Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of the County of Henrico, Virginia, held in the Board Room of the County Administration Building, Parham and Hungary Spring Roads at 7:00 p.m., November 13, 2003, Display Notice having been published in the Richmond Times-Dispatch on October 23, 2003 and October 30, 2003.

Members Present: Mr. E. Ray Jernigan, C.P.C., Chairperson, Varina

Mrs. Lisa D. Ware, C.P.C., Vice-Chairperson, Tuckahoe

Mr. Allen Taylor, P.E., C.P.C., Three Chopt

Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C., Fairfield

Mr. Ernest B. Vanarsdall, C.P.C., Brookland

Mr. Richard W. Glover, Board of Supervisors, Brookland Mr. Randall R. Silber, Assistant Director of Planning, Secretary

Others Present: Mr. Ralph J. Emerson, Principal Planner

Mr. Mark Bittner, County Planner Ms. Jean Moore, County Planner Ms. Debra Ripley, Recording Secretary

## Unless otherwise indicated, Mr. Glover abstained from voting on all zoning cases.

Mr. Jernigan - I'd like to call the meeting to order, please. Ladies and gentlemen, staff, and fellow commissioners, we'd like to welcome you to tonight's meeting. Ladies and gentlemen in the audience on behalf of the Planning Commission and the staff we would like to welcome you. We had a pretty long agenda tonight, but it has been pretty much diminished now. For those of you here, and I'm not sure how many of you haven't been here before, as the cases are called, I will ask if there is any opposition. If you do have opposition to a case, just please raise your hand and you will be given the appropriate time to speak. If you do speak, please come to the podium. These hearings are audibly taped and you will have to be at the microphone for us to pick you up. If there is a case that has opposition, the applicant will have 10 minutes to present it. The opposition will have a total of 10 minutes to speak against it.

First of all, I would like to welcome Knight Bowles, who is a Planning Commissioner with Goochland County. He is here with us tonight to observe. Thank you for coming.

Mr. Vanarsdall - He thought he was going to sneak in, Mr. Chairman. He came in with me.

Mr. Jernigan - So with that I will turn the meeting over to our Secretary, Mr. Silber.

 Mr. Silber - Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We do have five members of the Commission present. Mr. Glover is not here yet, but as far as I know he will be arriving later. We do have a quorum and we can conduct business. As the Chairman indicated, we do have a number of deferrals and even a couple of withdrawals, so the agenda will probably be a little shorter tonight. We have divided the agenda into a 7:00 portion and an 8:00 portion, because the agenda was so long, but there are many deferrals on the 7:00 portion, and unfortunately we will not be able to move along to the 8:00 agenda until 8:00 p.m. So, we have Mr. Vanarsdall to dance for a while if we need it.

Mr. Archer - Just let him sing. He doesn't have to dance.

Mr. Silber - With that, maybe we can ask Mr. Bittner to review for us the cases that have been withdrawn, and those that are requesting deferral.

Mr. Bittner - Thank you, Mr. Silber. We have two withdrawals on tonight's agenda. The first one is on Page 1 of the agenda.

# Deferred from the September 11, 2003 Meeting:

C-33C-02 Henry L. Wilton for WILHOOK, LLC: Request to rezone from B-3C Business District (Conditional) to R-3C One Family Residence District (Conditional) and B-3C Business District (Conditional), Parcel 804-736-0481, containing 10.415 acres (approximately 6.7 acres in R-3C; approximately 3.7 acres in B-3C), located at the northeast intersection of Mechanicsville Turnpike and Neale Street (Maplewood Farm). Commercial and single family residential developments are proposed. The uses will be controlled by proffered conditions and zoning ordinance regulations. The R-3 District allows a minimum lot size of 11,000 square feet. The Land Use Plan recommends Commercial Concentration. The site is also in the Airport Safety Overlay District.

Mr. Bittner - This has been withdrawn and again there is no action required by the Commission for this.

## Deferred from the August 14, 2003 Meeting:

**C-31C-03 Robert Atack:** Request to conditionally rezone from A-1 Agricultural District to R-2C One Family Residence District (Conditional), part of Parcels 733-775-7627 and 733-777-4209, containing 101.743 acres, located on the west line of Pouncey Tract Road (State Route 271) across from Burberry Lane (Kimberwicke) and Old Wyndham Drive (Wyndham). A single family residential development is proposed. The R-2 District allows a minimum lot size of 18,000 square feet. The Land Use Plan recommends Rural Residential, not to exceed 1.0 unit net density per acre.

Mr. Bittner - The second withdrawal is also on Page 1 of the agenda. Again, this is a withdrawal. There is no action required by the Commission.

I will now start with the 7:00 agenda items to be deferred.

#### THREE CHOPT:

## Deferred from the September 11, 2003 Meeting:

**C-18C-03** James W. Theobald for Commercial Net Lease Realty Services, Inc.: Request to conditionally rezone from B-3 Business District and A-1 Agricultural District to B-2C Business District (Conditional), Parcel 741-761-8112 and part of Parcel 741-761-8532, containing approximately 2.899 acres, located at the southeast intersection of W. Broad Street (U. S. Route 250) and Three Chopt Lane. A retail use is proposed. The use will be controlled by proffered conditions and zoning ordinance regulations. The Land Use Plan recommends Office and Commercial Concentration.

Mr. Bittner - This is a deferral request to the December 11, 2003 meeting.

Mr. Jernigan – Is there any opposition to the deferral of Case C-18C-03? No opposition.

Mr. Taylor - No opposition. Mr. Chairman, I move deferral of Case C-18C-03 to the December 11, 2003 meeting at the applicant's request.

99 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

Mr. Jernigan - We have a motion by Mr. Taylor and a second by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred C-18C-03, James W. Theobald for Commercial Net Lease Realty Services, Inc., to its meeting on December 11, 2003.

106107 Mr. Bittner - Our next request for deferral is on Page 2 of the Agenda.

**C-51C-03 Larry D. Willis:** Request to rezone from A-1 Agricultural District and M-1C Light Industrial District (Conditional) to B-2C Business District, Parcels 742-762-9861, 743-762-1862 and 743-762-1538 and part of Parcel 742-762-9178, containing 4.089 acres, located at the northern terminus of Brookriver Drive and at the I64E/I295 southeast cloverleaf. A Bottoms Up Pizza Restaurant and other restaurants are proposed. The use will be controlled by proffered conditions and zoning ordinance regulations. The Land Use Plan recommends Semi Public. The site is also in the West Broad Street Overlay District.

117 Mr. Bittner - This is a deferral request for two months to the January 15, 2004 meeting.

119 Mr. Jernigan - Is there any opposition to the deferral of Case C-51C-03? No opposition.

Mr. Taylor - Mr. Chairman, I move that Case C-51C-03 be deferred to January 15, 2004, at the applicant's request.

124 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

Mr. Jernigan - We have a motion by Mr. Taylor and a second by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-51C-03, Larry D. Willis, to its meeting on January 15, 2004.

**C-58C-03 Jim Theobald for TC&P, LLC:** Request to conditionally rezone from A-1 Agricultural District to RTHC Residential Townhouse District (Conditional), part of Parcel 739-759-9539, containing 14.825 acres, located at the southeast intersection of Pump and Three Chopt Roads. Seventy-nine (79) residential townhouses (5.33 units per acre) are proposed. The maximum density in the RTH District is nine (9) units per acre. The Land Use Plan recommends Urban Residential, 3.4 to 6.8 units net density per acre. The site is in the West Broad Street Overlay District.

140 Mr. Bittner - The deferral is requested to the December 11, 2003 meeting.

142 Mr. Jernigan - Is there any opposition to the deferral of Case C-58C-03? No opposition.

Mr. Taylor - I move deferral of Case C-58C-03, Jim Theobald for TC&P, LLC, as requested by the applicant to the December 11, 2003 meeting.

147 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

Mr. Jernigan - We have a motion by Mr. Taylor and a second by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-58C-03, Jim Theobald for TC&P, LLC, to its meeting on December 11, 2003.

C-59C-03 Bill Axselle for HCA Health Services of Virginia, Inc.: Request to conditionally rezone from R-3 One Family Residence District and R-6 General Residence District to O-3C Office District (Conditional), part of Parcel 761-744-2028, containing 1.50 acres located approximately 600 feet south of Forest Avenue and 350 feet west of Skipwith Road. Expansion of

Henrico Doctor's hospital is proposed. The use will be controlled by proffered conditions and zoning ordinance regulations. The Land Use Plan recommends Government.

Mr. Bittner - This is a deferral request to the December 11, 2003 Planning Commission meeting.

165 Mr. Jernigan - Is there any opposition to the deferral of Case C-59C-03? No opposition. 166 Mr. Taylor.

Mr. Taylor - No opposition, Mr. Chairman. I move the deferral of Case C-59C-03, Bill Axselle for HCA Health Services of Virginia, Inc. to the December 11, 2003 meeting, at the applicant's request.

172 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

Mr. Jernigan - We have a motion by Mr. Taylor and a second by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.

At the applicant's request, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-59C-03, Bill Axselle for HCA Health Services of Virginia, Inc. to its December 11, 2003 meeting.

 **C-60C-03 Bill Axselle for Neil Farmer:** Request to conditionally rezone from A-1 Agricultural District to R-2AC One Family Residence District (Conditional), part of Parcels 741-774-7210, 741-775-7309, 741-773-9074 and 742-773-2472, containing approximately 17.70 acres, located in the northern portion of the Bridlewood subdivision, just south of the southern terminus of Woolshire Court in the Hampshire subdivision. The aggregate density is proffered not to exceed 2.1 units per acre. The R-2A District allows a minimum lot size of 13,500 square feet. The Land Use Plan recommends Rural Residential, not more than 1.0 unit net density per acre, and Environmental Protection Area.

189 Mr. Bittner - This is a request to defer to the December 11, 2003 meeting.

191 Mr. Jernigan - Is there opposition to the deferral of Case C-60C-03? No opposition.

Mr. Taylor - Mr. Chairman, I will move the deferral of Case C-60C-03, Bill Axselle for Neil Farmer, to the December 11, 2003 meeting, at the request of the applicant.

196 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

Mr. Jernigan - We have a motion by Mr. Taylor and a second by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-60C-03, Bill Axselle for Neil Farmer, to its meeting on December 11, 2003.

**C-61C-03 Bill Axselle for Neil Farmer:** Request to conditionally rezone from A-1 Agricultural District to R-3C One Family Residence District (Conditional), Parcel 752-763-4795 and part of Parcel 752-763-1932, containing 10.03 acres, located at the northern terminus of Lexington Farms Drive, 528 feet southeast of the southeastern terminus of Chicopee Road, and 420 feet southwest of the southern terminus of Fort McHenry Parkway. The aggregate density is proffered not to exceed 3 units per acre. The R-3 District allows a minimum lot size of 11,000 square feet. The Land Use Plan recommends Suburban Residential 2, 2.4 to 3.4, units net density per acre.

212 Mr. Bittner - The deferral is requested to the December 11, 2003 meeting.

214 Mr. Jernigan - Is there any opposition to the deferral of Case C-61C-03? No opposition.

Mr. Taylor - No opposition. I move deferral of Case C-61C-03, Bill Axselle for Neil Farmer, to the December 11, 2003 meeting at the applicant's request.

219 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

Mr. Jernigan - We have a motion by Mr. Taylor and a second by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.

At the applicant's request, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-61C-03, Bill Axselle for Neil Farmer, to its meeting on December 11, 2003.

#### <u>Deferred from the September 11, 2003 Meeting:</u>

**C-25C-03 Henry L. Wilton for Wilton Companies LLC:** Request to conditionally rezone from O-3C Office District (Conditional) to B-2C Business District (Conditional), Parcel 737-751-4601 and part of Parcel 737-751-4028, containing 11.495 acres, located at the northeast intersection of Ridgefield Parkway and dedicated John Rolfe Parkway right-of-way. Retail businesses with limited office uses are proposed. The use will be controlled by proffered conditions and zoning ordinance regulations. The Land Use Plan recommends Urban Residential, 3.4 to 6.8 units net density per acre, and Office.

Mr. Bittner - The deferral request is to the December 11, 2003 meeting.

Mr. Jernigan - Is there any opposition to the deferral of Case C-25C-03?

Mrs. Ware - Then I move that Case C-25C-03 be deferred to the December 11, 2003 meeting at the applicant's request.

243 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

Mr. Jernigan - We have a motion by Mrs. Ware and a second by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.

At the applicant's request, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-25C-03, Henry L. Wilton for Wilton Companies LLC, to its meeting on December 11, 2003.

**C-63C-03** Andrew Condlin for Pocoshock Commons, LLC: Request to conditionally rezone from A-1 Agricultural District to O-2C Office District (Conditional), Parcel 741-751-7865, containing 2.02 acres, located at the northeast intersection of Pump Road and Ridgefield Parkway and the southeast intersection of Pump Road and Kings Grant Drive. Office condominiums are proposed. The use will be controlled by proffered conditions and zoning ordinance regulations. The Land Use Plan recommends Suburban Residential 2, 2.4 to 3.4 units net density per acre. (Deferral requested to the December 11, 2003 Meeting).

Mr. Bittner - The deferral is requested to the December 11, 2003 meeting.

Mr. Jernigan - Is there any opposition to the deferral of Case C-63C-03?

Mrs. Ware - Then I move that Case C-63C-03 be deferred to the December 11, 2003 meeting at the applicant's request.

266 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

Mr. Jernigan - We have a motion by Mrs. Ware and a second by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.

At the applicant's request, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-63C-03, Andrew Condlin for Pocoshock Commons, LLC, to its meeting on December 11, 2003.

274 Mr. Bittner - That was the last case on the deferral agenda for 7:00 p.m. We do have 275 three cases on the 8:00 p.m. agenda. But we have to wait to call those.

277 Mr. Silber - Yes, sir. We just need to wait until 8:00 to handle those deferrals. We 278 don't have too much left under 7:00 p.m.

280 Mr. Vanarsdall - Do you know what they are?

Mr. Bittner - Yes, Case C-41C-03, Don Smith, Case C-64C-03, David Redmond, and Case C-56C-03, Gloria Freye for Finer Homes. Those are on the 8:00 p.m. agenda.

285 Mr. Vanarsdall - What else do we have left for 7:00 p.m.?

287 Mr. Silber - In addition to those, we do have one item that is on the expedited agenda, Mr. Bittner.

Mr. Bittner - We have two expedited items on the 7:00 agenda.

Mr. Silber - The expedited items, for those that are here this evening, are those items that we have placed in a fashion so as to speed up meetings. I am not so sure that was necessary tonight. These are items that have no outstanding issues from the staff's perspective, the Planning Commission member from that district is comfortable with the request, and there is no known opposition from the citizens, so they have been placed on the expedited agenda and can be acted on without a hearing. But if there is opposition or need to pull it off the expedited agenda, it will be done so. Mr. Bittner, do you want to tell us about those two?

Mr. Bittner - Yes.

**C-57-03 Atack/Kornblau Coles Investment, L. C.:** Request to rezone from R-5C General Residence District (Conditional) to C-1 Conservation District, part of Parcel 751-766-9555, containing approximately 1.2 acres, located on the south line of Nuckols Road approximately 400 feet east of Cox Road. A conservation area is proposed (limits of the floodplain). The Land Use Plan recommends Environmental Protection Area.

Mr. Jernigan - Is there any opposition to Case C-57-03, Atack/Kornblau Coles Investment, L.C.? No opposition.

Mr. Taylor - Mr. Chairman, I move approval of Case C-57-03, Atack/Kornblau Coles Investment, L.C. on the expedited agenda.

314 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

Mr. Jernigan - We have a motion by Mr. Taylor and a second by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.

The Planning Commission approved Case C-57-03, Atack/Kornblau Coles Investment, L.C. on the expedited agenda.

**REASON:** Acting on a motion by Mr. Taylor, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall, the Planning Commission voted 5-0 (one absence) to recommend that the Board of Supervisors **grant** the request because it conforms to the recommendations of the Land Use Plan and it conforms with the objectives and intent of the County's Comprehensive Plan.

C-62C-03 Kristen Keatley and Andrew Scherzer for Meadow Glen of West End, LP: Request to amend proffered conditions accepted with rezoning case C-10C-99, on Parcel 749-754-2538, containing 9.95 acres, located on the northwest side of Gaskins Road approximately 750 feet from the southwest intersection of Gaskins and Three Chopt Roads. The applicant proposes to eliminate Proffer 10 limiting vehicular access to Gaskins Road. The existing zoning is R-6C General Residence District (Conditional) and C-1 Conservation District. The Land Use Plan recommends Urban Residential, 3.4 to 6.8 units net density per acre, and Environmental Protection Area.

Mr. Jernigan - Is there any opposition to Case C-62C-03, Kristen Keatley and Andrew Scherzer for Meadow Glen of West End, L.P.? No opposition, Mrs. Ware.

Mrs. Ware - Then I recommend approval to the Board of Supervisors of Case C-62C-03 on the expedited agenda.

Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

Mr. Jernigan - We have a motion by Mrs. Ware and a second by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion passes.

The Planning Commission recommends approval of Case C-62C-03, Kristen Keatley and Andrew Scherzer for Meadow Glen of West End, LP, on the expedited agenda.

**REASON:** Acting on a motion by Mrs. Ware, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall, the Planning Commission voted 5-0 (one abstention) to recommend that the Board of Supervisors **grant** the request because the amendment does not greatly reduce the original intended purpose of the proffers and it is not expected to adversely impact surrounding land uses in the area.

Mr. Bittner - That is the end of the expedited agenda, sir.

Mr. Jernigan - I would like to take this time to welcome Mr. Glover, our representative from the Board of Supervisors, to our meeting. Thank you for coming, Mr. Glover.

Mr. Glover - Yes, sir. I got blown away.

Mr. Silber - Yes, sir. It is windy outside. The next item on the agenda would be the rezoning request that was deferred from the September 11, 2003 meeting, this being zoning Case C-42C-03.

## Deferred from the September 11, 2003 Meeting:

C-42C-03 William Shewmake for P & F LLC: Request to conditionally rezone from R-3 One Family Residence District to O-2C Office District (Conditional) and B-3C Business District (Conditional), Parcels 761-754-1763, 761-754-2053, and 761-754-2145 containing approximately 1.53 acres (O-2C- 1.02 acres, B-3C -.51 acre), located on the east line of Skipwith Road approximately 260 feet north of N. Parham Road and 520 feet south of West Broad Street (U.S.

Route 250). Office uses and parking for the adjacent Infiniti car dealership are proposed. The uses will be controlled by proffered conditions and zoning ordinance regulations. The Land Use Plan recommends Office.

Mr. Jernigan - Is there any opposition to Case C-42C-03, William Shewmake for P & F LLC? No opposition. Ms. Moore, how are you.

Ms. Moore - I'm good. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

This request would consolidate three residential parcels to allow office use. A portion of the property, along the rear, approximately  $\frac{1}{2}$  acre, would be rezoned B-3C to allow the additional parking for employees and inventory for the adjacent Infiniti dealership.

Office use would be consistent with the Land Use Plan's designation for Office and with the adjacent office and low intensive commercial developments. The request for B-3C at the rear of the site would not be consistent with the Land Use Plan; however, it would serve as a logical continuation of the abutting property designated for Commercial Concentration.

The applicant has submitted revised proffers dated November 13, 2003, which you have just received. The time limits would have to be waived in order to take action on this project tonight.

The revised proffers address staff's previous concerns. Specifically, the proffers state:

- Uses would be limited to general offices and medical offices. Uses for the B-3C portion would be limited to uses related to or accessory to the auto dealership;
- The maximum lot coverage would not exceed 70% unless otherwise approved by the Planning Director, but in no case shall the lot coverage exceed 75%.
- Detached signs would not exceed 7' in height;
- Buildings would not exceed 30' in height; with the exception of pitched roofs.
- No more than 50% of the parking provided would be located within the front yard, adjacent to Skipwith Road.
- Approximately six Maple or similar street trees with a minimum 2 ½ inch caliper would be planted along Skipwith Road.
  - Refuse would be screened from public view and
  - The architecture of any building would preclude the use of concrete block or corrugated metals. In addition, the applicant has proffered the buildings would be designed in keeping with Colonial or Victorian architecture.

The requested rezoning would be a logical continuation of the development trends in the vicinity and would assist in improving the operational needs of the adjacent business on Broad Street. Based upon the revised proffers, staff supports this requests.

This concludes my presentation, I would be happy to try to answer any questions you may have.

415 Mr. Jernigan - Are there any questions for Ms. Moore from the Commission?

417 Mrs. Ware - Was the storm water management addressed?

Ms. Moore - We spoke with the applicant and he fully intends to probably have to do an underground BMP because of the configuration of the lot, and they did agree that that would be addressed at time of plan of development.

423 424	Mr. Vanarsdall - date of this?	I think he needs that parking the last time I was over there. What is the	
425			
426	Ms. Moore -	November 13, 2003.	
427			
428	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Where do you see that?	
429		•	
430	Ms. Moore -	We will have to date those, but it is on the original proffers. These are the	
431	black lines, but they we	Ŭ i	
432			
433	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Thank you.	
434	Wii. Variar saan	Thank you.	
435	Mr. Jernigan -	Any more questions for Ms. Moore? Mr. Taylor, would you like to hear	
436	from the applicant?	This more questions for wis. Woore. Wir. Taylor, would you like to flour	
437	nom the applicant:		
438	Mr. Taylor -	Mr. Chairman, I will, if the applicant would like to speak. We have been	
439	3	··	
		ry hard and I think Mr. Shewmake may want to address us. He may do so	
440	at his peril.		
441	Mar Character	The description of the same field and the best and become become of the same	
442	Mr. Shewmake -	I had a judge who once told me that a good lawyer knows when to sit	
443	down and shut up, and	I will try to be brief. I would just like to thank	
444			
445	Mr. Jernigan -	Excuse me. For the record, would you state your name.	
446			
447	Mr. Shewmake -	I am sorry. My name is William Shewmake and I represent the applicant.	
448			
449	Mr. Taylor -	You may exercise the option to shut up at any time that you choose.	
450			
451	Mr. Shewmake -	I just want to thank you, Mr. Kaechele, and the staff. We worked very	
452	hard to close the deal, k	out I really appreciate the cooperation. Thank you.	
453			
454	Mr. Vanarsdall -	And also Will was on the Planning Commission for Chesterfield County, so	
455	he knows when to shut		
456			
457	Mr. Taylor -	On this particular case, we did have a very busy day. We did come a long	
458	3	f time, and I want thank all of those participants who polished the case and	
459	buffed it up to the point that we have it today. With that I would move approval of waiving the		
460	time limits in Case C-42	,,	
461	time iimits in odse o 42	0 00.	
462	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Second.	
463	ivii . Variai saaii -	Second.	
464	Mr. Jornigan	We have a motion by Mr. Taylor and a second by Mr. Vanarsdall to waive	
	Mr. Jernigan -	, ,	
465	the time limits. All ima	vor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.	
466	The Diameira Commission	ion vistad to approve visibles the time limits on Cook C 42C 02 William	
467		ion voted to approve waiving the time limits on Case, C-42C-03, William	
468	Shewmake for P & F LLO	v.	
469			
470	Mr. Taylor -	With that I will move approval of Case C-42C-03, William Shewmake for P	
471	& F LLC.		
472			
473	Mr. Archer -	Second, Mr. Chairman.	
474			

475 Mr. Jernigan - We have a motion by Mr. Taylor and a second by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The case is approved.

The Planning Commission approved recommendation of approval of Case C-42C-03, William Shewmake for P&F LLC to the Board of Supervisors.

**REASON:** Acting on a motion by Mr. Taylor, seconded by Mr. Archer, the Planning Commission voted 5-0 (one abstention) to recommend that the Board of Supervisors **grant** the request because the Office District zoning conforms to the recommendations of the Land Use Plan and the requested Business District zoning would assist in achieving the appropriate development of adjoining property.

Mr. Glover - Mr. Chairman, I would just like for the record to note that I do abstain on all zoning cases since they will come before the Board of Supervisors, and at that time I will vote.

Mr. Jernigan - Thank you, Mr. Glover.

Mr. Vanarsdall - And Mr. Pearson, nice to have you with us again tonight.

 Mr. Glover - I remember back in 1985, Mr. Pearson came before us and when Ms. Moore talked about the trees, I can't help but remember Mr. Pearson's remarks about the trees and the birds. Birds and new cars don't really go together too well. And I think you will note that there are no trees in front of Capital Lincoln Mercury, are they?

Mr. Jernigan - All right. Mr. Secretary, do we have anything else before 8:00 p.m.?

Mr. Silber - Mr. Chairman, because of the excessive number of deferrals we had under the first portion of the agenda, we have nothing else to take up until 8:00 p.m., so unfortunately, we will need to take a break at this point.

Mr. Jernigan - All right. The Commission takes a break at 7:26 p.m.

#### AT THIS TIME THE COMMISSION TOOK A BREAK UNTIL 8:00 P.M.

Mr. Jernigan - I'd like to bring the meeting back to order, please. It is 8:00 p.m. Mr. Silber, next case, please.

Mr. Silber - Yes, Mr. Chairman, we have arrived at the 8:00 agenda. There are four items on the 8:00 portion of the agenda, and similar to the first portion of the agenda, we have additional deferrals. Mr. Emerson has joined us and I presume you will walk us through the deferrals.

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir, Mr. Secretary.

#### Deferred from the September 11, 2003 Meeting:

**C-41C-03 Don Smith:** Request to conditionally rezone from B-2C Business District (Conditional) to M-1C Light Industrial District (Conditional) and B-2C Business District (Conditional), Parcel 808-729-7538, containing 8.585 acres (M-1C – 6.496 ac.; B-2C – 2.089 ac.), located at the southeast intersection of Dabbs House and Creighton Roads. A mini-storage warehouse/self-storage facility and retail are proposed. The use will be controlled by proffered conditions and zoning ordinance regulations. The Land Use Plan recommends Commercial Concentration. The site is in the Airport Safety Overlay District.

Mr. Emerson - The deferral is requested to December 11, 2003.

Mr. Jernigan - Is there any opposition to the deferral of Case C-41C-03, Don Smith?

There is no opposition. With that I will move deferral of Case C-41C-03, to the December 11, 2003 meeting, by request of the applicant.

534 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

Mr. Jernigan - We have a motion by Mr. Jernigan and a second by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-41C-03, Don Smith, to its meeting on December 11, 2003.

C-64C-03 David Redmond for Mid-Atlantic Commercial Properties, LLC: Request to conditionally rezone from A-1 Agricultural District to B-2C Business District (Conditional), Parcel 814-717-0480, containing 5.06 acres, located at the southwest intersection of Gay and S. Laburnum Avenues. Community retail is proposed. The use will be controlled by proffered conditions and zoning ordinance regulations. The Land Use Plan recommends Office. The site is in the Airport Safety Overlay District.

Mr. Emerson - The deferral is requested to the December 11, 2003 meeting.

Mr. Jernigan - Is there any opposition to the deferral of Case C-64C-03, David Redmond for Mid-Atlantic Commercial Properties, LLC? There is no opposition. With that I move for deferral of Case C-64C-03 to the December 11, 2003 meeting, by request of the applicant.

Mr. Taylor - Second.

Mr. Jernigan - We have a motion by Mr. Jernigan and a second by Mr. Taylor. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-64C-03, David Redmond for Mid-Atlantic Commercial Properties, LLC to its meeting on December 11, 2003.

**C-56C-03 Gloria Freye for Finer Homes, Inc. & Debbie Stoddard:** Request to conditionally rezone from A-1 Agricultural District to R-2AC One Family Residence District (Conditional) Parcel 802-696-9269 and part of Parcel 803-696-6866, containing 41.758 acres, located on the east line of Osborne Turnpike approximately 0.41 mile north of Tree Ridge Road and approximately 240 feet west of the western terminus of Harmony Avenue. A single family residential subdivision is proposed. The R-2A District allows a minimum lot size of 13,500 square feet. The Land Use Plan recommends Suburban Residential 1, 1.0 to 2.4 units net density per acre.

Mr. Emerson - The deferral is requested to December 11, 2003 meeting.

Mr. Jernigan - Is there any opposition to the deferral of Case C-56C-03, Gloria Freye for Finer Homes, Inc. and Debbie Stoddard? There is no opposition. With that I will move for deferral of Case C-56C-03, Gloria Freye for Finer Homes, Inc. & Debbie Stoddard to the December 11, 2003 meeting by request of the applicant.

Mrs. Ware - Second.

580 Mr. Jernigan - We have a motion by Mr. Jernigan and a second by Mrs. Ware. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed.

At the applicant's request, the Planning Commission deferred Case C-56C-03, Gloria Freye for Finer Homes, Inc. and Debbie Stoddard, to its meeting on December 11, 2003.

**C-65C-03 Jason A. Williams:** Request to conditionally rezone from A-1 Agricultural District to R-2AC One Family Residence District (Conditional), Parcel 761-771-2932, containing 6.692 acres, located on the south line of Old Springfield Road and the east line of Staples Mill Road (U.S. Route 33). A single family residential subdivision is proposed The R-2A District allows a minimum lot size of 13,500 square feet. The Land Use Plan recommends Suburban Residential 1, 1.0 to 2.4 units net density per acre.

Mr. Jernigan - Is there any opposition to Case C-65C-03, Jason A. Williams? There is no opposition. Mr. Emerson.

Mr. Emerson - Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

This request would enable the development of this parcel into a single-family residential subdivision. There is an existing single-family ranch home, which the applicant may retain and move to a proposed lot along Old Springfield Road existing on the property.

The 2010 Land Use Plan designates the subject site as Suburban Residential 1. The proposed use is consistent with this designation.

At the time the staff report was drafted, there were unresolved issues regarding the wording of proffers pertaining to house sizes and foundations. Additionally, the proposal did not address access and density for the proposed subdivision.

In response, the applicant submitted new proffers dated November 12, 2003, copies of which you just received. Subsequently, the time limit would need to be waived to allow any action on this project tonight.

Staff has reviewed the revised proffers, and they do address the issues raised in the report. The revised proffers include but are not limited to:

 House Size – The applicant clarified the minimum 2,500 square footage for a two-story home would include finished floor area. Single-family homes would be 2,000 square feet.

 Proffer 11, Access – The applicant states only one lot would have access to Old Springfield Road. All other lots would be accessed from Staples Mill Road. There would be no more than one entrance from Staples Mill Road and no lots would have direct access to Staples Mill Road.

Mr. Vanarsdall - Mr. Emerson, may I interrupt you? The proffers handed out are not the proffers. These are dated the  $7^{th}$  of November. The proffers I have are dated the  $12^{th}$ , and what you are reading is in the  $12^{th}$  proffers and not in the  $7^{th}$ .

Mr. Emerson - That would be correct. I apologize for that. We will make copies and have them here shortly. The applicant has submitted, but not proffered a conceptual plan which you can see on the screen in front of you. Based on this plan, 13 lots are proposed. You will note that Lot 7 is the one that is proposed to access Old Springfield Road. It is my understanding from the applicant that is where he intends to move the single-family ranch, the current one that is on

the property, and face it onto Springfield Road, which would be directly next door to a similar ranch and would be in character with that road.

In keeping with this layout, the applicant has submitted a proffer stating there would be no more than 2 units per acre. Additionally the applicant has submitted proffers for:

- A minimum lot width of 85 feet. The zoning category requested, of course, requires an 80 ft. lot width.
- Finished masonry fences along Staples Mill Road.
- Building Materials
- He also specified that of the garages that will be provided, that 80% of those will be side or rear loaded.

Overall, the proposed project would be in keeping with the existing adjacent residential developments and with the 2010 Land Use Plan. The revised proffers would ensure quality development in keeping with adjacent developments. Therefore, staff can now recommend approval of this application based upon the submittal.

Again, I do apologize that we don't have the proper proffers copied for you. We will have them here shortly.

652 Mr. Jernigan - Thank you, Mr. Emerson. Any questions for Mr. Emerson from the 653 Commission?

655 Mr. Archer - Mr. Emerson, you indicated the fence would be masonry. Is it any more specific than that?

658 Mr. Vanarsdall - If it is over 42 inches, it would be masonry.

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir, that is correct, and it is Proffer #7, and again, I know you don't have these specifically, but it says "along any side or rear property line abutting Staples Mill Road, no fencing shall exceed 42 inches in height unless constructed of finished masonry."

664 Mr. Archer - Is that brick or?

Mr. Emerson - Well, masonry products could be any number of things. It could be brick. It could be precast product that we see a lot of in the county now that has been used for several different developments, so masonry covers a number of different stone-cement type products.

Mr. Archer - Yes, I didn't have any problem with it. I just wondered if he had been specific or might have varied to some degree.

673 Mr. Emerson - No, sir, but it could vary some under this proffer.

675 Mr. Archer - OK.

677 Mr. Vanarsdall - It would not be painted cinderblock, would it, Mr. Emerson?

679 Mr. Emerson - No, sir. It would not be painted cinderblock.

681 Mr. Jernigan - Ernie, would you like to hear from the applicant or do you want to look the proffers over first or what do you want to do?

684 Mr. Vanarsdall - We can hear from the applicant while we are waiting for the proffers. Mr. Williams, come on down.

686

687 Mr. Jernigan - Sir, would you state your name for the record, please?

688

689 Mr. Williams - Yes. I am Jason Alexander Williams.

690

691 Mr. Jernigan - Good evening.

692

693 Mr. Williams - Good evening.

694

695 Mr. Jernigan - You may proceed.

696 697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706 707

708

709

710

711

712

713

Mr. Williams -OK. As far as addressing a few of the things in the proffers, a few of the things that I imagine are of concern, along Staples Mill Road there are five lots in this drawing and what we propose is a nice berm to be put in place along all five of those lots. It is nice landscaping that we are going to put in ourselves. It won't be required by the builder. We will do it as developers. I own a landscaping business and have been in business 15 years, so I am going to come up with something real nice. I figure we will probably spend \$30,000 planting that berm, so we are going to try to provide real nice screening as the neighborhood, the homes will probably be \$400,000 plus, so obviously, we want to screen it as well. One of the concerns addressed was a lot #7 on this plan that comes out on Old Springfield Road. In talking with some of the people here at the County, apparently that was a concern that some of the residents down there had, about having any traffic going on Old Springfield Road. And that is why, obviously, we have come off on Staples Mill, but there is one house with a road proposed coming off of Staples Mill Road into the neighborhood. We told them that we thought we might move that house. After finding prices to move the house, we are not so sure that is going to work out. We are still proposing to either move the house to lot #7 that is existing on the land or we may build one. On riding Old Springfield Road, it looks like there are 15-16 houses on Old Springfield Road, total. So, we are going to add one house, so, hopefully, that wouldn't impact as far as traffic on that road by adding one house coming off of Old Springfield.

714 715

Other than that, any questions, I guess, that you guys would have.

716 717 718

719

Mr. Vanarsdall - Have you all made up your mind what you are going to do with the house that is there? Have you decided whether you are going to move it onto lot #7 or are you going to tear it down and build another?

720 721 722

723

724

Mr. Williams - At this time, Ernie, we've got one price. We want to get a second price. The first price we have to move that existing house is a little expensive, so we would like to get one more price and see. But at this point, if the price comes in where they are proposing, it wouldn't be feasibly worth it to move it, because economically...

725 726 727

Mr. Vanarsdall - The house has to be moved in order to use the ingress/egress on the crossover on Staples Mill that is right opposite of the subdivision across Staples Mill.

728 729

730 Mr. Williams - Yes, sir. The house is...

731

Mr. Vanarsdall - (unintelligible) approve it with no problem. All right. We have the proffers here now and you all look them over if you have any questions.

- 735 Mr. Silber Mr. Williams, is there a right of way dedication that is required on Staples
- 736 Mill?

737 738 I don't believe so. Mr. Williams -739 740 This slide that is shown is the area between the meets and bounds Mr. Silber -741 description and those lot lines. Is that the common area or is that... 742 743 Mr. Williams -Right here (referring to slide)? 744 745 Mr. Silber -Yes. 746 747 Mr. Williams -I am guessing that could be a right of way. It is a big culvert, drainage 748 culvert that runs down along Staples Mill Road. From Staples Mill Road to where the height of the 749 land, kind of where these lot lines would be is probably a 15 to 20 foot rise, so that could be a right 750 of way. That is something that I really wouldn't know. 751 752 Mr. Silber -The reason I am asking, and this may be addressing my exact point is the 753 Board of Supervisors recently passed an ordinance that requires additional setbacks where adjacent 754 to major roads, so I wanted to make sure that your lot depth and your lot width, like lot #1 is wide 755 enough and that strip may be providing exactly the areas that I am talking about. But my concern 756 was if that is, in fact, right of way dedication that you are having to give up, you may be squeezed 757 on lot #1, and perhaps even on lot #11 on that rear yard. I just raised that as something you may 758 want to look at when you begin to lay this out. 759 760 Mr. Williams -OK. That is something that I will certainly bring up with the engineer and 761 we will find out about it. 762 763 Are there any more questions for Mr. Williams from the Commission? Mr. Jernigan -764 765 Mr. Silber -I had one more question and I guess I am looking at the proffers 11 and 12. Proffer 6 speaks to the steps and stoops and to the main entrance of the house shall be brick 766 767 and stone. It seems like it already says that in proffer 1 or am I not reading that correctly? I am 768 not sure. Six looks like it repeats what is in one. 769 770 Mr. Williams -Yes. I would say that it did. That is just double insurance. They won't try 771 to get that one by you again. 772 773 Mrs. Ware -One says the same as the dwelling and the other one says that it is either 774 brick or stone. 775 776 Mr. Williams -The thought there was just to make sure that no one puts up wood, 777 stoops, steps, up to the front of the house, to make sure it would all be consistent with brick, 778 stone. 779 780 Mr. Silber -And I believe isn't that what #1 does? 781 782 Mr. Williams -Yes, pretty much.

783

784 Mr. Silber - Well, if it simply repeats, it is not a big issue. I was just trying to differentiate between the wording.

786 787

Mr. Glover - I tell you before you get to the Board, I like brick on stoops. Rocks don't look that good.

790 791	Mr. Williams -	I am guessing that most of them will be brick.
792	Mr. Glover -	Did you say all of them would be?
793 794 795 796 797		I can't really see many people building stone houses in here, but I mean limit it, because they are going to be upper-scale houses. So, if someone e with some natural stone, you know material blended into the front of the
798 799	Mr. Vanarsdall -	On #6
800 801 802	Mr. Glover -	You have not heard me yet, have you?
803 804	Mr. Williams -	But yes they will be all brick.
805 806	Mr. Silber -	I think he heard you now.
807 808	Mr. Williams -	We will put that in No. 1 and No. 6.
809 810	Mr. Glover -	I just said I liked brick. I didn't tell you you had to do it.
811 812	Mr. Williams -	It is agreed then. Can I get a second?
813 814	Mr. Vanarsdall - end of that? Did we no	Ms. Moore, I thought we talked about dropping the word "stone" on the t? OK.
815		
816 817	Mr. Williams -	We'll get you a new set of proffers.
818 819	Mr. Vanarsdall -	We talked about it.
820 821	Mr. Glover -	Would you like to have Mr. Williams repeat what he said just now.
822 823	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Would I like to do what?
824 825	Mr. Glover -	Would you like to hear what he had to say about that?
826 827	Mr. Vanarsdall -	About the brick?
828 829	Mr. Glover -	Yes.
830 831	Mr. Williams -	Ernie, I am in love with brick right now.
832 833	Mr. Glover -	I didn't hear him. It went over my head.
834 835	Mr. Williams -	I will probably have dreams of brick tonight.
836 837	Mr. Vanarsdall -	I am just suggesting now, if you want to do this, to drop the stone.
838 839	Mr. Williams -	OK.
840 841	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Start the sentence with brick.
842	Mr. Williams -	OK. I hate stone anyway.

843 844 Mr. Vanarsdall -The reason I thought we talked about it is because on my scratched up 845 notes, I dropped it on mine, but it didn't get dropped somewhere else. 846 847 Mr. Williams -I was just trying to slide one past you, Ernie. I apologize. But you got me. 848 849 Mr. Vanarsdall -OK. 850 851 Mr. Williams -We will drop that – brick. 852 853 Are there any other questions of Mr. Williams? Thank you, sir. Mr. Jernigan -Mr. Vanarsdall, we are waiting for you. 854 855 Ready for a motion? This seems to be a nice subdivision next to the one 856 Mr. Vanarsdall -857 we approved a couple of months ago, and we had a meeting with Mr. Williams and Mr. McKinney, 858 Joe, Jean and I, and Mr. Williams was so cooperative that I told him it made me uneasy. I usually 859 don't get that kind of cooperation at the first meeting, so then he put it all in writing. So, it is in 860 accordance with the land use, and the goals, and I think it will be a good subdivision. And I guess 861 Mr. McKinney had a lot to do with it. He has experience in this type of thing. And Ms. Moore, 862 thank you for your help. And with that I want to waive the time limits on the proffers. I make a 863 motion to waive the time limit on the proffers. 864 865 Mr. Taylor -Second. 866 867 We have a motion by Mr. Vanarsdall and a second by Mr. Taylor to waive Mr. Jernigan -868 the time limits. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed. 869 870 The Planning Commission approved waiving the time limits on Case C-65C-03, Jason A. Williams. 871 872 Mr. Vanarsdall -I recommend to the Board of Supervisors that Case C-65C-03, Jason A. 873 Williams, be approved and on Proffer #6, the applicant has requested we drop "stone" at the end. 874 Stop the sentence with "shall be of brick." 875 876 Mrs. Ware -Second. 877 878 We have a motion by Mr. Vanarsdall and a second by Mrs. Ware. All in Mr. Jernigan -879 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The motion is passed. 880 881 882 Williams, to the Board of Supervisors.

The Planning Commission approved recommendation of approval of Case C-65C-03, Jason A.

883 884

885

**REASON:** Acting on a motion by Mr. Vanarsdall, seconded by Mrs. Ware, the Planning Commission voted 5-0 (one abstention) to recommend that the Board of Supervisors grant the request because it conforms to the recommendations of the Land Use Plan and represents a logical continuation of the one-family residential development which exists in this area.

890

That concludes the agenda of rezoning requests. The only remaining item Mr. Silber of business would be approval of the minutes. These are the minutes from the October 9, 2003 rezoning meeting.

891 892 893

Mr. Jernigan -Are there any corrections to the minutes of the October 9, 2003 minutes? We need a motion.

896	Mr. Taylor -	I move approval of the minutes of the October 9, 2003 rezoning meeting.	
897			
898	Mrs. Ware -	Second.	
899			
900	Mr. Jernigan -	We have a motion by Mr. Taylor and a second by Mrs. Ware. All in favor	
901	say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it. The minutes are approved.		
902			
903	With that we adjourn. (8:21 p.m.)		
904			
905			
906			
907			
908			
909		E. Ray Jernigan, C.P.C., Chairman	
910			
911			
912			
913			
914			
915		Randall R. Silber, Acting Secretary	
916		- •	
916			