Minutes of a work session of the Planning Commission of the County of Henrico
 held in the County Manager's Conference Room, Administration Building in the
 Government Center at Parham and Hungary Spring Roads, beginning at 5:30
 p.m. October 9, 2014.

5			
	Members Present:	<ul> <li>Mr. Eric S. Leabough, C.P.C., Chairman (Varina)</li> <li>Mr. Robert H. Witte, Jr., Vice-Chairman (Brookland)</li> <li>Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C. (Fairfield)</li> <li>Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., AICP, Director of Planning, Secretary</li> <li>Mr. David A. Kaechele, Board of Supervisors' Representative</li> </ul>	
	Members Absent:	Mr. Tommy Branin (Three Chopt) Mrs. Bonnie-Leigh Jones, C.P.C., (Tuckahoe)	
	Also Present:	Ms. Jean M. Moore, Assistant Director of Planning Mr. James P. Strauss, PLA, Principal Planner Ms. Leslie News, PLA, Principal Planner Mr. Benjamin Sehl, County Planner Ms. Aimee Crady, County Planner Ms. Sylvia Ray, Recording Secretary	
6 7 8 9 10	Mr. David A. Kaechele, the Board of Supervisors' representative, abstains on all cases unless otherwise noted.		
11 12	Mr. Leabough - would like to turn ov	This is our October 9, 2014, work session. Next I ver the agenda to our secretary, Mr. Joe Emerson	
13 14	Mr. Emerson -	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	
15 16 17 18 19 20	The Commission convened a work session in the County Manager's Conference Room at 5:30 p.m. to discuss proffers and conditions typically accepted and approved with cases. This presentation and compilation of information has been put together by Mr. Ben Sehl and Ms. Aimee Crady.		
20 21 22 23 24	The Commission re	convened at 7:00 p.m. for the public hearing.	

.

Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of the County of Henrico held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and Hungary Spring Roads, beginning at 7:00 p.m. October 9, 2014. Display Notice having been published in the Richmond Times-Dispatch on September 22, 2014 and September 29, 2014.

Members Present:	<ul> <li>Mr. Eric S. Leabough, C.P.C., Chairman (Varina)</li> <li>Mr. Robert H. Witte, Jr., Vice-Chairman (Brookland)</li> <li>Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C. (Fairfield)</li> <li>Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., AICP, Director of Planning, Secretary</li> <li>Mr. David A. Kaechele, Board of Supervisors' Representative</li> </ul>	
Members Absent:	Mrs. Bonnie-Leigh Jones, C.P.C., (Tuckahoe) Mr. Tommy Branin (Three Chopt)	
Also Present:	Ms. Jean M. Moore, Assistant Director of Planning Mr. James P. Strauss, PLA, Principal Planner Ms. Rosemary D. Deemer, AICP, County Planner Mr. Benjamin Sehl, County Planner Mr. Livingston Lewis, County Planner Mr. John Cejka, Traffic Engineer, Public Works	

Ms. Sylvia Ray, Recording Secretary

31

Mr. David A. Kaechele, the Board of Supervisors' representative, abstains on all cases unless otherwise noted.

34

35 THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECONVENED

36

Mr. Leabough - I reconvene the Henrico County Planning Commission meeting. Earlier this evening we had a work session where we discussed items related to proffered conditions and subdivision conditions and provisional use conditions as well.

41

With that I'd like to welcome you to our meeting. I ask that you mute or silence
 your cell phones. And as you do that, would you please rise with the Commission
 for the Pledge of Allegiance.

45

Next I'd like to recognize any news media in the audience. I don't see any, I don't
 believe. No, there's no news media.

48

With that I'd like to turn the agenda over to our secretary, Mr. Joe Emerson. Before I do that, though, I would like to acknowledge that we have two Planning Commission members absent tonight. Mrs. Jones and Mr. Branin are not able to be with us, but we do have a quorum and we can conduct business. I'd like to

also point out that we have Mr. Kaechele from the Board of Supervisors who's 53 54 sitting with us this year. Thank you for being here, sir. 55 Mr. Kaechele -56 Mr. Chairman, as you know, representing the Board I usually abstain on votes that subsequently come before the Board. But if you 57 need a vote for a guorum tonight, let me know. 58 59 Mr. Leabough -60 Thank you sir, and so noted. Okay, I would now like to turn the agenda over to Mr. Emerson. 61 62 63 Mr. Emerson -Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As you noted we do have two commissioners absent tonight with personal reasons that they couldn't be 64 here. As far as a quorum, you do have a quorum. Mr. Kaechele, unless we don't 65 have a majority vote, you will be fine without voting. 66 67 Mr. Kaechele -68 Okay. 69 Mr. Emerson -70 I don't anticipate that there will be any split votes this evening, so I believe you're fine with abstaining. 71 72 Mr. Kaechele -73 Thank you. 74 Mr. Emerson -With that, Mr. Chairman, first on your agenda are 75 76 requests for withdrawals and deferrals. Those will be presented by Mr. Jim Strauss. 77 78 Mr. Strauss -Thank you, Mr. Secretary. We have no withdrawals 79 this evening; however, we do have several deferrals I'd like to go over. The first 80 deferral is in the Brookland district on page 2 of the agenda. It is REZ2014-81 00021, Riverview Green Investors, LLC. The applicant is requesting deferral to 82 the December 11, 2014 meeting. 83 84 (Deferred from the July 10, 2014 Meeting) 85 REZ2014-00021 James W. Theobald for Riverview Green 86 Investors, LLC: Request to amend proffered conditions accepted with Rezoning 87 cases C-2C-09 and C-18C-08 on Parcel 775-771-7259 located in the northwest 88 guadrant of Greenwood and Bent Pine Roads. The applicant proposes to amend 89 proffers related to age restriction, the conceptual plan, amenities, emergency 90 access, parking, recreational vehicles, tree save area, entrance feature, 91 condominiums, architectural exhibits, land for park and recreation, number of 92 units, and hours of construction. The existing zoning is R-5AC General 93 Residence District (Conditional) and R-6C General Residence District 94 (Conditional). The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Suburban Residential 95 2. density should not exceed 3.4 units per acre. 96 97

Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to the Mr. Leabough -98 deferral request for REZ2014-00021, James W. Theobald for Riverview Green 99 Investors, LLC? There is no opposition to the deferral. 100 101 Mr. Chairman, I move for deferral of case REZ2014-102 Mr. Witte -00021, James W. Theobald for Riverview Green Investors, LLC, to the 103 December 11, 2014 meeting at the request of the applicant. 104 105 Second. Mr. Archer -106 107 We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. 108 Mr. Leabough -Archer. All in favor say ave. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion 109 110 passes. 111 At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred REZ2014-112 00021, James W. Theobald for Riverview Green Investors, LLC, to its meeting on 113 November 11, 2014. 114 115 The next request for deferral, also in the Brookland Mr. Strauss -116 district, page 2 of the agenda, is PUP2014-00001, Mr. Bobby Marchetti. The 117 applicant is requesting a deferral to the January 15, 2015 meeting. 118 119 (Deferred from the July 10, 2014 Meeting) 120 PUP2014-00001 Malachi M. Mills for Bobby Marchetti: Request for a 121 Provisional Use Permit under Sections 24-58.2(d), 24-120 and 24-122.1 of 122 Chapter 24 of the County Code in order to allow outdoor dining for a proposed 123 restaurant on part of Parcel 767-757-8360 located 95' east of the east line of 124 Hungary Spring Road approximately 1,025' south of its intersection with Staples 125 Mill Road (U.S. Route 33). The existing zoning is B-2C Business District 126 (Conditional). The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Commercial 127 Concentration and Office. 128 129 Mr. Leabough -Do we have anyone in opposition to PUP2014-00001. 130 Malachi M. Mills for Bobby Marchetti? There is no opposition. 131 132 133 Mr. Witte -Mr. Chairman, I move for deferral of PUP2014-00001, Malachi M. Mills for Bobby Marchetti, to the January 15, 2015 meeting at the 134 135 request of the applicant. 136 Mr. Archer -Second. 137 138 We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by 139 Mr. Leabough -Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion 140 141 passes. 142

145 Mr. Strauss -Staff just became aware of two additional deferrals 146 147 this evening. They are also in the Brookland district on page 3 of the agenda. The first one is REZ2014-00005, Mr. Andy Condlin for Nobility Investments, LLC, 148 149 The Commission is requesting a deferral of this case to the November 13th meeting. 150 151 152 (Deferred from the August 14, 2014 Meeting) REZ2014-00005 Andrew M. Condlin for Nobility Investments, LLC: 153 Request to amend proffered conditions accepted with Rezoning Cases C-46C-154 83, C-11C-07 and C-23C-09 on parts of Parcels 768-747-0824 and 767-747-155 156 9248 located on the north line of Glenside Drive approximately 420' west of its intersection with Bethlehem Road. The applicant proposes to replace all proffers 157 accepted with C-46C-83, C-11C-07 and C-23C-09 to allow a new hotel. The 158 existing zoning is B-2C Business District (Conditional). The 2026 Comprehensive 159 Plan recommends Commercial Arterial. 160 161 Mr. Leabough -Okay. Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to 162 the deferral of REZ2014-00005, Andrew M. Condlin for Nobility Investments, 163 LLC? There is no opposition. 164 165 Mr. Witte -Mr. Chairman, I move for deferral of case REZ2014-166 00005, Andrew M. Condlin for Nobility Investments, LLC, to the November 13, 167 2014 meeting at the request of the Commission. 168 169 Mr. Archer -Second. 170 171 We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. Mr. Leabough -172 Archer. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion 173 174 passes. 175 At the request of the Commission, the Planning Commission deferred REZ2014-176 00005. Andrew M. Condlin for Nobility Investments, LLC, to its meeting on 177 November 13, 2014. 178 179 Mr. Strauss -And lastly, again in the Brookland district, REZ2014-180 00042, Mr. Andy Condlin for Nobility Investments, LLC. And again, the 181 Commission is requesting a deferral to the November 13th meeting. 182 183 Andrew M. Condlin for Nobility Investments, LLC: REZ2014-00042 184 Request to amend proffered conditions accepted with Rezoning Cases C-46C-185 83, C-11C-07 and C-23C-09 on parts of Parcels 768-747-0824 and 767-747-186 9248 located on the north line of Glenside Drive approximately 315' west of its 187 intersection with Bethlehem Road. The applicant proposes to replace all proffers 188

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred PUP2014-

00001, Malachi M. Mills for Bobby Marchetti, to its meeting on January 15, 2015.

143

144

accepted with C-46C-83 and amend proffers accepted with C-11C-07 and C 23C-09 related to sidewalks, conceptual plan, landscaping, buffers, and shared
 access and parking to allow reconfiguration of the property. The existing zoning
 B-2C Business District (Conditional). The 2026 Comprehensive Plan
 recommends Commercial Arterial and Office.

194

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to
 REZ2014-00042, Andrew M. Condlin for Nobility Investments, LLC? There is no
 opposition, Mr. Witte.

198

202

204

Mr. Witte - Mr. Chairman, I move for deferral of case REZ2014-00042, Andrew M. Condlin for Nobility Investments, LLC, to the November 13, 201 2014 meeting at the request of the commission.

203 Mr. Archer - Second.

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

208

At the request of the Commission, the Planning Commission deferred REZ2014-00042, Andrew M. Condlin for Nobility Investments, LLC, to its meeting on November 13, 2014.

212

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, that completes the requests for withdrawals and deferrals. Next on your agenda are requests for expedited, and there are none of those this evening. So now we move to your first case of the evening, REZ2014-00044. And there is a companion case that I'm going to call at the same time. When you vote on these, you do need to call them separately.

218

REZ2014-00044 219 Martin and Paula Ramirez/Life Enterprises Corp.: Request to rezone from A-1 Agricultural District, M-1 Light Industrial District, and 220 M-2 General Industrial District to A-1 Agricultural District Parcel 817-720-4176 and 221 part of Parcel 817-720-2092 containing 3.05 acres, located on the north and south 222 lines of Oakleys Lane approximately 800' east of its intersection with Oakleys 223 Place. The applicant proposes to expand an existing bed and breakfast and 224 events. The A-1 District allows a minimum lot size of 43,560 square feet and a 225 226 maximum gross density of 1.0 unit per acre. The use will be controlled by zoning ordinance regulations. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Suburban 227 Residential 2, density should not exceed 3.4 units per acre, and Environmental 228 229 Protection Area. The site is located in the Airport Safety Overlay District.

230

PUP2014-00016 Martin and Paula Ramirez/Life Enterprises Corp.: Request to expand an existing bed and breakfast and to amend conditions approved with Provisional Use Permit P-6-05 for a bed and breakfast under sections 24-51.1(c), 24-120 and 24-122.1 of Chapter 24 of the County Code on

parcels 817-720-2092 and 817-720-4176 located on the north and south lines of 235 Oakleys Lane approximately 800' east of its intersection with Oakleys Place. The 236 237 applicant proposes to include an additional parcel for an existing bed and breakfast and events. The existing zoning is A-1 Agricultural District, M-1 Light Industrial 238 District, and M-2 General Industrial District. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan 239 recommends Suburban Residential 2, density should not exceed 3.4 units per 240 acre. and Environmental Protection Area. The site is located in the Airport Safety 241 Overlay District and part of the site is located in the Enterprise Zone. The staff 242 report will be presented by Mr. Ben Sehl. 243

244

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone in opposition to REZ2014-00044,
 Martin and Paula Ramirez/Life Enterprises Corp? There is no opposition. Good
 evening again, Mr. Sehl.

- 248
- 249 250

Mr. Sehl -

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

These companion cases would allow the expansion of the Mankin Mansion bed and breakfast. The rezoning request would allow a consistent A-1 zoning for all of the properties owned by the applicant in the area, and would allow consideration of the companion PUP request, which proposes to incorporate the property currently zoned M-1 and M-2 into the bed and breakfast operation.

256

In 2005, the Board of Supervisors approved PUP request P-6-05, which allowed
 the operation of a bed and breakfast facility that can accommodate up to twenty six guests within the principal building and three existing cottages.

260

That PUP approval also allowed the applicant to host up to twenty-five wedding receptions and similar events throughout the year. These events currently include outdoor areas at the rear and sides of the property, as well as a large tent that is used for the various events. Overflow parking is provided on the M-2 portion of the site that's located here.

266

The applicant now proposes to incorporate the existing overflow parking site, which also includes a former auto service garage, into the PUP approval for the bed and breakfast and events. They also propose to revise certain conditions imposed as part of P-6-05, and allow for an additional guest cottage accommodating up to two more guests.

272

In addition to the additional guest cottage, the applicant proposes to revise the 273 conditions of P-6-05 to allow on-site meal preparation for events held at the 274 facility-the current conditions prohibit any on-site meal preparation except for 275 quests of the bed and breakfast. Other proposed revisions would extend the 276 permitted hours of operation one hour in the evening from 11 p.m. to midnight, 277 allow up to twenty employees during events, extend the hours where amplified 278 music is permitted, and allow for a new structure that would accommodate indoor 279 and outdoor events. 280

The applicant also proposes to remove the current limit of twenty-five events per year that was imposed as part of P-6-05.

As noted in the staff report, staff believes the requested revisions are generally appropriate, and would not be anticipated to negatively impact adjacent properties. However, staff did note some concern with removing the event limitation in its entirety, and has proposed a limit of sixty events per year. This limit would not apply to events that had fewer than fifty guests.

Overall, staff believes the requested rezoning and provisional use permit is appropriate. The applicant has demonstrated that the current facility is well run, and has generally not had negative impacts on the surrounding community. The proposed rezoning would remove unconditional industrial zoning from the property, and the PUP revisions would continue to provide adequate protection for adjacent properties through the recommended conditions in the staff report.

For these reasons, staff supports these requests. I would note again, as Mr. Emerson stated, that separate motions are required for these companion cases. I'd be happy to try and answer any questions you might have.

302 Mr. Archer - I don't have any questions. Does anybody else?

Mr. Leabough - I have no questions either. Okay. Mr. Emerson, just so that we're correct on terms of process, Mr. Archer is going to handle it from my perspective. But I guess part of the property is in Varina and part of it's in Fairfield?

Mr. Sehl - Yes sir, Mr. Leabough. The portion with the pond
 north of Oakleys Lane is in the Varina district.

312 Mr. Leabough - Okay.

284

290

297

301

303

308

311

313

315

317

319

321

314 Mr. Sehl - So the remainder of the portion is in Fairfield.

316 Mr. Leabough - All right. Thank you.

318 Mr. Archer - But we'll let you have it.

320 Mr. Emerson - You do need to make two separate motions.

Mr. Archer - Yes sir. I don't have any other comments to add both Mr. and Mrs. Ramirez are here—except to say that I hope all you have, but I visited the Mankin Mansion several times. You don't have to get up to answer this, but is the sixty events okay with you all?

327 328	Ms. Ramirez -	[Off microphone.] It's okay	
329	Mr. Archer -	Better come up here.	
330	Mr. Lookoush	And these are recorded around in a if we ald	
331 332	Mr. Leabough - And these are recorded proceedings, so if you could state your name for the record.		
333	M. Dening	Y O I I D I D I	
334	Ms. Ramirez -	Yes. Good evening. Paula Ramirez.	
335	Ma Damina	Martin Damina	
336	Mr. Ramirez -	Martin Ramirez.	
337	Ma Demina	To an	
338	Ms. Ramirez -	To answer your question, Mr. Archer, sixty events is	
339 340	acceptable; however, I would like to point out that when we were originally here for the PUP years ago, the Board actually asked why are you putting a limit of-		
341 342	the previous limit that we imposed.		
343	Mr. Archer -	Which was twenty-five, I believe.	
344			
345	Ms. Ramirez -	Yes. And that was me trying to make sure that my	
346		many events on our calendar. However, he was right in	
347		vents to maintain such a property. So if a limit is needed,	
348		Ve like no limit, but whatever you think is best is fine with	
349	US.	ve like no limit, but whatever you think is best is line with	
350	us.		
351	Mr. Archer -	Okay. I think in order to satisfy what staff has sort of	
352	shown as what could be an impediment to it, the sixty is probably a good move.		
353	shown as what could be	an impediment to it, the sixty is probably a good move.	
354	Ms. Ramirez -	Okay.	
355	MS. Harmez	Ondy.	
356	Mr. Archer -	And if you do all those, it's going to be a lot of work.	
357		, and in you do an alloco, it o going to be a lot of from.	
358	Ms. Ramirez -	Good point. Yes. We're fine with that.	
359			
360	Mr. Archer -	Okay, just want to be sure. Thank you, ma'am.	
361		- ,,,	
362	Ms. Ramirez -	Thank you.	
363		,,	
364	Mr. Archer -	Some of us, I guess, have visited the mansion prior to	
365		oming here. And I must say that they have put quite a bit	
366	of blood, sweat, and tears, and probably money also, into making it what it is		
367	today. And it is a magnificent element that we have in the County. And I think it's		
368	probably one of the most significant things that we have in the County from a		
369	historical standpoint, and it's also very unique. And I consider it a treasure.		
370			
371	I also have to commend	staff for assisting them in putting this in the form that it's	
372	in now, because it was presented a little bit differently when you all first made the		

request. But with that, Mr. Chairman, I will move that we send REZ2014-00044, Martin and Paula Ramirez/Life Enterprises Corporation, to the Board with a recommendation of approval.

Mr. Leabough - Second. We have a motion by Mr. Archer, a second by Mr. Leabough. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

REASON - Acting on a motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mr.
 Leabough, the Planning Commission voted 3-0 (two absent, one abstention) to
 recommend the Board of Supervisors <u>grant</u> the request because it would provide
 for appropriate development and would not be expected to adversely affect the
 pattern of zoning and land use in the area.

- Mr. Archer All right, moving to the second case. And you can take all comments I made on the first one and apply them to this one. I will move for a recommendation for approval for PUP2014-00016, Martin and Paula Ramirez/Life Enterprises Corp.
- 391

386

376

380

Mr. Leabough - Second. We have a motion by Mr. Archer, a second
 by Mr. Leabough. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the
 motion passes.

395

396 REASON - Acting on a motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mr. 397 Leabough, the Planning Commission voted 3-0 (two absent, one abstention) to 398 recommend the Board of Supervisors <u>grant</u> the request because it would provide added services to the community and when properly developed and regulated by 400 the recommended special conditions, it would not be detrimental to the public 401 health, safety, welfare and values in the area.

402

## 403 (Deferred from the September 11, 2014)

REZ2014-00041 Bay Companies for Ample Storage Lake Worth, 404 405 LLC: Request to rezone from R-5C General Residence District (Conditional) and B-2C Business District (Conditional) to M-1C Light Industrial District (Conditional) 406 part of Parcels 804-737-1251, 804-737-7961 and 804-736-0481 containing 407 13.191 acres located on the east line of Mechanicsville Turnpike (U.S. Route 408 360) at its intersection with Evans Road. The applicant proposes a mini-storage 409 facility. The use will be controlled by proffered conditions and zoning ordinance 410 regulations. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Commercial 411 Concentration and Urban Residential. The site is located in the Airport Safety 412 Overlay District. The staff report will be presented by Ms. Rosemary Deemer. 413 414

- Mr. Leabough Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to
   REZ2014-00041, Bay Companies for Ample Storage Lake Worth, LLC? There is
   no opposition. Ms. Deemer
- 418

Ms. Deemer - Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the
 Commission. As the chairman said, this request is to rezone 13.191 acres from
 R-5C General Residence District and B-2C Business District (Conditional) to M 1C Light Industrial District to permit the construction of a self storage facility.

- The subject property is located on the east line of Mechanicsville Turnpike at its intersection with Evans Road. The Chickahominy National Battlefield site to the north is zoned A-1 Agricultural District, Fairmount Avenue Baptist Church, directly to the west, is zoned R-3 One-Family Residence District. There is a vacant wooded property to the east zoned R-5C General Residence District (Conditional), while the property directly to the south is zoned B-2C Business District (Conditional).
- 431

423

The subject property was part of an overall rezoning approved in 2005 to develop retail and office use on 7.12 acres along Mechanicsville Turnpike and up to 290 condominiums on 29.51 acres adjacent to the Orchard Glen subdivision. In 2009 the proffers on the case were amended to modify the residential building design from condos to townhouse style condominiums, change the concept plan and reduce the density to 260 units.

438

439 The Comprehensive Plan recommends the site for Commercial Concentration and Urban Residential, which is reflective of the zoning on the property. Never 440 developed, the land went into foreclosure and part of the property was purchased 441 by the applicant. The residual property could still be developed for townhouse 442 style condominiums; however, the clubhouse, pool and second entrance 443 depicted on the proffered concept plan approved by the Board of Supervisors 444 cannot be developed as originally planned as that property is no longer part of 445 the original development and is being requested for rezoning this evening. 446

447

The storage facility would be approximately 97,000 square feet. In addition to a proffered concept plan, as shown here, he has submitted proffers amended October 6, which include:

451 452

455

- elevations;
- building materials to include full standard brick, glass and prefinished metal siding;
  - hours of operation from 6:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.;
- a fifty-foot landscape buffer adjacent to the Chickahominy National
   Battlefield supplemented by a Transitional Buffer 25 as approved by
   the Planning Commission at the time of POD; and
- a thirty-foot landscape buffer along Mechanicsville Turnpike equal to the West Broad Street Overlay District standards.
- 461 462 While

While not consistent with the Comprehensive Plan's designation of Commercial Concentration and Urban Residential, the request could be appropriate. With the latest proffer amendments addressing the issues in the staff report and increasing the quality of the landscaping along the battlefield and Mechanicsville
 Turnpike, staff can support this request. I'd be happy to answer any of your
 questions.

468
469 Mr. Leabough 470 Mr. Archer?
471
472 Mr. Archer 473 Mr. Chairman.
474

Are there questions for Ms. Deemer? No questions.
I think I'd like to hear from the applicant,

475 Mr. Leabough - Would the applicant please come forward?

477 Mr. Caskie - Good evening. I'm Dan Caskie with Bay Companies.
 478 Terry Wethington with the storage company is here as well.

479

476

Just a little bit of background on the storage company. They own all of the facilities—they build, operate, and own all of the facilities. They have sixty-two right now. They're based out of Carolina. They've been in business for thirty-two years. It's a family-run operation. So they don't necessarily buy and flip—or build and flip; they do this for the long term.

485

There are a couple of things that I wouldn't mind pointing out on our—they may not be quite evident—on our site plan. The way that this site is laid out there is kind of a tail to the site that tucks behind the National Park Service property. Probably half of our site is tucked behind that and won't really be visible, I think, from 360. We re-laid the site a few times, and the building frontage on 360 has been broken up into shorter building distances so that there is not just this large mass of buildings sitting out there.

493

We have a compound-style development, so there is a perimeter fence around the back that completes the internal security of the facility. The buildings make up the rest of it. We have black metal gates—two black metal gates, and everybody that enters the facility and leaves the facility is tracked, including the duration of time that they're there. So it's a very controlled situation.

499

500 As Rosemary mentioned, all the buildings that are visible from 360 are either brick—our building elevations visible from 360 are either brick or brick and glass 501 with office-style architecture, as well as architectural treatments like different 502 color brick soldier courses and brick pilasters. There are also towers with green 503 metal roofs that have been added to provide some additional architectural 504 interest on the buildings. The elevation that's adjacent to the National Park 505 506 Service is entirely brick with those same soldier courses and brick pilasters. The main brick colors are reddish brown, and the soldier courses are tan. Any metal 507 doors on the facility would be painted to match the brick color. The interior finish 508 to the prefinished metal that will be non-visible from anywhere except interior to 509

510 the site will be painted to match the brick color. They get it pre-painted and they 511 pick a palette that's the same as the brick so it blends in.

512

513 We have provided a fifty-foot landscape buffer along the National Park Service 514 property. The buffer is mostly wooded. And we're going to maintain as many of 515 those trees as we can. Our interest is to keep that against a wooded buffer. But 516 we will supplement the existing vegetation so that it meets the Transitional 25. 517 We feel like the additional understory plantings will create a very dense buffer 518 between our facility and the park.

519

We have also proposed a thirty-five-foot landscape buffer along 360, and we patterned that after the West Broad Overlay plantings. So we pulled wording right out of the ordinance for that. That's obviously going to include the large trees, ornamental trees, and the short evergreens. So we anticipate the large trees will be—the small trees will probably be dogwoods and things like that that kind of fit in with the park styling. And then maybe on the evergreens a mixture of azaleas and boxwoods.

527

We are also proposing a sidewalk along 360 to hopefully—our goal is to begin to establish pedestrian-friendly facilities in the area. Our goal would be as the other properties I guess upstream of us are developed that they would pick up on that same theme and we would get kind of a West Broad-looking theme for the place.

533 The remainder of our site that is not part of our compound is fully wooded and is 534 to remain fully wooded with the exception of storm sewer and things like that, of 535 course.

536

537 So I'd be happy to answer any questions.

538

540

539 Mr. Leabough - Any questions for Mr. Caskie? Okay.

Mr. Chairman, I need to thank Ms. Deemer and Mr. Archer -541 Mr. Strauss, and Mr. Secretary also. They put quite a bit of work into this. And 542 there was some skepticism at first, and there may even be some now, but 543 certainly not as much as there was before. The applicant has been very 544 agreeable in working with Ms. Deemer and Mr. Strauss in getting this to the point 545 where it could be receiving a favorable representation. We did have a community 546 meeting. Fairly well attended. There were a few objections, not to the place itself, 547 but most of them seemed to have been centered around traffic, which we were 548 able to overcome. 549

550

Those of you who have been around here awhile know that over the years there have been a lot of proposals for uses on this whole site or a portion of it. None of it has ever played out to this point. We've also been assured that Ample Storage is a cut above the rest when it comes to storage places. And he also said that it's not the cheapest place around either. But there's a reason for it. It's a

temperature-controlled facility. I had sort of over the years developed the notion 556 that storage facilities represent a use that the community at large can benefit 557 from. One reason being-and anybody who lives in a house knows-I heard this 558 definition a long time ago: A house is a place where you keep your stuff. And the 559 more stuff you have, the bigger house you need. And then eventually you 560 outgrow that. So this gives people a place to keep their stuff. 561 562 I think this is an appropriate fit. It doesn't meet everything that was in the plan, 563 but overall I think this use will be one that will be a benefit to everybody. 564 565 With that I will move that we send REZ2014-00041, Bay Companies for Ample 566 Storage Lake Worth, LLC, to the Board of Supervisors with a recommendation 567 for approval. 568 569 Mr. Witte -Second. 570 571 Mr. Leabough -We have a motion by Mr. Archer, a second by 572 Mr. Witte. All in favor say ave. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion 573 574 passes. 575 **REASON** -Acting on a motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mr. 576 Witte, the Planning Commission voted 3-0 (two absent, one abstention) to 577 recommend the Board of Supervisors grant the request because it is reasonable 578 and the proffered conditions should minimize the potential impacts on 579 surrounding land uses. 580 581 Mr. Chairman, we now move along to the next item on Mr. Emerson -582 your agenda, which appears on page 3, which is the consideration for the 583 approval of your minutes from your September 11, 2014 meeting. You do have 584 an errata sheet that is at your seat with one change, one correction. And of 585 course we will entertain any other corrections that you may have. 586 587 Mr. Leabough -Are there other corrections for the minutes? If not, I'll 588 entertain a motion for approval. 589 590 591 Mr. Witte -So moved. 592 Mr. Archer -Second. 593 594 Mr. Leabough -We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by 595 Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion 596 597 passes. 598 Is there any other business for the Commission tonight, Mr. Secretary? 599 600

601 Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for the 602 Commission other than again my request for you to consider what we've done in 603 our last two work sessions. We'll discuss that at our next meeting this month and 604 determine the direction for the subsequent work session.

606 Mr. Leabough - Okay.

608 Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, Mr. Secretary is going to pass each 609 one of you all one of these green folders. I picked them up at a VDOT meeting 610 some time ago, so if you want to peruse them and think of something that we can 611 discuss at some point in time, we can take it up at another date.

613 Mr. Leabough - Thank you, sir. We'll definitely review it. All right. If 614 there's no other business, I'll entertain a motion for adjournment.

615 616 617

619

622 623 624

631 632 633

612

605

607

So moved.

618 Mr. Witte -

Second.

620 Mr. Leabough -621 Witte. We're adjourned.

Mr. Archer -

We have a motion by Mr. Archer, a second by Mr.

Joseph Emerson, Secretary

Mr. Eric S. Leabough, Chairman

October 9, 2014