That requires 20 1006 parking spaces, and he has 20 on the site. So, now the site layout meets all the parking 1007 setbacks, island standards and so forth. So, the staff is satisfied that we can recommend 1008 approval, subject to the standard conditions, and the following conditions No. 23 through 1009 31. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

1010

Mr. Archer - Mr. McGarry, I don't have any right now, but I'd like to hear what the opposition has to offer and then we will see.

1013

1014 Mr. Taylor - Thank you, Mr. McGarry. We will hear from the opposition. Sir, if you would, come on down to the podium and identify yourself for the record. We will be delighted to hear your comments.

- 1018 Mr. Harry Kirby Yes, sir. My name is Harry Kirby and I own the adjoining
- property to it right behind it on Ellis Avenue. My property joins up to it. And the only
- thing I have that I would like to see some type of a privacy fence put on the property line
- back there to keep where my back yard backs up to it. So, I didn't know what was
- planned or anything. I don't know what they plan to do as far as the property line behind
- it. I don't have no problem with the office building at all. I am just thinking about out
- property back just between the two pieces of property I think that if maybe a six foot
- privacy fence was put back there, I think it would be fine. I don't have no other problem.
- 1026
- 1027 Mr. Taylor Thank you, sir. OK. Is the owner of this project here? Would you
- like to respond to that, sir? Perhaps Mr. McGarry can show Mr. Kirby what that will be
- and where it will be.
- 1030
- 1031 Mr. McGarry He has no further opposition.
- 1032
- 1033 Mr. Taylor Is that acceptable, Mr. Kirby? OK. All right. Good. Then, with
- that there is no opposition. I guess we are ready for a motion.
- 1035
- 1036 Mr. Archer Mr. Chairman, the first thing we have to do, I want to thank Mr.
- McGarry for working hard on this yesterday afternoon after I talked to him to get all of
- this resolved. And the first thing we have to do is waive the time limits on the acceptance
- of the revised plan, so I move to waive the time limits.
- 1040
- 1041 Mr. Vanarsdall I second it.
- 1042
- 1043 Mr. Taylor Motion to waive the time limits made by Mr. Archer, seconded by
- Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion
- 1045 passes.
- 1046
- The Planning Commission voted to waive the time limits on the revised plan for POD-10-
- 1048 02, Stylecraft Homes Office, 6225 Lakeside Avenue.
- 1049 Mr. Archer I move approval of POD-10-02, Stylecraft Homes Office, subject
- to the standard conditions for developments of this type and the additional conditions
- 1051 Nos. 23 through 31.
- 1052
- 1053 Mr. Vanarsdall I second that.
- 1054
- 1055 Mr. Taylor Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All
- in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion passes.
- 1057
- 1058 The Planning Commission approved POD-10-02, Stylecraft Homes Office, 6225
- Lakeside Avenue, subject to the revised plan, the standard conditions for developments of
- this type, and the following conditional conditions:
- 1061
- The entrances and drainage facilities on Hilliard Road (State Route 161) shall be
- approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation and the County.

- 1064 24. A notice of completion form, certifying that the requirements of the Virginia 1065 Department of Transportation entrances permit have been completed, shall be 1066 submitted to the Planning Office prior to any occupancy permits being issued.
- The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- 1069 26. Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- 1075 28. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and contracts and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the issuance of a building permit.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Virginia Department of Transportation maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by the contractor and approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- The garage use shall meet the requirements of Section 24-56.1(d) of the County Code and shall not be used for storage of equipment or building materials, or vehicle maintenance or servicing.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

1090 1091

> POD-48-00 Crestview Apartments – Phase 2 – Horsepen Road

E. D. Lewis & Associates, P.C. for New Apartments, L.L.C. & New Recreation, L.L.C.: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code to construct 20, two-story apartment buildings totaling 134 130 units. The 13.55 acre site is located on the northwest corner of Horsepen Road and Catawba Lane on parcels 766-742-8033, 766-741-9079, 767-741-2394 and 3268. The zoning is R-5, General Residence District and R-5C, General Residence District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (**Three Chopt**)

1092

Mr. Marlles - Mr. Chairman, the next case is POD-48-00, Crestview Apartments

- Phase 2 - Horsepen Road. This is the item that was passed over earlier on the

Expedited Agenda. This is a request for approval of a plan of development to construct

20 two-story apartment buildings, totaling 134 units. The 13.5-acre site is located on the

northwest corner of Horsepen Road, and Catawba Lane.

1098

1099 Mr. Vanarsdall - They never did come back, Mr. Secretary.

1100

1101 Mr. Marlles - Mr. Wilhite, can you give us an update on that?

1102

1103 Mr. Wilhite - The applicant did meet with the adjoining property owner and I 1104 believe his questions have been answered, and we are still in a position to recommend 1105 approval with the added conditions on the Addendum.

1106

1107 Mr. Taylor - So there is no standing opposition?

1108

1109 Mr. Wilhite - Not at this time, sir. No, sir.

1110

- 1111 Mr. Taylor Then I will move approval of POD-48-00, Crestview Apartments,
- subject to the standard conditions for development of this site, and Conditions No. 23
- through 33, and 32 and 33 are on Page 7 in the Addendum, and the annotations on the plan.

1115

1116 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

1117

- 1118 Mr. Taylor Motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall to
- approve POD-48-00, Crestview Apartments. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no.
- The ayes have it. The motion is approved.

- 1122 The Planning Commission approved POD-48-00, Crestview Apartments Phase 2 –
- Horsepen Road, subject to the annotations on the plan, the standard conditions for
- developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:
- 1125 23. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.

- The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be ordered from the County and installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.
- The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- 1136 26. The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning cases C-8C-99 and C-9C-99 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and contracts and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the issuance of a building permit.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- The vacation of Betty Lane and Mallory Drive rights-of-way shall be approved by the Board of Supervisors prior to Planning Office approval of any building permits for this development.
- The details of the recreational amenities, as shown on the proffered conceptual plan, shall be submitted as a part of the landscape plan approval.

1158 **SUBDIVISION**

Dumbarton, Section A (May 2002 Plan)

TIMMONS for Dakota Associates, L.L.C.: The 1.825 acre site is located between Irisdale Avenue and Greenway Avenue west of Imapla Drive on parcel 776-745-2953 and part of 776-745-1270. The zoning is R-4, One-Family Residence District. County water and sewer. (**Brookland**) **5 Lots**

1160

1159

1161 Mr. Marlles - The staff report will be given by Mr. Kennedy.

- 1163 <u>Mr. Taylor -</u> Good morning, again, Mr. Kennedy.
- Mr. Kennedy Good morning. Dumbarton, Section A, is a resubdivision of what we
- would call an orphan subdivision in this area, in the general area of Impala Drive, Irisdale

and Greenway. The developer, Dakota Associates, L.L.C., has purchased 73 what we would call orphan lots. Those are lots that were actually platted several years ago, and over 30 years ago, under old standards, and in many cases the roads were not built. The developer is now coming in and redeveloping the roads, bringing in sewer and water. In this case, the lots that he has in this area were over-sized lots for the zoning. The zoning is R-4, so he is re-subdividing some lots. He intends on coming back in with a couple, other sections of the subdivision adjacent to this. But the majority of the 73 lots would be developed under the old plats, with his construction plan review. The reason why we didn't take this on the Expedited Agenda was that we really wanted to give the Planning Commission an understanding of what is going on with these orphan subdivisions. Now that we don't have the R-4 as a zoning category to rezone property; some of the older subdivisions have become more attractive. Developers are coming in and developing them. At the time of development, as in this case, the developer is being required to bring in sewer and water, and do street improvements. But in this case, that is what is happening in this case, and what they are doing is resubdividing lots that are over-sized to bring them back down to the R-4 standards, and that is what occurs here. So, they are creating five new lots. If you have any further questions, I will be happy to answer them.

Mr. Vanarsdall - Thank you.

1186 Mr. Taylor - Any other questions for Mr. Kennedy?

Mr. Vanarsdall - Yes. I just want to make a comment. That is why the BZA has a lot more cases regarding widths of lots because the developers are going everywhere looking for anything. I had a case here, we had a case here that came before us for towing lot, and it was bordering a neighborhood, so I went out to see what we could do, and Joanne Hunter was here then and handled it, and we decided that with a fence and buffering and everything that it would be all right. And I was standing over in this towing lot and looked across the street, and there were two houses being built. They looked like cracker barrel, and I just can't imagine anyone even wanting to build a house there. I can't anyone even wanting to live in it. So, I think this is part of that, too. And what I am concerned about is, you told me it would be 75 lots?

Mr. Kennedy - Approximately 75 lots, sir.

Mr. Vanarsdall - And it is supposed to be all dry. See, we had a local developer look at that two or three years ago, and he backed off on it. He decided it wasn't a place for it, and decided it was too much wetlands, so I don't know how Dakota can do any different. So, who, one concern that I think we should have, who is making the decision whether that, after the house is built, and I buy the house, and it rains, and I am standing in water up to my ankle, who is going to make the decision? Do you all monitor every lot.

Mr. Kennedy - The Design Division of Public Works has made sure that there is positive drainage on each lot. That is one of the reasons, actually, these plans have been under construction review for a little over six months now. And our concern, and actually their concern is the same. That there is positive drainage. That the yards behind each lot drain

- is our standing policy. If there is wetlands on the site, that the yards on the sides and
- front and rear, are out of the wetlands, and that there is positive drainage, even if there is
- wetlands, that there is not standing water on any parcel.

1216 Mr. Vanarsdall - I don't know if they can actually develop 75 lots.

1217

1218 Mr. Kennedy - They don't know if they can actually develop 75 lots. That is true.

1219

- 1220 Mr. Vanarsdall Another question that I have on this one is, No. 12 condition says
- "Each lot shall contain at least 8,000 square feet exclusive of the flood plain areas." Why
- doesn't it say 65 feet, it would have to be 65 feet wide, also?

1223

- Mr. Kennedy Because the lots were shown as 65 feet wide, but just in case there is any
- floodplain that would back up water, we want to make sure each lot has sufficient area.
- That is why that additional condition was placed.

1227

- 1228 Mr. Vanarsdall What I am saying is that you have over here under the Planning
- 1229 Comments, you have "Each lot requires a minimum width of 65-feet and a minimum area
- of 8,000 square feet." Why don't we have 65 feet under condition No. 12 as well as
- 1231 8,000 sq. ft.?

1232

1233 Mr. Kennedy - We can add that as a condition.

1234

1235 Mr. Vanarsdall - I would feel better if you put that in there.

1236

1237 Mr. Kennedy - That would be fine. We could add that as a third condition.

1237

- 1239 Mr. Vanarsdall OK. And, there are a couple of mysteries on this plan. What is a
- 1240 reserve area?

1241

Mr. Kennedy - Those reserved lots are going to be transferred to the adjoining parcels, and he intends on resubdividing them at a later date.

1244

1245 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - And it does not say anything about the Department of Public 1246 Works curb and gutter. It wasn't under what I read.

1247

- 1248 Mr. Kennedy On these lots, there is no requirement for curb and gutter, in order to make
- 1249 drainage work. They are doing street improvements as far as widening the street and
- improving the street, but my understanding is that in order to give positive drainage, they
- are not putting in required curb and gutter.

- 1253 Mr. Vanarsdall Is the applicant here today? I hope you hear our remarks and the
- 1254 concern that I have, and I think the rest of the Commission should have it. It is wetlands
- over in there, and the reason I am saying this is that we've in the past, we've had people
- buy homes in dry weather, so to speak, and then when the wet weather came their
- backyard was like a swimming pool. And so I don't want this to happen over there. I

think we should watch it very closely. I know you are and I appreciate it. I don't think I have any more questions, unless some of the rest of you do.

1261 <u>Mr. Thornton</u> - Mr. Chairman.

1263 Mr. Taylor - Yes.

As a matter of principle, I'd like to add my approbation to the Mr. Thornton comments of Mr. Vanarsdall, because I think this whole question of building homes and the number of homes, multiplicity of homes, is a very fine and good idea. But along with that is the role of the Commission here and staff to be as visionary and as assertive as possible to make sure that the future owners of these homes will have what is expected of them, a good home, which means that we need to look, I think even more astutely at these lots, and see if we can, if possible, obviate creating subdivisions where future homeowners are going to have these problems with flooding and water-logged homes there. It is no reason that that has to be, and I would sure, at least speaking for myself, like to see the Commission here be a little bit more assertive in that regard. What I simply do is the principle that I use is I put myself in that condition of a purchaser. If I buy a home, it is like buying a new car. Sometimes there is a little glitz at the beginning, but then after a year or so, then I see the defects, and I am just simply saying that it is our job to be good stewards and to look at that a little more intently than what we have done in the past, and it is OK if a prospective developer wants to get as many lots as possible, but our one concern is the quality of those homes on the lots, and I hope that that will be taken under advisement by the total Commission here.

Mr. Taylor - Thank you, Mr. Thornton. I completely agree with you in terms of the vision and what we need to enhance the quality, so I will address just a brief question to Mr. Kennedy to ascertain that on this particular project, and every project, we need to do exactly what Mr. Thornton says. Your comments indicate that much of this has already been done. You have checked the drainage, you've checked the site, and you find it acceptable to our, to your high standards.

Mr. Kennedy - Actually, yes, sir. If you look at the location plan that we have that actually shows additional lots, those lots have not come into development in this subdivision and the reason we are only moving forward with the five (5). It is because the developer has to revise a construction plan to come up with a different way of draining that area. Those lots don't currently drain, and the developer is working on a revised plan and trying to work out the wetlands issues with the Army Corps and with the State Division of Conservation and Resources so that they can use those lots, but in the meantime, they are moving forward with these five lots, which they can provide positive drainage. And that is something that has been very clear from Public Works, and from Planning, and I don't think there has been any dispute from the developer with us, and their dispute is more with the Army Corps. They realize that they are developing a lot of houses in this area they have to establish a reputation for. If they start having problems, they are not going to be able to sell the rest of the lots, so in a sense it does help that they're not coming in, you know, and shot-gunning a house and leaving, and not having

to establish a reputation. They realize that they have to do that in order to sell their lots. I don't want to speak for the developer, and he is here.

1306 1307

1308 1309

1310

1311

Mr. Vanarsdall - I would like for him to speak, if he would like to. Mr. Kennedy, I want to thank you for bringing it to our attention. I was concerned with it, and you knew that, and Mr. Chairman, thank you, and Mr. Thornton, I appreciate all of your remarks in that. You see, if we, this is not the developer's responsibility, but to see what we are doing is putting up potential houses for rent, and we don't need any more that I know of; rental houses in the community. That is just a personal opinion there, not a legal one.

13121313

1314 Mr. Taylor - No. I think that is a reasonable and good opinion, and sir if you would identify yourself for the record.

1316

1317 Mr. Holt - Sure. My name is Gil Holt and I represent Dakota Associates, 1318 L.L.C.

1319

1320 Mr. Vanarsdall - I didn't catch your name.

1321

1322 Gil Holt. This particular area we refer to as Lakeside Forest is Mr. Holt -1323 actually a combination of several subdivisions that have been recorded from 1911, 1923, 1324 1952 and 1954. If you look, and we divide this as the eastern part of Impala, on that side 1325 there exists today 37 recorded lots in Henrico County with unimproved streets, and we 1326 are taking those existing plats and running the site development plans through the various 1327 departments to provide positive drainage. Because you are correct. If you go out there 1328 today you have standing water out there, because as Mr. Kennedy referred to the existing 1329 condition, it is because they don't have positive drainage. I think what is being proposed 1330 and what is in front of you today, with these five lots, we worked very closely with the 1331 various departments to provide and correct the situation that exists out there right now. If 1332 you go out there in the middle of one of the monsoon seasons, you do have pooling water 1333 out there. I believe what we are proposing to the Commission and to the various departments is going to correct the situation that exists out there by the design criteria of 1334 1335 TIMMONS and being approved by the various departments in Henrico. And like Mr. 1336 Kennedy shared with you all, we do plan to move further west of the area that is shaded 1337 towards the, I don't want to call it an industrial building, but let's just say to the 1338 commercial building to the west of the site, and we are doing that slowly, to make sure. 1339 Because one of the statements that Public Works would like to see happen in this 1340 particular location is the removal of the existing standing water. What we have is a 1341 situation where the public right of ways drain onto this property, and there is no where 1342 for the water to go, and what we are trying to do is reverse that, where the existing public 1343 right of ways drain into the site are collected into a new system and then go out towards a 1344 new outfall, which runs to the west, down Greenway, and then out to Dumbarton, which 1345 is an area that the County has been working in and has improved, so the water can go out 1346 in that direction. But, the plans that are, that have been submitted, and are in the approval 1347 process, and I believe we are in that last phase meet the criteria that Mr. Kennedy was 1348 sharing with you, that we have positive drainage and, first of all, these are homes for sale, 1349 and we value the concerns that you all have, because the last thing we want to do,

- because we will be in this neighborhood for some time, is to create five homes and then
- turn around and have a situation where we have a problem that has to be corrected, but I
- believe it is fair to state that going through the approval process, once again with the
- various departments, we are correcting a situation that exists today and making an
- improvement on it.

- 1356 Mr. Vanarsdall So you don't have any problem with him adding in Condition No.
- 1357 12 the 65 feet?

1358

1359 Mr. Holt - No, sir.

1360

1361 Mr. Vanarsdall - Thank you, Mr. Holt.

1362

- 1363 Mr. Taylor Mr. Holt, I hear you have been working, I presume, closely with
- the staff, which I believe would be Mr. Perry and his group, as well, do the Corps of
- 1365 Engineers enter into this?

1366

- 1367 Mr. Holt Not on these five lots. No, sir. We are dealing with the DEQ. I
- think it is fair to say that there were, once again as we refer to the west side of Impala and the east side of Impala, we had a strange situation occur. On the west side of Impala, the
- 1370 Army Corps says they have jurisdiction. On the east side of Impala, the Army Corps said
- they do not have jurisdiction and as of October 1, the DEQ had, the revisions for the
- DEQ would be involved whether or not the wetlands were isolated or not. So, in working
- with the DEQ in here as well as the other side, that is all in process. And that is another
- reason why the lots are coming on in a timely fashion. As the approvals are obtained
- from the Army Corps and DEQ, we move those lots into a situation where we can meet
- the criteria that has been established by Public Works.

1377

- 1378 Mr. Taylor And you stated that you are working with Public Works as a matter
- of routine?

1380

- 1381 Mr. Holt Oh, yes, sir. We are working with them as well as the Corps. Yes,
- 1382 sir.

1383

- 1384 Mr. Taylor And I think that Mr. Thornton's comments that we should look
- astutely at this and Mr. Vanarsdall's is right on the mark. Certainly, I don't think any of
- us want to build a house on a lot that is really not fully up to the design standards that we
- need and meet all of the Corps criteria. But I hope that you understand that we have
- certain inspection procedures that we can do, but to a degree we trust in every developer
- 1389 to do the high quality of work that we expect of them, irrespective of the fact that the
- 1390 Corps probably inspects it. Building and grounds probably inspects it, and Public Works
- inspects it, but if we don't have scrupulously competent and diligent developers,
- sometimes the best plans that we have are, and the best indications of drainage are missed
- and left, and certainly we don't want to do that here.

- 1395 Mr. Holt We are working, as Mr. Kennedy shared with you, on a
- 1396 combination of side and rear yard swales, and in some cases we have even gone to a
- paved swale to insure the integrity of that swale. I mean, a dirt-type swale can erode, but
- a paved swale will stay there, and also we are adding the addition of storm drain pipe in
- this particular location, which doesn't exist today.

- 1401 Mr. Taylor On this particular item here, would you accept another condition
- that I would state something like "Developer will coordinate with Public Works to
- evaluate and resolve drainage problems."

1404

1405 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - I think he has already, I hate to interrupt you, but he is already doing that. Don't you think, Mr. Kennedy?

1407

1408 Mr. Kennedy - He is.

1409

1410 Mr. Vanarsdall - I don't have any problems. He is doing everything else.

1411

1412 Mr. Taylor - Thank you, sir.

1413

1414 Mr. Vanarsdall - I appreciate your suggestion, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Holt.

1415

1416 Mr. Holt - You are welcome.

1417

- 1418 Mr. Vanarsdall I move that Dumarton, Section A, be approved with the standard
- 1419 conditions for subdivisions served by public utilities and conditions Nos. 12, 13 and 14,
- and on Condition No. 12 I would like for each lot, I would like for it to read "Each lot
- shall have a minimum of 65 feet width and then contain at least 8,000 sq. ft. exclusive of
- the flood plain area."

1423

- 1424 Mr. Taylor I will second that motion. Motion made by Mr. Vanarsdall and
- seconded by Mr. Taylor . All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion is
- 1426 approved.

1427

- 1428 The Planning Commission granted conditional approval to subdivision Dumbarton,
- 1429 Section A. (May 2002 Plan), subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard
- 1430 conditions for subdivisions served by public utilities and the following additional
- 1431 conditions.

1432

- 1433 12. Each lot shall have a minimum of 65 feet width and contain at least 8,000 sq. ft. exclusive of the flood plain area.
- 1435 13. Any necessary offsite drainage easements must be obtained prior to approval of the construction plan by the Department of Public Works.
- 1437 14. The reserved parcels shall be incorporated into an adjoining parcel or lot prior to the recordation of the final plat.

1439 1440

SUBDIVISION

Herndon Townes (May 2002 Plan)

Foster & Miller, P. C. for Robert G. Herndon, Brenda R. Herndon and Mountain-Woodman, L.L.C.: The 8.039 acre site is located on the southeast corner of Woodman and Mountain Roads on parcels 776-765-5797 and 776-766-2949. The zoning is RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Fairfield) 56 Lots

1442 1443

Mr. Marlles - The staff report will be given by Mr. Kennedy.

1444

1445 <u>Mr. Taylor -</u> Is anybody remaining who is opposed to Herndon Townes 1446 Subdivision? Mr. Kennedy.

1447 1448

1449

1450

1451

1452

1453

Mr. Kennedy - Ladies and gentlemen, this is a 56-townhouse subdivision. The zoning case was recently heard by the Planning Commission to rezone this, so you should be at least reasonably familiar with it. There were a whole bunch of issues that were identified in the Staff/Developer meeting. The applicant has asked for certain exceptions from Public Works, and we just received confirmation that those exceptions were granted only late yesterday, so we have some revised annotations, and I am just going to go over them with you briefly.

1454 1455 1456

1457

14581459

1460 1461

1462

1463

1464 1465

1466

1467

1468 1469

1470

1471

1472

1473

1474

1475

1476

1477

1478

1479

The major issue was the alignment of the entrance with the Mountain Laurel Townhouses across the street. The actual location point is a little bit off on the location plan itself, which is on the screen (referring to slide). This area here (referring to slide) is actually within the right of way, so actually everything shifted over, so the entrance would actually line up with this side of the townhouses here (referring to slide) on the plan. The applicant has agreed to do that. The other request which was originally made by Public Works was that they put in a right turn lane. Given that there are only 56 lots and there isn't a lot of traffic going to be generated by this, Public Works has agreed that to give them a waiver for the right turn lane, and that relieves a lot of other site plan issues, because that would have forced, if they put a right turn lane in, it would have forced reorientation of several buildings, and had an immediate impact. As far as Planning issues, the major Planning issue is that there is a proffer that the y will retain to the extent possible the trees to provide tree preservation and the developer has agreed that in the perimeter area around the property, where trees cannot be maintained, that he would place it in accordance with the development standards, which is equivalent to a 25-foot transitional buffer. So, they have agreed to that, and along Mountain Road they have agreed to maintain it in accordance with a 35-foot transitional buffer, which is, in effect, the fence and the 25-foot. So, there will be a fence along that side. So it will protect the children from getting out into that major thoroughfare. The last thing is that there is written condition that they provide sidewalk along both Mountain and Woodman Road. The sidewalk on Woodman Road would only be required to be provided to the return, and that is because that is where there is a handicap ramp. There is actually no necessity to provide it down Woodman Road along that little stem piece, and they are working with the adjoining property owner to kind of do some exchange to give them frontage and,

- meanwhile, give this property a little more depth. If there are any further questions, I'd
- be happy to answer them.

- 1483 Mr. Taylor Mr. Kennedy, when this came up, I think before at the zoning
- stage, Mr. Atack was, I thought, pretty clear in stating that he would work with, I guess,
- the neighbors on the A-1 parcel and the R-2A parcel, and by virtue of the fact that the
- neighbors are not here today, I make the assumption that they have been, this has been
- discussed with them and they are satisfied. Do you know that to be true?

1488

- 1489 Mr. Kennedy Yes, sir. The orientation of the building is such that they get the greatest
- benefit of the buffer.

1491

1492 <u>Mr. Taylor -</u> OK, so there are no residual neighborhood reservations to this proceeding with this project?

1494

1495 Mr. Kennedy - No, there isn't.

1496

1497 Mr. Taylor - Thank you.

1498

Mr. Archer - Mr. Kennedy, what was to become of that parcel at the corner of Woodman and Mountain, that little triangular piece?

1501

- 1502 Mr. Kennedy That is going to be incorporated within the development actually, so they
- will have, actually, the return to this portion. The object is, hopefully, that when they
- vacate Old Woodman Road, which actually goes through this last townhouse, that they
- work an exchange to make these lots a little bit deeper and give that property a little bit
- more frontage.

1507

1508 Mr. Archer - Will there be any green space left over there?

1509

1510 Mr. Kennedy - There will be green space. There will be at least a 35-foot buffer there.

1511

- 1512 Mr. Archer OK. I don't have any more questions unless somebody else on the
- 1513 Commission does.

1514

1515 Mr. Taylor - I believe, sir, a motion may be in order.

1516

- 1517 Mr. Archer With that, Mr. Chairman, I will move approval of Subdivision
- Herndon Townes (May 2002 Plan), subject to the annotations on the plans, and the
- additional conditions as stated by Mr. Kennedy, the standard conditions for subdivisions
- served by public utilities, as well as the additional conditions No. 13, 14, 15 and 16.

1521

- 1522 Mr. Taylor Second. Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Taylor.
- All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The motion is approved.

- 1525 The Planning Commission approved granted conditional approval to subdivision
- 1526 Herndon Townes (May 2002 Plan), subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard
- conditions for subdivisions served by public utilities and the following additional 1527
- 1528 conditions:

- 1530 13. A County standard sidewalk shall be constructed along the south side of Mountain 1531
- 1532 A County standard sidewalk shall be constructed along the east side of Woodman 14. 1533 Road.
- 1534 15. The proffers approved as part of zoning case C-61C-01 shall be incorporated in this 1535 approval.
- 1536 16. Storm water retention, based on the 50-10 concept, shall be incorporated into the drainage plans. 1537

1538

- Mr. Vanarsdall -1539 Mr. Chairman, I had a correction on the minutes, but they spelled
- 1540 Mr. Stepanian name like it was "Stupanion" like it was stupid, and I couldn't find it in the
- phone book to change it, so we will just leave it like it is. (After the meeting, the 1541
- correct spelling was found and corrected). He owns Loveland Distributors, and his 1542
- 1543 name is Leon Stepanian.

1544

- 1545 I must say, Mr. Vanarsdall, in that discussion we have four pages Mr. Taylor -
- 1546 of text, and that was a very interesting case to reread. Thank you very much for all of
- 1547 your hard work on that case. Any other comments from the Commission?

1548

1549 Are we going to approve the minutes like they are? Mr. Vanarsdall -

1550

1551 Mr. Archer -Do we have the minutes on the agenda?

1552

- 1553 We do. I think that we have minutes. Mr. Taylor -
- 1554 Mr. Marlles -April 24 is what you are approving.

1555

1556 Mr. Archer -I am missing a page. My apologies.

1557

- 1558 Mr. Taylor -I will move approval of the minutes of the Planning Commission
- 1559 of Henrico County for April 24, 2002 meeting. 1560

1562

1561 Mr. Vanarsdall -Second.

- Motion made by Mr. Taylor and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All 1563 Mr. Taylor -
- 1564 in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The minutes are approved.

1565

1566 The Planning Commission approve the minutes for the April 24, 2002, Planning Commission meeting. 1567

- 1569 **DISCUSSION:** Set work session for 5:00 p.m. June 13, 2002, to Discuss Urban Mixed
- Use Ordinance Amendment. 1570

- 1571
- 1572 Mr. Marlles Staff is requesting that the Commission set a work session on June
- 1573 13 at 5:00 p.m. to discuss the proposed Urban Mixed Use Ordinance Amendment. This
- was one of the recommendations that was presented to the Board.

1576 Mr. Vanarsdall - Isn't this a joint work session with the Board?

1577

- 1578 Mr. Marlles Yes, sir. Exactly. Almost a year ago, it is something that last
- week the staff did present the concept to the Board of Supervisors at a work session, and
- they did authorize the staff to move forward with it, so this is the next step in the process.

1581

1582 Mr. Archer - What time is that meeting?

1583

- 1584 Mr. Marlles It is at 5:00 p.m. This is not a joint work session. It is a Planning
- 1585 Commission work session. I was referring to it was a joint session a year ago.

1586

1587 Mr. Vanarsdall - Oh, OK.

1588

1589 <u>Mr. Marlles -</u> I am sorry if I mislead you.

1590

- 1591 Mr. Vanarsdall I heard from the Third Floor that we were going to have a joint
- one. That is the reason I asked.

1593

- 1594 Mr. Marlles That might be a consideration at the public hearing stage, Mr.
- Vanarsdall. I have not heard that yet. But the schedule is for, we'd like to have it at 5:00
- p.m. on June 13, most likely in the County Manager's Conference Room, but we will
- notify you, obviously, before June 13.

1598

1599 Mr. Archer - That is zoning night. Right?

1600

- 1601 Mr. Taylor That is zoning night. Do we know what kind of a zoning agenda
- that is going to be, Mr. Secretary? I understood, from the grapevine, that we have a large
- number of cases that evening.

1604

- 1605 Mr. Marlles I know we have a large number of PODs that were just submitted,
- but I am not sure what the zoning docket looks like.

1607

- 1608 Mr. Taylor All in favor of showing up for the work session in June, signify by
- saying aye.

1610

1611 Mr. Marlles - The only other item is adjournment.

1612

1613 Mr. Taylor - Maybe Mr. Vanarsdall would care to move for adjournment.

- 1615 Mr. Vanarsdall Not yet. We have another unusual, I don't know what happened
- 1616 but I was fortunate enough to get the agenda yesterday. Some of the members didn't.

- 1617 Mr. Archer and Mr. Jernigan and Ms. Ware never did get their agendas nor what
- happened. I brought this up before, and my personal opinion is we wait too late to see
- 1619 who is going to be on it, and we wait, maybe until 4:00 p.m. It seems like to me that you
- 1620 could fax them all by 2:00 p.m. and there is no big problem, because when we come in
- the next day, if somebody has added two more, we just handle them then.

Ms. Ware - Well, I was called and told that it was being faxed to me, but I never received anything, so I think there were some problems.

1625

1626 Mr. Vanarsdall - Mr. Jernigan didn't receive it either.

1627

1628 Mr. Taylor - Well, actually, I think what happened last night was,

1629

- 1630 Mr. Vanarsdall Mr. Taylor came after his. He took the easy way out instead of
- 1631 calling somebody and saying, "Where is my agenda? He came over here and got it
- himself.

1633

- 1634 Mr. Taylor Well, actually, Diana called me and said she was having trouble
- with the fax. I checked my fax machine and I thought my fax machine was working OK,
- which would leave it somewhere between the transmission and reception.

1637

1638 Mr. Vanarsdall - If you keep paper in it, it will work all right, but once it does not have paper, you will not be able to make it work, Mr. Chairman.

1640

- 1641 Mr. Taylor Mr. Vanarsdall, I actually went to the check-off list and tried to
- 1642 figure it out, and I thought that I pretty much had it. I called Diana and the easiest thing
- 1643 for me to do, frankly, was just drive down and get it. But I do know that there was a
- serious problem in the transmission last evening, and what I would suggest, is either a
- back-up machine or an alternate source of machine that can send it out. I will also say,
- with Mr. Vanarsdall, when these come out at 5:00 p.m. the night before, it really is
- difficult to reorganize all of the material, and his point is if we could get those earlier in
- the business day, we would avoid some of the problems that we had last evening. I know
- that Ms. Carver was doing all that she could to get the word out, so it was really a
- technical malfunction, not a staff limitation.

1651

- Mr. Marlles Mr. Chairman, I was not aware it was a problem until this morning
- when Ms. Ware mentioned it to me, but I do intend on bringing it up at our debriefing
- session, which follows this meeting, and I hear the suggestion that perhaps faxing these
- out earlier in the afternoon may be one way to help that problem, and we will certainly
- 1656 discuss that.

1657

- 1658 Mr. Taylor I think that is reasonable. I think if we just get a back-up machine
- in the event that one machine malfunctions, we've got another way to transmit it, and we
- do it early enough in the afternoon so that we have enough time.

1661

1662 Mr. Vanarsdall - Now that the Secretary knows the problem, they can solve it.

1663				
1664	<u>Mr. Taylor -</u>	Thank you, Mr. Vanarsdall.		
1665				
1666	Mr. Vanarsdall -	I don't know who was kind enough to make these brownies. Mr.		
1667	Kennedy.			
1668				
1669	Mr. Taylor -	Actually it wasn't Mr. Kennedy, it was Ms. Kennedy.		
1670	•			
1671	Mr. Vanarsdall -	I think it ought to be entered into the minutes that Ms. Kennedy,		
1672	not the first lady, it u	used to be, but Ms. Kennedy, Michael's wife, baked these for us and I		
1673		to eat them now, and we won't have to go to lunch.		
1674		,		
1675	Mr. Taylor -	I certainly think we should say thank you to Ms. Kennedy, who		
1676		is. So, thank you very much, Ms. Kennedy.		
1677	8			
1678	Mr. Archer -	Mr. Chairman, there being seemingly no further business to bring		
1679		sion, I hereby move for immediate adjournment.		
1680		,,,		
1681	Mr. Jernigan -	Second.		
1682	<u> </u>			
1683	Mr. Taylor -	Motion made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All in		
1684		opposed say no. There being no opposition, this meeting is adjourned		
1685	at 10:22 a.m.	prosect say not there semig no opposition, this meeting is adjourned		
1686	ut 10.22 u.m.			
1687	There being no furth	her business, the Planning Commission adjourned its May 22, 2002,		
1688	meeting at 10:22 a.m	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1689	meeting at 10.22 a.m.	1.		
1690				
1691				
1692		Allen Taylor, P.C., C.P.C. Chairman		
1693		Anon Taylor, T.C., C.I.C. Chairman		
1693				
1695				
1695				
		John D. Morillos, AICD Cognetowy		
1697		John R. Marlles, AICP, Secretary		