Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of Henrico County,
 held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and
 Hungary Springs Roads beginning at 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, October 28, 2009.

Members Present:	Mrs. Bonnie-Leigh Jones, Chairperson (Tuckahoe) Mr. Ernest B. Vanarsdall, C.P.C., Vice-Chairperson (Brookland) Mr. E. Ray Jernigan, C.P.C., (Varina) Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C. (Fairfield) Mr. Tommy Branin (Three Chopt) Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, Secretary Mr. James B. Donati (Varina) Board of Supervisors Representative
Others Present:	Mr. David D. O'Kelly, Assistant Director of Planning Ms. Leslie A. News, CLA, Principal Planner Mr. Kevin D. Wilhite, C.P.C., AICP, County Planner Mr. Michael F. Kennedy, County Planner Mr. Tony Greulich, C.P.C., County Planner Mr. Gregory Garrison, County Planner Mr. Lee Pambid, C.P.C., County Planner Mr. Lee Pambid, C.P.C., County Planner Mr. Tommy Catlett, Assistant Traffic Engineer Ms. Kim Vann, Henrico Police Ms. Holly Zinn, Recording Secretary

6 Mr. James B. Donati, the Board of Supervisors' representative, abstains from 7 voting on all cases unless otherwise noted.

9 Mrs. Jones - I'd like to call this meeting of the Planning Commission to 10 order and ask that you rise for the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

Thank you. Good morning. Welcome to the October 28, 2009 Subdivisions and Plans of
 Development meeting for the Henrico County Planning Commission. I'm happy to see
 folks with us this morning; glad you're here. Thank you for taking the time to come.

I would like to ask that everybody mute or turn off their cell phones as a courtesy to
 other folks.

18

24

5

8

11

4

I'd like to welcome Mr. Donati, who is the supervisor from the Varina District who sits
with us this year on the Planning Commission representing the Board of Supervisors.
Also, Katherine Calos is here from the *Times-Dispatch*. We welcome her. All of our
Commissioners are with us. So, with that, I'd like to turn the meeting over to our
Commission Secretary, Mr. Emerson.

Mr. Emerson - Thank you, Madam Chair. The first item on your agenda today is the request for deferrals and withdrawals. Those will be handled by Ms. Leslie News. 28 29 Ms. News -Good morning, Madam Chair, members of the Commission. 30 Mr. Vanarsdall -Good morning, Ms. News. 31 32 We have four items on our list for deferrals and withdrawals. Ms. News -33 The first item on your list, which is on page 6 and located in the Tuckahoe District, is 34 transfer of approval for POD-71-82, Financial Services Office. They have requested to 35 be taken off of the list of deferrals. The applicant has withdrawn their request for 36 deferral, and we will be placing that on the expedited agenda at the Commissioner's 37 request. We'll get to that when we get there. 38 39 Mrs. Jones -Thank you, Ms. News. 40 41 42 Ms. News -The second item is found on page 14 of your agenda and is located in the Brookland District. This is POD-41-06, a reconsideration of a condition of 43 the Kroger Fuel Center. The applicant is requesting a deferral to November 18, 2009. 44 45 46 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT 47 POD-41-06 Balzer and Associates, Inc. for Kroger Limited POD2009-00348 Partnership I c/o Kroger Mid-Atlantic: Request to Reconsideration: amend a condition of approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico Kroger Fuel Center – 9480 W. Broad Street -County Code, relating to outdoor display of merchandise (Reconsideration of POD at a fuel center in an existing shopping center. The 0.31-Condition) acre site is located on the north side of W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250), approximately 800 feet east of Old Springfield Road, at 9480 W. Broad Street, on part of parcel 756-758-4127. The zoning is B-2, Business District. County water and sewer. (Brookland) 48 Mrs. Jones -Is there anyone with us this morning in opposition to the 49 deferral of POD-41-06, Reconsideration: Kroger Fuel Center? 50 51 52 Mr. Vanarsdall -Well, Madam Chair, I would like to know if the representative of this case is here. 53 54 55 Mrs. Jones -Is the applicant here? No. 56 Well, if he's not here, then I don't want to talk to him. So, I'll Mr. Vanarsdall -57 go ahead. I move that POD-41-06, Reconsideration: Kroger Fuel Center, be deferred 58 to November 18, 2009, at the applicant's request. 59 60 Mr. Branin -Second. 61 62

Mrs. Jones - Motion by Mr. Vanarsdall, seconded by Mr. Branin. All in
 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

66 At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred POD-41-06, 67 Reconsideration: Kroger Fuel Center, to its November 18, 2009 meeting.

68

69 Ms. News - The next item is found on page 15 of your agenda and is 70 located in the Brookland District. This is POD-23-09, AutoZone, and the applicant has 71 requested a deferral to the November 18, 2009 meeting.

72

73 **PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT (Deferred from the September 23, 2009 Meeting)** 

74

75

78

81

83

POD-23-09 POD2009-00291 AutoZone – 9450 W. Broad Street **Dewberry and Davis, Inc. for Woodhouse Family Limited Partnership and Autozone, Inc.**: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story 7,147 square foot retail building in an existing shopping center. The 1.00-acre site is located on the north line of W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250), approximately 600 feet east of Old Springfield Road, on parcel 756-757-2974. The zoning is B-2, Business District. County water and sewer. **(Brookland)** 

Mrs. Jones - Is there anyone with us this morning in opposition to the deferral of this case, POD-23-09, AutoZone?

Mr. Vanarsdall - I move POD-23-09, AutoZone, be deferred at the applicant's
 request to November 18, 2009.

82 Mr. Branin - Second.

Mrs. Jones - Motion by Mr. Vanarsdall, seconded by Mr. Branin. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred POD-23-09, AutoZone, to its November 18, 2009 meeting.

89

Ms. News - The final item is on page 16 of your agenda and is located in the Three Chopt District. This is Pouncey Place, Phase 1. The applicant has requested a deferral to the November 18, 2009 meeting.

93

#### 94 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND MASTER PLAN

95 (Deferred from the September 23, 2009 Meeting)

96

POD-41-07 Bay Design Group, P.C. for Pouncey Place, LLC: POD2007-00101 Request for approval of a plan of development and master Pouncey Place, Phase I plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Pouncey Tract Rd. and Henrico County Code, to construct a shopping center with Twin Hickory Lake Dr. Phase 1 consisting of 3 one-story buildings totaling 32,500 (POD-57-86 Rev.) square feet and a future phase consisting of 2 one-story buildings totaling 57,200 square feet. The 9.89-acre site is located on the southeast corner of Pouncey Tract Road (State Route 271) and Twin Hickory Lake Drive on parcel 740-765-2150. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional) and WBSO, West Broad Street Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt) 97 Mrs. Jones -98 Actually, it's on page 17. Is there anyone in opposition to the deferral of this case, POD-41-07, Pouncey Place, Phase 1? There is no opposition. 99 100 Mr. Branin -Then I would like to move that POD-41-07, Pouncey Place, 101 Phase 1, be deferred to the November 18, 2009 meeting, per the applicant's request. 102 103 Mr. Vanarsdall -Second. 104 105 Mrs. Jones -Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in 106 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes. 107 108 109 At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred POD-41-07, Pouncey Place, Phase 1, to its November 18, 2009 meeting. 110 111 Ms. News -Staff is aware of no further requests for deferrals. 112 113 Mrs. Jones -Are there any requests from the Commission? All right. 114 115 116 Mr. Emerson -Madam Chair, the next item on your agenda are the expedited items. Those will be presented by Ms. Leslie News as well. 117 118 Ms. News -119 The first item that we have is on page 3 of your agenda and is located in the Fairfield District. This is a transfer of approval for POD-31-76, Beautiful 120 Temple Empowerment Center, formerly the Bonanza East. Staff can recommend 121 122 approval. 123

#### 124 **TRANSFER OF APPROVAL**

125

POD-31-76 Beautiful Temple for East End Resources: Request for POD2009-00272 transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section **Beautiful Temple** 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from PPF Enterprises, **Empowerment Center** The Warehouse Company, and Sternheimer Bros., Inc. to (Formerly Bonanza East) East End Resources. The 0.97-acre site is located on the - 5004 Nine Mile Road north line of Nine Mile Road (State Route 33). approximately 200 feet east of Kenway Avenue, on parcel 811-724-7148. The zoning is B-2, Business District and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Fairfield) 126 Mrs. Jones -Is there anyone in opposition to the transfer of approval for 127 POD-31-76, Beautiful Temple Empowerment Center? No opposition. 128 129 Mr. Archer -Madam Chair, I move to approve this transfer of approval for 130 POD-31-76, Beautiful Temple Empowerment Center. 131 132 Mr. Vanarsdall -Second. 133 134 135 Mrs. Jones -Motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes. 136 137 The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-31-76, 138 Beautiful Temple Empowerment Center from PPF Enterprises, The Warehouse 139 Company, and Sternheimer Bros., Inc. to East End Resources subject to the standard 140 and added conditions previously approved. 141 142 Ms. News -The next item is on page 4 of your agenda, and is located in 143 the Brookland District. This is a transfer of approval for POD-78-86, Pearson Mazda 144 (formerly Haynes Jeep). Staff can recommend approval. 145 146 **TRANSFER OF APPROVAL** 147 148 POD-78-86 Commercial Construction Corporation for 9520 W. POD2009-00251 **Broad, LLC:** Request for transfer of approval as required Pearson Mazda (Formerly by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Haynes Jeep) - 9520 W. Code from Stuart E. Haynes, Jr. to 9520 W. Broad, LLC. Broad Street (U.S. Route The 3.47-acre site is located at the northeast guadrant of 250) the intersection of Springfield Road and W. Broad Street (POD-31-84 Rev.) (U.S. Route 250), on parcel 755-758-3145. The zoning is B-3, Business District and B-3C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Brookland)

149

Mrs. Jones -Do we have opposition to the approval of POD-78-86, 150 Pearson Mazda (formerly Haynes Jeep)? No opposition. 151

Mr. Vanarsdall -All right. I move that POD-78-86, Pearson Mazda (formerly 153 Haynes Jeep), be approved on the expedited agenda with one condition. 154

Mr. Archer -Second. 156

157

152

155

Motion by Mr. Vanarsdall, seconded by Mr. Archer. All in Mrs. Jones -158 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes. 159

160

161 The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-78-86, Pearson Mazda (formerly Haynes Jeep), from Stuart E. Haynes, Jr. to 9520 W. Broad, 162 LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved and the 163 following additional condition: 164

165

169

1. The site deficiencies, including missing landscaping, broken pavement, 166 pavement striping, missing stop signs and stop bars, and a damaged gate shall 167 be corrected by November 30, 2009. 168

Ms. News -Next on page 5 of your agenda and located in the Three 170 Chopt District, is transfer of approval for POD-50-08, Merchant's Tire. Staff can 171 172 recommend approval.

173

#### TRANSFER OF APPROVAL 174

175

POD-50-08 Hirschler Fleischer for DAV-LEV, LLC: Request for POD2009-00357 transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section Merchant's Tire at 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Short Pump Mini Downtown Short Pump -Storage to DAV-LEV, LLC. The 0.753-acre site is located 4390 Pouncey Tract Road in an existing shopping center on the west line of Pouncey Tract Road, approximately 1,750 feet north of its intersection with W. Broad Street (U. S. Route 250), on parcel 739-763-9067. The zoning is M-1C, Light Industrial District and WBSO, West Broad Street Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

Mrs. Jones -Is there opposition to the transfer of approval for POD-50-177 08, Merchant's Tire at Downtown Short Pump? No opposition. 178

Mr. Branin -Madam Chair, I'd like to move that the transfer of approval 180 for POD-50-08, Merchant's Tire at Downtown Short Pump be approved on the 181 expedited agenda according to staff's recommendation. 182

183

176

179

Mr. Vanarsdall -Second. 184

185

186 Mrs. Jones - Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in 187 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

- The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-50-08,
   Merchant's Tire at Downtown Short Pump, from Short Pump Mini Storage to DAV-LEV,
   LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.
- 192

188

- Ms. News Next on page 6, we'll insert the expedited agenda item in the
   Tuckahoe District for transfer of approval for POD-71-82, Financial Services Offices.
   This is formerly the Bank of Virginia. Staff can recommend approval.
- 196

# 197 TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

198

POD-71-82
POD2009-00316
Financial Services Office (Formerly Bank of Virginia)
- 1401 Gaskins Road (POD-54-82 Rev.)
Dalal Salomon for D2 Independence, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Norman Bernstein et al TRS and Essex Savings Bank FSB to D2 Independence, LLC. The 0.95-acre site is located north of the intersection of Gaskins Road and Stoneridge Lane, on parcel 746-745-4537. The zoning is O-2C, Office District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe)

199

Mrs. Jones - Is there anyone here in opposition to the transfer of approval for POD-71-82, Financial Services Office (formerly Bank of Virginia)? If not, then I recommend approval of this transfer of approval for POD-71-82, Financial Services Office (formerly Bank of Virginia), based on staff recommendations and condition number one.

205

207

206 Mr. Jernigan - Second.

208 Mrs. Jones - Motion by Mrs. Jones, seconded by Mr. Jernigan. All in 209 favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-71-82, Financial Services Office (formerly Bank of Virginia), from Norman Bernstein et al TRS and Essex Savings Bank FSB to D2 Independence, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved and the following additional condition:

215

219

2161.The site deficiencies, as identified in the inspection report, dated August 24,2172009, shall be corrected by November 30, 2009, or a bond shall be posted to218cover the cost of the work.

Ms. News - The next item is on page 8 of your agenda and is located in the Brookland District. This is POD-28-09, Faison Residences. There is an addendum item on page 1 of your addendum, which indicates that the engineer had submitted a revised layout plan showing corrections made to address certain comments and showing minor changes to the internal parking arrangement and traffic circulation
 including provision of parking spaces, a turnaround space at the Markel Road entrance,
 some wider islands at the gate on Broad Street, and islands separating the loading area
 from parking spaces. Staff continues to recommend approval.

228

### 229 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

230

POD-28-09 POD2009-00345 Faison Residences – 5215 W. Broad Street	Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. for 5215 West Broad Street, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a three-story 79,780 square foot mixed use building with 4,865 square feet of commercial space and 45 residential units. The 2.31-acre site is located on the south line of W. Broad Street (U. S. Route 250), the east line of Byrd Avenue, and the north line of Markel Road, approximately 800 feet west of Willow Lawn Drive, on parcel 772-738-8719. The zoning is R-6C, General Residential District (Conditional).
	zoning is R-6C, General Residential District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Brookland)

- Mrs. Jones Is there anyone here in opposition to POD-28-09, Faison
  Residences? No opposition.
- 234
- 235 Mr. Vanarsdall There's no way there could have been any opposition to this.
- 236
- Mrs. Jones But I had to ask.
- 237 238

239 Mr. Vanarsdall -Oh, I know that and wanted you to. First of all, is Mrs. Laura Harlow here? Before I make a motion, I know that all of you saw the article in the paper 240 this week, this past Monday, on Mr. Kirshner who, with his wife, started the Faison 241 242 School. It was a wonderful article-tells all about it. This morning, we have Bruce Kay, who is vice-president of Markel. With him is architect Spencer Grice from Baskervill, 243 and Meg O'Brien, who is the engineer. We're glad to have you here. This is a most 244 important thing. This has had a lot of publicity and is going to have more. It's a 245 wonderful thing. I'm not going to read Mrs. Harlow's letter. This is a letter very much in 246 favor of this, and she lives over behind there over in Westwood. I'm only going to read 247 one part of it, and suggest this to Mr. Kay. She says, "Demolition cannot come too 248 soon." Lee Pambid said that he believes he's had more calls on tearing down the 249 building than he has about anything else. So, this lady says, "It cannot come too soon. 250 Can we all come and watch?" I was telling Bruce this morning that when the Suburban 251 Apartments were torn down, they invited Mr. Glover and me to come and watch it. So 252 they got on the bulldozer and hollered, "Start your engines." That great big rig grabbed 253 that building, and it was really one of the nice moments. So, Bruce, I'm passing this on 254 to you. This is most important, and Lee Pambid has done a good job with it. 255 256

257 With that, I recommend POD-28-09, Faison Residences, be approved with conditions 258 29 through 33, and the revised plan on the addendum.

259 260 261

Mr. Branin - Second.

Mrs. Jones - Motion by Mr. Vanarsdall, seconded by Mr. Branin. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

264

The Planning Commission approved POD-28-09, Faison Residences, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

268

29. Details for the gate and locking device at the entrance road shall be submitted 269 for review by the Traffic Engineer, Police and approved by the County Fire 270 The owner or owner's contractor shall contact the County Fire Marshall 271 272 Marshall prior to completion of the fence installation to test and inspect the operations of the gates. Evidence of the Fire Marshall's approval shall be 273 provided to the Department of Planning by the owner prior to issuance of 274 occupancy permits. 275

27630.The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-13C-09 and the conditions of277provisional use permit P-8-09 shall be incorporated in this approval.

- A construction staging plan which includes details for traffic control, fire
   protection, stockpile locations, construction fencing and hours of construction
   shall be submitted for County review and prior to the approval of any final
   construction plans.
- 32. The existing VEPCO easements in conflict with the building footprint shall be
   vacated prior to approval of the building permit for the said building.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment
   (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes,
   transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All
   equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by
   the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan
   approval.

Ms. News -291 The next item is found on page 10 of your agenda and is 292 located in the Fairfield District. This is POD-27-09, Rising Mt. Zion Baptist Church. There's an addendum item on page 2 of your addendum indicating that there has been 293 a revised plan submitted that addresses the annotations and staff comments relating to 294 revisions to the parking lot, including removing parking out of the side yard setback and 295 provision of an adequate driveway. Staff can recommend approval subject to the 296 conditions for developments of this type and the additional conditions listed in the 297 agenda. 298

299

290

# 300 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

301

301	POD-27-09 POD2009-00341 Rising Mt. Zion Baptis Church – 2705 Hartm Street		
302 303 304 305	Mrs. Jones - 27-09, Rising Mr. Zio	Is there anyone with us this morning in opposition to POD- n Baptist Church? There's no opposition.	
305 306 307 308 309 310	move for approval of POD-27-09, Rising Mr. Zion Baptist Church, subject to the recommendations, annotations on the plan, the additional conditions 29 through and the revised plan on the addendum.		
311	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Second.	
312 313 314 315	Mrs. Jones - favor say aye. All opp	Motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in bosed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.	
316 317 318	subject to the anno	nission approved POD-27-09, Rising Mr. Zion Baptist Church, tations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these ments of this type, and the following additional conditions:	
319 320 321 322 323 324 325	(including H\ transformers, equipment sha	of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment /AC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All all be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan	
326 327 328 329	30. A constructio protection, sto	n staging plan which includes details for traffic control, fire ockpile locations, construction fencing and hours of construction nitted for County review and prior to the approval of any final lans.	
330 331	31. The conceptu	al master plan, as submitted with this application, is for planning on purposes only.	

332 32. The certification of building permits, occupancy permits and change of 333 occupancy permits for individual units shall be based on the number of parking 334 spaces required for the proposed uses and the amount of parking available 335 according to approved plans.

Ms. News - The final item is found on page 11 of your agenda and is located in the Brookland District. This is POD-25-09, The Pavilion at CrossRidge Addition. Staff can recommend approval.

340

336

# PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

341 342

> POD-25-09 Townes Site Engineering for CrossRidge Homeowners POD2009-00327 Association: Request for approval of a plan of The Pavilion at development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 CrossRidge Addition of the Henrico County Code, to construct a 12,447 square 3300 Crossridge Glen foot one-story addition to an existing community center. Wav The 5.0-acre site is located on the north line of Crossridge (POD-97-00 Rev.) Glen Way, approximately 500 feet west of Staples Mill Road (State Route 33), on parcel 764-764-3168. The zoning is R-6C, General Residence District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Brookland)

343

346

349

351

354

- Mrs. Jones Anyone with us this morning in opposition to POD-25-09, The Pavilion at CrossRidge Addition? No opposition.
- Mr. Vanarsdall I recommend POD-25-09, The Pavilion at CrossRidge Addition, be approved with conditions 29 through 31 on the expedited agenda.
- 350 Mr. Branin Second.

Mrs. Jones - Motion by Mr. Vanarsdall, seconded by Mr. Branin. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved POD-25-09, The Pavilion at CrossRidge Addition, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- 358 359
- 29. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- 360 30. The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-17C-00 shall be incorporated 361 in this approval.
- 362 31. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment
   363 (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes,
   364 transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All
   365 building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all
   366 equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by

- the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- 369

373

- 370 Ms. News That completes our expedited agenda.
- 371

372 Mrs. Jones - Thank you, Ms. News.

- Mr. Emerson Madam Chair, that takes us to the next item, which is Subdivision Extensions of Conditional Approval. Those will be presented by Mr. Lee Pambid.
- 377

# 378 SUBDIVISION EXTENSIONS OF CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

379

# 380 FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY

381

Subdivision	Original No. of Lots	Remaining Lots	Previous Extensions	Magisterial District	Recommended Extension
SUB2008-00161 (SUB-12-04) Crowder Farms (October 2004 Plan)	43	43	4	Varina	07/01/2014
SUB2008-00162 (SUB-09-04) Pocahontas Estates (October 2004 Plan)	16	16	4	Varina	07/01/2014
SUB2008-00163 (SUB-01-06) West Broad Village (July 2006 Plan)	545	326	2	Three Chopt	07/01/2014

382

384

383 Mrs. Jones - Good morning, Mr. Pambid.

Mr. Pambid - Good morning, members of the Planning Commission. This month, three subdivision extensions of conditional approval are entitled to be extended to July 1, 2014, per the new legislation. These are for informational purposes only and do not require Commission action at this time. This concludes my presentation. I will try to field any questions you might have regarding these.

391 392 393	Mrs. Jones - information.	Questions for Mr. Pambid? All right. We thank you for the
393 394 395	Mr. Pambid -	Thank you.
396 397 398	Mr. Emerson - 7.	Madam Chair, that takes you to your regular agenda, page

# 399 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

400

POD-31-09 POD2009-00340 Mini Price Warehouse – 4300 W. Broad Street **Martin Engineering for Don Barry, LLC:** Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to demolish two existing buildings and construct a three-story 132,390 square foot self-storage warehouse. The 2.72-acre site is located on the north side of W. Broad Street (U. S. Route 250), approximately 1,000 feet south of Westmoreland Street, on parcel 776-734-8993. The zoning is M-1, Light Industrial District. City of Richmond water and sewer. (**Brookland**)

401

404

402 Mrs. Jones - Good morning, Mr. Garrison. Do we have anyone here who 403 is in opposition to POD-31-09, Mini Price Warehouse? There is no opposition.

Mr. Garrison - The applicant is requesting approval to demolish two existing buildings and construct a three-story approximately 132,000-square-foot selfstorage warehouse. Staff received the revised plans Tuesday morning that adequately address the perimeter six-foot landscape strip requirement, and revised architectural plans that provide pilasters on the east and west sides of the building to mitigate the expanse of the three-story wall.

There is an existing rental car business on the property, and the applicant has stated that when the lease for the existing business has expired, or if the property is ever redeveloped, he intends to expand the storage facility, and bring the rest of the site into compliance.

416

424

428

432

411

Should the Commission choose to waive the time limits, staff can recommend approval of POD-31-09, Mini Price Warehouse, subject to the annotations on the plan, standard conditions for developments of this type, and added conditions 29 through 31. Staff and representatives of the applicant are available to address any questions you may have.

423 Mrs. Jones - Questions for Mr. Garrison?

Mr. Vanarsdall - We talked this morning, yesterday, the day before, the day
before that, and last Sunday. Thank you. I think you did a good job on this, thank you.
I would like to ask Mr. Theobald to come up.

- 429 Mr. Theobald Good morning, Madam Chair.
- 430 431 Mrs. Jones - Good morning.

433 Mr. Theobald - Jim Theobald here on behalf of the applicant. We appreciate 434 very much staff working with us on this project. It's a good redevelopment project near 435 the City. As noted, half the project, or a third of the project, is actually in the City along the frontage. Just a couple of things to clarify for the record. In talking with staff about 436 the property line, about the fact that you can't put some of the expected landscaping on 437 the property line. There's a difference between a car dealership and the property next 438 door. About 18 feet of asphalt and some major utilities are in there, so they have kindly 439 allowed us to take that landscaping and basically use it in the back. So we're moving it 440 a little bit in recognition of the physical characteristics. We believe this current fence, 441 which is not attractive along here, actually belongs to our neighbor. We're going to go 442 and negotiate with that person to try to take that fence down so we don't have a double 443 fence situation there. We've committed to staff to do that. That old fence is probably 444 under control of our neighbor, not us. We're hopeful that will be resolved. Then, I think, 445 as Mr. Garrison indicated, when the Budget Rent-A-Car goes away and that building is 446 demolished, we would hope to be able to expand our facilities, and then we'd continue 447 that buffer along the edge. As it is now, it's all one parcel, and we can't really create a 448 buffer there between those two buildings. 449

450

With that, I'd very much appreciate your approval of this POD. And again, thanks to staff for working with us with some of the physical restraints on this property. Thank you.

Are there questions for the applicant?

454

458

461

470

472

476

479

455 **Mrs. Jones -**

457 Mr. Vanarsdall - Thank you.

Mrs. Jones - Okay. This has been interesting between the County and the City. So yes, we're ready for a motion if you are.

Mr. Vanarsdall - This is the same company and the same gentleman who put up the very nice looking one behind Wawa off Staples Mill Road. Very nice. This is going to be an extreme improvement to what's there now. He's agreed to everything and is very easy to work with. Glad to have him over there.

With that, I recommend POD-31-09, Mini Price Warehouse—Time limits. Thank you; I
forgot that. Last thing Greg told me. Waive the time limits on POD-31-09, Mini Price
Warehouse.

471 Mr. Branin - Second.

473Mrs. Jones -Motion by Mr. Vanarsdall to waive time limits, seconded by474Mr. Branin. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion475passes.

477 Mr. Vanarsdall - Now I'll recommend POD-31-09, Mini Price Warehouse, be 478 approved with conditions 29 through 31.

480 Mr. Archer - Second.

481
482 Mrs. Jones - Motion by Mr. Vanarsdall for approval, with a second from
483 Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion
484 passes.

485

489

The Planning Commission approved POD-31-09, Mini Price Warehouse, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

490 29. Outside storage shall not be permitted.

- 30. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment
  (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes,
  transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All
  building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all
  equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by
  the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan
  approval.
- 498 31. Evidence that the City of Richmond has reviewed and approved construction
   499 plans for the portion of this site located within the City limits shall be provided
   500 prior to final approval of construction plans by Henrico County.

# 502 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

503

501

POD-26-09BaPOD2009-00342PuCar Pool at Towne CenterapWest – 11950 W. Broad24Streetcol

Balzer and Associates, Inc. for Kalyan Plaza at Short Pump, LLC and CP Other Realty, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story 6,430 square foot car wash facility with vacuum and shade structures in an existing shopping center. The 1.68-acre portion of the 4.76-acre site is located on the north line of W. Broad Street (U. S. Route 250), approximately 1,625 feet east of its intersection with N. Gayton Road, on part of parcel 735-763-7898. The zoning is B-3C, Business District (Conditional) and WBSO, West Broad Street Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

504

505 Mrs. Jones - Is there anyone with us in opposition of POD-26-09, Car 506 Pool at Towne Center West? Thank you.

507

508 Mr. Wilhite - Thank you. Good morning. You've just been handed out a 509 revised site plan and additional architectural plans. The site plan that you received has 510 addressed staff's main comments concerning the provision of an access drive 511 connection to the steak house site just to the west of the proposed Car Pool. It also 512 provides additional sidewalk along the drive that runs parallel to the west property line that will end up connecting with an additional sidewalk within the Towne Center West development.

515

The architectural plans address the additional structures on the site, a vacuum canopy 516 and also a shade structure that wasn't part of the original architectural plans. This is 517 where the remaining issue on this project lies. Both the vacuum structure and the shade 518 structure show flat roofs, essentially a steel-frame structure with no roof profile to it. 519 Zoning case C-8C-09 had proffers that dealt extensively with architectural design on the 520 property. In particular, there were two portions—proffer #14— that are pertinent to this 521 site. First, "Any carwash developed on the property shall be in general conformance 522 with the architectural appearance shown on the elevations on the north, south, and 523 west elevations of the proposed building entitled Short Pump Car Pool, Henrico County, 524 Virginia, prepared by Freeman Morgan Architects, and attached hereto-see case file-525 526 unless otherwise requested and specifically approved at the time of plan of development." 527

528

There was also another provision in proffer #14 that says, "Any shade structures associated with any carwash developed on the property shall have a roof treatment generally consistent with the main building, which will consist of green standing seam metal."

533

The rendering that you see on the screen is what was provided at the time of rezoning and was approved by the Board of Supervisors. We go to the north elevation, and you will see a canopy structure shown just to the left-hand side of the rendering. This is the proposed shade structure, which is actually on the east side of the building. The vacuum structure is on the west side of the building, and it does not even appear in the north elevation.

540

is it staff's position that with the way the proffer is worded, these additional structures 541 do have to provide standing metal seam roofs. The original provision about the 542 renderings does allow the Planning Commission to alter the design of the building, but 543 the intent of the last provision of proffer 14 does require that a standing metal seam 544 roof be provided. Staff, therefore, recommends that this additional vacuum structure 545 also have a roof that mirrors the design of the structure just to the east. I will also point 546 out that within the West Broad Street Overlay District, even without any type of zoning 547 proffers addressing architecture, staff has consistently recommended that canopy 548 structures, such as at gas stations, not be flat roof structures but would provide some 549 type of additional enhancement of aesthetics, which includes a larger profile on roof 550 designs. 551

552

Therefore, the staff recommendation is that the revised plan be approved and the additional architectural plans dealing with the canopy structures also provide a standing metal seam roof with a profile that is visible. Jim Theobald and Aaron Breed are here representing the applicant. I'll be happy to answer any questions that you have.

Mr. Branin -I'm done with him right now. And can I catch everybody up? 558 559 I apologize to my fellow Commissioners. If you all remember when we had this come through, we had discussion, especially Madam Chair and I, in regards to the roofs. 560 When we discussed that, we had decided that flat would be good, would be acceptable. 561 Where I made the mistake was not having the proffer changed by the applicant and it 562 followed through. So although it was discussed among the Commission members 563 paperwork-wise, it didn't go through. With that going forward, the design came through 564 with flat roofs. Today, Mr. Emerson told me when meeting with Mr. Kaechele. the 565 discussion was one pitched and one flat, which I can't believe we're arguing about 566 pitched versus flat, but we're there. So now another phase has come in for possibly 567 one pitched, one flat. We moved with a flat roof on these canopies, and the proffer 568 says pitched roof. So, that's where we are with this case. With that, can I call the 569 applicant---570 571

- 572 Mrs. Jones I do have one question for—I'm sorry, Mr. Theobald, if you 573 can wait a moment here. Mr. Wilhite, just refresh my memory. When this went through, 574 the renderings were never changed to reflect flat roofs as it moved forward?
- 576 Mr. Wilhite No. The renderings weren't changed. The wording in those 577 particular provisions of proffer #14 weren't changed.
- 579 Mrs. Jones I understand the words weren't changed; I just wondered 580 about the architectural—
- 586 Mrs. Jones In this north elevation, the remaining roof in question actually 587 is there, but because of the topography, it's not visible. Is that correct?
- 589 Mr. Wilhite No. The rendering does not reflect any type of structure on 590 the west side. The vacuum structure does not show up. If you were to look at the west 591 elevation on the bottom, if a structure was appearing on these renderings, it would 592 actually be in front of this expanse of brick façade there.
- Mrs. Jones One more question. The plantings and the—This is all about visibility. We're talking about visibility and aesthetics here, and we just want to make sure it's right. The plantings, if you'll go back one to the other elevation, the color rendering one. Yes. The suggested plantings, will they be taller than an elevated roofline, a pitched roofline?
- 600 Mr. Wilhite That would depend on what was approved at the time of 601 landscape approval. Planning does have a concern about trying to adequately screen 602 the activities within the site, but, in addition, Police have concerns about visibility into

575

578

588

603 the site as well, so there are sort of competing perspectives that have to be addressed. The rendering that you see---604 605 Mrs. Jones -606 Is conceptual, I understand. 607 Mr. Wilhite -Conceptual, yes. 608 609 Mrs. Jones -I just didn't know what kind of discussion had been had 610 611 about the height, the mature height of the trees that would be there.

612

614

616

613 Mr. Wilhite - We have not advanced that far yet.

615 Mrs. Jones - Okay. Thank you.

617 Mr. Theobald - Good morning, Madam Chair and members of the 618 Commission. I'm Jim Theobald on behalf of Car Pool. I'm going to try to help us all 619 through this with a little more background and a suggestion.

620

These are the elevations that were proffered with the zoning case. At that Commission meeting, there was expression of interest that the roof treatments be flat in order to lower the profile. It is correct, and I have checked my notes, Mr. Emerson, that in a meeting with Mr. Kaechele, we did discuss the canopy in the rear, specifically, as having a roof, "the same as the buildings."

626

627 So, what I think is likely appropriate and meets everybody's expectations is this is a screening wall here that covers the cars that are stacked. The vacuum area is behind 628 629 that wall. This is the area where we think a flat roof is appropriate, and I think it is the one that perhaps got your attention initially, Mrs. Jones. So, it won't interfere with all 630 these other rooflines and the top of this building. What I'm going to suggest to you is 631 that this one is appropriate to be flat, and I would argue consistent with even my 632 discussions with Mr. Kaechele. This one we should leave built up the way it is. It has 633 the most visibility, the most exposure to the hotel. It was discussed that way with Mr. 634 Kaechele, notwithstanding our discussions at the Planning Commission hearing. I do 635 believe that the way the proffer is drafted, you do have legal ability to deviate from the 636 elevations. Keep in mind that the elevation doesn't show the canopy over here 637 whatsoever so I don't think this is much of a stretch. 638

639

So, to try to get us through all of this in a way that I think is arguably consistent and probably in the best interest of the aesthetics of the site, I propose we continue with the pitched built up roof in the back, the detail area, and make this one, which is behind the wall covering the vacuum area, flat. Even a flat roof, if it has to be metal seam, can be a flat section of metal seam roof consistent with the proffer, if that bothers anybody.

646 Mr. Emerson - Mr. Theobald, I believe your recollection is consistent with 647 what I recall in our discussions. As I recall, he was comfortable with that being flat as 648 long as he was guaranteed it was not visible. That's the way I recall the discussion. He

did want the roof features on the rear. I think that's what the proffer obviously 649 envisioned because it wouldn't just have standing seam on a flat roof. 650 651 Mr. Theobald -Well, it doesn't make a lot of sense, but— 652 653 654 Mr. Emerson -So, I think overall the concept was it would be some sort of raised or pitched roof features on these canopies. That's the way I recall it. If that 655 wasn't visible, Mr. Kaechele was comfortable with that being flat. 656 657 658 Mr. Carr -Visible. 659 Mr. Branin -Sir, I can't-660 661 Mr. Theobald -662 You're going to have to come down, Paul. 663 664 Mrs. Jones -I'm sorry, but we record these proceedings. We welcome your comments, but you'll just have to come forward. 665 666 Mr. Theobald -We don't want to mis-speak here if we're not describing this 667 668 correctly. 669 Hi. Paul Carr with Car Pool. A little bit more memory to the 670 Mr. Carr -Planning Commission meeting. We thought that the-671 672 Mrs. Jones -673 There's a mouse you can use to illustrate. 674 Mr. Carr -This piece right here is what we thought was the canopy, 675 and I was mistaken at that meeting. So, it is going to be visible to the extent that you 676 can see that. It's going to be higher than the screening wall. What I don't want to 677 happen is for us to sit here and make the same mistake, and say it's not going to be 678 visible, because it is going to be visible. The wall is 8 to 10 feet high, and I know the 679 canopy structure is going to higher than that. I don't want to start building it and 680 somebody come out and go, "Wait a minute, we can see it." 681 682 The idea from the Planning Commission meeting was that we saw it. It looked like that. 683 684 You started the discussion by saying you liked it by it not being as busy and having a lower profile to the entire facility. While we're here, I would argue that the same is the 685 case on the back as well. There are going to be 8- to 10-foot cedar trees back there, 686 and you're just not going to be able to see it. I think the pitched roof makes the place 687 look busier. I think it looks like more of a compound than what you guys had envisioned 688 from the beginning. I would still argue that a flat roof is going to be consistent with the 689 690 canopy structures right here around the building. It's not going to look odd; it's going to match the rest of the quote-unquote shade structures of the building, which are already 691 692 flat. These are overhangs from the building right there and right here, that we thought 693 was the vacuum structure. 694

Mr. Branin -695 Mr. Carr, I appreciate your opinion, but we're at a point now which we can take this off and send it back to go through the process to change the 696 697 proffer. Because we didn't change the proffer prior to the Board for flat, and the elevation was submitted to the Board with pitched in the rear, we're at a position that I 698 don't feel comfortable in changing it, especially from the recommendations that were 699 approved. The meeting that was stated by Mr. Secretary and confirmed by your 700 701 counsel— 702 703 Mr. Carr -Which I think was before the Planning Commission meeting, actually, but-704 705 706 Mr. Emerson -Actually it was after. 707 Mr. Branin -It was after, and because of that, the man that I serve at the 708 pleasure of stated his wishes, and it went through the Board with that. So, we can go 709 with flat and the pitched, or we can send it back through for proffer changes. That's up 710 to Car Pool. 711 712 I'd rather stick with what we have. 713 Mr. Carr -714 Mr. Branin -715 That would be my choice. 716 Mr. Carr -My only point being, and the reason I came to the podium, to 717 make sure that you understand that may not be completely out of sight from the 718 screening wall. Eventually the trees there you see will probably cover it, but initially I 719 don't think it's going to be completely unseen. 720 721 Mrs. Jones -May I ask one question for clarification? 722 723 Mr. Carr -Yes, ma'am. 724 725 726 Mrs. Jones -If you're looking at this rendering before us now, you say it's going to be higher than the screening wall, which was a real integral part of the approval 727 here. Looking at what's there, does it come to the first line under Car Pool? Will it come 728 to the middle of the Car Pool name? Where do you say it will come? 729 730 Mr. Carr -I think it's going to come to the same height as the canopy 731 732 that you see right there. 733 734 Mrs. Jones -Would you put the cursor on— 735 Mr. Carr -Attached to the building right here. So it's going to be under 736 the white line that's under Car Pool. 737 738 739 Mrs. Jones -Under the white line, but not up to it. 740

741 742 743	Mr. Carr - the shade canopy that you	Right. I would imagine it's going to be the same height as see right there that is attached to the building.
743 744 745	Mrs. Jones -	What material will the roof be made of?
745 746 747	Mr. Carr -	I'm not sure. I think it's steel.
748 749	Mr. Branin -	Wouldn't it be the same steel as—
750 751 752 753	Mr. Carr - canopy right here that's a going to be freestanding ir	It's going to look just like what you see right there. See this ttached to the building? It's going to look like that, except it's the parking lot.
754 755	Mrs. Jones -	And it'll be the green?
756 757	Mr. Carr -	Oh, yes. Oh, yes.
758 759	Mr. Emerson -	Similar to a metal carport?
760 761	Mr. Carr -	Hopefully a little nicer than that, but yes, similar to it.
762 763	Mrs. Jones -	Has to be standing seam.
764 765 766 767 768 769	meeting. He, obviously, h and his concerns, and he	Yes, ma'am. Just in Mr. Branin's defense on the are of, that meeting was held one morning prior to the Board has businesses that he has to take care of to operate his life wasn't available that morning when we had the meeting with So that's why he's not familiar with the conversation.
770	Mrs. Jones -	Are there other questions for the applicant?
771 772 773 774 775 776		For the record, Mr. Secretary, I have no life other than the ive, sleep, and breathe. Okay. So I don't mess up again, Mr. ing to make this proffer? Would you like to state a proffer as
777 778 779	Mr. Theobald - per the proffered elevatio also consistent with the ele	I think you would be approving the canopy design in the rear ns and the vacuum canopy with a flat roof, standing seam, evations.
780 781 782	Mr. Branin -	And that would be condition #42?
782 783	Mr. Theobald -	Mmm-hmm. Does that make sense, Joe? Okay.
784 785 786	Mr. Branin - thank you for your diligend	I just want to make sure I get it in here. Okay. Mr. Wilhite, ce on this project. You did a great job in working on this one.

Thank you, sir. Mr. Vanarsdall, thank you. Car Pool is absolutely the Taj Mahal of 787 carwashes, which the Three Chopt District definitely deserves out on West Broad. 788 789 So, I'd like to move, Madam Chair, that POD-26-09, Car Pool at Towne Center West, 790 be approved with the following conditions 29 through 42 with 42 reflecting the pitched 791 792 roof on the back and the flat on the side for the vacuum areas. 793 Mr. Vanarsdall -Second. 794 795 796 Mrs. Jones -Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes. 797 798 The Planning Commission approved POD-26-09, Car Pool at Towne Center West, 799 subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these 800 minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions: 801 802 29. The right-of-way for widening of W. Broad Street (U. S. Route 250) as shown on 803 approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits 804 The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required 805 being issued. information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty 806 (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits. 807 30. The entrances and drainage facilities on U.S. Route 250 shall be approved by 808 the Virginia Department of Transportation and the County. 809 A notice of completion form, certifying that the requirements of the Virginia 31. 810 Department of Transportation entrances permit have been completed, shall be 811 submitted to the Department of Planning prior to any occupancy permits being 812 issued. 813 32. A concrete sidewalk meeting VDOT standards shall be provided along the north 814 side of W. Broad Street (U. S. Route 250). 815 33. Outside storage shall not be permitted. 816 The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-8C-09 shall be incorporated in 817 34. 818 this approval. 819 35. Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Virginia Department of 820 Transportation maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by the 821 contractor and approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation. 822 The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the 36. 823 car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve 824 customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way. 825 The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic 826 control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-827 way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. 828 37. Evidence of a joint ingress/egress and maintenance agreement must be 829 830 submitted to the Department of Planning and approved prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for this development. 831

832	38.	•	ster plan, as submitted with this application, is for planning
833		and information pur	
834	39.		I existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment
835		· ·	units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes,
836		-	generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All
837			screened by such measures as determined appropriate by
838		the Director of Pla	anning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan
839		approval.	
840	40.		overed by all the buildings shall not exceed in the aggregate
841		25 percent of the to	
842	41.		nall be displayed or stored outside of the building(s) or on
843		sidewalk(s).	
844	42.	ADDED - The vac	uum structure shall be constructed with a flat standing-seam
845		metal roof. The sh	nade structure shall be constructed with a sloped standing-
846		seam metal roof as	represented on the rendering approved with zoning case C-
847		8C-09.	
848			
849	Mr. Ei	merson -	Madam Chair, that takes us to the next item on your agenda,
850	which	is the approval of the	e minutes from your September 23, 2009 meeting.
851			
852	APPR	<b>ROVAL OF MINUTES</b>	S: September 23, 2009
853			
854	Mrs. J	lones -	All right. Do we have corrections or additions to the minutes?
855			·
856	Mr. Bi	ranin -	Yes.
857			
858	Mrs. J	lones -	Ah, Mr. Branin.
859			
860		ranin -	Yes, I know. Live, sleep, and breathe. On page 9, line 330,
861			mmendation for approval on the expedited." "For approval" is
862	left ou	it. There was anothe	er one, Madam Chair, that I don't have a clue because it says
863	my vo	vice went out-which	I thought I had a pretty booming voice—on page 17. I have
864	no ide	ea what I said there, s	so no help.
865			
866	Mrs. J	lones -	You obviously said, "voice fading in and out."
867			
868	Mr. Ai	rcher -	That's what I heard.
869			
870	Mrs. J	lones -	All right; I'm sorry. Any others?
871			
872	Mr. Ai	rcher -	Well, Madam Chair, in reviewing the minutes, I cannot find a
873	single	instance where I sai	id a single word. Was I here?
874	-		
875	Mrs. J	lones -	You were just waiting until you had something to say. All
876	right.	If there are no other	corrections or additions to the minutes-
877			

878 879	Mr. Archer -	I move for approval.
879 880 881	Mrs. Jones -	Mr. Archer moves for approval.
882 883	Mr. Branin -	Second.
884 885 886	Mrs. Jones - no. The ayes have it; the n	Second by Mr. Branin. All in favor say aye. All opposed say notion passes.
887 888	The Planning Commission	approved the September 23, 2009 minutes as corrected.
889 890	Mrs. Jones -	Is there any other business for the Commission?
890 891 892	Mr. Emerson -	Staff doesn't have anything further, Madam Chair.
893 894	Mrs. Jones -	All right. I'll entertain a motion for adjournment.
895 896	Mr. Branin -	So moved.
890 897 898	Mr. Archer -	Second.
899 900 901	Mrs. Jones - say aye. All opposed say r	Motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr. Archer. All in favor no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.
902 903 904 905	Meeting adjourned.	
906 907 908 909		Bonnie-Leigh Jones, Chairperson
910 911		
912 913 914		
915		N. Joseph Emerson, Jr. Secretary
916 917		$\bigcup$

# PLANS OF DEVELOPMENT

# A. Standard Conditions for all POD's:

- 1. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water and sewer. (when the property is served by public utilities)
- 1A. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water. The well location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public water system when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public water)
- 1B. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public sewer. The septic tank location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public sewer when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by **public sewer**)
- 2. The Director of the Department of Public Utilities shall approve the plan of development for construction of public water and sewer, prior to beginning any construction of these utilities. The Department of Public Utilities shall be notified at least 48 hours prior to the start of any County water or sewer construction.
- 3. The parking lot shall be subject to the requirements of Chapter 24, Section 24-98 of the Henrico County Code.
- 4. The parking spaces shall be marked on the pavement surface with four-inch-wide traffic painted lines. All lane lines and parking lines shall be white in color with the exception that those dividing traffic shall yellow.
- 5. Sufficient, effectively usable parking shall be provided. If experience indicates the need, additional parking shall be provided.
- 6. Curb and gutter and necessary storm sewer shall be constructed as shown on approved plans.
- 7. The plan of development plan shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan dated October 28, 2009, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if details were fully described herein. Eight (8) sets of revised plans, including the detailed drainage, erosion control and utility plans, shall be submitted by the design engineer who prepared the plans to the Department of Planning for final review. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. Two (2) sets of the approved plan shall be attached to the building permit application. (Revised January 2008)
- 8. Two copies of an Erosion and Sediment Control Agreement with required escrow shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works. Approval is required prior to construction plan approval and beginning construction. The Department of Public Works shall be notified at least 24 hours prior to the start of any construction.
- 9. A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.

- 9. **AMENDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 10. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced no later than the next planting season.
- 11. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and approval.
- 11A. AMENDED Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including depictions of light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and Planning Commission approval.
- 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature. (For POD which includes lighting plan approval)
- 12. All exterior lighting shall be designed and arranged to direct the light and glare away from nearby residential property and streets.
- 13. The site, including the parking areas, shall be kept clean of litter and debris on a daily basis. Trash container units/litter receptacles and recycling containers shall be maintained with regular pickups scheduled and shall be screened properly on all four sides. The gate(s) shall remain closed except when the receptacle(s) are being filled or serviced and shall be repaired or replaced as necessary. Details shall be included with the final site plan or required landscape plan for review and approval.
- 14. Required fire lanes shall be marked and maintained in accordance with the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code.
- 15. Traffic control signs shall be provided as indicated on the Department of Planning Staff plan. All signs shall be fabricated as shown in <u>The National Manual on Uniform Traffic</u> <u>Control Devices for Streets and Highways</u> and <u>The Virginia Supplement to The Manual on</u> <u>Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways</u>.
- 16. The assigned property number(s) shall be displayed so it is easily readable from the street. If assistance is needed with the address, please contact the Department of Planning at 501-4284. The Planning Department must assign all property addresses. (Revised January 2008)
- 17. The owner shall have a set of plans approved by the Director of Public Works, Public Utilities and Secretary of the Planning Commission available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 18. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.

- 19. Upon completion of the improvements and prior to the certification of the permanent occupancy permit, the owner shall furnish a statement by the engineer or land surveyor who prepared the POD plan, to the effect that all construction including water and sewer is in conformance to the regulations and requirements of the POD.
- 20. The approved Plan of Development is granted by the Planning Commission only to the owners(s)/applicant(s) listed on the Plan of Development application on file for this project. Upon written notification to the Director of Planning, the Plan of Development approval may be transferred to subsequent owner(s) subject to approval by this Commission (Revised July 2007).
- 21. Vehicles shall be parked only in approved and constructed parking spaces.
- 22. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 23. The site, including paving, pavement markings, signage, curb and gutter, dumpster screens, walls, fences, lighting and other site improvements shall be properly maintained in good condition at all times. Any necessary repairs shall be made in a timely manner.
- 24. The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- 25. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations shall be included on the final construction plans for approval by the Department of Public Utilities prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 26. Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- 27. The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- 28. Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- 29. (Start of miscellaneous conditions)

# STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR LANDSCAPE /LIGHTING/FENCE PLANS

- 1. The plan shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **October 28, 2009**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein. Five (5) sets of **prints** of the revised plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval stamps and distribution.
- 2. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 3. The owner shall have a set of approved plans available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 4. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the normal planting season. (DELETE IF NO LANDSCAPING)
- 5. All exterior lighting shall be shielded to direct lights away from adjacent residential property and streets. (DELETE IF NO LIGHTING)
- 6. All fences, walls, and screens, including gates and doors, shall be maintained in good repair by the owner. Trash and debris should not be allowed to accumulate along the fence or wall. (DELETE IF NO FENCE, WALL, OR DUMPSTER SCREEN)

# B. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Zero Lot Line Developments shall apply:

- 29. Roof edge ornamental features that extend over the zero lot line, and which are permitted by Section 24-95(i)(1), must be authorized in the covenants.
- 30. Eight-foot easements for construction, drainage, and maintenance access for abutting lots shall be provided and shown on the POD plans.
- 31. Building permit request for individual dwellings shall each include two (2) copies of a layout plan sheet as approved with the plan of development. The developer may utilize alternate building types providing that each may be located within the building footprint shown on the approved plan. Any deviation in building footprint or infrastructure shall require submission and approval of an administrative site plan.
- 32. Windows on the zero lot line side of the dwelling can only be approved with an exception granted by the Building Official and the Director of Planning during the building permit application process.

# C. Standard Conditions for Approval of All Dry Cleaners and Laundries in Addition to Item A:

29. The dry cleaning establishment shall use only non-inflammable cleaning solvents and have fully enclosed cleaning and solvent reclamation processes and fully enclosed pressing equipment with no outside steam exhaust.

# D. In addition to Item A, the Following Conditions for Approval of All Shopping Centers Shall Apply:

- 29. Only retail business establishments permitted in a **zone** may be located in this center.
- 30. The ground area covered by all the buildings shall not exceed in the aggregate 25 percent of the total site area.
- 31. No merchandise shall be displayed or stored outside of the building(s) or on sidewalk(s).

# E. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Multi-Family Shall Apply:

- 29. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- 30. The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and **such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval**. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.

# F. In addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Service Station Developments Shall Apply:

- 29. This business shall not remain in operation after midnight and no exterior signs shall remain lighted after (12:00 midnight B-1) (1:00 o'clock a.m. B-2) (no limit B-3).
- 30. No merchandise shall be displayed outside of the building except that oil racks will be allowed on the pump islands.
- 31. This service station shall be used only for the sale of petroleum products and automobile accessories and parts. It shall not be used to sell or rent camping trailers, nor as a base of operation for truck fleets or fuel oil delivery or other such use that is not strictly a service station operation.
- 32. Only light repair work shall be allowed at this station, including motor tune-up, brake, generator, ignition, and exhaust repairs, and wheel balancing. The only work that can be performed outside the building is those services that are normally furnished at the pump island and the changing of tires.
- 33. No wrecked automobiles, nor automobiles incapable of being operated, shall be kept on the premises.
- 34. The prospective operator of this station shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.

# G. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A

#### **B-2 ZONE**

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. There shall be no exterior display of merchandise except on pump islands and on paved walkway areas within three (3) feet of building.
- 31. Lighting fixtures shall not exceed a height greater than twenty (20) feet.
- 32. No temporary storage of wrecked or inoperative vehicles or rental of vehicles, trailer campers, vans or similar equipment shall be permitted.
- 33. Not more than two (2) electronic amusement games shall be permitted.
- 34. Not more than two (2) vending machines for food and beverage and similar merchandise shall be permitted on the premises outside of an enclosed building.
- 35. The prospective operator of this facility shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.
- 36. The landscaping plan shall include details for screening of refuse containers and refuse storage facilities in accordance with Section 24-61(i).
- 37. Refuse containers or refuse storage facilities shall be serviced during business hours only.
- 38. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way.
- 39. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

#### **Revised May 2008**

# H. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A

# **B-3 ZONE**

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)
- 31. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

# SUBDIVISION - CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

# <u>Standard Conditions for Conventional Subdivisions Served By Public Utilities</u> <u>Public Water and/or Sewer</u> (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water. (Substitute condition 5A if well)
- 5A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer. (Substitute condition 6A if on site sewage disposal/septic)
- 6A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>October 28, 2009</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.

- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>October 27, 2010</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements as applicable, and design considerations.
- 12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

# <u>Standard Conditions for Conventional Subdivisions Not Served By Public Utilities</u> (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage and erosion control plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, fifteen (15) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 7. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>October 28, 2009</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 8. This approval shall expire on <u>October 27, 2010</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 9. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 10. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements and design considerations.

11. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

# <u>Standard Conditions for Residential Townhouse for Sale (RTH) Subdivisions</u> (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>October 28, 2009</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>October 27, 2010</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.

- 12. A draft of the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review, prior to final approval. The proposed Homeowners Association for the project shall be responsible for the exterior maintenance of all buildings and grounds.
- 13. All block corners shall be monumented and referenced, where possible, to the exterior boundaries of the site
- 14. The record plat shall contain a statement that the common area is dedicated to the common use and enjoyment of the homeowners of <u>(name of subdivision)</u> and is not dedicated for use by the general public. This statement shall refer to the applicable article in the covenants recorded with the plat.

# <u>Standard Conditions for Zero Lot Line Subdivisions</u> (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>October 28, 2009</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>October 27, 2010</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change may be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.

12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers and Chesapeake Bay Act Areas.

# SUBDIVISION - CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

# <u>Standard Conditions for Conventional Subdivisions Served By Public Utilities</u> <u>Road Dedication (No Lots)</u> (January 2008)

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, twenty-one (21) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Department of Planning before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated <u>October 28, 2009</u>, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on <u>October 27, 2010</u>, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.