Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of Henrico County 1 held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and 2 Hungary Spring Roads beginning at 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, June 25, 2014. 3 4 Mr. Eric Leabough, C.P.C., Chairman, (Varina) Members Present: Mr. Robert H. Witte, Jr., Vice Chairman (Brookland) Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C., (Fairfield) Mr. Tommy Branin, (Three Chopt) Mrs. Bonnie-Leigh Jones, C.P.C. (Tuckahoe) Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., AICP, Director of Planning, Secretary Mr. David Kaechele, Board of Supervisors' Representative Others Present: Mr. David D. O'Kelly, Assistant Director of Planning Ms. Leslie A. News. PLA. Principal Planner Mr. Kevin D. Wilhite, C.P.C., AICP, County Planner Mr. Michael F. Kennedy, County Planner Ms. Christina L. Goggin, AICP, County Planner Mr. Tony Greulich, C.P.C., County Planner Mr. Matt Ward, County Planner Mr. Gregory Garrison, AICP, County Planner Mr. Lee Pambid, C.P.C., County Planner Ms. Aimee B. Crady, AICP, County Planner Ms. Sharon Smidler, Traffic Engineering Ms. Kim Vann. Police Mr. Eric Dykstra, Office Assistant/Recording Secretary 5 Mr. David Kaechele, the Board of Supervisors' representative, abstains on all 6 cases unless otherwise noted. 7 8 I would like to call the public hearing for the Planning Mr. Leabough -9 Commission of Henrico County to order. Thank you for being here today. As we rise for 10 the Pledge of Allegiance, I ask that you mute or silence your cell phones. 11 12 I see that we have Mr. Strong from the Richmond-Times Dispatch. Thank you for being 13 here, sir. We also have all Planning Commissioners present as well as our Board 14 member, Mr. Kaechele, from the Three Chopt District who's sitting with us. I'd like to 15 thank you for being here Mr. Kaechele. 16 17

All right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Now I'd like to turn the agenda over to our secretary, Mr. Emerson.

All right. We can conduct business; we do have a quorum.

Mr. Kaechele -

Mr. Leabough -

18 19

20

Mr. Emerson - Thank you, Mr. Chairman. First on the agenda this morning are the requests for deferrals and withdrawals. Those will be presented by Ms. Leslie News.

26 27

28

29

30

Ms. News - Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Good morning members of the Commission. We have three items requested for deferral this morning on your agenda. The first is found on page eight of your agenda and is located in the Three Chopt District. This is POD2014-00091, GreenGate Phase I, Infrastructure and Master Plan. The applicant is requesting a deferral to the July 23, 2014 meeting.

31 32 33

(Deferred from the May 28, 2014 Meeting)

34 35

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

36

POD2014-00091 GreenGate Phase I – Infrastructure and Master Plan - 12121 W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250) Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. for ME Nuckols, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct the first phase of an urban mixed-use development consisting of two entrance roads, improvements to W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250), and other infrastructure including utilities and storm sewer. The master plan proposes a total of 122,000 square-feet of retail and office space, along with 259 residential lots on a 68.68-acre site, including parcels 731-764-5533 and 731-764-4292. The 13.0-acre site for Phase I is located on the south line of W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250), approximately 540 feet west of its intersection with N. Gayton Road, on part of parcel 731-764-5533. The zoning is UMUC, Urban Mixed Use District (Conditional), and WBSO, West Broad Street Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

37 38

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone here in opposition to the deferral of POD2014-00091 – Infrastructure and Master Plan? There is no opposition.

39 40

Mr. Branin - Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that POD2014-00091 – Infrastructure and Master Plan, be deferred to the July 23rd meeting per the applicant's request.

44

Mr. Witte - Second.

45 46

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Branin, a second by Mr. Witte. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

49 50

51

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred POD2014-00091 – Infrastructure and Master Plan, to its July 23, 2014 meeting.

52 53 54 55		The next item is on page 10 of your agenda and located in This is SUB2014-00026, GreenGate (April 2014 plan). The leferral to the July 23, 2014 meeting.
56 57	SUBDIVISION	
58	SUB2014-00026 GreenGate (April 2014 Plan) - 12121 W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250)	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. for ME Nuckols, LLC: The 55.68-acre site proposed for 46 single-family dwellings and 213 residential townhouses for sale is located on the north line of Graham Meadows Drive, approximately 575 feet north of its intersection with N. Gayton Road, on part of parcels 731-764-5533 and 731-764-4292. The zoning is UMUC, Urban Mixed Use District (Conditional), and WBSO, West Broad Street Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt) 259 Lots
59 60 61	Mr. Leabough - GreenGate (April 2014 Pla	Is there anyone here in opposition to SUB2014-00026, an)? There is no one in opposition to the deferral.
62 63 64 65	Mr. Branin - GreenGate (April 2014 F applicant's request.	Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that SUB2014-00026, Plan), be deferred as well to the July 23rd meeting per the
36 67	Mr. Witte -	Second.
68 69	Mr. Leabough -	We have a motion by Mr. Branin, a second by Mr. Witte.
70 71 72		plicant, the Planning Commission deferred SUB2014-00026, an), to its July 23, 2014 meeting.
73 74 75 76 77 78	Ms. News - District is POD 2014-0017 requested a deferral to the	Next on page 30 of your agenda and located in the Varina 75, Family Dollar at 1276 New Market Road. The applicant has a July 23, 2014 meeting.
79 80		
81		
82 83		
84		
85		
86 97		
87 38		

POD2014-00175
Family Dollar at 1276
New Market Road - New
Market Road (State Route
5)

Balzer and Associates, Inc. for Felts & Kilpatrick Construction Company, Inc. and Twin Rivers Capital, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story, 8,320 square-foot retail store. The 2.50-acre site is located at the southeast corner of the intersection of New Market Road (State Route 5) and North James Estates Drive, on parcels 802-702-9916, 802-702-8535, 802-702-8929, and 803-702-1005. The zoning is B-1C, Business District Conditional). County water and sewer. (Varina)

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone here in opposition to the deferral of POD2014-00175, Family Dollar at 1276 New Market Road? There is no opposition, so I move that POD2014-00175, Family Dollar at 1276 New Market Road, be deferred at the applicant's request to the July 23, 2014 meeting.

Mrs. Jones - Second.

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, second by Mrs. Jones. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred POD2014-00175, Family Dollar at 1276 New Market Road, to its July 23, 2014 meeting.

Ms. News - Staff is not aware of any further requests for deferral.

Mr. Emerson - Yes, Mr. Chairman, that does complete the deferrals staff has this morning unless the Commission has anything additional they may wish to add.

110 Mr. Leabough - Yes I do. I'd like to add POD2014-00152 to be deferred to the 111 July 23, 2014 meeting.

Mr. Branin - What page is that. Mr. Chairman.

115 Mr. Leabough - That is on page 21, I believe.

Mr. Emerson - POD2014-00152. Dollar General at 202 E. Williamsburg Road. It's on page 21 and your amended agenda page two.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

> POD2014-00152 Dollar General at 202 E. Williamsburg Road – E. Williamsburg Road (U.S. Route 60)

Highmark Engineering for Alan Strawderman and Par 3 Development, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story, 9,100 square-foot retail building. The 1.58-acre site is located on the north line of E. Williamsburg Road (U.S. Route 60), approximately 250 feet east of N. Raines Avenue, on parcel 828-715-5292. The zoning is B-1, Business District, and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone in opposition to the deferral of POD2014-00152, Dollar General at 202 E. Williamsburg Road? You're in opposition to the deferral? Mr. Gammon, we should talk off line, but I'd like to request that that case be deferred until July. Okay? Is there anyone else in opposition to the deferral of that case? With that, I move that POD2014-00152, Dollar General at 202 E. Williamsburg Road, be deferred to the July 23, 2014 meeting at the Commissioner's request.

Mr. Branin - Second.

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mr. Branin.
All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

At the request of the Commissioner, the Planning Commission deferred POD2014-00152, Dollar General at 202 E. Williamsburg Road, to its July 23, 2014 meeting.

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, if that completes any additions the Commission has this morning for the deferral list, the next item would be the expedited items. Those will also be presented by Ms. Leslie News.

Ms. News - Sir, we have five items on our expedited agenda this morning. The first is found on page three of your agenda and is located in the Varina District. This is a transfer of approval for POD-84-07, Wells Fargo (Formerly Wachovia Bank) at The Shops at White Oak Village. Staff recommends approval.

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

160 161

> POD-84-07 POD2013-00050 Wells Fargo (Formerly Wachovia Bank) at The Shops at White Oak Village – 4489 S. Laburnum Avenue

Forest City for Cole MT Richmond VA, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Laburnum Investment, LLC to Cole MT Richmond VA, LLC. The 1.0-acre site is located in an existing shopping center at the northeast corner of the intersection of S. Laburnum Avenue and Audubon Drive, on parcel 815-716-6961. The zoning is B-3C, Business District (Conditional) and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)

162 163

164

165

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone here in opposition to the transfer request for POD-84-07 (POD2013-00050), Wells Fargo (Formerly Wachovia Bank)? There is no opposition, so I move that the transfer request for POD-84-07 (POD2013-00050), Wells Fargo (Formerly Wachovia Bank), be approved.

166 167

168 Mr. Archer -

Second.

169 170

Mr. Leabough - Motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

171 172 173

174

175

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-84-07 (POD2013-00050), Wells Fargo (Formerly Wachovia Bank), from Laburnum Investment, LLC to Cole MT Richmond VA, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

176177178

179

Ms. News - The next item is on page four of your agenda and is located in the Fairfield District. This is transfer of approval for POD-76-84, Burger King. Staff recommends approval.

180 181 182

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

183

POD-76-84 POD2013-00186 Burger King - 3720 Mechanicsville Turnpike (U.S. Route 360) Daniel A. Gonzalez for Burger King Corporation: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Robert Prager to Burger King Corporation. The 0.82-acre site is located on the southwest corner of the intersection of Mechanicsville Turnpike (U.S. Route 360) and E. Laburnum Avenue, on parcel 802-735-7062. The zoning is B-2, Business District, and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Fairfield)

184

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone here in opposition to the transfer request for POD-76-84 (POD2013-00186), Burger King? No opposition.

٩7		
. 88 189	Mr. Archer - (POD2013-00186), Burge	Mr. Chairman, I move approval of this transfer of POD-76-84 r King.
190 191 192	Mrs. Jones -	Second.
193 194 195	Mr. Leabough - in favor say aye. All oppos	We have a motion by Mr. Archer, a second by Mrs. Jones. All sed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.
193 196 197 198 199	(POD2013-00186), Burge	on approved the transfer of approval request for POD-76-84 r King, from Robert Prager to Burger King Corporation, subject d conditions previously approved.
200 201 202	Ms. News - the Varina District. This is Reception Center. Staff re	The next item is on page five of your agenda and is located in a transfer of approval for POD-96-88, Nelsen Funeral Home ecommends approval.
203 204 205	TRANSFER OF APPROV	/AL
203	POD-96-88 POD2013-00027 Nelsen Funeral Home Reception Center – 4660 S. Laburnum Avenue	Jeffrey Frucht for SCI Virginia Funeral Services, Inc.: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Nelwood, LC to SCI Virginia Funeral Services, Inc. The 1.75-acre site is located along the west line of Laburnum Avenue, approximately 625 feet north of Audubon Drive, on parcel 814-716-9454. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional) and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)
207 208 209 210 211		Is there anyone here in opposition to the transfer request for 0027), Nelsen Funeral Home Reception Center? There is not the transfer request for POD-96-88 (POD2013-00027), Nelsen Center, be approved.
212 213	Mr. Branin -	Second.
214 215 216	Mr. Leabough - All in favor say aye. All op	We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mr. Branin. posed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.
217 218 219 220 221	(POD2013-00027), Nelse	on approved the transfer of approval request for POD-96-88 on Funeral Home Reception Center from Nelwood, LC to SCI, Inc, subject to the standard and added conditions previously
222	Ms. News - transfer of approval for Po	Next on page six and located in the Varina District is a DD-16-83, Nelsen Funeral Home. Staff recommends approval.

224	
225	

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

POD-16-83 POD2013-00072

Nelsen Funeral Home -

Jeffrey Frucht for SCI Virginia Funeral Services, Inc.: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from 4650 S. Laburnum Avenue Nelsen Funeral Home, Inc. to SCI Virginia Funeral Services, Inc. The 4.95-acre site is located along the west line of S. Laburnum Avenue, approximately 500 feet north of Audubon Drive, on parcel 814-716-7558. The zoning is O-2, Office District, and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)

227 228

Is there anyone here in opposition to the transfer request for Mr. Leabough -POD-16-82 (POD2013-00072), Nelsen Funeral Home? There's no opposition, so I move that POD-16-82 (POD2013-00072), Nelsen Funeral Home, be approved.

230 231 232

229

Second. Mr. Branin -

233

We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mr. Branin. Mr. Leabough -234 All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes. 235

236 237

238

239

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-16-82 (POD2013-00072), Nelsen Funeral Home, from Nelsen Funeral Home, Inc. to SCI Virginia Funeral Services, Inc., subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

240 241 242

The final item is on page seven of your agenda and is located Ms. News in the Fairfield District. This is a transfer of approval for POD-58-05, 9800 JEB Stuart Parkway, which is formerly JEB Stuart Place. Staff recommends approval.

244 245

243

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

246 247

> POD-58-05 POD2014-00027 9800 JEB Stuart Parkway (Formerly JEB Stuart Place) - 9800 JEB Stuart Parkway

Roger Bowers for Schnabel Real Estate Holdings, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24. Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Winging It, LLC to Schnabel Real Estate Holdings. LLC. The 3.29-acre site is located at the northwest corner of the intersection of JEB Stuart Parkway and Technology Park Drive, on parcel 785-768-9646. The zoning is M-1C, Light Industrial District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Fairfield)

248

Is there anyone here in opposition to the transfer request for 249 Mr. Leabough -POD-58-05 (POD2014-00027), 9800 JEB Stuart Parkway (Formerly JEB Stuart Place)? 250 There is no opposition. 251

⁻ 52

Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, I move that the transfer of approval for POD-58-05 (POD2014-00027), 9800 JEB Stuart Parkway (Formerly JEB Stuart Place), be approved.

256

Mrs. Jones - Second.

257258259

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Archer, a second by Mrs. Jones. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

260261262

263

264

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-58-05 (POD2014-00027), 9800 JEB Stuart Parkway (Formerly JEB Stuart Place) from Winging It, LLC to Schnabel Real Estate Holdings, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

265266267

Ms. News -

That completes our expedited agenda.

268269

270

271

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, we now move to the Subdivision Extensions of Conditional Approval. Those will be presented by Mr. Lee Pambid. And we do have one withdrawal this morning that will require action by the Commission.

272273274

SUBDIVISION EXTENSIONS OF CONDITIONAL APPROVAL FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

75 276

Subdivision	Original No. of Lots	Remaining Lots	Previous Extensions	Magisterial District	Recommended Extension
SUB2011-00042 The Townes at Oakley's Bluff (June 2011 Plan)	131	86	2	Varina	6/22/2015

277

278 FOR PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVAL - SUBDIVISION WITHDRAWAL REQUEST

279

Subdivision	Original No. of Lots	Remaining Lots	Previous Extensions	Magisterial District
SUB2008-00183 (SUB-01-01) Lafayette Park (Formerly Malvern Hill Manor) (January 2001 Plan)	121	80	9	Varina

280

281 Mr. Leabough -

Good morning, Mr. Pambid.

282 283

34

Mr. Pambid - Good morning. This map indicates the location of one subdivision that is presented for an extension of conditional approval. It is eligible for a

285 286 287	one-year extension to June 22nd of 2015. This is for informational purposes only and does not require Commission action at this time.		
288 289 290 291	The map also indicates the location of one subdivision that is presented for a withdrawal of conditional approval at the applicant's written request of June 9, 2014. Policy requires that the Planning Commission act on this request.		
292 293 294	This concludes my presentation. Staff can now field any questions you have regarding these items.		
295 296	Mr. Leabough -	Which case is the withdrawal?	
297 298	Mr. Emerson -	The withdrawal is Lafayette Park.	
299 300	Mr. Leabough -	And that requires action.	
301	Mr. Pambid -	Yes, sir.	
302 303 304 305	Mr. Leabough - for Mr. Pambid? All right. Lafayette Park subdivision	Okay. All right. Are there any questions from the Commission If there are none, I move for approval of the withdrawal of the i.	
306 307	Mr. Branin -	Second.	
308 309 310	•	We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mr. Branin. posed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.	
311 312 313 314	•	oplicant, the Planning Commission withdrew SUB2008-00183 ark (Formerly Malvern Hill Manor) (January 2001 Plan), from a Commission.	
315 316 317	Mr. Emerson - 11.	Mr. Chairman, we now move into your regular agenda to page	
318 319 320	(Deferred from the April 23 PLAN OF DEVELOPMEN	3, 2014 Meeting) IT - ARCHITECTURAL PLANS	
321	POD2014-00159 Westwood Center – 2231 Westwood Trail	RK&K, LLP for Brandywine Dabney, LLC: Request for approval of architectural plans for a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story, 69,300 square-foot office warehouse. The 12.24-acre site is located at the terminus of Westwood Trail (private), approximately 800	

feet east of Tomlyn Street, on parcel 779-736-7015. The zoning is M-1, Light Industrial District and M-2, General

Industrial District. County water and sewer. (Brookland)

	7	2
ر	2	3

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone here in opposition to POD2014-00159, Westwood Center? There is no opposition.

Mr. Pambid - Good morning. The POD proposing an office warehouse building was approved by the Planning Commission on April 23, 2014, and the architectural plans including elevations and floor plans were deferred to today's date. Since the last hearing in April on the POD, new plans have been received that feature various changes to the elevations and floor plans. No changes to the building footprint are proposed.

Previous versions of the elevations featured gray precast concrete panels for this building. The elevations now feature earth tone precast concrete panels with additional horizontal and vertical color bands. Color is applied using a penetrating high-performance commercial grade textured coating.

Changes to the Westwood Center floor plans include additional loading bay doors, recessed entrances provided in lieu of previously shown canopies, reduced number of personnel doors along the rear elevation facing the power substation, and two loading bay doors (one each on the north and south elevations). While the number of tenants remains the same, it bears noting that building permits may be received in the future for additional tenant spaces.

+5

Staff would like to add that any building permits for tenant upfits of individual and additional spaces will include a review of required and available parking.

Staff recommends approval of the architectural plans for Westwood Center pursuant to the conditions approved with the POD on April 23, 2014. This concludes my presentation. I can now field any questions you have regarding this. Architect Fred Thompson with Architects Dayton and Thompson, and Brenda Hartless and Joey Caperton with Brandywine Realty are also here to help answer your questions.

Mr. Leabough - Are there any questions for Mr. Pambid from the Commission?

357 Mr. Witte - Mr. Pambid, do we have a rear elevation to this?

Mr. Pambid - Yes, sir. The rear elevation—and this is Westwood Center—is this top elevation here. And if I can refer to the case map, I-195 comes around here, and this is the rear elevation that we're referring to. The Dominion Virginia Power substation is here. Back to the rear elevation.

Mr. Witte - So the rear is facing the power station.

Mr. Pambid - Yes, sir.

368 369	Mr. Witte -	And there are no architectural changes to that.
370 371 372 373	Mr. Pambid - or the increase in the nu here. So it's a decrease.	Just the noted reduction in the number of personnel doors— imber of doors. Excuse me, no. Here's the rear elevation up
374 375	Mr. Witte -	All right. Thank you.
376 377	Mr. Pambid -	You're welcome.
378 379 380	Mr. Leabough - hear from the applicant?	Are there other questions for Mr. Pambid? Would you like to
381 382	Mr. Witte -	I would.
383 384	Mr. Pambid -	Okay. We have the architect and two—
385 386	Mr. Witte -	The architect please.
387 388	Mr. Pambid -	Okay.
389 390 391 392	Mr. Leabough - recorded proceedings. Sappreciate it.	Would the architect please come forward? These are So if you would state your name for the record we would
393 394 395	Mr. Thompson - and Thompson.	Good morning. I'm Fred Thompson with Architects Dayton
396 397 398 399		Good morning, Mr. Thompson. I was under the impression ag a few weeks ago that there was going to be some type of e back side of this building.
400 401 402	Mr. Thompson - building. They don't show	There are some enhancements on the back side of the up very well in this drawing.
403 404	Mr. Witte -	Will it look similar to the front of the building?
405 406 407	Mr. Thompson - the rear.	We don't have as many openings, but the color continues on
408 409	Mr. Witte -	The accent colors?
410 411 412 413		The accent colors. And the impression of pilasters at every 20 at mass is on the back. They show green. They're really not entinue across the back. And then there's a color band at the top polor of the body.

415	Mr. Witte -	Okay. Well that answered that question.	
416 417 418	Mr. Thompson -	Thank you.	
419 420	Mr. Leabough -	Thank you. Are there other questions? All right.	
421 422 423 424 425		Well, Mr. Chair, I move for approval of POD2014-00159, ctural plans, as presented, subject to the annotations on the tions for developments of this type—we're just approving the architecturals.	
426 427 428 429	Mr. Leabough - Mr. Leabough. All in favo passes.	Second. So we have a motion by Mr. Witte and a second by r say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion	
430 431 432	Westwood Center, subje	on approved the architectural plans for POD2014-00159, ect to the terms and conditions previously approved for lanning Commission at their April 23, 2014 meeting.	
433 434 435	(Deferred from the April 23	3, 2014 Meeting)	
433 436 7د	PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT - ARCHITECTURAL PLANS		
31	POD2014-00160 Dabney XII and XIV – 2283 Dabney Road	RK&K, LLP for Brandywine Dabney, LLC: Request for approval of architectural plans for a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story, 45,000 square-foot office warehouse (XII) and a one-story, 41,300 square-foot office warehouse (XIV). The 11.61-acre site is located on the east line of Dabney Road, at the intersection of	

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone here in opposition to POD2014-00160, Dabney XII and XIV? There's no opposition. Mr. Pambid.

water and sewer. (Brookland)

Dabney Road and Par Street, on parcel 777-739-8812. The zoning is M-2, General Industrial District. County

Mr. Pambid - Good morning. As with the previous report, the POD proposing two office warehouse buildings on one parcel was approved by the Planning Commission on April 23, 2014. The architectural plans including elevations and floor plans were deferred to today's date. Since the last hearing in April on this POD, new plans have been received that feature various changes to the elevations and floor plans. No changes to the footprints of either building are proposed.

Previous versions of the elevations featured gray precast concrete panels for both buildings. The elevations now feature earth tone precast concrete panels with additional

horizontal and vertical color bands. Color is applied using a penetrating high-451 performance commercial grade textured coating. These elevations are typical of both 452 buildings, but I also have Dabney XIV if you'd like to look at those. 453 454 Changes on the new floor plans to both Dabney XII and Dabney XIV include additional 455 loading bay doors, reduced number of tenants, and recessed entrances that are new 456 features for both buildings. While the number of tenants has been reduced, it bears 457 noting that building permits may be received in the future for additional tenant spaces. 458 Staff would like to add that any building permits for tenant upfits for individual and 459 additional spaces will include a review of required and available parking. 460 461 462 Staff recommends approval of the architectural plans for both buildings, Dabney XII and Dabney XIV pursuant to the conditions approved with the POD of April 23, 2014. This 463 concludes my presentation. I can now field any questions you have regarding this. Again, 464 Fred Thompson with the architects, and Joey Caperton and Brenda Hartless are here 465 with Brandywine Realty. 466 467 Mr. Leabough -Any questions from Mr. Pambid? Mr. Witte, would you like to 468 hear from the applicant? 469 470 Mr. Witte -I don't think we need to. 471 472 Mr. Leabough -Okay. 473 474 Mr. Chairman, I move for approval of POD2014-00160, 475 Mr. Witte -Dabney XII and XIV, architectural plans. 476 477 Mr. Branin -I second that. 478 479 We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. Branin. All in Mr. Leabough -480 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes. 481 482 The Planning Commission approved the architectural plans for POD2014-00160, 483 Dabney XII and XIV, subject to the terms and conditions previously approved for 484 POD2014-00096 by the Planning Commission at their April 23, 2014 meeting. 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493 494

`?7 ~98 499

SUBDIVISION

500

SUB2014-00061 Bell Tower (May 2014 Plan) – 11351 Church Road Bay Companies, Inc. for Mary Chase Eck Layman: The 0.764 0.59-acre site proposed for a subdivision of ene existing single-family home, and one proposed single-family home to be incorporated within the existing Bell Tower subdivision, is located at the southeast corner of the intersection of Bell Tower Lane and Bell Tower Court, on part of parcel 743-756-3353. The zoning is R-3C, One-Family Residential District (Conditional), and A-1, Agricultural District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt) 1 Lot 2 Lots

501 502

Mr. Leabough - Is anyone here in opposition to SUB2014-00061, Bell Tower (May 2014 Plan)? There's no opposition. Good morning, Mr. Ward.

503504505

506

507

508

509

511

512

513

514

01

Mr. Ward - Good morning. Before you is a revised caption and the plan seen on page one in your addendum. Also at the applicant's request this subdivision was deferred to today, June 25th, 2014, so the applicant could have time to reconsider the lot design. This proposed subdivision layout here on the revised plan shows one lot for single-family development here, Lot 41, in accordance with proffers of rezoning case 2014-00014, which was approved on April 8, 2014. The remaining piece that you see here along Bell Tower Lane, will be for future transfer to the adjacent piece to the east. Staff can recommend approval now subject to the annotations on the plan, the standard conditions for subdivisions served by public utilities, and the following additional conditions. Condition #13 was deleted in your regular agenda and then conditions #14 through #16 on page 15 in your agenda.

515516

I'm happy to answer any questions that the Commission may have of me.

517518519

Mr. Leabough - Are there any questions for Mr. Ward?

520521

Mr. Branin - I have none.

522523

Mr. Leabough - Okay. Would you like to hear from the applicant?

524

525 Mr. Branin - I don't think it's necessary.

526527

Mr. Leabough - Okay. Well then I'll entertain a motion.

528

Mr. Branin - Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that SUB2014-00061, Bell Tower (May 2014 Plan), be approved subject to annotations on the plan, standard conditions of subdivisions served by public utilities, and the following additional condition #13 deleted, and #14 through #16.

_	2	2	
Э	.)	.)	

534 Mr. Witte - Second.

535

536 Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Branin, a second by Mr. Witte. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

538 539

540

541

The Planning Commission granted conditional approval to SUB2014-00061, Bell Tower (May 2014 plan), subject to the standard conditions attached to these minutes for subdivisions served by public utilities, the annotations on the plans, and the following additional conditions:

542543544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

- 13. **DELETED -** The residual lot with the existing home shall contain at least 43,560 square feet.
- 14. The proposed lot shall contain at least 11,000 square feet.
- 15. Prior to requesting the final approval, a draft of the covenants and deed restrictions for the maintenance of the common area by a homeowners association shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review. Such covenants and restrictions shall be in a form and substance satisfactory to the County Attorney and shall be recorded prior to recordation of the subdivision plat.
- 16. The proffers approved as part of zoning case REZ2014-00014 shall be incorporated in this approval.

553554555

SUBDIVISION

556

SUB2014-00074 Saunders Station Townes at Broad Hill Centre (June 2014 Plan) – 12400 W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250) Timmons Group for Atack WB Investors, LLC: The 10.55-acre site proposed for 78 76 residential townhomes for sale is located on the north line of West Broad Street (U.S. Route 250), approximately 3,700 feet west of its intersection N. Gayton Road, on part of parcel 730-766-8896. The zoning is RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt) 78 76 Lots

557558

559

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone here in opposition to the SUB2014-00074, Saunders Station Townes at Broad Hill Centre? There is no opposition. Good morning again, Mr. Pambid.

560561562

563

564

Mr. Pambid - Good morning. In your addendum is a revised layout showing 78 townhouse lots that match the recently submitted POD that is currently under review. Those PODs include architectural and civil plans. The Planning Commission is scheduled to hear that on the July POD Planning Commission hearing.

565566567

568

569

The revised layout addresses several issues from the original plan in addition to the two-lot increase. We originally received the plan for 76 lots. This increases that to 78. Several 10-foot common area strips have been added between all rows of lots where required. And 10-foot setbacks have been verified between units and lot lines.

A five-foot sidewalk has been added that connects the townhouses with the remainder of the Broad Hill Centre development as requested by staff. Other changes made to the plan include the renumbering of all lots, a general reduction of the number of units per building, and a reduction in the number of parking spaces from 181 to 179. The proffers of zoning case C-18C-12 continue to apply.

Since the printing of the addendum, all agencies have reported their recommendations for approval of the revised 78-lot subdivision layout. Therefore, staff recommends approval subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for residential townhouses for sale, and the additional conditions in your agenda.

This concludes my presentation. I can now field any questions you have regarding this. Engineer Roger Rodriguez with Timmons Groups and Rick Melchor of Atack Properties are also here to field questions.

Mr. Leabough - Are there any questions for Mr. Pambid? There are no questions. Would you like to hear from the applicant? Okay. I'll entertain a motion, Mr. Branin.

Mr. Branin - I'd like to move that SUB2014-00074, Saunders Station Townes at Broad Hill Centre, be approved, subject to the annotations on the plan, standard conditions for residential townhomes for sale, the following additional conditions #15 and #16, and the amended plan to show that there are 78 lots.

Mr. Leabough - Second. We have a motion by Mr. Branin, a second by Mr. Leabough. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

The Planning Commission granted conditional approval to SUB2014-00074, Saunders Station Townes at Broad Hill Centre, subject to the standard conditions attached to these minutes for subdivisions served by public utilities, the annotations on the plans, and the following additional conditions:

15. The proffers approved as part of zoning case C-18C-12 shall be incorporated in this approval.

Any future building lot containing a BMP, sediment basin or trap and located within the buildable area for a principal structure or accessory structure, may be developed with engineered fill. All material shall be deposited and compacted in accordance with the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code and geotechnical guidelines established by a professional engineer. A detailed engineering report shall be submitted for the review and approval by the Building Official prior to the issuance of a building permit on the affected lot. A copy of the report and recommendations shall be furnished to the Directors of Planning and Public Works.

> POD2014-00181 Saunders Station at Broad Hill Centre – 12400 W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250)

Timmons Group for Atack WB Investors, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct 13 four-story buildings containing 166 residential condominium dwellings. The 18.0-acre site is located on the north line of West Broad Street (U.S. Route 250), approximately 3,700 feet west of its intersection N. Gayton Road, on part of parcels 730-765-7288, 730-766-7511, and 730-766-8896. The zoning is R-6C, General Residential District (Conditional), B-2C, Business District (Conditional), and WBSO, West Broad Street Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone here in opposition to POD2014-00181, Saunders Station at Broad Hill Centre? There's no opposition. Mr. Pambid.

Mr. Pambid - This proposal is for 166 condominium units in 13 buildings within the Broad Hill Centre development. This plan of development, along with the companion case we just heard for the townhouses, is the next development within the Broad Hill Centre plans. Once these two approvals have been granted, two B-2C parcels will remain to be developed.

This approval includes both phases of construction for these condominiums. Phase one consists of 62 units and phase two consists of 104 units. The proposed condominiums front on the loop road opposite the Bon Secours BMP—illustrated here—and Medical Pavilion 2, which is immediately to the east here. Some buildings back up to the Goochland County line or a creek. The Goochland County line is found along the western edge of the property and the creek is along this curve here.

Staff has requested additional pedestrian connectivity to the remainder of the Broad Hill Centre development with crosswalks within the condo site and with Bon Secours across the loop road, as well as sidewalk and trail connections to the adjacent 320-unit apartment complex. This complex was previously reviewed as West Broad Street Apartments and only recently named Avia Luxury Apartments.

The proffers of zoning case C-18C-12 apply.

These units are generally four stories tall, are double stacked with the bottom units having the first two floors and the upper units having the top two floors. All have rearloaded garages. Three floor plans are proposed. There is an option for a three-story unit. The units will be accessed through the garages and a shared access at the front door.

The proposed colors of materials are in general conformance with the proffers of the zoning case. All units will feature some amount of brick on the front and side elevations,

and there are a few available front elevations that feature siding veneer. The various elevations contain other architectural features such as soldier courses, shutters, louvers, brick jack arches, keystones, and dormer windows. There is a proffer requiring 35 percent brick, stone, or stone veneer on the front elevations.

656

Staff recommends approval subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, and the additional conditions in your agenda. This concludes my presentation. I can now field any questions you may have regarding this. Again, engineer Rodriguez with Timmons Group and Rick Melchor of Atack Properties are also here to field your questions.

662

663 Mr. Leabough - Are there questions for Mr. Pambid?

664

665 Mr. Branin - I have none for Mr. Pambid, but I would like to hear from the applicant, if I may.

667

668 Mr. Leabough - Okay. Thank you, Mr. Pambid.

669 670

670 Mr. Pambid - You're welcome.

671

672 Mr. Leabough - Would the applicant please come forward?

673

674 Mr. Melchor - Hello. Rick Melchor with Atack Properties, and Roger /5 Rodriguez is with me.

676

677 Mr. Branin - Mr. Melchor, how are you today?

678

679 Mr. Melchor - Good.

680

Mr. Branin - I don't need to remind you that the proffers in the zoning case did not provide for vinyl siding.

683

684 Mr. Melchor - That's correct. Brick or HardiPlank.

685

686 Mr. Branin - Just wanted to make sure that everybody was on the same 687 page. Who's going to be doing the—

688

689 Mr. Melchor - We have not issued a contract. We have three different 690 builders interested. This plan is a Northern Virginia Ryan Homes plan.

691

Mr. Leabough - I'm sorry to interrupt you. Do you mind pulling the microphone closer? Thank you.

694

Mr. Melchor - This plan is a Northern Virginia Ryan Homes plan. This is in Maryland and Northern Virginia, but we have a couple other builders with similar plans, and they are all very interested.

698			
699	Mr. Branin -	I'm sure. This is an absolute fantastic location. I did recognize	
700	the elevation from the start, and that's why I just wanted to make it perfectly clear that		
701		nderstands that there will not be any vinyl siding on these	
702	buildings.	g and another than the same and the grant g	
703	Bananigo.		
704	Mr. Melchor -	Yes, sir.	
705	Will Wildians.	7.00, 0	
706	Mr. Branin -	Okay, fantastic. This is right up against—we're getting right	
707		ildings. This elevation shows brick. I'm hoping that we're going	
708	to mirror the elevations of		
709			
710	Mr. Melchor -	Yes, sir. This is the elevation that we want on the loop road,	
711		rick on it so that we have a similar quality of the Bon Secours	
712		ee a very beautiful group of buildings. We want that urban look	
713		s the plan on the loop road, yes sir.	
714	Willow time gives de. That is	o the plan on the loop load, yes on.	
715	Mr. Branin -	Okay. And we are going to have sidewalks and connectivity	
716	and paths and trails.	January Januar	
717			
718	Mr. Melchor -	Correct.	
719			
720	Mr. Branin -	That was the vision that we came in with.	
721			
722	Mr. Melchor -	There's also an area behind phase two, in between the	
723	apartments and us, that w	ill have some other passive type amenities as well.	
724			
725	Mr. Branin -	The green space that we were looking for.	
726			
727	Mr. Melchor -	Yes, sir.	
728			
729	Mr. Branin -	Okay. Those are the only questions.	
730			
731	Mrs. Jones -	Can I ask a quick question? As a practical matter, from an	
732		mmission, the stacked units, is there elevator access to the	
733	upper unit?		
734			
735	Mr. Melchor -	No, there are no elevators. They are all stair access.	
736			
737	Mrs. Jones -	For energetic young people. Okay. Just wondered.	
738	Mar Marlata an	And the notions of these services	
739	Mr. Melchor -	And I'm not one of them anymore.	
740	NA 1	Oliver	
741	Mrs. Jones -	Okay.	
742			

Mr. Leabough - Are there other questions from the Commission? If not, thank you sir. Appreciate it. Mr. Branin?

745

Mr. Branin - Well then Mr. Chairman, I think I would like to move that POD2014-00181, Saunders Station at Broad Hill Centre, be approved subject to the annotations on the plans, standard conditions for developments of this type, the following additional conditions #29 through #38, and Mr. Melchor's understanding that we're going to do lots and lots of brick with no vinyl.

751 752

Mr. Witte - Second.

753

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Branin, a second by Mr. Witte. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

756 757

The Planning Commission approved POD2014-00181, Saunders Station at Broad Hill Centre, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

760 761

762

763

- 29. Prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for any building in this development, the engineer of record shall certify that the site has been graded in accordance with the approved grading plans.
- 764 30. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-18C-12 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- A construction staging plan which includes details for traffic control, fire protection, stockpile locations, construction fencing and hours of construction shall be submitted for County review and prior to the approval of any final construction plans.
- 33. A note in bold lettering shall be provided on the erosion control plan indicating that 771 sediment basins or traps located within buildable areas or building pads shall be 772 reclaimed with engineered fill. All materials shall be deposited and compacted in 773 accordance with the applicable sections of the state building code and 774 geotechnical guidelines established by the engineer. An engineer's report 775 certifying the suitability of the fill materials and its compaction shall be submitted 776 for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Director of Public Works 777 and the Building Official prior to the issuance of any building permit(s) on the 778 affected sites. 779
- The submitted to the Department of Planning and approved prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for this development.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
 - 36. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.

8°

- 37. The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.
- 38. The pavement shall be of an SM-2A type and shall be constructed in accordance with County standard and specifications. The developer shall post a defect bond for all pavement with the Department of Planning the exact type, amount and implementation shall be determined by the Director of Planning, to protect the interest of the members of the Homeowners Association. The defect bond shall remain in effect for a period of three years from the date of the issuance of the final occupancy permit. Prior to the issuance of the last Certificate of Occupancy, a professional engineer must certify that the roads have been designed and constructed in accordance with County standards.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

POD2014-00165 Dollar General at 2536 New Market Road – New Market Road (State Route 5) Highmark Engineering, LLC for Bernard L. Mahone and Par 3 Development, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story, 9,100 square-foot retail store. The 1.88-acre site is located at the northwest corner of the intersection of New Market Road (State Route 5) and Gregg Road, on parcel 815-688-4303. The zoning is B-1C, Business District (Conditional), and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone here in opposition to POD2014-00165, Dollar General at 2536 New Market Road? There is no opposition. Mr. Ward, good morning.

Mr. Ward - Good morning. A revised site plan has been received providing the two raised landscape islands here in front of the eastern part of the building. The revised plan also reflects the proper right-of-way dedication and road improvements, as required by the County traffic engineer.

The lighting plan provides the parking lot, underneath the canopy, and along the fronts and sides of the buildings. In addition, the three decorative cone-type fixtures will be between the first row of parking and the Capital Bike Trail. Also, the applicant has agreed to better exhibit these faux recessed window panels along the western building elevation, to use a lighter brick to make those stand out more. Each elevation with the dormers and the gables that has the tan flat siding you see here is going to be more of a greenish tone. The applicant has agreed to that as well. Again, signs are not part of this approval.

With the changes on the plans and the annotations, staff can recommend approval of the plan of development as well as the lighting plan, standard conditions for developments of this type, all annotations, the added Condition #9 amended for landscaping to come back

to the Planning Commission, Condition #11B for the lighting plan on page three in the addendum, and conditions #29 through #38 in the regular agenda on page 23. I'm happy to answer any questions that the Commission may have of me. Bryant Gammon is here representing the applicant if you have any questions of him.

°25

. 46

Mr. Leabough - Are there any questions for Mr. Ward? All right. There being none, thank you, Mr. Ward. I would like to hear from Mr. Gammon. So if you would, please come forward. Mr. Ward, could you bring up the elevation, the rendering you just had? Okay. Thank you.

835 Mr. Gammon - Good morning. My name's Bryant Gammon. I'm with 836 Highmark Engineering here today.

Mr. Leabough - Good morning, Mr. Gammon. I'd like to thank you and the developer for the work that you put in during the rezoning process. We spent a lot of time with the site plan as well as the architecturals.

You understand the concern that we have regarding this elevation here or this wall section here. It's just a lengthy wall that's really primarily brick. So we would appreciate you all adding the additional windows and then adding the faux windows. The one thing that we thought about was if you're driving—which I think the speed limit's about forty-five miles an hour there. So driving by at 45 miles per hour it's pretty hard to see a recessed brick there. So that's part of the reasoning behind making sure that there's some sort of color variation so that it breaks up that wall section and breaking up the roofline as well. The other reason that we asked for the landscaping plan to come back is that we discussed during the rezoning process to make sure that that section, as well as the entire site, is very heavily landscaped to kind of break it up as well. A very prominent site along a very historic corridor. So we appreciate you making those concessions there.

I don't have any questions for you other than just thanking you for working with us on this project. And I'd like to ask if any other members of the Commission have any questions for Mr. Gammon. All right. If not, thank you sir.

Mr. Gammon - Thank you.

Mr. Leabough - With that, I would like to move that POD2014-00165, Dollar General at 2536 New Market Road, be approved subject to standard conditions for developments of this type, annotations on the plans, as well as the conditions as follows: #9 amended, #11B added, and #29 through #38 as noted on the agenda and on the addendum.

867 Mr. Witte - Second.

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mr. Witte.

All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved POD2014-00165, Dollar General at 2536 New Market Road, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- AMENDED A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 11B. ADDED Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
- 29. The right-of-way for widening of New Market Road (State Route 5) as shown on approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- The entrances and drainage facilities on (State Route) shall be approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation and the County.
- A notice of completion form, certifying that the requirements of the Virginia Department of Transportation entrances permit have been completed, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning prior to any occupancy permits being issued.
- A multi-use path meeting VDOT requirements and specifications of the Capital Bike Trail shall be provided along the north side of New Market Road (State Route 5).
- 898 33. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Virginia Department of Transportation maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by the contractor and approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation.
 - 36. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- 912 37. Except for junction boxes, meters, and existing overhead utility lines, and for technical or environmental reasons, all utility lines shall be underground.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case REZ2013-00022 shall be incorporated in this approval.

24

Planning Commission - POD

871

872

873

874875

876

877878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

906

907

908

909

910

911

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

POD2014-00157
Libbie Mill Phase 1D -
Staples Mill Road (U.S.
Route 33)

18 - 19

E.D. Lewis and Associates, P.C. for GGC Associates and Midtown Land Partners, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a two-story mixed use building consisting of approximately 22,511 square-feet of retail and restaurant space, 24,878 square-feet of office space and 137 parking spaces, as well as related site improvements. The 2.37 acre site is located in the future block bounded by Libbie Mill East Boulevard, Roux Street, Bethlehem Road and Staples Mill Road (U.S. Route 33). The site is located along the west side of Staples Mill Road (U.S. Route 33), approximately 200 feet south of Bethlehem Road, on part of parcel 773-740-5043. The zoning is UMUC, Urban Mixed Use District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Brookland)

		(Conditional). County water and sewer. (Brookland)
920	Ma Lashavah	In the second base in a second time to DODOO14 004E7. Likhin
921	Mr. Leabough -	Is there anyone here in opposition to POD2014-00157, Libbie
922	Mill Phase 1D – Staples M	/IIII Road?
923	Ma I I stabina	foff missenhaus 1 Per and Per conditionally in apposition to
924	Mr. Hutchins -	[Off microphone.] I'm not—I'm conditionally in opposition to
925	five stories.	
26	Mr. Loobough	So you are in enposition
927	Mr. Leabough -	So you are in opposition.
928 929	Mr. Hutchins -	[Off microphone.] I want to absolutely see what the plan
929	incorporated.	[On microphone.] I want to absolutely see what the plan
930	incorporated.	
931	Mr. Branin -	Okay. Sir?
933	Wii. Branin -	Okay. Oil:
934	Mr. Emerson -	This is a two-story building.
935		The id a the etc.) canaling.
936	Mr. Witte -	We'll call you up.
937		
938	Mr. Leabough -	So it's a two-story building not a five-story building. The next
939	POD is the five stories.	So are you in opposition to this POD which is a two-story
940	building?	
941		
942	Mr. Hutchins -	[Off microphone.] I'm not. I'm not in opposition to a two-story
943	building, no.	
944		
945	Mr. Leabough -	Okay. So you're not in opposition to this particular case.

June 25, 2014

Mr. Hutchins -

946 947

18

[Off microphone.] No.

949 Mr. Leabough - Okay. Thank you, sir. So there's no opposition. All right, 950 Mr. Kennedy, good morning.

Mr. Kennedy - Good morning. This POD would revise the UMU land bay master plan for Libbie Mill (Formerly Staples Mill Centre) to authorize construction of Phase 1D. Now it could be D or C, just depends on how it's submitted, but this is D. So this is the land bay plan and it has this building at this location.

Mr. Leabough - Mr. Kennedy, I'm sorry, I'm having a hard time hearing you. Do you mind speaking up and pulling the microphone closer?

Mr. Kennedy - This is consistent with a two-story mixed-use building. There will be retail on the first floor and office on the second floor. This building matches the building A, which is on the previous POD, Phase 1B, which is across the street.

The proposed Phase 1D building is generally consistent with Building B on the master plan, which was approved in the UMU master plan design manual, the UMU master plan for Libbie Mill itself, which contemplated construction of a mixed-use building with surface parking at this location. This is a typical floor plan. There's an area here for mechanical equipment. HVAC equipment and mechanical equipment and features will be enclosed within the building because this basically fronts on all sides. It's surrounded by access. So this building, like most buildings in the UMU, has to screen their mechanicals. There is actually an internal loading area as well.

Drainage and utilities for this project were submitted for previous review with Libbie Mill Phase 1A, which was this area. It was previously approved by the Planning Commission in February 2013. The developer has requested some minor revisions to the original annotations on the plans as well as some minor revisions to conditions #30, #31, and #33 in the agenda to clarify staff's intent. Staff has no objection to revise the annotations on the plans or to revise conditions as detailed on the addendum.

Staff recommends approval of the plan of development subject to the annotations on the plans as revised, standard conditions for developments of this type, additional conditions #29, #32, and #34 through #36 on the agenda, as well additionally revised conditions #30, #31, and #33 in the addendum. The revisions on the addendum primarily have to do with how we address parking. With that we recommend approval.

986 Mr. Leabough - Are there questions for Mr. Kennedy? No questions? 987 Mr. Witte, would you like to hear from the applicant?

989 Mr. Witte - I would, please.

991 Mr. Leabough - Would the applicant please come forward?

- ე93 Mr. Lewis -Good morning. My name is Monte Lewis. I'm with Lewis and Associates. We're the civil engineers. I also have Shane Finnegan here with Gumenick , 94 Properties if you have any other questions. 995 996 Mr. Leabough -Good morning. 997 998 999 Mr. Witte -Good morning, Mr. Lewis. I know we're been going back and forth about this parking situation. 1000 1001 Mr. Lewis -Yes, sir. 1002 1003 Mr. Witte -There doesn't seem to be adequate parking with the 1004 development the way it is at this time. We have revised Condition #33 that it will be 1005 addressed at time for CO. 1006 1007 Mr. Lewis -Yes, sir. 1008 1009 Mr. Witte -Do you see any problems with complying with that? 1010 1011 No, sir. The second POD that you will hear is the larger 1012 Mr. Lewis building. That will take a year to design the building and then probably a year and a half, 1013 two years to build it. By that time we'll have the parking study done. There's a parking 1014 study with the PUP that was before the Board. I think they backed that off in the timing 1015 so that we can catch up with some details on the statistics that the parking consultant has provided. But I don't see any problem with meeting that. If it becomes an issue, we 1017 can always design and build a parking lot to remedy the situation three years in the 1018 future. 1019
- 1021 Mr. Witte Excellent. That's what I wanted to hear. Thank you, sir.
- 1023 Mr. Lewis Yes, sir.

1022

1024

1026

1031

1033

- 1025 Mr. Leabough Other questions for Mr. Lewis? Thank you, sir.
- Mr. Witte No other questions. Mr. Chair, I move approval of POD2014-028 00157, Libbie Mill Phase 1D Staples Mill Road, as presented, subject to the annotations on the plans, standard conditions for developments of this type, additional conditions #29 through #36 with conditions #30, #31, and #33 revised.
- 1032 Mr. Branin Second.
- 1034 Mr. Leabough We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. Branin. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.
- The proffers and conditions approved as a part of zoning cases C-05C-07 and P-01-07 shall be incorporated in this approval.

- REVISED A construction staging plan which includes details for traffic control, fire protection, stockpile locations, and construction fencing and hours of construction shall be submitted for County review and prior to the approval of any final construction plans.
 - 31. **REVISED** -The developer shall install an adequate restaurant ventilating and exhaust system to minimize smoke, odors, and grease vapors. The plans and specifications shall be included with the building permit application for review and approval. If, in the opinion of the County, the type system provided is not effective, the Commission retains the rights to review and direct the type of system to be used.
 - 32. A note in bold lettering shall be provided on the erosion control plan indicating that sediment basins or traps located within buildable areas or building pads shall be reclaimed with engineered fill. All materials shall be deposited and compacted in accordance with the applicable sections of the state building code and geotechnical guidelines established by the engineer. An engineer's report certifying the suitability of the fill materials and its compaction shall be submitted for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Director of Public Works and the Building Official prior to the issuance of any building permit(s) on the affected sites.
 - 33. **REVISED** -The certification of building permits, occupancy permits and change of occupancy permits for individual units shall be based on the number of parking spaces required for the proposed uses and the amount of parking available according to approved plans. An updated parking schedule reflecting adequate parking shall be provided with each request for a certificate of occupancy.
 - 34. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- 1069 35. Except for junction boxes, meters, and existing overhead utility lines, and for technical or environmental reasons, all utility lines shall be underground.
 - 36. The drainage, utility, parking, landscaping, lighting, street and streetscape improvements shown on the Libbie Mill Phase 1A, and Phase 1B plans necessary for the development of the property in accordance with UMU and zoning design standards and code requirements shall be provided in accordance with those plans, prior to approval of a Certificate of Occupancy, unless otherwise approved by the applicable county agency and a bond is posted for any uncompleted improvements.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

1^85 ...66

> POD2014-00167 Libbie Mill Phase 1C – Staples Mill Road (U.S. Route 33)

E.D. Lewis and Associates, P.C. for GGC Associates and Midtown Land Partners, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24. Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a five-story mixed-use building consisting of approximately 37,000 square-feet of retail and restaurant space, 4,455 square-feet of office space, 327 apartments, and a twolevel parking garage with 216 parking spaces and a rooftop plaza, as well as related site improvements. The 3.01-acre site is located in the future block bounded by Libbie Mill East Boulevard, Roux Street, Seasons Mill Street and Libbie Lake East Street. The site is located approximately 250 feet west of Staples Mill Road (U.S. Route 33) and approximately 900 feet south of Bethlehem Road, on part of parcels 773-740-5043, 774-739-3999, 774-739-5750, and 773-739-8155. The zoning is UMUC, Urban Mixed Use District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Brookland)

1087

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone here in opposition to POD2014-00167, Libbie Mill Phase 1C?

1089 *}*0

Mr. Hutchins - [Off microphone.] That's the five-story building?

1091 1092

1093 Mr. Leabough - This is the five-story building, sir.

1094 1095

Mr. Hutchins - [Off microphone.] I'm not going say that I'm absolutely in opposition to it. I want to see what it looks like.

1096 1097 1098

1099

Mr. Leabough - Okay. Okay. Well we'll note that you're in opposition. And then if you decide to change your mind, we'll note that as well. Because you're in opposition, at this point I will ask that our secretary read our rules for public speaking.

1100 1101 1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. As you noted, the Planning Commission does have guidelines for the conduct of their public hearings, and they are as follows: The applicant is allowed ten minutes to present the request, and time may be reserved for responses to testimony. Opposition is allowed ten minutes to present its concerns. That is a cumulative 10 minutes. Commission questions do not count into the time limits. The Commission may waive time limits for either party at its discretion. Comments must be directly related to the case under consideration.

1108 1109

1110 Mr. Leabough - Thank you, sir. Mr. Kennedy.

1111

12

Mr. Kennedy - Yes, sir. Good morning again.

This POD would revise the UMU land bay plan for Libbie Mill (Formerly Staples Mill Centre) to authorize construction of Phase 1C which consists of this building here that I previously identified on the master plan as building number three. The building on the master plan is going to have a 75-foot height. There are several buildings that are considered for 75-feet in height. This is one of those buildings in the master plan. There are some other buildings that are located elsewhere that are actually higher, but they are not subject to review at this time. There is an opportunity to go that high in this development.

As indicated in the caption, Phase 1C consists of a five-story mixed-use building having approximately 37,000 square-feet of retail/restaurant space on the first floor on this side of the building. Above that first floor we have 327 apartments up to four floors. There is a two-level garage which is in the middle. It's considered a doughnut. The two-level parking deck does not have building above it. This is what the plaza will look like on top of the parking deck. So the first story of the building is actually eighteen feet in height. Within that eighteen feet the parking deck is set down two feet below. It's like a ground floor and mezzanine parking with this plaza above it.

The plaza itself is 36,000 square feet plus. It provides private open space for the tenants in the building. And there will be a pool and it will be a landscaped courtyard. The pool is shown here. The design hasn't been finalized, but this design shows you the intent of what is going to happen above the parking deck.

The proposed building is consistent with Building 3 shown on the proffered UMU design manual and the UMU master plan for Libbie Mill which contemplated construction of a mixed-use apartment commercial building at this location with structured parking. The building is intended to look like two warehouse buildings that were connected and converted to apartments. It's kind of like lofts. This is the north elevation here. It will face Libbie Mill Boulevard, which is the main entrance drive. The south elevation, which is here, will face Seasons Mill Street, which is actually where the Seasons Mill parking lot is primarily service areas. The side facing to the north is primarily retail space, but this side here has some service areas. Those service areas face the parking lot.

When you get to the east and west elevations, the west elevation faces the proposed library. So again, it has storefronts along the front. The east side of the building, which faces towards Staples Mill, is actually hidden with other office retail buildings. So a portion of the service is hidden by that building that actually faces service areas in the other building as well.

At this time, the developer submitted schematic floor plans and elevations for Planning Commission review and approval. Once the developer has completed their structural design of the building, they have agreed to submit the final design plans—including details primarily for screening of HVAC and mechanical equipment—back to the director of Planning to review for compliance with the design guidelines in the UMU district. Our concern there is just to make sure that all the ventilation equipment is actually screened

as the building has fronts on all four sides. It's within a block. It has sidewalks all the way around.

Staff is currently reviewing a PUP amendment. It will be presented for full review by the Planning Commission, approval by Board of Supervisors at a later date. In the meantime, the developer has proposed parking that is generally consistent with mixed-use and joint-use parking facilities, provisions of the zoning ordinance which were set forth in Section 24-96(2). In addition, the developer has agreed to certificates of occupancy not being issued unless they can demonstrate that sufficient parking in accordance with zoning requirements then in effect are provided. Sufficient parking is provided for the apartment building's use at this time. The additional parking required would limit tenant fit-up of the commercial spaces on the first floor unless adequate parking is then determined to be available. Given the developer's leasing schedule and the fact that there is future development starting in the area, there is a lot of open space where they can find parking. Staff believes they can satisfy parking at that time, so we have no objection to not having fully-designed parking at this time.

Drainage and utilities for the site were submitted for review and approval with Libbie Mill Phase 1A plans previously approved by the Planning Commission in February of 2013.

2د

Given the developer's agreement to submit final construction plans for compliance review with UMU design standards regarding mechanical equipment and their agreement to limit Certificates of Occupancy unless adequate parking is demonstrated, staff recommends approval of the proposed POD. The developer has requested some minor revisions to the original annotations on the plans and to the additional conditions #31, #32, and #34 in the agenda to clarify staff's intent. Staff has no objections to the revisions to the annotations or conditions at this time.

Staff recommends approval subject to the revised annotations on the plans, standard conditions for developments of this type, the additional conditions #29, #30, #33, and #35 through #38 on the agenda, and revised additional conditions #31, #32, and #34 on the addendum. Again, just to be clear, an updated schedule for reflecting adequate parking has to be provided with each request for certificate of occupancy. We will prove that the parking is available at that time. Since this is the very beginning of the project, there is plenty of time to provide that parking. There is plenty of room to provide that parking.

Here is the site plan just to show you. It will have typical street frontages on four sides and landscaping. If you have any questions, I'll be happy to answer them.

1199 Mr. Leabough - Are there questions for Mr. Kennedy?

Mr. Branin - Mr. Kennedy, would you go back to the picture of the amenity?

Mr. Kennedy - The plaza? Yes, sir.

1005			
1205	Mr. Branin -	What floor is that on?	
1206	IVII. DIAIIIII -	What hoor is that on?	
1207 1208	Mr. Konnody	That's actually on the roof of the parking deck.	
1208	Mr. Kennedy -	That's actually on the roof of the parking deck.	
1210	Mr. Branin -	Yes, but would floor would that be?	
1211	Wii. Brainii -	res, but would hoof would that be:	
1212	Mr. Kennedy -	That would be on the second floor of the building, technically.	
1213	The first floor building is—	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1214			
1215	Mr. Branin -	Is practically ground parking.	
1216		p	
1217	Mr. Kennedy -	Well, it actually has two levels. It's ground parking then a	
1218	•	g and then the plaza above it. The first story of the building is	
1219	18 feet in height. They tak	te the first level of the parking deck and go down two feet. That	
1220		area in height for the first floor to put in two levels of parking	
1221	decks. Two 10-foot high I	bays. Then when you get to the residential section, which are	
1222	the third through fifth stori	es, they will actually be looking down on the plaza. The pool in	
1223	the plaza will have to be b	uilt up, structurally stepped up four feet. Anyone could walk out	
1224	on that second story of the building—which is the first story of residential uses—out into		
1225	this plaza. So you wrap the	nis building with basically four stories of residential apartments	
1226	which will face this plaza.		
1227			
1228	Mr. Branin -	Great concept. Wish it was in Three Chopt.	
1229			
1230	Mr. Witte -	We're cutting edge in the Brookland District.	
1231			
1232	Mr. Branin -	I swear, I swear. I just said to the supervisor how come we	
1233	don't have a plaza like tha	it.	
1234	NA- 10/64-	I think it's anot	
1235	Mr. Witte -	I think it's great.	
1236	Mr. Leabough -	Sir, do you remain in opposition? I'm just asking do you	
1237 1238	remain in opposition, yes		
1238	remain in opposition, yes	or no.	
1239	Mr. Hutchins -	[Off microphone.] My name is Will Hutchins, and I own the	
1240	building at the corner—	[On microphone.] My name to vitil fraterime, and i own the	
1242	building at the come.		
1242	Mr. Leabough -	Sir?	
1244	Wii. Loabougii		
1245	Mr. Hutchins -	[Off microphone.] —off Libbie—	
1246		[csprision] on Election	
1247	Mr. Leabough -	Sir? Are you still in opposition?	
1248	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1249	Mr. Hutchins -	[Off microphone.] Well, yes I am.	
1050		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

1	Mr. Leabough -	Okay. Thank you. That's the only question I have. Mr. Witte,	
ı 1∠52	would you like to hear from the opposition first or—		
1253	•		
1254	Mr. Witte -	I would. Mr. Hutchins, please.	
1255	Mr. Loobough	Great thank you Go shood Mr. Hutchins	
1256 1257	Mr. Leabough -	Great, thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Hutchins.	
1258	Mr. Hutchins -	I have a building at the corner of Libbie, Crestwood, and	
1259	Spencer.		
1260			
1261	Mr. Leabough -	Can we get a map on that? I'm familiar with that building.	
1262	Mr. Hutchins -	Do you have a picture of it?	
1263 1264	IVII. MULCIIIIS -	Do you have a picture of it?	
1265	Mr. Leabough -	Mr. Hutchins.	
1266	Ü		
1267	Mr. Hutchins -	That's right. You don't have a picture of it to put up there. Or	
1268	do you?		
1269 1270	Mr. Leabough -	No.	
1270	Wir. Leabough	NO.	
1272	Mr. Emerson -	That's not going to show the building itself. It will show the	
1273	roof.		
. /4	Mariti dalla a	A form of the form this market was a supported to don't	
1275 1276	Mr. Hutchins -	A few years ago before this project was approved—I don't	
1276	remember this gentleman's face, but two people from this organization—one of them was an architect and I'd recognize him; I don't see him here at the present time—came		
1278		pproval of what they were planning to do. And they told me that	
1279		nere was going to be the cornerstone of what they're going to	
1280	•	it would be in conformity. It would be that kind of architectural	
1281		III, I certainly wouldn't object to that. And I don't object to it. But	
1282 1283		uildings, five stories high. It's not what I was expecting to see on cting to see something very architecturally pleasing. I just—and	
1283	five stories? I think that's out of conformity for that area. It overshadows everything.		
1285		,	
1286	Mr. Branin -	Mr. Hutchins?	
1287	Mr. Uutahina	It looks like to me they could if you could decign it so that	
1288 1289	Mr. Hutchins - everything was not flat o	It looks like to me they could—if you could design it so that n top I think that—I don't think that that is the kind of building	
1290	that we in Henrico County want to see more of. We would like to see things that are		
1291	more architecturally pleasing. And five stories. I'm for lowering the height of buildings.		
1292			
1293	Mr. Leabough -	Sir? Mr. Branin, I believe, has a question.	
1294	Mr. Branin -	Yes, I do. Mr. Hutchins, were you active? I know this case	
1295 6	went through zoning back	·	
U		· · · ·	

1297		
1298 1299	Mr. Emerson -	It was 2006.
1300 1301 1302	Mr. Branin - 2005. Were you active in a	In 2006. It was going through the process probably from all the community meetings that were going on?
1302 1303 1304	Mr. Hutchins -	No, I was not active.
1305 1306 1307	Mr. Branin - the architecturals and heig	Did you participate or come to zoning when they rolled out all hts of the building for all of this?
1308 1309	Mr. Hutchins -	I was not here. My wife—I believe my wife was here.
1310 1311 1312	Mr. Branin - architectural features since	Okay. This isn't a surprise. We've been looking at these 2006.
1313 1314 1315	Mr. Hutchins - surprised.	Well it's a surprise to me. I can tell you that. I'm very
1316 1317 1318	Mr. Branin - through the process and fo	Did you get any notification back 2006 that this was going or the community meetings?
1319 1320 1321	Mr. Hutchins - in Florida, but I think my w	I think we got notification. And I believe that at that time I was ife appeared.
1322 1323 1324 1325 1326	Mr. Branin - Okay. The reason I'm asking is, you know, this, like West Broad Village, like the project that just came through you saw on Broad Street, has multiple viewings and community meetings. And I was just surprised that now that it's underway that you're surprised.	
1327 1328	Mr. Hutchins -	I'm very surprised.
1329 1330	Mr. Branin -	This has been public knowledge since 2006.
1331 1332 1333 1334	Mr. Hutchins - were going to be five sto general public knew that.	Well I don't believe it was public knowledge that the buildings ries high, flat-top roofs. I didn't know that. I don't believe the
1335 1336	Mr. Branin -	Yes, sir.
1337 1338 1339 1340	•	Mr. Chairman, I would like to correct myself. It looks like the ut I would note that there was a full pattern book that came in ected all the proposed architecture at that time. And of course wners were notified.
1341 1342	Mr. Leabough -	Thank you, sir.

·^13

Mr. Hutchins -

Certainly not what was shown to me. I've had my speech.

1345 Thank you.

1346

44د،

1347 Mr. Leabough - Thank you, sir. Mr. Witte? Would you like to hear from the

1348 applicant?

1349

1350 Mr. Witte - I don't think we need to.

1351

Mr. Leabough - Okay. If not, I'll entertain a motion, unless there are other questions from the Commission. No other questions.

1354

Mr. Witte - Mr. Chair, I move approval of POD2014-00167, Libbie Mill Phase 1C as presented, subject to the annotations on the plan, standard conditions for PODs of this type, additional conditions #29 through #36 with revised conditions #31,

1358 #32, and #34. Oh, #29 through #38. My apologies.

1359

Mr. Leabough - Second. We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. Leabough. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

1363 1364

The Planning Commission approved POD2014-00167, Libbie Mill Phase 1C, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

36 1367 1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

1374 1375

- 29. In order to maintain the effectiveness of the County's public safety radio communications system within buildings, the owner will install radio equipment that will allow for adequate radio coverage within the building, unless waived by the Director of Planning. Compliance with the County's emergency communication system shall be certified to the County by a communications consultant within ninety (90) days of obtaining a certificate of occupancy. The County will be permitted to perform communications testing in the building at anytime.
- The proffers and conditions approved as a part of zoning cases C-05C-07 and P-01-07 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- REVISED A construction staging plan which includes details for traffic control, fire protection, stockpile locations, and construction fencing and hours of construction shall be submitted for County review and prior to the approval of any final construction plans.
- REVISED The developer shall install an adequate restaurant ventilating and exhaust system to minimize smoke, odors, and grease vapors. The plans and specifications shall be included with the building permit application for review and approval. If, in the opinion of the County, the type system provided is not effective, the Commission retains the rights to review and direct the type of system to be used.

- 33. A note in bold lettering shall be provided on the erosion control plan indicating that sediment basins or traps located within buildable areas or building pads shall be reclaimed with engineered fill. All materials shall be deposited and compacted in accordance with the applicable sections of the state building code and geotechnical guidelines established by the engineer. An engineer's report certifying the suitability of the fill materials and its compaction shall be submitted for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Director of Public Works and the Building Official prior to the issuance of any building permit(s) on the affected sites.
 - 34. **REVISED** The certification of building permits, occupancy permits and change of occupancy permits for individual units shall be based on the number of parking spaces required for the proposed uses and the amount of parking available according to approved plans. An updated parking schedule reflecting adequate parking shall be provided with each request for a certificate of occupancy.
 - 35. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
 - 36. Except for junction boxes, meters, and existing overhead utility lines, and for technical or environmental reasons, all utility lines shall be underground.
 - 37. Final building construction plans shall be submitted for review and approval by the Director of Planning in accordance with UMU and zoning design standards and code requirements.
 - 38. The drainage, utility, parking, landscaping, lighting, street and streetscape improvements shown on the Libbie Mill Phase 1A, Phase 1B and Phase 1D plans necessary for the develop ment of the property in accordance with UMU and zoning design standards and code requirements shall be provided in accordance with those plans, prior to approval of a Certificate of Occupancy, unless otherwise approved by the applicable county agency and a bond is posted for any uncompleted improvements.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

POD2014-00182 Grocery Store at 5221 Brook Road – Brook Road (U.S. Route 1) Balzer and Associates, Inc. for Makan Investments, Inc. and MVG Development, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to demolish an existing motel, and construct a one-story, 41,839 square-foot grocery store with pharmacy drive-through facilities and a detached fueling center. The 5.617-acre site is located on the east line of Brook Road (U.S. Route 1), the south line of Wilmer Avenue, and the west line of W. Seminary Avenue, on part of parcel 785-745-9803. The zoning is B-3, Business District. County water

and sewer. (Fairfield)

. .23

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone here in opposition to POD2014-00182, Grocery Store at 5221 Brook Road? There is no opposition. Ms. Crady, good morning.

Ms. Crady - Good morning. This development is for an approximately 40,000-square-foot grocery store tenant building to replace the Virginia Inn on Brook Road near Wilmer Avenue.

Until this morning, staff had not received confirmation that the proposed center point of ingress and egress along Brook Road had been approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation and the Department of Public Works, Traffic Division. The Henrico County standard entrance spacing is required to meet 250 feet of separation unless otherwise granted by waiver. As Brook Road is a VDOT-maintained right-of-way, the applicant submitted a request to VDOT to waive the spacing requirements to allow the proposed entrances to be approximately 205 feet apart. This portion of Brook Road does not contain a median and multiple entrances with curb cuts across Brook Road would also be in conflict with the proposed entrances along Brook Road. The waiver approval was received from VDOT this morning to permit this spacing.

_t5

With the granting of the VDOT waiver to the spacing requirement, the plan may need to be revised to adjust the number of egress lanes for each exit to Brook Road. The original plan, as you see here, showed two outgoing lanes for each exit to Brook Road—the central and south exits. The revised plan shows one point of egress for each of these center and south lanes. This is subject to change with the waiver approval.

The applicant has indicated that he is prepared to address these concerns with revisions during the construction plan approval process.

Other modifications to the plan include the addition of a median on Wilmer Avenue at the north exit of the site to preclude any type of left-turn movements so it would be a right-in/right-out for the Wilmer access, as shown here.

Right-of-way dedication and widening is required along the West Seminary Avenue frontage. This morning the applicant provided additional information to the traffic engineer to demonstrate that the provision will be made to the satisfaction of the Department of Public Works. The Department of Public Works, Traffic Division, will need to confirm that they can recommend approval to this plan of development based on these revisions. The applicant, while showing these improvements on the revised plan, is still pursuing a waiver to—or relief from the widening of Seminary Avenue.

Staff also expressed concerns with the orientation of the loading dock facing Brook Road. Staff has requested truck maneuvering information to demonstrate that the trucks will not encroach upon Brook Road as they maneuver to back into this loading dock at the rear of the building. In addition, staff requested that the loading docks be oriented to face West Seminary Avenue to alleviate the need for additional screening. Staff has

recommended that the landscape plan return to the Planning Commission for review and approval.

The original staff report indicated that several items were not submitted by the applicant as of the preparation date of the agenda. On Friday, June 20th, staff received fuel center kiosk elevations and floor plan as well as a revised canopy elevation, and renderings with color and material information for all sides of the building. The lighting plan now includes canopy lighting levels. The lighting levels have been raised throughout the site and have been confirmed to be concealed source to meet the general lighting guidelines. Staff has no objection to the lighting plan as revised herein.

While the revised plan submitted Friday provided adequate information for staff to complete its review, concerns regarding the building materials and design were not fully addressed with that revision. Architectural elevations were again revised and submitted by the applicant yesterday afternoon to include integral color CMU and stucco as the primary building materials. Three standing-seam metal accent features with pilasters were added to the north and west building walls facing the Wawa and the Brook Road frontage. A smooth CMU band was added to all building sides with the uniform base element of a darker integral color CMU. The rear elevation now includes a brick wall that was added to the top of the recessed loading dock area here and the compactor enclosure as shown here.

The fuel center kiosk has been revised to incorporate integral color CMU on the base of the kiosk building with vinyl vertical siding on the top portion. The canopy columns have been wrapped with integral color CMU with a smooth band as well to match the building. Architectural plans for the kiosk show that it will be externally accessed and open to the public, but we have been told it will be key access.

All architectural plans have been annotated to reiterate that the CMU proposed in these plans will be integral color and not painted. A detail for a pallet and recycling enclosure was provided as well. These materials are to match the building with integral color CMU.

At this time, staff has several issues that need to be clarified by the applicant and confirmed by the traffic engineer for the benefit of the Planning Commission. Should the Commission act on this request, staff recommends that in addition to the annotations on the plan, the conditions listed in your agenda, including nine amended, be accepted. The proposal will need a waiver of time limits for the architectural and plan revisions.

The applicant's representative, Chris Shust with Balzer and Associates, is here to answer any questions you may have of the applicant. And I am happy to answer any questions the Commission may have of me.

Mr. Leabough - Any questions for Ms. Crady?

Mr. Archer - Ms. Crady, could you briefly just summarize the things that we need or would like to see so that the Commission can understand what they are?

1-14 Yes. We would like to confirm with the traffic engineer that Ms. Crady -1-15 they have satisfactory information to recommend approval based on the improvements shown to them this morning on West Seminary Avenue, which would include the widening and right-of-way dedication shown on the revised plans that were not included in your addendum. Also, we would like them to analyze the truck maneuvering template to ensure that we will not have an encroachment into Brook Road with the truck activity. And then also to just give confirmation that they are in acceptance of the waiver provided by VDOT this morning before the meeting.

1524 Mr. Archer - Okay. Anybody else have questions?

Mr. Leabough - No, sir. Thank you, Ms. Crady.

1528 Mr. Archer - Thank you, Ms. Crady. I'd like to hear from the applicant, 1529 Mr. Chair.

1531 Mr. Leabough - Would the applicant please come forward?

,7

Mr. Archer - Mr. Shust, you have heard the things that Ms. Crady has listed that we have concerns about. I'd also like to reiterate the fact that so much of the activity that has occurred, that we have been able to sign onto, has been received in the last two or three days. So we haven't had much time at all to work on this. In fact, we are still behind the eight ball with some of the things that we would like to see improvement on. But at this point in time can you sort of answer the things that she summarized? In particular, we need to see—you said that you can demonstrate how the trucks could maneuver at the loading dock. So cover all of those things for us if you would, please.

1542 Mr. Leabough - Before you get started, just state your name for the record please.

Mr. Shust - My name is Chris Shust. I'm with Balzer and Associates. I'm the applicant's representative this morning. I also have the developer here this morning, Mr. Dan Vorkink with MVG Development. Mr. Archer, I am prepared to provide you with some clarification.

I do believe that Traffic can confirm receipt of clarification for West Seminary Avenue, that the right-of-way shown is correct and that the road widening is 18 feet from the center line of the road as requested. As Ms. Crady stated, we are still pursuing a request to reduce the pavement on West Seminary for multiple reasons, but we recognize that that is something that we will have to pursue with site plan development. If we are not able to achieve that, we will still move this project forward. I think that that was probably the first question. I believe that Ms. Smidler will be able to confirm receipt of the exception request approval as signed by Mr. Hawthorn this morning. I sincerely apologize for the late timing of that. We have been trying for a number of days to get that

out of VDOT. We were subject to their timing, not to our timing. So fortunately we were able to receive that.

I think that the next question probably was the movement of trucks in the loading dock. We will not be impacting Brook Road with our truck maneuvers. They will be coming in off of West Seminary, drive along the back of the building towards Brook Road, and then they will have sufficient room to maneuver back into the loading dock. And then they will exit the site from Brook—towards Brook Road.

I have two exhibits here. Let me open them. There are two different types of vehicles that will be accessing the site. There is a standard WB50 vehicle truck. That truck, as you can see, comes to the forward curb line. It's going to come in, maneuver along the loading dock wall, come to the curb line, and then be able to maneuver backwards and get into the loading dock.

In order to accommodate the simplicity of maneuver for the WB67 and to free up as much of the rear access aisle as possible, we have shown a small angle break here.

1577 Mr. Archer - Mr. Shust, can I interrupt for a second?

79 Mr. Shust - Absolutely, sir.

1581 Mr. Archer - To those of us who are not that learned, can you explain what a WB50 and WB67 are please?

Mr. Shust - I apologize. Thank you. There are two sizes of tractor-trailers that make deliveries to most grocery stores. One is 50 feet long and one is 67 feet long. So I'm showing the maneuvers for both of those vehicles. This one here is the 50-foot truck. This one is the 67-foot vehicle. As I said, rather than have the vehicles swing a little wider across the back drive aisle, we'll make a revision on the site plan resubmittal to open up this pavement here. And you can see I'm bringing the truck all the way off of the rear access drive. Then he can back in. He pulls forward once to straight up, and he shoots right back into the loading dock. I think Ms. Smidler can comment on that or confirm as necessary.

I believe, Mr. Archer, that those were the main points that were brought up that you asked if I could address. I'm happy to answer any other questions.

1597 Mr. Archer - Yes. There was a question also about the amount of stacking space to the pharmacy. Can you elaborate on that, please?

Mr. Shust - I can. Currently, we are providing almost 81 feet of stacking to the pharmacy, as shown right here. With the reconfiguration of the south and middle entrances to the facility to being two egress lanes and one inbound lane, we'll still maintain that nearly 81 feet of stacking. We also provide a bypass escape lane for vehicles to come out of the drive-through.

1195			
	Mr. Archer -	Did you indicate that there will be also be a drive-up space for	
1607	people who have prescriptions that might take some time to fill?		
1608	Mr. Church	There is adequate some for a vahiale to mult ferward if the	
1609	Mr. Shust -	There is adequate room for a vehicle to pull forward if the	
1610		the prescription is going to take too long, they also have the	
1611		to speak, and come into the parking lot if they need to. We	
1612	•	egress through here so they can get out and then get back into	
1613	the parking lot quite easily.		
1614 1615	Mr. Archer -	So based on our standards, how many automobiles would be	
1616	able to sit in eighty-one fee	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1617	able to sit in eighty-one led	et, approximately?	
1618	Mr. Shust -	We would be able to comfortably fit four vehicles in there.	
1619	TWIT. CHACK	The Would be uple to confinentably in roal verificion in there.	
1620	Mr. Archer -	As long as they're not WB50s.	
1621		The soling are used, so since a second	
1622	Mr. Shust -	As long as they're not WB50s. And the grocery store has	
1623	indicated that their prefere	ence is they need us to provide three, and we've provided the	
1624	one extra.		
1625			
1626	Mr. Archer -	Does anybody else have any questions?	
1627			
۔8	Mr. Witte -	I have one question. Is it actually called <i>The Grocery Store</i> , or	
1629	is there another name for i	t?	
1630			
1631	Mr. Shust -	I am actually bound by a non-disclosure agreement. As much	
1632		o discuss details of the end-user, I'm not permitted to. I can tell	
1633		I about coming to Henrico County. They're going to provide	
1634	_	ter, pharmacy, and a fresh look. But that is the extent of what	
1635	I'm able to discuss.		
1636	B.A. VACH		
1637	Mr. Witte -	Okay.	
1638	Mr. Loobough	I have a question regarding troffic	
1639	Mr. Leabough -	I have a question regarding traffic.	
1640 1641	Mr. Shust -	Yes, sir.	
1642	Wir. Shust -	165, 511.	
1643	Mr. Leabough -	I don't know if you asked this already, Mr. Archer, or not. I	
1644	<u> </u>	Chamberlayne Farms and I frequented the Wawa there. It is	
1645		and out of the Wawa now with the fueling stations and the	
1646	•	y have going in and out. This looks like it compounds the	
1647		e entrance even closer to that light at Wilmer and Brook. My	
1648	-	don't know how you're going to address that. You have a lot of	
1649	•	the west side of Brook?—of different business there, and you	

1650 1651		g to get out on Brook from Wawa and from this facility as all doing to mitigate some of those challenges?
1652 1653 1654 1655 1656 1657 1658 1659	with this proposal. We a which is servicing Wawa.	Subject to the items in the VDOT exception request the first is we're reducing the number of access points to Brook Road re maintaining the northern access point, which is right here, It really isn't necessary for the function of our site, but we are a seasement agreement. So we are maintaining that. We have
1660 1661	Mr. Leabough - as well?	Say that again? So the Wawa patrons can enter through that
1662 1663 1664	Mr. Shust -	Yes, sir, that is absolutely—
1665 1666 1667	Mr. Leabough - grocer to that entrance the	Okay. So you're going to add the Wawa and the unknown ere.
1668 1669	Mr. Shust -	Yes, sir.
1670 1671	Mr. Leabough -	Okay.
1672 1673 1674 1675 1676 1677	access easement at the provide cross-access throw we have done is we are p	The other thing that we're doing is in working through otion request with VDOT, we have agreed to provide a cross-southern entry point to serve this parcel to the south. That will ough multiple sites to allow for internal tripping. The other thing providing an additional point of egress onto Wilmer Road with a provide right-in/right-out maneuvers only.
1678 1679 1680	Mr. Archer - now with people running t	How far is that from the interstate exit, which is a challenge that yield sign?
1681 1682 1683 1684	Mr. Shust - reason for the request for	The interstate exit aligns with West Seminary. That's the the raised median from Traffic.
1685 1686	Mr. Leabough -	Okay.
1687 1688 1689 1690	around the perimeter of	And then we're providing the egress and ingress points on another point to help distribute traffic coming to the site evenly the site. So we're providing the patrons with multiple options they vary throughout the day.
1691 1692 1693	Mr. Leabough -	Thank you.
1694	Mr. Archer -	Anybody else? Mr. Branin?

1606	Mr. Dranin	This is just strictly a green, store and pharmacy, correct?
1696 	Mr. Branin -	This is just strictly a grocery store and pharmacy, correct?
1698 1699	Mr. Shust -	A grocery store, pharmacy, and we are providing fuel.
1700 1701	Mr. Branin -	And a tenant has been picked?
1702 1703	Mr. Shust -	A tenant has been picked and we are shovel-ready, sir.
1704 1705	Mr. Branin -	Okay. Is the tenant here?
1706 1707	Mr. Shust -	The developer is only here.
1708 1709	Mr. Branin -	The developer is?
1710 1711	Mr. Shust -	Yes, sir.
1712 1713 1714	Mr. Branin - the metro Richmond area	Okay. And is there currently one of these grocery stores in?
1715 1716	Mr. Shust -	Not currently.
1717 1718	Mr. Branin -	Not currently. Hmm. So it doesn't begin with a K or an M .
.9 1720 1721	Mr. Shust - the rights to claim the first	We would love to get this thing going and let the County have one in the greater Richmond area.
1722 1723	Mr. Branin -	Really? I'm so excited.
1724 1725 1726 1727 1728 1729	that for the ones that ma	Mr. Shust, this is one of the reasons why we're concerned g the first one, unnamed grocery store, we want to make sure ay follow we'll have a pattern that is decent and that we can em like we're asking a lot of questions, but that's really what it
1730 1731 1732 1733 1734 1735	elevate the standards and the tenant were able to r	Mr. Archer, I'm completely understanding of that point and I that Ms. Crady and Mr. Emerson have worked very hard to diparticularly the architecture. And gratefully the developer and neet what the requests were. I think that we have provided a he Brook Road corridor in this area.
1736 1737 1738	Mr. Branin - what the sign is?	Mr. Archer, how did you approve the signage if we don't know
1739 1740	Mr. Archer -	I'm sorry?
1740	Mrs. Jones -	Signage is not part of this.

1743 Mr. Emerson -

Signage is not part of this, Mr. Branin.

Mrs. Jones - You know that. I would like to ask a quick question. I understand there has been work done. This is not the practical side; this is more the aesthetic side. And I realize that you all have worked hard on the architecturals including the color and materials. I will say there is an incredibly warehouse feel to this. I didn't know whether there had been conversations about any kind of architectural details just to break up that mass. Right now it is a very non-descript building, in my humble view.

Mr. Shust - I think that recognizing your comment, this is probably more easily—and I'm not an architect—but probably easily characterized as urban architecture as such. Mr. Emerson and Ms. Crady worked diligently to try to break up that façade. And in doing so, we are providing that smooth face course that provides the line across the building. And also the anchor on the bottom below that smooth face course of block. We also have added this pilaster and standing seam metal roof element to help interrupt the length of the building. And then on the Brook Road side we've done a similar treatment. You have a color break that provides an interruption to the length of the building and almost—with the elevation change in the height, almost provides the feeling of two separate buildings. The adding of the pilasters and standing seam on the side here further that breakup in architecture.

Mrs. Jones - How about the east elevation?

Mr. Shust - The east elevation is facing the back of the existing shopping center building. And so we are providing a simple and plain elevation. That was actually partly supported by the police department for their security concerns. We are providing landscaping along the perimeter of the site. That's going to help. And we're obviously providing our internal parking lot landscaping. I think that the combination of the changes and improvements to the architecture with the landscaping are going to provide a very nice well-functioning building.

Mrs. Jones - Well, thank you for the comments. I know you've worked hard on this. I just feel if we're going to set a standard, let's see an exemplary one. I would like to see a little more, but if this is where we are, this is where we are. Thank you.

Mr. Shust - Thank you, Mrs. Jones.

Mr. Archer - I don't have any more questions right now, sir, but I would like to hear from Traffic, if I may.

Mr. Leabough - Could I just echo Mrs. Jones's concerns related to the architecturals? I actually leaned over to Mr. Emerson and said is this the highest standard for this type of project. I mean if this is the first one in our area, it seems like we're setting the bar fairly low. I'll just throw that out there. And the pilasters and the standing seam metal roof, it just doesn't look like it's integrated into the building. It just

1788 69 1790		That's just my two cents' worth. I was hoping that we would the in terms of architectural quality.
1790 1791 1792 1793	Mr. Archer - done.	We may have a way to deal with that some before we're
1794 1795	Mr. Leabough -	Thank you.
1796 1797	Mr. Archer -	Good morning, Ms. Smidler.
1798 1799 1800	Ms. Smidler - Engineering.	My name is Sharon Smidler, Public Works, Traffic
1801 1802 1803 1804	•	Ms. Smidler, you've heard the concerns that we've all had ing dock traffic, and maneuverability and so forth. Can you tell at you've seen so far or heard?
1805 1806	Ms. Smidler -	In regards to the truck maneuvering?
1807 1808	Mr. Archer -	Truck maneuvering and just the traffic in general.
1809 1810 1 1812 1813	•	Traffic in general. I saw the truck movements for the first time ceptable because the truck is not backing into or pulling into anneuvering. So that is acceptable to Public Works, Traffic
1814 1815 1816 1817 1818	recommend approval to	entioned earlier about whether Public Works, Traffic, could the Planning Commission. Public Works, Traffic, can the Planning Commission because we received the VDOT ng.
1819 1820	Mr. Archer -	Okay.
1821 1822 1823 1824		And they adjusted the right-of-way along West Seminary. So feet from center line, which is the necessary right-of-way for d on those two things, Traffic can now recommend approval.
1825 1826	Mr. Leabough -	Does the spacing approval, Ms. Smidler, meet your policy?
1827 1828 1829 1830	•	Brook Road, Route 1, is VDOT, so their spacing—they pacing. If Route 1, Brook Road, was a Henrico County roadway pacing, and the northern and middle entrances do not meet that.
1831 1832	Mr. Leabough -	So it doesn't.
3	Ms. Smidler -	It does not, sir.

834 835	Mr. Archer -	That's what I was waiting to hear.
836		
837 838 839 840	Mr. Emerson - ingress/egress do fall thoroughfare road—unde	Mr. Chairman, the spacing and the approvals of these within the purview of the Planning Commission—any major er the code.
1841 1842 1843 1844	was consistent upon wh	My understanding for VDOT approving the request was there nces and they're minimizing it to three entrances. VDOT felt it nat's along the rest of the corridor. One of their conditions of s the access easement along the southern property line.
1845 1846 1847	Mr. Leabough -	Are there other questions for Ms. Smidler?
1848 1849 1850	Mr. Archer - our options regarding ou	I have a question for Mr. Secretary. Mr. Secretary, what are rability to change the traffic pattern diagram?
1851 1852 1853 1854 1855 1856 1857	entrance or three entrar you'd have to understa reviewed you'd have to understand how that imp	Well, we'd have to sit down with the traffic engineer and look all approve or disapprove the plan or approve the plan with one nees; however you wish to adjust it. I think before you did that and the impacts on the site itself, which any option that you have input from the Traffic Engineering section of Works to pacts the overall flow within the parking area and within the road. day, I guess is what I would say.
1859 1860	Mr. Archer -	It is. Very difficult.
1861	Mr. Leabough -	Any other questions for Ms. Smidler? Thank you ma'am.
1862 1863	Ms. Smidler -	Thank you.
1864 1865 1866 1867 1868 1869 1870 1871 1872 1873	forward or more in some had thought about doing morning, I believe, we he to move forward with the	Mr. Chairman, before the meeting the secretary and I and is met to discuss some ways in which we might be able to move e direction with this today. I sort of had in mind one thing that I g, but I'm really concerned about this traffic issue. As of 8:25 this eard from the applicant indicating that there was a pressing need is as soon as possible, which I don't think should play a major we make today. I'm trying to figure out a way that we can work
1874 1875	Mr. Secretary, we talked explain how that would v	l about passing part of the plan with annotations. Can you sort of work?
1876 1877 1878	Mr. Emerson - defer the architecturals	Well, I think if you move forward as we discussed, you would and approve the site plan with the annotations and any other

1879

corrections that you feel appropriate based upon comments from Planning staff and

Public Works staff, which Ms. Crady went over that. Ms. Smidler and Ms. Crady have indicated that the right-of-way has been settled along Seminary, which was a major concern on my part after speaking with Mr. Yob yesterday afternoon and then again last night. So those items have been addressed. There are some issues with the expansion of Seminary; however, as noted by the consulting engineer, that will be the access route for the tractor-trailers coming in to deliver the goods to the grocery store, as it's been noted. I believe the widening of that road probably is going to be paramount. If that means moving utility poles then that means moving utility poles—

Mr. Archer - Okay.

Mr. Emerson - —in order to adequately address that. But if the right-of-way has been shown, that can be worked out during the construction plan phase. So you could move that forward.

Now it's also worth noting that there is an erosion sediment control plan that is under review now, and a demolition permit could be issued. So if you chose to defer the entire document for a week or whatever, it doesn't necessarily slow the progress of the site. It can be cleared; the erosion sediment control plans could be approved. But I believe we could comfortably recommend moving the site plan forward. In order to give the Commission more time to review the architecturals, which they just saw this morning, as you're aware, along with you, certainly you could break up the approval, defer one and send the other on.

Mr. Archer - Mr. Shust, I hope you understand what we're talking about here. I know time is of the essence to you, but safety and a lot of other things are of the essence to us. So my proposal at this point in time would be to approve the site plan, as the secretary said, and defer the elevation portion of it, not for a month but for two weeks to the zoning meeting. I think people really do need the opportunity to look at this and study it without just passing it and hoping that it comes to fruition. Or we could defer it all for ninety days and give everybody plenty of time.

Mr. Shust - Mr. Archer, I can't express to you enough your understanding of the predicament that we're in, and to the entire Commission for that matter. I appreciate your time in hearing us through on this.

I feel that we're bringing forward a good product, particularly a good site plan. And I believe we've addressed those issues in terms of safety that are necessary. While it pains me greatly to accept that, I have spoken with the developer. We are able to accept that, if we can have that two-week commitment to come back for approval of the elevations.

Mr. Archer - I have to also say a lot of this could have been avoided if the information that we needed could have been gotten to us in a more timely fashion. I mean we were receiving stuff after I arrived here this morning. It's just not the way to do it.

. 5

1926		
1927	Mr. Shust -	Mr. Archer, I certainly understand and recognize your
1928		willingness to help us advance this forward on the site plan
1929		eed with getting our site plan. There's a significant amount of
1930	•	bestos abatement and building demolition. I think we're doing
1931	a great service to the Cour	
1932	3	
1933	Mr. Archer -	That's not lost on us; we do appreciate that.
1934		, ···
1935	Mr. Shust -	Thank you. So to make it firm and clear, I can accept
1936	approval-a recommendat	ion for approval of the site plan.
1937	•	
1938	Mr. Archer -	And I might also note, just for the record, that this is in the
1939	Brook Road—what is that?	
1940		
1941	Mr. Emerson -	Enterprise Zone.
1942		
1943	Mr. Archer -	Enterprise Zone. Which does mean that you all get the
1944	•	ite a number of fees that would be not extraordinary, but not
1945	cheap either.	
1946		
1947	Mr. Shust -	Understood. I recognize that.
1948		NAC 1
1949		We're trying to compromise and bend a little bit and go both
1950	•	it, of course, is mandatory. We have to do that. So, anybody
1951	else have questions?	
1952	Mr. Dronin	Mr. Archer I know the auriceity of all of us on of course who
1953	Mr. Branin -	Mr. Archer, I know the curiosity of all of us on of course who
1954	•	And number two, to reiterate what you just said: It's not fair to loing a great justice for this County or not, really is irrelevant to
1955 1956		nave to make a sound decision on the safety and wellbeing of
1957		a Commissioner the morning of, and the other four of us who
1958		en have an opportunity until it's presented in front of us, is not
1959		fully whoever the mystical applicant is will be able to get their
1960		formation prior to—if this goes two weeks—Thursday?—that
1961		00 getting the information to make a decision then. I can tell
1962		the decision would be to deny it or defer it for ninety days
1963	,	o have such a big case thrown at us at the last moment.
1964	,	C
1965	Mr. Shust -	I hear you loud and clear. And I can assure you that that will
1966	not happen.	•
1967	• •	
1968	Mr. Leabough -	Other questions for Mr. Shust? If not, I'll entertain a motion,
1969	Mr. Archer.	
1970		

1071 All right, Mr. Chairman. I think we have time limits to waive, Mr. Archer do we not, on the plan that was submitted today? . /2 1973 Mr. Emerson -Yes, sir, you do. Well with those just on the elevations 1974 because you're going to defer the-1975 1976 Yes. Mr. Archer -1977 1978 Mr. Emerson -Do we need to waive time limits if you're— 1979 1980 I would think you would need both because of the Ms. Crady -1981 adjustments to the West Seminary Avenue right-of-way. 1982 1983 That's true. 1984 Mr. Emerson -1985 1986 Mr. Archer -Okay. Then I move to waive the time limits on the new plan that we received this morning. 1987 1988 Mrs. Jones -Second. 1989 1990 We have a motion by Mr. Archer, a second by Mrs. Jones. All 1991 Mr. Leabough in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes. 1992 1993 Mr. Archer -I move to approve the site plan with annotations and to defer the elevation portion for two weeks until the next zoning meeting. 1995 1996 Mr. Emerson -That's July the 10th. 1997 1998 1999 Mr. Archer -July 10, 2014. 2000 Second. We have a motion by Mr. Archer, a second by Mr. Leabough -2001 Mr. Leabough. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion 2002 2003 passes. 2004 The Planning Commission granted approval of the site plan for a plan of development 2005 and lighting plan for POD2014-00182, Grocery Store at 5221 Brook Road, and deferred 2006 the architectural plans to July 10, 2014, subject to the annotations on the plans, the 2007 standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the 2008 following additional conditions: 2009 2010 AMENDED - A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of 9. 2011 Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any 2012 occupancy permits. 2013 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site 2014 lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and 2015

- fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
- 2018 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- The right-of-way for widening of Brook Road (U.S. Route 1), Wilmer Avenue, and W. Seminary Avenue as shown on approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- The entrances and drainage facilities on Brook Road (U.S. Route 1) shall be approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation and the County.
- 2026 32. A notice of completion form, certifying that the requirements of the Virginia Department of Transportation entrances permit have been completed, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning prior to any occupancy permits being issued.
- 2030 33. A concrete sidewalk meeting VDOT standards shall be provided along the east side of Brook Road (U.S. Route 1).
- 2032 34. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- In the event of any traffic backup which blocks the public right-of-way as a result of congestion caused by the drive-up pharmacy facilities, the owner/occupant shall close the drive-up pharmacy facilities until a solution can be designed to prevent traffic backup.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Virginia Department of Transportation maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by the contractor and approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation.
- 2044 38. Evidence of a joint ingress/egress and maintenance agreement must be submitted to the Department of Planning and approved prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for this development.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

POD2014-00180 Bedford Falls at Hickory Grove – 11123 Nuckols Road

Bay Companies, Inc. for George Urban and Atack Properties, Inc.: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct 37 townhouse units for sale. The 5.66-acre site is located on the west line of Nuckols Road, approximately 465 feet south of its intersection with Hickory Park Drive, on parcel 747-770-3395. The zoning is RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

2064 2065

2162 233

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone here in opposition to POD2014-00180, Bedford Falls at Hickory Grove? There's no opposition. Mr. Garrison, good morning.

2066 2067 2068

2069

2070

2071

2072

Mr. Garrison - Good morning. The applicant is requesting approval to construct thirty-seven residential townhomes for sale and a lighting plan. Conditional approval for this subdivision was granted at the May 2014 Planning Commission meeting. Staff has not received any opposition to this request. The site plan and elevations submitted are consistent with the exhibits provided with rezoning case REZ2014-00010.

20732074

2076

15

The lighting plan submitted meets all technical requirements. Staff can recommend approval subject to the annotations on the plan, standard conditions for developments of this type, and added conditions #11B through #37 in your agenda.

207720782079

Rick Melchor and Dan Caskie with Atack Properties are available to answer any questions you may have. And staff is available for any questions that you may have as well.

20812082

2080

2083 Mr. Leabough - Any questions for Mr. Garrison?

2084

2085 Mr. Branin - I have none for Mr. Garrison.

2086 2087

Mr. Leabough - Okay. Would you like to hear from the applicant?

2088

2089 Mr. Branin - I would just quickly.

2090

2091 Mr. Leabough - Would the applicant please come forward?

2092

2093 Mr. Melchor - Members of the Commission, Rick Melchor of Atack 2094 Properties.

2094 2095

2096 Mr. Branin - Mr. Melchor, how are you again?

2098	Mr. Me	elchor -	Good.
2099			
2100	Mr. Bra	anin -	This elevation is HHHunt's? This is HHHunt that is going to—
2101			
2102		elchor -	They have not signed a contract with us, but that is their
2103	Rocke	tts Landing elevatior	n. The change from the Rocketts Landing product is that they
2104	have a	a—they're adding a	front-entry garage as opposed to the rear-entry due to the
2105	nature	of the site.	
2106			
2107	Mr. Bra	anin -	But are you feeling good about—
2108			
2109	Mr. Me	elchor -	Yes. We're in constant discussion with—
2110			
2111	Mr. Bra	anin -	Okay. The reason I'm asking is because Hunt is the
2112	develo	per of Twin Hickor	y. This is a very small townhome. I'm hoping that it will be
2113	envelo	ped into the Twin Hi	ckory Homeowners Association.
2114		•	·
2115	Mr. Me	elchor -	That has been one major part of the discussion, an
2116	annex	ation into that associ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2117			
2118	Mr. Bra	anin -	Good. That was the only question I had.
2119			• •
2120	Mr. Le	abough -	Are there questions for Mr. Melchor? No. Thank you, sir.
2121		•	
2122	Mr. Bra	anin -	Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that POD2014-00180, Bedford
2123	Falls a	at Hickory Grove, b	e approved subject to the annotation on the plan, standard
2124	conditi	ons for developmer	nts of this type, and the following additional conditions #11B,
2125		onditions #29 through	
2126			
2127	Mr. Wi	tte -	Second.
2128			
2129	Mr. Le	abough -	We have a motion by Mr. Branin, a second by Mr. Witte. All in
2130	favor s	say aye. All opposed	say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.
2131			
2132	The P	lanning Commissior	approved POD2014-00180, Bedford Falls at Hickory Grove,
2133	subjec	t to the annotation	s on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these
2134	minute	es for developments	of this type, and the following additional conditions:
2135			
2136	11B.	Prior to the approva	al of an electrical permit application and installation of the site
2137		lighting equipment,	a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and
2138		• • • • •	s and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated
2139		•	d included with the construction plans for final signature.
2140	29.	Prior to issuance of	a certificate of occupancy for any building in this development,
2141		the engineer of rec	ord shall certify that the site has been graded in accordance
2142		with the approved g	rading plans.

- 143 30. A concrete sidewalk meeting County standards shall be provided along the west side of Nuckols Road.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case REZ2014-00010 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- The owners shall not begin clearing of the site until the following conditions have been met:
 - (a) The site engineer shall conspicuously illustrate on the plan of development or subdivision construction plan and the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan, the limits of the areas to be cleared and the methods of protecting the required buffer areas. The location of utility lines, drainage structures and easements shall be shown.
 - (b) After the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan has been approved but prior to any clearing or grading operations of the site, the owner shall have the limits of clearing delineated with approved methods such as flagging, silt fencing or temporary fencing.
 - (c) The site engineer shall certify in writing to the owner that the limits of clearing have been staked in accordance with the approved plans. A copy of this letter shall be sent to the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works.
 - (d) The owner shall be responsible for the protection of the buffer areas and for replanting and/or supplemental planting and other necessary improvements to the buffer as may be appropriate or required to correct problems. The details shall be included on the landscape plans for approval.
- 2167 33. Evidence of a joint ingress/egress and maintenance agreement must be submitted to the Department of Planning and approved prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for this development.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- 2175 35. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.
- 37. The pavement shall be of an SM-2A type and shall be constructed in accordance 2180 with County standard and specifications. The developer shall post a defect bond 2181 for all pavement with the Department of Planning - the exact type, amount and 2182 implementation shall be determined by the Director of Planning, to protect the 2183 interest of the members of the Homeowners Association. The defect bond shall 2184 remain in effect for a period of three years from the date of the issuance of the 2185 final occupancy permit. Prior to the issuance of the last Certificate of Occupancy, 2186 a professional engineer must certify that the roads have been designed and 2187 38 constructed in accordance with County standards.

2150

2151

2152

2153

2154

2155

2156

2157

2158

2159

2160

2161

21622163

2164

2165

^	100
7	אאו

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, the next item on your agenda will be the consideration of the approval of your minutes.

Mr. Archer - Mr. Emerson, may I interrupt here. Before we move to that, could I just make an observation for the rest of the members of the commission?

2196 Mr. Leabough - Yes.

Mr. Archer - Okay. If you look at the agenda for this morning, about 70, 80, maybe 90 percent of the cases have the wording "staff recommendation will be made at the meeting." It's not the fault of the staff, but I think it's almost directly related to the tardiness of information that we're receiving from the development community. And I think we need to make a loud statement someway concerning our inability to make proper decisions because we don't have information until the meeting starts.

Mrs. Jones - Hear, hear.

 Mr. Leabough - I echo that. And I think Mr. Branin has brought that up before, but he's addressed directly with applicants. But I happened to be caught up in traffic today. And when I got here, I had a stack of addendums and plans. And I had no time to absorb and digest what I had presented before me. So I agree with what you're saying, and I think that something does need to be done in terms of sending a message to the applicants, the development community that if you don't submit your materials on time, then we'll just defer.

Mr. Branin - Mr. Emerson and I had this discussion yesterday evening.
And I said, you know, I started smacking developers and saying well, you know, you're
late so I'm going to defer it out. There is always that one time with some last-minute
single—

Mr. Archer - That can happen, yes.

Mr. Branin - But with the economic atmosphere out there, really, no one can get their work done on time? We used to be able to process, and the engineering community and the development community were processing projects twice as fast in six and seven with twice as much coming down the line. We can make a decision amongst ourselves that if we don't have ample time to just simply say I really haven't had enough time to review this because of it being late. There is no need to waive time limits. We'll see this next month. I just hope that—the end result to that may be now we're overwhelming our staff because we have next month's. But until they scream uncle, I don't see why we shouldn't. And I think the development community may pick up on it pretty darn quick.

Mr. Archer - Well, Mr. Branin, what I was thinking about, I'm wondering—and I'm open to a suggestion. But if there's some sort of way we can send a mass

communication to the people that we deal with on this basis normally so that they all will have heard what it is we're trying to say. I started to mention it this morning before the meeting, but I said no, everybody's not here. But I just think it needs to be communicated. It puts folks through an exceptional amount of extra work. I was talking to Ms. Crady last night at 8:00 while she was trying to feed her baby.

Mrs. Jones - That's not right.

2243 Mr. Leabough - It's not fair to any of us.

2245 Mrs. Jones - No, it's not.

Mr. Leabough - And I know for me, as well as the rest of you all, this is my part-time job not my full-time job. So I'm having to stay up later and, you know. The other thing is that it's a pattern with some of the applicants. It's not that it's all of them. It's just some of the applicants that you notice consistent patterns that they're not getting the information on time.

The other thing that I'm noticing and that I'm getting frustrated with is babysitting cases. Finding out where they are in their process and having to call staff so staff can call the applicant to find out where they are with things. In particular the one case that I deferred, I don't think we heard from the applicant in about a month. So we had to call the applicant to say hey, this is on the agenda, where is the information. And I don't feel it's the staff's responsibly or mine to babysit them to make sure that they know when to get their information to us.

So I'm glad you brought that up. And I hope that we can send some communication to them, but as well defer cases that are not ready to be approved by this Commission.

Mr. Archer - I just want to make sure that they understood before they even get anything from us in terms of a deferment that this is what's going to happen if it continues. We have more stuff to do, as Mr. Emerson will tell you, with some of the same characters. And hopefully this message will bleed over to that.

Thanks for the interruption; I appreciate it.

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, with that said the next item on your agenda is consideration of the approval of your minutes from the May 28, 2014 meeting. You do have an errata sheet containing corrections the staff received prior to the meeting. And of course we'll make any other corrections that you may have found subsequently.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: May 28, 2014

Mr. Leabough - All right. Are there additional corrections to the minutes? If not, I'll entertain a motion.

2281	Mr. Branin -	Move to approve the minutes including the errata sheet.	A
2282 2283	Mrs. Jones -	Second.	4,
2284 2285 2286	Mr. Leabough - in favor say aye. All oppos	We have a motion by Mr. Branin, a second by Mrs. Jones. All sed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.	
2287 2288	The Planning Commission	approved the May 28, 2014 minutes as correct.	
2289 2290	Mr. Leabough -	Are there any other items for our agenda today?	
2291 2292 2293	Mr. Witte - have the minutes of the G	I have a question for Mr. Emerson. Would it be possible to rocery Store case included in the packet for—	
2294 2295	Mr. Emerson -	For the tenth?	
2296 2297 2298	Mr. Witte -	—the tenth.	
2299 2300 2301 2302	for this meeting at the lat	We can try. We'll make every effort to do that for you. If form, and they would be part of your approval of the minutes are July meeting. But we'll make every effort to see if we can't you, transcribed and in the package.	
2303 2304 2305	Mr. Witte - prior to the meeting. There	Thank you. Me personally, I would like to review all of that e was a lot of information.	
2306 2307 2308 2309	Mr. Emerson - transcribe it, and get it to minutes. That'll be just for	We'll do our best. We can probably pull that section, and you. But then we would just include it your regular approval of information purposes.	
2310 2311	Mr. Witte -	Thank you.	
2312 2313	Mr. Emerson -	We'll do our best. I think we can do that.	
2314 2315 2316	Mr. Leabough - word. Just hitting the high	Just having to—trying to avoid having to type every single lights, that's all.	
2317 2318 2319	Mr. Emerson -	Appreciate that.	
2320 2321	Mr. Leabough -	If there's nothing else, I'll entertain a motion for adjournment.	
2322 2323	Mr. Archer -	So moved.	
2324 2325	Mr. Witte -	Second.	
2326	Mr. Leabough -	All right. This meeting's adjourned. Thank you.	-

2327		
	Mr. Witte -	Thank you, staff.
2329		
2330		
2331		0
2332		
2333		
2334		Mr. Eric Leabough, Chairman.
2335		
2336		
2337		
2338		
2339		
2340		
2341		My D. Joseph Emerson, Jr., Secretary
2342		

PLANS OF DEVELOPMENT

A. Standard Conditions for all POD's:

- 1. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water and sewer. (when the property is served by public utilities)
- 1A. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water. The well location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public water system when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public water)
- 1B. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public sewer. The septic tank location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public sewer when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public sewer)
- 2. The Director of the Department of Public Utilities shall approve the plan of development for construction of public water and sewer, prior to beginning any construction of these utilities. The Department of Public Utilities shall be notified at least 48 hours prior to the start of any County water or sewer construction.
- 3. The parking lot shall be subject to the requirements of Chapter 24, Section 24-98 of the Henrico County Code.
- 4. The parking spaces shall be marked on the pavement surface with four-inch-wide traffic painted lines. All lane lines and parking lines shall be white in color with the exception that those dividing traffic shall yellow.
- 5. Sufficient, effectively usable parking shall be provided. If experience indicates the need, additional parking shall be provided.
- 6. Curb and gutter and necessary storm sewer shall be constructed as shown on approved plans.
- 7. The plan of development plan shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan dated **July 23**, **2014**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if details were fully described herein. Eight (8) sets of revised plans, including the detailed drainage, erosion control and utility plans, shall be submitted by the design engineer who prepared the plans to the Department of Planning for final review. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. Two (2) sets of the approved plan shall be attached to the building permit application. (**Revised January 2008**)
- 8. Two copies of an Erosion and Sediment Control Agreement with required escrow shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works. Approval is required prior to construction plan approval and beginning construction. The Department of Public Works shall be notified at least 24 hours prior to the start of any construction.
- 9. A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.

- 9. **AMENDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 10. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced no later than the next planting season.
- 11. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and approval.
- 11. **AMENDED** Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including depictions of light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and Planning Commission approval.
- 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature. (For POD which includes lighting plan approval)
- 12. All exterior lighting shall be designed and arranged to direct the light and glare away from nearby residential property and streets.
- 13. The site, including the parking areas, shall be kept clean of litter and debris on a daily basis. Trash container units/litter receptacles and recycling containers shall be maintained with regular pickups scheduled and shall be screened properly on all four sides. The gate(s) shall remain closed except when the receptacle(s) are being filled or serviced and shall be repaired or replaced as necessary. Details shall be included with the final site plan or required landscape plan for review and approval.
- 14. Required fire lanes shall be marked and maintained in accordance with the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code.
- 15. Traffic control signs shall be provided as indicated on the Department of Planning Staff plan. All signs shall be fabricated as shown in The National Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways.

 Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways.
- 16. The assigned property number(s) shall be displayed so it is easily readable from the street. If assistance is needed with the address, please contact the Department of Planning at 501-4284. The Planning Department must assign all property addresses. (Revised January 2008)
- 17. The owner shall have a set of plans approved by the Director of Public Works, Public Utilities and Secretary of the Planning Commission available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 18. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.

- 19. Upon completion of the improvements and prior to the certification of the permanent occupancy permit, the owner shall furnish a statement by the engineer or land surveyor who prepared the POD plan, to the effect that all construction including water and sewer is in conformance to the regulations and requirements of the POD.
- 20. The approved Plan of Development is granted by the Planning Commission only to the owners(s)/applicant(s) listed on the Plan of Development application on file for this project. Upon written notification to the Director of Planning, the Plan of Development approval may be transferred to subsequent owner(s) subject to approval by this Commission (Revised July 2007).
- 21. Vehicles shall be parked only in approved and constructed parking spaces.
- 22. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 23. The site, including paving, pavement markings, signage, curb and gutter, dumpster screens, walls, fences, lighting and other site improvements shall be properly maintained in good condition at all times. Any necessary repairs shall be made in a timely manner.
- 24. The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- 25. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations shall be included on the final construction plans for approval by the Department of Public Utilities prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 26. Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- 27. The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- 28. Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- 29. (Start of miscellaneous conditions)

STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR LANDSCAPE /LIGHTING/FENCE PLANS

- 1. The plan shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **July 23, 2014,** which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein. **Five (5)** sets of **prints** of the revised plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval stamps and distribution.
- 2. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 3. The owner shall have a set of approved plans available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 4. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the normal planting season. (**DELETE IF NO LANDSCAPING**)
- 5. All exterior lighting shall be shielded to direct lights away from adjacent residential property and streets. (**DELETE IF NO LIGHTING**)
- 6. All fences, walls, and screens, including gates and doors, shall be maintained in good repair by the owner. Trash and debris should not be allowed to accumulate along the fence or wall. (DELETE IF NO FENCE, WALL, OR DUMPSTER SCREEN)

B. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Zero Lot Line Developments shall apply:

- 29. Roof edge ornamental features that extend over the zero lot line, and which are permitted by Section 24-95(i)(1), must be authorized in the covenants.
- 30. Eight-foot easements for construction, drainage, and maintenance access for abutting lots shall be provided and shown on the POD plans.
- 31. Building permit request for individual dwellings shall each include two (2) copies of a layout plan sheet as approved with the plan of development. The developer may utilize alternate building types providing that each may be located within the building footprint shown on the approved plan. Any deviation in building footprint or infrastructure shall require submission and approval of an administrative site plan.
- 32. Windows on the zero lot line side of the dwelling can only be approved with an exception granted by the Building Official and the Director of Planning during the building permit application process.

C. Standard Conditions for Approval of All Dry Cleaners and Laundries in Addition to Item A:

29. The dry cleaning establishment shall use only non-inflammable cleaning solvents and have fully enclosed cleaning and solvent reclamation processes and fully enclosed pressing equipment with no outside steam exhaust.

D. In addition to Item A, the Following Conditions for Approval of All Shopping Centers Shall Apply:

- 29. Only retail business establishments permitted in a **zone** may be located in this center.
- 30. The ground area covered by all the buildings shall not exceed in the aggregate 25 percent of the total site area.
- 31. No merchandise shall be displayed or stored outside of the building(s) or on sidewalk(s).

E. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Multi-Family Shall Apply:

- 29. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- 30. The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.

F. In addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Service Station Developments Shall Apply:

- 29. This business shall not remain in operation after midnight and no exterior signs shall remain lighted after (12:00 midnight B-1) (1:00 o'clock a.m. B-2) (no limit B-3).
- 30. No merchandise shall be displayed outside of the building except that oil racks will be allowed on the pump islands.
- 31. This service station shall be used only for the sale of petroleum products and automobile accessories and parts. It shall not be used to sell or rent camping trailers, nor as a base of operation for truck fleets or fuel oil delivery or other such use that is not strictly a service station operation.
- 32. Only light repair work shall be allowed at this station, including motor tune-up, brake, generator, ignition, and exhaust repairs, and wheel balancing. The only work that can be performed outside the building is those services that are normally furnished at the pump island and the changing of tires.
- 33. No wrecked automobiles, nor automobiles incapable of being operated, shall be kept on the premises.
- 34. The prospective operator of this station shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.

G. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A

B-2 ZONE

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. There shall be no exterior display of merchandise except on pump islands and on paved walkway areas within three (3) feet of building.
- 31. Lighting fixtures shall not exceed a height greater than twenty (20) feet.
- 32. No temporary storage of wrecked or inoperative vehicles or rental of vehicles, trailer campers, vans or similar equipment shall be permitted.
- 33. Not more than two (2) electronic amusement games shall be permitted.
- 34. Not more than two (2) vending machines for food and beverage and similar merchandise shall be permitted on the premises outside of an enclosed building.
- 35. The prospective operator of this facility shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.
- 36. The landscaping plan shall include details for screening of refuse containers and refuse storage facilities in accordance with Section 24-61(i).
- 37. Refuse containers or refuse storage facilities shall be serviced during business hours only.
- 38. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way.
- 39. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

H. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A

B-3 ZONE

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)
- 31. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

SUBDIVISION - CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

<u>Standard Conditions for Conventional Subdivisions Served By Public Utilities</u> <u>Public Water and/or Sewer</u> (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water. (Substitute condition 5A if well)
- 5A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer. (Substitute condition 6A if on site sewage disposal/septic)
- 6A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>July 23, 2014</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.

- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>July 22, 2015</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements as applicable, and design considerations.
- 12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

<u>Standard Conditions for Conventional Subdivisions Not Served By Public Utilities</u> (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage and erosion control plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, fifteen (15) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 7. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>July 23, 2014</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 8. This approval shall expire on <u>July 22, 2015</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 9. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 10. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements and design considerations.

11. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

Standard Conditions for Residential Townhouse for Sale (RTH) Subdivisions\ (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>July 23, 2014</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>July 22, 2015</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.

- 12. A draft of the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review, prior to final approval. The proposed Homeowners Association for the project shall be responsible for the exterior maintenance of all buildings and grounds.
- 13. All block corners shall be monumented and referenced, where possible, to the exterior boundaries of the site
- 14. The record plat shall contain a statement that the common area is dedicated to the common use and enjoyment of the homeowners of (name of subdivision) and is not dedicated for use by the general public. This statement shall refer to the applicable article in the covenants recorded with the plat.

Standard Conditions for Zero Lot Line Subdivisions (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>July 23, 2014</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>July 22, 2015</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change may be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.

12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers and Chesapeake Bay Act Areas.

SUBDIVISION - CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

<u>Standard Conditions for Conventional Subdivisions Served By Public Utilities</u> <u>Road Dedication (No Lots)</u> (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>July 23, 2014</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>July 22, 2015</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.