Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of Henrico County held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and Hungary Spring Roads, beginning at 7:00 p.m., Thursday, February 13, 3 2020. Display Notice having been published in the Richmond Time-Dispatch on 4 January 27, 2020 and February 3, 2020. 5 6 7 Members Present: Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C., Chairman (Fairfield) 8 Mr. William M. Mackey, Jr., Vice Chairman (Varina) 9 Mr. Gregory R. Baka (Tuckahoe) 10

Mr. Gregory R. Baka (Tuckahoe) Mrs. Melissa Thornton (Three Chopt) Mr. Robert H. Witte, Jr. (Brookland)

Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., AICP, Director of Planning

Secretary

Mr. Tyrone E. Nelson (Varina)

Board of Supervisors' Representative

Also Present: Ms. Jean Moore, Assistant Director of Planning

Mr. Ben Sehl, County Planner

Mr. Neil Luther, Director of Recreation & Parks Mr. John Cejka, Traffic Engineer, Public Works Mr. William Moffett, CPTED Planner, Police

Ms. Sylvia Ray, Recording Secretary

THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECONVENED AT 7:00 P.M. FOLLOWING THE CIP PRESENTATION.

Mr. Archer - The meeting will come to order. Good evening, everyone.

The Commission - Good evening.

Mr. Archer - This is the February 13th edition of our rezoning meetings, and we'll start in just a second. First, is there anyone here from the press? No. Okay. Then let's stand, please, and pledge allegiance to the flag. And if you will please mute or turn off your cellphones.

Thank you all for coming. I have a cold, so if you hear me coughing up here as long as I don't fall I'm okay. And with that I will turn things over to our secretary, Mr. Emerson, and

don't fall I'm okay. And with that I will turn things over to our secretary, Mr. Emerson, and we'll get underway.

Mr. Emerson - Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And, for the record, the Commission did begin this evening at 5:00 p.m. with a work session to discuss the Zoning Code and Subdivision Ordinance updates and receive the first modules of that code to begin -- to begin their work. Then at 6:00 p.m. they did hold a public hearing on the Capital Improvements Program for the county and recommended that program to the Board for their approval.

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

232425

2627

28

293031

32

33

34

35

36

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

48			
49	And, with that said Mr. Chairman, the first item on our agenda this evening are the		
50	requests for withdrawals	and deferrals, and I believe we do have one that is by the	
51	Commission, and it will be presented by Mr. Jim Strauss.		
52			
53	Mr. Archer -	All right.	
54			
55	Mr. Strauss -	Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Staff is aware of one deferral this	
56	evening, and it is a reque	st to defer by the Commission, and it's in the Brookland District	
57	on page 2 of your agenda, Rezoning 2020-00007, SXCW Properties, and the		
58		deferral to the March 12, 2020 meeting.	
59			
60	REZ2020-00007	Andrew M. Condlin for SXCW Properties II, LLC: Request	
61	to conditionally rezone fro	m R-3 One-Family Residence District and O-2 Office District to	
62	B3C Business District (C	onditional) Parcels 769-755-3049, 769-755-8276, and part of	
63	Parcel 769-755-6068 containing 5.333 acres located on the west line of Staples Mill Road		
64		mately 300' north of its intersection with E. Parham Road. The	
65	applicant proposes a car wash and fuel facility. The uses will be controlled by zoning		
66	ordinance regulations and proffered conditions. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan		
67		taff - Ben Sehl (Deferral Requested by the Planning	
68	Commission)		
69			
70	Mr. Archer -	Okay, thank you. Is there anyone here who is opposed to this	
71	deferral?		
72			
73	Mr. Witte -	Mr. Chairman, I move that REZ2020-00007, Andy Condlin for	
74	SXCW Properties II, LLC	be deferred to the March 12, 2020 meeting at the request of the	
75	Commission.		
76			
77	Mr. Mackey -	Second.	
78			
79		All right. Motioned by Mr. Witte and seconded by Mr. Mackey.	
80	All in favor of the motion s	say aye.	
81			
82	The Commission -	Aye.	
83			
84	Mr. Archer -	Anyone opposed, say no. There are no nos, the ayes have it.	
85	The motion passes.		
86			
87	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Chairman, unless there are further deferrals to be	
88	proposed by the Commission, that completes those actions for the evening, and the next		
89	item on your agenda are requests for expedited items. There are none of those this		
90	evening. So we now move into your regular agenda for PUP2019-00015, James W.		
91	I neobald for Gateway As	sociates of Richmond, LLC.	

PUP2019-00015 James W. Theobald for Gateway Associates of Richmond, LLC: Request for a Provisional Use Permit under Sections 24-36.1(b), 24-120 and 24-122.1 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to allow a mixed-use community on part of Parcel 753-745-0470 located on the west line of N. Parham Road, approximately 255' south of its intersection with Fargo Road. The existing zoning is R-6 General Residence District. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Multi-Family Residential. The staff report will be presented by Mr. Ben Sehl.

Mr. Archer - Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Is there anyone present who is opposed to this case: James W. Theobald for Gateway Associates of Richmond, LLC?

104 Mr. Nelson - We got one.

Mr. Archer - We have one out there. We'll get to you, sir. Thank you. Mr. Sehl?

Mr. Sehl - Thank you, Mr. Archer. This is a request to allow a master planned redevelopment of a portion of Gateway Apartments by removing several apartment units and the existing clubhouse and constructing a new building with first floor commercial uses, and up to 80 new apartments.

Gateway is located down the east line of -- west line of Parham Road, just north of Regency, and the existing complex contains 202 apartments, and 20 existing units will be removed as part of this proposal. The existing clubhouse, pool, and tennis courts would also be removed and new amenities would be constructed during the redevelopment.

The site is zoned R-6, and under changes approved by the Board of Supervisors last summer, mixed-use communities of the type proposed by the applicant can be approved via the provisional use permit process. As part of the PUP the applicant is also able to request increased density and flexibility in setbacks and parking standards. Commercial uses are limited to those permitted in the B-2 District.

The applicant has submitted a number of documents that constitute the master plan for the development. The overall conceptual plan demonstrating full development of subject site as shown here. The new building would be located parallel to Parham Road and amenities, including the new clubhouse, will be located to the rear and be accessible by all residents of Gateway.

The applicant has also provided this architectural rendering showing the appearance of the new building. The building is more modern in appearance than the existing units in Gateway but carries forward a number of similar design themes. The applicant has also indicated updates to the existing units will upgrade the exterior appearance of those buildings.

The proposed density exceeds that typically allowed in the R-6 District but would be consistent with other mixed-use developments approved recently in the county. The more

139 140	·	nt helps take advantage of existing infrastructure to meet growth d by existing roads and public facilities.		
141	T. B. I. B.			
142		The Parking Plan provided by the applicant is also consistent with these recent mixed-		
143		amount of parking provided by the applicant will be in keeping		
144	with the standards of the	county's UMU District.		
145	I I I I I - I - I - I			
146	I would note the applicant provided the revised letter that was handed out to you this			
147	evening to provide additional context on existing parking within Gateway to ensure that the proposed grade would be adequate in the future.			
148	the proposed grade would	be adequate in the future.		
149	Overall staff haliaves this			
150		request will facilitate redevelopment in keeping with the goals		
151	•	R-6 District. Those amendments were adopted specifically to		
152	encourage developments such as this, and the submitted documents demonstrate the			
153 154	development will support the redevelopment goal for the county. For these reasons staff supports this request subject to the conditions noted in the staff report. I'll be happy to			
155	answer any questions you			
156	answer any questions you	a might have at this time.		
157	Mr. Archer -	Thank you, Mr. Sehl. Any questions from the Commission for		
158	Mr. Sehl?	Thank you, wit. Och. Any questions from the commission for		
159	WII. 00111.			
160	Mr. Baka -	Mr. Sehl, would you say is this one of the first requests we've		
161	had for a PUP in the R-6			
162		3		
163	Mr. Sehl -	This is the first request. Yes, sir.		
164				
165	Mr. Baka -	The very first one, okay. And have you had we had a		
166	community meeting with	a few, twelve, a few neighbors attending. Have you had other		
167	phone calls or emails or v	isits regarding this?		
168				
169	Mr. Sehl -	I have not, sir. No.		
170	Mr. Dalla			
171	Mr. Baka -	Okay. Oh, one other comment, or question for Ben. I am		
172		ave asked this previously. So we had talked previously about		
173		al dumpsters. Is that more of a POD issue than a rezoning		
174	question as far as when h	might have dumpsters for trash and recycling?		
175 176	Mr. Sehl -	I believe it is, sir.		
170	Wii. Seili -	i believe it is, sii.		
178	Mr. Baka -	Okay.		
179	Dana	onay.		
180	Mr. Sehl -	I think it's something that could be addressed by the		
181		it's something that would should be considered on a kind of		
182	moralistic basis in the county and how we address those specific items.			
183		, and a specific control of the specific control of th		
184	Mr. Baka -	Okay. I have no other questions for staff.		

185 Mr. Archer -Okay. Any further questions from the Commission? 186 187 Mr. Baka -If there are no other questions from the Commission I would 188 like to hear from the public, of folks that are concerned about the case. 189 190 191 Mr. Archer and state your name for the record. 192

Okay. All right, so you were in opposition? Come up, please.

193 Mr. Raw -Well, my opposition is conditional --194

Mr. Archer -196 Sir, one second, please. 197

Mr. Raw -198 Yes, I'm sorry. 199

200 Mr. Archer -Mr. Secretary, would you explain the rules of --201

Mr. Baka -Please. 202 203 204

Mr. Emerson -Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. As you noted, the Commission does have some guidelines regarding public hearings. They are as follows: The applicant is allowed 10 minutes to present the request. Time may be reserved for responses to testimony. The opposition is allowed a cumulative 10 minutes to present its concerns. Commission's questions do not count into the time limits. The Commissions may waive time limits for either party at its discretion and all comments must be directly related to the case under consideration. And it is requested, these are recorded minutes, that you state your name and address for the record.

Mr. Raw -My name is Jeremy Raw, R-a-w. My address: 1610 Renmark Road, which is located approximately one block east of the proposed development. I've lived in this neighborhood for 14 years. I've enjoyed the fact that one can walk a great many places from it. And when I first heard that there was going to be additional mixeduse development to complement what's currently underway at Regency Square, I was actually rather enthusiastic about the project. I think that it makes great sense to be exploiting mixed-use as a way of increasing opportunities for people without necessarily increasing the traffic associated with these developments, giving us the opportunity to capture trips internally, give people places that they can walk to and reach without necessarily getting into their car.

The concerns that I have about this project are that the attention to detail and the specific site plan paid very little attention to the walking environment in the neighborhood. There was no attention given to the fact that this apartment community is adjacent to several major shopping centers, including one with a Fresh Market, Chick-fil-A, other retail resources across the street.

195

205

206

207 208

209

210

211 212

213

214

215

216

217

218 219

220

221

222 223

224 225

226

Currently, in order to cross Parham Road, you have to do this in two legs. There are no crossing facilities there at all. The Fargo intersection is one of the most crash prone in the county. Currently there's an uncontrolled intersection getting in and out of this development. We're looking at traffic that is probably going to be at least four times, just based on the number of residential units, at least four times what's currently going in and out. That uncontrolled intersection is used for U-turns for people coming north on Parham who are trying to access the Walmart and avoid the traffic light into that development. And it's also heavily used by people coming in and out of the existing apartment complex on foot to access the shopping and other amenities there.

The big concern that I have about this is the project is going to be large. Little attention was paid to the context. And it's architecturally undistinguished, as you can see from the picture that's up at this point.

It feels to me that the developer is actually leaning in the direction of doing window dressing with the mixed-use in order to claim further development. And we're not actually going to see the benefits in terms of increasing the opportunities for movement on foot and without large car trips in and out of this development.

And I think I'd like to see some further attention paid to the context and how this would actually coordinate with the existing retail and the proposed development of Regency, and other things that may be included in the area. So I think that's my comments, and I'll stop, and I appreciate the time and opportunity to say that. Thank you.

Mr. Archer - Any questions for Mr. Raw before he takes a seat?

256 Mrs. Thornton - I have a quick question.

258 Mr. Raw - Sure.

260 Mrs. Thornton - So currently where you live there's sidewalks that you can get to?

Mr. Raw - Well there aren't, actually. And, I mean, one of -- one of the suggestions that I've made to Mr. Sehl is that in thinking about where people might try to go on foot from this, if you can actually make it across Parham Road, there's a missing sidewalk north of the Chick-fil-A on a plot of land that's actually undevelopable and is owned by the folks there. And, realistically, at the time I'd asked for a sidewalk to be extended. Because it's a separate parcel they didn't do it.

So there's a lot of need for pedestrian improvements and, in particular, crossing Parham Road. I think for now you can do this in two stages with the median, taking one side of the road at a time. The concern that I have about this development particularly is that by increasing the in-and-out traffic, particularly if there's retail there as well, that creates a much more complex situation with the risk of a -- of some traffic incident with a pedestrian becomes considerably greater.

And I'd like to see some attention paid to the traffic controls and, you know, thinking regionally, not just necessarily for this property, but how would somebody who's approaching from the residential side, and this is my neighborhood plus points east on the other side of the Tuckahoe Middle School, who might want to access things in this development if the retail actually takes off. So those are the concerns that I have. I hope that's not --

Mrs. Thornton - Okay.

Mr. Raw - Thank you.

288 Mr. Baka - Additional question, if I may.

Mr. Raw - Sure.

Mr. Baka - So here through the R-6 and the PUP process my understanding that we can look at on-site improvements, we can't necessarily look at those improvements that are off site. So I guess I was trying to better understand your sentiment that this wasn't improving walkability in the area. Let me round the comment out by saying that when I look at the concept plan the applicant's providing sidewalk along whatever distance that the frontage is along the property on Parham Road. And there is a signal just a little further south of there at the -- at the intersection to -- the intersection to the Fresh Market and Walmart. So while not ideal, I mean, Parham's an arterial road, and I guess there's a minor arterial, major arterial road. So trying to understand how -- I'm trying to understand how people would want to -- would want to safely cross the road without being up at the intersection at Fresh Market.

Mr. Raw - Well, it actually occurs for most people that -- and this is something that -- I actually work professionally with bicycle and pedestrian planning as part of my professional activity. It turns out that crossing a major road like Parham Road where you have a median is, in fact, easier to mid-block crossing where you can take the road. Because the traffic is split in two, and there are large gaps going in each direction, not necessarily coordinated on both sides. But it's relatively easy, and actually much easier to avoid right-turning and left-turning cars at an intersection that's not clearly signed for pedestrians.

And the difference there if you wanted to look at a well-designed intersection for pedestrians would be what was done up at Quioccasin on the south side of the Walmart property. They recently installed a crossing signal there that's effective because it clearly identifies when there's a pedestrian phase and when you can go.

Crossing at a signalized intersection like Fargo or the intersection to the shopping malls is much harder, because there's no indication for pedestrians when it's expected to be safe to cross. And in those situations it's actually much easier to cross mid-block where

you can look for a large gap in traffic, the visibility is actually quite good up and down Parham Road at that point, which is why people tend to cross there.

323324

325

326

327

328

You can get to the middle from one side, wait for the gap in the traffic, and get to the other side. The difficulty again for that particular crossing, for people who are attempting to do that, is this intersection in and out of the property now is uncontrolled. It's designed for U-turns and the rest. There's relatively low traffic that does that, but my big concern is that if we develop something there that is going to be a traffic attractor, it's going to actually create complications for pedestrians in that situation.

329330

331 Mr. Baka - If I may let me --

332

333 Mr. Raw - Sure.

334

335 Mr. Baka - Let me ask the applicant to follow up on your sentiment, your

336 questions there, too.

337

338 Mr. Raw - Okay.

339

340 Mr. Baka - Thank you.

341

342 Mr. Raw - Okay.

343

344 Mr. Baka - No further questions, sir.

345

346 Mr. Archer - Thank you. Anyone else? All right then.

347

Mrs. Thornton - She was --

348349

350 Mr. Baka - Please come forward.

351

352 Mr. Archer - Oh, I'm sorry. Come.

353354

355

356

357

358

359

360

Ms. Norton - Good evening. My name is Sally Norton. I live at 1610 Renmark Road as well. And I didn't come prepare to speak, but I'm speaking for -- on behalf I think, of my neighbors who've -- many of my neighbors have lived in our neighborhood for a very long time, and one just cied a few weeks back, has been there since 1964. My next-door neighbor, he grew up a few blocks east and has been living there for about 15 years. And to them a five-story high-rise with all the cars that go with it do not fit the character of our neighborhood, and don't necessarily fit the character of an arterial.

361362363

364

365

366

The way Parham Road is used by Richmonders in general is a highway to get across the river. It's not necessarily, you know, we have all this retail in this spot, so it's now become a bigger and bigger destination because it's surprising how much TJ Maxx has taken over retail. But that changed from a Staples to a TJ Max has increased the traffic in that

adjoining, you know, retail plaza has been -- you can feel it, and you can feel that the level of traffic going up.

And I'd like to repeat the fact that we hear the crashes at the intersection of Fargo and Parham routinely when we're in our yard gardening on the weekends. It is pretty common to get crashes there. There is no safe way to cross at those intersections. There's also a library and a post office and fast food on the other side of Parham, and that's the whole -- that whole ecosystem is -- everything south of Three Chopt down to Quioccasin and so on to the mall.

Five stories is a lot of units, that's a lot of cars, that's a lot of pedestrians, that's a completely different aesthetic, and it's on what people, the drivers, are using as a superhighway. They want to be able to fly through there at 50 miles an hour even though there's lots of intersections. So there's -- it's very, almost bipolar, to want it to be an efficient road that's moving traffic and a space that's serving small-scale retail and residences along with fast food, grocery stores, clothing, malls, a new pool coming in. And there's just a lot going on there. And it just seems like a lot of pressure for a small area to add that many cars and that many potential pedestrians.

We also have people moving on foot that have a disability, such as blindness, moving from the eastern neighborhoods that are the other side of Three Chopt, who come all the way over to go to McDonalds because they can't drive. We have schools nearby. We have a lot of middle schoolers crossing from that side of Parham and the other apartments back there. I don't remember what they're called. But sort of behind Starling. We have a lot of middle schoolers with backpacks trying to get over to Three Chopt and get back and forth across the intersection. So there is a lot of vulnerable populations there with the too young to drive and the too sick to drive, including my elderly neighbor who just died. She never owned a car. She lived in this neighborhood since 1964 and she never owned a car and there's zero sidewalks.

And we've changed from a rural, very rural, area when it was originally conceived as a neighborhood and now we're going for high urban, and I'd think it's, from the point of view of the neighborhood, a deterioration of the quality of life there for the local residents. So, thank you.

Mr. Archer - Thank you, ma'am. Are there any questions?

Mr. Baka - If I may, I won't be able to touch on every one of your points, but I appreciate you -- your comments tonight, ma'am, and thank you guys. We make the transition from a rural community to the suburban to even a semi-urban community in some areas. There are certainly growing pains in extensions of how that happens and where that is.

And I can perhaps ask the staff later, but there are -- there are plans ultimately to connect sidewalks from the library intersection onto Parham all the way down to at Regency Mall,

so we are incrementally making progress in that direction. So let me stop there and I'll - unless anyone else has any other questions for the speaker.

Mr. Archer - Ready to call the applicant? All right. Would the applicant come forward?

Mr. Baka - Yes, sir. Would you ask if the applicant could respond to some of those comments we just had the opportunity to hear?

Mr. Theobald - Well, good evening Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. I'm Jim Theobald. I'm here this evening on behalf of Gateway Associates. With me is Stephen Alexander, the principle behind Gateway Associates. And I will respond to the points made, just please know this was developed in 1973, so this project has been here for 47 years, quite some time ago. And it was zoned R-6 unconditional, there were no proffers attendant to this zoning category. But because of your new ordinance this is the perfect -- this is the perfect example of the benefits of your new ordinance allowing a Provisional Use Permit to mix in a little bit of retail. So we're not zoning anything.

We're not adding any proffers. We're asking permission to mix a little bit of retail with the residential. We're going to take down the 20 units that are in the front. You can see on this, basically, we're impacting just the frontage here of Parham, not the rest of Gateway back in here, or closures, or anything else back in here. So we would remove the 20 units that are here, replace it with 80 units. So and that increases 60 units. Take away the pool, the tennis courts, so this would be an 80-unit building with ground-floor retail.

So, interestingly, this is, you know, in the Regency Square Revitalization and Reinvestment Opportunity Area, and I think that really, it defines everything that is attempting to be accomplished in this request. I think the architecture is sort of transitional in terms of a little bit more urban. I'm not sure Baskervill would appreciate the comments about the architecture, but it does pick up elements of the unit behind. And as was said, the owner does intend to renovate the units behind this area that is not part of the request, replacing siding, roofing, interior kitchens, and bathroom upgrades. So that would be a long-term project as people leave. The siding would be done predominantly building by building. Mr. Emerson, you and I had that discussion.

Mr. Ernerson - We did.

Mr. Theobald - Did -- it didn't make sense to do that one unit at a time, but -- as you pointed out. So we've got -- we've got great plans to renovate this, and that's the theme of this whole area. That's what we did when we did the Regency Square thing. And we knew that we were throwing that proverbial pebble in the middle of the -- of the lake and we're just watching the growth and the improvement ripple from it. The shopping center there at Quioccasin and in Starling at times. And it has plans to upgrade both the tenants and their physical facilities. So it's working. What you've planned is working.

The plan has been explained. There is a sidewalk out here, there is a -- we granted the easements to the county as part of the county's sidewalk plan to connect, I think, from Fargo down to Regency as you have -- as you've heard. And so this would be the new -- the new building and a -- and replacement pool and clubhouse behind.

We've done some parking counts and studies and essentially this is woefully overparked in the sense of available spaces, and so we'll be able to utilize not only the new spaces here, share the retail spaces at off hour times of the day and evening, and then this area in there, the buildings over here on this side. And there's plenty of extra parking here that we did studies on three different days, two times each, during those -- during that day.

So this is not an expanded aerial but, as you know, the impactful commercial properties really go from almost 64, clearly from Three Chopt, all the way down past Regency Square. And this is the heart of this part of the county in terms of commercial and office opportunities. This site is negligible compared to what you're starting to see with parking with Walmart down there on the bottom and the TJ Maxx, et cetera. So, you know, we can control things in this box right here, and we've done that in accordance with all of your standards and requirements.

The sidewalk here, and I think the people that spoke are over here, and that's about a football field away, and it -- they're separated from Parham by this parking lot that goes with these offices. So I understand that there are -- they are challenged to try to walk down here, because I don't know how they get through here. There's a fence all the way along the back. But I can't solve the problems that are off-site. I would certainly not want to encourage people to cross Parham Road not at a crosswalk and go halfway, you know, to the median. But Parham Road I think, as I recall, is something like 30-33,000 cars a day on Parham Road. It's a major, major thoroughfare. And there is a lot of traffic, and Mr. Cejka perhaps, can better comment than I can if we intend to deflect any issues with traffic in the -- in the staff report.

So I think this is a terrific opportunity and accomplishes the goals of your plan, your opportunity area, et cetera, and it's going to have an impact on the balance of the site as well. So, with that, I would respectfully request that you recommend approval to the Board of Supervisors. We are in agreement with all the proposed conditions attendant to the Provisional Use Permit, and I would be happy to answer any questions.

Mr. Archer -Thank you, Mr. Theobald. Are there questions?

496 Mr. Baka -Yes. Oh, go ahead.

Mrs. Thornton -Oh, no. I just want to know is it in full occupancy right now?

11

499

Ninety-seven percent. 501

Mrs. Thornton -Ninety-seven. Just from --

Mr. Theobald -

458

459

460

461 462

463

464

465

466

467 468 469

470

471

472 473

474

475 476

477

478 479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486 487

488

489 490

491

492 493 494

495

497 498

500

502

504	Mr. Theobald -	Almost full.
505 506 507	Mrs. Thornton - and then it will be full?	Just from your study I was like, well, is it, you know, not full
508 509 510	Mr. Theobald -	It's very full.
511 512	Mrs. Thornton -	Okay. Now it will be full after you do renovations.
513 514	Mr. Theobald -	Well wouldn't that be a great idea. We hope.
515 516 517 518 519		To follow up on a previous comment, how would you address ries of residential, or a five-story building, might not be might d be in keeping, with this area. How do you assess how a five-
520 521 522 523 524 525	Maxx and then moving on these homes are some 30	Well, I mean, it's more than just height. It's the mass thing, I the mass that you experience here with the Walmart and TJ down towards Regency, I don't think this is out of scale. Again, 00 feet from the proposed building. I can't imagine that those uly bother anybody. I don't
526 527 528 529		So where it says Gateway East where the road the drive would the front of the building be that close approximately etback of the there you go, that there we go.
530 531 532	Mr. Theobald - and more from here.	Well, we've got 76, I believe it says, feet from here to here,
532 533 534	Mr. Baka -	Okay. So it's set back further than that current access point.
535 536	Mr. Theobald -	Mm-hmm.
537 538 539 540	Mr. Baka - The comments I have on the elevation, this is, I know this is at least the second go-round or more on the elevations. It incorporates different types of texture or I'm calling them bump-out to protect the windows. I was going to comment that these were improved elevations than what was first initially submitted.	
541 542 543	Mr. Theobald -	This feature, it was it was a change in architects, frankly.
544 545	Mr. Baka -	Okay.
546 547 548 549	Mr. Theobald - the units behind. And so t units.	That and then we encouraged them to pick up elements of hese this cantilever type feature is an element of the existing

Mr. Baka - Is there any way to determine what type of retail uses there would be, whether they'd be generating a lot of new trips to the site, are they generally capturing traffic that is called pass-by traffic?

Mr. Theobald - Well, yeah. I, well, it's hard to say, but it's not designed for restaurants. Okay, we've really made no provision in this space to accommodate a restaurant. We see it as possibly office space for insurance agents and then just local, you know, your card shop, your typical retailer. So it's not really a very intense type retail. Whether it's destination or impulse I really couldn't say. I guess we all drive up that way. Think many of us do for lots of different reasons.

Mr. Baka - I like the idea of the mix of uses, I just want to make sure it's not an impact. Other questions from the Commission?

564 Mr. Archer - Questions anyone? No, sir.

Mr. Baka - I do have one other question for Mr. Sehl and staff.

568 Mr. Archer - Okay. Mr. Sehl.

570 Mr. Baka - Thank you.

Mr. Sehl - Yes, sir.

Mr. Baka - Wanted to ask if you'd generally address, I guess, safety in your recommendation -- I see recommendations for approval with the conditions as stated in the Provisional Use Permit and the staff report. Could you generally address safety conditions or pedestrian conditions from how the county is handling future sidewalks in this entire Regency Redevelopment Area?

Mr. Sehl - Certainly, Mr. Baka, as you noted conditions 6 and 7 deal kind of directly with the elements Mr. Raw was speaking to. Condition 6 would require a sidewalk along the site's frontage, as well as connections into the site. And Condition 7 would require at the time of the Landscaping/Lighting Plan that the applicant address pedestrian lighting along the sidewalks. And that's a focus, I think, you've heard at the Board Retreat as well, so that's something the county's looking to achieve with this.

So I think you stated it well, it's kind of an incremental approach to those pedestrian improvements. But I would also note that the county is undergoing, as you noted, a larger project that this would then become a component of, that would add sidewalks on the west line of Parham Road, you know, all the way to tie in all of the improvements that Regency is doing as part of their redevelopment as well. So I think it's not something that's being done and -- or could be done all at once, but it is -- it is being -- we're trying to address that incrementally.

Mr. Cejka might also be able to speak to what other improvements addressing Mr. Raw's 595 concerns might be out there with regards to any pedestrian signals or anything like that, 596 that's being undertaken with the county's plans for the larger sidewalk project that's 597 ongoing. 598

But I think that that's -- our hope is that, you know, we'll address these incrementally, but it's also part of that larger plan that the Department of Public Works is undertaking and that there might be other elements that speak more directly to some of those safety things as well.

Mr. Baka -Okay. In that case, would Mr. Cejka be available to elaborate 605 further? And if you could, sir, describe to me further pedestrian improvements in the 606 Regency area from Fargo south? 607

Mr. Cejka -If I can get the map. 609 610

Mrs. Thornton -The other map. 611 612

599

600

601

602

603 604

608

616

617

621

629

636

639

Thank you. Mr. Baka -613 614 615 Mr. Cejka -Good evening. Yeah, I do know of a couple projects that are

going on. Zoom in a little bit here. Currently there's a project to fill in this sidewalk that's missing right here, and to fill in the sidewalk that's missing up here at Starling, you know,

and get continuous sidewalk along with theirs down to the shopping center down here. 618

619 There's also a project on Quioccasin, to put sidewalk all the way down here from Blue 620 Jay to Starling to address some of their concerns that was stated about crossing Parham Road. There are walk signals right here at the signalized intersection of Fargo, and 622 there's also walk signals right here at the shopping center that exist today. There are no 623 crosswalks, but there are walk signals with push buttons. 624

625 Mr. Baka -And the timing of the sidewalks from the west side of Parham 626 from the property north to Fargo and the one north of that, is that current this year or next 627 vear? 628

This is will be this year, towards the end of this year, should Mr. Ceika -630 be completed. 631

632 Mr. Baka -All right, thank you. 633

634 Any questions from anyone of the Traffic Engineer? 635 Mr. Archer -

Mr. Witte -Yeah. Just out of curiosity, is there a reason we have signals 637 without the crosswalk markings? 638

Mr. Cejka - Some of them they don't have handicapped ramps, and if you put in a crosswalk you need to have the handicapped ramps, the ADA ramp.

643 Mr. Witte - Right.

645 Mr. Cejka - So that's a larger expense that we have to fund for getting those put in.

648 Mr. Witte - Okay.

Mr. Archer - All right. Anything further? All right, Mr. Baka.

 Mr. Baka - Thank you. Appreciative of all the comments we've heard. One of the questions came up is what consistency of the -- of the use. I'm respectful of the concerns of the neighbors, but I believe that the use is not inconsistent with the property. Effectively, it is consistent with the intent of the new ordinance, the R-6 provision that would allow for commercial retail uses on the -- on the first floor. Believe the applicant has made reasonable efforts to accommodate the application, and the staff has also presented, Mr. Sehl's also presented, suitable conditions to address that. As far as safety of crosswalks we do, Mr. Cejka pointed out, we do have Fargo Road intersection and I'll call it the Fresh Market intersection because of the fun name. And there are safe opportunities to cross, but it's also to -- we want our -- everyone to exercise their best judgement when doing so.

One other comment I would -- want to mention is I had a general observation perhaps some consideration of hours for construction. Mr. Sehl could we look at another time, or even after this, after this meeting, if that's -- if that's something that's appropriate.

Mr. Sehl - Mr. Baka, if there's a desire of the Commission to incorporate additional restrictions regarding the hours of construction, that's certainly something that the staff could propose.

672 Mr. Baka - Okay.

Mr. Sehl - Prior to the Board of Supervisors hearing.

Mr. Baka - Just if it's something similar to what's used at other cases we've heard, and there may be the other cases we've heard, may be like the other case we have on the agenda, something similar to that. So at this point recognizing the information I would be prepared to make a motion and I would move that PUP2019-00015, James W. Theobald for Gateway Associates of Richmond, LLC be recommended for approval with conditions 1 through 9 and the consideration of construction hours, conditions 1 through 9 as listed in the staff report.

Mrs. Thornton - I second.

686	Mr. Archer -	All right. The motion has been made by Mr. Baka and		
687	seconded by Ms. Thornton. All in favor of the motion say aye.			
688				
689	The Commission -	Aye.		
690				
691	Mr. Archer -	Those opposed say no. The ayes have it, the motion passes.		
692				
693	REASON -	Acting on a motion by Mr. Baka, seconded by Mrs. Thornton,		
694		n voted 5-0 (one abstention) to recommend the Board of		
695	Supervisors grant the request because it would provide added services to the community			
696	and when properly developed and regulated by the recommended conditions, it would not			
697	be detrimental to the publ	ic health, safety, welfare and values in the area.		
698				
699	Mr. Baka -	And this is a recommendation, it goes on to the Board of		
700	Supervisors.			
701	=	The state of the s		
702	Mr. Emerson -	That's correct, yes, sir.		
703	Mar Dala	Theologic		
704	Mr. Baka -	Thank you.		
705	Mr. Arabar	Mr. Secretary before we mayo on the Vice Chairman has		
706	Mr. Archer -	Mr. Secretary, before we move on, the Vice Chairman has		
707	graciously offered to chair the rest of this meeting so I can stop coughing at you all, so I'm going to pass the gavel down to him.			
708 709	Till going to pass the gave	51 down to min.		
710	Mrs. Thornton -	Might want to sanitize it.		
711	WIS. MOMON -	wight want to samuze it.		
712	Mr. Mackey -	It'll be a nice experience trying to		
713	W. Wackey	th bo a moo expensive trying to		
714	Mr. Archer -	Thank you all. Happy Valentine's Day.		
715		тын уст эт төрүү танатын тоо туу		
716	Mr. Emerson -	We hope you feel better, Mr. Chairman.		
717				
718	Mrs. Thornton -	I hope you feel better.		
719				
720	(Mr. Archer departed the	meeting).		
721				
722	Mr. Ernerson -	Mr. Chair, we now move on to the next item on the agenda,		
723	which is REZ2018-00022	, James W. Theobald for Victor J. Moes.		
724				
725	REZ2018-00022	James W. Theobald for Victor J. Moes: Request to		
726	•	R-3 One-Family Residence District to B-2C Business District		
727	(Conditional) Parcels 761-754-8398, 761-755-5910, 761-755-6607, and 761-755-7503			
728	containing 1.783 acres located on the north side of W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250)			
729		ue and Pine Grove Drive. The applicant proposes commercial		
730	uses. The uses will be	e controlled by zoning ordinance regulations and proffered		

conditions. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Commercial Arterial. The staff report will be presented by Mr. Ben Sehl.

Mr. Mackey - Thank you, Mr. Sehl. You can proceed.

Mr. Sehl - Yes, sir. The applicant's request would rezone four existing residential lots to allow for the development of commercial uses consistent with the surrounding West Broad Street Corridor. The applicant proposes to rezone the property B-2C, and no specific uses are proposed at this time. However, a number of potentially incompatible uses have been prohibited, and the proposed zoning district would be in keeping with adjacent properties, which are zoned a mixture of B-2 and B-3.

Because no specific uses are proposed, rather than provide a concept plan of the proposed development the applicant has proffered this access plan. This plan shows how access will be provided via Hollybrook and Pine Grove in a manner consistent with traffic recommendations. No access will be provided to West Broad Street.

Additional proffers address typical items for commercial development such as hours of construction and trash removal, site lighting, dumpster screening, and exterior building materials. The applicant has also revised their proffers to commit to providing sidewalk along West Broad Street. Those proffers were handed out to you this evening.

The 2026 Comprehensive Plan designates the site Commercial Arterial, indicating most business uses would be appropriate if negative impacts to adjacent properties are minimized. To further address this, the applicant has proffered a six-foot vinyl fence between the site and adjacent residents to the north. A Transitional Buffer 25 is also required in this location.

Overall staff believes the requested zoning would be appropriate given the proffered conditions provided by the applicant. Staff notes the request could be enhanced by committing to some form of lighting along site's West Broad Street frontage to help ensure pedestrian safety. And, additionally, the provision of sidewalk on Pine Grove Drive and Hollybrook Avenue is strongly encouraged to facilitate pedestrian connectivity from the adjacent neighborhood.

Should the applicant address these items, staff would fully support the request. A community meeting was held on February 3, 2020 where no residents attended. That concludes my presentation, and I'd be happy to try and answer any questions you might have.

Mr. Mackey - All right, thank you, Mr. Sehl. Are there any questions for Mr. Sehl of staff? At this time, I'm -- I apologize, I forgot to ask this. Is there anyone here who opposes? All right. Seeing none. Mr. Witte?

Mr. Witte - No questions now that you mentioned. All right, Mr. Chairman, I move that REZ2018-00022, James Theobald for Victor J. Moes, be sent to

the Board of Supervisors with a recommendation of approval with proffers dated February 11, 2020. And I believe that's 1 through 12.

Mr. Mackey - We have a motion by Mr. Witte --

782 Mrs. Thornton - Second.

Mr. Mackey - We have a motion by Mr. Witte and a second by Mrs. Thornton. All in favor say aye.

787 The Commission - Aye.

Mr. Mackey - Any opposed? The ayes have it and the motion is carried.

REASON - Acting on a motion by Mr. Witte, seconded by Mrs. Thornton, the Planning Commission voted 4-0 (one absent, one abstention) to recommend the Board of Supervisors **grant** the request because it conforms to the recommendations of the Comprehensive Plan and the proffered conditions will assure a level of development otherwise not possible and should minimize the potential impacts on surrounding land uses

Mr. Emerson - Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The next item on your agenda this evening is SIA2020-00001, and this is the County of Henrico, it's a proposed park.

SIA2020-00001 County of Henrico – Proposed Park: The Department of Planning has received a request from the Division of Recreation and Parks to conduct a Substantially in Accord study for an expansion of the existing Glover Park. The proposed site consists of Parcels 777-771-6782 and 775-771-7259 between the north line of Greenwood Road and the Chickahominy River, just west of Woodman Road. The existing zoning is R-5AC General Residence District (Conditional) and R-6C General Residence District (Conditional). The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Suburban Residential 2, density should not exceed 3.4 units per acre, and Environmental Protection Area. The staff report will be presented by Mr. Ben Sehl.

Mr. Sehl - Good evening again. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. At the request of Recreation and Parks, the Planning Department did conduct a Substantially in Accord study to determine whether the planned expansion of Glover Park is substantially in conformance with the 2026 Comprehensive Plan.

The site is located north of Greenwood Road, just east of the existing park, and includes two parcels totaling approximately 109 acres. Surrounding uses include a variety of residential and government uses, and the Chickahominy River borders the site to the north.

The property is zoned a mixture of A-1, R-6C and R-5AC, and the zoning accepted proffers and deed restrictions would allow for the proposed facility. Surrounding properties are zoned a mixture of A-1, R-2, R-2AC, and R-3C.

The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Suburban Residential 2, and Environmental Protection Area uses for the subject property. While the park is not a residential use, it would be a logical expansion of the adjacent park property, which is designated for Open Space and Recreation in the plan. With proper design and impact mitigation measures a park could be constructed in a manner compatible with resisting uses in the surrounding area. No County department has expressed any opposition to the use of the property as a park. More specific comments regarding the site layout, road improvements, and other impacts were collected and will be taken into consideration as part of the Plan of Development should the use be found to be in accord with the Comprehensive Plan.

Planning staff does recognize the importance of providing necessary public facilities and services to serve a growing population, and the site in question provides adequate area and minimal constraints for park use, and would, therefore, be a logical expansion of Glover Park.

That does conclude my presentation and I'd be happy to try and answer any questions you might have. Representatives from Recreation and Parks are also here, if you have any questions about specifics.

Mr. Mackey - All right. Thank you, Mr. Sehl. Does anyone from the Commission have any questions of staff? All right, this is a public meeting, public hearing. Is there anyone in the audience who has any questions or comments they would like to address?

Mrs. Thornton - I'm just excited about it, because we use Glover Park all the time for my children, and so more parks are better, so --

Mr. Mackey - Absolutely. Absolutely. All right, Mr. Witte.

Mr. Witte - All right, Mr. Chairman. I move that we recommend approval of resolution PCR-3-20 for SIA2020-00001 for Glover Park Expansion.

857 Mr. Mackey - Second. We have a motion by Mr. Witte, seconded by Mr. 858 Mackey. All in favor say aye.

The Commission - Aye.

Mr. Mackey - Any opposed? The ayes have it, the SIA is carried.

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, we now move on to the next item, which is a discussion item, and it's regarding a work session which we discussed earlier during your work session this evening, to continue discussing the Zoning Ordinance Code Updates.

867 868	As you know we're looking at March the 12th. Then we discussed 5:30 p.m. as a good start time for those discussions on the 12th and if that's a consensus of the Commission			
869	we'll go ahead and schedule that.			
870				
871	Mr. Mackey -	Is that a consensus of the Commission? Is everybody fine		
872	with that start time?			
873				
874	The Commission -	Yes.		
875				
876	Mr. Mackey -	Yes, so that's right?		
877				
878	Mr. Emerson -	Okay. We will we will schedule that. Mr. Chairman, the next		
879		ninutes. You do have an errata sheet with one correction that		
880		meeting, and of course we'll entertain any other changes the		
881	Commission may have. These are for your meeting from January 9th.			
882	Commission may have.	noco aro for your mooning norm ountainy our		
883	Mr. Mackey -	Are there any other changes that need to be made to the		
884	minutes?	The there any other onanges that need to be made to the		
885	minutes:			
886	Mr. Baka -	I'll move approval of the minutes with the amended changes		
887	on the errata sheet.	Til move approvar of the minutes with the amended changes		
	on the enata sheet.			
888	Mr Mitto	Second.		
889	Mr. Witte -	Second.		
890	Mr. Maakay	All right we have a motion to account the minutes with the		
891	Mr. Mackey -	All right we have a motion to accept the minutes with the		
892	with the added with the	added		
893	Ma Dalia	A		
894	Mr. Baka -	As amended.		
895	Ma Manhau	Wester Assessment and by Mr. Dales assessment by Mr. Witte. All		
896	Mr. Mackey -	Yeah. As amended by Mr. Baka, seconded by Mr. Witte. All		
897	in favor say aye.			
898				
899	The Commission -	Aye.		
900				
901	Mr. Mackey -	The ayes have it.		
902				
903	Mr. Ernerson -	Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for the Commission this		
904	evening.			
905				
906	Mr. Mackey -	All right. Seeing no more of business this meeting is		
907	adjourned. Oh, I'm sorry.	I apologize. I apologize.		
908				
909	Mr. Witte -	Seconded. He motioned, I seconded.		
910				
911	Mr. Mackey -	All right. It's been moved and seconded. Adjourned.		

Mr. C. W. Archer, Chairman

Mr. R. Seph Emerson, Jr., Secretary