Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of the County of Henrico, held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and Hungary Springs Roads, Beginning at 7:00 p.m. Thursday, April 13, 2006, Display Notice having been published in the Richmond Times-Dispatch on March 23, 2006 and March 30, 2006.

Members Present: Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C., Chairperson (Fairfield)

Mr. Tommy Branin, Vice Chairperson (Three Chopt)

Mrs. Bonnie-Leigh Jones (Tuckahoe) Mr. E. Ray Jernigan, C.P.C. (Varina)

Mrs. Patricia S. O'Bannon (Tuckahoe), Board of

Supervisors Representative

Mr. Ernest B. Vanarsdall, C.P.C. (Brookland)

Mr. Randall R. Silber, Director of Planning, Secretary

Members Absent: None

 Others Present: Ms. Jean Moore, Principal Planner

Mr. Lee Tyson, County Planner

Mr. Thomas Coleman, County Planner Ms. Nathalie Neaves, County Planner Mr. Livingston Lewis, County Planner Ms. Jennifer C. Dean, Recording Secretary

Mrs. O'Bannon abstains from voting on all cases unless it is necessary to break a tie.

Mr. Archer: The Planning Commission will come to order. We are in good shape tonight. Good evening fellow Commissioners and good evening to the public.

Mr. Vanarsdall: Good evening Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Jernigan: Good evening Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Archer: Welcome to the April 13th edition of rezoning requests. We have no one from the press present which means there must not be anything exciting going on. And with that, I will turn the proceedings over to our Secretary, Director, Randall Silber.

Mr. Silber: Thank you Mr. Chairman. I appreciate that. We do have all members of the Planning Commission present. Nice to see you. First on the agenda would be consideration of the withdrawals and deferrals. I think we have a withdrawal and several deferrals. Ms. Moore.

C-69C-05 LIM Properties, LLC: Request to amend proffered conditions accepted with Rezoning Case C-8C-82 on Parcel 755-759-3886, containing 1.35 acres, located at the southeast intersection of Springfield and Meadowgreen Roads. The applicant proposes to amend the proffers for access and maximum square footage for office space, and delete the proffer related to the 35-foot buffer area. The existing zoning is O-1C Office District (Conditional). The Land Use Plan recommends Office.

Ms. Moore: Thank you Mr. Secretary. We do have one withdrawal request and it's in the Brookland District on page one of the Agenda. C-69C-05 LIM Properties, LLC, and the applicant simply wishes to withdraw this application therefore no action is required.

Mr. Archer: Thank you Ms. Moore.

59 Mr. Vanarsdall: Gentlemen, will you answer is anybody here on behalf of that 60 case?

Mr. Archer: Ok, is there anyone here on behalf of the case that we just heard C-69C-05, LIM Properties, LLC.? It doesn't look like there is anyone Mr. Vanarsdall.

Mr. Vanarsdall: I know next year now we'll have opposition. They'll come back.

C-21C-06 Gloria Freye for Liberty Property Trust: Request to conditionally rezone from M-1 Light Industrial District and M-2 General Industrial to M-2C General Industrial District (Conditional), Parcels 797-741-6372, 797-742-7054, 797-741-9990 and 797-741-0975, containing 31.29 acres, located on the west line Carolina Avenue approximately 3,000 feet north of East Laburnum Avenue. The applicant proposes a distribution and warehouse development with outside storage. The use will be controlled by zoning ordinance regulations and proffered conditions. The Land Use Plan recommends Light Industry. The site is in the Airport Safety Overlay District.

Ms. Moore: Moving on to the requests for deferrals. The first was just added. It's in the Fairfield District of page one of your agenda. It is C-21C-06. It is located on the left line of Carolina Avenue approximately 3,000 feet north of East Laburnum Avenue. A distribution warehouse with outside storage is proposed. The rezoning request is from M-1 and M-2 to M-2C. The deferral is requested to the April 19, 2006 meeting.

Mr. Archer: Thank you Ms. Moore. Is there anyone here opposed to the deferment of C-21C-06 Liberty Property? Ok, then I move for the deferral of C-21C-06 Liberty Property Trust to the April 19th meeting at the request of the applicant.

Mr. Vanarsdall: Second.

Mr. Archer: Moved by Mr. Archer, and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. Those in favor of the motion say aye. Those opposed say no. No no's, the ayes have it. The motion stands.

At the applicant's request, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-21C-06, Liberty Property Trust, to its meeting on April 19, 2006.

C-19C-06 G. Edmond Massie, IV for Fidelity Properties, Ltd.: Request to conditionally rezone from A-1 Agricultural District to RTHC Residential Townhouse District (Conditional), Parcels 746-763-2482, 746-763-2896, 746-763-1769, 746-764-3818, and 746-763-7257 containing 12.54 acres, located between the east line of Glasgow Road and the west line of Sadler Road, approximately 600 feet north of Ireland Lane. The applicant proposes a residential townhouse development with no more than 6 dwelling units per acre. The RTH Residential Townhouse District allows a maximum

gross density of 9 units per acre. The proposed use will be controlled by Zoning Ordinance regulations and proffered conditions. The Land Use Plan recommends Suburban Residential 1, 1.0 to 2.4 units net density per acre.

Ms. Moore: The next is in the Three Chopt District on page two of your agenda. The case is C-19C-06. The applicant is G. Edmond Massie IV for Fidelity Properties. The property is located between the east line of Glasglow Road and the west line of Sadler Road approximately 600 feet north of Ireland Lane. The request is to conditionally rezone from A-1 to RTHC where a residential townhouse development is proposed. The deferral is requested to the January 11, 2007 meeting.

Mr. Archer: Is there anyone here who is opposed to this deferment C-19C-06
G. Edmond Massie IV for Fidelity Properties? I see no opposition.

Mr. Branin: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that C-19C-06 be deferred to the January 11, 2007 meeting per the request of the applicant.

120 Mr. Vanarsdall: Second.

Mr. Archer: Alright, motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor of the motion say aye. Those opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is granted.

At the applicant's request, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-19C-06, G. Edmund Massie IV for Fidelity Properties to its meeting on January 11, 2007.

 C-8C-05 G. Edmond Massie, IV for Fidelity Properties, Ltd.: Request to conditionally rezone from A-1 Agricultural District to RTHC Residential Townhouse District (Conditional), Parcel 746-764-5580, containing approximately 4.54 acres, located on the west line of Sadler Road approximately 290 feet south of Wonder Lane. The applicant proposes a townhouse development not to exceed six (6) units per acre. The maximum density allowed in the RTH District is nine (9) units per acre. The uses will be controlled by proffered conditions and zoning ordinance regulations. The Land Use Plan recommends Suburban Residential 1, 1.0 to 2.4 units net density per acre.

Ms. Moore: Also on page two of the agenda C-8C-05 G. Edmond Massie IV for Fidelity Properties. The property is located on the west line of Sadler Road approximately 290 feet south of Wonder Lane. The request is to rezone from A-1 to RTHC to develop townhomes. The deferral is also requested to the January 11, 2007 meeting.

Mr. Archer: Alright is anyone present who is opposed to this deferment, C-8C-05. No opposition. Mr. Branin.

Mr. Branin: Mr. Chairman, I would like to move that C-8C-05 be deferred to January 11, 2007 meeting per the applicant's request.

150 Mr. Vanarsdall: Second.

Mr. Archer: Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. Those in favor of the motion say aye. Those opposed say no. The ayes have it. Motion granted.

At the applicant's request, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-19C-06, G. Edmund Massie IV for Fidelity Properties to its meeting on January 11, 2007.

C-11C-06 R & R Development, LC.: Request to rezone from [R-5C] General Residence District (Conditional) to B-2C Business District (Conditional), Parcels 739-761-2693 and 739-762-0100, containing 2.441 acres, located on the south line of West Broad Street (U. S. Route 250) approximately 195 feet east of Spring Oak Drive. The applicant proposes a retail development. The use will be controlled by zoning ordinance regulations and proffered conditions. The Land Use Plan recommends Mixed Use. The site is in the West Broad Street Overlay District.

Ms. Moore: Also on page two of your agenda, C-11C-06 for R&R Development, L.C. The property is located on the south line of West Broad Street approximately 195 feet of Spring Oak Drive. The request is to rezone from [R-5C] to B-2C to allow a retail development. The deferral is requested to the May 11, 2006 meeting.

172 Mr. Archer: Is there anyone here opposed to this deferment C-11C-06, R&R 173 Development, L.C.? I see none.

Mr. Branin: Mr. Chairman, I would like to move that C-11C-06 be deferred to the May 11, 2006 meeting per the applicant's request.

178 Mr. Vanarsdall: Second.

Mr. Archer: Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. The motion is granted.

At the applicant's request, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-11C-06, R & R Development, LC to its meeting on May 11, 2006.

P-4-06 Bechtel Corp for New Cingular Wireless: Request for a provisional use permit under Sections 24-95(a)(3) and 24-122.1 of Chapter 24 of the County Code in order to construct a 149' high telecommunication tower on part of Parcel 744-771-3182, located on the east line of Shady Grove Road north of Hames Lane. The existing zoning is A-1 Agricultural District. The Land Use Plan recommends Suburban Residential 2, 2.4 to 3.4 units net density per acre.

Ms. Moore: Next is on page three of your agenda. It is P-4-06 Bechtel Corporation for New Cingular Wireless. The property is located on the east line of Shady Grove Road north of Hames Lane. The request is for a Provisional Use Permit to construct a 149' high telecommunication tower. The deferral is requested to the May 11, 2006 meeting.

199 Mr. Archer: Is there opposition to P-4-06 being deferred Bechtel Corporation 200 for New Cingular Wireless? No opposition.

Mr. Branin: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that P-4-06 be deferred to the May, 203 2006 meeting per the applicant's request.

205 Mr. Vanarsdall: Second.

Mr. Archer: Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is granted.

At the applicant's request, the Planning Commission deferred Case P-4-06, Bechtel Corp for New Cingular Wireless to its meeting on May 11, 2006.

C-20C-06 James Theobald for Steeple Lane Development, LLC: Request to conditionally rezone from M-1C Light Industrial District (Conditional) to R-5AC General Residence District (Conditional), Parcel 809-724-5973, containing 18.252 acres, located at the western terminus of Steeple Lane and on the east line of East Richmond Road approximately 1,770 feet north of Nine Mile Road. The applicant proposes a zero-lot line development of no more than sixty-four (64) homes. The R-5A District allows a minimum lot size of 5,625 feet and a maximum gross density of 7.7 units per acre. The use will be controlled by zoning ordinance regulations and proffered conditions. The Land Use Plan recommends Office/Service. The site is in the Airport Safety Overlay District.

Ms. Moore: Next is on page three of your agenda in the Varina District. This is case C-20C-06, James Theobald for Steeple Lane Development, LLC. The property is located at the western terminus of Steeple Lane on the east line of East Richmond Road approximately 1,770 feet north of Nine Mile Road. The request is to conditionally rezone from A-1 to RTHC, where a zero lot line development of no more than 64 homes is proposed. The deferral is requested to the May 11, 2006 meeting.

Mr. Archer: Is there anyone present opposed to the deferment of C-20C-06 James Theobald for Steeple Lane Development. No opposition. Mr. Jernigan.

Mr. Jernigan: Mr. Chairman, with that I will move for the deferral of zoning case C-20C-06 to the May 11, 2006 meeting by the request of the applicant.

237 Mr. Vanarsdall: Second.

Mr. Archer: Motion by Mr. Jernigan, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. Those in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. The ayes have it.

At the applicant's request, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-20C-06, James Theobald for Steeple Lane Development, LLC to its meeting on May 11, 2006.

245 Ms. Moore: Mr. Chairman that concludes the requests for deferrals.

247 Mr. Archer: Alright, thank you Ms. Moore.

249 Mr. Silber: Well, as you can see, the agenda has been shortened somewhat 250 with the deferrals.

Next on the agenda would be consideration of the expedited items. We have one item tonight on the expedited agenda. This is a request that is fairly minor in nature and there are no outstanding issues. There is no known opposition and the Planning Commissioner from that district is comfortable with the request, so it is placed on the

expedited agenda. If there is any opposition to the request, it would be pulled off of the expedited agenda and heard in the order of the regular agenda. So we have one item on the expedited agenda. Ms. Moore.

C-22C-06 Marc Halbritter for Granville South Subdivision Property Owners: Request to amend proffered conditions accepted with Rezoning Case C-42C-91, on part of parcels 731-760-2030, 731-760-3631, 731-760-5226, 731-760-6814, 731-760-7035, 731-760-8636, and all of parcels 731-760-3746, 731-760-2645, 731-760-1345, 731-760-0653, 731-760-0067, 731-760-0078, 731-760-1585, 731-760-2171, 731-760-3364, 731-760-3174, 731-760-2987, 731-760-4194, 731-760-5791, 731-760-5275, 731-760-5463, 731-760-5553, and 731-760-5642, containing 12.7 acres located west of North Gayton Road along Favero Road and Elwell Lane (Granville Subdivision). The applicant proposes to delete Proffer 4 related to roofing materials. The existing zoning is R-2AC One Family Residence Districts (Conditional). The Land Use Plan recommends Suburban Residential 1, 1.0 to 2.4 units net density per acre, and Environmental Protection Area.

Ms. Moore: That is correct. It's in the Three Chopt District on page two of your agenda. It is C-22C-06 for Granville South Subdivision of Property Owners. The site is located on north Gayton Road along Favero Road and Elwell Lane. The request is to amend proffered condition accepted with case C-42C-91 related to roofing materials. The applicant simply wants to eliminate the roofing material specification.

Mr. Archer: Is there anyone here who is opposed to C-22C-06, Marc Halbritter for Granville South Subdivision Property Owners. I see no opposition. Mr. Branin.

Mr. Branin: Mr. Chairman, I would like to move to approve Case C-22C-06 on the expedited agenda.

Mr. Vanarsdall: Second.

Mr. Archer: Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All those in favor of the motion say aye. Those opposed say no. The motion is approved.

The Planning Commission voted to recommend the Board of Supervisors **grant** the request because the change does not greatly reduce the original intended purpose of the proffer and is it not expected to adversely impact surrounding land uses in the area.

Mr. Branin: I'm done Randy.

Mr. Silber: Ok. I think, Mr. Branin, approval of that case was your motion. That's the way I noted it.

C-2C-06 William Pollard for Hay Lam: Request to rezone from B-2, Business District to B-3C, Business District (Conditional), Parcel 802-735-5020, containing 0.805 acre, located on the west line of Mechanicsville Turnpike at Rescue Ave. The applicant proposes an on-site dry cleaning business. The use will be controlled by zoning ordinance regulations and proffered conditions. The Land Use Plan proposes Commercial Arterial. The site is in the Airport Safety Overlay District.

Mr. Silber: The first item on the agenda that would be the request on page one. It's in the Fairfield District. This was deferred from the February 9, 2006 meeting. This is C-2C-06 William Pollard for Hay Lam and the request is to rezone from B-2 Business District to B-3C Business District Conditional. The property is located on the west line of Mechanicsville Turnpike at Rescue Avenue. The applicant proposes an onsite dry cleaning business and again this is in the Fairfield District.

Mr. Archer: Thank you Mr. Secretary. Is anyone here opposed to C-2C-06, William Pollard for Hay Lam? I see no opposition. Ms. Neaves.

Ms. Neaves: Thank you Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, Mrs. O'Bannon, Mr. Secretary. The subject property is the former Chin Yung restaurant, and is currently vacant. Various retail uses exist to the north, south, and east of the property. A single-family residential subdivision, Tazewell Place, is directly to the west.

The applicant is requesting B-3C zoning to permit an on-site dry cleaning establishment. Revised proffers, dated April 12, 2006, include the prohibition of several more intense uses normally permitted in the B-3 District: Business uses with drive-in features, automobile service stations, car washes, hotels and motels, private clubs, indoor flea markets, check cashing establishments, boat and boat trailer sales, rifle or pistol ranges, adult businesses and self-service storage facilities.

Also included in the proffers are limited hours of service to the public or outside activity to 6:00 a.m. to 12:00 midnight; a restriction on signage as regulated in the B-2 Business District, a maximum height of 15 feet for exterior lighting sources and a provision that lighting shall be reduced to security levels at the end of operating hours, and a six-foot high masonry wall erected and maintained along the western property line adjacent to the residential neighborhood.

The applicant has addressed the only outstanding issue noted in the staff report, which was the recommendation to include a masonry wall.

The 2010 Land Use Plan designates this parcel Commercial Arterial, which generally corresponds with the B-1, B-2, and B-3 Business Districts.

On-site dry cleaning establishments are generally acceptable within the Commercial Arterial designation, and would provide a neighborhood oriented service to the adjacent developments.

The applicant has submitted revised proffers to mitigate any potential impacts and therefore, staff supports this request.

The time limits would need to be waived on the revised proffers and this concludes my presentation. I would be happy to answer any questions that you may have and the applicant is also here tonight.

Mr. Archer: Thank you Ms. Neaves. Are there questions from anyone on the Commission for Ms. Neaves? Ms. Neaves, I don't have any either, and let me commend you for working on this. I know this one was a little bit difficult from the beginning back in January. We finally got this application to the point where it is passable. This is something that I see to be an asset to the community because most of what is in that

357 block on both sides are service oriented, commercial businesses and this would be of service also. 358 359 360 Mr. Branin -I have no problem with that. 361 362 Mr. Archer: And with that, I will first of all move to waive the time limits on the 363 amended proffers. 364 365 Mr. Vanarsdall: Second. 366 367 Mr. Archer: Motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall to waive the 368 time limits. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. Time limits are waived, and as for 369 the case C-2C-06 I move to recommend it for approval. 370 371 Mr. Vanarsdall: Second. 372 373 Mr. Archer: Motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor 374 say aye. Those opposed say no. The ayes have it. Thank you Ms. Neaves. 375 376 The Planning Commission voted to recommend the Board of Supervisors grant the 377 request because it is appropriate business zoning in this area and the proffered 378

conditions will provide appropriate quality assurances not otherwise available. 379

Mr. Silber: I hope I don't embarrass Nathalie by saying this but the next time Nathalie comes to the rezoning meeting she will have a different last name. She will be getting married soon. So seeing Neaves on the agenda may be one of the last times. Although it sounds like you might be back for an expedited agenda on the 19th.

385 Mr. Jernigan: Are we leaving early to go out and party tonight? 386

387 Mr. Silber: Well, that's a possibility. 388

389 Mr. Archer: I know what the name will be and I'm not going to say it. 390

391 Mr. Vanarsdall: We could go to church and celebrate Easter early.

393 RESOLUTION: SIA-02-06 - East Area Elementary School Site - Substantially 394 In Accord with the County Comprehensive Plan (Fairfield District). 395

Mr. Silber: The only remaining item on the agenda, if I have mine marked correctly is a Substantially in Accord. This is SIA-02-06. This is for East Area Elementary School Site at Laburnum and Harvie. This is before the Commission tonight and with the recommendation from the Commission this would appear on the Board of Supervisors agenda in May. Mr. Tyson.

401 402 Good evening Commissioners. Mr. Tyson:

403 404 Mr. Archer: Good evening Mr. Tyson. How are you sir?

406 Mr. Tyson: The proposed elementary school site is located at the southeast 407 intersection of N. Laburnum Avenue and Harvie Road in the Fairfield District. The area

380

381

382

383 384

392

396

397

398 399

400

of the subject site is 18.730 acres, approximately one-half of the 36.521-acre Clarendon property. The remaining 17.8 acres of the property is southwest of the proposed school site on the west line of N. Laburnum Avenue. This part of the parcel has been approved as the future location of the Eastern Henrico Recreation Center.

The subject site is zoned O-2C Office District (Conditional). The O-2 Office District does not allow schools as a use; however, local governmental authority can supersede local zoning regulations and the use may be permitted without a rezoning of the property.

The site was rezoned from A-1 Agricultural District to O-2C Office District (Conditional) with rezoning case C-21C-04. Proffers accepted with case C-21C-04 include providing a Transitional Buffer 25 along Laburnum Avenue and Harvie Road, providing a 20' wide landscape buffer along the eastern property line, providing a 10' wide landscaped buffer along the property lines shared with the single-family dwelling, and restricting impervious cover to no more than 65% of the lot.

The landscape buffers may be provided within the building setbacks, and the buffer areas should not hinder development. Based upon the County's prototype for elementary schools, the site should also accommodate the school and not approach the maximum 65% impervious cover.

A single family residence, located at the Laburnum Avenue and Harvie Road intersection, causes a short break in the site's frontage along Harvie Road and will have to be considered in the design of the site.

The proposed school site is in the Approach Zone of the Airport Safety Overlay District; however, no part of the building will encroach into the horizontal surface of the Approach Zone and the building's relationship to the airport is not considered a hindrance to its development.

The Land Use Plan recommends Office use for the entire Clarendon property, including the proposed school site. At its October 11, 2005 meeting, the Board of Supervisors adopted resolution 328-05 to acquire the remaining 17.823 acres of the Clarendon property for the County's Eastern Henrico Recreation Center. Since this part of the property is no longer available for future office use it may now be appropriate to consider the remainder of the property, including the school site, for less intense uses.

The proposed elementary school development would be consistent with the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the Comprehensive Plan that stress the importance of providing adequate public facilities and services located in a manner for maximizing service delivery efficiency while minimizing negative impacts on surrounding uses.

While the site is slightly smaller than the preferred size of 20 acres for an elementary school site, the site has no known limitations to development from a topographic or environmental standpoint, and the proposed use can be accommodated on the site.

With proper design, the site should provide a much needed public service, would support the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the Land Use Plan, and would be compatible with existing development patterns in this area of the County; therefore, the proposed site for the Eastern Area Elementary School is deemed by the staff to be "Substantially in Accord" with the Comprehensive Plan of Henrico County.

I'll be happy to answer any questions you might have. There are representatives from school administration here as well to answer technical questions.

Mr. Archer: Alright, thank you sir. We've heard Mr. Tyson's presentation and I think we need to bear in mind that this is exactly what this is, a Substantially in Accord, not necessarily an approval of anything. But there may be questions that members of the Commission would like to ask of those that are here representing the schools. Are there questions from the Commission?

Mrs. Jones: Yes, I'd like to make just a comment. I'm sure there's not an easy answer, if I could. This is a fairly busy intersection. It's a site that lends itself well, I'm sure, to the school, but the traffic was my big concern because elementary schools in particular have a large amount of parent involvement, traffic coming and going, and young children. Can you just tell me what is being discussed about maybe? the school on the site and how to mitigate that?

Mr. Tyson: Yes, the Department of Public Works has recommended that all access be from Harvie Road. The preliminary layout that Schools has provided does not show any access to Laburnum Avenue. There is also a proffered condition with the existing case of a Transitional Buffer 25 along Laburnum Avenue edge. So there will be a vegetative edge there that will restrict access. There are other elementary schools that are on four-lane divided highways including Glen Lea Elementary, which is on Laburnum Avenue, Jacobs Elementary which is again on Laburnum, Montrose, and Pinchbeck. There are a number of sites that are on fairly well traveled highways. The Department of Public Works does recommend that all access be from Harvie Road and that can be addressed at the time the site develops.

Mrs. Jones: OK, thank you.

Mr. Archer: I might also add that Harvie Road has recently been widened and also is proposed to be developed all the way through to Cedar Fork at some point in time.

492 Mr. Tyson: The date of the proposed connection is the summer and fall of 2008.

Mr. Archer: OK.

Mr. Silber: Mr. Tyson, can you put that slide up that shows that connection? There is another one that was in the staff report that is shown as in the vicinity.

Mr. Tyson: This connection will be made (refer to rendering).

Mr. Silber: This is confusing because it has a couple of different street names. You have Harvie Road that comes off of Laburnum. Harvie then makes a 90 degree angle turn to the south. Meadowview then continues on and changes to Cedar Fork. Well, we need to deal with that street name issue later, but my point is that this road will be connected through so it will really serve the ability to be able to get to the school in many different ways, not necessarily Laburnum. I think that's important because we really do want to design the school so that you are turning it in a fashion that it orients it towards Harvie Road and sort of turning it back on Laburnum, so you don't have conflict with children in the road, traffic in the road. I think that Harvie Road helps a lot to provide that

510	means of access back into the neighborhoods where the kids will be coming from.		
511			
512 513 514 515	Mrs. O'Bannon: must have thought al print of the school?	Is there a layout plan or preliminary layout plan? They obviously bout where the school, how big the school, the area of the school, the	
516 517 518	Mr. Tyson: school and sort of laid	They proposed or submitted a generic prototype of an elementary d it over as a template, but they haven't done anything/site specific.	
519 520	Mrs. O'Bannon:	They haven't done anything?	
521 522 523	Mr. Silber: Lee?	Do they have something with them? Do we have anything loaded	
524 525	Mr. Tyson:	No, we don't have anything showing the proposed layout.	
526 527 528	Mr. Silber: on the overhead scre	If they do have the layout if, you are willing to show it, we can put it en.	
529 530 531 532	Mrs. O'Bannon: looks like a lot of was not be able to get to i	It just looks to me like, and not that I'm totally opposed to, but there sted acreage in that point. You know where the point is that you might t.	
533 534 535	Mr. Silber: that narrow point and	Yes ma'am. The configuration of it does have some challenges with it would be difficult to use that.	
536 537	Mrs. O'Bannon:	OK.	
538 539 540	Mr. Silber: to the top side. You	In the illustration that they have, Laburnum is to the left and Harvie is can see the access is to Harvie.	
541 542 543	Mrs. O'Bannon: there in the corner, w	Would that house ever be available or the property that is cut off rould that ever be added or could it ever be added?	
544 545 546 547	Mr. Silber: Could be added, I think it should be added. I think the County and the School Board should take steps to add that. It doesn't make any sense at all to continue to have a residence there in the corner.		
548 549 550	Mr. Tyson: potential swap of the	The Schools just supplied me with an additional layout that shows a land down at the point for some land immediately adjacent.	
551 552 553	Mrs. O'Bannon: next to it. I think that'	Oh, that is what I was wondering, because there is a vacant property is good.	
554 555 556	-	Mrs. O'Bannon, I think that house, the property with the house on it in some recent rezoning cases and they have never been able to those, for some reason. Maybe we will have some success next time.	
557 558	Mrs. O'Bannon:	Do they not want to sell or something?	

Mr. Archer:

559 560

I don't know, apparently, yes.

561 562

563

Mrs. O'Bannon: It's just you know, it's reasonable. That's excellent, the fact that they swapped the point with this section down the side. That's great, wow. It actually makes it much more, much better alignment.

564 565

566 Mr. Silber: It makes it more usable for both property owners.

567

568 Mrs. O'Bannon: Absolutely, cheaper.

569

Mr. Archer: Alright. Any other questions or comments? I think one of the things we need to keep in mind is that you know one of the toughest things to overcome when you even think about building schools, and you can't just get it from anywhere, so I guess we have to get it when it comes available. OK. Thank you, Mr. Tyson. Any further discussion? If not, then I suppose we need a motion and I guess I have to make it then.

575 Correct Mr. Secretary?

576

577 Mr. Silber: That would be nice.

578

579 Mr. Archer: I move that we recommend SIA-02-06 and that we find it to be Substantially in Accord.

581

582 Mr. Vanarsdall: Second.

583

Mr. Archer: Motion by Mr. Archer and seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor of the motion say aye. Those opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is carried. Moving right along.

587

Mr. Silber: Moving right along, next on the agenda would be approval of the minutes. Planning Commission minutes from March 9, 2006.

590

591 Mr. Archer: Is that the last item on the agenda?

592

593 Mr. Silber: The last item on the agenda.

594

Mr. Archer: Alright, I found one item on page 18 line 922. I think the beginning of the second sentence should be "Are there questions for Mr. Theobald?"

597

598 Mr. Silber: What does it say?

599

600 Mr. Archer: Have questions for Mr. Theobald.

601

602 Mr. Silber: OK.

603

Mr. Archer: Alright, any further corrections to the minutes? Is there a motion for approval of the minutes?

606

607 Mr. Vanarsdall: I make the motion.

608

609 Mr. Archer: Motion by Mr. Vanarsdall, seconded by Mr. Archer. Motion stands approved. Minutes are approved.

612 613	Mr. Jernigan:	Do you want us to vote on it?
614 615	Mr. Archer: say no. The minutes	Go ahead if you feel like it. All in favor say aye. Those opposed are approved, Mr. Secretary.
616 617 618	Mr. Silber:	OK, thank you.
619 620 621	Mr. Archer: Are we done with the agenda? I know you're trying to make 7 p.m. Meeting adjourned 7:28 p.m.	
622		
623 624		Randall R. Silber, Secretary
625 626		
627		C. W. Archer, CPC, Chairman