Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of Henrico County held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and Hungary Spring Roads, beginning at 6:00 p.m., Thursday, April 11, 3 2024. Display Notice having been published in the Richmond Times-Dispatch on 4 March 29, 2024, and April 5, 2024. 5 6 7 8 Members Present: Mr. William M. Mackey, Jr., (Varina) Mr. Robert H. Witte, Jr., Chairperson (Brookland) 9 Mr. Brian Winterhoff, (Tuckahoe) 10 Mr. Bob Shippee, (Three Chopt) 11 Mr. Jaron N. Dandridge (Fairfield) 12 Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., AICP, Director of Planning 13 14 Secretary Mr. Tyrone E. Nelson (Varina) 15 Board of Supervisors Representative 16 17 18 Also Present: Ms. Jean Moore, Assistant Director Mr. Ben Sehl, Senior Principal Planner 19 Ms. Neha Shinde, County Planner 20 Mr. Michael Morris, County Planner 21 Mr. Seth Humphreys, County Planner 22 Ms. Rosemary Deemer, AICP, County Planner 23 Mr. John Cejka, Traffic Engineer 24 25 Mr. Tyrone E. Nelson, the Board of Supervisors' representative, abstains from all 26 cases unless otherwise noted. 27 28 29 Mr. Mackey -Evening. Welcome to our April 11, 2024, Planning 30 Commission hearing on rezoning for Henrico County. We are reconvening from our work 31 session that was at 4:30 this evening and we adjourned at 5:30. So we're reconvening 32 now. I'd like to welcome everyone if you haven't already done so, would you please turn 33 off or silence your cell phones? Will you please stand with us for the Pledge of Allegiance? 34 35 [Recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance] 36 37 Alright, do we have anyone from the news media either here Mr. Mackey -38 or online? Would you like to state your affiliation? 39 40 Unknown speaker -Yes. I'm (inaudible). 41 42

Thank you. Do we have anyone from news media online?

We have no one online from the news media or the press.

Mr. Mackey -

Ms. Deemer -

43

44

Mr. Mackey - Alright, thank you. At this time, I'll turn the meeting over to our secretary Mr. Emerson, Mr. Joe Emerson.

48 49 50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58

59

60

61

62

63

64 65

66

67

68

69 70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

47

Mr. Emerson -Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As you noted, the Commission did have a work session this evening to discuss zoning ordinance amendments regarding vape shops. And you did convene that work session around 4:41 and adjourned it at 5:30 p.m. With that said, I'd like to join with you, Mr. Chairman, welcoming everyone to the Henrico County Planning Commission public hearing for April 11, 2024. For this evening, it is requested that all public comments be provided from the lectern to the rear of the room. For everyone who's watching the live stream on the county website, you can participate remotely in the public hearings by following these guidelines. Go to the planning department meetings webpage at henrico.us/planning/meetings. Scroll down under Planning Commission and click on WebEx event. Once you have joined the WebEx event, please click the chat button in the bottom right corner of the screen. Staff will send a message asking if anyone would like to sign up to speak on an upcoming case. To respond, please select Neha Shinde from the drop-down menu and send her a message. She will place you in the queue to speak. The Commission does have guidelines for its public hearings. The applicant is allowed 10 minutes to present the request and time may be reserved for responses to testimony. The opposition has allowed a cumulative 10 minutes to present its concerns. And what that means is that everyone who wishes to speak must fit into that overall, 10-minute allowance. So please be considerate of all your fellow citizens that would like to speak with you. Commission questions do not count into any of those time limits. The Commission does have the discretion to waive the time limits if it determines it appropriate or necessary. Comments must be directly related to the case under consideration. And the Commission does maintain verbatim minutes of the meetings. We do this so we can refer back to them and see who spoke and see if there's anything we need to follow up on or if there are additional questions that we need to answer and might reach out to someone. So, because of that commentors must provide their name and address prior to speaking for the record. So those will be in those verbatim minutes. Thank you again for your participation and interest in your community this evening. With that, Mr. Chairman, the first item on your agenda are the requests for withdrawals and deferrals. We have none of those this evening. Following that are requests for expedited items and you have none of those. Moving into your regular agenda. You do have two cases to be heard. With the first being REZ-2023-100258.

80 81 82

83

84 85

86 87

88

89

**REZ-2023-100258 Matthew Roberts for Costco Wholesale Corp.:** Request to conditionally rezone from O-2C Office District (Conditional), B-2C Business District (Conditional), and B-3C Business District (Conditional) to B-3C Business District (Conditional) Parcels 754-758-1687, 754-758-7677, 754-759-3406, and 754-759-7616 containing 17.81 acres located at the northwest intersection of W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250) and Springfield Road (State Route 157). The applicant proposes an expansion of existing retail operations and the relocation of existing fuel pumps. The uses will be controlled by zoning ordinance regulations and proffered conditions. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Commercial Arterial and Office.

The staff report will be presented by Mr. Michael Morris.

Mr. Mackey - Do we have anyone in the audience or via WebEx who would like to speak to this case?

Ms. Deemer - We have no one on WebEx for this case.

Unknown speaker - (inaudible)
 Mr. Mackey - Okay, thank you. Sir, we're going to let you know when it's

time to speak. I'm sorry. We just wanted to identify if we had anyone who wanted to speak.

Mr. Morris - Thank you Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. As stated, this is a request to conditionally rezone 17.81 acres from B-3C, B-2 and O-2C to B-3C to allow the expansion of an existing retail use and relocation of existing fuel pumps.

The property is located at the northwest corner of W. Broad St. and Springfield Road and is the current location of a Costco Wholesale Warehouse and accessory fuel pumps, an office building, and vacant retail building. Both the office and retail buildings would be removed as part of this request. Surrounding uses include offices to the north and west, zoned O-2C and O-2, respectively. A townhouse development also sits to the north of the subject property, sharing a portion of the northern property boundary north of the proposed expansion. A bank sits to the west of the subject site at the corner of Stillman

Parkway and W. Broad Street, on property zoned O-2C.

As proposed, the approximately 20,355-square-foot warehouse expansion would be located at the rear of the existing 131,542-square-foot building. Existing fuel pumps located at the northeast corner of the site, along Springfield Road, would also be relocated to the southwest corner of the site. The proposal would combine multiple parcels, allowing for cross access between the front of the building where the store entrance is located and the fuel pumps. The property would retain a total of five access points with one at Stillman Parkway, two at Springfield Road, and two at W. Broad Street. The westernmost access on W. Broad Street would be shifted to the east, aligning it with a drive aisle that runs parallel to the rear of the proposed addition.

parallel to the rear of the proposed addition

The concept plan and proffered landscape plan show transitional buffers along the property line adjacent to the RTH and Office Districts. While modifications to the buffer width and planting level are allowed by code, any proposed changes to these required buffers would be addressed at the time of Plan of Development review. Pedestrian amenities that would allow customers to safely navigate the drive aisles and parking lots of the subject property is an important consideration and will also be addressed at time of Plan of Development review. An additional 25' parking setback along W. Broad Street and a 10' parking setback along Springfield Road are identified on the concept plan, which is consistent with the existing conditions. Other proffers address uses, restricting funeral homes, automotive repair, painting and body shops, and indoor shooting ranges, among others. Hours of operation for the filling station would be limited to 6:00 am to 9:30 pm. Finally, hours of construction would be between 7:00 am to 9:00 pm.

141

142

143

144 145

146

147

148

149 150

151

The majority of the site is designated Commercial Arterial on the 2026 Comprehensive Plan, with a small sliver recommended for Office along the northern boundary. The request is consistent with the Commercial Concentration or Arterial designation, which is intended for wholesale and retail sales and service establishments. The site is also in the W. Broad Street Corridor – Central Revitalization/Reinvestment Opportunity Area. This request is consistent with the vision of this area, which states there should be continued revitalization, modernization and redevelopment of the infrastructure, buildings and pattern of development in the area. The request is substantially similar to the existing uses on the subject property and could provide opportunities for a more efficient use of the site. Lastly, the proposed use is consistent with the commercial nature of the W. Broad Street corridor. For these reasons, staff can support this request. This concludes my presentation and I'm more than happy to try and answer any questions you may have at this time.

152153154

155

Mr. Mackey - Thank you Mr. Morris. Do we have any questions for Mr. Morris from any of the Commissioners? Okay, you may go to the lectern. Please state your name and address for the record when you get to the back.

156157158

159

160

161

162

163

164 165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

Mr. Edwards -Good evening. My name is Ben Edwards. I live at 9701 Green Meadow Circle, Glen Allen, 23060. I represent the Springfield Woods Homeowners Association. I'm the president of the HOA and I do have some questions and some thoughts on this program that Costco wants to come up with. The main thing, the main concern that we have in the community and with the Board is Stillman Parkway. Stillman Parkway is narrow. It's busy now. Ever since Tesla moved in, they've occupied both sides of the street which makes driving through on Stillman Parkway a nightmare to be quite honest with you. Additionally, there's tractor trailers that will stop on one of the lanes on Stillman Parkway and unload whatever they unload which causes a hazard such as yesterday. There was a tractor trailer unloading now we had one lane on Stillman Parkway, two cars were facing each other, collided, and hit four of the Tesla cars that were parked there that they considered part of their showroom. So that was a six-car accident in a nice residential area. Now from what I understand that you're going to put in 24 gas pumps in an area where right behind the Bank of America building. There's an exit going out to an ingress and an egress to Stillman Parkway and from a letter that I received from the attorney is that they will probably have one car per minute come out of that exit going on Stillman Parkway. That's 60 cars a minute. The community cannot handle it, Stillman Parkway cannot handle it. It's going to devalue our property. We have a really nice community and I'm really concerned that bad things are going to happen such as yesterday and thank goodness from what I understand in that six-car pileup yesterday on Stillman Parkway; there were no injuries. Thank goodness. Now the question is with the noise when they do the demolition of the buildings, will they please, I don't think we can do anything about it but please limit the time to 6:00 or 7:00 at night. Last time they did some construction over there they were at 11:00/12:00 at night. For the residents of Springfield was it was really quite noisy and uncomfortable. So, my concern and the Board's concern for Springfield Woods is Stillman Parkway, and the congestion and the potential of more hazards like yesterday. And really that's all I have for right now. I thank you for letting us speak on behalf of Springfield Woods Homeowners Association.

185 186

184

187 Mr. Mackey - Thank you, Mr. Edwards. Any questions or comments? Is there is there anyone online who would like to speak to the case?

189

190 Ms. Deemer - We have no one online for this case.

191

192 Mr. Mackey - Thank you.

193

194 Mr. Witte- Let's hear from the applicant please.

195 196

197

198

199

200

Mr. Roberts - Good evening, Mr. Chair, members of the commission, Matt Roberts on behalf of the applicant with Hirschler. I want to start tonight by thanking staff, Ali and Michael for all their efforts on the application. And obviously for their positive recommendation for our application as well. I'm just going to wait here a second if Michael has our presentation ready. Perhaps while we're waiting, I guess provide a little bit of the background.

201202203

Mr. Sehl - Mr. Roberts, was it the PDF that you had done? Here we go.

205 Mr. Roberts - Yes, sir.

206207

204

Mr. Sehl - There we go. We were looking for a PowerPoint. My apologies.

208209210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224225

226

227

228

Mr. Roberts -No worries. Well, wonderful. I might ask if we might go to the next slide. Wonderful. So just quickly, by way of background and some history on the site. This property has been within the Costco network since the early 1990s. Costco just recently purchased those adjoining parcels in 2023 with the plans to expand the operations. As Michael mentioned, the current zoning for the site is varied both in terms of the districts and the proffers that are associated with it. Presently those proffers reflect a range of uses and operational conditions and they also restrict the fueling station location, which we have proposed to remove. Candidly, the situation is not ideal for a consolidated operation, like Costco is proposing. Next slide please. I know you're familiar with the site, so I won't spend too much time on this. But obviously the Costco facility is on West Broad with existing access to Springfield Road, Stillman Parkway through the site. Next slide, please. If you've been there before, you'll be familiar with the next couple of slides. This is the existing view from West Broad Street into the warehouse. That warehouse is set to remain and so will the existing architecture which is primarily mason and block. Next slide please. This is a view of these existing fuel pumps, as was mentioned, there are presently 12 on site today first constructed in the 1990s. Those are proposed to be demolished and relocated. Next slide please. Well, we'll jump ahead a little bit, I think it's appropriate. In terms of the application itself, we're looking to accomplish two things primarily. One is create a consistent zoning for the site as well as

operations for the conditions for the operation on the site, and then secondarily, remove the restriction on the fueling location and allow all of that to move closer to West Broad Street. As Michael mentioned, this is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. Costco will expand the warehouse and parking to service its customers. That is not directly implicated by this application. That can occur today under the existing proffers and the existing zoning. But again, creating that consistent zoning and proffers moving ahead, is going to make all of that easier to accomplish. We also do, as I mentioned, plan to relocate and replace the existing fuel pumps and expand those to 24 positions. We want to locate those along West Broad Street. From an operational perspective, it's better for visibility, but also, it's going to allow Costco to expand the onsite fueling capacity and relieve some of that existing pressure at the default fuel pumps. Next slide, please Michael if you're able to. Hopefully, it'll load. But this, in your packet you should have a site plan view of the project as well; a few notable things to point out for you. As Michael mentioned, at the staff suggestion, we did shift the secondary entrance on West Broad Street to the east to reduce the onsite traffic conflict between the gas traffic and the entry and exit location there. We also have indicated on that plan; a future right turn lane is required by VDOT during the Plan of Development review. And as Michael mentioned, we'll be implementing transitional buffers across the site. Again, the site plan also reflects the building expansion, the parking conditions, and the relocated gas facilities. This is an image we're a little bit ahead of where I was going to be but the just to let you know the proposed expansion will be architecturally consistent with the existing warehouse. And as you can see, the fuel pump and canopy architecture are typical of those in the Costco network. Next slide, please, Michael if you're able to. So as was mentioned, we do plan to move the fuel pumps closer to West Broad. Again, this is a better location from an operations perspective. It's going to allow the expansion to relieve the existing queuing pressures at the site. In your packets, there should be if it's not going to populate on the image up here, we are accommodating 72 vehicles in the stacking space. By comparison, we look for comparable sites in the network to determine you know what is going to be useful here. particularly when we look at those with the highest volume sales. And what you find is the highest volume sales sites typically have about 61 vehicles, the max queuing capacity. And so, what that means here when planning for 72 is that we have adequate stacking capacity, but also a margin of safety. We did appreciate the opportunities to review site with staff. We worked collaboratively with them to address the issues and took the time to actually complete a pre-application meeting on a future Plan of Development to try and address up front some of the staff concerns that were raised. But we did also appreciate hearing from the community. We met with the office operator on our Northwest via Zoom meeting recently. We also appreciated the recent opportunity to exchange emails with the Springfield Woods HOA about the site and their concerns. Tonight, you know with Mr. Edwards here we're happy to go ahead and work with them and conduct a community meeting prior to a meeting with the Board of Supervisors. We're happy to offer that up. We did want to address several issues that came up in those discussions. First, as was mentioned tonight was site noise. Particularly, I appreciate Mr. Edwards clarification of that. If site noise related to construction is a concern, we have proffered currently 7:00 to

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262263

264

265

266

267

268269

9:00 p.m. in the proffers but we would happily bring that down from 7:00 to 7:00 which is a standard time you know that we would operate under. So, Costco does strive to be a good neighbor in that respect. We'd be happy to make that change to the proffers prior to the Board meeting. Fuel vapors were also mentioned. As I said before, we are removing older fuel stations and replacing them with models that implement current vapor reduction technology. That modern fueling technology operates by essentially recapturing the vapors and either keeping them in the vehicle's tank or essentially bringing them back into the underground fueling tanks. There's very little escaping of vapors, as you might imagine, that's also heavily regulated by federal and state law. Lighting was also mentioned in the email that we received. Costco will comply with all county requirements on that front. Among other things near that HOA property line; if a light is required 15 feet is the maximum height that's permitted. Those are also full cut off fixtures, they must be directed downward. And as we've mentioned, there is a transitional buffer being proposed along that line. And lastly, as was mentioned tonight by Mr. Edwards as well as traffic. You know, I will say from Costco's perspective, site safety both for our customers and the community is a primary concern. It's important to note that much of the site traffic is existing. And so Costco's approach is to find the best way to manage it moving forward. As Michael showed and mentioned, the site design retains the five existing entry and exit points on Springfield. Broad and Stillman. And we feel that creates the safest and most efficient condition for the site and avoiding potentially dangerous terrain movements out of the site. We especially studied the second West Broad Street entrance and exit point, and Stillman Parkway. As already mentioned, from the staff discussions, we did make some alterations to West Broad to make a better condition there. So, we appreciate staff's input on that. We also very heavily studied the best way to maneuver traffic on Stillman. We'll continue to study this as the case progresses. But a few important things to just note very quickly as I come up on the end here. That entrance was actually suggested by the county with the original site rezoning back in 1994. Because then in today's we have a median break on West Broad Street. So, the effort was to essentially push traffic across the site to where it can most easily disperse. And so, we have today studied additional alternatives to that. And do like I said, plan to continue to study that ahead of the Board of Supervisors meeting. But ultimately, we do think that retaining an all-way movement is best from an access management perspective. We appreciate staff support on that point as well and understand that staff does not have a concern on that front. That exit point, as you can see, is actually aligned with the access directly across the street at the Tesla. And so, from an access management perspective, that's really one of the best scenarios you can have. And it also permits turning movements north and south of the light. One point of clarification about northbound traffic: it is not 60 cars per minute, that is 60 would be 60 cars per hour during the peak movement. So, one car per minute at peak hours. So that is not an all the time figure that is at the peak hours typically on a Saturday. One thing just to say again, as you try to align this with best access management principles, you want to safely disperse and distribute the traffic. One example of something that we did look at was what happens if you close down the outbound movements onto Stillman Parkway. And as an example, we would anticipate two consequences from that and why

272

273274

275

276277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286 287

288

289

290

291292

293

294

295

296 297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

we would ultimately want to keep this open. One is that it does not eliminate northbound traffic onto Stillman Parkway. Customers simply exit onto West Broad and then form a right back on to Stillman Parkway. But really that pushes that additional traffic down to West Broad where you have higher speeds and such as that. Next slide, please, Michael, if you could. And last but not least, the other consequence that we would anticipate and more fundamentally why we would not propose this particular example. If you eliminate access to the traffic light, what's going to ultimately happen as folks who decide to go eastbound on Broad need to find a way to do that. And again, they might either circulate all the way back to the neighborhood to Springfield and come on down. Or more likely, they're going to do a three-lane cut and try to do a U turn on West Broad Street. Fundamentally, we think that of the options retaining the all way movement is the best of those possible worlds. But as I mentioned, we are more than happy to continue to study that with staff, the community and bring that back before the Board. So, with that, thank you all very much for your time. Happy to answer any questions that you all may have.

Mr. Mackey - All right, thank you, sir. Do we have any questions?

Mr. Witte - I have several. First off, I am really familiar with that area, I used to deal with the bank on the corner until my wife got so frustrated trying to get out there. She said, we're never going back and that's been years ago. It hasn't gotten any better. I've spent 11 different trips down there in the past two weeks at different times. Traffic doesn't take an hour sitting there watching before it's backed up and jammed up at that intersection. In my opinion, you're creating another hardship for people that are using the shopping center, that are using Stillman, that are using Costco, that are living on Stillman Parkway by exiting at that location. It doesn't take long, I took videos, it doesn't take long for traffic to back up, and then if Tesla's got anything going on, it's even worse. So, I've got an issue with that. The community meeting, we've had more than a fair amount of interest in the past week from the community. And I think we're going to need a community meeting to address these concerns. Especially the residents of Springfield Woods because they're the main residential affected by that. And my next question is, what are you doing about sidewalks?

Mr. Roberts -Internal or external to the site? Mr. Witte -Do what? Mr. Roberts -Internally or externally to the site? You asked about sidewalks. Mr. Mackey -Internally or externally. Mr. Witte -I don't understand. Mr. Roberts -I'm asking where are you asking me about the sidewalks? 

360	Mr. Witte -	You're putting sidewalks on the roadway, correct?
361	Ma Dalasata	The constitution DISI do continue the color of the
362	Mr. Roberts -	There would bePhil, do you have the existing proposal on
363	that?	
364	14. 5	Resident to the text of the te
365	Mr. Pryor -	If you could go to the last slide.
366		
367	Mr. Emerson -	If you could sir, go to the back. I think to help clarify the
368	•	te was asking you about sidewalks externally. I believe there's
369	one along Broad. But is the	ere one along Springfield?
370	84- 1864-	Franklin
371	Mr. Witte -	Exactly.
372	Ma Daharta	
373	Mr. Roberts -	Currently, not that I'm aware of and that we're showing on the
374	plan.	
375	Mr. Druce	Come Phil Passa have Calling Fasing size Co.
376	Mr. Pryor -	Sorry, Phil Pryor here, Colliers Engineering. So, we are
377		ewalks along the perimeter of the site. They're going to remain
378		sidewalk from the new parking expansion to the front door of
379	the warehouse for pedestr	ian access.
380	NA- VAGH-	What should a greenwalls off from whom the sidewalls were
381	Mr. Witte -	What about a crosswalk off from where the sidewalk goes
382	down?	
383	Mr. Drugs	Welve gains to point a proposable person the drive sinks. Dut
384	Mr. Pryor -	We're going to paint a crosswalk across the drive aisles. But
385	recommendations.	sidewalk coming up to the rear of the site as well per staff's
386 387	recommendations.	
388	Mr. Emerson -	And along Springfield?
389	Wii. Lillerson -	And along Springheid?
390	Mr. Pryor -	We couldn't get a proposed sidewalk to come in off of the
391	-	ne existing site constraints. Springfield, sorry.
392	Stillman entrance due to ti	ie existing site constraints. Opringheid, sorry.
393	Mr. Roberts -	I don't believe there's one existing there today, we could
		We haven't proposed one in the current condition.
394	Certainly Study it With Stan.	we haven't proposed one in the current condition.
395	Mr. Emaraon	Mr. Witte the site days include the entirety of the preparty
396	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Witte, the site does include the entirety of the property.  offer sidewalk improvement along Springfield if they chose to.
397	So, the applicant could pro	oner sidewark improvement along Springheid it they chose to.
398	Mr. Witte -	I would have so. And one more thing, this last clide that you
399 400		I would hope so. And one more thing, this last slide that you le come out and make a U turn on Broad Street and cross all
400		I'm wrong, but there are two entrances and exits on Springfield
401		up that way, turn on the light and not have to go and make a U
402		n was put there, they would still have easy access using one of
404	the Springfield Road's. Is t	
TUT	the opinighold Road 3. 13 t	and our out

406 407	•	That's certainly true. But as a practical matter, we would not brough the site and do that. I mean, there's a lot of operational	
408	data that Costco has from across the country. You know, folks who are going to ingress and egress from the site are going to use the easiest point to do so. And for those folks		
409	•		
410		ly, and they're exiting onto West Broad, needing to go east, it	
411	is very likely that they wou	ld attempt to do the U turn.	
412	Mr. Witte -	I'd have to disagree with you on that. I have no questions.	
413		Tu have to disagree with you on that. I have no questions.	
414	Anyone else?		
415	Mr. Mackey -	Any other questions?	
416 417	IVII. IVIACREY -	Any other questions!	
	Mr. Witte -	Alright, let me let me put one more to you. I feel the need to	
418 419		ng before this goes to the Board of Supervisors in a few weeks.	
420			
421	Mr. Roberts -	Yes, sir.	
422			
423	Mr. Witte -	I feel the need to have some type of change, even if it's	
424		arkway to alleviate some of that problem and keep traffic from	
425		ial area. I feel very strongly about that. And do you feel like you	
426		the County for the Board before the Board meeting where	
427	you've come up with a solu	ution for those two situations?	
428			
429	Mr. Roberts -	We will certainly continue to study it. As I've said, if there is a	
430		sed at that intersection; we would certainly implement it. You	
431		hat. We do not have tonight; I do not have a solution to present	
432	to you on that. But we will	absolutely and fundamentally continue to study it.	
433	NA 14511		
434	Mr. Witte-	Alright, but if we move this forward, instead of deferring it, take	
435		at that you can take care of these issues? Now I'm going to call	
436		e in a minute and they're going to express interest that I've	
437		you can think about that until after we hear from him. But in my	
438		be done. And that right turn out exit onto Springfield is just a e, it's a congestion issue, it's a time issue, it's a frustration issue.	
439	It's just a bad issue. Now,		
440 441	it's just a Dau issue. Now,	traffic engineering please.	
442	Mr. Cejka -	Good evening, Mr. Mackey, members of Commission. I'm	
443	John Cejka, traffic enginee		
444	John Cejka, tranic enginee	51.	
445	Mr. Witte -	Mr. Cejka, thank you. We had discussed an ingress only at	
446	that intersection. Is that fea		
447	that intersection. Is that let	adibio:	
448	Mr. Cejka -	It is feasible. Yes, sir.	
449			
450	Mr. Witte -	Would it accomplish reducing the amount of traffic going down	
451		Stillman Parkway towards the residential area?	

452			
453	Mr. Cejka -	I believe it would.	
454			
455	Mr. Witte -	Have you got anything else you'd like to share about that	
456	intersection or any other of	one?	
457			
458	Mr. Cejka -	Just one other comment is that it is only 50 feet from the exit	
459	of the Bank of America, a	nd we normally require 150 feet.	
460			
461	Mr. Witte -	Exactly. Alright, I have no other questions. Anybody?	
462		A	
463	Mr. Mackey -	Any other questions for traffic?	
464	8.4. VAE44.	Ma Dalaman Dal Blancon mariation of Aldia	
465	Mr. Witte -	Mr. Roberts, I'd like your opinion on this.	
466	Mr. Roberts -	Lwant to introduce John Harter, who is our treffic engineer on	
467 468	the project.	I want to introduce John Harter, who is our traffic engineer on	
469	the project.		
470	Mr. Harter -	Good evening, John Harter, H A R T E R, I'm Senior Vice	
471		affic based out of New York City, but I have worked on COSTCO	
472		currently working on Costco sites in about 10 states. This issue,	
473		bit earlier about access management principles. And one very	
474		Is that are secondary roads to provide access to sites such as	
475		oad Street is a right in, right out divided highway. So, in a case	
476	like that, it's preferable to	have full movement driveways on the side street to gain access	
477	to a signal. So yes, if you	would make the ingress only situation for the Stillman Parkway	
478		olume there. But it's the right place to have the movements as	
479		low. And what we find is that motorists with the alignment as we	
480		nd one-way flow through the fuel facility. It's adjacent to the	
481		d Street and people will definitely and patrons of Costco will	
482	definitely use that site and make a right out. And it's the most proximate access, they		
483	wouldn't have to travel through the site where there's a lot of parking maneuvers to get to		
484	the Springfield access. So, we would expect this movement to be made as described		
485	here or shown here if we were to restrict the left out. So, it's the right way to design this		
486	site. We're aligned properly with the Tesla axis on the opposite side of the street. And the		
487		split phase operation. So, Stillman southbound obtains a green	
488		c with clear and that would give us the ability to egress. So, we	
489		ess and collecting data to look at that more closely. But this is	
490	really the proper appropris	ate design for those reasons.	
491	Mr. Witte -	It sounds to me like your opinion can be valid, but it also	
492	IVII. V VILLE -	it sources to the like your opinion can be valid, but it also	

Mr. Witte - It sounds to me like your opinion can be valid, but it also seems like our traffic engineer, in my opinion, are valid. So maybe the path of least resistance is to close that, not make it available ingress/egress. Now, what's your thought on that?

497	Mr. Harter -	Well, I think it ultimately results in the two movements we've
498	just shown. Right turn mov	vement, motorists that want to go up Stillman are still going to
499	go there because they're going to leave the fuel and make that first movement to hea	
500		d then secondly, this more concerning movement, we want a
501		nt the side street movement for uses. I can't think of any. There
502		ts along Stillman, between Springfield and Broad Street and
503		tions. So consistent with those other driveways, we're looking
504		ct that Tesla's parking along Springfield is not something that
505		ting condition. That U turn would happen. And I don't want to
	•	lanes of traffic abruptly to get into the left turn lane.
506	see people crossing timee	ialles of traffic abruptly to get into the left turn lane.
507	Mr. Dohorto	Mr. Witte I think the interesting thing too in Mr. Coike's
508	Mr. Roberts -	Mr. Witte, I think the interesting thing, too, is Mr. Cejka's
509		t opportunity for us to have heard that as well. I would certainly
510		to put both of these, you know, very much smarter than my
511	neads together to have that	at discussion and see what we might do.
512		
513	Mr. Witte -	Now, would you like to do that in the short time period between
514		ervisors? Or would you prefer it to be deferred for 30, 60 or 90
515	days?	
516		
517	Mr. Roberts -	No, I think this is a discussion that we can have pretty quickly.
518		
519	application. And we will co	ntinue to be working on it.
520	NA NAGALO	Alright well I doubt on the mond to mut any mone burden on
521	Mr. Witte -	Alright, well, I don't see the need to put any more burden on
522		se it a lot. And I'm sure neither of y'all do. So, you're really not
523	familiar with it. All right. We	eli, i nave no lutiner.
524	Mr. Maakay	Anyono olso havo anything?
525	Mr. Mackey -	Anyone else have anything?
526 527	Mr. Witte -	All right, Mr. Chairman. I move we recommend approval of
528		
529	REZ-2023-100258, Costco Wholesale Corp. with the proffers dated March 26, 2024, in the staff report, and on the condition that a community meeting be held before the Board	
		ble change to the access on Stillman Parkway prior to that
530 531	meeting and it's acceptable	
	meeting and it's acceptable	e to all parties involved.
532	Mr. Dandridge	Second.
533	Mr. Dandridge -	Second.
534 535	Mr. Mackey -	We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. Dandridge.
536	All in favor say aye.	vve have a motion by will vville, a second by wir. Dandinge.
537	All ill lavor say aye.	
538	Commission -	Aye.
539	Commission -	Aye.
540	Mr. Mackey -	Any opposed? Motion is carried.
541	Will. Widolicy	Tilly opposed: Motion is carried.

542	REASON:	Acting on a motion by Mr. Witte, seconded by Mr. Dandridge,
543	the Planning Commission	n voted 5-0 (one abstention) to recommend the Board of
544	Supervisors grant the requ	uest because it is not expected to have a precedent setting effect
545	on the zoning in the area a	and it is appropriate business zoning in this area.

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Witte, you also would like the minutes to reflect I assume the sidewalk on Springfield and the construction hours.

550 Mr. Witte - Yes, let me amend that.

Mr. Emerson - I think just by mentioning it, it's in the minutes and Mr. Roberts understands he needs to work on it.

Mr. Witte - Okay. They had me on the traffic situation.

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, we now move on to the next item on your agenda this evening, REZ-2023-100017, Andrew M Condlin for HD CVA, LLC.

REZ-2023-100017 Andrew M. Condlin for HD CVA, LLC: Request to conditionally rezone from A-1 Agricultural District to M-1C Light Industrial District (Conditional) parcels 840-713-3163, 841-710-2304, 841-712-4360, 842-709-8618, 842-712-1529, 842-712-5063, 843-708-9028, 843-711-6375, 843-711-6508, 843-712-6388, 844-709-3698, and 844-712-3071 containing 622.1 acres located on the north and south lines of E. Williamsburg Road (U.S. Route 60) at its intersection with Technology Boulevard. The applicant proposes manufacturing and production uses, including advanced manufacturing and data centers. The uses will be controlled by zoning ordinance regulations and proffered conditions. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Office, Office/Service, Commercial Concentration, Traditional Neighborhood Development, Open Space/Recreation, and Environmental Protection Area. Part of the site is located in the Airport Safety Overlay District.

The staff report will be presented by Mr. Seth Humphreys. We also have present Mr. Bentley Chan, our Director of Public Utilities for any questions you may have and of course, Mr. Cejka, the traffic engineer, and also Mr. David Paylor, the retired Director of DEQ, to assist in answering any and all questions you may have this evening.

Mr. Mackey - Thank you. Before we start, I have a statement I would like to read. I would like to address a concern raised by two residents about my ability to participate fairly in this case because of my employment with Dominion, Virginia Energy. I've consulted with the County Attorney who assures me that I do not have a personal interest in this case that would prevent me from participating. Nevertheless, for transparency, I would like to read the following statement into the record. I am one of approximately 6,400 full-time employees of Dominion Energy. Virginia Dominion provides electric services in Virginia and is the sole provider of electric services in the area. I have been employed; I have been an employee of Dominion for nearly 35 years. I work in the metering department providing complex metering services for electric facilities. My job

does not involve recommending or approving plans for expansion of electric services in the community. As a member of the Planning Commission, I am responsible for voting to recommend approval or denial of rezoning cases to the Board of Supervisors. However, the Board of Supervisors not the Planning Commission makes the final decision on whether rezoning cases are approved or denied. The Board's decision may affect development rights that could marginally influence demand for electricity provided by Dominion, depending on how a landowner exercises its developmental rights. The Planning Commission's vote by contrast has no such effect. Nevertheless, to the extent my vote on the Planning Commission may somehow impact Dominion I am able to participate in the matter pursuant to code of Virginia Section 2.2-3112.B.1 because I am a member of a business professional occupation or group of three or more persons, the members of which may be affected by the transaction and I am able to participate in this case fairly objectively and in the public interest. Thank you. Do we have anyone here in public or via WebEx who would like to speak to this case? Okay.

Ms. Deemer - We have several people on WebEx.

Mr. Mackey - Okay, when we get to that point, we'll start with the people in person first. Thank you, Mr. Humphreys.

Mr. Humphreys - Good evening, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission. As stated, this proposed development would include manufacturing and production uses, including advanced manufacturing and data centers. It is located on both sides of E. Williamsburg Road and encompasses most of the area between Interstate 64, Interstate 295, Old Williamsburg Road, Technology Boulevard and Old Memorial Drive. The subject property is wooded except for a small pond, two residential structures, and an open field located between Old Williamsburg Road and Interstate 64. Properties to the north and east are zoned A-1 and contain a similar mix of wooded lots and residences. North of Interstate 64 is an existing solar farm, zoned M-1C. Parcels to the south are zoned A-1 and M-1C including single-family homes which is Old Cannon Estates on this side and vacant land. Technology Boulevard bisects the southern portion of the site, providing access to Fire Station #14. To the west are single-family homes along Old Memorial Drive and the ramps for Interstate 295.

The majority of the site is designated in the 2026 Plan as Office, Office/Service, Commercial Concentration, and Environmental Protection Area which corresponds to the pond and 100-year flood plain. Portions of the site are also designated as Prime Economic Development Site #26 and a part of Site #27 in Chapter 7 of the 2026 Comprehensive Plan. The Traditional Neighborhood Development designation extending into the site is more closely associated with a larger area to the southwest, and the Open Space/Recreation designation remains because of previous ownership by a soccer organization. The request for Light Industrial zoning is generally consistent with the site's primarily Commercial and Office recommendations which encourage economic development. Many of the uses proposed by the applicant, including data center development, are permitted in the County's Office, Office/Service, and Business zoning

districts, indicating this request could be consistent with the Plan's Future Land Use recommendations.

While the site is currently zoned for agricultural uses, and inquiries into the mitigation of the loss of agricultural land have been received by the County, staff notes long-term agricultural use of the site is not recommended by the 2026 Plan. Development of the 2026 Plan balanced future uses throughout the County, not on single sites, to ensure adequate housing and economic opportunities for residents and that includes Agricultural uses. This balance included the designation of Prime Agricultural on the Future Land Use map for areas best suited to continued agricultural uses over the Plan's timeframe. The subject site does not include a Prime Agricultural designation and based on the plan would not be considered lost agricultural land.

A developable area plan shows what portions of the property could be developed. In addition to the total developable area, the exhibits show buildable areas where buildings and associated structures would be located. Stormwater retention ponds and other accessory uses could also be located in the buildable areas shown on the exhibit. A commitment by the applicant limiting impervious areas to 65% of the site has been included in the proffers. This commitment would require a minimum of 35% of the site to remain in open space, instead of the 10% required by the zoning ordinance. The applicant has also included several enlargements of this plan in order to show specific areas in greater detail. I'll go through those here. The southeast quadrant, the southwest quadrant and the north quadrant. A second series of exhibits showing the buffers and potential flood zone areas has also been submitted. This one also has the more detailed ones so we can show those if they load.

Building setbacks and buffers have been proffered. Perimeter buffers and building setbacks for multiple borders of the property are described in Proffer 3 and their locations are shown on the submitted exhibits. In some locations, mostly along the Resource Protection Area or RPAs and adjacent residential areas, there would be a 100' buffer and setback. In other areas, mostly adjacent to roadways, there would be a 50' buffer in conjunction with a 100' building setback. So, buildings or parking lots and retention ponds could go within that 50' to 100' space and those buffers along the roadways but the first 50' would have to remain wooded.

Proffers would require existing landscaping be retained to the greatest extent possible and, where the buffer areas do not meet Transitional Buffer 50 planting standards, supplemental landscaping would be added. The applicant has also committed to any fencing located within these buffers both along the roadways and along all borders within these buffers and setback areas being of a decorative nature. These setbacks and buffers are consistent with the existing development requirements in White Oak Technology Park and with other approved developments along Technology Boulevard. The applicant has also included a requirement that 50% of all plantings installed must be native species. This is an increase over the 35% required by the code.

The proffers also address permitted uses, allowing those listed in the Office category and Manufacturing and Production category. Minor utilities and accessory uses such as electrical substations, switch stations, water and sewer facilities would also be allowed. Any additional M-1 uses could be permitted but only with the approval of a Provisional Use Permit or Conditional Use Permit per the Zoning Ordinance which involves a public hearing process such as this one.

Data centers as a permitted use have been the subject of much public input in this case. This use is first permitted in the O-1 Office District, which requires all cooling, ventilating, and emergency generator/power supply equipment to be located within an enclosed building. This equipment for data centers in the M-1, M-2, and M-3 districts is not required to be fully enclosed but must be screened per the Zoning Ordinance which is what would happen with this case. These architectural elevations are proffered. You have two examples that would have been in the staff report, that you received, of data centers that currently are planned or approved or built out there at the site. Any buildings, no matter the use, must be in general conformance with the quality of design, massing, architecture, and variety of features exhibited. Specific building materials have also been listed in a separate proffer. The applicant has committed to joining the White Oak Technology Park, which would require approval of the park's Design Review Board. Signage has also been addressed in the proffers in a manner similar to the surrounding developments. It would be monument style with bases of similar material to the associated buildings. No digital message signs would be permitted.

The proffers limit building height to 93', which is lower than the 110' height allowed by code. White Oak Technology Park allows a height of up to 110', where the tallest building is 75'. A use with a portion of its manufacturing structures taller than 75' has been approved but has not yet been constructed. While building appearance and height would be generally consistent with other developments in the area, and the applicant has committed to incorporating best practices with regard to environmentally friendly building construction. The revised proffers include a commitment to develop future buildings, including data centers, consistent with an established environmental building program, such as LEED certification. The applicant would be required to provide third party certification of the building's design and construction according to the standards which are utilized.

General construction and site considerations are addressed in a series of proffers related to floodplain conservation, stormwater pond treatment, underground utilities, lighting, fencing, hours of construction, and other topics. The hours of construction have been limited to 7:00 a.m. - 7:00 p.m. or dusk, Monday through Saturday and 9:00 a.m. through 6:00 p.m. on Sundays within 500' of a residential use and that will be identified on all plans of development based on the residential uses nearby. The only exception would be in emergencies, if something broke and had to be moved or something of that nature. Given the size of the subject site, staff does note that the 500' limit would be difficult to enforce, and limitations for the entire site should be considered. Additionally, other similar cases have completely prohibited construction on Sundays. There have been inquiries about other construction and site considerations such as soil retention techniques.

retention pond construction techniques, and screening of mechanical equipment. Staff notes these subjects are addressed in County or State statutes and, therefore, do not need to be addressed in the applicant's proffers.

The Division of Recreation and Parks has noted the subject property falls within the area of both the Savage Station and Seven Pines Battlefields, primarily toward Old Williamsburg Road. While there have been no known earthworks or other features of note identified on the site, the applicant has proffered a Phase 1 Archeological and Cultural Resource Study to be conducted prior to the first Plan of Development. Any artifacts found would be offered for donation to the County. The applicant has also committed to dedicate a portion of the property along the north side of Old Williamsburg Road to the County for the placement of a historic marker or other improvements. Staff notes the Savage Station Battlefield already has several markers located on Meadow Road and this is where the Civil War Trail website points people looking to visit the battlefield. Prior to or concurrent with the approval of the initial Plan of Development on each tract, a document setting forth covenants and restrictions relating to development and maintenance of the tract would be recorded, and by which that portion of the property would become a part of the White Oak Technology Park. This would include setback requirements, a design review board, and development guidelines addressing various topics including signage, external lighting, the location of service areas, landscaping, construction standards, site coverage, and circulation as they have been applied to existing developments within the White Oak Technology Park. Because these subjects are already addressed in the existing covenants, the applicant has removed their reference to specific subjects in the revised proffers.

The applicant will also be required to provide sewer infrastructure. The Department of Public Utilities has identified the need for a pump station and a force main to serve the site. The applicant would be required to demonstrate such infrastructure is available or under construction prior to the Plan of Development approval. County water can be supplied via a 24" water line located on Old Williamsburg Road; however, citizens have raised questions about the project's potential water usage. Based on staff research of data center industry standards, the amount of water used to operate such facilities has greatly decreased with technological improvements. The applicant has committed to these best practices of water usage and on-site stormwater runoff for irrigation. The Director of Public Utilities is here and can answer any questions you may have about those subjects.

Noise has been a major concern expressed during citizen engagement. This includes both construction noise and ongoing noise from potential uses, particularly data centers. To address these concerns, the applicant has proffered to mandate the use of best practices in the industry of any use on the site at the time of construction. Emergency generators are a typical accessory use for data centers and other potential uses of the site. These need to be tested regularly to maintain their readiness status that is required by the manufacturers of the devices and therefore they would produce some noise. The applicant has proffered to limit the testing as approved by a required Virginia Department of Environmental Quality permit. The applicant has also provided a general framework of

times when generators could be tested. Testing would be restricted to between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. Monday through Friday. In order to reduce noise in the direction of existing homes, the applicant has committed to placing buildings and generators in a manner to minimize potential noise impacts during testing and emergencies. The desire for a decommissioning plan for generators was also expressed by citizens; however, this topic is already addressed by the Building Code and Fire Code. We do have Mr. Paylor, the retired Director of DEQ, here and he can answer questions about the requirements for these types of generators if you have any. Other impact-mitigating best practices included in the proffers are minimization of impervious surfaces in landscaping areas, use of solar power for aeration of stormwater facilities, electric vehicle parking spaces, LED lighting fixtures, recycling of construction material waste, and heat-reflective roofing materials.

The proffers continue to address access and necessary traffic improvements, as determined by a Traffic Impact Analysis. The analysis would be required based on cumulative trips generated by the entire site, as recommended by the Department of Public Works. Previously they had done one for the north and one for the south but now they have come in and made it cumulative for the entire site. General vehicular access for the majority of the site would be obtained from Williamsburg Road or Technology Boulevard. Additional emergency access could be granted from Old Williamsburg Road and Old Memorial Drive depending on which roadway is adjacent to each portion of the site. The exemption to this is a portion of the site currently only having access to Williamsburg Road, it's this parcel to the north. This would be allowed to continue accessing Old Williamsburg Road for any allowed recreational purposes. Similarly, all construction traffic must come from Williamsburg Road or Technology Boulevard.

In addition to future land uses, the Comprehensive Plan contains a Major Thoroughfare Plan or MTP, which contains conceptual roadways meant to ensure adequate access to parcels for development consistent with the future land use recommendations. The site contains several MTP concept roads, including an extension of Memorial Drive to Williamsburg Road, an extension of Technology Boulevard north of Williamsburg Road and continuing past Interstate 64 on here, and Concept Road 80 which bisects the site here, which would provide access from Technology Boulevard to properties on the west side of Old Memorial Drive. The extensions of Memorial Drive and Technology Boulevard were meant to ensure access in case those portions of the site were developed under multiple ownerships. However, if developed as a single use over the entire site, a public road through that would not be as critical.

This is not the case with Concept Road 80, which would provide access to additional property not involved in this case and help relieve future traffic pressure from Old Memorial Drive. If additional property is developed, the developable area plans show a potential location of Concept Road 80; however, the placement is not ideal as it would potentially have impacts on adjacent residential properties. The proffers offer a commitment to dedication of the right-of-way for this concept road in a location to be determined during the Plan of Development. Staff was provided with policy documents created or adopted by Fairfax and Fauquier Counties. There are some portions of these

documents that do not apply to Henrico simply because every locality is different. After review of those documents, staff believes the proposed proffered conditions, Zoning Ordinance requirements, and Plan of Development review process would ensure the development is largely consistent with their recommendations.

819 820 821

822823

824

816 817

818

For community engagement, the applicant held two community meetings at Seven Pines Elementary School on December 7, 2023, and January 17, 2024. An additional meeting was held by the Henrico Conservation Action Network or HCAN on January 18, 2024, at the Sandston Library. The Planning Department has received communication from citizens and distributed this information to the Planning Commission.

825826827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837 838

839 840

841

842

843

844

This request would allow a large site to be consolidated under a single zoning district with unified proffers, and the proposed uses would be consistent with the 2026 Plan's Future Land Use designations on a majority of the site. The proffered commitment to providing necessary right of way for future Concept Road 80 in a manner determined at the time of plan of development review should ensure consistency with the intent of the concept roads listed in the Major Thoroughfare Plan. The applicant has proffered some assurances of quality development including buffers, landscaping, building setbacks, architectural exhibits and materials, height limitations, use limitations, archeological studies, land dedication, protective covenants, and several best practices. The revised proffers also address limited hours of construction on Sundays, generator testing hours, a DEQ permit for the emergency generators, joining the White Oak Technology Park, third party certification of building efficiency, additional noise standards, and a consolidated traffic impact statement. These revisions have addressed the major concerns previously noted by staff and would be in keeping with the development standards and best practices for other facilities elsewhere in Virginia. For these reasons, staff believes this request would be generally consistent with the recommendations of the 2026 Comprehensive Plan and the pattern of development in the area established by the nearby White Oak Technology Park therefore staff recommends approval of this request. This ends my presentation. I'd be happy to take any questions you may have.

845846847

848

849

Mr. Mackey - Thank you for the presentation. Mr. Humphreys. Do any of the commissioners have any questions for Mr. Humphreys? I have a question. If there is any infrastructure that needs to be put in place, the applicant will be responsible for all of those.

850851

Mr. Humphreys - Yes, sir.

852853

854 Mr. Mackey - None of those will be incurred? I heard you say something 855 about a pumping station.

856 857

Mr. Humphreys - Yes.

858

859 Mr. Mackey - And the applicant will be paying for that.

Yes, they would be required to do that because that would be Mr. Humphreys serving their property. 862

863 864

861

Mr. Mackey -Okay. All right. I do have some questions. Mr. Chan, Director of Public Utilities, would you please come forward please? Good evening, sir.

865 866 867

Mr. Chan -Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good evening.

868 869

870

871

Mr. Mackey -I just had some questions. A question about water, we were hearing a lot of issues about residents was concerned with, with the water usage. Could you explain, you know, the capabilities that the county has, in order to supply the water to the data center?

872 873 874

875

876

877

878 879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

Mr. Chan -So the County currently has an 85 million gallon per day water treatment facility off of Three Chopt Road that provides the majority of water for the county. The county also has an ongoing contract with the city of Richmond to purchase water. I don't have that exact number in front of me, but that supports our overall supply. And with the imminent completion of the Cox Creek Reservoir project in Cumberland County, that adds an additional 47 million gallons per day that we have available in capacity to produce and provide for the entire county. And so, from a usage standpoint, we've seen a high of maybe 50 million gallons per day, normally we're kind of in the 20 to 30. So there's a pretty big Delta in what we have available versus what we actually use from a county standpoint. And I guess also to speak to usage; I know that there's been some talk about data centers. What we've seen in the new models of data centers, very, very low usage, akin to warehouses, because mostly, they are air cooled and take very little water from our system, maybe to the detriment of our business, but they're air cooled and mostly just the water usage is restroom facilities for any employees.

887 888 889

890 891 Mr. Mackey -Okay, one other question, not sure if you can answer this and maybe more for the applicant, I'm not sure, just some more thoughts. If they have stormwater retention ponds is there any way, they can use any of that water for the cooling?

892 893 894

895

896

897

Mr. Chan -Yes, sir. We are working. The Department of Public Utilities is part of a study through the Water Research Foundation to look at beneficial reuse of stormwater eventually for production of drinking water. But for right now, it's beneficial reuse for process water. And there is the additional benefit of detaining stormwater for irrigation, which again, offsets the use of county provided drinking water.

898 899

900 Mr. Mackey -Thank you, Mr. Chan. Any other commissioners have any 901 questions for Mr. Chan?

902

903 Mr. Nelson -I want to wait, I want to hear from the applicant first. I have a whole lot of questions, but I'll wait. 904

Mr. Mackey -Ok. Alright. I would like to ask Mr. Paylor, if he would come 906 forward, please. How are you doing, sir? 907 908 Afternoon members of the Board. 909 Mr. Paylor -910 Mr. Mackey -Thank you. Thank you for being here. We went on a tour of 911 the QTS site. And we spoke with one of their representatives. And they were talking about 912 913 diesel generators. And they were saying that they were using the industry standard of Tier 2 diesel generators emergency generation, and we have some people who 914 requesting us to use Tier 4. Can you kind of explain the difference between Tier 2 and 915 Tier 4? 916 917 Mr. Paylor -918 The Tier 4, as I understand it, has some better removal of nitrogen oxide and a couple other constituents. The way that the DEQs first of all, the 919 DEQ has had a lot of experience with data centers, as you probably know, there are 920 hundreds of them in Northern Virginia. And we've been through a lot. All of the permits 921 that are issued by DEQ are based on air quality standards that are established by the 922 923 EPA to make sure that the public is protected with a significant margin of safety. And so whether it's, I am not up to speed on whether or not DEQ has the authority to require Tier 924 4 rather than Tier 2, but I do know that any permit that would be issued by DEQ is going 925 to evaluate the emissions and is going to require controls on those emissions so that 926 there's no negative impact on air quality. And in fact, that's one of the ones that we have 927 928 required in northern Virginia on data centers is instant installation of SNRs that reduce nitrogen oxide, so... 929 930 So that those could be added to Tier 2? Mr. Mackey -931 932 Mr. Paylor -They're probably Tier 2s with additional emissions controls on 933 them to make sure that the emissions meet all their quality standards. 934 935 Mr. Mackey -But you feel comfortable that the Tier 2 is substantial? 936 937 Mr. Paylor -What I feel comfortable with is that DEQ will require emissions 938 controls that meet all their quality standards. 939 940 So, you're pretty certain that this site will require DEQ 941 Mr. Mackey permitting? 942 943 It's certainly my understanding that it would require a permit. Mr. Paylor -944 945 Any condition that allows DEQ to waive permit requirements would only be based on the fact that there is a minimal air quality impact to begin with. So, it's my understanding, and 946 would be my expectation that a permit would be required here. 947 948

Do you think they would need any air quality monitors at that

949

950 951 Mr. Mackey -

site?

Mr. Paylor - I do not expect that the regulations will require air quality monitors. There are some air quality monitors, you know, that the agency maintains that are maybe 20 miles away, I don't know the exact mileage. So, there are some that are relatively close. But DEQ's protection of air quality is based on a lot math and a lot of modeling to make sure that under a worst-case condition, there's not going to be a violation of air quality standards. Even close in.

Mr. Mackey - Okay, alright. Thank you.

Mr. Shippee - Dave, I know it's been a couple of years since you've been at the agency but on that point, the math may check out but how are you sure that the immediate location is not experiencing a degradation in air quality? Are there temporary air monitors you can bring in or that you would that the agency would bring in?

Mr. Paylor - That certainly could be requested that the agency could do that. They have done things like that in the past. I would have to defer to them on that. I will say that, that the modelling looks at the math, looks at near term impacts. And we can tell you at least, I say we, I mean they now can tell you what the impacts would be 100 yards out 200, 300, 400. So, they look at near field impacts as well to protect that. And in fact, of the things that is part of the way that the rules go together is you have to define what the fence line is and everything outside of the fence line is what gets modeled.

Mr. Shippee - Thanks.

Mr. Mackey - Any other questions? Thank you, sir. We appreciate you being here. All right, at this time, I'd like to hear from the applicant.

Mr. Condlin - Members of the Commission, Mr. Chairman, my name is Andrew Condlin on behalf of Roth Jackson. I have with me Mark Hourigan of Hourigan Development and Construction and a host of other folks here as consultants and resources as we need them. Mr. Humphreys has described in quite detail, and I want to try to avoid rehashing a lot of what he's already covered. But this is 622 acres well located in many respects. As you can see, it's bounded by two major highways 295, and 64, as well as Route 60 and Technology Boulevard. We're also well located as an extension of White Oak Technology Park. It's really set the precedent of good business practices in the area, not profit, necessarily, but through the restrictive covenants and through the design standards, and the review guidelines that they require of their businesses we would be consistent with those but also with the pattern of rezonings in the area that rezone for industrial.

As you can see in the purple, we've got the White Oak Technology Park shown in red, more recent industrial rezoning the pattern development in the pink is shown here. And then what we're proposing in the blue along 295, 64, Williamsburg Road and the extension with Technology Boulevard coming through. It really is designed and is intended to be a reflection of White Oak Technology Park and the success that they've been able to achieve. It also benefits from the existing and planned infrastructure of the

surrounding area, including the road network, but also the fiber optic cables and the undersea cables, as well as high-capacity electric power that's already been approved and was intended for White Oak Technology Park coming across our property, so we're able to use that electrical power as well. This is literally the site is also literally buffered by existing trees and landscaping to provide screening for many, many of uses that are located on the property from adjacent roads as well as adjoining residential property.

Mr. Humphreys described our proper buffering plan that showed not only the 50' and 100' buffers, but we also have on the property edges variable with buffers along the RPA and wetlands, a minimum of 100' but up to 220' proffers that are provided on the plan. And in our proffers, we have to meet those buffer conditions. We've also provided for a developable area that combined with the buffer plan shows not only our potential development of the area and the building development, but also specific setbacks with respect to buildings that are enhanced beyond those that are already proffered in the buffer area. So, we have the increased building setbacks that go up to 280' in certain areas where the buffer the buildings will be setback. In addition to significant natural buffers, and by major road networks, we have extended setbacks. And we're also limiting clearing with respect to the amount of development on this property. Like White Oak Technology Park off Technology Boulevard, we have limited sight lines for the properties themselves.

We proffered two elevations which will set the standard for the type of development that we have. So, to the extent that you can see development but again, I would offer to you that like White Oak Technology Park, Technology Boulevard, very limited sight lines that would be able to see the actual buildings. We proffered the elevations to reflect a sense of a guasi-office look that can be provided for the development and is required for all development, which is, as one of the uses permitted includes Office uses. Most of the data centers have anywhere from 30,000 to 80,000 square feet of office space so it is important to have that office component and that look as part of the overall development. We've also put together a number of viewshed pictorials to be able to find the sightlines. I'm not going to go over each of those. I'd be happy to get them later in the presentation. I'll give you an example of a few. This is off of Old Memorial Drive, which we've got viewsheds three and four. And you can see off of there that someone standing on the opposite side of the road, the extent and the length of the sightlines but also the buffer and the trees that are located in between our existing tree cover with the maximum height of the buildings that we've shown. I also thought it important to show as we've shown up here view seven and eight which is on Old Williamsburg Road and also, I-64. The top one is off of Old Williamsburg Road. Again, you can see the existing tree cover but also off of I-64 the distance as well as existing tree cover and inability from our perspective to be able to see the buildings themselves with the natural buffering that we have.

We provided for, as has been reflected by and described by Mr. Humphreys, a significant number of proffers and proffer commitments that are consistent with and expected of any development but also go above and beyond proffers that we have not provided for in my memory in any case. I'm not going to go through each and every one of these as they have been described. I was going to touch upon some of them that we found important

to respond to particularly community comments and concerns. I've highlighted those with underlined and bold. So, for example, under Number 7, Lighting Standards, we proffered that we will be Dark Sky compliant. Specific lights that are within and near the residential areas to be limited to 25' in height, all lights will be LED. And as was requested specifically by one of the neighbors that no building lights would be facing towards single family homes. There's limited construction traffic, as has been noted to Williamsburg Road and Technology Boulevard. We've also limited access, all access to the property, other than emergency access to those two roads as well.

The protective covenants have already been talked about as well as a screening of loading docks, as well as the equipment areas. One of the things that wasn't talked about is I should list it as new Proffer Number 31. There was a concern that was raised that we found last week someone asked about consistent with existing zonings in the area for industrial zonings Safe Conduct of Operations that historically we provided for with the new ordinance that covers most of it. We're willing to if the Planning Commission is willing to accept it, willing to provide for a Safe Conduct of Operations proffer that would reflect what has been done in the past and are consistent with other areas.

Lastly, on this slide, I'd mentioned again, the open space, which is 35%. A minimum of the development area has to be retained in open space, we have 70 acres of RPA, and wetlands. But we also are providing for over 220 acres of open space beyond or as part of that, in addition, to those 70 acres. So, we think that's significant, and certainly unique and well beyond what the code otherwise requires. We've also has already been talked about the limited access and the concept of access but we're also providing sidewalks along Technology Boulevard and Williamsburg Road which is significant from that standpoint to help encourage pedestrian access in the area. Something that I've never proffered before and I don't think I've seen anywhere in Henrico, and quite frankly anywhere else, is proffering for all buildings, regardless, not limited to data centers would be constructed and operated using best practices in the industry. So that includes construction, noise attenuation and cooling technologies. And that's something that you know, as technology evolves, we didn't want to specify specific standards, because guite frankly, using water as an example, no longer using evaporative systems. Even we're getting away from closed loop systems. And the technology continues to evolve so that there's almost no water usage beyond the kitchen and restrooms.

But with respect to data centers, we have committed to a LEED Silver Standard or equivalent, which is in Proffer 24. We were asked by the community to provide a LEED standard, which we have then proffered. And with that comes a number of benefits and a number of requirements that we have to meet with respect to not only the building structure, but also operations. There was a comment that was we've received just recently, in the last I think 24 hours asking us to provide for a Gold standard. Quite frankly, having LEED Silver as we think an enhanced standard to be able to meet and the Gold Standard, not able to meet from a standpoint, while we might be able to it's typically looking at operations related to human occupancy and the ability of the efficiency of the operations for HVAC systems for occupancy for that standard. With respect to data centers, there's limited human occupancy, and it's really more of the data halls and for

the IT equipment. And it's a different type of HVAC, and we're concerned about and quite frankly, there hasn't been to our knowledge, any new enterprise and Colocation Data Centers that have been built that are built to the Gold Standard. We feel like we can meet the Silver Standard, we might be able to meet the Gold Standard. But we can't commit to that at this point. Because it is a different type of operation than you typically find for being able to meet with a Gold Standard. So that's a part of our commitment. We've also designed in construction to use recognized energy industry energy efficiency standards, such as the ASHRAE, standard 90.4, which is again, something that I have not seen in any other case and providing for that standard.

Mr. Humphreys is provided a unique resolution, I think in describing the concern raised for noise attenuation, and providing for a baseline study, and providing for specific decibel standards, both during the day and night. And making sure we meet those. So again, that's something that's been talked about quite a bit. One of the things that has caused a lot of concern is generators. And we have certainly done a lot of research and certainly have a lot of experience on that. And one of the things that we've provided for was we called them emergency generators, there was a concern about making sure that we proffered that we were required to get all DEQ permits that would apply to emergency generators. I'm willing to take out and we're willing to remove the word emergency so that if DEQ requires any generator, we would have to apply for DEQ permitting for that generator not limited to emergency. But we're also willing to limit the use of generators for only emergency power. I'm happy to revise that and work with the staff. There's no intention to use the generators for anything else other than emergency power.

As you can see, and from our description, Mr. Humphreys, discussion of the proffers, we provided for operation and testing. Testing is limited to 7 a.m. to 7 p.m., Monday through Friday, and screening of the generators a part of the equipment. And while certainly we didn't proffer the specific technology, this is an example of a generator design eliminating both noise and emissions, using a number of different equipment, different tools in order to limit those specifically. Talking about with respect to DEQ and I know from talking to our environmental attorneys and the DEQ experience with respect to an overall emission that we have to provide for the entire project as it comes forward and gets permitted by DEQ. And so regardless of the type of generator, whether it's Tier 2 or Tier 4 there are differences. The industry standard is currently Tier 2, because of reliability and availability. We still have to meet those emissions control standards for the project, regardless of the type of generator, and we can't exceed those from that standpoint. We'll be monitored specifically. We're also incentivized by the fact that we have to, because of the IT equipment, you have to make sure we're using the cooling standards that we have with the air intake to make sure that we're monitoring the interior, and that we're not having any degradation with respect to particles, and other pollutants that are within the site itself within our buildings. We have to be careful with the IT and servers that are provided for and that's required of us as far as our operations. So, we're all obviously incentivized from that standpoint.

And lastly, with respect to the proffers mentioned, I'm happy to obviously answer any questions with respect to historic preservation. Mr. Humphreys actually pulled up a couple

more of state historical markers that are in the area than we picked up on Meadow Road and Route 60. But also speaking of the biggest concern was the Savage Station Battle and picking up the map of the battlefield overlaid on this property by the Civil War Trust. And I'll zoom in a little bit, you can see 64 running from left to right, 295 running north and south. You can see on the bottom left of route 60, our property is highlighted the portion that's north of Route 60 is highlighted in yellow. You can see a majority of the battle happened in the interchange at 64 and 295. And you can see in the light blue, where it says Sumner and Sayages Station just above north of 64. That's the area where the solar farm is and that area that's in the blue is a preserved area that is the Savage Farm in which the hospital was located that's a preserved area. You can see on our property; we only have one area in which the 20th Massachusetts moved along the Old Williamsburg Road. That's the exact area in which we've offered to dedicate a quarter acre for further signage as necessary as the county deems appropriate, dedicated to whomever ought to be able to go out there. That particular area and that 17 acres that's north of Old Williamsburg Road on our concept plan does not have any specific development on that particular portion of the property. So that would remain open as it is. In addition, we've offered to do an archeological study. We don't know exactly what's out there. But we've offered to do it and on the entirety of the property and follow the Section 106 requirements prior to any POD approval. We'll provide for that study to the county so that we would know if there's anything out there based on that study. And then we can then take the necessary actions. As part of Section 106 we will also be subject to the federal regulations with their requirements based on the results of that study. And as I pointed out, as Mr. Humphrey's has described the dedication of the area with which we believe is the only area that has been impacted by Savages Station.

I'm certainly not going to go over this entire chart as I can't even read it. But these are the number of items that we've responded to specifically that we feel are unique to this property that were in response to staff, as well as the community comments that we've tried to be responsive with a number of comments and meetings as well as large scale community meetings. And then finally, I will point out that we have with respect to regardless of whether it's data center, or advanced manufacturing, one of the benefits of not having distribution uses is while maybe not having as many jobs, they're well-paying jobs. And as part of what we're trying to provide for and which the county has provided for from an economic opportunity area is to be able to provide for jobs and employment base for the area. And these are the people that would be living in the area, and these are some samples and examples of the types of employment opportunities that we have for what we're providing for.

**7**9 **8**0

In conclusion, I would point out to you that we believe that this is the best location to have this type of use with major road networks surrounding with the infrastructure available and with the natural landscaping and screening with the enhanced buffers and setbacks that we provided for, and primarily with respect to unique and new proffers, including best practices, and LEED Silver. We'd ask that you follow staff recommendation, and we'd ask for your recommendation to the Board of Supervisors as we've met all legislative prerequisites for that recommendation. With that, Mr. Hourigan has helped me answer any questions that you may have at this time.

1183 Mr. Mackey - Okay.

1185 Mr. Nelson - Mr. Mackey?

1187 Mr. Mackey - Yes? Go ahead.

Mr. Nelson - I'm going to call you back up Andy, after the community comments. I do want to hear from the community before I get too deep into asking all these questions. One, one question jumped out at me. What conditions actually qualify as emergency when we talk about emergency power? And how do you actually define that? I saw somewhere that actually a need for more power can be qualified as emergency. And that can't be. Right?

Mr. Condlin -Certainly, for our purposes, and we can certainly dive into that, if we need to with respect to the proffers. The way that it has been described to me that is if the generators have to go on that it is temporary, and it's based on the lack of existing power. That's one of the reasons that they locate in this area is because they want to have redundancy of power. It's not, it's not cheap nor good to be able to use the generators for extended periods of time. So, the idea would be that we would have redundant power, otherwise, we'd have battery source for very temporary for bridging certain gaps that we have. But to the extent that's needed for a catastrophic situation where the power has gone out, versus with redundant areas, that we'd be able to have to use the generators at that point. That is the industry standard and the only reliable one. When it gets to that point, having diesel generators because of the diesel fuel, they're looking for alternative fuels which are unavailable. But emergency would specifically be towards the lack of available power that's already provided through Dominion through a catastrophic situation. So, during that process we can define what power comes to thesite.

Mr. Nelson - So, we need you to put that into the proffer, catastrophic. The definition of catastrophic in the proffer, I think. That needs to be clear what catastrophic is and so that there's not any wiggle room for anything else like more power.

Mr. Condlin - One of the things that was raised by the community was not to use the generators to produce power to work off site. And we've committed to that in the proffers. Also, I'm not sure the exact language, but not use it as a primary source. And that's why I wanted to use it as an emergency source. So, I need to define what that means an emergency, I can certainly work with the county attorney, the county planning staff to come up with a catastrophic situation in which the generators would only be used in that short period of time as necessary. As you know, it is necessary from the standpoint of health and safety, public safety. Certainly, hospitals are everything from the standpoint of having digital records. So that's why, quite frankly, I find this is really important to be able to continue online, are why these data centers are important from that standpoint.

1227 1228	Mr. Nelson -	Alright, another couple of other quick questions. Those Safe nguage, we've been getting emails about that. I feel like we		
	talked about. Is that now a			
1229	taiked about. Is that now a	added in the profilers?		
1230				
1231	Mr. Condlin -	The Safe Conduct of Operations? I have not submitted it		
1232	because the timecode ha	id already changed by the time I got that. We've actually on		
1233	Tuesday evening, on som	ne of those cases had that exact same language. Obviously, I		
1234	don't remember the case numbers, but those are the Williamsburg Road in the Charles			
1235	City Road cases all have that Safe Conduct of Operations. And that's what we'd be willing			
1236	to work with staff to make sure that that's applicable. Obviously, it's language that's been			
1237	used in other cases, it's			
1237	used in other cases, its			
	Mr. Nolean	Co. the energy is year?		
1239	Mr. Nelson -	So, the answer is yes?		
1240	M 0 - III	The second secon		
1241	Mr. Condlin -	The answer is yes, yes, sir.		
1242				
1243	Mr. Nelson -	Savage Station, we'll come back to that. The scale earlier that		
1244		like I saw eight buildings, not 13. Unless I was, unless I was		
1245	missing something. I know	ssing something. I know we had seen a number 13 before. I think this only had eight. I		
1246	just wanted to make sure that I was seeing correctly.			
1247				
1248	Mr. Hourigan -	Good evening, I'm Mark Hourigan, the co-founder of Hourigan		
1249	Group and the applicant	here. My address is 14 Franklin Street in Richmond, Virginia		
1250		eading. What we're trying to show is the buildable area not the		
1251		vould be the limits of construction in those areas. And it could		
1252		ould be 13 buildings in that area, but nothing would be outside		
1253	those footprints.	and be to buildings in that area, but nothing would be outside		
1253	those tootprints.			
1255	Mr. Nelson -	Okay, so seven buildable areas is what I see.		
	IVII. INCISOII -	Okay, 50 Seven buildable aleas is what i see.		
1256	Mr. Housigan	Correct		
1257	Mr. Hourigan -	Correct.		
1258	Ad NI I			
1259	Mr. Nelson -	Alright, and the buffer? No, I hold that until I ask staff. Diesel		
1260	fuel backup generators, how many on site? Do you know?			
1261				
1262	Mr. Hourigan -	I don't think we know at this time. It would generally be one		
1263	per building. They'd be in	the enclosures that you saw, and the monitoring would take		
1264	place as DEQ explained e	arlier,		
1265				
1266	Mr. Mackey -	Would they have the NOX enhancers on them?		
1267				
1268	Mr. Hourigan -	I don't know because we don't know the use of what's going		
1269		the land use piece so we don't know. But if they would be		
1270		standards, then they would certainly be provided.		
		The state of the s		

Mr. Nelson - All right, last question for you now. I got more, but I'm going to wait. You're confusing me with the LEED Silver versus Gold. So, I think and I think even HCAN. Sorry, I'm confused. So, I think HCAN sent in something that actually said that LEED Silver was one of the top distinctions. Achieving LEED certification can be a tall order for data centers. In fact, LEED data centers are surprisingly rare. Fewer than 5% of all US data centers have achieved LEED and you're saying you're going to be one of the 5% for LEED Silver? That's just a yes or no.

Mr. Hourigan - Correct.

Mr. Nelson - Alright. Now, so explain to me again, why you can't do LEED Gold. Try to make that in layman terms, like I was kind of confused. And another because you said you can't do LEED Gold by also something they came from HCAN, furthermore, no, no, no Cisco, something in here says that. QTS or maybe it wasn't. I don't know. It was either Sierra Club or HCAN says that you guys recently did commit to LEED Gold on a building.

Mr. Hourigan - Let me see if I can back up and explain LEED. So, there are several designations inside LEED. LEED certified, LEED Silver, LEED Gold, LEED Platinum. As you move up the scale, the requirements on the building change rather dramatically. And so, we can achieve LEED Silver on these buildings, but not LEED Gold. When you get to LEED Gold, it primarily focuses on HVAC systems, and daylighting. It changes the building footprint and configuration, and what can be in there. And LEED Platinum takes all that to another level. So, in a building that is filled with IT equipment, there are no windows. The footprint of the building would not allow daylighting even if windows were in that building. So, you just can't get there on those issues. There are a couple of Gold data centers, but they have been in renovated buildings where the requirements were different in those areas. And so, in this type of application, we cannot achieve that. Does that clarify?

Mr. Shippee - Yeah, I just had one follow up if I could. So, I hear you on the LEED Gold. How would you feel about substituting in because I know you mentioned ENERGY STAR and Energy Star with a 90-point sort of certification scores. That's something that you all have done before it would be willing to commit to in that proffer.

Mr. Hourigan - We've used ENERGY STAR across many buildings, so I'll back up and give you just a quick on Hourigan itself. We've been doing development construction in the Richmond area for over 30 years. In those 30 years, we've done over 40 sustainable buildings in excess of 5 million square feet from LEED Certified all the way up to LEED Platinum. So, we have a lot of knowledge and expertise in this area. I've got a dedicated group inside the company that only focuses on sustainability. So, we are always trying to design and build the most sustainable buildings possible. LEED is one standard. And I would say we do LEED Silver or its equivalent in ENERGY STAR. I don't know the ENERGY STAR number that equates to LEED Silver, but it would be along

those lines. And there are multiple entities that rate the sustainability of buildings, but LEED and ENERGY STAR are probably the most recognized.

Mr. Condlin - Regardless of what we achieve, it needs to be certified by the architect that we've been able to achieve that to the county. So, it's not just, this is somebody that has a wing and a prayer, it has to be certified to be LEED.

1324 Mr. Nelson - I'm sorry, Mr. Mackey. One more.

1326 Mr. Mackey - That's alright. Take your time sir.

Mr. Nelson - Is there anybody here from QTS? Or are you, you answering all the questions Andy? You and Mark?

1331 Mr. Hourigan - We're going to handle it between the two of us.

Mr. Nelson - Alright. So, when we took the QTS tour, and all of us went on the QTS tour I think except for one, and we've had a couple of people actually take a couple Board of Supervisors members I think. The power standard. So, it's kind of pressing why you can't commit to the 1.2.

Mr. Hourigan - On the PUE?

Mr. Nelson - Yes, on the PUE and there was an explanation that made sense to me when I heard it, but it still seems like there are some, you know, in the advocacy world, there is some dispute as to whether or not you can commit to a PUE. So, from what I understand, if you commit to a certain PUE number, then you gotta make it up somewhere. There's like a balancing act between the PUE and water consumption, etc. So, speak to that part of it, and why, you know, a proffer request came in, asking specifically for you to achieve a power utilization efficiency of 1.2 or lower and why you guys won't proffer it?

Mr. Hourigan - Sure. That's a great question. So, let's first start with the definition of PUE, which is the power use effectiveness. And it's the ratio of comparing energy usage operation of the total facility, including all building operations, HVAC, cool air, all the things that go in pulling heat out of the building, versus the IT equipment. And so there are multiple variables that can change that from water used to upgraded technology in the heating, cooling issues. And so, it's a number that can be moved with a bunch of different variables. And you're not always in control of those, I think, in the analogy that I was given is, it's kind of like the fuel economy label on your car. Whether you're driving in hot weather, cold weather, accelerating quickly, using your brakes a lot doing all this other, how much load are you carrying, you will get varying outcomes. And it's the same thing with a PUE. And so, for a building like this, we don't know the uses. We don't know who's coming and we don't want to hurt the marketability of the land. It makes it very difficult to lock in on a PUE. So, the industry doesn't. It's good for measuring

what's happening in that one building. It's not good for measuring from one building to the next.

Mr. Nelson - Okay. I'm just saying, okay, I hear you. I'm not saying okay, I agree. I'm just saying, Okay.

Mr. Hourigan - I heard okay.

Mr. Condlin - I would also add that PUE is with respect to the operations of the building itself. I know the General Assembly is looking at that at this point to create a more comprehensive and studying that. They had to delay this year to be able to study that. What we're dealing with here is from a land use standpoint, and what's the appropriate use, but from an operation standpoint, the PUE's an average number that, quite frankly, as Mark has pointed out, if we have less office space, that actually lowers our number. I think we want to encourage office space; we want to be able to encourage amenities. Because if you have things that are doing using energy outside of the IT equipment, then that increases your number. We want to be able to create the most efficient number and use energy efficiencies through the LEED rating or the Energy Star rating, as opposed to an artificial number that quite frankly, you're discouraging and having unintended consequences by trying to get that number artificially lower if we set a standard that's not a metric that's really achievable from that standpoint. You'd be able to get all the benefits you want otherwise.

 Mr. Nelson - I'm sorry, one more question. The water part of it. I've been reading millions of gallons of water being used, etc. We were told that water would be and you used the word. I don't want to because I don't want to say a word and then I'd see somebody tweet about it or something. Water is being reused, and it's not new water. So, what's the deal with the water?

Mr. Hourigan - So that's the data from early on. There are lots of uses, I mean heavy water usage. Hundreds of thousands of gallons per day, maybe a million gallons a day in some of the older data centers. The new ones have been drastically improved, as Mr. Humphrey said earlier, down to a couple thousand gallons per day. And just to give you an equivalent, the average house uses about 300 gallons a day. So, if we are using 3000 gallons, maybe up to 5000 gallons, it would be the equivalent of something like 10 to 15 houses. So, the water use is way down. They're in a closed loop system. So first initial water comes in, and they recirculate that water. So, they're using much, much less. And they're getting to the point where that numbers should come down. As Mr. Humphreys said, to simply what comes out of the sink, and what's used in the toilets is not used for the cooling of the IT equipment. All that's being done through evaporative cooling through air cooling. So, the water usage is, for all practical purposes, a complete nonissue.

Mr. Nelson -

And what about noise?

Mr. Hourigan -Same thing with noise. I think you were out there and walked 1407 1408 around to see. The average noise coming out of a datacenter is something equivalent of two people having a conversation like we are now. It's just simply they've improved the 1409 efficiency of all the operating equipment significantly. And we did proffer that. 1410 1411 Mr. Nelson -1412 And the generator usage was 15 minutes a month. Is that 1413 what I heard? 1414 1415 Mr. Hourigan -Their required testing is 30 minutes per month. And we'll keep 1416 that during normal, I'll call it normal, 7:00 to 7:00, other than a catastrophic event. And I think we can come back with some definitions of what that is. I liken it to Hurricane Gaston 1417 1418 where we were out of, you know, power everywhere, whether it was the gas station or a grocery store or whatever. That's when an emergency generator is going to be used. It's 1419 1420 the only purpose for emergency use, catastrophic use. And everyone will be using generators or without power. So, these buildings will be mission critical. They'll serve fire 1421 1422 departments, police stations, hospitals, every bank use and whatever. You want these buildings up and running as quickly as possible. And so other than a catastrophic event, 1423 30 minutes of testing per month in enclosed housing, which will reduce emissions and 1424 1425 reduce the sound. 1426 Mr. Nelson -I had a renewable energy emissions question but I'll ask that 1427 after the public comment. Joe, I have some questions for staff too. But I'll wait. 1428 1429 1430 Mr. Mackey -I have a question about the commitment to sustainability. Would you be purchasing some green initiatives certification? 1431 1432 Mr. Condlin -1433 In talking with some of the users in this area, that's certainly one of the things that they pick up for the renewable energy certificates that they had. 1434 1435 which is certainly within that. That becomes part of the development process in order to create that. And that's certainly the capability. We don't have any specifics as that it just 1436 1437 becomes what's available, but to be able to purchase those in order to offset the energy use that we have otherwise. 1438 1439 1440 Mr. Mackey -Does anyone else have any questions? 1441 Mr. Shippee -1442 So Andy, on that point, yes, you can purchase renewable 1443 energy certificates. But I think you all in other projects have committed to some renewable energy direct purchases? And would you be willing to proffer that in this case, you know. 1444 1445 some reasonable figure not all that, but maybe 30%? Or something? 1446

Mr. Condlin -

1447

1448 1449

1450

that. I've never done that in any other case, from the standpoint. Is that limited to data

centers? Is that if you're looking at obviously, that's a heavier energy use.

I'm not sure. We'd have to look at that. I wasn't prepared to do

1451 Mr. Shippee -We could define it in the proffer that, you know, 30% of the power for the data center buildings would be renewable energy. It could be a power 1452 purchase agreement. Some could be on site. 1453 1454 Mr. Condlin -I'm just not well versed enough to know what if that's the right 1455 1456 number, and certainly we didn't ask... 1457 1458 Mr. Shippee -I just threw it out, yeah. 1459 Mr. Condlin -I know that's something they do to try to push the sustainability 1460 up but and they continue to do that. Sometimes they'll invest in solar projects themselves. 1461 And while I've not dedicated energy solely to that specific project, that's part of their 1462 investment that you're otherwise trying to obtain, we can certainly consider that. I just 1463 can't commit to that tonight. So, we commit to the other things that we talked about. 1464 1465 Mr. Hourigan -I might also add there is such continued improvement in the 1466 energy technology space that I don't know that we'd want to proffer that in. We'll certainly 1467 look for best practices and standards that are going to certainly do what they need to. But 1468 I don't know that we would want to proffer that in just yet... Again, we want to take 1469 advantage of all the technology that is driving energy efficiency, down, down. 1470 1471 Mr. Shippee -Yeah, I'm sure your customers are asking for it when they 1472 occupy your building. Thanks. 1473 1474 1475 Mr. Hourigan -Thank you. 1476 1477 Mr. Nelson -So, I changed my mind. I'm going to go ahead and ask staff 1478 now. Joe, you're up here. You want me to talk to you or just start? 1479 Mr. Emerson -We can handle it however you choose. 1480 1481 Mr. Nelson -Alright, so let me start here. You know, I will say back in 2017, 1482 2016, whenever we reduced the tax rate for data centers. I had no idea that we'd be here 1483 with data centers and energy consumption and just some of the potential challenges that 1484 come with oversaturation of them. And so yes, we did reduce the data center rate. And, 1485 you know, the EDA does say on their website that they recruit data centers. We're here. 1486 I don't think that we have an oversaturation. So, I've actually asked you how many data 1487 centers that we have if you know, and then talk about, you know, I saw somewhere, 1488 somewhere, somebody said that you know, we're not... Well, let me just pause there. I'll 1489 1490 just pause there.

1491

1492

1493

1494

1495

1496

And I'm asking you that because if for some reason the Board of Supervisors passes this

case, what has been the benefit to the county of Henrico so far without this case? From

the tax payment to the county, from what we already have? If you don't have an exact

number, then give us an estimate of where we are, you know, I constantly hear there's

no benefit. And you know, one thing we haven't talked about, yet. I don't know where, I

don't know where I am, or where my colleagues will be when we vote on this next month. But if we for some reason pass this case, it will not be without a significant historic investment back into the community because of the tax revenue that comes from this, and I'm talking about for a specific need. So, we won't just be passing this case, and then nothing comes out of it. It will be transformational for the entire county what we will do specifically, but we'll talk more about that after today. Just tell me what the tax revenue looks like, for data centers. And how many of them do we have around?

Mr. Emerson - Revered Nelson, I can't, I can tell you, it's substantial. But I don't have that exact number, I will get that and get back to you. I think some of the benefits that we've seen from these entities, they do pay substantial taxes. They are overall a low impact industrial land use from the standpoint of there's not a lot of traffic generated from them. And conversely, say comparing it to a distribution center, you may have more jobs, you have more traffic, and you have more activity level. We get more complaints from a noise basis and things from different industries that are that are more active. Whereas, with the data centers, the only complaints that I have ever received, have been during construction. And I believe Seth has the list of data centers in his hand. Do you have that number Seth?

1516 Mr. Humphreys - Yes, sir. It's 16. And they're located all over the county, including Innsbrook.

1519 Mr. Emerson - We do have an overall location in the county because they vary in size. I would not characterize the county as being saturated with data centers.

Mr. Nelson - So is it and I guess this is something that... So the young man who spoke earlier about DEQ, I mean, well, he was a former what for DEQ?

Mr. Emerson - He's the retired Director of the Department of Environmental Quality, and he's still with us if you would like to hear from him. Well, I do have a question.

Can I ask you a question if you don't mind.

1529 Mr. Emerson - Mr. Paylor, would you mind?

1531 Mr. Nelson - Former Director of DEQ? Ok.

1533 Mr. Paylor - That's correct. Yes.

1535 Mr. Nelson - Temporary air monitors. Somebody asked that earlier. How often did you hear that? As it relates to cases that came forward? I mean, is that unusual ask for a particular...

Mr. Paylor - I would say that it's not frequent. DEQ does have some monitors that they can place temporarily but in terms of the percentage of, of permitted facilities, it's pretty low. And it's been when there was a significant community concern that we wanted to...

1543		
1544	Mr. Nelson -	So, who would? So, DEQ would put that monitor up? The
1545	applicant wouldn't be resp	consible for that. You would be responsible. Not you but the
1546	organization would have b	een responsible.
1547		
1548	Mr. Paylor -	The question as I understood it was, was DEQ and DEQ does,
1549	in fact, in some instances	, put temporary monitors in certain locations to validate what,
1550	what modeling has shown	
1551		
1552	Mr. Nelson -	So, who The locality would request DEQ to do air
1553	monitoring at XYZ location	?
1554		
1555	Mr. Paylor -	That you certainly could do. Yes. And there has been some of
1556	that done in the past. Yo	our question was how much? It's, it's not? It's not frequent?
1557	Because it's not inexpensi-	ve.
1558		
1559		Okay. All right. Thank you. Hold on. So, talk, say more about
1560	the Tier 2 and Tier 4. I me	an, if you can talk more about that?
1561		
1562	•	Well, I don't know. A lot of technology between the two. I
1563		that, what I was, other than to say that emissions are lower,
1564		e in Tier 4 than they are in Tier 2. And as the gentleman said
1565		what's required. What I was referencing in, in northern Virginia
1566		enerators. And if in some of those instances, when modeling
1567		e an air quality problem with that additional emissions controls
1568		f the permit. So, I'm suggesting it all goes, goes back to the
1569	•	at the APA's air quality standards are met. And there was a
1570	•	nd I made a reference to fence line, they have to be met at the
1571	fence line.	
1572		
1573	Mr. Nelson -	So the DEQ can require based upon monitoring and
1574		an require an industry, a company to do Tier 4 based upon
1575	deficiencies in what they a	Iready have set up.
1576		
1577	Mr. Paylor -	Perhaps, or the equivalent of Tier 4. It doesn't necessarily
1578		itoring, it can be based on modeling, which was, I believe the
1579		s in Northern Virginia, where, and in Northern Virginia, you've
1580		of nitrogen oxide in particular. And all of those have to be taken
1581	into account to make sure	that the that the end result is meeting all air quality standards.
1582		
1583	Mr. Nelson -	Okay. Mr. Secretary, where did you say DEQ's connection to
1584	this case is what?	
1585		
1586	Mr. Emerson -	They will do the permitting for the air quality on the generators.
1587		
1588	Mr. Nelson -	So once or before they go into operation.

Mr. Emerson - That is correct, Reverend Nelson, what happens is, the first action is land use. DEQ will not start the permitting process until the land use is in place. When the end user makes an application for an air quality permit. There'll be a form that DEQ will send to my office certifying that asking me to certify that the zoning is correct for that use. Once they receive that back from my office, then they will embark on their process with the permitting. And as I understand it, it involves public hearings before those permits are issued. But they don't become involved in the process until after the locality has made the land use decision.

Mr. Nelson - Okay. It's the Planning Commission. I'll stop for now. I get another shot at this later, so.

Mr. Mackey - All right. If there are no other questions from the Commission, then we'll start hearing from the opposition or anyone in support. Who wants to be first?

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, did you want to set an amount of time before public comments start? The applicant used 15.38 minutes.

Mr. Mackey - Alright, we'll start with 16 minutes.

 Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, Reverend Nelson, John Montgomery, address, 2666 Kingsland Road Henrico, 23231. Thanks for the opportunity to speak tonight, and I'll be brief and concise. We've offered some material, and we made sure to have a copy we offered ahead of time and you have a copy of it in front of you. And we would propose that, in fact, there is the ability to establish some operational standards, not just about building standard, which we've heard that the LEED certification addresses the construction design and construction, but we're most interested in now is the operation itself and Reverend Nelson asked a number of questions I think that were important. I want to address a few of those, at least directly.

One was with air quality, and air quality monitoring. And Mr. Paylor, who I've known for a long time, actually provided some explanation. I will tell you that there's nothing that would prevent the applicant itself from monitoring and reporting the air quality, which would go a long way in transparency with the neighborhood and with the county as a whole. So, there is that opportunity. We do not have to rely upon DEQ getting the call to come check it out. Beyond that, I would just offer this: if the applicant says no standards for operation, then what -and they say the monitoring standards are wrong or HCAN standards are wrong -then ask them what standard should it be? Because there are standards in this industry. We know JLARC is looking at them. We received a letter from the University, from a professor at the University of Richmond, just in the last few days, which we've provided another copy. And she points out that there's sort of a three-legged stool that you balance, but there are standards, and they can be met, and they can be established and they can be required of the applicant. So, when the applicant comes back and says it's not their standard. What standard should it be? What I heard when that question was put to a roundabout way, there was a lot of talk.

And the last word I heard was marketability of the property. Marketability of the property. I suggested that why they're unwilling to include a standard, not because there's not one, but because it makes it holds people to a higher standard. Then ask them this. They said seven buildable areas. At one point we saw, I think, 11 or 13 buildings, we did some calculations. Ask how many square feet of buildable data centers that will be and then how many megawatts of electricity that will be and how many standby generators that will be. Somebody said one per building. And I find that I may be completely misinformed but I find that shockingly wrong. And then finally, ask them who is going to build this? Hourigan has mentioned another time that we have built great buildings, we have done great things. We have held things to great standards. Ask them if Hourigan is going to be building these buildings. I've suggested if they're not that's all the more reason to.

Mr. Nelson - Can you repeat those again? I know you got them

somewhere.

1635

1636

1637

1638

1639

1640

1641

1642

1643

1644

1645

1646 1647

1648

1650

1652

1654

1656

16571658

1659

1660

1661

1662

1663

1664

1665

1666

1667

1668

1669

1670

1671

1672

1673

1674

1675

1676 1677

1678 1679

1680

1651 Mr. Montgomery - Those questions?

1653 Mr. Nelson - Yes. You said, ask them...

1655 Mr. Montgomery - Thank you, your honor, I mean Reverend.

Mr. Nelson - That's good, that's good.

Mr. Montgomery -If not then we propose, first of all, why no standards? What I heard was marketability. Secondly, if it's not the standards that HCAN proposes, and we may very well not have the right ones, we, you know, JLARC is looking at it. And I would suggest we wait to see what JLARC says. And you'd say, well, why would we treat this industry different than any other? Well, we already do. We slash their tax rate. We build infrastructure for them, you know, somebody said that they're paying for all the infrastructure. They're not paying for the transmission line. We're paying for the transmission line. And that's one of the largest costs of infrastructure. So, we do treat them differently. That's not one of the questions though by the way. You asked that so why should we wait, I suggested that. What is the standard, if it's not ours? How many square feet of data center are they suggesting could be built, not just buildable area, but at one point, there were actually buildings. You can assign a megawatt power usage to each of those buildings. And I suggest to you that is somewhere north of 100 generators. not one per building, and then finally asked who is going to build this and if it's not the applicant, if it's not the folks telling us trust us, we know what we're doing in then it's all the more reason for you to hold strict, stringent standards so that whoever does come along, does meet the utilization of energy, water and our other scarce resources and protects the air of those around it. Thank you for the time.

Mr. Mackey - Thank you, Mr. Montgomery.

Mr. Montgomery - I think that was about a minute and a half.

Mr. Mackey - I think it was a little over but not bad.

1683

1684 Ms. Goddin - I'm Bland Goddin. I live at 1091 Dotson Road in Henrico in Varina District. Good evening.

1686

1687 Mr. Mackey - Evening.

1688

1689

1690

1691 1692

1693 1694

1695 1696

1697

1698

1699

1700

1701 1702

1703

1704

1705

1706

1707

1708

1709

1710

Ms. Goddin -... Members, Chairman, Reverend Nelson. I'm representing Henrico Conservation Action Network. In talking about this case in regard to the Comp Plan. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan has a vision for these 622 acres located along Williamsburg Road that's a main thoroughfare between Sandston and Elko. This was planned through the future land use designations to be a corridor with opportunities for businesses offering sales services and employment for the two communities. A neighborhood to the south of Williamsburg Road would allow for a walkable community and public transportation along Williamsburg Road could have been supported. Open Space was planned. There's schools to the west and east with Williamsburg Road serving as the only direct route. Proximity to the interstates was determined to be of high value to a commercial concentration land use as larger scale businesses could be supported as well. The Comp Plan designates two sites here as prime economic development areas for Office use. The Comp Plan states these prime sites should be preserved and prevented from use by types of development other than those recommended. In this case Office use. We know that hyperscale data centers are not Office use. With hyperscale data centers here, Sandston and Elko lose their hope for a local grocery store and other services. These two communities will be cut off from each other by data center alley, with hyperscale data centers here will not provide the employment opportunities for these two communities. And with hyperscale data centers here, there's a loss of planned green space that would honor Savages Station Battlefield. Mr. Mackey and Reverend Nelson do the right thing for the communities that you represent. And I hope all of you will do some soul searching. This case has impacts far beyond Varina. Thank you very much. Say no to this proposal.

1711 1712 1713

1714

1715

1716 1717

1718 1719

1720 1721

1722

1723

1724

Mr. Keegan - Hi, my name is Michael Keegan, 2844, Hampton Woods Drive. I'm in the Three Chopt District. What gets me tonight is what hasn't been said and what hasn't been discussed. I was at one of the meetings at Seven Pines Elementary. The applicant says no new power plants would be needed for this data center. I was also at a meeting with Dominion Energy about the Chesterfield gas fired power plant that they want to build in order to support new data centers. They seem to think we need six to seven new data centers. And they've said although there's a Virginia Clean Economy Act that requires them to retire fossil fuel plants by 2045, they have a loophole around that because they can apply for an exception, and they don't have to deal with it. They didn't use those words, but they did say they can apply for an exception to that clean Economy Act. So, my whole recommendation is please, for our children and grandchildren deny this request. The only way I can see approving this request is if the applicant commits to 100%, new, clean, non-fossil fuel energy to power the plant. Thank you.

Ms. Rivera - Good evening, my name is Aileen Rivera, 1200 Dotson Road, Richmond, Virginia 23231. I'm a resident of the Varina District and I'm asking that you vote no on REZ-2023-100017. Rezoning such a large tract of land with no environmental impact studies prior to considering any development and agreeing to some subpar proffers that the county staff recommended is a huge mistake. The science and the facts that prove that those properties are detrimental to our area, environmentally and to the well-being of the residents have been made available to everyone to you all and the planners. The proffers recommended by the county only protects the developers. There has been no proof of the benefits of the residents of Henrico County. It is the county's job to show the taxpayers of Henrico why the benefit, why it benefits us. All we see is doubling energy overconsumption, higher rates in our electric bills, health risk for the air pollution and unregulated water overconsumption. A datacenter that doesn't include the controls to manage its energy use will produce the kind of carbon footprint that PlanRVA climate resilience.

The Plan, which Henrico County is part of is proposing. Remember that we're talking about hundreds of boxcar size diesel generators on site and hundreds of megawatts of dirty power coming down those transmission lines doubling our county energy profile. Another serious factor is the high volume, water usage, kind of water consumption needed by these data centers is staggering. I included I emailed everyone included a study from 2023, that proved that the amount of water that is used by the data centers. You're all aware that water is a commodity in many parts of the world. I ask that you please keep that in mind. In the future, it can become a commodity in Henrico County too and Henrico County must do this part to protect our natural resources. And I'm going off script, because I'm hoping that this Planning Commission is not territorial. I'm hoping that you consider when you're taking the case, considering this case, this is going to affect not iust for Varina, not just Henrico, like Mr. Nelson just said, it's transformational for the entire county. It's going to affect the East Coast, and Virginia, it's going to affect taxpayers. And so I'm hoping that you do consider all the information that has been provided. More importantly, we do have because this is such an impactful zoning case, you have the opportunity to do the right thing. If you defer, if you defer until the JLARC large study comes out, then you have done the maximum and you can to make sure to ensure that we get the best data centers that we can. Remember we're not saying, no, we're just saying that we want the best we can have that it's available and we know it's available. So, I hope that you will consider and please vote no to this data center. Thank you.

Mr. Nelson - Can I, excuse me? Can we just pause the time for a second? I just want to ask Joe a question with the proffers in particular maybe for my newer colleagues. Proffers are voluntarily brought to us by applicants, not created by staff.

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir. That is correct. In the state of Virginia proffers have to be voluntary.

1770 Mr. Nelson - So, what is staff's involvement with proffers?

Mr. Emerson - Staff's involvement with proffers as accepting them from the developer when they're submitted, reviewing them, making sure they're consistent, and also relaying back to the applicant, how they can make their property work better if they want to include additional buffer areas or things that would be make the project work better in the community. They take those suggestions from us and hopefully come back with something, but nothing requires them to do that.

Mr. Nelson - So if a proffer is deemed substandard, then it is not staff's substandard proffer, but it is the applicant's proffer that was offered that we have interpretative thoughts about it. But it's not stated. I just want to make sure that yes, then everyone understands that staff doesn't make proffers.

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir. Staff does not make proffers. Staff receives proffers. We discuss with the applicant different things we feel that they might be able to do to make their project more acceptable or enhance it; do things that the community might find more acceptable based on input that we receive through community input, through the community meetings, from the Planning Commission from the board members. Then it's up to the applicant, what they feel they can put forward but they actually craft those proffers.

Mr. Nelson - So the key word to use is voluntary. The law, the law dictates that we're not supposed to impose proffers on the applicant. The applicant voluntarily offers them. So that's the reason that the Board of Supervisors members or Planning Commission members should not be taking proffers but can discuss proffers once introduced.

Mr. Emerson - That is correct.

Mr. Nelson - Thank you, sir.

Mr. Perreault -Mr. Chairman, members of the Planning Commission. My name is Mark Perreault. I'm president of the Richmond Battlefields Association, a nonprofit organization dedicated to the preservation of battlefields in the Richmond general area. We have saved battlefield lands at four of the seven days campaign battlefields, Hoover Dam Creek, Gaines Mill, Malvern Hill, and Glendale. This is this battlefield, Savages Station was a major battle of the Seven Days campaign where almost nothing has been preserved. We have a great opportunity here to preserve about 100 acres of core battlefield that lies within these 622 acres. With all due respect to staff and Mr. Condlin have sort of downplayed the history of this site. Old Williamsburg Road was the core of that battle with the Confederate Army moving east on it and the Union Army moving west directly over this land. Artillery was placed on a field that's within this area and died in this area. Mr. McNamara, who wrote, the local man who wrote the history of Henrico County in the Civil War, placed major fighting right on the Hourigan property. And I'm not so sure as far as the solar field across I-64. That was not the sight of major fighting. It's true enough, there was major fighting west of 295. And in the median, that was

unfortunate that that was allowed to happen without preserving it. But now we have the opportunity to preserve a significant slice of the Battle of Savages Station.

And if you're not up on your history, the Seven Days Campaign was a major event in American history. Because if McClellan's army had taken Richmond in 1862, we don't know what would have happened, but it could well have been the end of the war, there would have been a settlement between the North and the South, which would not have resulted in the abolition of slavery. But because the war continued for three years, the war evolved into a major fight over the future of slavery. And the North was dedicated to ending slavery, which it did. So, this battlefield is something we want our young people to be able to go see and understand. And if you destroy the battlefield, that history, that outdoor classroom is lost forever. Putting up a sign on .25 acres. We're digging up some artifacts and putting them in a museum somewhere. I don't know if there's any there or not, is not battlefield preservation. It's not what we... It's not... The American Battlefield Trust has preserved 58,000 acres of battlefield land in the United States.

We should do something significant on this battlefield while we have the opportunity. You have also downplayed the 76 acres in the northwest corner that is subject to a deed restriction, precluding any development on that property other than recreation, conservation or education, which is entirely consistent with battlefield preservation, and public access. Old Williamsburg Road, I'm not it's very vague what the county intends to do with Old Williamsburg Road. It appears that Hourigan wants to privatize that road and control access down to it. Which would mean even if all we get a sign on that battlefield, the public can't see that sign except by special permission. The Richmond Battlefield Association regrettably opposes your recommending approval of this application, given its current status. And we urge that something major be done to protect and preserve this battlefield. By the way, we have indicated to Mr. Condlin and some of you that the Richmond Battlefield Association is willing to acquire and interpret this land for the benefit of the American people. And we're willing to negotiate a purchase of those 100 acres. That by the way, was dedicated Corps Battlefield by the Federal Civil War Sites Advisory Commission, which is a panel of experts far more qualified than Mr. Condlin or myself to determine the historical significance of that land. It is historically significant, and by approving this and recommending this application at this point with its current status, you are essentially recommending its destruction and the last opportunity for us to preserve a significant slice of Savages Station Battlefield, Thank you.

Mr. Mackey - We've used up the first 16 minutes. We will need to waive the time limit and allow some more time if all the Commissioners... How many more people need to speak?

Mr. Nelson - Ten. You've got online people too.

1859 Mr. Mackey - Rosemary, do you know how many people online who would 1860 like to speak?

1862 Ms. Deemer - Mr. Chairman, I believe only one person has expressed an interest in speaking.

1864

1865 Mr. Mackey - Okay. What we'll do then is we'll allow them another 15 minutes?

1867

1868 Mr. Nelson - Just go ahead. I mean if...I'm sorry.

1869

1870 Mr. Mackey - We can't set a precedent. Unlike the Board of Supervisors, we 1871 do have time limits and we can't set a precedent for any case that we don't allow for any 1872 other case. So, we'll allow 15 more minutes. Please be brief, because we would like to 1873 hear from everyone.

1874

1875 Mr. Witte - If you don't repeat what's already been said that speeds time up so that everybody gets their opportunity to express something.

1877 1878

1879

1880

1881

1882

1883

1884

1885

1886

Ms. Montrose - Thank you. My name is Gray Montrose. I live at 4300 Eanes Lane in Henrico. I wanted to point out that as the gentleman who came before me said this is 76 acres that we were promised would be for recreational use. This is a park we're losing a park; we were promised in the Comp Plan that we would have this as a recreational use. So, if this community is losing a park, we want to make sure that we understand exactly what we're losing. Light Industrial is not Office use. That's another broken promise. We were promised that this would be Office use. The White Oak Technology Park would be buffered, that is not happening. We are more or less extending White Oak Technology Park even through the use of the word White Oak II they're extending the industrial use. So that's concerning.

1887 1888 1889

1890

1891 1892

1893 1894

1895

1896

1897 1898

1899 1900

1901

1902

1903

1904

1905 1906

1907

Light Industrial means under a roof and enclosed. That's the light part of Light industrial. But these generators that we've talked a lot about tonight are not enclosed. They are going to be in the equipment yards adjacent to the buildings. We're talking about enclosed housing, but that just means what's commonly called a boxcar. It is a housing over the generator. We're talking about dozens, if not hundreds of boxcar sized generators. We did some math. There are about 1.7 megawatts per generator. Dominion has cited in their own paperwork that this data center complex could draw up to 200 megawatts of power. Minimum, we're looking at least 40 megawatts of power. So, you can do the math at 1.7 megawatts per boxcar. And that's about how big they are is a boxcar. So that kind of defeats the purpose of having industrial activity under a roof if the most polluting activity is still outside. If this facility uses Tier 2 generators, that's going to amount to about 1/5 of a gas fired power plant's emissions, 1/5, 90% dirtier emissions, using dirtier fuel, and newer Tier 4 technology. And when we say new, I mean, 2008. That's not really that new. So there really shouldn't be issues of availability. And in Northern Virginia, they have a mixture of both. I'm very pleased that the applicant has proffered the Safe Conduct Operations clause. Because the code section that staff had said was protective. We received confirmation from staff this week that it's been enforced zero times since it was passed in 2021. So, I would hazard that this code section is not in fact protective. So, I'm very glad that we have this Safe Conduct of Operations clause. So, if we're going to do

all of this, if we're going to build these buildings, which the renderings show as one building in a sea of trees, that is obviously not going to be the case. It's going to be many buildings on a highly developed site. With a 50-foot buffer. This room is about 50 feet wide. So that's your image there of how much space there will be before you get to a parking lot and a building. We're going to do all that we might as well get as much money as other localities. Because we did the math. Fairfax and Fauquier, they're going to be getting 10 times the tax rate on these data centers on the equipment than Henrico does. It's about \$700,000 a year for them. Again, with size caveats \$700,000. We're getting about a 10th of that. So that's 12 teachers and firefighters, school, over time. Remember, we're losing a 76-acre park. So, if all of that is going to happen, we should at least get out of it what every other locality is getting out of it, which we are not at this time. So, thank you for your time.

Ms. Cappiello -I'll keep this brief. Good evening. My name is Kelsey Cappiello. I live at 5001 Hurop Road, Sandston. Thank you for this opportunity for comment and response and for extending the time. I've sent an email outlining my concerns as a citizen about what data centers can do for us or won't do for us. They will further divide the Elko community and the environmental impacts and implications. Further, I also have concerns about a conflict of interest on the part of Mr. Mackey. I know that we said that there were only two people who have voiced their concerns. But I would like to add my voice to that. We know that Dominion has a strong interest in the recruitment of data centers. Their website says so. And the Code of Virginia section 2.2 warns against even the appearance of a conflict of interest. Can you please provide your written statement? Finally, I ask that you deny this case until the General Assembly's JLARC review concludes. Our community is already hosting 2200 acres of industry. I think future development should have as much opportunity for advantage as possible. And the current planning tools do not yet adequately address data center impacts. Thank you for your time.

1937 Mr. Mackey -

I believe the statement I read will be in the minutes.

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir. Mr. Chairman. That's correct. You read it into the

1940 minutes.

1942 Mr. Mackey - It would be public knowledge.

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir.

Ms. Westlink - Well, hello, I'm Paige Westlink. I'm with the Sierra Club, Virginia Chapter. I will be brief, because I really want you to hear from the community. But I just wanted to add that we know that Virginia is the data center capital of the world. That means that we are essentially, as you have heard, footing the bill for the world's internet. We have not put in any safeguards in place for people. Yet we are the capital of the world for data center development. If we continue to accept the developments as they're being proposed, we're gonna hit an energy crisis. And we will see the production of fossil fuels across our state, not only hurt our health, but also be on our bills. Everyone

1954 1955 1956	that is right there will be paying those costs. And we have we are at a pivotal moment where we can say no until we have safeguards in place for people. We are recommending that we do that, and that you all will do that. Thank you for your time.		
1957			
1958	Mr. Nelson -	Don't go anywhere Paige. Ms. Westlink, I have a question. Did	
1959	you have a hand in the let	tter?	
1960			
1961	Ms. Westlink -	The letter? Yeah, it was from our local group, I believe.	
1962			
1963	Mr. Nelson -	Okay, but I can talk to you about it.	
1964	Ma Manhink	Vou probable work to talk to either Ailcon or one of our group	
1965	Ms. Westlink -	You probably want to talk to either Aileen or one of our group	
1966	members.		
1967	Mr. Nelson -	Okay Oh that's your alkay Who is that behind you?	
1968	MI. Neison -	Okay. Oh, that's yourokay. Who is that behind you?	
1969 1970	Ms. Westlink -	This is Glenn.	
1970	IVIS. VVESUITIK -	This is Glethi.	
1971	Mr. Vesta -	I am not representing the Sierra Club.	
1972	Wii. Vesta -	Tant not representing the olerra olub.	
1973	Mr. Nelson -	I had some questions. It's a good letter. I just have some	
1975	questions.	Thad some questions. It's a good letter. I just have some	
1976	questions.		
1977	Ms. Westlink -	Yeah. I just don't want to take time from the community	
1978	members who want to spe		
1979			
1980	Mr. Nelson -	No, no, no, I'm not going to ask you now. What I'm actually	
1981	saying is can I come to yo	ou specifically and ask you questions?	
1982	, ,		
1983	Ms. Westlink -	Oh, yeah. Okay. Okay. I don't want to take any time.	
1984			
1985	Mr. Nelson -	Oh, no, no, no, no.	
1986			
1987	Ms. Westlink -	That's fine. Thank you. I appreciate it.	
1988			
1989	Mr. Vesta -	My name is Glen Vesta. I actually live in Chesterfield County.	
1990	Mr. Michael Reagan and his wife earlier addressed some of the issues relative to the new		
1991	gas plant that they've proposed in Chesterfield. I just want you to know that one of those		
1992	costs that you will all pay is the cost of new gas plants that Dominion plans to build		
1993	effectively in violation of the Virginian Clean Economy Act if DEQ lets them do that. And		
1994	I really want to talk about the diesel generators for just a moment here. I just want to say		
1995	that just last year DEQ seriously considered a major across the board waiver for diesel		
1996	generators in Northern Virginia. And there was such an uprising from the citizens that they pulled back from that. So, we have no assurances that our air will be protected from		
1997			
1998		because DEQ almost waived the rules, in the case of what they	
1999	call catastrophic situations. I do want to point out that years ago, I did work for the Sierra		

Club when Mr. Paylor was also the director of DEQ. And during that time, we actually put monitors, we had a professor from North Carolina State installed 10. That's particulate matter monitors in a community that was being impacted by seriously impacted by coal dust. We determined, as scientists determined, that the air quality was four times over the EPA standard. And DEQ's response was, we don't care that doesn't matter. Because the air monitor that's some 10 miles away shows we're in compliance. So, you really do have a problem with regard to that. And I guess I'll just ask when all of us here sort of define who we represent. The developers did, good citizens have done as well. I don't mean any disrespect but I'm not sure who Mr. Paylor is working for. So, I'll ask you that question. Thank you.

200920102011

2012

2013 2014

2000

2001

2002

2003

2004 2005

2006

2007

2008

Mr. Mackey - Mr. Paylor is retired but he's on retainer for Henrico County?

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir that's correct.

2015 Mr. Mackey -

For questioning and answering.

2016 2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023 2024

2025 2026

2027

2028

2029 2030

2031

2032

2033

2034

2035

2036

2037

2038

Mr. Laudner -Hi, good evening, Chuck Lardner representing the American Battlefield Trust. The Trust is a national nonprofit who's dedicated to preserving battlefields. The American Revolution War of 1812 and the Civil War. As mentioned earlier, the Civil War Advisory Commission, the Sites Advisory Commission, for over 30 years has been the handbook that we use with our partners locally, like the Richmond battlefield Association, and with the National Park Service. That study shows us what is significant. There are 384 significant civil war sites. That's one of them right there. Savages Station is the open-air classroom that people expect to go to, to understand the battle. And that's why it's our priority to preserve as much as possible. Fifty-eight, almost 59,000 acres nationally in 25 states. And its pieces of property like that, and everybody loves our maps. Everybody loves our maps. But the issue with it is you can use that map that that was shown earlier tonight. And if you think that Union soldiers from Vermont and Minnesota came and stood in boxes all day long and fought to a standstill and then just disappeared. The core battlefield is that upper left on both sides of Old Williamsburg Road, that is core battlefield. The 100 acres that was mentioned earlier, that is core battlefield, both sides of Old Williamsburg Road, and that fighting was there all day long. We want these hallowed grounds to be preserved. Obviously, that's our mission. These hallowed grounds is where people can go, descendants of those who fought and died there. It's there for future generations. It's open space. It is that classroom that we so desperately need. I'm here on behalf of the Battlefield Trust to urge the developer and the county to do as much as we can to preserve that valuable piece. The only piece that remains. It's undeveloped of Savage Station Battlefield and a key link in those crucial seven days. Thank you for your time.

2039 2040 2041

2042

2043

2044

Ms. Wagner - Good evening. I'm Susan Wagner 1420 Southbury Avenue, Henrico. I respectfully request that you table this until the General Assembly makes some wiser choices. The folks who spoke for it painted a pretty rosy picture. I have been a union electrician for close to 40 years. I made a lot of money working Dutch Gap, Hogen Station

in Varina. Four different data centers, western Henrico as well as eastern, please. Let's not rush in.

204620472048

2049

2050

2051

2052

2053

2054

20552056

2057

2058

2059

2060

2061

2062

2063

2064

2065

2066

2045

My name is Mike Cobb 106 Stanley Drive, Williamsburg, Mr. Cobb -Virginia. I'm a retired historian and curator. I'd like to say today that second what Mark Perreault and Chuck have said, this land is valuable historic land to Henrico County and to America. It is a classroom. I remember very well, many years ago, meeting Mr. Adams. Maris Adams, who owns a large part of the Gaines' Mill and Cold Harbor Battlefield. In fact, I met him in his 90s. His family had been there well before the Civil War, and they're still on that hallowed ground. I remember Mr. Adams talking about as he got older, how this land became more important to him, more important to him. And he said, and he kind of looked over towards part of his farm. And he says when I was a boy, I remember the soldiers on both sides coming back to this land, and with tears in their eyes. They often didn't say much. They looked and you could tell they were thinking back. That land, I learned a lot from Mr. Adams, he was transporting what the people who were there during the Civil War the soldiers felt and saw. And it's very important that that land is preserved. Now, the Adams farm, as you may well know, a large part of that is preserved. But there's a gap between Mechanicsville and Malvern Hill and that's Savage Station and most of that is not preserved. And I think it's vital for us to do to save the portion that Chuck outlined of that battlefield. And I can tell you after 34 years of being in the museum business, and seeing battlefield land lost, and homes, historic homes lost nobody and nobody, 50 years or 100 years later said, "I'm sure glad we tore it down, I'm sure glad we developed it." Thank you very much.

206720682069

2070

2071

20722073

20742075

2076 2077

2078

2079

2080

2081

20822083

2084

2085

2086

2087

2088 2089

2090

Mr. O'Brien -Hello, my name is Mike O'Brien, I live at 4300 Eanes Lane in Varina. I just wanted to bring up that there were a lot of things asked and provided by the applicants today. And there's a term thrown around a lot, that makes me a little bit nervous. And that's kind of industry standard, as was noted already, one of the industry standards is now old enough to drive. And anybody who's had anything done in their house done with contractor grade equipment and fittings knows that that's industry standard. And that just means long enough to get them out of the job site. So, in terms of what we're looking for, especially if we're getting a 1/10 of the income that other localities are getting, it is right and fine to ask for more and make sure that we're getting a good deal here if we're subsidizing this. Secondly, by profession, I'm an environmental scientist and geospatial modeler. So, I appreciate the information that former Director Paylor brought up. However, there's an adage that goes around that says, all models are wrong, and some models are useful. Unfortunately, with the data that we have available, the closest air quality monitoring station is at the Math Science Center, which is eight miles away. Between the Math Science Center and this location there are two interstates, five dumps, and 1/5 of the City of Richmond and an airport. So, to say that that site might be representative of the air quality at this site, I think is incorrect. The next closest site to the north is in Springfield, Virginia, the closest site to the south is fair enough at Shirley Plantation and the next closest site to the east is in Williamsburg. So, when you have this data and you put it into a model, you get a model that is both wrong and not useful. So, looking at this, and we say yeah, it does cost fair enough about \$15,000 to put one of these stations closer and full-fledged DEQ station because it involves instrumentation.

technicians, etc. I encourage everyone to go look up a recent report provided by the Government Accountability Office to a litany congress people including our Congresswoman Jennifer McClellan, noting how different things can work even if we use air sensors that are inefficient in purple air to get an idea of what's going on. If you look at the purple area map now, we just don't have anything. We have no information about what's going on here. So, we don't know what the impact would be because we don't know how impacted we currently are. So going here and trying to go through that and say we're subsidizing this industry, and we should know what we're getting ourselves into. That's fair and the right to ask for more. I think going in and saying getting some baseline documentation, getting some baseline knowledge about what's going on here. So that we can know where we are, how impacted we are, we can know where we're going if things change are necessary to get out of this loophole of a model that is both wrong and not useful.

Ms. Choi - Hey, good evening. My name is Annie Choi and I reside at 2107 E Nine Mile Road in Sandston 23150. I've already submitted my comment via email. I just wanted to point out based on Hourigan's presentation, the slew of proffers that that they've submitted and I even if they're subpar, I am grateful that we have them. And I am asking that you do not approve this rezoning case so that we continue to have the ability to have that conversation and have our input. Because as soon as you approve this, you are taking that away from us. So, thank you for your time.

Good evening, Jessica Simms in the Brookland District 4309 Ms. Simms -Long Leaf Drive 23294. Just want to reiterate that my concerns are sort of broader than just the district. They're the county, they're the state and that's the accelerated loss of viable agricultural land. Even if it's not prime agricultural land its areas of rural character. That's what a one is at the loss of that statewide and then there would still be a strain, potentially on the county's water supply through the electricity generation required for this data center. We've seen 85% of Henrico farmland converted in the past 40 years. It parallels Virginia where we've lost almost 500,000 acres between 2017 and 2022. Typical data centers are water intensive. We are talking millions of gallons. Even in best practices, you have to have a large amount of that coming from cooling the facilities that provide the electricity generation, and those are the fossil fuel facilities. So, although you may be talking about just restroom facilities at that location, it's broader than just that physical building. It's Dominions power plants across the state. It's Darbytown, it's an issue that is much more than just flushing the toilet. Even with those upgrades, I appreciate how much the county has put into planning ahead for its residents and want future water use. You've been incredibly proactive in preserving land also with the purchase of Varina Farms, and with the Cobbs Creek Reservoir in Cumberland. But I feel that forward thinking could be misapplied if it is used for data centers, specifically instead of residents. So, I would respectfully ask the Commission to please reject the rezoning request. And short of that delay your decision until the JLARC study is completed. And to please hold on to what we have. Thank you for your time.

Mr. Mackey - Alright, I'm going to ask the remaining three of you if you could be as short as possible because we're well over our time limits.

2139

2140

Ms. Pruitt - Caroline Pruitt, Brookland District 4908 Finnegan Court, Henrico. I just wanted to quickly say that I also would encourage the Commission to reject this rezoning request. And I think there's clearly a lot of issues that have been raised that will need to be addressed. Thank you.

21412142

Mr. Mackey - Thank you.

214321442145

2146

2147 2148

2149 2150

2151

2152

2153

2154

2155

2156

2157

2158

I'll be quick. Jeff Dawes, 7510 Daily Mail Road since 1986. I'm Mr. Dawes a member of the Richmond Battlefields Association and I want to speak about the 18 acres of farmland that's currently being farmed. It's in between Old Williamsburg Road and 64 East. You've probably read Henrico Field of Varina Book Volume One. If you go to page 180 and go forward the author describes the battlefield precisely. The topography, the skirts, protective skirts, the creek that runs in between them. The fortifications I've given I believe, Mr. Humphreys, a couple of overlays of the battlefield. Well, General Sumner of the III Corps, he was a commander of the Union Army. I gave him the 1884 overlay of the battlefield. And that's when the government got all the records together from all the different offices and all. And it shows directly his headquarters on those 18 acres. And then General Franklin, another Corps Commander, he's right beside on 64 east, and that's right across from Savage Station. And this land is really important. It's a corps battlefield but it's one of the most important parts of the battlefield of Savage Station, and nothing has been saved to date for this battlefield. So, I would just I would ask if, if Hourigan would allow us to, to buy that property, or to save it for history.

2159 2160 2161

Mr. Mackey - Thank you.

2162 2163

2164

2165

2166

Mr. Williams - My name is Clyde Williams; I live at 1708 West Chafin Road in Henrico County. And I would just like to recommend that being that Henrico County has spent \$400 million with White Oak, that you fill White Oak up with data centers instead of rezoning 600 acres of land to compete with Henrico center for data centers, thank you very much.

216721682169

Mr. Mackey - Rosemary, do we still have the one person online?

2170 2171

Ms. Deemer - No one has indicated that they want to speak any longer.

2172

Mr. Mackey - Okay, thank you. This time then the public hearing portion is up. I'm going to ask the applicant if he'd come back and answer those questions.

2175 2176

2177

2178 2179

2180 2181

2182

Mr. Condlin - Members of the Commission again, Andy Condlin. I tried to take as many good notes as I could. I'll rely on you to fill in any gaps that I miss. I'm going to address first the historic aspect. We don't doubt at all the importance of this area. And we also understand that there's been a number of areas of Savage Station that weren't preserved either because of other developments or because of the, in fact, the interchange and the construction of 295 and 64. The reality is that we're getting different information that the Civil War sites Advisory Commission study in 2009, from the National

Park Service had designated the battle of Savages Station in this area as a preservation priority four out of four. So, priority one being the best. At the end of the day, whether we're, whether information we're getting is correct, or whether we're hearing tonight is correct, it doesn't matter, because we've proffered that we're going to do a Phase 1 study, that's going to be subject to the section 106 requirements. So, we are going to do that study. And whatever comes out as a result of that study, we're going to have to follow and that's going to be part of our POD. So, the two answers will come out. And at that time, whether the preservation is what we provided for or in addition to what we provided for, we're going to have to comply with the federal and state law with respect to that. And as has been noted in the staff report, the Parks and Rec has also agreed with our assessment. But at the end of the day, that's why we agreed we were asked to do that by the community, and to do it pursuant to section 106. And so we are.

I know that there has been a number of comments made with respect to, I'll first address the comments about the proffers. They are voluntary. But we are also subject to that we have to be in compliance with federal and state law and regulatory aspects. And they have to be enforceable. And we have to write them so that they are enforceable. And there has to be a nexus between the actual land use. And we're trying to do that we're going above and beyond guite frankly, what we've ever done before and trying to accomplish the concerns and rectify some of the concerns that the neighbors have brought up. I'm going to speak to the water usage in the sense that I think Mr. Chan has talked about already. We have, you know, the industry is already moving towards that, from a standpoint of almost zero water usage. And those hundreds of 1000s of gallons is no longer a concern in the modern data centers. From our standpoint, with respect to energy and water, you know, Virginia has benefited even though it is the data center capital of the world. We're ranked number five as of 2023, Virginia was ranked number five in air quality, number three in air and water quality combined. The technology continues to improve to allow for both emissions and water quality use. As a matter of fact, speaking specifically to energy, internet traffic has increased in the last 10 years 17 times, data storage has increased 20 times. And that's not just individuals that's dealing with public safety that's dealing with government services. Some are central, some are not, and certainly health and safety concerns as well. And in that same 10-year period of time, despite a 17-to-20-time increase, energy use has only gone up 10 times 10% excuse me, not 10 times, but 10%. And so, what you're seeing is that from that standpoint of from up until 2005 to 2010, there was almost a doubling of the energy use. And since that time, it's gone up 1% a year, 1% a year so that in fact, the energy usage has flatlined, while data and internet traffic has increased substantially.

So, as we continue as we continue to look at the power, we're working with Dominion Power. We are continuing to work with Dominion Power. We can't dictate how Dominion Power does create its power. It's a state regulatory issue. We understand the concerns with respect to waiting for JLARC and the General Assembly. But the true answer is that this is from a land use application, we still have to go through a number of steps. The General Assembly I've been assured by a number of folks that are interested in this will be passed within the next year. The General Assembly rules and regulations; we're going to have to comply with that. And one of the comments was made about what standards

are we meeting. Certainly, we're meeting the standards of the federal government, state and local. You have to meet all of those regulations and laws and those are the standards we have to meet. In addition, we're providing for in our proffers a number of standards that are not only typical, unexpected, but also unique. We can talk about some of the specific standards that have never been asked for in any other project. And does that mean that we can't do them? No. But it also means that we have to be able to achieve them, not just because of the marketplace, but also because of the government, whether it's already regulated. We talked about the air guality and the generators, and DEQ. We can talk about Tier 4 and Tier 2, the technology continues to evolve in a question of availability and reliability and being able to have the control systems in Tier 4, the systems aren't set up from a reliability standpoint, at this point. That's just where the technology is, it can be done. Mr. Hourigan did misspeak with respect to one generator. It depends on the type of use of the building and the amount of data that's being used by that building as to how many generators are needed, in order to be able to function from that standpoint, it could be it could be 10, it could be 20, it just depends upon the type of the size of the building and the type of use that's within that building.

I believe I've tried to go over I know there's a reference to from some of the other as far as who will build it, that quite frankly, we're still from a standpoint of working with trying to come up with colocators from a data center, but also from advanced manufacturing. It will depend upon the ultimate user and who they choose to build from that standpoint. Obviously, Hourigan has experience, will be involved but it's not a guarantee at that point. I believe I think I've gone through my notes, as I've talked. I don't know if I missed anything that has to be addressed. Otherwise, the one thing I didn't address, there was a reference to just 50-foot buffers. The proffers in the proffer specifically, we provide for 50-foot buffers, 100 foot buffers, and 220 foot buffers. So, there's a variation of all those as well. Again, I'm just rolling through there. I don't know if there's anything else that I missed that you would prefer that I address at this point, I'll be happy to but at the end of the day, we have staff recommendation. We have provided for quality standards. I ask that you follow the staff recommendation.

Mr. Nelson - I have a couple of questions. So, Savage Station, you're going to... what did you say you're going to do again?

Mr. Condlin - Phase 1 archeological study to meet the federal section 106 requirements. And then we'll have to follow the requirements on that.

2266 Mr. Nelson - You do that after the rezoning?

Mr. Condlin - We'll do that as part of each POD. So, we would not necessarily, it just depends on we could do the entirety of the property. But that includes property that hasn't been designated, or hasn't been talked about, including south of Route 60. That we would do it for the entirety of the property. Or we'd do it based on each POD probably. So, as we as we come forward with a POD, we have to do that Phase 1, and submit that has having been done for that section most likely, so that we couldn't do any land disturbance until we get a POD in any case.

2275			
2276	Mr. Nelson -	So we could still actually we have some further conversations	
2277	about Savage Station between now and		
2278			
2279	Mr. Condlin -	The reality is as you go through the process and do your	
2280		eral government has the right to, the National Park Service will	
2281	have the right as well to dictate as to what dedications based on what's found by that		
2282	those archaeological studi	es. So, if what the folks have said is true and accurate, we'll	
2283	have to comply with that ar	nd preserve that land. That's been done before. It's federal law.	
2284			
2285	Mr. Nelson -	So we could still have some conversation, though, between	
2286	now and the Board of Sup	ervisors?	
2287			
2288		Absolutely. I just don't know what the extent of the historic	
2289	sites are on the property u	ntil we do that full study.	
2290			
2291	Mr. Nelson -	Yes, but I'm talking about between now and the Board of	
2292	Supervisors meeting we co	ould	
2293			
2294	Mr. Condlin -	As far as the preservation, absolutely. I'm sorry I	
2295	misunderstood.		
2296			
2297	Mr. Nelson -	As far as the preservation, so that conversation still could be	
2298	open between now and the	en?	
2299			
2300	Mr. Condlin -	Yes, sir.	
2301			
2302	Mr. Nelson -	Alright. So, I did have one question. I think you may have	
2303	misspoken earlier, or mayb	be not have understood the question. Oh, okay. I'm sorry. I went	
2304	to the restroom. So, you ju	st covered. You just covered the generators on the back of the	
2305	building. What did you say	?	
2306			
2307		Well, I covered from the standpoint of being regulated by	
2308	DEQ, that the number of generators that was a miss reference to one. It depends on the		
2309		type of use that's within the building, not just even if it's a data	
2310	center, it could be the type of customers that are in there. And based on the user's		
2311	requirements from that standpoint, how many generators and what size? Typically, as		
2312	you saw on our tour, the one building that was I think it was 300,000 square feet with, I		
2313	think it had 60,000 square	feet of office that we counted there was 20 generators.	
2314			
2315	Mr. Nelson -	Thank you for clearing that up. I think that's all I have for you,	
2316	I think. Yes. Mr. Emerson?		
2317			
2318	Mr. Emerson -	Yes, sir.	
2319			
2220	Mr. Noloon	The Comp Plan is described as a what?	

A guide. It's a general overall guide for the development of Mr. Emerson -2322 county. 2323

2324

Okay, so it guides at the time of creation, what we hope to Mr. Nelson -2325 see, expect, the countless rezoning cases that we have every time we meet practically 2326 amends the guide? 2327

2328 2329

2330

2331

2332

2333

2334

2335

Yes, sir each time it amends it. It's a general guide. The code Mr. Emerson treats it as a general guide. And I think it's worthwhile noting that the land that is subject to the tax covenants that originally was designated in the 2010 plan is I believe Commercial Concentration. The county has always considered this entire area Prime Industrial. It was a site considered for several different projects, including the relocation of the State Fair years ago. So, it's always been a site that's been viewed as a revenue producing industrial type site by the virtue of its location with the interstate, highways, and Route 60. And its proximity on the east coast. It's just easily accessible.

2336 2337 2338

So what were we looking at? HCAN and its analysis Mr. Nelson -Commercial Concentration, Office Space, and all of that. What was that?

2339 2340 2341

2342

2343

2344

2345

2346

2347

2348

2349

I think at the time the Comp Plan was put together, of course, that's it's getting somewhat long in the tooth now is the 2026 plan, and we're updating it. The 2010 plan treated it similarly. But we were looking at more of a mixture of uses in this area. But until you start, you began to see the development of the area, you didn't know what the prevailing market was going to be. And one of the things you always look at is what is the prevailing land use in the area. And of course, the current trend is towards a little more Heavy Industrial than Office, the Office market essentially doesn't exist right now. And hasn't for some time, because the pandemic essentially created a total work from home environment type of market that is going to push us into reusing existing Office, let alone sites that we had planned for future Office.

2350 2351 2352

2353

Mr. Nelson -So what were some of the, in the past, some potential uses for a new site? What are some of the things that have come forward, they've been conversation pieces or even serious considerations?

2354 2355 2356

2357

2358

2359

2360

2361

2362

2363 2364

2365

2366

Mr. Emerson -We've had serious consideration about distribution centers locating in this in this same area. And on some of the properties, it's currently under considerations, fairly large distribution centers. We had the state fair, that would have been a very large use on this property along with a mixture of other uses. There was a flyover that's still in the Comp Plan, you can see the MTP right on the graphic in front of you, that continues over to what I still refer to as the Brill farm. It's the Cooke property with the solar panels. It was envisioned that a flyover would be there going across the road, there's a rail line there, that goes out to a deepwater port in West Point that the papermill uses. So that rail line would be a perfect attraction for a heavy industrial user, in this case, this industry is, a reasonably clean user. Yes, some people are concerned about some of its heavier aspects. But every industry has its impact. And I did get that revenue number

for you, from those existing data centers, the 16 that we have in the county, and it is a little over \$13 million. It's in 2023. And of course, the 2024 number hasn't been completed. That's not the value of everything; that is the tax revenue realized. It's \$13,258,847. That's a significant number. That funds your schools, improvements to schools, services that you provide, along with being a relatively low impact industry. So, it's all in keeping with what, what the county has always envisioned for this area.

Mr. Nelson - Again, I just want to go on record and make sure I'm in the minutes, as you know, I've been talking to my colleagues about if they if this is passed, setting aside a historic amount of money for a particular use. So, we'll talk more about that as we move forward the Board of Supervisors piece but so the last question, well actually two questions. I'm still confused about the water piece. I'm hearing the applicant say, not a lot of water, the water usage is trending down, etc. And then I think I heard somebody else say that we still hundreds of millions of gallons of water use. Which is it, I guess?

Mr. Emerson - I think there's a general misunderstanding the technology in the industry, as I understand it has changed. And even our water usage numbers have gone down from the initial Infineon Qimonda conversion that QTS has done in that building to today's product. And as they've transitioned, even that building, to the air cooling, that has reduced the amount of water that these industries used to the point is you heard from Mr. Chan, that we really are down to sanitary, sanitary use, similar to a warehouse for the employees and maybe some fire protection for these types of entities. Because they have gone to this air-cooled use, of course, the converse of that they lower their water usage, their power usage goes up because they're doing the constant turnover of air in order to cool the facilities. So, it's a one or the other type of thing, but they have moved away from the water cooling and the evaporative nature of that. So, their water consumption is not as high as it once was. So, I think there's a misunderstanding out there right now, because of the newness of the technology.

Mr. Nelson - Alright, last question for you. I've been talking all the time with my mic off so hopefully they got all of what I've said. The code section. I actually had the same question in my mind that I know, under Community Revitalization, I think we have inspectors that go on.

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir.

Mr. Nelson - Let's say somebody had an abandoned car, on the street in a neighborhood. Our inspectors technically don't go around to neighborhoods looking for abandoned cars. They respond when there's a complaint.

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir.

Mr. Nelson - I think that's the way that works. So, is that how code enforcement is in a tech park? And is that why there have been zero infractions?

Mr. Emerson -Infractions of that particular language? Yes, sir. That was 2413 included in our, our new zoning code. It doesn't contain the complete language that you 2414 see in many of the older proffers. But we have not had a complaint from many adjacent 2415 2416 properties that might be infringed upon to investigate. And as you know, we are primarily complaint driven. Somebody needs to call in and tell us this is occurring, then we'll go out 2417 and research it and issue notifications of violations. And if they don't correct the violations, 2418 we end up in court just like we had with the landfills and some of the other entities we've 2419 2420 been to the supreme court twice with, with one landfill in particular.

2421

2422 Mr. Nelson - And that landfill is closed now.

2423

2424 Mr. Emerson - It is closed. Yes, sir.

2425

Mr. Nelson - That landfill is in Varina, and I had a lot to do with closing that landfill. Mr. Emerson, traditionally, Board of Supervisor members don't vote on Planning Commission cases.

2429

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir. That's correct. That's been the practice. But Board of Supervisors members on the Planning Commission in the past have chosen to vote on certain cases. So, there's no rule, and there's no law against you participating. You actually cast a vote each time because it's an abstention, because you are recognized as a member of the Commission, appointed by the Board.

2435 2436

2437

2438

Mr. Nelson - But this is a recommendation so it's kind of weird for me to vote to recommend something because then that already shows my hand for the next step. So, if I voted to recommend, then the applicant knows well, we already got Mr. Nelson's vote, right? Pretty much.

24392440

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir. You'd be you'd be somewhat giving that indication. I would agree. However, you can always change your vote between now and the meeting.

2443 2444

2445

2446

2447

Mr. Nelson - That is smart, but I don't want the applicant to think they have my vote locked up. So, I'm going to abstain. I am going to ask Mr. Condlin, there was one of the residents, they bring up 100% new, clean energy, I think no fossil fuel. Where are you with clean energy, renewable energy, carbon emissions? You know, the standard for data centers and then in particular, you're at, the applicant?

24482449

Mr. Condlin -2450 Specifically with respect to data centers are currently using about 1% of the total energy use in America as of 2023, that's about 1%. The data center 2451 industry is trying to achieve greater and greater efficiencies in sustainability. And they're 2452 2453 continuing to work with that. As I said, there's no, I don't think there's any set standards 2454 specifically to say this is where data centers are they have been evolving, like the rest of 2455 the other industries have been as well, what was occurring 10 years and even 15 years and even 10 years ago, it's completely different than what they're doing currently with 2456 2457 respect to renewable energy sources. And providing for that, there's been a number of 2458 comments to say, hey, we maybe should go to all battery for emergency services, the

technology is just not there to be able to do that. And plus, the production of batteries is a different discussion of whether that's clean energy or not as well. We talked a little bit about using RECs as far as the energy certificates and being able to purchase those as well as implementation for some solar use and an investment into various solar.

We've determined one of the questions that people asked the most was, could you put solar power? Why don't you use more solar power on site, we're trying to maintain that 35% green space that no longer is, if we have to put that on there from that standpoint, if you put them on the roof, and that means the HVAC units have to come down, and we can't shield them. And protecting both from a noise standpoint up on the roof was where we'd like to have them. So, they're always looking for different. Again, it's a balancing act as to what you can do. From that standpoint, I don't think there's enough I can't point to specifically depends on each user and how they do. There's also a difference between co locators. And what they call enterprise data centers. Enterprise Data centers are those that are the big ones, like Meta, and Amazon, that are building them just for themselves. and not anyone else versus the ones that you toured, for example, QTS is a collocater where they're going out and for the federal government, state and local governments, but also private industries, providing for data service and IT service for them as well. So, they're having to compete and trying to be as efficient as possible and get those energy bills down as much as possible. And that's why they're buying the certificates. That's why they're investing in solar power, so that they can compete with other co locators. So, there's a different type is you talk data centers, there's different types of data centers in which category we're talking about impacts that and how they're addressing that from that standpoint.

Mr. Mackey -Does anyone have any other questions for the applicant? All right, if not, I'm ready to make a motion. I appreciate all the time everyone has put into this as one of the biggest cases I've been involved in, in my short time as a Commissioner. We went to two community meetings, they were very, very productive. We went to the HCAN meeting, have had multiple meetings with staff, some phone conversations with Mr. Condlin trying to you know, get some things put in place. I would like to thank staff and residents, for all they have done on this case for you know, getting the proffers that they were able to get because as Supervisor Nelson stated before, and as I stated in, I believe it was the first community meeting, maybe the second, I can't remember, by law, we're not allowed to ask for proffers. So that kind of, you know, limits us to what we can do, or we really can do is I know it sounds like a dirty word, but hold him to the industry standards. Because those are the tools that we have to work with right now. And I don't think we have to wait for the JLARC to move forward on this because any findings that they come forward with, the applicant, if this is approved would have to abide by those that will supersede anything that we have in place as of right now. So having said that, I move that we recommend approval of REZ-2023-100017 HD CVA LLC with the proffers dated March 21, 2024, with the understanding the applicant will make the changes discussed this evening prior to consideration by the Board of Supervisors. Those new new proffers that came in and then the changes that you made. We need those before it goes to the Board of Supervisors

2459

2460 2461

2462 2463

2464

2465

2466

2467

2468

2469

2470

2471

2472

2473 2474

2475

2476

2477

2478

2479 2480

2481

2482 2483

2484

2485

2486

2487

2488

2489 2490

2491

2492

2493

2494 2495

2496 2497

2498

2499

2500

2501

2502 2503

2505	Mr. Witte -	Second.	
2506			
2507	Mr. Mackey -	I have a motion by Mr. Mackey, a second by Mr. Witte. All in	
2508	favor say aye.		
2509			
2510	Commission -	Aye.	
2511			
2512	Mr. Mackey -	Any opposed?	
2513	Ma Obiana	N	
2514	Mr. Shippee -	Nay.	
2515	Ma Maday	Make hour four even and and now Making is growted	
2516	Mr. Mackey -	We have four ayes and one nay. Motion is granted.	
2517	DEACON.	Acting an a mation by Mr. Mackey, accorded by Mr. Mitta tha	
2518	REASON:	Acting on a motion by Mr. Mackey, seconded by Mr. Witte, the	
2519		ed 4-1 (one abstention) to recommend the Board of Supervisors	
2520		e it reflects the type of non-residential growth in the area, the	
2521		ssure a level of development consistent with surrounding uses,	
2522		mercial and industrial nature of the comprehensive plan	
2523	recommendations.		
2524	M. F.	And an abstantian	
2525	Mr. Emerson -	And one abstention.	
2526			
2527	Mr. Mackey -	I'm sorry, and one abstention.	
2528	M. Faranca	Managina Man Obsidence allocated them are controlled to a	
2529	Mr. Emerson -	Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman, the next item on your agenda is a	
2530	discussion item. Based on our work session you do have a Zoning Ordinance Amendment		
2531		ward to you that we would like to set for public hearings so you	
2532		were thinking about May 9th but Mr. Chairman, you did remind	
2533		be here on May 9th so June the 13th would be fine with staff if	
2534	that works for the Commis	SSION.	
2535	Ma Masles	Dave have 40th and former all Dallaced to make a series 0	
2536	Mr. Mackey -	Does June 13th work for you all? Do I need to make a motion?	
2537	Ma Faranca	Van ein einen welen entting it fanthe muhlig hansing the annud	
2538	Mr. Emerson -	Yes, sir, since we're setting it for the public hearing the agenda	
2539	I'd like a motion on that.		
2540	Mr. Mr. Jan.	100 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	
2541	Mr. Mackey -	I'll make a motion that we have a public hearing on June 13	
2542	for the location standards	for vape stores.	
2543	M . Oli		
2544	Mr. Shippee -	Second.	
2545	Ma Master	A marking by Ma Manday and the Ma Oliver Annual	
2546	Mr. Mackey -	A motion by Mr. Mackey, a second by Mr. Shippee. All in favor	
2547	say aye.		
2548	Commission	A	
2549	Commission -	Aye.	
2550			

	2551 2552	Mr. Mackey -	Any opposed? Granted.
2553 2554 2555			Mr. Chairman, the next item on your agenda would be the ites from the Capital Improvement Program hearing and regular 24, and the work session on March 28, 2024.
	2556 2557 2558	Mr. Mackey -	I move. Go ahead.
	2559 2560 2561	Mr. Emerson - or corrections, please let u	Excuse me, I was just going to say if you have any changes us know.
	2562 2563	Mr. Mackey- as presented.	Alright. I move that minutes from both meetings be approved
	2564 2565 2566	Mr. Witte -	Second.
	2567 2568 2569	Mr. Mackey - in favor?	We have a motion by Mr. Mackey, seconded by Mr. Witte. All
	2570 2571	Commission -	Aye.
	2572 2573	Mr. Mackey -	Any opposed? Motion granted.
)	2574 2575 2576	Mr. Emerson - evening.	Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for the Commission this
	2577 2578 2579 2580 2581 2582 2583 2584 2585	Mr. Mackey -	Mr. William M. Mackey Jr., Chairperson
	2586 2587 2588 2589 2590 2591		Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Secretary
	2591		