Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of Henrico County held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and Hungary Springs Roads beginning at 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, April 26, 2017.

Members Present:

4

Mr. Eric S. Leabough, C.P.C., Chairperson (Varina) Mr. Robert H. Witte, Jr., Vice-Chairperson (Brookland)

Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C. (Fairfield) Mr. Gregory R. Baka (Tuckahoe) Mrs. Sandra M. Marshall (Three Chopt)

Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., AICP, Director of Planning,

Secretary

Mr. Tyrone E. Nelson, Board of Supervisors' Representative

Others Present:

Ms. Jean Moore, Assistant Director of Planning Ms. Leslie A. News, PLA, Senior Principal Planner Mr. Kevin D. Wilhite, C.P.C., AICP, County Planner

Mr. Michael F. Kennedy, County Planner Ms. Christina L. Goggin, AICP, County Planner Mr. Tony Greulich, C.P.C., County Planner

Mr. Matt Ward, County Planner

Mr. Gregory Garrison, AICP, County Planner Mr. Lee Pambid, C.P.C., County Planner Ms. Aimee B. Crady, AICP, County Planner Ms. Kate B. McMillion, County Planner Mr. Gary A. DuVal, P.E., Traffic Engineer

Mr. William Moffett, CPETD Planner, Division of Police Ms. Melissa Ferrante, Office Assistant / Recording Secretary

5 6

Mr. Tyrone E. Nelson, the Board of Supervisors' representative, abstains on all cases unless otherwise noted.

7 8 9

Mr. Leabough - I call this meeting of the Henrico County Planning Commission to order. This is our April 26, 2017 plans of development public hearing. I ask that everyone mute or silence your cell phones. As you do that, I ask that you stand with the Commission for the Pledge of Allegiance.

12 13 14

10

11

Do we have anyone from the news media in the audience? I don't think I see anyone. We don't. Okay.

15 16 17

18

I'd like to thank Mr. Nelson for serving with us on the Commission this year. Thank you for being here. All the other Commission members are present, so we can conduct business. With that, I'd like to turn the agenda over to Mr. Emerson, our secretary.

19 20

Mr. Emerson - Thank you, Mr. Chairman. First item on the agenda this morning are the requests for deferrals and withdrawals, and I believe there are none of those this morning.

2	4
2	5

Ms. News -

That's correct.

26

Mr. Emerson - So next on the agenda will be your expedited agenda, and those items will be presented by Ms. Leslie News.

29 30

31

32 33 Ms. News - Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Good morning members of the Commission. We have five items on our expedited agenda this morning. The first is found on page 3 of your agenda and is located in the Fairfield District. This is transfer of approval for POD-87-98, Goodyear at Virginia Center Commons Shopping Center. Staff recommends approval

34 35 36

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

37

POD-87-98 POD2017-00132 Goodyear at Virginia Center Commons Shopping Center – 10089 Brook Road SL Nusbaum Realty for JWN Properties, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Regency Realty, Inc. and North Park Peripheral Associates to JWN Properties, LLC. The 1.21-acre site is located in an existing shopping center along an internal access road, approximately 600 feet northeast of the intersection of Brook Road and JEB Stuart Parkway, on parcel 784-770-4127. The zoning is B-3C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Fairfield)

38 39

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to the transfer request for POD-87-98 (POD2017-00132), Goodyear at Virginia Center Commons Shopping Center? I see no opposition, Mr. Archer.

41 42 43

44

45

40

Mr. Archer - Okay, Mr. Chairman. That being the case, I move for approval of TOA POD-87-98 (POD2017-00132), Goodyear at Virginia Center Commons Shopping Center, subject to the conditions of the original approval and staff's recommendation.

46 47 48

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Archer, a second by Mrs. Marshall. All in favor say aye. Those opposed? There is no opposition; that motion passes.

49 50

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-87-98 (POD2017-00132), Goodyear at Virginia Center Commons Shopping Center from Regency Realty, Inc. and North Park Peripheral Associates to JWN Properties, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

55

56 Ms. News - The next item is on page 4 of your agenda and located in 57 the Varina District. This is a transfer of approval for POD-76-07. It's a portion of a POD 58 for Laburnum Center at Ashley Furniture Homestore Station, formerly Laburnum 59 Station. Staff recommends approval.

> POD-076-07 (pt) POD2016-00408 Laburnum Center @ Ashley Furniture Homestore Station (Formerly Laburnum Station) – 4420 South Laburnum Avenue

M. Leo Storch Management Corporation for Laburnum **Centre, LLC:** Request for transfer of approval of a portion of a Plan of Development as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Laburnum Richmond Center, LLC to Laburnum Centre, LLC. The 4.579-acre site is located in an existing shopping center at the southwestern corner of South Laburnum Avenue and Gay Avenue on parcel 814-717-0456. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional) and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)

62

65

66

67

63 Mr. Leabough -64

Is there anyone present who is opposed to transfer request for POD-076-07(pt) (POD2016-00408), Laburnum Center at Ashley Furniture Homestore Station (formerly Laburnum Station)? I see no opposition. So with that, I

move approval of the transfer request for POD-076-07(pt) (POD2016-00408), Laburnum Center at Ashley Furniture Homestore Station (formerly Laburnum Station), subject to

the previously approved conditions, on the expedited agenda.

68 69 70

Mr. Witte -

I second that.

71 72 73

We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mr. Witte. Mr. Leabough -All in favor say aye. Those opposed? There is no opposition; that motion passes.

74 75

76

77

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-076-07(pt) (POD2016-00408), Laburnum Center at Ashley Furniture Homestore Station (formerly Laburnum Station), from Laburnum Richmond Center, LLC to Laburnum Centre, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

78 79 80

81

82

83

84

Ms. News -The next item is on page 5 of your agenda and located in the Varina District. This is POD2017-00069, The Growers Exchange at Techpark. There is an addendum item on page 1 of your addendum that includes a revised plan showing the deletion of an outdoor storage area and relocation of the brick screen wall to the area between the parking and the loading area. Staff can continue to recommend approval.

85 86 87

(Deferred from the March 22, 2017 Meeting)

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

88 89

POD2017-00069 The Growers Exchange at Techpark - 1001 Techpark Place

Sekiv Solutions, LLC for Agape Properties of Virginia, LLC and Briscoe White III: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story, 29,185 square foot office warehouse and distribution

center, including greenhouse facilities. The 3.95-acre site is located along the east line of Techpark Place, approximately 1,800 feet south of Technology Boulevard, on part of parcel 844-703-6690. The zoning is M-2C, General Industrial District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Varina)

 Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2017-00069, The Growers Exchange at Techpark? There being no opposition, I move approval of POD2017-00069, The Growers Exchange at Techpark, subject to standard conditions for developments of this type, any annotations on the plans, noting the revised plan as noted in the addendum, and the additional conditions 29 through 33 as noted on the agenda.

Mr. Baka - Second.

100 Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mr. Baka.
101 All in favor say aye. Those opposed? There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved POD2017-00069, The Growers Exchange at Techpark, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved and the following additional conditions:

- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-60C-97 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- 30. Outside storage shall not be permitted except as shown on the approved plan.

The conceptual master plan, as submitted with this application, is for planning and information purposes only. All subsequent detailed plans of development and construction needed to implement this conceptual plan may be administratively reviewed and approved and shall be subject to all regulations in effect at the time such subsequent plans are submitted for review/ approval.

32. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

The limits and elevations of the Special Flood Hazard Area shall be conspicuously noted on the plan and labeled "Limits of Special Flood Hazard Area." In addition, the delineated Special Flood Hazard Area must be labeled "Variable Width Drainage and Utility Easement." The easement shall be granted to the County prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.

Ms. News - The next item is on page 12 of your agenda and located in the Three Chopt District. This is a landscape plan for POD2017-00129, CVS/Pharmacy Store at Broad Hill Centre. Staff recommends approval.

LANDSCAPE PLAN

130131

POD2017-00129 CVS / Pharmacy Store at Broad Hill Centre – 12410 West Broad Street The Rebkee Company for CVS 10990 VA, LLC: Request for approval of a landscape plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 1.55-acre site is located on the northern line of West Broad Street (U.S. Route 250), approximately 3,900 feet west of its intersection with North Gayton Road, on parcel 730-765-2396. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional) and WBSO, West Broad Street Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

132 133

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2017-00129, CVS/Pharmacy Store at Broad Hill Centre? I see no opposition.

134135

Mrs. Marshall - Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the landscape plan for POD2017-00129, CVS/Pharmacy Store at Broad Hill Centre, on the expedited agenda, subject to the annotations on the plans and standard conditions for landscape plans.

139 140

Mr. Archer - Second.

141142

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mrs. Marshall, a second by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. Those opposed? There is no opposition; that motion passes.

143144145

146

The Planning Commission approved the landscape plan for POD2017-00129, CVS/Pharmacy Store at Broad Hill Centre, subject to the standard conditions attached to these minutes for landscape plans.

147 148 149

Ms. News - The final item is on page 13 of your agenda and located in the Brookland District. This is a landscape and lighting plan for POD2016-00314 and 00315, Carriage Homes at Parham Place, Section 1. Staff recommends approval.

151152153

150

LANDSCAPE AND LIGHTING PLAN

154

POD2016-00314 &
POD2016-00315
Carriage Homes at
Parham Place Section 1 –
Revised – 7814 East
Parham Road

HG Design Studio for Townhomes at Parham Place, LLC: Request for approval of a landscape and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 22.65-acre site is located on the northern line of East Parham Road, approximately 500 feet west of Shrader Road, on parcels 763-755-1261, 763-756-4328, and part of parcel 762-755-3882. The zoning is RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Brookland)

156	Mr. Leabough -	Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2016-00314
157	& POD2016-00315,	Carriage Homes at Parham Place Section 1? I see no opposition.
158	Mr. Witte.	
159		
160	Mr. Witte -	Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the landscape and lighting

plans for POD2016-00314 & POD2016-00315, Carriage Homes at Parham Place Section 1, as presented, subject to the annotations on the plans and standard

Mr. Baka - Second.

conditions for landscape and lighting.

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. Baka. All in favor say aye. Those opposed? There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved the landscape and lighting plan for POD2016-00314 & POD2016-00315, Carriage Homes at Parham Place Section 1, subject to the standard conditions attached to these minutes for landscape and lighting plans.

Ms. News - That completes our expedited agenda.

Mr. Leabough - Thank you, ma'am. Ms. Truong, you're with the *Richmond Times-Dispatch*. Thank you for being here with us today.

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, next on your agenda are the Subdivision Extensions of Conditional Approval. You do have one action item this morning. These items will be presented by Ms. Kate McMillion.

SUBDIVISION EXTENSIONS OF CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

EXTENSIONS – FOR PLANNING COMMISSION CONSIDERATION

. . .

Subdivision	Original No. of Lots	Remaining Lots	Previous Extensions	Magisterial District	Recommended Extension
SUB2011-00024 SUB-004-11 Broaddus Glen (April 2011 Plan)	34	34	5	Fairfield	April 26, 2018

Subdivision	Original No. of Lots	Remaining Lots	Previous Extensions	Magisterial District	Recommended Extension
SUB2013-00040 Short Pump Manor at Bacova (April 2013 Plan)	89	11	3	Three Chopt	April 26, 2018

199

200

Ms. McMillion - Good morning. There are two conditional subdivision extensions on the agenda this morning. The first case, Broaddus Glen, April 2011 plan, which is located in the Fairfield District, will require Commission action as more than 60 months have elapsed since its original conditional approval date.

201202203

204

The second case, Short Pump Manor at Bacova, April 2013 plan, located in the Three Chopt District, is eligible for a one-year extension, which does not require Commission action and is for information purposes only.

205206207

I am available for any questions you may have.

208209

Mr. Leabough - Are there any questions for Ms. McMillion? No questions. Thank you.

210211212

Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, I move that extension for SUB2011-00024, SUB-004-11, Broaddus Glen (April 2011 Plan), be granted.

213214215

Mr. Leabough - Second. We have a motion by Mr. Archer, a second by Mr. Leabough. All in favor say aye. Those opposed? There is no opposition; that motion passes.

217218219

216

The Planning Commission granted an extension of conditional approval for SUB2011-00024, SUB-004-11, Broaddus Glen (April 2011 Plan).

220221222

223

224

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, we now move to page 7 of your regular agenda and page 2 of your amended agenda for POD2017-00136, Koontz-Bryant, PC for Wilton Acquisition, LLC, Robert B. Ball, Senior Estate, and Edna and Robert B. Ball, Senior Estate. The staff report will be presented by Mr. Mike Kennedy.

225226227

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

228

POD2017-00136
The Glens at Scott Place
Section 1 – 951 Scott
Road

Koontz-Bryant, PC for Wilton Acquisition, LLC, Robert B. Ball, Senior Estate, and Edna and Robert B. Ball, Senior Estate: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct -44- 43 two-story,

residential townhouses for sale. The 7.6-acre portion of the 16.412-acre site is located between the south line of Scott Road and the northwest corner of East Parham Road (State Route 73) and Interstate 95 (I-95), on parcels 785-757-9998, 785-757-8737, 785-757-5477, 785-757-5749, and 785-757-6118. The zoning is RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Fairfield)

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2017-00136, The Glens at Scott Place Section 1? I see no opposition. Good morning, Mr. Kennedy.

Mr. Kennedy -

Good morning, Mr. Leabough, members of the Commission.

Since the preparation date of the agenda, the applicant's engineer has submitted a revised plan (last Thursday) to address staff concerns regarding storm water management. It was contemplated to have a dry BMP at this location on top of an Army Corps-designated wetland.

The revised plan provides additional information required by VDOT regarding stormwater outflow for the project. The outflow is located within the limited access right-of-way for I-95. The engineers provided evidence that the post-development discharge into the VDOT right-of-way will not exceed the 100-year storm that was previously done. The concern was that during a 100-year storm it would flood the I-95 corridor. And because it doesn't increase the flow, it's acceptable.

In addition, the engineers revised, as I indicated earlier, the stormwater management plan per the Army Corps of Engineers to minimize impacts to the wetlands. So now instead of having one BMP they have two in the wetlands. The revised plan replaces a single best management practice stormwater management dry pond located over the creek with two small BMPs. During the review, DPW staff requested additional information—that information was provided yesterday—to show that the ponds will have sufficient capacity and the drying ponds will otherwise satisfy Public Works design standards regarding maintenance and safety. Planning staff also reviewed the revised plan and have determined that the pond design provides sufficient area for appropriate landscaping as required by the proffers.

The revised plan resulted in the elimination of one lot in this section which encroached upon the creek; so there was an additional lot in this location here. As you can see the creek goes across the back, and has to be eliminated. So the caption has been revised and says 43 lots instead of 44.

Since the revised plan was submitted prior to the Planning Commission's deadline, a waiver of time limits is not required.

268 269		a. And Mr. Wilton is here to answer any questions.
270 271	Mr. Leabough - Mr. Kennedy?	Are there any questions from the Commission for
272273274	Mr. Archer -	I don't have any, Mr. Chair. But if the rest of you do?
275 276	Mr. Leabough -	It doesn't appear that anyone else does either.
277 278 279	Mr. Archer - waived?	Okay. Mr. Kennedy, you did say time limits do not need to be
280 281	Mr. Kennedy -	Yes, sir.
282 283	Mr. Archer -	All right, thank you.
284 285	Mr. Leabough -	Would you like to hear from the applicant, sir?
286 287	Mr. Archer -	I don't think we need to, no.
288 289	Mr. Leabough -	Well a motion would be in order then.
290 291 292 293 294		Thank you so much. Mr. Chairman, I will move for approval Glens at Scott Place Section 1, subject to the annotations on conditions 29 through 43, staff recommendations, and the
295 296	Mr. Witte -	Second.
297 298 299	•	We have a motion by Mr. Archer, a second by Mr. Witte. All possed? There is no opposition; that motion passes.
300 301 302 303	Section 1, subject to the	on approved POD2017-00136, The Glens at Scott Place annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to ments of this type, and the following additional conditions:
304 305 306 307 308 309 310	before any building The right-of-way for dedicated to the Coof-way dedication p	at for The Glens at Scott Place, Section 1 shall be recorded permits are issued. It widening of Scott Road as shown on approved plans shall be county prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-plat and any other required information shall be submitted to Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting

Staff recommends approval of the revised plan subject to the annotations on the plan, the standard conditions for residential townhouses for sale, and additional conditions 29

- The drainage facilities on Interstate 95 (I-95) shall be approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation and the County.
- Prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for any building in this development, the engineer of record shall certify that the site has been graded in accordance with the approved grading plans.
- A concrete sidewalk meeting County standards shall be provided along the south side of Scott Road.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case REZ2016-00024 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- 320 35. A construction staging plan which includes details for traffic control, fire 321 protection, stockpile locations, construction fencing and hours of construction 322 shall be submitted for County review and prior to the approval of any final 323 construction plans.
- 324 36. A note in bold lettering shall be provided on the erosion control plan indicating that sediment basins or traps located within buildable areas or building pads shall 325 be reclaimed with engineered fill. All materials shall be deposited and compacted 326 in accordance with the applicable sections of the state building code and 327 geotechnical guidelines established by the engineer. An engineer's report 328 329 certifying the suitability of the fill materials and its compaction shall be submitted for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Director of Public Works 330 and the Building Official prior to the issuance of any building permit(s) on the 331 332 affected sites.
- 37. 333 The pavement shall be of an SM-2A type and shall be constructed in accordance 334 with County standard and specifications. The developer shall post a defect bond for all pavement with the Department of Planning - the exact type, amount and 335 implementation shall be determined by the Director of Planning, to protect the 336 interest of the members of the Homeowners Association. The defect bond shall 337 338 remain in effect for a period of three years from the date of the issuance of the 339 final occupancy permit. Prior to the issuance of the last Certificate of Occupancy, a professional engineer must certify that the roads have been designed and 340 constructed in accordance with County standards. 341
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- The owners shall not begin clearing of the site until the following conditions have been met:
 - (a) The site engineer shall conspicuously illustrate on the plan of development or subdivision construction plan and the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan, the limits of the areas to be cleared and the methods of protecting the required buffer areas. The location of utility lines, drainage structures and easements shall be shown.
 - (b) After the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan has been approved but prior to any clearing or grading operations of the site, the owner shall have the limits of clearing delineated with approved methods such as flagging, silt fencing or temporary fencing.

348

349

350 351

352

353

- (c) The site engineer shall certify in writing to the owner that the limits of clearing have been staked in accordance with the approved plans. A copy of this letter shall be sent to the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works.
- (d) The owner shall be responsible for the protection of the buffer areas and for replanting and/or supplemental planting and other necessary improvements to the buffer as may be appropriate or required to correct problems. The details shall be included on the landscape plans for approval.
- 40. All subsequent detailed plans of development needed to implement this conceptual plan shall be submitted for staff review and Planning Commission approval, and shall be subject to all regulations in effect at the time such subsequent plans are submitted for review/ approval.
- 41. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- 42. The limits and elevations of the Special Flood Hazard Area shall be conspicuously noted on the plan and labeled "Limits of Special Flood Hazard Area." In addition, the delineated Special Flood Hazard Area must be labeled "Variable Width Drainage and Utility Easement." The easement shall be granted to the County prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 43. The developer must obtain a Demolition Permit from the Building Official's office prior to demolition of the existing structures and must abandon any well or private on-site sanitary disposal system in accordance with Health Department standards.

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, we now move on to page 10 of your agenda for SUB2017-00027, David and P. Kay Pangraze. The staff report will be presented by Mr. Greg Garrison.

ALTERNATIVE FENCE HEIGHT PLAN - RESIDENCE

SUB2017-00027 Pangraze Residence – Chatham Hills, 6 Bridgeway Road

David and P. Kay Pangraze: Request for approval of an alternative fence height plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-95 (I)(7)(b), 24-106, and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code, to allow a 7-foot wall in the front yard along River Road. The 0.62-acre site is located on the southwest corner of the intersection of River Road and Bridgeway Road, on parcel 763-731-5975. The zoning is R-1, Residential District. County water and sewer. **(Tuckahoe)**

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to SUB2017-00027, Pangraze Residence? We do have opposition.

April 26, 2017

Ms. Broughton - [Off microphone] It's not opposition. I just have some questions.

Mr. Leabough - Okay. Thank you. Actually, ma'am, Mr. Garrison is going to present the case. And then at that point in time, you can come forward and share your questions or concerns.

402 Ms. Broughton - [Off microphone] Thank you.

Mr. Leabough - All right. Mr. Garrison.

Mr. Garrison - Good morning. This parcel was recently divided into two lots to allow construction of a second dwelling. The dwelling currently under construction on the subject parcel will face Bridgeway Road; however, the former side yard facing River Road will now become the front yard for purposes of zoning requirements. The applicant therefore requests approval of an alternative fence height in the front yard to erect a seven-foot-tall masonry wall along the northern property line—that's right here—adjacent to River Road.

Per Section 24-95 of the County Code, the Planning Commission pursuant to the review and approval of a landscape plan shall permit an alternative fence height exceeding 3-1/2 feet but not exceeding 7 feet in the front yard or along the front lot line provided that the design does not adversely affect the health, safety, and/or welfare of persons residing on or working on the premises; the visibility or value of abutting and/or adjacent properties; the adequate supply of light and air to adjoining property; traffic or pedestrian safety; and adequate sight distance.

 The applicant proposes a 120-foot-by-7-foot-tall wall approximately 2-1/2 feet off the northern property line. The wall will be constructed of concrete masonry units parged with a stucco finish with brick columns. Existing plant material in the wooded area between the wall and River Road is to remain.

Customarily, staff makes no recommendation for approval or denial before the Planning Commission regarding requests for alternative fence heights. Should the Commission approve this request, the following conditions for alternative fence height plans are recommended:

- The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- The owner shall have a set of approved plans available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County inspectors.
- The wall shall be maintained in good repair by the owner. Trash and debris should not be allowed to accumulate along the fence.

440									
441	Staff and Kay Pangraze and		e to ans	swer any que	estion	าร tha	t you may	have.	That
442	concludes my presentation	١.							
443	Ma Lasharinh	۸			£	N.4	0	£	Ala a
444	Mr. Leabough -	Are there	e any	questions	tor	wr.	Garrison	trom	tne
445	Commission?								
446	Mr. Baka -	I do hovo	0 01100	stion briefly	Mr (Corric	on there	io o ro	w of
447			•	stion briefly.					
448 449	small trees or scrub trees before you get to where t								
450	indications that that vegeta				mats	2 VD(J1. DU W	e nave	arry
451	malcations that that vegete	ition would	DC abit	s to stay!					
452	Mr. Garrison -	Yes As f	ar ac II	know, Ms. P	anar	270 h	ae eaid th	at that	ie to
453	remain.	163. 73 10	ai a5 i i	CITOW, IVIS. 1	angra	32 6 11	as salu lili	at tilat	15 10
454	Terriain.								
455	Mr. Baka -	Okay And	d secon	dly, it's not	on nr	ivate	nronerty s	so a nr	ivate
456	property owner couldn't rei				on pr	vate	property, c	oo a pi	vate
457	property emiler couldn't re-		any 010						
458	Mr. Garrison -	Yes. That	is in	the right of	wav.	Tha	t's the cit	v line	riaht
459	there. So if anybody was t			_	-			-	_
460	crew.		,			,			
461									
462	Mr. Baka -	Okay. No	further	questions a	at this	s time	e, but I'd I	ike to	hear
463	from the neighbors.	•		•			•		
464	_								
465	Mr. Leabough -	Yes. Ma'a	ım, cou	ıld you plea	se co	ome f	orward? A	And as	you
466	approach the podium, M	r. Emerso	n, will	you please	sha	re wi	th the au	dience	our
467	guidelines for speaking at	our public	nearing	s?					
468									
469				irman. As th					
470	have guidelines regarding	•	_	•					
471	allowed ten minutes to pre								
472	testimony. Opposition is								
473	meaning everybody that								
474	questions do not count into								
475	either party at its discretic	on, and th	e comr	nents must	be a	irectiy	related t	o the	case
476	under consideration.								
477	Ma Draughton	Thonk you							
478	Ms. Broughton -	Thank you	1.						
479	Mr. Leabough -	Thank you	LThes	e are record	lad n	racaa	dinas so	if you	don't
480 481	mind, please state your na	•			ieu pi	المرحط	uniys, su	ıı you (JUITE
482	illina, picase state your lia		record.						
483	Ms. Broughton -	Gayle Bro	uahton	. I'm Chairm	ıan oʻ	f the	board of d	lirector	s for
484	Chatham Square, which is	•	-		.a.i 0		Source Of C	00(01	J 101

486 487 488	We have no objections to the fence. It was my understanding, or misunderstanding, that it was going to come all the way down to the Chatham Square fence. However, now I understand that there's a whole lot in between this lot and our fence. We were just			
489	concerned about how it would connect, but obviously it's not going to connect at all. And			
490		go to the back of that property.		
491	3 3 3 4	Grand and a district and property.		
492 493	Mr. Baka -	Not all the way to the rear. It stops.		
494 495		Okay. And then whomever purchases the second lot would ontage, fencing, or whatever's there, in between what we're		
496	talking about and the Cha	tham Square property. Is that correct?		
497	=			
498	Mr. Emerson -	Yes ma'am, that would be correct. It would have to go		
499		s. If they wanted to place a structure such as this, they'd have		
500 501	to come back in front of the	nis body for the same approval.		
502	Ms. Broughton -	Okay. And if I understand what you've just said that it is—is		
503	_	or City of Richmond's responsibility to take care of the		
504	vegetation in front of the f			
505		onomy.		
506	Mr. Emerson -	I believe it would be the city.		
507				
508	Ms. Broughton -	Okay. That's all we need to know. Thank you so much for		
509	your time.	•		
510				
511	Mr. Leabough -	Thank you. Mr. Baka, would you like the applicant to come		
512	forward?			
513				
514	Mr. Baka -	Not unless there are any other questions from the		
515	Commission.			
516	Mr. Loobough	Cir. are you have in apposition?		
517 518	Mr. Leabough -	Sir, are you here in opposition?		
519	Mr. Coley -	[Off microphone] No, I have a question.		
520	Wit. Goldy -	[On microphone] No, i have a question.		
521	Mr. Leabough -	Please come forward. I apologize. I didn't see you with your		
522	hand up earlier, so my mis			
523				
524	Mr. Coley -	Good morning. My question is, I live on Concord. When they		
525		perty, I want to know whether the commercial vehicles are		
526		nd down Concord or not. That's what I want to know. Or are		
527	they going to access it from	om Scott Road? I live right there and Concord is a residential		
700	The second District Control of the second			

530 Mr. Emerson -53 I

528

529

Sir, I would first ask if you'd state your name for the record.

street. Big trucks and things, we don't want them coming down there. Are they going to

build the wall before they start construction on the houses?

532	Mr. Coley -	Pardon me?
533 534 535	Mr. Emerson - record the minutes.	Would you please state your name for the record? We do
536 537 538	Mr. Coley -	All right. My name is Edward Coley. C-o-l-e-y.
539 540	Mr. Emerson -	Thank you, Mr. Coley.
541 542	Mr. Coley -	I live on North Concord Avenue, 900.
543 544	Mr. Emerson -	And that's off Scott Road?
545 546 547		No. Concord runs from Brook Road down where it goes to a access Concord from Parham Road, Aberdeen. Scott Road If you go south, you can access Concord.
548 549 550 551	Mr. Emerson - acted on a few minutes ag	Yes, sir. Mr. Coley, this is not that case. That case was go.
552 553	Mr. Coley -	Pardon me?
554 555 556 557	Mr. Emerson - right now. Mr. Kennedy, c answer his questions?	This is not the Scott Glen case. That is not being considered could you go out in the foyer with Mr. Coley and see if you can
558 559 560	Mr. Leabough - at this point. So our apolo	Thank you, sir. We're on a different case that we're covering gies.
561 562	Mr. Coley -	Okay.
563 564	Mr. Baka -	If there are no other questions, I'm ready.
565 566	Mr. Leabough -	Yes, please.
567 568 569 570	Mr. Baka - 00027, Pangraze Resider conditions 1 through 3 list	At this time, I would go ahead and move that SUB2017- nce, be approved subject to the annotations on the plan and ed in the agenda.
571 572	Mr. Witte -	Second.
573 574	Mr. Leabough - favor say aye. Those oppo	We have a motion by Mr. Baka, a second by Mr. Witte. All in osed? There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission granted alternative fence height approval to SUB2017-00027, Pangraze Residence, subject to the annotations on the plans, and the following additional conditions:

578579580

581

582

583

584 585

586

576

577

- 1. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 2. The owner shall have a set of approved plans available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 3. The fence shall be maintained in good repair by the owner. Trash and debris should not be allowed to accumulate along the fence.

587 588 589

590

591

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, we now move on to page 15 of your regular agenda and page 2 of your amended agenda for POD2017-00134 and POD2017-00135, Koth Consulting, PC for Par 3 Development Group, LLC. The staff report will be presented by Mr. Mike Kennedy.

592593594

LANDSCAPE AND LIGHTING PLAN

595

POD2017-00134 & POD2017-00135 Dollar General Mountain Road – 10710 John Cussons Drive Koth Consulting, PC for Par 3 Development Group, LLC: Request for approval of a landscape and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 1.24-acre site is located at the northwestern corner of Mountain Road and John Cussons Drive, on parcel 770-767-5189. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Brookland)

596

597 Mr. Garrison - right back.

Mr. Kennedy is out helping the resident real quick. He'll be

600 Mr. Emerson -

Oh, I'm sorry.

601 602

603

604

599

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2017-00134 and POD2017-00135, Dollar General Mountain Road? If you are, please raise your hand high. We have opposition. Thank you. Is there anyone else? Thank you, we have opposition in the back.

605 606

Mr. Emerson - We'll have Mr. Kennedy back in just a second.

607 608 609

Mr. Leabough - If you all will just bear with us, we appreciate it.

610

611 Mr. Emerson - He's coming back in now.

612

613 Mr. Leabough - You can't be in two places at the one time, Mr. Kennedy?

Mr. Kennedy - I have a twin.

617 Mr. Leabough -

Thank you, sir.

619 Mr. Kennedy -

Good morning, again.

The proposed landscape and lighting plan is for the Dollar General currently under construction at 10710 John Cussons Drive. The plan of development was approved by the Planning Commission at its February 24, 2016 meeting.

The proposed landscape and lighting plan satisfies the proffers of rezoning case C-072C-88 and all related code requirements. The plan satisfies proffer 2 which requires a minimum 10-foot transitional buffer adjacent to the 100-year floodplain, which is here.

Mr. Leabough - Mr. Kennedy? I'm not sure if everyone can hear you. Do you mind getting close to the mic, please?

Mr. Kennedy -

I can do that, yes sir.

634 Mr. Emerson -

Mike, if you would, you could just pull it up some. There you

go.

Mr. Leabough -

Thank you.

Mr. Kennedy - To restate, the plan satisfies proffer #2, which requires a 10-foot transitional buffer adjacent to the floodplain and that will be planted to the 10-foot transitional buffer requirements. That's in this area here. In addition, the plan satisfies proffer #3, which requires a minimum 20-foot-wide buffer adjacent to Mountain Road, which will be planted to the 25-foot transitional buffer requirements. It also requires a transitional buffer adjacent to the residential property which is owned by the church in this area here. So all those three things are satisfied and the plan satisfies the tree and peripheral parking and landscape requirements.

The peripheral landscaping planting along John Cussons Drive will be in addition to the existing tree save area along John Cussons Drive. So they are adding trees to the existing trees. There are five existing oak trees. Staff did not provide any credit for the existing trees because we felt they were not in adequate condition to survive a long time. When they constructed the parking lot, they cut the roots on that side of the trees. So we're allowing them to stay, but we did not count them toward the credits that they need for landscaping requirements. If those trees do die, they do not have to replace them because they supplemented them with additional trees to make up for the loss already. We thought this was the best possible response to maintain them if possible, but if not, making sure that there were additional trees. Normally trees every 40 feet along this section of the road would be required. At this time, they have trees every 20 feet.

The plan provides landscaping in this area here with arborvitaes to screen the loading area as you're coming down the road. It provides wax myrtles here to screen as you're driving down this section of the road. The wax myrtles have increased in size, and the shrubs that are in the front here have increased in quantity at the request of staff.

In addition, the proposed lighting plan satisfies proffer 8, which requires structures and fixtures to match the colonial design of the building. The lighting fixtures will be colonial style on decorative poles.

Finally, a freestanding monument sign and a wall-mounted building sign on the gable above the main entrance will be indirectly illuminated by sharp cutoff colonial-style fixtures. Both signs will have opaque black lettering on an opaque white background so they will be indirectly illuminated. It's not backlit so it won't glow. It won't be the typical yellow and blue signs that you have on a typical Dollar General. It'll be black and white.

The freestanding sign will be located in this area here. It will be a 5-by-10-foot sign on top of a 3-foot base. The base will be constructed of bricks. It will be a brick monument sign with a maximum height of 8 feet. This is the rendition of the sign. As you can see, it's a 3-foot base and a 5-foot-wide side and is indirectly lit. Holly bushes will be planted on the base of the sign as well.

Staff recommends approval of the plan subject to the annotations on the plan and standard conditions for landscape and lighting plans. I'm available to answer questions and so is the engineer for the project, Lance Koth.

686 Mr. Leabough - Are there any questions from the Commission for 687 Mr. Kennedy?

688 Mr. Witte - Not at this time.

Mr. Baka - One question. On the western side of the building, what's the setback on the rear of the building? Is there zero setback required?

Mr. Kennedy - Yes. Zero setback is required. They have approximately five feet. It's a solid brick wall.

697 Mr. Baka - Thank you.

699 Mr. Leabough - Any other questions for Mr. Kennedy? If not, Mr. Witte, we do have opposition.

702 Mr. Witte - Would anybody like to speak?

Mr. Leabough - I think I saw two hands at least. If you would, please come forward to the podium and state your name for the record.

Ms. Clements - Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the committee. My name is Jane Clements. I'm a resident of Deer Springs, which is the subdivision directly behind the new Dollar General Store.

My concern is for the lighting in the parking area and how it will affect the residents that live within a block or two of the store. I would like to request that the lighting be no higher than the trees that are adjacent to the building, that there be some protection, some shielding, for the lights to be aimed more at the store so they have a minimal impact on the houses that are close to the new building. So, pole height at tree length.

We'd like the intensity of the lighting—or at least I would—to match the lighting of the stores that are across the street. There's a service station and the Glen Allen Supermarket that have really minimal lighting at night. Certainly you can see the business names as the lighting currently exists on those other buildings. We would hope that the Dollar General would follow suit as the neighborhood is established already. If, for the sign, the lighting could be dimmed or turned off during the overnight hours so, again, that the residences of the community would feel the least impact possible from the store.

Deer Springs is a subdivision that's been in existence—I've lived there 23 years. I'm on the corner of John Cussons and Pine Lodge Court. I'm the first street in the subdivision. The houses across the street from me on either side are probably most impacted, but my house will actually face the Dollar General Store, as will others on the block that I live. So we respectfully request your consideration of minimizing the impact of the Dollar General Store's lighting on the neighborhood. Thank you.

Mr. Leabough - Thank you, ma'am. Is there anyone else that would like to speak in opposition?

Mr. Williams - Good morning. My name is Earl Williams. I live at 10308 Southford Court, Glen Allen, in the Britton Wood subdivision. I've been opposed to the Dollar General from the very beginning, as you know. I have no problem with this sign. And if I'm out of order this morning, I apologize for it. I'm here to bring attention to the sign that has been erected at the Cultural Arts Center next to the Walkerton. It looks like something that should be at a tattoo parlor or a strip club. I find it offensive for the County. You want to make Henrico beautiful, and you put a sign up like that for the Cultural Arts Center? I don't understand how you go about deciding what sign goes where. The sign that you're going to put up at the Dollar General Store is more in with the area than the one down at the Cultural Arts Center. That's all I have to say.

Mr. Witte - Thank you, sir. Just to comment on that, you're not alone. I've received many calls, but I don't believe that sign came before this Board.

Mr. Emerson - No it did not, Mr. Witte.

April 26, 2017

Mr. Witte -752 I didn't know about it until it was up. 753 Mr. Williams -754 I don't travel that area daily, and I don't know when the thing came up. Right next to the Walkerton? That is the biggest disgrace to be put there. 755 There's bound to be something else. 756 757 Mr. Witte -758 It's commonly been referred to me as a juke box. 759 Mr. Williams -760 Well yes, you could throw that in there as well. 761 Mr. Emerson -762 Mr. Williams, you might want to find out when the board of 763 the directors of the Cultural Arts Center are meeting and express your feelings to them. 764 765 Mr. Williams -I will do that, yes. When you're trying to keep things in that area looking nice, like you were opposed to the Dollar General Store-change the 766 windows, change this, to keep it all within the area, and this sign is—if any of you have 767 a chance to go by there and look at it, it's totally . . . 768 769 Mr. Witte -770 Thank you, sir. 771 Mr. Williams -772 It's like if you went out here and constructed something like 773 that out there in the parking lot. Thank you for your time. 774 Mr. Witte -775 Thank you, sir. 776 Mr. Leabough -777 Appreciate it. Anyone else in the audience that would like to speak to this case? Mr. Witte, I'm sure you'd like the applicant to come forward and 778 address some of the concerns that have been shared with us. 779 780 Mr. Witte -781 You hit the nail on the head. 782 783 Mr. Koth -I'm Lance Koth, representing Par 5 Development, the engineer that's been working on the project. I heard the concerns about the lighting 784 plan. I think that was the crux of the whole discussion. I can say without getting into 785 numbers and details that we started out with a lighting plan, something that we felt met 786 code. We met with staff, with Mike—and Mr. Emerson might have been in that meeting: 787 788 I'm not even sure—and discussed the lighting plan and some of the proffers in there and what they called for, which is the decorative fixtures. 789 790

791 792 793

794

795 796

797

As we went through the design—and I don't put together the lighting design, although I'm part of the plan preparation and that kind of thing. But as the lighting plan came together, the selection of fixtures out there, I haven't seen it that level of difficulty. Just for information, to find a commercial fixture with a colonial-type appearance with the LED standard, which is what they're going for, it's more energy efficient, turned out to be very difficult. I have to believe what the designers are telling me, but it was extremely difficult.

And not that this is any concern, but the lighting package actually quadrupled in cost as a result. So it was an expensive endeavor and it was very carefully done. The ultimate result of it is that there are actually more lighting fixtures there than what I would normally see because they are smaller and softer light. The effect that will have is that it would spread as far. So it is accomplishing some of these things. I haven't stood on the doorsteps to see what you can see. The difficulty is when we work with a lighting plan and we go out to the property line, we meet the code which is, correct me if I'm wrong, I think it's 0.1—is it's 0.05 or 0.1 now?

Male - 0.5.

Mr. Koth - Point five candle power at the property line. And we can meet the code. That's a light traveling out. If you're standing away from the site looking at the site, you can see a whole lot less than that. So it's not that you won't see a light. It does meet the code. With the trees that she's talking about, when they're planted they probably won't be taller. But the ultimate height of these trees is generally taller around the site. What's inside the site and in the islands is shorter because they're crape myrtles. They'll do better in the islands, and that was something that Mike and I had talked about as well. We do have some taller trees around the outside. We have some arborvitaes that'll get tall and will shield these things.

So I think we've accomplished shielding the subdivision from these lights as best we can. That's not to say that you won't know they're there. It's hard to put a number on whether you'll stand a half a mile away and they'll look like a porch light or a half a mile away and it'll look like a spotlight. I don't know how to put that into any kind of terms that are relatable here. But I will say that the fixtures are of that decorative nature. They are the downward directional, the cutoff fixtures, as they're called. Definitely the design intent was there to do exactly what they're talking about. But again, like I say, that's not to say you won't know they're there. There are reflections and things like that. But it shouldn't be a blinding light as you're' standing on your front porch looking at it.

Mr. Witte - Can you put the foot candle plan up, Mike? Can you enlarge that a little bit? To the right side is the main side. The other side. That's the side they'll see.

Mr. Koth - Right. These numbers along here, if I'm reading them—

Mr. Leabough - Feel free to zoom in closer.

Mr. Witte - Yes, zoom in closer on that section so the rest of us can see it. There you go. Virtually invisible.

Mr. Koth - It is. As you go that direction, it gets down to the .04 at the buffer line. That's not actually quite out to the roadway. But the .04. And then at the north end it's .01. What this doesn't take into account is the shade trees that are behind

844	that they are a screening	tree. The lighting plan doesn't take that into account. So it's
845	probably—	g tree. The lighting plan doesn't take that into account. Oo it's
846	production, y	
847	Mr. Witte -	But they are shown on this.
848		Dut they are shown on this.
849	Mr. Koth -	Yes.
850		
851	Mr. Witte -	Mike, what kind of trees are those?
852		
853	Mr. Kennedy -	First let me just state that the parking lot is 150 feet away
854		earest light pole is more than 150 feet away from any other
855	property. And then this lig	hting over here is about 60 feet away. So it's another 60 feet
856	of—or 90 feet before you	get to the creek. So the lighting level is actually negligible at
857	that point. The light poles	themselves, the details—
858		
859	Mr. Emerson -	What type of trees, Mike?
860		
861	Mr. Kennedy -	Arborvitaes in this area in here. In this area here are oak
862	trees, I believe, and wax n	nyrtles, which will grow high as well.
863	B. (\ A. (; i.i	
864	Mr. Witte -	The flowering dogwoods are not in that area, is that correct?
865 866	Mr. Kennedy -	The flowering dogwoods are in front of the arborvitaes.
867	Wir. Refinedy -	the howening dogwoods are in nont of the arborvitaes.
868	Mr. Witte -	Okay.
869		
870	Mr. Kennedy -	So the decorative plants are in front of the screen. So this
871	•	ading area, that's where they are. The light poles themselves
872	are 16 feet in height.	3
873	_	
874	Mr. Witte -	Sixteen feet.
875		
876	Mr. Kennedy -	Sixteen feet, sir.
877		
878	Mr. Witte -	So that's about the height of the existing trees along John
879	Cussons right now.	
880		
881	Mr. Kennedy -	Lower than that, actually. Those trees are about 25 to 30
882		ght fixtures, the decorative colonial style. The light element is
883		flects down, so you don't see the element. Traditional cutoff.
884	the poles themselves are	on a decorative base and decorative poles as well. They're
885		s not parking lot lights. So they're not shoebox fixtures at all;
886		The lights on the building are similar, that gooseneck design,
887	just to make sure they're s	hielding, and look in character.

889 890 891 892		I have one more question. Has it been discussed about the rity lighting? I know the police department had some input into certain amount of security lighting.		
893 894 895 896	Mr. Kennedy - provide sufficient security. the pole lights, when they	We have not, but the lighting on the building itself should So they should be able to turn off the lights in the parking lot, close for business.		
897 898	Mr. Witte -	All right. I have no further questions.		
899 900	Mr. Leabough -	Any other questions for Mr. Kennedy?		
901	Mr. Witte -	Ms. Clements, did that answer your questions?		
902 903	Ms. Clements -	[Off microphone] That helps. Thank you.		
904 905	Mr. Witte -	Yes ma'am.		
906 907 908	Mr. Leabough -	I see no other questions, Mr. Witte.		
909 910 911 912	Mr. Witte - All right, Mr. Chairman. I move approval of the landscap and lighting plans for POD2017-00134 and POD2017-00135, Dollar General Mountai Road, subject to the annotations on the plans and the standard conditions for developments of this type and applicable lighting plans.			
913 914	Mr. Leabough -	Second.		
915 916 917		We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. Leabough. opposed? There is no opposition; that motion passes.		
918 919 920 921 922	00134 and POD2017-001	n approved the landscape and lighting plan for POD2017- 35, Dollar General Mountain Road, subject to the standard se minutes for landscape and lighting plans.		
923 924 925 926 927	•	Mr. Chairman, we now move on to page 17 of your regular 0576 and POD2016-00577, Cite Design for HCA Health Henrico Doctor's Hospital FCP, LLC. The staff report will be rrison.		
928 929	LANDSCAPE AND LIGHT	TING PLAN		
Jan J	POD2016-00576 & POD2016-00577 Henrico Doctors' Hospital Forest Campus MOB 4 – 1602 Skipwith Road	Cite Design for HCA Health Services of VA, Inc. and Henrico Doctors' Hospital FCP, LLC: Request for approval of a landscape and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 7.64-acre site is located approximately		

700 feet west of Skipwith Road at the northern terminus of Parkline Drive, on part of parcels 761-745-3004 and 760-744-1430. The zoning is O-3C, Office District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to the landscape and lighting plan for POD2016-00576 and POD2016-00577, Henrico Doctors' Hospital Forest Campus MOB 4? We have opposition. Thank you. Mr. Garrison.

Mr. Garrison - Good morning. This is a request for approval of a landscape and lighting plan for the recently approved Henrico Doctors' Hospital MOB 4. The plan meets the proffered conditions from REZ2015-00032 with the provision of a 35-foot buffer along the southern property line adjacent to Tuckahoe Hills planted to a 35-foot transitional buffer standard, with the first 10 feet planted with 10-foot tall arborvitaes, 10 feet on center.

Along the east property line, adjacent to Three Chopt Elementary School, a 25-foot buffer is proposed planted with a double row of 6-foot tall arborvitaes, 10 feet on center, with supplemental plant material.

The lighting plan meets proffered conditions as well with the provision of 25-foot-tall concealed-source parking lot light fixtures. The seven light fixtures along the south property line and the east property line will have house-side shields to further mitigate glare. The parking lot lighting is proposed to be reduced after business hours with the exception of minimum lighting requirements for security purposes.

Staff recommends approval subject to the standards conditions for landscape and lighting plans. Staff and representatives of the applicant are available to answer any questions that you may have.

Mr. Leabough - Are there any questions for Mr. Garrison? No questions. Mrs. Marshall, I'm sure you'd like the opposition to come forward.

Mrs. Marshall - Yes, please.

Mr. Leabough - Would the opposition please come forward? Just as a quick reminder, you have a cumulative of ten minutes to share your comments, and please state your name for the record.

Mr. Grieves - I'm Jeff Grieves. I live at 7516 Woodley Road. I border the property. First, I want to point out that there was some miscommunication when the lot was cleared. The majority of the large trees that were earmarked to remain were removed. We had to actually go out and stop the bulldozer guy and have some people come out there. In our opinion, the entire lot would have been cleared if we hadn't gone out there.

I kind of would like to get some clarification, especially in the bamboo area. When I went up there to originally look at this—again, I appreciate the bamboo staying. When everything got cut out, obviously more light and everything got in, so it's going to grow. If you look at the plan with the legend there, just using a hypothetical figure, there's 42 feet there if you look on the right. Are they come in and measure 42 feet and they're going to go from there and say okay, everything within 42 feet we're going to remove? It's obviously grown more than 42 feet. In our opinion, we'd like that to stay. It's far less than 42 feet now. So we'd like some clarification with that. Is that going to be the case or is that not the case? It actually stops at my property line. But on the left it goes further than that. It goes onto Jenny's property line. I'd like some clarification with that, Jenny would like some clarification on that, and Rob would like some clarification with that. We don't know that answer.

Mrs. Marshall - Okay. Mr. Garrison?

Mr. Garrison - I would probably leave it up to the applicant to decide if they were going to keep it or not. But staff would not advocate to preserve an invasive species. There's nothing in our code that prohibits them to keep the bamboo, but I don't think that we would advocate to preserve it. So I would leave it to the applicant. If they want to keep it, they can keep it.

Mrs. Marshall - Okay, let me hear from the applicant, please.

Mr. Grieves - It's an excellent screen. Obviously, we don't want to look at it. We don't want to see it. It's a great screen. No offense to—

Mr. Bleckley - Andrew Bleckley with Cite Design. I'm representing the applicant here. I'm a landscape architect. We've worked with the community here to preserve the bamboo growth that's existing as noted on the plan. We'd be happy to maintain the growth if it is beyond the bounds of what we've shown on the plan currently. As Mr. Garrison has noted, it is an invasive species. That's kind of the nature of the plant material. It's going to grow and continue to grow and expand its footprint as it's exposed to more sunlight and matures.

Mrs. Marshall - But we're going to keep the amount that—what did you say, 42 feet?

Mr. Grieves - I'm just throwing that out as a number. I don't know if it's exactly 42 feet. My question is are they going to look at the plan and say okay, it's exactly 42 feet. Anything within the 42 feet we're going to remove. I'm just throwing that out as a number when they look at the plan.

Mr. Bleckley - The intent is to keep the bamboo as it is. That's been the conversation we've had, so far, to preserve it as-is. We've been on site and field-surveyed it. That was probably 2 to 2-1/2 months ago that we field-surveyed it. And these were the bounds of where the bamboo was existing at that time. If it's expanded

1018 1019 1020	beyond that, we are willin for the buffer.	g to preserve that beyond those bounds at the time of planting
1021 1022 1023	Mrs. Marshall - property line, who is respo	Okay. As far as the bamboo goes, when it exceeds your onsible for that?
1024 1025 1026 1027	Mr. Bleckley - it's within the neighbor's y the bamboo.	Right. If it's not on our property, it's not our responsibility. If yards, then that's up to them how they treat their property with
1028 1029	Mrs. Marshall -	Okay.
1030 1031 1032 1033 1034	Mr. Garrison - concerns that he express spread and as it starts to going to let Mr. Ladd kind	We may want to have Mr. Ladd reiterate some of the ed to me earlier about maintenance of the bamboo as it does take over the desirable species that are being planted. So I'm of explain his concerns.
1035 1036 1037 1038 1039	multiple people, if you do	Can we just let him share his concerns and then let you all er he's finished? Just so we don't have the back-and-forth with h't mind. Sir, could you finish sharing your concerns? And then ddress any additional concerns you share.
1040 1041 1042 1043 1044		That's my main concern there. My only other concern is us times that we've had miscommunications with the working several times that we have been beating our heads in trying to a very severe headache.
1044 1045 1046 1047	Mrs. Marshall - weekends?	Are they exceeding the hours? Is it early morning, evening,
1048 1049	Mr. Grieves -	All of the above.
1050 1051	Mrs. Marshall -	All the above.
1052 1053 1054 1055	Mr. Grieves - should also include warn vehicles at 7:45.	Yes. I know work is supposed to start at 8:00, but 8:00 ning up your vehicles. You shouldn't start warming up your
1056 1057	Mrs. Marshall -	Correct.
1058 1059	Mr. Grieves -	Because diesel engines are—we don't want to listen to it.
1060 1061	Mrs. Marshall -	I agree. I will check on that for you.
1062 1063	Mr. Grieves - But for several months it v	It's not an issue now because they're done with that portion. vas a real pain.

1064			
1064 1065	Mrs. Marshall -	Let me ask you this. As far as the trees that were removed,	
1066		er time, the ones that should have not been removed, have	
1067	they been replaced?	of time, the offer that should have not been femoved, have	
1068	they been replaced:		
1069	Mr. Grieves -	You're not going to replace a 30-to-50-foot tree.	
1009	IVII. Glieves -	Toute flot going to replace a 30-to-30-loot free.	
1070	Mrs. Marshall -	Correct. But any re-plantings?	
	IVIIS. IVIAISIIAII -	Correct. But any re-plantings:	
1072	Mr. Grieves -	Not yet. And it's probably not going to happen. But it's in the	
1073		But on the whole left-hand side, the majority of those are gone,	
1074		ermanently gone. Like I said the majority, a lot of them.	
1075	and they re going to be pe	ermanently gone. Like I said the majority, a lot of them.	
1076	Mr. Loobough	Any other comments you want to share with us,	
1077	Mr. Leabough -	Any other comments you want to share with us,	
1078	Mr. Grieves?		
1079	Ma Orienza	No. 20 turn it avanta Janny	
1080	Mr. Grieves -	No, I'll turn it over to Jenny.	
1081	Maril I a a la accorda	Thomas oin	
1082	Mr. Leabough -	Thank you, sir.	
1083	Maria Tamana	Manager is Vissinia James, and Live at 7510 Woodley Dood	
1084	Ms. Jones -	My name is Virginia Jones, and I live at 7518 Woodley Road.	
1085		Who is going to do this planting? I can ask my questions and	
1086	then you can reply. Who i	s going to do the planting? When is it going to start? How long	
1087		ind of barrier or any kind of thing between my property line and	
1088	,	their machines and their digging and so forth? And also, still	
1089		ing because it will come right into my backyard. I want to make	
1090	sure that it will be dimmed in the evening and that there will be shades on it to keep it		
1091	from doing that. I guess that's basically just some questions, some clarifications. Like		
1092	when it's going to start, how long it's going to take, what will the impact of all of that		
1093	digging be on my property, and will there be any kind of buffer between what they are		
1094	doing and my—and when	they come into my yard.	
1095			
1096	Also, when it's completed	I, who's going to be in charge? If a plant dies, who takes care	
1097	of that, who do you call?	Can we have some contacts to take care of that kind of thing?	
1098			
1099	Those are basically my co	oncerns and questions.	
1100			
1101	Mr. Leabough -	Thank you.	
1102			
1103	Mrs. Marshall -	Thank you.	
1104			
1105	Mr. Leabough -	Anyone else here to speak in opposition? Yes, sir. Could you	
1106	please come forward? Ho	w much time do we have, Mr. Emerson?	
1107			
1108	Mr. Emerson -	We have about four minutes.	
1100			

1110 Mr. Dotson -My name is Greg Dotson. I live at 7602 Parkline Drive, and I 1111 share some of the previously mentioned concerns. First, I moved in several weeks before the initial clearing of the property to the rear. By appearance, it certainly looked 1112 like larger trees were removed, some of the ones that were marked, as my neighbor, 1113 Jeff, just mentioned. And I don't know what we do to address that, other than perhaps in 1114 the replant that some of the trees that were planted that more mature trees be used to 1115 replace that and to provide at least some of the height that was lost in the vegetation 1116 1117 there. That's my first concern.

1118 1119

1120 1121 My second concern—and just a brief review of what was described. I thought I heard a border of arborvitae? My understanding was it was Leyland cypress. So I just would like some clarification as far as that goes. Ten feet was mentioned, and I thought I had heard that they were going to be eight feet apart.

112211231124

1125

1126 1127 And I do share my neighbor's concern about the lighting. We're next door neighbors virtually. Our property corners abut to the rear. There appears to be—and I would like maybe a zoom-in on the one light that would be in her backyard and my backyard. And I express my concern over the brightness of that. I know it's back-shielded, so I wanted to address that issue as well.

112811291130

1131

1132

1133

And one final issue is there is existing debris and structures that are in the buffer area directly behind the residential property. Directly behind my property, there is a power pole or a panel that has a weather head to it, that it appears that Three Chopt Elementary had erected for some purposes, maybe a ball field or something. That's still on the property. Just behind the temporary fence that HCA has put up there.

1134 1135

Those are my concerns. That's I wanted to address and maybe they can address these maybe one by one.

1138

1139 Mr. Leabough - Thank you.

1140

1141 Mrs. Marshall - Thank you.

1142

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone else that would like to speak to this case? All right. Now would the applicant please come forward again? Please try to address the questions that were shared by the community.

1146

Mr. Ladd - Good morning. My name is Tommy Ladd. I'm the in-house architect for Henrico Doctors' Hospital. I manage all the construction and development on the campus. I'll try and hit all of the concerns brought up. I apologize if I miss any, but please keep me on point.

1151

l'Il start at the beginning with the bamboo. We do not take objections in maintaining the bamboo as it is now. Our only concern from our side is, as was previously stated, there is more light, it's a very invasive species, so it is going to spread very fast and very thick. So when it comes to a point where it starts to impact the new plantings that we're

putting into that buffer zone, we're going to have to at some point address that issue so it doesn't invade and take over the entire buffer area. We will not remove what's there currently and we'll try and maintain it as existing size and placement as it is now. But as it encroaching into the buffer zone and into the new plantings, we will have to attend to that so that it doesn't take over the entire area.

In regards to the comment about the trees that were removed that were supposed to stay on site, I was never made aware of any of that. I know that we've had multiple people out there to mark the trees that were to stay given the caliper size that we all agreed to in the proffers. I've never heard anything in difference to that, so I'm not aware of any plantings. Obviously if there are trees that were taken out that were supposed to remain, we will replant to replace those. But I haven't been made aware of any specific trees or areas that have been affected in that regard.

Mrs. Marshall - If we go back to the rezoning case when this took place, there were trees that were removed that were not tagged to be removed. So I think there are things that need to be put back. Of course they're not going to grow to 30 feet overnight, but I think there are certain things that maybe we can get together and discuss what needs to go into that area.

Mr. Ladd - Okay, certainly.

In regards to the lighting comments, as you're well aware, we have put shields on there. They're concealed-source lighting. We do have back shields on there. We've agreed that they will be reduced in the lighting to what's required for security during the off hours of the building. Aside from that, I'm not sure what else we could do to eliminate the lighting other than just turning them off, which would be a security concern. I've had conversations with the police department in regards to that, so I believe we're meeting the requirements from security and code standpoints with the lighting. So I believe that it's not going to be as big of an impact to the neighbors as they're expecting. But I'm not sure what else we can do to the source of the light fixtures beyond what we've already done and agreed to do.

As far as the plantings, I'm going to ask Andrew to come back up and discuss the specific species and size and distance apart, as well as the concerns with when we actually do plant the material itself.

1193 Mr. Bleckley - Hi again. We did make a change from—

Mr. Leabough - State your name, please.

Mr. Bleckley - Yes, Andrew Bleckley with Cite Design. We did make a change from the Leyland cypress from the original zoning case, as directed by the Planning staff to replace that with the arborvitae species which has a better root system.

1200 It's a faster grower and will provide more of a screen earlier in the process for the

1201 1202	neighbors. We felt like that was a healthy alternative and change that would be favorable for everyone in the equation.		
1203 1204 1205	In terms of the spacing, with the project. I rememb	I don't recall it being eight feet on center when I got involved per ten feet on center being the—	
1206 1207 1208	Mrs. Marshall -	It's ten?	
1209 1210	Mr. Bleckley - the process to my knowle	It's always been ten feet, so that has not changed through dge. I think I got everything.	
1211 1212 1213	Mr. Leabough -	I think there were a couple other questions.	
1214 1215	Mrs. Marshall -	When the planting was going to start.	
1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221	Mr. Ladd - That's probably going to occur later in the fall when it better season and when the site has been developed to the point where we're not g to impact those after they've been planted. We expect the project to be finishe November, so I expect some time in the early fall, that the plantings would go in arc September.		
1222 1223	Mrs. Marshail -	And who is doing the planting?	
1224 1225 1226 1227 1228	Mr. Ladd - Offhand I'm not sure who the exact contractor is, but I can find out and report back. Brasfield & Gorrie is our general contractor who is managing the entire project. I'm not sure who they've hired on as the subcontractor to do the work.		
1229 1230	Mrs. Marshall - the plants?	Okay. As far as planting goes, whose job is it to take care of	
1231 1232 1233 1234 1235 1236 1237 1238 1239	Mr. Ladd - Well they'll be on our property, so it'll be the hospital do it. We currently contract with James River Grounds Management to do a management across our campus. So they would be responsible for it as well. It the contact if anybody has any questions. I believe most of the neighbors here have my contact information. I'll be happy to give it to them against afterward would be the one to call if there are any issues or concerns with the plantings, a we can address it as needed.		
1240 1241 1242	Mrs. Marshall - have been plantings there care of.	The reason I bring that up is that I know in the past there e on the HCA side that have died and have not been taken	
1243	NA: Lasta		

Mr. Ladd -

1244 1245 Correct.

1246 1247 1248	Mrs. Marshall - So it's been a thorn in their side, I know for sure. I just want to make sure that that's something that's going to continually be looked at it so that it's taken care of.		
1249 1250 1251 1252 1253 1254 1255 1256	approval. And when we w replaced any of the planti	Absolutely. I've been with the hospital for 2-1/2 years. There of the plantings that had died based on a previous POD were made aware of that, we have actually now gone back and ngs that were required and needed to be there based on that a that role to take care of the same going forward with this	
1257 1258 1259	Mrs. Marshall - bamboo is going to stay.	I just want to make sure we're on the same page. The	
1260 1261	Mr. Ladd -	Correct.	
1262 1263 1264	Mrs. Marshall - Where it is now. The only thing that you would do is remain fracessary, if it was strangling out the new vegetation that is being put in.		
1265 1266	Mr. Ladd -	Correct.	
1267 1268 1269	Mrs. Marshall - And you will maintain it on your property and the neighbors will maintain it on their property. Is that correct?		
1270 1271	Mr. Ladd -	Yes ma'am.	
1272 1273 1274	Mrs. Marshall - for where their houses are	Okay. And Mr. Garrison is it possible to pull up the lighting ? Can you show us that?	
1274 1275 1276 1277 1278 1279 1280	Mr. Garrison - This is the photometric plan that shows—I guess the te would use is maximum output. I'll just point you to some numbers here to just general idea. You see 4.2, 5.2. If you go to the next plan, this is the reduced-level per the same area: 1.4, 2. 5, 2.0, 1.0, etc. So they are proposing to drop the leafter—		
1281 1282	Mr. Baka -	But at the property line it's much lower.	
1283 1284	Mr. Garrison -	Oh, absolutely, yes.	
1285 1286	Mrs. Marshall -	And can you explain the house shield? What is its purpose?	
1287 1288 1289 1290	Mr. Garrison - The house shield is just about a 2-to-3-inch basically piece of metal that's riveted onto the fixture itself. It just kind of helps prevent a glare. You's still going to see the light, but it helps to mitigate the visibility of the bulb itself so you'not looking right at a bulb.		

1292 1293 1294	Mrs. Marshall - a question?	Okay. Mr. Grieves, would you like to come back up and ask
1295 1296 1297 1298	Mr. Grieves - switches over. You said it reduced level?	[Off microphone] I just want to know what time the light goes to a reduced level. Is there a specific time it goes to a
1299 1300 1301	Mr. Garrison - business.	I don't know if the time was ever discussed. It says close of
1302 1303	Mrs. Marshall -	We're talking about the outdoor lighting?
1304 1305	Mr. Garrison -	Yes.
1306 1307	Mr. Grieves -	The tall lights that are on the property line or in our backyard.
1308 1309	Mr. Leabough -	I guess – what are their hours of operation?
1310 1311	Mrs. Marshall -	Yes, what are their operational hours?
1312 1313 1314 1315 1316		It's a medical office building, so it's a business occupancy. so I would say by certainly no later than 7 p.m. To give people business and get out of the building that we could reduce the
1317 1318 1319	Mr. Grieves - 9:30, 10:00 most summer	[Off microphone] Well, in the summertime it stays light until nights.
1320 1321 1322	Mr. Ladd - security.	[Off microphone] Well, we have to have the lights for
1323 1324	Mrs. Marshall -	For security, in the winter.
1325 1326 1327 1328	Mr. Ladd - So we still need the lights around the area.	But in the wintertime it's getting dark by the time you hit 4:00. for security and people to travel across the parking lot and
1329 1330	Mr. Grieves -	Okay, so in the summertime they won't be on late at night.
1331 1332	Mr. Ladd -	Yes, it'll be a moot point, so it's not an issue.
1333 1334 1335	Mr. Dotson - addressing of—	[Off microphone] A follow up on mine. I didn't hear any
1336 1337	Mr. Witte -	Sir, can you step up to the mic?

Mr. Leabough - Could you step to the mic and please state your name?

Mr. Dotson - Greg Dotson, again. I didn't hear any addressing of existing structures there. Like I mentioned, there's a panel that's there on a pole and there's fencing that is on the grounds in that 35-foot buffer area. And also as a practical matter, how will the temporary fence and the new plantings happen and the order of the—are you going to take the temporary fence down, put in all your new vegetation, and then put the permanent black chain link? And as a practical matter too, how will you have access to maintain your vegetation back there? Behind my yard, you almost have to walk in my yard to get back there. How will they provide that they can have access to that buffer zone which is going to be behind the fence? That gives me a little concern is are they really going to maintain it and how that would happen with trucks and so on and so forth.

Mr. Ladd - Tommy Ladd again. In regards to the structures that are on the property already, I've spoken to Mr. Dotson about this—or he brought it to my attention about the electrical panel he referenced. I brought it up to our general contractor. They are currently investigating if that panel feeds anything on the property or on the school property. It is certainly our intent, and I've given direction to the contractor, to remove that panel. Our intent with the entire buffer zone is that it would be landscape only. So any structures or downed debris or anything in that area that we find or come across we certainly will remove. The panel specifically has not been removed yet as we're still investigating whether it's currently still powered or active.

In regards to the maintenance, yes there's a fence between our parking lot and the buffer zone. However, the fence does not encompass the entire campus. So our grounds maintenance crew will simply just have to walk around the fence to get back there to do any kind of replacement or replanting as required, as well as maintenance. They're used to walking around the campus, and I'll give them specific direction to take special care and give that special attention in that area so that it does maintain to proper conditions.

Mrs. Marshall - Are you okay with Mr. Dotson and Mrs. Jones and Mr. Grieves contacting you if there are problems with plants that have died and not being replaced in a timely manner?

Mr. Ladd - Certainly, yes. Yes. I've received calls from them whenever they have issues. They've called me, and we've talked through whatever problems there are, whatever issues there are. So I have no problem whatsoever with them contacting me about anything.

1379 Mrs. Marshall - All right. Thank you so much.

1381 Mr. Ladd - You're welcome.

Mr. Leabough -1383 All right. Any other questions from the Commission? No other questions, Mrs. Marshall. How would you like to proceed? 1384 1385 1386 Mrs. Marshall -Mr. Chairman, I move the landscape and lighting plan for POD2016-00576 and POD2016-00577, Henrico Doctors' Hospital Forest Campus MOB 1387 1388 4, be approved subject to the annotations on the plans and the standard conditions for landscape and lighting plans. 1389 1390 Mr. Baka -1391 Second. 1392 1393 Mr. Leabough -We have a motion by Mrs. Marshall, a second by Mr. Baka. All in favor say aye. Those opposed? There is no opposition; that motion passes. 1394 1395 1396 The Planning Commission approved the landscape and lighting plan for POD2016-00576 and POD2016-00577, Henrico Doctors' Hospital Forest Campus MOB 4, subject 1397 to the standard conditions attached to these minutes for landscape and lighting plans. 1398 1399 Mr. Emerson -1400 Mr. Chairman, we now move on to the next item on your 1401 agenda which is the consideration of approval of your minutes from the March 22nd meeting. I do not believe there is an errata sheet this morning. We will certainly 1402 entertain any changes or corrects if you have any. They are in front of you for your 1403 consideration. 1404 1405 1406 APPROVAL OF MINUTES: March 22, 2017 Minutes 1407 1408 Mr. Leabough -Are there any corrections to the minutes as presented? If 1409 not, I will entertain a motion. 1410 1411 Mr. Witte -I make a motion to approve the minutes. 1412 1413 Mr. Baka -Second. 1414 1415 Mr. Leabough -We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. Baka. All in favor say aye. Those opposed? There is no opposition; that motion passes. 1416 1417 The Planning Commission approved the March 22, 2017 minutes as submitted. 1418 1419 1420 Mr. Leabough -Do we have any other business to come before the Commission, Mr. Emerson? 1421 1422 1423 Mr. Emerson -Yes sir, Mr. Chairman, you do have a discussion item this 1424 morning which is a presentation and public input regarding the code update. We have

1425

1426

1427

1428

with us this morning our consultants, which is led by Clarion Associates, who you may

remember they were our lead consultant in the Comprehensive Plan update for the

2026 plan. They can give you just a little bit of background. Along with Clarion, sub-

consultants are Dover, Kohl and Associates; Renaissance out of Charlottesville; and

Greenhan, Taves, Pandak & Stoner on the legal end. With us this morning we have Craig Richardson, Greg Dale, and Tim Richards. I'll let Craig introduce the rest of his team that he has here. But with that said, Mr. Chairman, they have a presentation for you. After that we will open the floor for any comments from the public.

DISCUSSION ITEM: Updating Chapters 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code (the Zoning and Subdivision Ordinances)

Mr. Leabough - Good morning, sir.

Mr Richardson - Good morning, Mr. Chairman. It's a pleasure for us to be here. Joe introduced our team. I'm Craig Richardson. I'm a director with Clarion Associates. With me is Greg Dale with McBride Dale Clarion, Tim Richards with our firm, and Nate Baker with our firm. So it's a real pleasure for us to be here.

In terms of where we would like to go—we're going to take about 10 or 15 minutes for a presentation. We're actually excited to be here working with you. We are working with you in updating the Zoning Ordinance and the Subdivision Ordinance.

As many of you I'm sure are aware, it's been over 50 years since both of these ordinances have been comprehensively updated. I would say typically in communities where we have worked—and we do a lot of work throughout the Southeast and the East Coast and really throughout the country. Most communities do a comprehensive update about every 25 or 30 years, so it's been a long time since there's been a comprehensive update. Obviously there have been a lot of amendments, but typically what you see when there has been a real lengthy period without a comprehensive review is there are inconsistencies and there are provisions in the code that have been incorporated and making it difficult to understand and navigate for a lot of people. And I think that certainly is the case here.

With respect to the issues I'm going to touch on in the presentation, I'm going to hit four or five. One, I'm just going to—Joe introduced our team, but I'm going to take a few more minutes and give you a little bit more background about us and the other professionals that we have involved in the project. I then want to take about four or five minutes and go through with you the actual work plan, in other words how we're going to get this project done. It's a big undertaking. It's going to take about two years to do. We don't just go out in a few months and revise your code. There is going to be a lot of opportunity for your input, for the Board's input, and for the public's input as we go through the process. And I'll highlight that for you.

And then one of the things that we like to do at the beginning of a project like this with all the communities we work with is to get your thoughts on some goals that you have for the project. We clearly have been looking at your existing Comprehensive Plan for policy direction and things that need to be changed. We met very briefly with the Board about a month ago. We've talked to staff.

We've also established a website. If you're interested, the website address is zoningupdate.henrico.us. I mention this for several reasons. One is on that website, we have included at this juncture in the project a survey questionnaire for anyone in the community to fill out about the issues or concerns that they have with the existing regulations and things they think need to be changed, as well as identification of the overriding goals they think need to be accomplished in the update moving forward. So we will be compiling that information over the next month or so and synthesizing them. We'll be letting you know what we hear. But this is for anyone to respond to. So we're getting those responses in addition to what we'll hear today, what we've heard when we met with the Board, and what we're going to hear from you today. It's important that we identify at this early juncture what you think are *the* most important things that we need to achieve in this update over the next two years.

In terms of us, in terms of Clarion, we are a midsize planning and zoning consulting firm of about 20 professionals. Our two offices are in Chapel Hill in the Research Triangle Park in North Carolina, and Denver, Colorado. We have affiliate offices. McBride Dale Clarion is one of them in Cincinnati. We have another affiliate in Chicago and also one in Philadelphia.

We really, as a firm, do several things. One is we work with local governments in comprehensively updating development regulations, development codes like here in Henrico. The other is we work with local governments in updating comprehensive plans. Just examples of some of the work that we've done is we are actually at the very latter stages of rewriting the Norfolk, Virginia, code. In fact, it is about ready for public hearing or will be in the next couple of months. That's been about a 2, 2-1/2-year project. We worked in Portsmouth. We're working in Prince George's County, Maryland right now, which is one of the larger urban counties between Washington and Baltimore.

In a lot of respects, there are similarities between you and them, even though they have a larger population, in that there are different development contexts in counties of your size and Prince George's size. We're working in Columbia, South Carolina, updating their code right now. We completed the Daytona Beach code about a year and a half ago. So we work throughout the Southeast as well as the East Coast generally and the West.

 We've got three or four sub-consultants on the team. I want to highlight several of them. One is McBride Dale Clarion. Greg, who is here with me today, led the update along with—well as a consultant with your staff—of the Comprehensive Plan. They will be assisting on plan policy direction as well as doing the testing of these revised regulations, which I'll talk about in a few minutes.

In addition to that, we've got other consultants that will provide expertise in very specific areas. One is Dover, Kohl and Partners. They are a design and architectural firm with really tremendous expertise in form-based coding work. One of the things that we are proposing to do is to develop one incentive-based, form-based district for a location in Henrico County. And Dover Kohl has done that in a lot of places. I think one of the most

successful efforts that they have been involved in is the Columbia Pike form-based code up in Arlington County, which really was done about ten years ago. But they've actually gone back in and made some refinements in the last few years. But it has had very, very successful results.

Another consultant that will work with us specifically on street design standards and parking and loading standards is Renaissance Planning. Their offices are in Charlottesville, and they've done a lot of work in road design and mobility issues throughout Virginia and really the country.

And we'll have legal counsel on our project who will be working very closely with your legal staff. And that is Greehan, Taves, and Pandak. Sharon Pandak will actually be the lead counsel for us on the project.

So that's our team.

In terms of the work program, I want to highlight three or four key points. We've got a six-task process. It is one that we have mapped out based upon the experience that we've had in updating development codes over really a number of 20 or 30 years. It's actually pretty straightforward. A couple of things I want to emphasize. One is—and I said it earlier—it's going to be about a two-year process. And secondly, we have a number of systematic steps where there will be opportunities for you, the Board, and the public to interject and to respond to us as we go through the drafting process. We are not going to go back and draft an entire ordnance and come back to you with it. We are going to take incremental steps as we go through this process so that we can make corrections as we go through the process.

We are on the very first task now, and that is really getting us familiar with your conditions and really discussing with the community the goals for the project.

We are then going to a second step. It's an interim step between where we are now and the actual when we start drafting. And that is the preparation of what we are calling a *code assessment*. That code assessment will do several things. Number one, it will identify the overriding goals or objectives for the project. Based upon those goals, we will then evaluate your current regulations and answer the question, "Are the current regulations achieving those goals?" If they are not, what needs to be done to address those objectives that we have in this project. That will be written in laymen's terms. It'll be about a 50-page documents, and we will identify the goals, the gaps, and then provide recommendations for you based upon our experience and best practices as to how you can best achieve those goals. And we'll conclude that assessment with a detailed outline of what the new regulations would look like if those recommendations were followed.

That document will be available and ready for review in two to three months. We will publish it on the website, make it available to you, and then come back and have meetings with you after you have reviewed it, and ask you the question, "Have we got it

right or do we need to make changes to this?" We always make refinements. You never get it right at the beginning.

It's that interim step. And what it does is it takes us from talking about these broad, general things we need to do, making this document user-friendly to sort of much more detailed about how we're going to do it, how we're going to make it user-friendly or how we are going to remove obstacles for redevelopment in certain locations. Along your corridors, for example.

So that's the interim step. And once we complete that process, we are at the point where we have the detailed outline that we can follow. And everyone knows exactly where we're going because we'll have this outline.

And this is when we start the drafting. Because these two ordinances are lengthy and they are complex, we don't draft all at one time either. We will break it into three installments, and logical installments. Typically we'll do all the procedural provisions or all of the zoning districts, all the development standards. So we'll break it into installments. When we've completed one of those installments, that document will be made available for public review. We will conduct meetings on that installment, take input, and that's how we move through the process.

 Once that is completed and we're getting input all along, we will then do some testing. What I mean by testing is that we will actually take these draft regulations and we will identify some sites in the County, a selected number of sites, and say under the old regulations this is what you could develop on this site. Under the new regulations, this is what you could develop on the site. We do that testing to really answer the question are we achieving the objectives we want to achieve with these new regulations. That information would also be made available to the public and we'll have meetings on it. We invariably make revisions based upon the testing. But at least at that point after the testing and after the revisions, it has gone through a number of opportunities for public input and also actual testing of the regulations.

This is where, in task five, we will put everything together into a comprehensive public hearing draft. At that point we think it'll be ready for public hearing. After that process is completed, after the ordinances are ready for public hearing, we will then prepare what we're calling a procedures manual and an application manual where we'll put all the application materials and all the other related, real detailed nuts-and-bolts stuff that you don't want in your ordinance in that document.

So that's the process. It's going to be about a two-year process. But, that is where we are going.

I'm going to conclude with just highlighting for you some thoughts based upon our review of the plans and discussions with staff of some of the potential goals that we think might be relevant for the County just to sort of trigger your thinking and the public's

thinking about where we need to go with this identification of goals. And then I'll be happy to take questions and your input about the project.

One of the things we need to do is implement the Henrico County Vision 2026 Comprehensive Plan. In other words, places in the code that aren't actually implementing that plan. And I've identified on this slide at least some of the goals that we think are important that we do need to really focus on and make some changes in your regulations. For example, one of them is promoting reinvestment and compatible infill redevelopment in the older neighborhoods, and I'll also mention along some of your older commercial corridors, which we think are in need of redevelopment. Another is encouraging development—in other words, really providing an opportunity for a wider variety of housing types. Making your pedestrian environment more appealing as new development occurs. These are just some of them. And a lot of these other goals I mentioned are really linked to a lot of the Comprehensive Plan goals we have on this slide.

This really relates to this whole issue of redevelopment. One of the things that we have discovered in the last 10 years probably or 12 years where we have really—a lot of our work has been with cities on the East Coast and the Southeast that are mature built places. You've got built places and you've got greenfield areas in the County. So there are these two that we are going to have to address in your development regulations.

But one of the things with respect to built areas that we have learned is that if you are going to have a set of development regulations that are going to support the type of redevelopment and reinvestment that you want to see that you're going to have to have some different provisions for those areas, and you're going to have to provide some more flexibility. A lot of these sites are older and they could have been developed years ago. They could be on smaller lots. There are dimensional standards issues and other constraints. So you need some flexibility provisions. And there are a number of them that you could provide. I've just identified some here, like alternative forms of compliance in your parking standards. A lot of modern codes are doing that. Administrative adjustments which allow for minor variations on some of the dimensional standards. That's something that's been authorized by the Code of Virginia. And a number of communities in the modern codes have been including that.

What I call *contextual dimensional standards*. If you're not familiar with that, basically it's a standard that would be applied in built areas. It's especially helpful in residential areas where you've got a lot of small lots and they're non-conforming. Basically, instead of having—you can still move forward with your lot area minimums, but if you want to, what you can say if the standard we're really going to apply that's going to override the minimum lot area standard is a standard that would look at the block—as long as you're within 15 percent of the average minimum lot on the block then that's the standard you can use. You're conforming if you do that.

So those are some of the ideas. Another thing, and I've talked about it, is making the regulations more user-friendly. There have been tremendous advances in software

technology in the last decade. There are things that we can do in a development code now that we could not do ten years ago in terms of integrating graphics and photographs, three-dimensional drawings to explain zoning concepts. We can do that. One of the things that most modern codes do also is more logically organize the code into procedures, standards, zoning districts. Those are the types of things that we think would go a long way in making your code a lot more user-friendly and understandable.

Streamlining some of the review processes. I think there you have some opportunities to do that. I think one of the examples is just really clarifying some of your provisions in terms of when a POD applies verses an administrative site plan. But also a lot of communities are also providing staff more responsibility to review the smaller site plans instead of coming to the Planning Commission. Or allow the staff to review the smaller stuff with an appeal to the Planning Commission. Those types of things we will also look at.

 Your zoning districts, we will look at those. I think there are some opportunities for refinement. Our thinking right now is we'll carry forward a lot of your existing districts, your residential districts, your commercial districts. I mentioned this idea of establishing an incentive-based, form-based code, which will really act as an incentive. And then to the extent that there are any antiquated districts that are not being used, we would certainly recommend that those be deleted.

Then updating and modernizing your development standards. I think if you looked at your parking standards and compared them to a lot of modern codes and recent ITE studies, you would see that there are opportunities to revise some of your parking standards.

It was interesting this morning to hear some of your applications. One of the issues that we invariably hear in development codes and one issue that we have been dealing with in our development codes is what we call *neighborhood compatibility issues*. You heard a lot of comments about lighting, about the location of parking in relationship to single-family neighborhoods. I think probably in 100 percent of the development codes that we've updated in the last decade, one of the things that we have done is we have included neighborhood compatibility standards. What those standards do is they establish a minimum measurable set of standards in situations where commercial properties, industrial properties, high-density multi-family properties or development is located adjacent to single-family areas. And they deal with those edge areas and things like lighting, things like where parking is going to be located, how you deal with outdoor dining areas, or where do you put a drive-through or do you allow a drive-through within a certain distance, and other operational standards.

 What we have found is that if we have these neighborhood compatibility standards in a code and they're measurable, it creates a minimum for the code. Our experience has generally been that it provides the neighbors, those in single-family areas, a lot more sense of security that at least if lighting is going to located adjacent to us it's only going to be a certain height, it can't go any higher, and we're going to have cutoff

requirements on the lighting, and parking can only be located in certain areas, and there's going to be a certain amount of buffering. So that's the kind of thing that we would certainly look at with respect to updating and clarifying your development standards.

And then finally is just looking at your regulations to promote—not require but remove obstacles to certain types of environmentally friendly development whether it involves making it really clear about the fact that solar panels are a permitted accessory use on homes, community gardens are allowed in certain districts, allowing small scale wind facilities, and also looking at providing incentives for certain types of environmental practices in communities.

That is sort of my overview of some of the goals we've been thinking about. We'd really welcome any questions or any input that you have about the project. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1720 Mr. Leabough - Thank you, sir. Are there any questions for Mr. Richardson from the Commission? Do you have a question, Mr. Baka?

Mr. Baka - Yes, I have one question to follow up. One of the goals in the Comprehensive Plan was to promote mixed use and planned large-tract development. So along those lines, Henrico has the UMU zone, used to the success at Rocketts Landing and elsewhere. To what extent would you build—investigate or review TND, Traditional Neighborhood Design standards for large tracts remaining in the County that are not entirely a mix of commercial and residential, that would be focused primarily on residential uses only?

Mr. Richardson - We will be looking at where the options are. Our thinking, at least, with respect to mixed-use development is that we would provide options, but there will be no requirements. One of the things, for example, in looking at your current code that I've been thinking about is the idea that—I think that you could, for example, have three different types of planned development options, one residential, which would be primarily residential; one for commercial where the focus would be on commercial development, which would allow mixed use, but it would be a light touch. And then as an option a TND planned development.

I think where communities have done the TND planned development it would be a situation where no one's going to be required to do it, but if they do it, they really do need to design a true traditional neighborhood. There are certain elements that could go into a traditional neighborhood development.

The other thing that I mentioned that we are also thinking about, and one of the reasons we included Dover Kohl on the team, was the idea of creating an incentive-based Traditional Neighborhood District, which would really act as an overlay. Sort of like what they did in Arlington along Columbia Pike. If you wanted to establish the district and you created an overlay, you don't have to use the district, but there would be some pretty

powerful incentives if you did use that district in terms of the uses, in terms of the 1750 density and the intensity. So does that answer your question? 1751 1752 1753 Mr. Baka -Yes. 1754 1755 Mr. Leabough -Any other questions from the Commission? Thank you, sir. 1756 1757 Mr. Richardson -Thank you. 1758 1759 Mr. Leabough -So we need to open it up for public comment, Mr. Emerson? 1760 1761 Mr. Emerson -Yes sir, Mr. Chairman. I think some of these folks may have some thoughts about things they'd like to see included in the code for us to take a look 1762 1763 at it. So yes sir, we'd like to open it up to the floor. 1764 1765 Mr. Leabough -Are we giving folks kind of a one- to two-minute window? 1766 1767 Mr. Emerson -I would say keep your comments to around two minutes. 1768 1769 Mr. Leabough -So no dissertations or anything like that. 1770 1771 Mr. Emerson -Well this is just the beginning. We do have a website. The idea is to kind of find out generally what people's goals are, what they would like to see, 1772 1773 thoughts. I know there have been comments made to me regarding parking standards. more flexibility in residential zones, the form-based options that Craig has mentioned. 1774 1775 Certainly we've had those requests from the office park developers primarily. I'm not sure if I see any of those folks here this morning. But those are kind of some of the 1776 comments I've heard from the development community, so we would like to hear more 1777 from those of you who would like to speak. 1778 1779 1780 Mr. Leabough -Please come forward, Ms. Wilson. And I saw your email this morning. 1781 1782 1783 Ms. Wilson -Hi, good morning. Thank you. Glad to be here. Lynn Wilson, 1784 citizen. I also work with the Soil and Water District, Henricopolis. So I'm really excited 1785 about this process. 1786 1787 I would like for you to please clarify for me, and probably for others, the relationship between the ordinances and the Comprehensive Plan. I was very involved with the 1788 1789 Comprehensive Plan formation last time around. And it seems to me at this point to be a very stale plan. It's been a very long time since we have as citizens been invited to the 1790 table. So as I said before, I'm very excited about this. 1791 1792

1793 1794

1795

But I'm somewhat curious that we are doing the subdivisions ordinances now, so

important, haven't been looked at in a comprehensive way since the '50s, before a revision of the Comp Plan. So if you could just clarify that for the record. I would just

really like to be able to talk to my friends and neighbors and colleagues about this very thing.

Anyway, I plan to follow this process. Thank you very much, and I look forward to your answer.

1802 Mr. Leabough - Thank you, Ms. Wilson. Do you mind speaking to that?

Mr. Emerson - Absolutely. To clarify, the Comprehensive Plan relationship to the Code is that it does set the goals and objectives of the overall development pattern of the County. The codes then are developed to reflect that plan. I don't know that I share your opinion that it's a stale document. It has been a fairly active document; it's been amended several times. And we have several tocus area studies underway now. It is meant to be in place until 2026, so I think it is still relevant in many, many ways.

The goals were set forth in that document for different zoning categories, different density patterns, different types of goals for different areas of the County. And certainly that will be a guiding element of what we consider as we develop these codes. And while both the Subdivision and the Zoning Ordinance are in excess of 60 years old, they have been amended numerous times, which is one of the reasons that a large part of this exercise will be cleaning it up, getting it in working order so people can understand it easier, getting the terminology more modern. That's a big, big part of this effort, along with adding new tools.

Mr. Leabough - Thank you, sir. Dr. Nelson.

Dr. Nelson - Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. I'm Henry Nelson from 3600 New Market Road in the Varina District. Although I'm here as an embryonic person from the community and not knowledgeable about the intricacies of many things that go on in Planning, I have some things that I would like to be included in the comprehensive planning that's being revised, if appropriate.

I noticed one of the goals was to refine zoning districts. One thing I'd like to emphasize is that I would not like to see the agricultural areas disappear because at one time that was a proposal that we opposed, and it was re-included, which we appreciate.

We as a community, Varina community, hired two consultants—Randall Arndt and Ed McMahon. He's not the guy that worked with Johnny Carson, just so you'll know. And we looked at various components that could make our community have a higher quality of life. They suggested certain things based on their premise and what they do for a living. One of those was to have an architectural theme for commercial buildings in and of corridor areas. This has been done in Chesterfield County along the Route 10 area in and around the courtnouse, if you take a look at it.

 Also at Midlothian where they have the Midlothian Village and Sycamore Square and so forth, we asked for a colonial design on commercial buildings because we're the second oldest community in the USA, English-speaking that is. And so we thought that reflection to make our area a destination rather than just another location would be a good way to have sustainable value. In fact, Mr. McMahon has said that picking an architectural theme like that can perpetuate sustainable value on commercial buildings relative to their use and style 400 to 600 percent above what it would be if you just accept the cookie-cutter versions that are provided by development which make you Anywhere, USA, which we don't want to be because we're special. We know that.

Also, we have a program. We worked with a consultant from VCU and came up with a design for a Varina Village to be located in and/or near the confluence of the roads of Strath and Route 5. We'd like to see that inclusive in this plan, if appropriate. Those are just some of the things that we want.

And also if it's appropriate, the Route 5 corridor and the Osborne corridor have been in our 2026 Plan. They've been adopted, but they've not been fleshed out as to what this will mean. And we're very anxious to get that in writing in a way that can be applicable to those things we do. And I don't know if that's appropriate in what you're doing, but if it is, we'd like to be making sure that that's inclusive.

Without taking further time and at the confluence of the time frame you gave me, that's what I'd like to see included, if appropriate. Thank you.

Mr. Leabough - Thank you, sir. Anyone else? Yes sir. Please come forward.

 Mr. Quackenbush - Good morning. My name is Eric Quackenbush. I am part of the Route 5 Corridor Coalition that I believe Henry is a part of through a couple adjoining groups. I'm part of their Land Use and Steering Committee, but they actually sent me here just to be their ears, not their voice, so I'm not speaking for the coalition today. I'm just going to speak as a citizen.

We're a wide coalition of business owners and community associations, conservation groups, nonprofits, and other groups and citizens that all have an interest in kind of the New Market Route 5 corridor, but also in the larger future of the East End of the County. And we're interested in promoting sustainable growth in that corridor and in that area of the County. Our main focus is on the viewshed of historic Route 5, but it does expand out beyond that due to the various groups that are part of us.

We're very interested in how the East End is going to be developed and we hope that it will be developed carefully in a thought-out manner that can preserve its historic nature and its existing potential as an agricultural- and tourism-focused area of the County. And we'd love to act as a resource for Clarion and the County during this whole process. We'd love to be involved as you guys kind of interpret the 2026 Plan and the effects that will have on the zoning ordinances.

 So I'm kind of just here to hear your introduction, tell you that we're really happy to be involved. We'd love to be involved. We're happy that this process is occurring. That's all I had.

1891 Mr. Leabough - Thank you, sir. Anyone else that would like to speak? Yes, 1892 Mr. Bleckley.

Mr. Bleckley - Hello again. Andrew Bleckley with Cite Design. Although I'm not currently a citizen of Henrico, I come before this Board periodically. We do a lot of work in Henrico County. I first of all just want to applaud this effort. As a designer in the community, we are very excited to hear that you guys are motivated to adapt code and you're listening to citizens who really want to care about the community in a thoughtful manner.

One question that I wanted to present today is just a thought about roads. I heard the presentation talk a lot about TND and form-based codes. One of the things that we've run into a lot as designers is how to comply with traffic and fire standards for roads while also creating a walkable streetscape that's compliant with TND standards, creating a very livable neighborhood. I know that that's something that we've dealt with in the past and we'd like to see addressed through this process, how do we create a toolkit of sorts that meets all the requirements from each department as it stands today. Thank you.

Mr. Leabough - Thank you, sir. Anyone else that would like to speak?

Mr. Kukoski - Hi. I'm Mark Kukoski. I'm with Eagle Construction. I wanted to follow along with what Andrew said.

We've worked on a couple UMUs, and would like to see the processes integrated with the other departments—Utilities, Public Works, and Fire—so that everything works together on the roads. We struggled with the public roads to try to make UMUs work with public roads and the different requirements from the different departments are kind of mutually exclusive. When you're trying to make a compact TND UMU, sight distances and easements and all that kind of are at cross-purposes. And when you try to have a compact development like that, it would be good to bring all the departments in and their ordinances. Thank you.

Mr. Leabough - Thank you, sir. Anyone else? Speak now or forever hold your peace. No, this is an evolving process. There will be other opportunities.

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, I would like to add, if no one else wants to speak at this time, this will probably be a 24- or 30-month process. We do anticipate additional meetings probably with a more concentrated user group. And then of course everything will be posted on the website. We look for that being one of our main tools that we're going to reach out and try to gain input because that's the most accessible tool that we can provide to the public, I believe. And the website is designed to do that. All the documents as they're updated when we receive your input will be posted so we

can gain your input. And again, right now we're just at the beginning of this process, and we are beginning to just get our arms around the structure or how we're going to approach the code update. We appreciate the interest.

In response to some of the Route 5 comments, we do have a meeting about a study that we've begun, and we want to gain citizen input and involvement. And that will occur on May 11th. It will be very similar to what we've done this morning. It's prior to the regular Planning Commission meeting, which begins at seven. That will begin at six, and we'll go over with you some of the data that we've gathered briefly, tell you about the tools, and begin to gain a little input as we did this morning so we can better formulate the process that we'll follow there. So that's forthcoming as well.

Mr. Leabough - Just a quick point of clarification. So, the website is pretty much the primary place that folks can look to find out when public meetings are.

1948 Mr. Emerson - Absolutely, yes sir.

Mr. Leabough - So those of you who are interested, please check that website frequently. Anything else for the Commission or any other comments?

Mr. Emerson - I don't believe I have anything to add. Mr. Blankinship, do you have anything that you'd like to add? Ben will be our project manager on staff. I don't know if he has anything that he'd like to add at this point or not.

Mr. Blankinship - Just to say that we have copies of the presentation that will be out on the table in the back for anyone who wants to take one. It'll also be posted on the website.

1961 Mr. Leabough - Great.

Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, I was trying to decide whether or not I was going to mention this, but I guess I should because it's a matter of public information. Most of you, probably all of you, received an email yesterday from someone about a zoning classification, a person that couldn't be here. If not, I'll have to forward it to you. But I think everybody should have received it in their email. It had to do with a person who has a problem with a zoning classification that's next to their residence. It was sort of disturbing, to tell you the truth. I think it's too early in this process now to address that, but I just want us to keep it in mind as we go forward because it's something that I think we should probably make note of at some point in the future.

Mr. Emerson - Yes sir. I believe everybody got that email. We've been working with those folks. What that is is the agricultural use is being pursued on a residential lot next to established residences. The way the current code is written, those uses are allowed. That's become quite a nuisance for that neighborhood.

1978 Mr. Archer - I can see why it would be.

1979		
1980	Mr. Emerson -	There's not a lot of enforcement activity that we can take and
1981	certainly that is one of the things that having a code that was developed in the late '50s	
1982	and adopted in 1960 where the anticipation was probably somebody would have a	
1983	backyard garden. In this case, someone has purchased an undeveloped lot in this	
1984	development and has turned it into essentially what they call a farming operation. It's	
1985	right on the edge. From an enforcement standpoint, we can't do a whole lot about it	
1986	based on the way the code is written because they're within those parameters. But it's	
1987	certainly become a large nuisance for that neighborhood.	
1988		
1989	Mr. Archer -	It has, yes.
1990	Ma. E	A. A. H. a. C. P. L. T. C. L.
1991	Mr. Emerson -	And that's a valid concern. That lady's goal in sending us
1992 1993	that information and wanting to talk to us about it is that it doesn't happen to others.	
1993	Mr. Archer -	I think she was very nice in her comments about realizing
1995		pen now, which is almost nothing.
1996	What oodid probably happ	or now, which is almost nothing.
1997	Mr. Emerson -	That's right.
1998		
1999	Mr. Archer -	But I didn't want us to forget it going forward because it
2000	might be something that we can have an impact on before we conclude this process.	
2001		·
2002	Mr. Emerson -	Absolutely, yes sir.
2003	NA. I I	A
2004	-	Any other comments? Concerns? Questions? If not, I'll
2005	entertain a motion for adjournment.	
2006 2007	Mr. Archer -	I move for adjournment, Mr. Chair.
2007	WII. PAIGHEL	Thove for adjournment, IVII. Chair.
2009	Mrs. Marshall -	Second.
2010		
2011	Mr. Leabough -	All right. We have a motion by Mr. Archer a second by
2012	Mrs. Marshall. All in favor say aye. Those opposed? There is no opposition; that motion	
2013	passes.	
2014		
2015		
2016		7
2017		
2018		Mr. Eric S. Leabough, C.P.C., Chairperson
2019		4
2020		
2021		
2022 2023	<	
2023		Mr. Joseph Emerson, Jr., Secretary
2024		WIL DOJOPH EMCISON, Jr., Decidally

A. Standard Conditions for all POD's:

- 1. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water and sewer. (when the property is served by public utilities)
- 1A. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public sewer. The well location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public water system when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public water)
- 1B. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water. The septic tank location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public sewer when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public sewer)
- 2. The Director of the Department of Public Utilities shall approve the plan of development for construction of public water and sewer, prior to beginning any construction of these utilities. The Department of Public Utilities shall be notified at least 48 hours prior to the start of any County water or sewer construction.
- 3. The parking lot shall be subject to the requirements of Chapter 24, Section 24-98 of the Henrico County Code.
- 4. The parking spaces shall be marked on the pavement surface with four-inch-wide traffic painted lines. All lane lines and parking lines shall be white in color with the exception that those dividing traffic shall yellow.
- 5. Sufficient, effectively usable parking shall be provided. If experience indicates the need, additional parking shall be provided.
- 6. Curb and gutter and necessary storm sewer shall be constructed as shown on approved plans.
- 7. The plan of development plan shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan dated April 26, 2017, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if details were fully described herein. Nine (9) sets of revised plans, including the detailed drainage, erosion control and utility plans, shall be submitted by the design engineer who prepared the plans to the Department of Planning for final review. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. Two (2) sets of the approved plan shall be attached to the building permit application. (Revised October 2015)
- 8. Two copies of an Erosion and Sediment Control Agreement with required escrow shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works. Approval is required prior to construction plan approval and beginning construction. The Department of Public Works shall be notified at least 24 hours prior to the start of any construction.
- 9. A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 9. **AMENDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 10. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced no later than the next planting season.

- 11. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and approval.
- 11. **AMENDED** Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including depictions of light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and Planning Commission approval.
- 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature. (For POD which includes lighting plan approval)
- 12. All exterior lighting shall be designed and arranged to direct the light and glare away from nearby residential property and streets.
- 13. The site, including the parking areas, shall be kept clean of litter and debris on a daily basis. Trash container units/litter receptacles and recycling containers shall be maintained with regular pickups scheduled and shall be screened properly on all four sides. The gate(s) shall remain closed except when the receptacle(s) are being filled or serviced and shall be repaired or replaced as necessary. Details shall be included with the final site plan or required landscape plan for review and approval.
- 14. Required fire lanes shall be marked and maintained in accordance with the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code.
- 15. Traffic control signs shall be provided as indicated on the Department of Planning Staff plan. All signs shall be fabricated as shown in The National Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways.
- 16. The assigned property number(s) shall be displayed so it is easily readable from the street. If assistance is needed with the address, please contact the Department of Planning at 501-4284. The Planning Department must assign all property addresses. (Revised January 2008)
- 17. The owner shall have a set of plans approved by the Director of Public Works, Public Utilities and Secretary of the Planning Commission available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 18. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 19. Upon completion of the improvements and prior to the certification of the permanent occupancy permit, the owner shall furnish a statement by the engineer or land surveyor who prepared the POD plan, to the effect that all construction including water and sewer is in conformance to the regulations and requirements of the POD.
- 20. The approved Plan of Development is granted by the Planning Commission only to the owners(s)/applicant(s) listed on the Plan of Development application on file for this project. Upon written notification to the Director of Planning, the Plan of Development approval may be transferred to subsequent owner(s) subject to approval by this Commission, or approval by the Director of Planning provided the property is transferred to new ownership no later than 24 months following initial construction plan approval. (Revised August 2016)

- 21. Vehicles shall be parked only in approved and constructed parking spaces.
- 22. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 23. The site, including paving, pavement markings, signage, curb and gutter, dumpster screens, walls, fences, lighting and other site improvements shall be properly maintained in good condition at all times. Any necessary repairs shall be made in a timely manner.
- 24. The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- 25. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations shall be included on the final construction plans for approval by the Department of Public Utilities prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 26. Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- 27. The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- 29. (Start of miscellaneous conditions)

STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR LANDSCAPE/LIGHTING/FENCE PLANS

- 1. The plan shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **April 26, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein. Four (4) sets of prints of the revised plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval stamps and distribution.
- 2. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 3. The owner shall have a set of approved plans available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 4. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the normal planting season. (DELETE IF NO LANDSCAPING)
- 5. All exterior lighting shall be shielded to direct lights away from adjacent residential property and streets. (DELETE IF NO LIGHTING)
- 6. All fences, walls, and screens, including gates and doors, shall be maintained in good repair by the owner. Trash and debris should not be allowed to accumulate along the fence or wall. (DELETE IF NO FENCE, WALL, OR DUMPSTER SCREEN)

- B. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Zero Lot Line Developments shall apply:
- 29. Roof edge ornamental features that extend over the zero lot line, and which are permitted by Section 24-95(i)(1), must be authorized in the covenants.
- 30. Eight-foot easements for construction, drainage, and maintenance access for abutting lots shall be provided and shown on the POD plans.
- 31. Building permit request for individual dwellings shall each include two (2) copies of a layout plan sheet as approved with the plan of development. The developer may utilize alternate building types providing that each may be located within the building footprint shown on the approved plan. Any deviation in building footprint or infrastructure shall require submission and approval of an administrative site plan.
- 32. Windows on the zero lot line side of the dwelling can only be approved with an exception granted by the Building Official and the Director of Planning during the building permit application process.
- 33. The mechanical equipment for each building shall be located on its respective lot. Except for wall-mounted electric meters, in no case shall the eight-foot easement for construction, drainage, and maintenance access on the abutting lot be used to locate other mechanical equipment (such as HVAC equipment, generators, and the like) for the subject lot.
- C. Standard Conditions for Approval of All Dry Cleaners and Laundries in Addition to Item A:
- 29. The dry cleaning establishment shall use only non-inflammable cleaning solvents and have fully enclosed cleaning and solvent reclamation processes and fully enclosed pressing equipment with no outside steam exhaust.
- D. In addition to Item A, the Following Conditions for Approval of All Shopping Centers Shall Apply:
- 29. Only retail business establishments permitted in a **ZONE** may be located in this center.
- 30. The ground area covered by all the buildings shall not exceed in the aggregate 25 percent of the total site area.
- 31. No merchandise shall be displayed or stored outside of the building(s) or on sidewalk(s).
- E. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Multi-Family Shall Apply:
- 29. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- 30. The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.

F. In addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Service Station Developments Shall Apply:

- 29. This business shall not remain in operation after midnight and no exterior signs shall remain lighted after (12:00 midnight B-1) (1:00 o'clock a.m. B-2) (no limit B-3).
- 30. No merchandise shall be displayed outside of the building except that oil racks will be allowed on the pump islands.
- 31. This service station shall be used only for the sale of petroleum products and automobile accessories and parts. It shall not be used to sell or rent camping trailers, nor as a base of operation for truck fleets or fuel oil delivery or other such use that is not strictly a service station operation.
- 32. Only light repair work shall be allowed at this station, including motor tune-up, brake, generator, ignition, and exhaust repairs, and wheel balancing. The only work that can be performed outside the building is those services that are normally furnished at the pump island and the changing of tires.
- 33. No wrecked automobiles, nor automobiles incapable of being operated, shall be kept on the premises.
- 34. The prospective operator of this station shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.

G. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A B-2 ZONE

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. There shall be no exterior display of merchandise except on pump islands and on paved walkway areas within three (3) feet of building.
- 31. Lighting fixtures shall not exceed a height greater than twenty (20) feet.
- 32. No temporary storage of wrecked or inoperative vehicles or rental of vehicles, trailer campers, vans or similar equipment shall be permitted.
- 33. Not more than two (2) electronic amusement games shall be permitted.
- Not more than two (2) vending machines for food and beverage and similar merchandise shall be permitted on the premises outside of an enclosed building.
- 35. The prospective operator of this facility shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.
- 36. The landscaping plan shall include details for screening of refuse containers and refuse storage facilities in accordance with Section 24-61(i).
- 37. Refuse containers or refuse storage facilities shall be serviced during business hours only.
- 38. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way.
- 39. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

H. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A B-3 ZONE

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)
- 31. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

Conventional Single-Family Subdivisions Served By Public Utilities

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of sixteen (16) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water. (Substitute condition 5A if well)
- 5A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer. (Substitute condition 6A if on-site sewage disposal/septic)
- 6Λ. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **April 26, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **April 25, 2018**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be

- filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements as applicable, and design considerations.
- 12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

Conventional Single-Family Subdivisions Not Served By Public Utilities

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage and erosion control plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of eleven (11) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 7. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **April 26, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 8. This approval shall expire on April 25, 2018, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 9. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.

- 10. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements and design considerations.
- 11. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

Residential Townhouse for Sale (RTH) Subdivisions

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated April 26, 2017, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **April 25**, 2018, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.

- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.
- 12. A draft of the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review, prior to final approval. The proposed Homeowners Association for the project shall be responsible for the exterior maintenance of all buildings and grounds.
- 13. All block corners shall be monumented and referenced, where possible, to the exterior boundaries of the site
- 14. The record plat shall contain a statement that the common area is dedicated to the common use and enjoyment of the homeowners of (name of subdivision) and is not dedicated for use by the general public. This statement shall refer to the applicable article in the covenants recorded with the plat.

Zero Lot Line Subdivisions

- 1, All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- Onstruction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated April 26, 2017, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on April 25, 2018, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change may be implemented.

- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.
- Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers and Chesapeake Bay Act Areas.

Road Dedication with No Lots

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of sixteen (16) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated April 26, 2017, which shall be as much a part of this approval as it all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **April 25**, **2018**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.