1 Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of the County of Henrico, 2 Virginia, held in the Board Room of the County Administration Building in the Government 3 Center at Parham and Hungary Springs Roads, Beginning at 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, November 4 16, 2005.

6 Members Present:	Mr. Ernest B. Vanarsdall, C.P.C., Chairperson (Brookland)
7	Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C., Vice Chairperson (Fairfield)
8	Mr. Tommy Branin (Three Chopt)
9	Mrs. Bonnie-Leigh Jones (Tuckahoe)
10	Mr. E. Ray Jernigan, C.P.C. (Varina)
11	Mr. David A. Kaechele (Three Chopt) Board of Supervisors
12	Representative
13	Mr. Randall R. Silber, Director of Planning, Secretary
14	
15 Others Present:	Mr. David D. O'Kelly, Jr., Assistant Director of Planning
16	Ms. Leslie A. News, CLA, Principal Planner
17	Mr. James P. Strauss, CLA, County Planner
18	Mr. Kevin D. Wilhite, C.P.C., AICP, County Planner
19	Mr. E. J. (Ted) McGarry, III, County Planner
20	Mr. Michael F. Kennedy, County Planner
21	Ms. Christina L. Goggin, AICP, County Planner
22	Mr. Tony Greulich, County Planner
23	Mr. Michael Jennings, Assistant Traffic Engineer
24	Ms. Diana B. Carver, Recording Secretary

25

5

26 Mr. David A. Kaechele, the Board of Supervisors Representative, abstains on all cases 27 unless otherwise noted.

28

29 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - The Planning Commission will now come to order. Good morning, 30 fellow Commissioners and staff members. Good morning, Mr. Kaechele. We have a few 31 cases this morning and I'm going to turn the meeting over to our Secretary, Mr. Silber.

32

33 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, everyone. We have all 34 members of the Planning Commission present this morning. First on the agenda would be 35 consideration of those items that have been requested for deferral. I believe we have at least 36 three. Ms. News, can you tell us about those?

37

38 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Good morning, Ms. News.

39

40 <u>Ms. News</u> - Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. We have 41 three deferrals on the list before you, and received two additional requests for deferral this 42 morning, which I will cover. 43 The first request is on page 2 of agenda and it is located in the Brookland District. This is a 44 transfer of approval for POD-55-75 and POD-46-94, Brookfield Commons. The applicant is 45 requesting a deferral to the January 25, 2006 meeting. 46

47 TRANSFER OF APPROVAL (Deferred from the October 26, 2005 Meeting) 48

POD-55-75 and POD-46-94
Brookfield Commons
Hirschler Fleischer for Direct Invest Ventures, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Chamberlin, L.P. to Direct Invest Ventures, LLC. The 6.822-acre site is located at 6600 W. Broad Street (U. S. Route 250) at the northeast corner of the intersection of W. Broad Street and Dickens Road on parcel 768-743-7194 The zoning is O-3, Office District. County water and sewer. (Brookland)

49

50 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to the deferral of POD-55-51 75 and POD-46-94, Brookfield Commons, in the Brookland District? No opposition. I move 52 that POD-55-75 and POD-46-94, Brookfield Commons, be deferred to January 25, 2006, at 53 the applicant's request.

54

55 Mr. Archer - Second.

56

57 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - The motion was made by Mr. Vanarsdall and seconded by Mr. Archer. 58 All in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes.

59

60 At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred the transfer of approval 61 request for POD-55-75 and POD-46-94 Brookfield Commons, to its January 25, 2006 meeting. 62

63 <u>Ms. News</u> - The next request is on page 5 in your agenda and this is located in the 64 Varina District – is the landscape plan LP/POD-34-05, The Village @Osborne – Zero Lot Line 65 Dwellings. The applicant has requested a deferral to the December 14, 2005 meeting. 66

67 LANDSCAPE PLAN (Deferred from the October 26 2005, Meeting)

68

LP/POD-34-05 The Village @ Osborne – Zero Lot Line Dwellings Osborne Turnpike Section 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 41.758-acre site is located at 7101 Osborne Turnpike, approximately 4,500 feet north of Burning Tree Road on parcels 802-696-9269 and 803-696-6866. The zoning is R-5AC, General Residence District (Conditional) (Varina)

69

72 Mr. Jernigan - Mr. Chairman, I move for deferral of landscape plan LP/POD-34-05,

^{70 &}lt;u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to the deferment of 71 LP/POD-34-05, The Village @ Osborne, to the December 14 meeting? No opposition.

73 The Village @ Osborne, to December 14, 2005, by request of the Applicant.

74

75 <u>Mr. Archer</u> - I second, Mr. Chairman.

76

77 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - The motion was made by Mr. Jernigan and seconded by Mr. Archer. 78 All in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes.

79

80 At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred the landscape plan for 81 LP/POD-34-05, The Village @ Osborne, to the December 14, 2005, meeting.

82

83 <u>Ms. News</u> - The next request is on page 20 in your agenda and this is located in the 84 Varina District - subdivision Hoke Brady Farms (October 2005 Plan) for 43 lots. The applicant 85 has requested a deferral to the December 14, 2005 meeting.

86

87 SUBDIVISION

88

Hoke Brady Farms
 (October 2005 Plan)
 Kingland Road
 Engineering Design Associates for Charlie H. Purks, Sr. and D. P. Purks Trust and G & G Limited: The 126.30-acre site proposed for a subdivision of 43 single-family homes is located on the north line of Kingsland Road between Varina Road and the U.S. Park Service property on parcel 812-674-1758 The zoning is A-1, Agricultural District. Individual well and septic tank/drainfield. (Varina) 43 Lots

89

90 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to the deferral of 91 subdivision Hoke Brady Farms (October 2005 Plan) in the Varina District? No opposition.

92

93 <u>Mr. Jernigan</u> - Mr. Chairman, I move for deferral of subdivision Hoke Brady Farms 94 (October 2005 Plan) to December 14, 2005, by request of the applicant.

95

96 <u>Mr. Archer</u> - Second.

97

98 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - The motion was made by Mr. Jernigan and seconded by Mr. Archer. 99 All in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes.

100

101 At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred subdivision Hoke Brady 102 Farms (October 2005 Plan), to its December 14, 2005 meeting.

103

104 <u>Ms. News</u> - This morning we received a request for a deferral for POD-24-04, 105 Hickory Corner Office Park, on page 10 in your agenda, located in the Three Chopt District. 106 The applicant is requesting deferral to the December 14, 2005 meeting.

107 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT RECONSIDERATION (Deferred from the October 26, 2005 108 Meeting)

109

POD-24-04
Hickory Corner Office Park 5310 – 5398 Twin Hickory
Road
D. Neil Rankins for Hickory Corner, L.C.: Request for approval of a reconsideration of a plan of development as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, for approval of revisions to site improvements, architecturals and HVAC screening for a previously approved office park. The 5.135-acre site is located on the east line of Twin Hickory Road, approximately 170 feet north of Nuckols Road on parcel 747-773-1506. The zoning is O-2C, Office District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

110

111 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to the deferral of POD-24-112 04, Hickory Corner Office Park in the Three Chopt District? No opposition.

113

114 <u>Mr. Branin</u> - Mr. Chairman, I move that POD-24-04, Hickory Corner Office Park, be 115 deferred to the December 14 meeting, per the applicant's request.

116

117 Mr. Jernigan - Second.

118

119 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - The motion was made by Mr. Branin and seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All 120 in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes.

121

122 At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred POD-24-04, Hickory 123 Corner Office Park, to its December 14, 2005 meeting.

124

125 <u>Ms. News</u> - The next request is on page 19 in your agenda and located in the 126 Fairfield District - subdivision Michael's Way (November 2005 Plan). The applicant has 127 requested a deferral to the December 14, 2005 meeting.

128

129 SUBDIVISION

130

Michael's Way
(November 2005 Plan)McKinney & Company for Mindy Properties, LLC: The
10.73-acre site proposed for a subdivision of 18 single-family
homes is located 350 feet east of the intersection of Madge
Lane and Caddie Lane on parcel 804-724-9640. The zoning is
R-4, One-Family Residence District. County water and sewer.
(Fairfield) 18 Lots

131

132 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to the deferral of
 133 subdivision Michael's Way (November 2005 Plan) in the Fairfield District? No opposition.
 134 Mr. Archer.

135

136 <u>Mr. Archer</u> - Mr. Chairman, I move for deferral of subdivision case Michael's Way

137 (November 2005 Plan) to December 14, 2005, by request of the applicant. 138 Second. 139 Mr. Jernigan -140 141 Mr. Vanarsdall -The motion was made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Jernigan. 142 All in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes. 143 144 At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred subdivision Michael's Way 145 (November 2005 Plan), to its December 14, 2005 meeting. 146 147 Ms. News -That's all of the requests for deferral that staff is aware of. 148 Thank you, Ms. News. 149 Mr. Vanarsdall -150 If there are no other deferrals, we will move on to the Expedited 151 Mr. Silber -

152 Agenda. We have a number of items on the Expedited Agenda. What this is, items have been 153 placed on this consent agenda, if you will, that allows for the Planning Commission to take 154 action without hearing these cases. These are plans that have been reviewed by staff, there are 155 no outstanding issues, the applicant is agreeable to the conditions that have been recommended 156 by staff and the Commissioner from that district has no outstanding issues for those plans. So, 157 they are placed on the Expedited Agenda so that we can hear the cases without a hearing. I 158 believe we have three on the Expedited Agenda.

159

160 <u>Ms. News</u> - That's correct, Mr. Secretary. First on page 3 in your agenda and 161 located in the Three Chopt District is a transfer of approval POD-98-73, Tyler Building 162 (Formerly the Koger Executive Center) and they are recommending approval.

163

164 TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

165

POD-98-73 Tyler Building (Formerly Koger Executive Center Block "C") 1603 Santa Rosa Road **Bruce E. Mason for Tyler Investors, LLC:** Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Koger Properties, Inc. and Forest Park Associates, LLC to Tyler Investors, LLC. The 2.024-acre site is located on the east side of Santa Rosa Road, approximately 275 feet northeast of the intersection of Franklin Farm Drive and Santa Rosa Road in the Koger Office Center on parcel 758-744-8860. The zoning is O-2, Office District. County water and sewer. (**Three Chopt**)

166

167 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to the transfer of approval 168 request for POD-98-73, Tyler Building (Formerly Koger Executive Center), in the Three 169 Chopt District? No opposition. Mr. Branin.

Mr. Chairman, I move that the transfer of approval POD-98-73, Tyler 170 Mr. Branin -171 Building on the Expedited Agenda be approved.

172

173 Mr. Archer -Second.

174

The motion was made by Mr. Branin and seconded by Mr. Archer. All 175 Mr. Vanarsdall -176 in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes.

177

178 The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-98-73, Tyler 179 Building (Formerly Koger Executive Center Block "C") subject to the new owners accepting 180 and agreeing to be responsible for continued compliance with the conditions for the original 181 POD approval.

182

Next on page 18 of your agenda, located in the Varina District, is 183 Ms. News -184 subdivision Rocketts Landing Phase 1 (November 2005 Plan). This is a subdivision of 42 185 residential townhouse lots and nine parcels for future development.

186

187 SUBDIVISION

188

50							
Rocketts Landing Phase I	Shadrach &	Neal,	Inc.	for	Central	Virgi	inia
(November 2005 Plan)	Investments/Ro	cketts La	nding	LLC:	The 2.43	-acre	site
Osborne Turnpike	proposed for a su	ubdivision	of 42 re	sidentia	al townhous	ses for s	sale
	and 9 parcels for	future dev	velopme	nt is loo	cated on Ol	d Osbo	orne
	Turnpike at the (County line	e, 150 fe	et soutl	h of Orlean	s Street	t on
	parcels 797-712-	3780, 797	-713-55	42 and	8451. The	zoning	g is
	UMUC, Urban	Mixed U	Jse Dis	trict (Conditional). Cou	inty
	water and sewer.	(Varina)	42 Lot	S			

189

190 Mr. Vanarsdall -Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to Rocketts Landing, Phase 191 I (November 2005 Plan), in the Varina District? No opposition. Mr. Archer. 192

193 Mr. Archer -Mr. Chairman, I move approval of Rocketts Landing, Phase 1 194 (November 2005 Plan) subject to the annotations on the plan, the standard conditions for 195 subdivisions served by public utilities and additional conditions Nos. 13, 14 and 15.

196 197 Mrs. Jones -

198

Second.

199 Mr. Vanarsdall -The motion was made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mrs. Jones. All 200 in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes. 201

202 Mr. Jernigan -Mr. Chairman, I abstain.

203

204 Mr. Vanarsdall -So noted. Thank you. 205 The Planning Commission granted conditional approval to subdivision Rocketts Landing, 206 Phase 1 (November 2005 Plan) subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions 207 attached to these minutes for subdivisions served by public utilities, and the following 208 additional conditions. Mr. Jernigan abstained.

209

The limits and elevation of the 100-year frequency flood shall be conspicuously noted on
the plat and construction plans and labeled "Limits of 100-year floodplain." Dedicate
floodplain as a "Variable Width Drainage & Utilities Easement."

213 14. The proffers approved as part of zoning cases P-14-04 and C-55C-04 shall be incorporated in this approval.

- The final plat shall contain a statement that this subdivision is on an abandoned industrial
 site. The wording shall be approved by the Department of Planning Staff and the County
 Attorney, and shall be conspicuously on the face of the plat.
- 218

219 <u>Ms. News</u> - The final request is on page 23 in your agenda and located in the Varina 220 District. This is subdivision Seven Pines Villa (November 2005 Plan) for five lots. There is an 221 addendum item on page 4 of your addendum adding two additional conditions to the approval. 222 The first addition, No. 14, requires the applicant to show that a dwelling could be situated on 223 the lots to confirm the buildable area of lot 1. And, No. 15 which requires that the site be 224 noted on the construction plans that it is within the core are of the Seven Pines Civil War 225 Battlefield and which details requirements in the event that any graves are located during 226 construction.

227

228 SUBDIVISION

229

Seven Pines Villa	Engineering Design Associates for James L. and Evelyn
(November 2005 Plan)	Cannon and Beers & White Inc.: The 2.579-acre site
Howard Street	proposed for a subdivision of 5 single-family homes is located
	along the south line of Howard Street, approximately 170 feet
	east of Seven Pines Avenue on parcels 831-716-1119 and 830-
	716-9014. The zoning is R-4, One-Family Residence District.
	County water and sewer. (Varina) 5 Lots

230

Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to subdivision Seven Pines 231 Mr. Vanarsdall -232 Villa (November 2005 Plan) in the Varina District? No opposition. Mr. Jernigan. 233 Ms. News, is the applicant aware of those two additional conditions? 234 Mr. Silber -235 236 Ms. News -I believe they are. They submitted a request for Expedited Approval. 237 Expedited Approval with the understanding of those two additional 238 Mr. Silber -239 conditions. 240 241 Ms. News -The applicant is indicating that they are in agreement. 242

243 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - Okay. 244

245 <u>Mr. Jernigan</u> - Mr. Chairman, with that I move for approval of Seven Pines Villa 246 (November 2005 Plan) subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for 247 subdivisions served by public utilities and additional conditions Nos. 12 and 13 and Nos. 14 248 and 15 added on the addendum.

249

250 Mr. Archer - Second.

251

252 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - The motion was made by Mr. Jernigan and seconded by Mr. Archer. 253 All in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion carries.

254

255 The Planning Commission granted conditional approval to subdivision Seven Pines Villa 256 (November 2005 Plan) subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached 257 to these minutes for subdivisions served by public utilities, and the following additional 258 conditions:

259

260 12. The limits and elevation of the 100-year frequency flood shall be conspicuously noted on
the plat and construction plans and labeled "Limits of 100-year floodplain." Dedicate
floodplain as a "Variable Width Drainage & Utilities Easement."

263 13. Each lot shall contain at least 8,000 square feet exclusive of flood plain areas.

Prior to requesting final approval, the engineer shall furnish the Department of Planning
Staff a plan showing a dwelling situated on Lot 1 to determine if the lot design is adequate
to meet the requirements of Chapter 24, of the Henrico County Code.

Any found cemetery, burial ground, or graveyard shall be platted as a cemetery lot with either public street frontage or an access easement 16 feet in width. The following note shall be added to the construction plans: NOTICE: The subject property is located within the core area of the Seven Pines Civil War Battlefield Area. Any graves identified during construction activities shall be left undisturbed, unless reburial of the remains is approved in accordance with applicable laws.

273

274 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Thank you, Ms. News.

276 Ms. News - You're welcome.

277

275

278 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - Now moving back to the top of the agenda on page 1, next would be 279 consideration of extension of conditional approvals. All of them on the agenda this morning 280 can be handled administratively and do not require Planning Commission approval on any of 281 these five extensions. We list them for Planning Commission information. If you want any 282 information on these, staff is prepared to provide that.

283 SUBDIVISION EXTENSIONS OF CONDITIONAL APPROVAL:

284

285 FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

286

Subdivision	Magisterial	Original	Remaining	Previous	Year(s)
	District	No. of	Lots	Extensions	Extended
		Lots			Recom-
					mended
Cedar Run	Fairfield	132	91	1	1 Year
(November 2003 Plan)					11/15/06
Kain Estates	Three	96	96	0	1 Year
(September 2004 Plan)	Chopt				11/15/06
Kingsridge	Varina	164	117	1	1 Year
(October 2003 Plan)					11/15/06
Purcell Manor	Brookland	3	3	1	1 Year
(November 2003 Plan)					11/15/06
River Mill Estates	Brookland	12	12	0	1 Year
(October 2004 Plan)					11/15/06

287

288 Mr. Vanarsdall - All right. Good morning, Ms. Goggin.

289

290 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - Ms. Goggin, is there anything you need to inform the Commission on 291 these five subdivisions?

292

293 <u>Ms. Goggin</u> -Good morning. No, sir, I'm just here to answer any questions they may294 have.

295

296 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - There are no questions on those so they will be accepted and moved 297 forward. We will now start into our regular agenda and the first case is on page 4, an 298 alternative fence height which was deferred from the October 26 meeting, the Adamson 299 Residence on River Road.

300

301 ALTERNATIVE FENCE HEIGHT PLAN (Deferred from the October 26, 2005, Meeting) 302

Adamson Residence -
River RoadWilliam H. Spell for John and Katherine Adamson: Request
for approval of an alternative fence height plan to permit a
brick serpentine wall in excess of 42 inches in height in the
front yard, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-
95(1)7 of the Henrico County Code. The 2.295-acre site is
located at 9301 River Road on parcel 744-735-2788. The
zoning is R-1, One-Family Residence District. (Tuckahoe)

303

304 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to this case, Adamson 305 Residence, serpentine wall, in the Tuckahoe District? Are you in opposition?

306 <u>Mr. McKinney</u> - We've got it worked out, Mr. Vanarsdall, as far as I know.

307

308 <u>Mr. Strauss</u> - For the record, that was Mr. Moe McKinney. Thank you, Mr. 309 Chairman. This case was deferred last month in order to allow the applicant Mr. Adamson 310 time to schedule a meeting with his neighbor to discuss the height of the wall. This meeting 311 was held and staff did discuss the proposed wall design with the applicant's landscape 312 architect, Mr. Bill Spell, and he was also present at the meeting. There was some opposition 313 about the column height at either side of the entrance. It is my understanding, that after 314 discussions this morning, there is a compromise that has been reached. The applicant is 315 willing to adjust the height of the column to eight feet instead of the nine feet that was 316 proposed. So, at this time staff is recommending approval of the plan that was submitted for 317 approval last month with the note about the "right-of-way." And, in addition, we would like 318 to annotate the plan that the columns would be a total of eight feet in height. If you have 319 additional questions, I'm here to answer them and Mr. Bill Spell is also here. Thank you.

320

321 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Are there any questions for Mr. Strauss by Commission members? 322

323 <u>Mrs. Jones</u> - All right. Thank you, gentlemen. Mr. Strauss, let me make sure that I 324 understand this. The right-of-way notation will be removed from the plan.

325

326 Mr. Strauss - Right.

327

328 <u>Mrs. Jones</u> - And there will be an additional annotation on the plan indicating the 329 height of the columns will be eight feet.

330

331 Mr. Strauss - Yes.

332

333 <u>Mrs. Jones</u> - With that, I move for approval of alternative fence height plan for John 334 and Katherine Adamson with the annotations on the plan and the additional eight-foot-column 335 height annotation and the removal of the right-of-way annotation.

336

337 Mr. Jernigan - Second.

338

339 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - The motion was made by Mrs. Jones and seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All
340 in favor say aye...all oppose say nay. The motion passes. Thank you for your input, Mr.
341 McKinney. I see an old face there, Mr. Bill Spell, I don't mean O L D, I mean O L E.
342

343 The Planning Commission approved the alternative fence height plan for the Adamson 344 Residence subject to the annotations on the plan and the standard conditions for landscape 345 plans.

346 SUBDIVISION & EXCEPTION (Deferred from the October 26, 2005, Meeting)

347

Sweetbay Hill Foster & Miller, P.C. for William C. & Edith B. (October 2005 Plan) Schermerhorn Charitable Remainder Unitrust and JEB Stuart Parkway Sweetbay Development, Inc.: Request for approval of a conditional subdivision and exception pursuant to Sections 19-4(c) and 19-112 of the Henrico County Code for creation of a block greater than 1,320 feet in length between intersecting streets. The 95.316-acre site is located adjacent to Magnolia Ridge subdivision, approximately 1500 feet northwest of the intersection of Magnolia Ridge Drive and unimproved J.E.B. Stuart Parkway on parcels 781-773-3186, 780-773-3673 and 2718 and 780-772-9071. The zoning is R-2AC, One-Family Residence District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Fairfield) 144 Lots

348

349 Mr. Silber -
350 conditions.There is an addendum item associated with this case that includes revised

351

352 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to Sweetbay Hill (October 353 2005 Plan) in the Fairfield District? No opposition. Mr. McGarry.

354

355 <u>Mr. McGarry</u> - Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. A revised 356 plan has been handed out to you. You will be taking action on that plan. You will need three 357 motions today on this case. The first is to waive the time limits on the plan. The second is for 358 the exception and third would be for the approval of the subdivision. There are three issues 359 that staff thinks have been worked out. We will cover the first one. The Department of Public 360 Works is in agreement with the developer regarding the specific improvements to Woodman 361 Road/JEB Stuart Parkway and the Grenville Lane connection. That will provide access to the 362 subdivision. Then a financial guarantee for completeness of the JEB Stuart Parkway portion 363 over to Brook Road has also been worked out with the Director of Public Works. Staff can 364 recommend approval of 144 lots.

365

366 Secondly, the developer proposes a 24-foot all weather emergency access road between Kings 367 Tree Drive and Queens Tree Drive within the Virginia Power easement which abuts lots 17 368 and 30. This is in lieu of a road connection. Staff is willing to accept that in place of the 369 actual constructed road.

370

371 Third, walking trails will be provided to connect Tuliptree Court to Queens Tree Drive and a 372 trail along the Chickahominy River. There is also a tot lot on Kings Tree Drive. Construction 373 details for all these items will be shown on the construction plans. Staff can recommend 374 approval of the 144-lot subdivision subject to the standard conditions, plus conditions Nos. 12 375 though 20 with No. 19 revised, No. 20 is a replacement condition on your addendum where it 376 specifies the requirements for the construction plans to show the tot lot and the trails. Number 377 21 references the emergency access drive standard and No. 22 requires that Virginia Power be

378 in agreement with the emergency access drive. I'll be happy to answer any questions. 379 So, Mr. McGarry, we've got to do the time limits and the exception? 380 Mr. Archer -381 382 Mr. McGarry -Yes, sir, and the subdivision approval...three motions. 383 384 Mr. Vanarsdall -What's the date on these, today? 385 Sweetbay Hill came in Monday, November 14. So, it came in after the 386 Mr. McGarry -387 deadline of the previous week. 388 Mr. McGarry, the all weather emergency access would that run, I guess, 389 Mr. Silber -390 north of lot 17 and 30? Is that going to be entirely within the Virginia Power easement? 391 392 Mr. McGarry -That's correct. 393 394 Mr. Silber -And with that access we there is still a need for the exception on the lot 395 length? 396 397 Mr. McGarry -I think so. 398 399 Mr. Silber -How will the access be noted? If that road going to be used for 400 emergency purposes, is it going to be blocked off, or is going to be.... 401 There will be bollards at each end, it will be paved, perhaps signage will 402 Mr. McGarry -403 also be appropriate to include with the construction plans. The details will be in the 404 construction plans. 405 406 Mr. Silber -The bollards are removable bollards? 407 I suspect they would be. That's a detail that could be addressed with the 408 Mr. McGarry -409 construction plan. 410 The plan says six-inch removable bollards. 411 Mr. Silber -412 413 Mr. McGarry -Okay. 414 415 Mr. Silber -I guess my point is that this is a little bit out of the ordinary that we 416 would consider this form of shortening the length between connecting streets. I think it is a 417 technique that may work fine but I'm just concerned about how this emergency access 418 connection between these two roads will actually function. If it's going to be blocked off and 419 you won't know that it is really there then it's not going to serve its purpose. If designed 420 properly then I think it will work. So, I don't know to what extent we have information on 421 how that connection will be provided.

422

423 <u>Mr. Archer</u> - Mr. Secretary, do you think we need to improve the language at this 424 point, or do you think it can be worked out administratively?

425

426 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - I think we can work it out administratively. We might want to have the 427 applicant come up and provide us with some details as to how that might be provided. That's 428 just a concern that I have. I want to make sure that when it is finished and constructed that it 429 actually serves its purpose.

430

431 Mr. Vanarsdall - The applicant is here, if you want to speak to him.

432

433 <u>Mr. Theobald</u> - Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission, my name is Jim 434 Theobald, attorney for Atack Properties. The bollard emergency design was discussed with 435 Mr. Thompson and Mr. Jennings and is designed to be most likely a gravel type surface. With 436 removable bollards they just lift up for the Fire Department, that way pedestrians and bikes 437 and whatnot can continue to use it as a part of the walking trails. But, that's how essentially it 438 would function. And while I'm up here, Mr. Archer, I would ask that you amend condition 439 No. 20 in the sentence where it says: The common area shall be an amenity... paved walking 440 trials in the common area. We had discussed with Mr. O'Kelly and Mr. McGarry that some of 441 these trails border or may actually be over some wetlands in order to connect the trails and so 442 we are able to do a boardwalk type of construction for those trails. So, I believe it would be 443 more accurate to say, "paved walking trails and/or boardwalks in the common area" so that we 444 won't have a condition to require us to pave in wetlands which obviously would not be 445 allowed. I'll be happy to answer any other questions.

446

447 <u>Mr. Archer</u> - Is that satisfactory language to you, Mr. Secretary?

448

449 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - I think so. What if Virginia Power says that they will not accept this in 450 their right-of-way or their easement?

451

452 <u>Mr. Theobald</u> - Well, I suppose they could do that. We've not had that experience in the 453 past. I don't think that we are breaking any new ground here. We have the right to use the 454 surface area of that easement as long as we don't interfere with their lines. We can't do 455 basketball courts with hoops and whatnot, but we do have the right to drive over it obviously 456 with roads etc. So, I don't know why it would be any different than any other road crossing 457 the easement.

458	
459 <u>Mr. Silber</u> -	Okay. Thank you.
460	
461 <u>Mr. Archer</u> -	Mr. Theobald, how do you want to word that, paved walking trails
462 and/or	
463	
464 Mr. Theobald -	Boardwalks would be my suggested language.
465	
466 Mr. Archer -	Boardwalks, that's the word I forgot. Okay.
467	

All right. Are there any more questions by Commission members? Mr. 468 Mr. Vanarsdall -469 Archer. 470 Well, Mr. Chairman, first I will move to waive the time limits on the 471 Mr. Archer -472 plan. 473 Second. 474 Mr. Jernigan -475 476 Mr. Vanarsdall -The motion was made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Jernigan. 477 All in favor say ave...all opposed say nay. The motion passes. 478 479 The Planning Commission waived the time limits to accept this plan after the deadline date. 480 481 Mr. Archer -And second, I will move to grant the necessary exception. 482 Second. 483 Mr. Jernigan -484 485 Mr. Vanarsdall -The motion was made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Jernigan. 486 All in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes. 487 488 The Planning Commission approved the exception to create a block greater than 1,320 feet in 489 length between intersecting streets for subdivision Sweetbay Hill (October 2005 Plan) 490 Okay and then I will move to approve Sweetbay Hill, JEB Stuart 491 Mr. Archer -492 Parkway subject to the annotations on the plan, the standard conditions for subdivisions, 493 conditions Nos. 12 through 20 on the agenda and the revised No. 19 and replacement of No. 494 20 which adds the language "paved walking trails and/or wooden boardwalks" and the added 495 additional conditions Nos. 21 and 22. 496 497 Mr. Jernigan -Second. 498 499 Mr. Vanarsdall -The motion was made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Jernigan. 500 All in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes. 501 502 The Planning Commission granted conditional approval for subdivision Sweetbay Hill (October 503 2005 Plan) subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for subdivisions 504 served by public utilities, and the following additional conditions: 505 506 12. A County standard sidewalk shall be constructed along the north side of Woodman Road and one side of all non cul-de-sac streets. 507 The proffers approved as part of zoning case C-6C-05 shall be incorporated in this 508 13. 509 approval. The limits and elevation of the 100-year frequency flood shall be conspicuously noted on 510 14. the plat and construction plans and labeled "Limits of 100-year floodplain." Dedicate 511 floodplain as a "Variable Width Drainage & Utilities Easement." 512 November 16, 2005 -14513 15. Prior to requesting recordation, the developer shall furnish a letter from Dominion 514 Virginia Power stating that this proposed development does not conflict with its facilities.

- 515 16. The detailed plant list and specifications for the landscaping to be provided within the 516 20-foot-wide planting strip easement along Woodman Road shall be submitted to the 517 Department of Planning for review and approval prior to recordation of the plat.
- 518 17. Prior to requesting the final approval, a draft of the covenants and deed restrictions for 519 the maintenance of the common area by a homeowners association shall be submitted to 520 the Department of Planning for review. Such covenants and restrictions shall be in form 521 and substance satisfactory to the County Attorney and shall be recorded prior to 522 recordation of the subdivision plat.
- 523 18. Prior to final approval, the developer shall petition the Board to initiate the process to 524 abandon and offer for sale the portion of Woodman Road within this Development not 525 needed for access.
- Access shall be provided by Woodman Road/ JEB Stuart Parkway and Grenville Road. The Developer will build ¹/₂ Woodman Road/JEB Stuart Parkway and then 1/2 Grenville Road plus a 12-foot lane in the opposite direction on Grenvile Road. A financial contribution will be escrowed for the remainder of JEB Stuart Parkway, the amount of which will be approved by the Director of Public Works, or development shall be limited to 56 lots on a single point of access.
- 532 20. The common area shall be an amenity to the neighborhood by including a tot lot on the 533 east side of Kings Tree Drive; paved walking trails and/or wooden boardwalk in the 534 common area connecting Tuliptree Court and Kings Tree Drive; and a trail along the 535 Chickahominy River. Construction details for these items shall be shown on the 536 construction plans for review and approval by the Director of Planning.
- An all weather emergency access drive connecting Kings Tree Drive and Queens Tree
 Drive within the Dominion Virginia Power easement abutting lots 17 and 30 shall be
 provided to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works prior to construction plan
 approval.
- 541 22. The developer shall furnish a letter from Dominion Virginia Power stating that the 542 proposed development, including roads, the emergency access drive, and the walking 543 trails does not conflict with its facilities, prior to approval of construction plans.
- 544

545 <u>Mr. Theobald</u> - Mr. Chairman, I would like to thank Mr. McGarry and Mr. O'Kelly as 546 well as Mr. Jennings and Mr. Thompson. A week ago this matter was not as tidy as it is today 547 and they worked very hard with us and we appreciate it.

548

549 <u>Mr. Archer</u> - Thank you, Mr. McGarry.

550

Thank you, with webarry.

551 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Thank you, Mr. Theobald.

553

Townsend (November 2005 Plan) Foster & Miller, P.C. for Dominion Land & Development Partnership: The 14.2-acre site proposed for a subdivision of 32 single-family homes on zero lot lines is located on the western side of Francistown Road abutting the south side of Echo Lake Elementary School on parcels 759-767-5161, 2638, 6934 and 6516 and 758-767-8413. The zoning is R-5AC, General Residence District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Brookland) 32 33 Lots

554

555 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to Townsend (November 556 2005 Plan) in the Brookland District? No opposition. Mr. McGarry.

557

A revised plan is being handed out. These were submitted in time so there 558 Mr. McGarry -559 is no waiver of time limit required. Your approval will be for 33 lots on this revised plan. The 560 original plan in the packet contained 32 lots, 33 is authorized under the proffers, that's the 561 maximum. The three issues that staff, actually there were four, and I'll start with the three that 562 were on the original staff plan as annotations. We believe that they all have been successfully 563 worked out. The annotation requires that the common areas provide the details for the trails in 564 the construction plans. The second annotation, there will be a need for two of the lots on this 565 revised plan, lots 21 and 22, will need to be tweaked to provide the 80 feet of lot width that's 566 required by the proffers that say that 80 percent of all of the lots have to have 80 feet of lot 567 width. Three, staff is still recommending a Transitional Buffer 10 abutting lot No. 32 and then 568 last on the revised plan, we found that there is a need for a 25-foot front yard setback as 569 measured from the access drive which is a proffer No. 6 requirement. It is not shown that way 570 but the plan has been annotated to that end. With that, staff will be happy to recommend 571 approval subject to the standard conditions for public utilities, additional conditions Nos. 12 to 16 572 and of course referencing the annotations on the plans.

573

574 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Are there any questions by Commission members?

575

576 <u>Mrs. Jones</u> - I have a question. Could you touch again on the fact that this was 577 proffered for a 32-lot subdivision?

578

579 <u>Mr. McGarry</u> - No, it's 33. His original plan was for 32, he has now sent in a revised 580 plan for 33 and the proffers allow up to 33. So, this is permitted.

581

582 Mrs. Jones - I see.

583 584 <u>Mr. Jernigan</u> -

585

586 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - I apologize to the rest of the Commissioners for getting this this morning.
 587 I was a little surprised myself. I'm glad we have it.
 588

I misunderstood that. I thought you said 32.

589 Mr. McGarry -We spent a lot of work getting this to this point this morning. 590 Thank you for the clarification. 591 Mrs. Jones -592 593 Mr. Vanarsdall -Are there any other questions? 594 595 Mr. Silber -I have a question, and perhaps this can be best answered by the applicant, 596 but, Mr. McGarry, on lot 17, this is a zero lot line development, and homes must be placed on 597 one of the side property lines. Lot 17 doesn't show it that way. In fact, if they put it on that 598 southern property line, which I assume that's where they want to put it, there's a potential 599 conflict with the wetlands location. Perhaps the applicant could address where they would situate 600 the home on that lot. 601 602 Mr. Vanarsdall -What did you say is wrong with it? 603 604 Mr. Silber -On lot 17, it is showing a buildable area in the middle of the lot. All 605 buildable areas or all houses must be placed on one of the two side lot lines. If they place it on 606 that southern lot line, Mr. Vanarsdall, then it's right up against the wetlands, which is in 607 violation of the wetlands requirements. 608 609 Mr. Vanarsdall -Okay. How can we fix that now? 610 611 Mr. Silber -Maybe the applicant can address that. 612 613 Mr. Vanarsdall -You heard what Mr. Silber is asking. 614 615 Mr. Wright -Mr. Commissioner, members of the Commission, my name is Gibson 616 Wright with Dominion Land Development. If I'm not mistaken I believe that those wetlands are 617 to be impacted. During the course of this process Public Works had changed the policy with 618 respect to buffering along, what they call environmental ditches or what have you, that in the 619 past, the Corps, they want them piped and then I guess they would allow them to remain and now 620 they are requiring buffers along those ditches which severely impacts our lots. So, we have 621 decided to go in and impact those by piping that ditch through there and filling it and that would 622 allow us to get those lots. So, I'm pretty sure that is the case, Randy. 623 All right. Mr. Wright, I am raising this as an issue because Planning 624 Mr. Silber -625 doesn't administer the wetland requirements but my understanding is you need to have a setback 626 from the wetlands. So, if you move that house over that property line you will still need to have 627 some setback from any wetlands is my understanding. So, I think some attention to that lot 17 628 will be necessary when you put your final plans together. 629 630 Mr. Wright -That's fine. 631 632 Mr. Vanarsdall -Number 18 is all right, right? 633 November 16, 2005 -17634 Mr. McGarry -Yes. It is on a zero lot line. 635 Are there any other questions? 636 Mr. Vanarsdall -637 638 Mr. Archer -Mr. Chairman, just as a point of clarification, and something I should 639 probably know, but what is the rule regarding the tower drop zone? I'm looking at how it 640 impacts lots 25 and 26 and 22. Is it that it has to be a certain number of feet away from the 641 construction itself? 642 The actual tower drop zone has to be set up so that any dwelling structure 643 Mr. McGarry -644 is outside of that zone. 645 646 Mr. Archer -It's the structure, not the lot? 647 648 Mr. McGarry -Correct. 649 650 Mr. Archer -Okay. 651 652 Mr. McGarry -The main house will be in buildable areas that have all been set up so that 653 they will be outside of the fall zone. 654 655 Mr. Archer -That's what I needed to know. Thank you. 656 Are there any other questions? Do we need to waive the time limit on 657 Mr. Vanarsdall -658 this? 659 No, sir, you do not. 660 Mr. McGarry -661 662 Mr. Vanarsdall -I didn't see a date on it. Before I make the motion, I did want to make a 663 motion to waive the transitional buffer that runs down by, it used to be 32 and now its 33. 664 665 Mr. Branin -Second. 666 The motion was made by Mr. Vanarsdall and seconded by Mr. Branin. 667 Mr. Vanarsdall -668 All in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes. 669 And now I would like to move to approve subdivision Townsend 670 Mr. Vanarsdall -671 (November 2005 Plan) with conditions Nos. 12 through 16 and the standard conditions for 672 subdivisions served by public utilities and the annotation on the plans and the change from the 673 original 32 to 33 lots. 674 675 Mr. Branin -Second. 676 677 Mr. Vanarsdall -The motion was made by Mr. Vanarsdall and seconded by Mr. Branin. 678 All in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes.

679 The Planning Commission granted conditional approval for subdivision Townsend (November 680 2005 Plan) subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for subdivisions 681 served by public utilities, and the following additional conditions:

- 682
- 683 12. A County standard sidewalk shall be constructed on one side of the interior roads, with 684 the exception of cul-de-sac roads, and along the west side of Francistown Road.
- 685 13. The proffers approved as part of zoning case C-61C-04 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- The limits and elevation of the 100-year frequency flood shall be conspicuously noted on
 the plat and construction plans and labeled "Limits of 100-year floodplain." Dedicate
 floodplain as a "Variable Width Drainage & Utilities Easement."
- 690 15. The detailed plant list and specifications for the landscaping to be provided within the 25691 foot-wide common area along Francistown Road shall be submitted to the Department of
 692 Planning for review and approval prior to recordation of the plat.
- 693 16. Prior to requesting the final approval, a draft of the covenants and deed restrictions for 694 the maintenance of the common area by a homeowners association shall be submitted to 695 the Department of Planning for review. Such covenants and restrictions shall be in form 696 and substance satisfactory to the County Attorney and shall be recorded prior to 697 recordation of the subdivision plat.
- 698

699 ARCHITECTURAL PLANS & LIGHTING PLAN

700 (Deferred from the October 26, 2005 Meeting)

701

POD-62-05
Towne Center West W. Broad Street
Timmons Group for Towne Center - West, LLC: Request for approval of architectural plans and a lighting plan for a plan of development as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, for a 77,647 square foot shopping center (Phase 1). The 41.9-acre site is located on the north line of W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250), approximately 500 feet east of N. Gayton Road on parcels 734-764-6330, 734-764-8352, 735-764-6278, 736-764-1973, 735-764-1287 and 735-764-4320. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional), R-6C, General Residence District (Conditional) and WBSO, West Broad Street Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

702

703 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to POD-62-05, Towne Center 704 West, architectural plans, in the Three Chopt District? No opposition. Mr. Silber, is this the 705 one you were on television about?

706

707 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - I think that might be true, yes, sir.

708

 $709 \underline{\text{Mr. Vanarsdall}}$ - My wife was so proud of that. Randy is on television. I thought it was a 710 new governor, the way she said it.

711

712 <u>Mr. Archer</u> -	I saw him to.	You are very photogenic.
713		
714 Mr. Vanarsdall -	All right. Mr	. Wilhite, good morning.
715		

716 <u>Mr. Wilhite</u> - Good morning. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The site plan for this project 717 was approved at your October POD meeting. The architectural plans and the lighting plan were 718 deferred until today. Since the meeting in October, we have met with the applicant to discuss 719 issues dealing with the architectural plans. There are some revised plans that we received last 720 week that are included in your addendum packet that you received this morning. The plans for 721 building Nos. 6 and 7 were in your packet. Building No. 6 is the one located closest to W. 722 Broad Street. Building No. 7 is in the line of three to the north of that. Basically, the changes 723 that were done were to add or increase detailing to the rear façade of the structures to meet 724 proffer requirements. Building No. 7, which is in your packet as well, is identically similar to 725 Nos. 8 and 9, which are facing future multi-family areas in the same development.

726

727 The changes that were made on there do meet the proffer requirements that were accepted with 728 the rezoning case. Staff is in a position to recommend approval. On page 2 of your addendum, 729 staff's updated recommendation appears. Also, at this time, the applicant wants to withdraw the 730 lighting case. They are not in a position to go forward at this time. So, we still need information 731 on that. I'll be happy to answer any questions that you have.

732

733 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - Mr. Wilhite, the second sheet of the plan refers to building seven, this 734 actually would be for buildings Nos. 7, 8, and 9, wouldn't it?

735

736 <u>Mr. Wilhite</u> - Yes. The treatment of the back of buildings 8 and 9 are substantially 737 similar to what appears in 7.

738

739 Mr. Silber -Have you just not provided us additional sheets or they just provided 7 as a740 representation of what 8 and 9 would look like?

741

742 Mr. Wilhite -No, we do have the sheets. The sheets have been provided for 8 and 9 but743 it is essentially the same as the back of 7 and we didn't include that in the packet.

745 Mr. Silber - Sure, that's fine. Thank you.

746

744

747 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Are there any other questions by Commission members?

748

749 <u>Mr. Branin</u> - Mr. Secretary, would you like to make any comments since you were on 750 TV in regards to this one?

751

752 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - No, sir. No thank you.

753

754 <u>Mr. Branin</u> - Mr. Chairman, I would like to move for approval of POD-62-05 for staff's 755 recommendation including the revised architectural plans, the staff's annotations and removal of 756 the lighting plan.

757

758 Mrs. Jones - Second.

759

760 Mr. Vanarsdall -
761 favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes.The motion passes.

762

763 The Planning Commission approved POD-62-05, Towne Center West Architectural Plans, the 764 lighting plan was withdrawn by the applicant. The plans were approved subject to the standard 765 conditions, the annotations on the plans and the additional conditions approved for this plan at the 766 October 26, 2005 meeting.

767

768 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT & TRANSITIONAL BUFFER DEVIATION

769

POD-69-05 Mozingo & Associates for Genworth Financial: Request for approval of a plan of development and transitional buffer Genworth Development Center-Brookfield Office deviation, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-Park - 6604 W. Broad Street 106.2(e)(3) of the Henrico County Code, to construct a threestory, 89,500 square foot employee training and development (U.S. Route 250) facility, with a 48-room dormitory and a 1 1/2 story, 6,500 square foot hospitality center. The 4.559-acre site is located at the southwest corner of Falmouth Street and Bethlehem Road in the Brookfield Office Park on part of parcel 769-744-4118. The zoning is O-3, Office District. County water and sewer. (Brookland)

770

771 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to POD-69-05, Genworth
 772 Development Center, in the Brookland District? No opposition. Good morning, Ms. Goggin.
 773

774 <u>Ms. Goggin</u> - Good morning. A revised plan is being handed out to you right now. The 775 revised plan was submitted yesterday and provides the 50/10 information as required by Public 776 Works Design Division, and it also provides the Fire Department's access as requested to 777 perform vehicle rescue operations, I hope it's not necessary, but just in case it is. Fire did 778 comment that the fire lane south of the building would need to be continued east toward Falmouth 779 Street. Public Works Traffic Division is requiring that removable bollards and/or chains are 780 provide for the emergency access off of Bethlehem Road so that people do not confuse it with an 781 entrance into the site.

782

783 The plans do not include the additional parking required by Planning but the applicant has agreed 784 to provide the necessary parking on the Genworth Office Complex and we have drafted a 785 condition to help address that.

786

787 One of the proposed buildings will serve as a training facility for local and out-of-town 788 employees for Genworth Financial. The facility will also provide accommodations for their out-789 of-town employees. While in the County, to help provide a good training experience for their 790 out-of-town guests a hospitality house is also proposed to provide an area outside the classroom 791 for visiting employees to unwind while on the Genworth campus.

792 The applicant has also requested a transitional buffer deviation along Bethlehem Road to reduce 793 the required 25-foot transitional buffer to 10 feet and to allow breaks in the buffer to 794 accommodate emergency vehicle access.

795

796 The applicant has provided colored renderings of the proposed buildings. The color didn't quite 797 come through (referring to rendering on the screen) but this is the training facility and this is the 798 hospitality house. I will be happy to answer any questions the Commission may have. The 799 applicant's engineer and attorney are here if you have any questions of them. The transitional 800 buffer deviation, as well as the wavier of time limits, will both require separate motions. Should 801 the Commission choose to approve the plans, staff recommends approval of the revised plans 802 with staff's annotations on the original plan in your agenda, the standard conditions for plans of 803 development, additional conditions Nos. 24 through 37 in the agenda and condition No. 38 which 804 would address the parking and I have worked up some language. The language for that condition 805 could be: A plan shall be provided to provide not less than 50 parking spaces on the Genworth 806 Property prior to final approval of the construction plan. I'll be happy to answer any questions 807 you may have.

808

809 Mr. Vanarsdall -	Are there any questions by Commission members?
810	
811 <u>Mr. Jernigan</u> -	Ms. Goggin, how many additional parking spaces do we need?
812	
813 <u>Ms. Goggin</u> -	We are down to needing 38 with the revised plan.

814

815 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Let me explain a couple of things to the Commission. On your original 816 agenda (sic) there were three issues and the two she just told us about have been satisfied. And 817 then we came to the additional parking. There are 1,700 parking spaces on the campus that was 818 satisfactory before, I believe, 1993. Then it changed and they came up short. How many short, 819 83 wasn't it?

820

821 Ms. Goggin - Yes, sir.

<u>s. doggin</u> - 103, sit.

823 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - And so staff recommended we have 50 parking spaces. We have trouble 824 finding them so this morning Jack Wilson, attorney, Randy and Dave and I met and talked about 825 it and that's why we have condition No. 38 to address the parking so that we would not have to 826 defer it. By the way, Don Cooper, in the back there is from the headquarters and so this satisfied 827 him and satisfied Jack the attorney and I'm sure satisfied Mike Mozingo and the architect. So, 828 that's what happened. So, everybody seems to be very pleased about it. And I want to say that 829 the County and I and all of us are very glad, Don, that Genworth is going to stay where it is and 830 going to stay in Henrico County.

831

832 Mr. Cooper - Thank you, sir.

⁸²²

833 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - We are very happy about this. This is a new concept, I guess. Thank 834 you, Ms. Goggin.

835

836 <u>Mr. Wilson</u> - Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, I just want to make sure that 837 we are clear on the parking condition. The original plan that we were looking at this morning 838 showed 12 parking spaces. I think the revised plan that you have now before you shows 24 839 spaces.

840

841 <u>Mr. Branin</u> - Sir, would you state your name, please, for the record.

842

843 <u>Mr. Wilson</u> - I'm sorry. I'm Jack Wilson and I represent Genworth. Thank you. I 844 want to make sure that we are clear on the parking. The original plan showed 12 parking spaces 845 on the site and that's the plan that Mr. Vanarsdall was referring to this morning that we were 846 looking at. The revised plan, that I think you have before you, already shows an additional 12 847 beyond that. So, really, what we are talking about is needing to come up with now 38 more 848 spaces somewhere else on the Genworth facility. So, I wasn't quite sure what plan we were 849 going to be looking at to approve this morning. But, if it is the revised plan, then the condition 850 should say an additional 38 spaces on the Genworth property because the revised plan that you 851 have before you has already picked up 12 of that 50.

852

853 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - I think we would be acting on the revised plan, which does show 24. I 854 may want to have that condition read again. Are we talking about a total of 50 parking spaces or 855 are we talking about additional parking spaces. If that's true, I think Mr. Wilson is right, I think 856 we would be talking about the difference which I guess is 38. Ms. Goggin, can you read that 857 condition again?

858

859 <u>Ms. Goggin</u> - The conditions does state 50 parking spaces but with the revised plan and 860 the additional parking shown on the revised plan it would require 38 additional spaces to the 861 revised plan.

862

863 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - Can you read that condition then, please.

864

865 <u>Ms. Goggin</u> - Okay. A plan shall be provided to provide not less than 50 parking spaces 866 on the Genworth property prior to final approval of the construction plan.

867

868 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - You could put a total of 50 and then that will take care of the 12 and the 869 38.

870

871 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - Yes, we can amend that to say 38 but it would be understood that there are 872 38 in addition to the 24 that's shown on this plan.

873 874 Mr. Vanarsdall - Well, why don't you put 38 additional?

875

876 <u>Ms. Goggin</u> - Yes, we can change it to....

877

I think it needs to stipulate that there will be 38 additional parking spaces. 878 Mr. Silber -879 880 Ms. Goggin -So, it could state: A plan shall be provided to provide an additional 38 881 parking spaces on the Genworth property prior to final approval of the construction plan. 882 That's correct. I think it may be appropriate for the applicant to also 883 Mr. Silber -884 provide the Commission some information as to where they think these 38 parking spaces maybe 885 provided. Maybe Mr. Mozingo could help us with that. 886 I'm Mike Mozingo, the applicant's engineer. We will provide plan of 887 Mr. Mozingo -888 whatever you require for the additional 38 spaces. If that's the way you want to do it, we will 889 provide a plan or drawing or whatever that will show you those 38 spaces. 890 891 Mr. Branin -Can you currently show us where those would be located? 892 893 Mr. Mozingo -We haven't talked about that at all. I have not talked with my client at all 894 on where those would be. Some might be on the site property that we are referring to now that 895 we are approving today and others might be elsewhere on the Genworth campus. We have not 896 talked about that at all, yet. 897 Okay. As long as you understand that you will need to come up with 38 898 Mr. Silber -899 parking spaces. You can't commit at this time where those might be. If you fall short of that we 900 will have an issue with the plan going forward. 901 902 Mr. Mozingo -We won't fall short. 903 904 Mr. Silber -Okay. So, help me to understand.... It sounds like staff has indicated that 905 the Division of Fire has indicated that the fire access lane near the dormitory needs to be 906 extended southward toward Falmouth Street. 907 908 Mr. Mozingo -That's correct. 909 910 Mr. Silber -To what extent will that be extended? 911 912 Mr. Mozingo -It's only about 40 feet, 40 additional feet. 913 914 Mr. Silber -The Division of Fire has indicated that 40 additional feet will cover 915 (unintelligible) 916 To the end of the building, basically, from where we show it on the 917 Mr. Mozingo -918 revised plan to the end of the building, on that front corner. 919 920 Mr. Silber -Okay. 921 All right. Thank you. Do I need to waive the time limit on this? 922 Mr. Vanarsdall -November 16, 2005 -24923

924 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - Yes, you do.

925

926 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - I want to thank Jack for meeting at 7:30 a.m. this morning. And I want to 927 thank Randy and Dave for interrupting their routine so early in the morning to get this done. I 928 want to waive the time limit. I move that we waive the time limit on this revised plan.

929

930 Mr. Archer - Second, Mr. Chairman.

931

932 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - The motion was made by Mr. Vanarsdall and seconded by Mr. Archer.
933 All in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes.

934

935 The Planning Commission approved to waive the time limit for the revised plan for POD-69-936 05, Genworth Development Center – Brookfield Office Park.

937

938 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Now I want to move that we honor the transitional buffer deviation.

939

940 Mr. Archer - Second.

941

942 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - The motion was made by Mr. Vanarsdall and seconded by Mr. Archer.
943 All in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes.

944

945 The Planning Commission approved the transitional buffer deviation for POD-69-70, 946 Genworth Development Center – Brookfield Office Park.

947

948 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - And now, Ms. Goggin, I'm going to get you to do something. I move 949 now to recommend approval for POD-69-05, Genworth Development Center – Brookfield Office 950 Park, 6604 W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250) with the annotations on the plan, the standard 951 conditions for developments of this type and then we have conditions Nos. 24 through 37. And, 952 I'm going to ask Ms. Goggin to read No. 38 which pertains to parking.

953

954 Ms. Goggin -Number 38 reads:A plan shall be provided to provide 38 additional955 parking spaces on the Genworth Property prior to final approval of the construction plan.

956

957 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Thank you. That's my motion.

958

959 <u>Mr. Archer</u> - Second, Mr. Chairman.

960

961 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - The motion was made by Mr. Vanarsdall and seconded by Mr. Archer. 962 All in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes.

963

964 <u>Mr. Wilson</u> - Mr. Chairman, I would like to thank you for your wiliness to meet with
965 us this morning and Mr. Silber and Mr. O'Kelly's wiliness to work through this issue today.
966 Genworth really appreciates it and so do I. Thank you.

967 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Did you get any breakfast, Jack?

968

969 <u>Mr. Wilson</u> - No, and I'm going to get some now after I get back across the river.

970

971 The Planning Commission approved POD-69-05, Genworth Development Center – Brookfield 972 Office Park, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these 973 minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

974

975 24. The right-of-way for widening of Bethlehem Road as shown on approved plans shall be
976 dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way
977 dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County
978 Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.

979 25. The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to
980 the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits
981 being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted
982 to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting
983 occupancy permits.

984 26. The drainage facilities on I-64 shall be approved by the Virginia Department of985 Transportation and the County.

986 27. The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public987 Utilities and Division of Fire.

- 988 28. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- 989 29. The developer shall install an adequate restaurant ventilating and exhaust system to 990 minimize smoke, odors, and grease vapors. The plans and specifications shall be 991 included with the building permit application for review and approval. If, in the 992 opinion of the County, the type system provided is not effective, the Commission 993 retains the rights to review and direct the type of system to be used.
- Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in
 a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction
 plans.
- 997 31. Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be
 approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by
 the Department of Public Works.
- 1000 32.The loading areas shall be subject to the requirements of Chapter 24, Section 24-97(b)1001of the Henrico County Code.
- 1002 33.Storm water retention, based on the 50-10 concept, shall be incorporated into the
drainage plans.
- 1004 34. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and 1005 contracts and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the 1006 issuance of a building permit.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not
 establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-ofway. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.

Evidence of a joint ingress/egress and maintenance agreement must be submitted to the
Department of Planning and approved prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for
this development.

1013 37. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

1018 38. A plan shall be provided to provide 38 additional parking spaces on the GenworthProperty prior to final approval of the construction plan.

1020

1021 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

1022

POD-70-05Balzer & Associates, Inc. for Darbytown Road, LLC:Darbytown TownhousesRequest for approval of a plan of development, as required by
Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to
construct 17, two-story townhouse units for sale. The 1.71-acre
site is located at the northwest corner of Darbytown Road and
Shirleydale Avenue (1481 Darbytown Road) on parcel 804-
711-4444. The zoning is R-5, General Residence District.
County water and sewer. (Varina)

1023

1024 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to POD-70-05, Darbytown
 1025 Townhouses, in the Varina District? No opposition. Good morning, again, Mr. McGarry.
 1026

1027 <u>Mr. McGarry</u> - Good morning, Mr. Chairman. This is a revised architectural, that's 1028 why I put it on your screen. The time limit was met on this resubmission. The revised 1029 architectural was submitted to show how they had dressed up the townhouse fronts, providing 1030 shutters. It also shows provision of windows on the end walls closest to the property lines. It 1031 also indicates that the building material will be hardi plank and staff is recommending some 1032 brick in place of the hardi plank. The schematic landscape plan was also submitted and is also 1033 acceptable to the staff. The full-blown plan will be reviewed at a later time by staff. And, 1034 then finally, the details that the tot lot equipment type and layout be shown, staff has also 1035 agreed to accept that with a formal lighting and landscaping plan application. The staff can 1036 recommend approval of this plan of development subject to the standard conditions for 1037 developments of this type, which are townhouses, and additional conditions Nos. 24 through 1038 32. I'll be happy to answer any questions.

1039	
1040 Mr. Vanarsdall -	Are there any questions of Mr. McGarry by Commission members?
1041	
1042 <u>Mr. Jernigan</u> -	I don't have any questions, Mr. Chairman.
1043	
1044 Mr. Vanarsdall -	Do you need to hear from the applicant?
1045	
1046 <u>Mr. Jernigan</u> -	Yes, sir.
1047	
1048 Mr. Vanarsdall -	All right. Will the applicant come down, please. State your name for
1049 the record.	

1050

1051 Mr. Patterson -

1053 you would like to ask me? 1054 1055 Mr. Jernigan -Good morning, Mr. Patterson. Prior to this meeting, we discussed that 1056 staff did want some brick on this project and I'm happy that you moved up to hardi plank 1057 rather than trying to put vinyl out there. So, I'll tell you what. I'm all right with the hardi 1058 plank but as we discussed, I would like to have a little color variation on it. So, if you could 1059 put three colors. 1060 1061 Mr. Patterson -We agree with that, no problem at all. 1062 That will break it up a little bit rather than being all white or gray and 1063 Mr. Jernigan -1064 that comes in a gray. But something in a soft tone that just shows a little difference. The 1065 shingles, are they dimensional or just standard? 1066 1067 Mr. Patterson -I don't know what the plans show on the shingles. I think they are 1068 dimensional but I'm not sure of that. 1069 Mr. Jernigan, we weren't laughing at you, it's what written here. On 1070 Mr. Vanarsdall -1071 the plan it says front with "shudders." 1072 1073 Mr. Jernigan -It makes me shudder to see it. Yes, the shutters will make it look better.

1052 applicant for the project, Darbytown Townhouses. Do you have any specific questions that

Good morning. My name is Pat Patterson and I'm representing the

1074 And can we make it dimensional shingles and three colors and we will be okay with that. 1075

1076 Mr. Patterson -We can make the shingles dimensional. That's no problem.

1077

1078 Mr. Jernigan -Okay. Thank you, sir. Mr. Chairman, with that I will move for 1079 approval of POD-70-05, Darbytown Townhouses, with the standard conditions for 1080 developments of this type and the following additional conditions Nos. 24 through 32.

1081

1082 Mr. Branin -Second.

1083

1084 Mr. Vanarsdall -The motion was made by Mr. Jernigan and seconded by Mr. Branin. All 1085 in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes.

1086

1087 The Planning Commission approved POD-70-05, Darbytown Townhouses, subject to the 1088 annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes, and the following 1089 additional conditions:

1090

1091 24. The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire. 1092

Prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for any building in this development, the 1093 25. 1094 engineer of record shall certify that the site has been graded in accordance with the

approved grading plans.

1096 26. Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.

- 1098 27. Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be 1099 approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the 1100 Department of Public Works.
- 1101 28. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations must be included with the plans and contracts
 and must be approved by the Department of Public Utilities prior to the issuance of a
 building permit.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish
 the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The
 elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- 1107 30. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.

1108 31.The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond1109Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the1110construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be1111ordered from the County and installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.

1112 32. The subdivision plat for Darbytown Townhouse shall be recorded before any building permits are issued.

1114

1115 SUBDIVISION (Deferred from the October 26, 2005 Meeting)

1116

Wilton Collector Roads,
Phase 1 (October 2005 Plan)
Youngblood, Tyler & Associates, P.C. for WF Hunt, LLC and HHHunt Corporation: The 47.35-acre portion of the 1185-acre site proposed for a subdivision for public roads is located on property adjacent to the north and south lines of the Pocahontas Parkway (State Route 895) and the James River shore line on parcel 798-683-5459. The zoning is UMUC, Urban Mixed Use District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Varina) 0 Lot

1117

1118 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to Wilton Collectors Road, 1119 Phase 1, in the Varina District? No opposition. Mr. Wilhite.

1120

1121 <u>Mr. Wilhite</u> - If you might remember, we had a subdivision approved back in March for 1122 Wilton Parkway which is the road leading from Route 5 into this development. The plan before 1123 you today is for the first phase of the public roads within the Wilton development. Since this was 1124 deferred from your meeting in October, the applicant has met with the Traffic Engineering 1125 Division to work out the traffic issues. We did receive a revised plan on Monday afternoon, 1126 which has just been handed out to you. It will require you to waive the time limits for approval. 1127 All the traffic issues with the County have been worked out at this point. In addition, we did 1128 receive some written comments from VDOT on yesterday. Essentially, at this point, they are 1129 reserving any comments they have until later on in the design process.

1131 I do need to point out that the proposed interchange with Pocahontas Parkway (State Route 895)

1132 has not been approved yet. It's to go before the Commonwealth Transportation Board I believe 1133 in December.

1134

1135 The layout that you have before you now has really no significant changes from the plan that was 1136 originally submitted to us. Just details as far as the right-of-way width, median width, and turn 1137 lane locations have been resolved.

1138

1139 The applicant also did provide us with an updated master plan, which I will put on the screen. 1140 Unfortunately, it is a little hard to read. Essentially, what appears as "Road B" on the 1141 subdivision plan, the crossing with I-895, has been shifted approximately 600 feet west, closer to 1142 the James River and also "Road B" has been moved roughly about 600 feet to the north of I-895 1143 where it parallels it and some additional development is planned in that area.

1144

1145 Staff is in a position to recommend approval of the revised plan received on Monday. I'll be 1146 happy to answer any questions you may have.

1147

1148 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Are there any questions for Mr. Wilhite? No questions. All right. Thank 1149 you. Mr. Jernigan, do you want to hear from the applicant?

1150

1151 <u>Mr. Jernigan</u> - I don't really need to unless he just wants to talk.

1152

1153 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - He doesn't want to talk. He always sits on the front row to make a good 1154 impression but he doesn't always want to talk.

1155

1156 <u>Mr. Jernigan</u> - Mr. Chairman, the Commonwealth Transportation Board has always kind 1157 of been behind us on this, I mean, lagging behind. They wanted us to do approvals before 1158 anything would happen with them, and they meet next month. But, as the proffers of this case, if 1159 they deny the entrances, anyway, we are protected on housing. So, I'm ready to make a motion.

1161 Mr. Vanarsdall - Go ahead.

1162

1163 <u>Mr. Jernigan</u> - Unless Mr. Silber has something to say.

1164

1165 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - I think I'm fine. I don't know, Mr. Jernigan, if there's any update that's 1166 necessary on the connection of these roads, back across Osborne to Route 5. Maybe Mr. Tyler 1167 can share with us at this point. Obviously, these roads will tie into that and that's a major 1168 entrance into this project. That alignment has been approved by the Commission or a conditional 1169 subdivision has been approved for those roads.

1170

1171 <u>Mr. Wilhite</u> - I would add, from staff's standpoint, that conditional approval was granted 1172 back in March and we have not seen a submittal yet for final subdivision approval. And at the 1173 same time, construction plans for that roadway, Wilton Parkway, would come in the same time. 1174 So, the applicant has not made his submission yet for final approval.

1175

1176 Mr. Silber - Okay. Mr. Jernigan, you are correct. If there is any difficulty in getting

1177 access to I-895 the proffer conditions do restrict the amount of development they can achieve. 1178 So, obviously, what you are approving today would be impacted if the connection to I-895 is not 1179 approved.

1180 1181 Mr. Jernigan -Yes, sir. 1182 1183 Mr. Silber -So, that is a very important decision that the Commonwealth 1184 Transportation Board is about to make. 1185 Okay. All right. We have to move to waive the time limits. 1186 Mr. Jernigan -1187 1188 Mr. Wilhite -Yes. sir. 1189 Okay. I make a motion to waive the time limit on the Wilton Collector 1190 Mr. Jernigan -1191 Roads. 1192 Second. 1193 Mr. Archer -1194 The motion was made by Mr. Jernigan and seconded by Mr. Archer. All 1195 Mr. Vanarsdall -1196 in favor say ave...all opposed say nay. The motion passes. 1197 1198 The Planning Commission approved to waive the time limit for plan submittal for Wilton 1199 Collector Roads, Phase 1 (October 2005 Plan). 1200 And with that, Mr. Chairman, I would like to move for approval of 1201 Mr. Jernigan -1202 subdivision Wilton Collector Road, Phase 1 (October 2005 Plan) with the standard conditions for 1203 subdivisions served by public utilities, and the following additional conditions Nos. 12 through 1204 15. 1205 Second. 1206 Mr. Branin -1207 The motion was made by Mr. Jernigan and seconded by Mr. Branin. All 1208 Mr. Vanarsdall -1209 in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes. 1210 1211 The Planning Commission granted conditional approval to subdivision Wilton Collector Roads, 1212 Phase 1 (October 2005 Plan) subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions 1213 attached to these minutes for subdivisions served by public utilities, and the following additional 1214 conditions: 1215 The limits and elevation of the 100-year frequency flood shall be conspicuously noted on 1216 12. the plat and construction plans and labeled "Limits of 100-year floodplain." Dedicate 1217 floodplain as a "Variable Width Drainage & Utilities Easement." 1218 Any necessary offsite drainage easements must be obtained prior to approval of the 1219 13. construction plan by the Department of Public Works. 1220 The proffers approved as part of zoning case C-56C-04 shall be incorporated in this 1221 14.

approval.

1223 15. A landscape and lighting plan for the collector roads shall be submitted for review and approval prior to the recordation of the subdivision plat.

1225

1226 SUBDIVISION

1227

Pemberton Grove (November 2005 Plan)	Engineering Design Associates for Woodland O. Hogg & O. W. H. III, Ruth P. Coffman and Oakleigh Properties, LLC:
11081 Old Washington Road	The 17.70 13.97-acre site proposed for a subdivision of 17 16
C	single-family homes is located on the east side of Old
	Washington Highway, 550 630 feet northwest of the
	intersection of Haley's Hollow Road and Old Washington Road
	on parcels 771-770-6776, 772-770-1548 and 771-770-6729.
	The zoning is R-2A, One-Family Residence District. County
	water and sewer. (Brookland) 17 16 Lots
1228	

1229 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to subdivision Pemberton 1230 Grove (November 2005 Plan) in the Brookland District? No opposition. Good morning, Mr. 1231 Greulich.

1232

1233 Mr. Greulich -Good Morning Mr. Chairman, Planning Commission members, this 1234 conditional application was submitted on September 30th of this year and proposed a 1235 subdivision to create 16 lots. Staff began its initial review and determined that in fact 17 lots 1236 were being proposed. Staff advised the applicant of this and stated that the plans should reflect 1237 this. The setbacks of the accessory buildings on lot 17 were also asked for to determine if they 1238 would meet the required setbacks, for a reverse corner lot, from the proposed road. Finally, 1239 staff was concerned about the proposed common area. During the staff/developer meeting, 1240 planning staff reiterated these concerns and Public Works Design also stated that they would 1241 require additional right-of-way shown on the plans before they could recommend approval. 1242 The applicant indicated that these deficiencies would be corrected before the Commission 1243 meeting and submitted a revised plan on November 04 that reflected these changes. However, 1244 on November 09, staff was advised that the structures on lot 17 would not be removed because 1245 the applicant no longer owned this lot. Staff determined that the applicant recorded a deed on 1246 September 09 that sold this lot to another party. As a result, County staff could no longer 1247 require that this lot be a part of the subdivision nor require that the structures meet the required 1248 setbacks from the proposed road. The applicant had applied the Code utilizing the ability to 1249 subdivide a lot that has not been previously subdivided thus the property line would technically 1250 exist prior to the construction of the road. As a result, these structures will be granted non-1251 conforming status when the new road was built. While the sale of this parcel and its exclusion 1252 from this subdivision are technically allowed by the County Code, the spirit of the subdivision 1253 ordinance has not been met. As a result, a portion of Old Washington Highway will not be 1254 improved and an accessory structure will now be closer to a road than could be. This fact is 1255 compounded further when the orientation of the neighboring lot to the east is considered. The 1256 buildable area for lot 16 is such that the home will be further away from the road than the 1257 neighboring garage and the homeowner will be forced to look at the rear of this garage. Staff

1258 has added condition eighteen to address this concern and it can be found in the addendum on 1259 page three. It states: "A landscaped strip, equivalent to a transitional 10' buffer shall be 1260 located along the length of the western property line of lot 16. Details for the landscaping 1261 shall be shown on the construction plans."

1262

1263 The revised plan as provided to staff and included in this morning's addendum does adequately 1264 address all of the required comments from staff. Therefore, staff can recommend approval of 1265 the latest, submitted plan. It is subject to the annotations on the plan, the standard conditions 1266 for conditional subdivisions served by public utilities, additional conditions twelve through 1267 seventeen and addendum condition number eighteen. Staff and representatives of the applicant 1268 are available to answer any questions you may have. Thank you.

1269

1270 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Thank you. Are there any questions by Commission members? No 1271 questions. Unless someone on the Commission wants to hear from the applicant, I don't need 1272 to. With that, I recommend Pemberton Grove for approval November 2005 Plan with the 1273 standard conditions for subdivisions served by public utilities and also the annotations on the 1274 plan and conditions Nos. 12 through 17 and adding No. 18 on the addendum.

1275

1276 Mr. Branin - Second.

1277

1278 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - The motion was made by Mr. Vanarsdall and second by Mr. Branin. All 1279 in favor say aye...all opposed say nay. The motion passes.

1280

1281 The Planning Commission granted conditional approval to Pemberton Grove (November 2005 1282 Plan) subject to the annotations on the plans the standard conditions for subdivisions served by 1283 Public Utilities and the following additional conditions:

1284

1285 12. Each lot shall contain at least 13,500 square feet exclusive of the flood plain areas.

- Prior to requesting final approval, the engineer shall furnish the Department of Planning
 Staff a plan showing a dwelling situated on Lots 6 and 16 to determine if the lot design is
 adequate to meet the requirements of Chapter 24, of the Henrico County Code.
- 1289 14. The limits and elevation of the 100-year frequency flood shall be conspicuously noted on 1290 the plat and construction plans and labeled "Limits of 100-year floodplain." Dedicate 1291 floodplain as a "Variable Width Drainage & Utilities Easement."
- 1291 Thompson as a variable width Drainage & Othites Easement. 1292 15. A plan shall be submitted prior to recordation of the plat showing the buildable area for
- each lot to properly recognize the limitations for dwelling unit dimensions and setbacks.
 Buildable area is that area within which a dwelling unit may legally be located considering
 the front yard, side yard, and rear yard setback requirements of Chapter 24, of the
 Henrico County Code.
- 1297 16. Any necessary offsite drainage easements must be obtained prior to approval of the 1298 construction plan by the Department of Public Works.

1299 17. Any future building lot containing a BMP, sediment basin or trap and located within the 1300 buildable area for a principal structure or accessory structure, may be developed with 1301 engineered fill. All material shall be deposited and compacted in accordance with the 1302 Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code and geotechnical guidelines established by a

A copy of the report and recommendations shall be furnished to the affected lot. 1305 Directors of Planning and Public Works. 1306 A landscaped strip, equivalent to a transitional 10' buffer shall be located along the 1307 18. length of the western property line of lot 16. Details for the landscaping shall be shown 1308 on the construction plans. 1309 1310 1311 Mr. Silber -That concludes the portion of the agenda that involves PODs and 1312 subdivisions. We do have the Resolution for substantial and accord, but next on the agenda 1313 would be approval of the minutes. Do you want to take it in that order and approve your minutes 1314 first or hear the resolution for substantial in accord? 1315 1316 APPROVAL OF MINUTES: October 26, 2005 1317 1318 Mr. Vanarsdall -Are there any corrections? 1319 1320 Mr. Jernigan -I have one correction and it's on page 40, line 1526. It should read, 1321 "landscaping and parking" not "park" "parking." And, that's it. 1322 Are there any other corrections by Commission members? 1323 Mr. Vanarsdall -1324 1325 Mr. Archer -Excellent, Mr. Jernigan. 1326 1327 Mr. Jernigan -I figured I needed to find something once in a while. 1328 1329 Mr. Archer -You read that one page. 1330 If there are no more corrections I'll entertain a motion. 1331 Mr. Vanarsdall -1332 1333 Mr. Archer -Mr. Chairman, I move that the minutes be approved as corrected. 1334 1335 Mr. Branin -Second. 1336 1337 Mr. Vanarsdall -The motion was made by Mr. Jernigan and second by Mr. Branin. All 1338 in favor say ave...all opposed say nay. The motion passes. 1339 1340 The Planning Commission approved the minutes from the October 26, 2005, meeting subject 1341 to the corrections. 1342 1343 Mr. Silber -Next on the agenda is a resolution for substantial in accord SIA-02-05 1344 this is for the Eastern Henrico Recreation Center on Laburnum Avenue in the Fairfield 1345 District.

professional engineer. A detailed engineering report shall be submitted for the review

and approval by the Building Official prior to the issuance of a building permit on the

1346

1303

1304

1347 Mr. Vanarsdall - Mr. Tyson, did you learn anything this morning sitting on the other side

1348 of the aisle?

1349

1350 Mr. Tyson -

1351

That it's cold in here in the morning hours.

1352 RESOLUTION: SIA-02-05 – Eastern Henrico Recreation Center Site – Substantially In 1353 Accord with the County Comprehensive Plan (Fairfield District) – (Staff Report by Lee 1354 Tyson)

1355

1356 <u>Mr. Tyson</u> - Mr. Chairman, Mr. Kaechle, members of the Commission, and Mr. 1357 Secretary. The Planning Department has received a request from the Division of Recreation and 1358 Parks to coordinate a "Substantially in Accord" study with other County departments to determine 1359 whether the proposed site for the Eastern Henrico Recreation Center is substantially reasonable in 1360 light of the Comprehensive Plan recommendations for future land uses for this site and the 1361 surrounding area. The proposed site, part of Parcel 807-733-6105, is located in the Fairfield 1362 District on the west line of N. Laburnum Avenue, approximately 1,300 feet south of Harvie 1363 Road and 1800 feet north of Creighton Road. The total area of the subject site is approximately 1364 18 acres. It is part of a larger parcel (with a total of 36.38 acres), the remaining portion of 1365 which is located on the north line of Laburnum Avenue at the southeast intersection with 1366 Harvie Road, which is shown here (referring to the screen). This was the subject of rezoning 1367 case C-71C-05, which the Planning Commission heard on last Thursday night.

1369 The proposed site has several positive attributes to recommend it. (1)The site has ample 1370 frontage along N. Laburnum Avenue. (2) Access to the site is already available from either 1371 direction with a crossover at Watts Lane. (3) There is sufficient buildable acreage outside 1372 environmentally sensitive areas on the property. (4) There are no wetlands noted on the site 1373 outside of the small area occupied by the stream crossing at the far southeastern most corner of 1374 the site. (5) Minimal grading will be required during construction of the recreation facility. 1375 (6) Public water and sewer are readily available to the site. (7) The proposed use is compatible 1376 with the existing and proposed land uses surrounding the property.

1378 A primary limitation with developing the subject site as proposed is the need to dedicate a 1379 portion of the acreage for right-of-way for Watts Lane and the expense of constructing this 1380 roadway across the middle of the site. The irregular shape of the property may also pose a 1381 challenge, however the Division of Recreation & Parks has indicated that there is room outside 1382 of any environmental sensitive areas to accommodate the building.

1384 The 2010 Land Use Plan recommends Office development for the proposed site. The proposed 1385 site is also designated a Prime Economic Development Site for office/service and/or office uses 1386 in the Plan. The subject site is in the Airport Safety Overlay District (Transitional Zone).

1387 The site does support the General and Government and Semi-Public Goals, Objectives and 1388 Policies of the 2010 Land Use Plan with consideration of the physical attributes and limitations 1389 of the proposed site. The conclusion of the studies is the proposed site supports the intent, 1390 goals, objectives of the 2010 Land Use Plan without imposing adverse effects on the 1391 surrounding community and staff recommends that the site be found substantially in accord 1392 with the County's Comprehensive plan. I'll be happy to answer any questions you may have.

1393

1394 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - Are there any questions for Mr. Tyson. Thank you, Lee. Now we need 1395 a motion to do this and send it on to the Board.

1396

1397 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - Mr. Vanarsdall, I do have one question, and maybe Mr. Tyson 1398 mentioned this and maybe I missed it, but the Watts Lane that's coming through the property, 1399 that's on the Major Thoroughfare Plan, would the County be building that with the 1400 construction of this recreation facility?

1401

1402 <u>Mr. Tyson</u> - I don't know the answer to that, Mr. Silber. We pointed that out or that 1403 was pointed out as a limitation by the Department of Public Works but I don't have the 1404 construction timetable for when that will go through. The construction timetable for the 1405 recreation site that was submitted by Recreation & Parks says that the construction wouldn't 1406 occur for a couple of years. Construction would take approximately 15 months and the 1407 Division does not anticipate opening the facility before the Fall of 2009, but I'm not sure as to 1408 the timing of Watts Lane construction.

1409

1410 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - So, we don't know whether the recreation facility would go on the north 1411 side or south side of Watts Lane?

1412

1413 <u>Mr. Tyson</u> - We don't know that. I would suspect on the north side, as there is a 1414 small area of environmentally sensitive land on the southern portion. I believe the recreation 1415 center itself would probably go on the north side with any accessory fields and activity areas 1416 on the south side, but I'm not sure of that.

1417

1418 <u>Mr. Silber</u> - As the Commission may recall when this property was up for rezoning, 1419 one of the issues dealt with Watts Lane and the only crossover along this frontage of Laburnum 1420 is at the location of where Watts Lane would go through, so it does provide a much safer way 1421 of getting to Laburnum and it does provide a left-turn movement out of this site, if Watts Lane 1422 were built. You can see that it goes across a portion of the property (referring to map on the 1423 screen) down on the sort of the lower end. It's not a part of this site, and we would need to 1424 work out an arrangement or require some additional property from the Fraternal Order of the 1425 Police, I believe owns that property. But, I think access to Laburnum would be greatly 1426 improved if Watts Lane were constructed with the development of this site. So, we will need 1427 to work out the details of that as the plan comes in for that recreation facility.

1429 <u>Mr. Archer</u> - Mr. Secretary, I was curious about this. I can remember seeing that 1430 maybe 15 or 16 years ago. Knowing that Watts Lane kind of terminates at Sandy Lane but I 1431 used to notice when I was coming down Laburnum that the driveway, essentially to Kings 1432 Point Apartments was named Watts Lane. And I notice how they were across from each other 1433 and I figured one day there will be a road in there connecting those two. So, somebody 1434 planned that years and years ago.

1435

1436 Mr. Silber -Nothing like good planning, eh, Mr. Archer?1437

1438 <u>Mr. Archer</u> - 1439 day.	I'll tell you. I often wondered about that. I knew it was coming one
1439 day. 1440	
1441 Mr. Vanarsdall -	Are there any more questions for Mr. Tyson?
1442	
1443 Mr. Archer -	I have to move on this, right, Mr. Secretary?
1444	
1445 Mr. Silber -	Yes, sir.
1446	
1447 Mr. Archer -	All right, then
1448	
1449 Mr. Vanarsdall -	You can read that right there.
1450	
1451 Mr. Archer -	Do we need to read the resolution?
1452	
1453 <u>Mr. Silber</u> -	I don't think it needs to be read.
1454	
1455 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> -	Tell him how to shorten it.
1456	
1457 <u>Mr. Silber</u> -	I think you can just read the final resolution.
1458	
1459 <u>Mr. Archer</u> -	Okay. I will move that the resolution titled SIA-02-05 be recommended
1460 for approval.	
1461	
1462 <u>Mr. Branin</u> -	Second.
1463	
1464 Mr. Vanarsdall -	The motion was made by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Branin. All
•••	opposed say nay. The motion passes.
1466	ission annual to maximum of the Deschritish CIA 02.05. Eastern Hannies
Ū.	ission approved to recommend the Resolution SIA-02-05, Eastern Henrico
	te in the Fairfield District to the Board of Supervisors.
1469 1470 Mr. Vanaradall	Mr. Cilban to you have enviting also for yo?
1470 <u>Mr. Vanarsdall</u> - 1471	Mr. Silber, to you have anything else for us?
1471 1472 Mr. Silber -	No, sir, Mr. Chairman. I would like to wish everybody a Happy
1472 <u>Mil. Shoel</u> - 1473 Thanksgiving.	No, sir, Mir. Chanman. I would like to wish everybody a mappy
1475 Thanksgiving. 1474	
1475 Mrs. Jones -	To you as well.
1476	To you as wen.
1477 Mr. Vanarsdall -	I hope all of you have a nice Thanksgiving and the Lord be good to you.
	The big ham in my family is me.
1479	
1480 Mr. Archer -	Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Watch your cholesterol that's about all I can
1481 say.	
1482	

1483 Mrs. Jones -	I would move to adjourn.
1484	
1485 Mr. Archer -	I second your motion for adjournment, Mrs. Jones.
1486	
1487 On a motion by Mrs.	Jones and seconded by Mr. Archer, the Planning Commission adjourned
1488 its November 16, 200	05, meeting.
1489	
1490	
1491	
1492	
1493	Ernest B. Vanarsdall, C.P.C., Chairperson
1494	
1495	
1496	
1497	
1498	Randall R. Silber, Secretary
1499	
1500	