Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of Henrico County held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at 2 Parham and Hungary Springs Roads beginning at 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, October 3 23, 2019.

4 5

1

Members Present: Mr. Gregory R. Baka, Chairperson (Tuckahoe)

Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C., Vice-Chairperson (Fairfield)

Mr. William M. Mackey, Jr. (Varina) Mrs. Melissa L. Thornton (Three Chopt) Mr. Robert H. Witte, Jr. (Brookland)

Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., AICP, Director of Planning,

Secretary

Mr. Frank J. Thornton, Board of Supervisors' Representative

Others Present:

Ms. Jean Moore, Assistant Director of Planning

Ms. Leslie A. News, PLA, Senior Principal Planner

Mr. Michael F. Kennedy, County Planner Mr. Tony Greulich, C.P.C., County Planner

Mr. Doug Cole, PLA, County Planner

Ms. Christina L. Goggin, AICP, County Planner Ms. Aimee B. Crady, AICP, County Planner Ms. Kate B. McMillion, County Planner Mr. Salim Chishti, ASLA, County Planner Mr. Spencer Norman, County Planner Mr. Phillip Bariteau, County Planner

Ms. Cherie Wyatt, County Planner Ms. Edith Golden, GIS Specialist

Mr. Gary Duvall, Department of Public Works Mr. Brandon House, Department of Public Works Ms. Sarah Briggs, Department of Public Works Mr. David Gunn, Department of Public Works Mr. John Graves, Department of Public Works

Mr. William Moffett. Police

Ms. Melissa Ferrante, Office Assistant / Recording Secretary

6 7

Mr. Frank J. Thornton, the Board of Supervisors' representative, abstains on all cases unless otherwise noted.

8 9 10

11

12

I call this meeting of the Henrico County Planning Commission Mr. Baka to order. This is the Plans of Development meeting for October 23, 2019. At this time I'd like to ask that you take a moment to silence your cellphones, and please stand with the Commission for the Pledge of Allegiance.

15 16	(Recitation of Pledge of Allegiance.)		
17 18 19 20 21		Do we have anyone in the audience this morning with the le have Mr. Frank Thornton, our representative from the Board of with the Planning Commission for this year, for 2019. Thank	
22 23	Mr. Thornton -	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	
24 25 26 27		Mr. Thornton abstains on all cases unless otherwise noted. sent so we have a quorum and we can conduct business. So er to Mr. Emerson, our secretary.	
28 29 30 31 32		Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The first item on your agenda this or referrals and withdrawals, and there are none of those this are the expedited items, and those will be presented by Ms.	
33 34 35 36 37	Ms. News - Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Good morning, members of the Commission. We have seven items on our expedited agenda this morning. First item is found on page three of your agenda and is located in the Varina District. This is a transfer of approval for POD-160-85, Sheraton Richmond Airport, which was formerly the Richmond Airport Hotel.		
38 39 40	TRANSFER OF APPROVAL		
40	POD-160-85 POD2019-00016 Sheraton Richmond Airport (Formerly Richmond Airport Hotel) - 5501 Eubank Road	Devang Thakar for Neil Amin: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Plaza One Associates to Sandston LLC. The 5.14-acre site is located at the southwestern corner of the intersection of Eubank Road and Ferncroft Road, on parcel 819-711-7070. The zoning is M-1, Light Industrial District and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)	
41 42 43 44	Mr. Baka - transfer of approval for Po Airport Hotel)? Okay.	Is there anyone present in opposition this morning to the DD-160-85, Sheraton Richmond Airport (Formerly Richmond	
45 46 47 48		Mr. Chairman, seeing no opposition I move for approval of the DD-160-85, Sheraton Richmond Airport (Formerly Richmond dited agenda, subject to the previously approved conditions.	

Mrs. Thornton -

48 49

50 51 Second.

The Commission -	Aye.
Mr. Baka -	Opposed say no. The motion carries.
(POD2019-00016) Sherat	n approved the transfer of approval request for POD-160-85 on Richmond Airport (Formerly Richmond Airport Hotel) from Sandston LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions
	The next item is on page 4 of your agenda and located in the transfer of approval for POD-94-74, G5 Holdings, which was ffice and Warehouse, and staff recommends approval.
TRANSFER OF APPROV	'AL
POD-94-74 POD2018-00067 G5 Holdings (Formerly Lerch Brothers Office and Warehouse) – 6380 Beulah Road	Samuel and Felicia Matthews for G5 Holdings, LLC and Treo Enterprise Solutions, Inc.: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Lerch Brothers Corp. to G5 Holdings, LLC and Treo Enterprise Solutions, Inc. The 21.33-acre site is located on the western line of Beulah Road, south of the C. & O. Railroad right of way and Portugee Road, on parcel 827-704-7629. The zoning is M-2 General Industrial District and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)
Mr. Baka - of approval for POD-94 Warehouse)?	Is there anyone present this morning in opposition to transfer 1-74, G5 Holdings (Formerly Lerch Brothers Office and
	Mr. Chairman, there being no opposition I move for approval for POD-94-74, G5 Holdings (Formerly Lerch Brothers Office pedited agenda, subject to the previously approved conditions.
Mrs. Thornton -	Second.
Mr. Baka - Thornton. All in favor say	We have a motion by Mr. Mackey and a second by Mrs. aye.
The Commission -	Aye.
Mr. Baka -	Opposed say no. Motion carries.

We have a motion by Mr. Mackey and a second by Mrs.

Mr. Baka -

Thornton. All in favor say aye.

52

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-97-74 (POD2018-00067) G5 Holdings (Formerly Lerch Brothers Office and Warehouse) from Lerch Brothers Corp. to G5 Holdings, LLC and Treo Enterprise Solutions, Inc, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

92 93

94

Ms. News - Next is on page 5 of your agenda and located in the Tuckahoe District. This is a transfer of approval for POD-03-06 and POD-77-82, Sunrise Senior Living (Formerly Gayton Terrace). The staff recommends approval.

95 96 97

## TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

98

POD-03-06 and POD-77-82 POD2019-00385 and POD2019-00386 Sunrise Senior Living (Formerly Gayton Terrace) – 12401 Gayton Road

Bridget Gloekler for CSH-ING Gayton Terrace LP and Sunrise Senior Living, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Aspen Gayton Terrace, LLC. to CSH-ING Gayton Terrace LP and Sunrise Senior Living, LLC. The 9.874-acre site is located on the east line of Gayton Road and the west line of Poplar Forest Drive, approximately 600 feet south of the intersection of Ridgefield Parkway and Gayton Road on parcel 732-750-7894. The zoning is R-6C, General Residential District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe)

99 100

Mr. Baka - Is there anyone present this morning in opposition to the transfer of approval for POD-03-06 and POD-77-82, Sunrise Senior Living (Formerly Gayton Terrace)?

102103104

101

Seeing none, I will make a motion to move that POD-03-06 and POD-77-82, Sunrise Senior Living, be approved on the expedited agenda, subject to the previously approved conditions.

106 107 108

105

Mr. Archer - Second.

109

110 Mr. Baka - We have a motion by Mr. Baka, a second by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye.

112113

The Commission - Aye.

114

115 Mr. Baka - Opposed say no. Motion carries.

116

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-03-06 and POD-77-82 (POD2019-00385 and POD2019-00386), Sunrise Senior Living (Formerly Gayton Terrace) from Aspen Gayton Terrace, LLC. to CSH-ING Gayton Terrace LP and Sunrise Senior Living, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

123 124 125	Ms. News - Fairfield District. This is Center Outparcel. The sta	The next item is on page 6 of your agenda and located in the a transfer of approval for POD-01-07, North Park Shopping aff recommends approval.
126 127 128	TRANSFER OF APPROV	/AL
129	POD-01-07 POD2018-00289 North Park Shopping Center Outparcel – 8131 Brook Road	EMC North Park Center, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from EMC North Park Center, LLC to Wells Fargo Bank NA Trustee. The 1.3-acre site is located in an existing shopping center along the southern line of East Parham Road, approximately 700 feet east of its intersection with Brook Road, on parcel 785-756-2214. The zoning is B-3, Business District. County water and sewer (Fairfield)
130	Mr. Baka -	Is there anyone present this morning in opposition to the
131		POD-01-07, North Park Shopping Center Outparcel? Seeing
132	none.	or or, riotar rain enopping content output con-
133		
134	Mr. Archer -	Mr. Chairman, I move approval of POD-01-07 and POD2018-
135	00289, North Park Shopp	,
136	,	
137	Mr. Witte -	Second.
138		
139	Mr. Baka -	We have a motion by Mr. Archer and a second by Mr. Witte.
140	All in favor say aye.	
141		
142	The Commission -	Aye.
143		
144	Mr. Baka -	Opposed say no. The motion carries.
145		
146		on approved the transfer of approval request POD-01-07
147	(POD2018-00289), North	Park Shopping Center Outparcel from EMC North Park Center,
148	_	k NA Trustee, subject to the standard and added conditions
149	previously approved.	
150		
151	Ms. News -	The next item is on page 7 of your agenda and located in the
152		transfer of approval for POD-15-01, Reflections Apartments,
153	which was formerly Henric	co Senior Living/Reflections. The staff recommends approval.
154		
155		
156		
157		
158		
159		

## TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

160 161

> POD-15-01 POD2019-00297 Reflections Apartments (Formerly Henrico Senior Living/Reflections) – 3701 Gay Avenue

J. David Page for SF Reflections LLC and Cambridge Management, Inc.: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from HC One LP to SF Reflections LLC. The 12.58-acre site is located along the southern line of Gay Avenue, approximately 900 feet west of Laburnum Avenue, on parcel 813-717-2321. The zoning is R-5C, General Residential District (Conditional), C-1, Conservation District, and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)

162

Mr. Baka - Anyone present this morning in opposition to the transfer of approval for POD-15-01, Reflections Apartments (Formerly Henrico Senior Living/Reflections)?

166

Mr. Mackey - Mr. Chairman, with there being no opposition I move for approval of the transfer of approval for POD-15-01, Reflections Apartments (Formerly Henrico Senior Living/Reflections), on the expedited agenda, subject to the previously approved conditions.

171

172 Mrs. Thornton - Second.

173

Mr. Baka - A motion by Mr. Mackey, second by Mrs. Thornton. All in favor say aye.

175 176

The Commission - Aye.

177178

179 Mr. Baka - Opposed say no. Motion carries.

180

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request POD-15-01 (POD2019-00297), Reflections Apartments (Formerly Henrico Senior Living/Reflections) from HC One LP to SF Reflections LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

185 186

187

188

189

Ms. News - The next item is on page 11 of your agenda and located in the Fairfield district. This is POD2019-00207, Stylecraft Homes Office Expansion. There is an addendum item indicating that the applicant has agreed to provide enhanced landscaping around the generator and dumpster, and staff recommends approval.

190 191

192 193

196 197

> POD2019-00207 (POD-10-02 Rev.) Stylecraft Homes Office Expansion – 6225 Lakeside Avenue (State Route 161)

Youngblood, Tyler, and Associates for Dominion Property Group, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a two-story, 9,780-square foot addition to an existing office building. The 1.17-acre site is located on the eastern line of Lakeside Avenue (State Route 161), approximately 150 feet south of Timberlake Avenue, on parcels 781-748-3772, 781-748-3679, 781-748-3183, and 781-748-3388. The zoning is B-1, Business District. County water and sewer. (Fairfield)

198

Mr. Baka - Okay. Is there anyone present this morning in opposition to POD2019-00207, Stylecraft Homes Office Expansion on Lakeside Avenue? Seeing none.

202

Mr. Archer - Mr. Chairman, I move for approval of POD2019-00207. Did vou mention the addendum item?

205 206

Ms. News - Yes, sir. I mentioned the addendum item.

207

Mr. Archer - Okay. Let me start over. I move for approval of POD2019-00207, Stylecraft Homes Office Expansion, 6225 Lakeside Avenue, including the addendum item from this morning and conditions 29 through 34.

211

212 Mr. Witte - Second.

213

- 214 Mr. Baka We have a motion by Mr. Archer and a second by Mr. Witte.
- 215 All in favor say aye.

216

- The Commission Aye.
- 219 Mr. Baka Opposed say no. Motion carries.

221 222 The Planning Commission approved the plan of development for POD2019-00207, Stylecraft Homes Office Expansion, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

224225

- 226 29. The entrances and drainage facilities on Lakeside Avenue (State Route 161) shall be approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation and the County.
- 228 30. A notice of completion form, certifying that the requirements of the Virginia Department of Transportation entrances permit have been completed, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning prior to any occupancy permits being issued.

- 232 31. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- 233 32. Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Virginia Department of Transportation maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by the contractor and approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
  - 34. The garage use shall meet the requirements of Section 24-56.1(d) of the County Code and shall not be used for storage of equipment or building materials, or vehicle maintenance or servicing.

Ms. News - The final item is on page 19 of your agenda and located in the Brookland District. This is POD2019-00392, Aspire at Carriage Hills Assisted Living. There is an addendum item which deletes condition number 30 and the Director of Public Works has agreed to delete the sidewalk along Bremner and accept an alternate location along Glenside Drive, as previously agreed to, for the sidewalk. The revised staff report indicates staff recommends approval, and there is a revised plan in your addendum. Staff recommends approval.

# PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

242

243

244245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252253

254 255

258259

260

261

POD2019-00392 Aspire at Carriage Hills Assisted Living – 7098 Fernwood Street Timmons Group for BPMS Carriage Hill AL LLC, AG-BH Acclaim Owner, LLC, 7108 Fernwood, LLC, Hunt Club LLC, BRG Carriage Hill IL, LLC, BPMS Carriage Hill Holdings, LLC, and Bonaventure Realty Group, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a three-story, 101,970-square foot assisted living building with 124 units. The 3.91-acre development site is part of the overall 61.88-acre Carriage Hill senior living community, located along the southern line of Bremner Boulevard, approximately 80 feet west of Fernwood Street, on parcel 770-749-6475, part of parcel 770-749-9008, and part of parcel 770-748-2488. The zoning is R-6C, General Residential District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Brookland)

Mr. Baka - Is there anyone present this morning in opposition to POD2019-00392, Aspire at Carriage Hills Assisted Living? Okay.

Mr. Witte - Mr. Chairman, I move POD2019-00392, Aspire at Carriage Hills Assisted Living be approved on the expedited agenda, subject to annotations on the

plans, standard conditions for developments of this type, additional conditions 29, 31, and 32 with deletion of condition 30 in the agenda, and the revised plan in the addendum.

Mr. Mackey - Second.

- 267 Mr. Baka We have a motion by Mr. Witte and a second by Mr. Mackey.
- 268 All in favor say aye.

270 The Commission - Aye.

272 Mr. Baka - Opposed say no. The motion carries.

The Planning Commission approved the plan of development for POD2019-00392, Aspire at Carriage Hills Assisted Living, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- 29. The right-of-way for widening of Bremner Boulevard as shown on approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- 284 30. DELETED A concrete sidewalk meeting County standards shall be provided along the southern side of Bremner Boulevard.
  - 31. The proffers approved as a part of zoning case REZ2018-00045 shall be incorporated in this approval.
  - 32. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

Ms. News - And that concludes our expedited agenda.

297 Mr. Baka - Thank you.

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, we now move on to the subdivision extensions of conditional approval. There are none this morning, so we move into your regular agenda, to page 8, for POD2019-00388, Kimley-Horn and Associates for Brandon Wright. The staff report will be presented by Christina Goggin.

> POD2019-00388 Everleigh Apartments --12651 Three Chopt Road

Kimley-Horn and Associates for Brandon Wright: Request for approval of a landscape plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 8.65-acre site is located on the southern line of Three Chopt Road, approximately 620 feet west of its intersection with Lauderdale Drive, on parcel 735-761-8792 and part of parcel 736-761-3774. The zoning is R-6C, General Residential District (Conditional), O-2C, Office District (Conditional), and WBSO, West Broad Street Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

Mr. Baka - Is there anyone present this morning in opposition to POD2019-00388, Kimley-Horn and Associates for Brandon Wright, Everleigh Apartments? We will have a staff presentation and we will get to you in just a couple of minutes. Good morning.

Ms. Goggin - Good morning. This landscape plan is for Everleigh Apartments which is currently under construction on this parcel right here. Proffers of rezoning 2018-00010, there are proffered buffers in the rear of the site adjacent to Springrock at Wellesley and Foxfield at Wellesley, which is this property line here. The buffers consist of a 10-foot natural landscape buffer that must be maintained to a ten-foot transitional buffer quality, and an additional 10-foot buffer that is supplemented with an additional landscaping, for a total of 20 feet, as per proffer.

The applicant decided to retain the entire 20 feet of existing mature woods. In addition to the landscape buffer, the applicant is building garages and providing a six-foot tall fence between the garages -- which is, here are the garages and the fence between the garages -- to create an opaque screen between the complex's parking lot and the existing neighborhoods, for a total area of 35 feet of landscaping from the rear property line, which exceeds the minimum proffer of 20 feet.

There is a proffered 25-foot buffer adjacent to the western property line, over here, and the apartments are separated from Three Chopt Road by proffered 25-foot landscape strip and planted at a 35-foot transitional. And here is the apartment complex and here is the 35-foot West Broad Street overlay buffer, as shown on these sheets.

The developer and the design firm, Kimley-Horn, met with Foxfield and Wellesley representatives three times over the summer of 2019 to discuss and design the plan. In September, the Wellesley Homeowners Association issued a letter indicating that the Board of Directors approved the plan at their meeting August 20th, 2019.

Staff recommends approval subject to the annotations on the plan and the standard conditions for landscape plans. Robbie Roach, who designed the plan, is here, should

343	you have any questions for him, and I would be happy to try to answer any questions you
344	have of me.
345	

Mr. Baka - Okay. Does the Commission have any questions for the staff?
Okay. Thank you. Mrs. Thornton, how would you like to proceed?

349 Mrs. Thornton - Hear from the opposition.

Mr. Baka - Okay. Would you please come forward? And Mr. Emerson, would you take this opportunity to read our guidelines for public hearings?

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. As you note, the Commission does have guidelines that govern its public hearings and they are as follows: The applicant is provided 10 minutes to present their case. The opposition is provided 10 minutes, cumulative, to raise concerns regarding the case, meaning everybody who wishes to speak needs to fit within that 10 minutes. Questions from the Commission do not count into the time limits, and the Commission may extend those time limits at their discretion. And all comments must be directly related to the case under consideration.

Mr. Baka - Good morning and welcome. Please state your name for the record.

Ms. Robinson - Good morning. My name is Jeannie Robinson. My address is 12069 Foxfield Circle. I first would like to thank Ms. Goggin for all her help that she has given me, and I have just a very short thing to read and a question.

I refer to the area left of the center on your plan, right here, where four dark green circles are indicated at the end of what is stated as Foxfield Place. These circles indicate green giant arborvitae, which is a super choice. Having eight years of landscape experience with a Planning Commission in another state, I noticed whenever the land was initially cleared several feet of clay was removed from the main site, replaced with dark soil. Soil on the south side, where I have just indicated, was not replaced and it is composed of clay. This summer, grass seed was thrown, covered with straw, but it was not successful. I sent a pic yesterday to the county planner showing this barren area where a six-foot drop in the land was formed behind the proposed garages, and now there is a large gully which was flat before construction started.

As we all know, there is a standard condition for approval that dead landscaping is to be replaced in a timely manner. After the first year I assume Kimley-Horn will be gone. My question is, who do we reach out to should these shrubs die, as no provision appears to have been made for maintaining or watering this manmade low area?

Mr. Baka - Any questions from the Commission of Ms. Robinson?

Mrs. Thornton - I have one quick question. Sorry.

390		
391	Mrs. Thornton -	Is it where the sewer drain is?
392		
393	Ms. Robinson -	Yes, ma'am.
394		On the cate the constant was a constant to a
395	Mrs. Thornton -	So there's like a road, like a like here's the neighborhood
396		and it has to bring the sewer through? Is that where you are
397	talking about?	
398	Ms. Robinson -	It's past the sewer. It goes straight down and then it goes
399 400		measure it yesterday and I sent pics to Christina, and I said,
401	no, this isn't going to work.	
402	no, the lant going to work.	
403	Mrs. Thornton -	Okay. So let's let the applicant address this. And then we'll -
404	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
405	Ms. Robinson -	That's fine. I just wanted to bring this to your attention so that
406	we don't have problems lat	ter.
407		
408	Mrs. Thornton -	Thank you.
409		
410	Mr. Baka -	Thank you very much. Is there anyone else first that would
411		to this case? Okay. If not, would the applicant please come
412	forward? Good morning.	vveicome.
413	Mr. Roach -	My name is Robbie Roach and I'm with Kimley-Horn. I'm a
414 415		has been working on this project from the beginning with
416		so just so you realize this, this whole area beyond the 20-
417		, is additionally a swale condition, where we need to flush
418		adjacent property and our property. And it is being channeled
419		west. So that kind of indicates why there's a low point here.
420		
421		ruction and there is a stockpile of topsoil that will be replaced
422		this area. So right now, if you look at it today, it's all under
423		ke barren earth, and it's, you know, eight inches below the top
424		going to be infilled and amended with topsoil before they plant
425	that strip. So I don't know	if that addresses the concern.
426	And then warranty wise w	e have a few phone numbers that they can contact, if they can't
427 428	,	y can't contact the County, the representative of Greystar has
429	several phone numbers that	
430	Several priorie numbers un	at they can reach out to.
431	Mrs. Thornton -	And the water, the irrigation?
432		
433	Mr. Roach -	Yep. There's irrigation plans for this area, for the whole site.
434		7

Would you be able to come back? Thanks.

Mr. Baka -

435 436 437	Mrs. Thornton - the sewer is and then it d collect water, or are you go	Okay. So the six-foot drop, because I've seen it too, where rops off, are you saying that that is going to stay that way to bing to put
438 439 440 441 442	Mr. Roach - to the edge of the curb? I before construction began	What's the difference the six-foot drop from the property line s that what we're talking about, or just from what existed right and then to date?
443 444	Mrs. Thornton -	It's pretty have you seen it?
445 446 447 448	Mr. Roach - planted. There are sever (indiscernible 18:08) issue	Yeah, but I don't understand your concern. It will all be ral plants that will be planted along that area. So is this a or just drop right here.
449 450	Mrs. Thornton -	Just drop. So you will put the dirt back into the hole.
451 452	Mr. Roach -	Right. It's going to be higher than it is today.
453 454	Mrs. Thornton -	Okay. That's what her concern was.
455 456 457	Mr. Roach - microphone, indiscernible)	Yeah. I think the picture shows kind how the - (off
458 459 460	Mr. Baka - May I follow up on that que	Sorry, ma'am. You'll have to address comments from the mic. estion, Mrs. Thornton?
461 462	Mrs. Thornton -	Yes.
463 464 465 466 467	•	So, you're talking about the six-foot drop. Is there a way you ainage and fill in a portion of that six-foot area right now, and it, I guess I'm saying that that entire depth of six feet is probably drainage. Correct?
468 469 470 471 472 473 474	should be able to get the think if there's a concern r	I'm Kelsey Westwood, also with Kimley-Horn. I'm the civil There is a swale that is being proposed along that area. We full swale down to the inlet as it's supposed to be. I mean, I right now, you know, Greystar is aware that that needs to be ake sure the drainage is directed where it's supposed to go, to he swale.
475 476 477 478	Mr. Baka - here, would be, would you drainage repair?	And a follow-up question might be on the tip of others' tongues have an estimated time frame of when you could do that quick
479	Mr. Roach -	I don't know if it's a repair necessarily.

482 483 484	issue, I'm sure that they will be able to address it within, you know, the next month, at the very latest, I would say. Within the next week or so they could go out and fill any areas that need to be filled. Like there shouldn't		
485 486	Mr. Roach -	Finished product.	
487	WII. INDACII -	Timstica product.	
488	Ms. Westwood -	Yeah, the finished product will not have the low spot, right,	
489		kpiled onsite where they can bring it back. You know, they're	
490	going to fill in the areas so		
491			
492	Mr. Roach -	And obviously try and limit impacts to the existing woods	
493		n't have a pileup of topsoil anywhere that's going to impact the	
494	9	d of the day, when we have the fence (indiscernible 20:10), it	
495	should be a lot nicer pictur	e than what you see today.	
496		Out and the Delivered severe the draw off is prime	
497	Mr. Witte -	So to answer Ms. Robinson's concerns, the drop-off is going	
498	to be eliminated.		
499	Ms. Westwood -	Yes.	
500 501	ws. vvestwood -	165.	
502	Mr. Witte -	And the area is going to be irrigated.	
503	Will Ville	, and another gering to be imagened.	
504	Mr. Roach -	Correct.	
505			
506	Mrs. Thornton -	And she has numbers to call if something dies after	
507			
508	Ms. Westwood -	Yes.	
509	Mr. Witte -	And do you want to get with her and make sure she has those	
510	numbers, as well as the Co		
511 512	numbers, as well as the Co	ounty numbers:	
513	Mr. Roach -	We can do that now. Yes, sir.	
514			
515	Ms. Westwood -	I have a list of them here too.	
516			
517	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Chair, Ms. Robinson does have the contacts for Kimley	
518		the first contact if there were issues with the buffer, because	
519	we do enforce those.		
520		T	
521	Mr. Baka -	Thank you. Any other questions of the applicant? Thank you	
522		ther questions from the Commission? If not, a motion may be	
523	in order.		
524			

Ms. Westwood - Right. I don't know that there is a current -- if there is a current

525	Mrs. Thornton -	All right.	I move the landscape plan for POD2019-00388
526	Everleigh Apartments be	approved	subject to the annotations on the plans and the

Everleigh Apartments be approved, subject to the annotations on the plans and the

standard conditions for landscape plans.

528529

Mr. Mackey - Second.

530

Mr. Baka - We have a motion by Mrs. Thornton and a second by Mr. Mackey. All in favor say aye.

533

534 The Commission - Aye.

535

536 Mr. Baka - Opposed say no. The motion passes.

537

The Planning Commission approved the plan of development for POD2019-00388, Everleigh Apartments, subject to the annotations on the plans and the standard conditions attached to these minutes for landscape plans.

541

Mr. Emerson - Mr. Chairman, we now move on to page 9 of your agenda for POD2019-00390, Townes Site Engineering for Brook Villas, LLC and Mark-Dana Corporation. The staff report will be presented by Ms. Christina Goggin.

545546

# PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSITIONAL BUFFER DEVIATION

547

POD2019-00390 Brook Villas -- 8000 Brook Road

Townes Site Engineering for Brook Villas, LLC and Mark-Dana Corporation: Request for approval of a plan of development and transitional buffer deviation, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 and 24-106.2(e)(3) of the Henrico County Code, to construct one three-story, 36-unit, 41.037-square foot apartment building and two three-story 24-unit, 30,075-square foot apartment buildings for a total of 84 units; with a 2,972-square foot, one-story clubhouse and maintenance building. The transitional buffer deviation would authorize a 3.5-foot reduction in the 10-foot transitional buffer along the southern property line. The 6.06-acre site is located at the southwestern corner of the irtersection of Brook Road (U.S. Route 1) and Villa Park Drive, on parcel 783-753-8074. The zoning is R-5, General Residential District and B-3C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Fairfield)

548549

550

551

Mr. Baka - Is there anyone present this morning in opposition to POD2019-00390, Townes Site Engineering for Brook Villas, LLC and Mark-Dana Corporation? And I see several hands. We will have a staff presentation and get to you all in just a few minutes. Good morning, again.

Ms. Goggin - Good morning. This POD for Brook Villas is for three, threestory apartment buildings totaling 84 units. Here is the site layout. The proposal consists of a community building, over here, that includes a community room, a fitness center, and leasing office. A maintenance building is also proposed, over here. The site is unproffered and was zoned R-5 by the Board of Supervisors by ordinance in January of 1960.

Access to the development will be from new left- and right-turn lanes located on Villa Park Drive. So there's the left-turn lane and then there's the right-turn lane. There will be no access onto the site from Brook Road or from Landsworth Avenue or Wentworth Avenue and Stuart Ridge subdivision to the south. So there's no vehicular access from these two roads here.

The project includes sidewalk along the frontage of Villa Park Drive and Brook Road. Stormwater outflow is proposed within the Virginia Dominion Power easement at the rear of the parcel, over here, and Virginia Dominion Power would need to approve anything within the easements prior to Henrico approving the plan for construction. Two parking spaces are provided per unit.

The buildings are light tan, cement fiberboard, and they primarily have an accent tone of red brick with white vinyl windows and doors, white composite columns and trim, black aluminum railing, and a wood-tone roof shingle.

There is a transitional buffer required between Stuart Ridge subdivision and this development. The applicant proposes to retain the woods from the maintenance shed to Brook Road, so the woods would be maintained in this area, which is a little over 200 linear feet. From that point, a stormwater pipe and a 16-foot public easement is proposed, and it would encroach into the 10-foot buffer along this edge, approximately 3.5 feet, leaving a plantable 6.5-foot wide buffer. To clarify, the applicant is asking for a waiver of buffer width, not the planting requirement. All of the plantings can still fit in the 6.5 feet.

In addition to providing the transitional plantings, they are providing six-foot vinyl fence that will run the length of the clearing by the maintenance building to the Virginia Dominion Power line. So that's from here to Virginia Dominion Power's easement.

The applicant has provided a profile that shows their request, what they are asking for, and how it fits on the plan. They are here today to present their waiver request to the Planning Commission.

Staff has received phone calls from residents of Stuart Ridge subdivision and is sharing and discussing the plans with them. Concerns over access to the site, the transitional buffer deviation request, the height of the proposed apartments next to single-family dwellings, and lack of privacy were shared with staff.

598 599 600 601	Should the Commission choose to approve the request, staff recommends the standard conditions for developments of this type, and additional Conditions 29 through 39 in the agenda.	
602 603 604		er, and the developer are here to answer any questions you yould be happy to answer any questions you may have of me.
605 606	Mr. Baka -	Any questions from the Commission for our staff?
607 608 609	Mr. Archer - one? The first one you sho	Could you put back up the original site map? The colored owed.
610	Ms. Goggin -	The aerial?
612	Mr. Archer -	Yes.
614	Ms. Goggin -	Yes, sir.
616 617 618	Mr. Archer - Power line	And for the benefit of everyone else, as far as the Dominion
619 620 621 622	Ms. Goggin - western end of the proper and all the way up. It cont	The Dominion Power line is this swath, at the end at the ty, and you can see how it runs back behind the neighborhood tinues.
623 624 625	Mrs. Thornton - that they are leaving?	And then the right-hand side, near Brook Road, is the buffer
626 627 628	Ms. Goggin - misunderstood.	On the southern property line? Let me go back. I'm sorry. I
629 630 631	Mrs. Thornton - it. So it is the corner near	I just wanted to see where they said that they are not touching Brook.
632 633	Ms. Goggin - untouched.	Yes. About 200 linear feet from here to here would be left
634 635 636	Mr. Baka - Archer, how would you like	Other questions from the Commission? If not, thank you. Mr. e to proceed?
637 638 639	Mr. Archer - applicant next.	I would like to hear from the opposition first and then the
640 641 642 643	Mr. Baka - on down to the podium, a guidelines for public hearin	Okay. Would whoever would like to speak first please come and if I may, let me ask Mr. Emerson to brief us again on the ags.

644	Mr. Emorgon	Voc. air Mr. Chairman Again the Commission does have	
645 646	Mr. Emerson -	Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. Again, the Commission does have eir public hearings and they are as follows: Ten minutes is	
647		to present the case. Ten minutes is provided to the opposition.	
648	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	nts need to fit within that 10 minutes. Questions from the	
649	commission do not count into those time limits, and, of course, either of those time limits		
650		commission, at their discretion. And all comments should be	
651	directly related to the case		
652	andstry related to the sact	direct consideration.	
653	Mr. Baka -	Good morning. Welcome.	
654		3	
655	Ms. Repole -	Good morning. This is my worst nightmare.	
656	·	3 , , , ,	
657	(Laughter.)		
658	,		
659	Mr. Baka -	No. Please relax. Please state your name.	
660			
661	Ms. Repole -	My name is Mary Repole.	
662			
663	Mr. Archer -	Can you please spell your name?	
664			
665	Ms. Repole -	R-E-P-O-L-E. It looks like re-pole. I just purchased a house	
666		ue, so it would be I'm not really sure where it would be on this	
667		one of the big buildings. So along that southern line, like where	
668		Landsworth, yeah. So we actually walk I have a dog, so we	
669		time, and there are a lot of big, old tree growth, that I assume	
670	is going to be removed.		
671	Dut mui innun in that 10 fee		
672		et actually isn't that far into the woods, and, you know, the other	
673	neighborhood gets a 20-foot buffer and they want to take our 10 foot to 6.5 or something like that. And it just seems like one it's destroying the nature, but also like there just		
674 675	like that. And it just seems like, one, it's destroying the nature, but also, like, there just		
676	wouldn't be much of a buffer, and we are going to be able to see those big buildings towering over our small little rancher-style neighborhood. I just think that it would be a		
677	bad idea.	the function style heighbothood. I just think that it would be a	
678	bad laca.		
679	Mr. Baka -	Okay. Any questions from the Commission of Ms. Repole?	
680		The state of the s	
681	Ms. Repole -	Also, I'm opposed. I don't know if I made that clear.	
682	·		
683	(Laughter.)		
684	- ,		
685	Mr. Baka -	Would someone else who would like to speak please come	
686	forward? Good morning.		
687			
688	Ms. Beaumont -	Good morning. My name is Evelyn Beaumont and I live on	
689	Wentworth Avenue. I bro	ught some pictures. There we go. This is we have lived in	

this neighborhood for 22 years, and this is the -- at the end of our street, Wentworth, is the wooded area that will be removed for this apartment complex. This is inside of the woods. This is one of the houses at the end, which, adjacent to this house will be the largest of the three apartment buildings, and the maintenance shed, and the community building, sorry, will be next door to that house. And this is from Villa Park -- I'm kind of going backwards here, unfortunately. Sorry. This is from our street. So this has been the buffer between our neighborhood and Villa Park forever. And it was pointed out that this was probably wooded before, when our houses were built, but my house was built in 1936, so that may have bothered somebody back then.

So, anyway, this has been our buffer. So now, with four days' notice, we found out that there is going to be three -- that there are going to be three three-story buildings right adjacent to our neighborhood. And we have some concerns about the speed with which we are being made to learn this. We got notice in the mail on Friday of a meeting on Wednesday at 9 a.m., which made it nearly impossible to notify neighbors about this. The issue they want -- they would like to reduce their 10-foot buffer. We have had -- I walked through here and it's about 60 of my paces. I have no idea what that is. But it's about 60 paces of woods, and now we're reduced to a 10-foot buffer that will have newly planted trees, and they would like to decrease that by 3.5 feet. I'm not really understanding what that means.

The gentleman from the developer said that it really wouldn't impact the 10 feet. Our concern is that we suddenly are learning that this is happening, that we are not going to have any trees, that there is a request for a reduction of 3.5 feet, and we have to decide it right now, because they don't have time to -- they are on a time schedule.

We have a real concern here for our neighborhood and to sort of getting our head around what is now going to be our neighbor, and what our visual is going to be to -- that replaces these woods at the end of our street.

720 Mr. Baka - Thank you. Any questions from members of the Commission of Ms. Beaumont?

Mrs. Thornton - Just real quick. The blue tags on a lot of those trees, is that where the border, or do you even know?

Ms. Beaumont - I don't really know. I know that the tags are survey, which is what has been done. But all of the trees will be removed, and the -- and then they will plant new trees of whatever, you know, 10 feet, whatever. I don't know what the parameters are. But there will be a plant and a bush every 100 feet?

Ms. Goggin - The Transitional Buffer 10 is two large trees, ultimate height of 50 feet, one small tree of ultimate height of 25 feet, and 25 shrubs every 100 linear feet.

Mr. Baka -Okay, thank you. Any other questions from the Commission? 735 736

Okay. Thank you very much. Is there anyone else who would like to speak on this case?

Okay. Anyone else at all? We will have one we can get to next. Good morning.

Welcome. 738

739 740

737

Ms. Snellings -Melanie Snellings.

741

Mr. Baka -I'm sorry, your last name? 742

743 744

745

746

747

748

749

750

Snellings. S-n-e-l-l-i-n-g-s. I own a house on Wentworth, so Ms. Snellings that's the one closest to Brook Road. I want to echo my neighbors' opposition, echo their voices about this is my worst nightmare as well, being up here speaking. I also just want to bring up the questions about the property value this sort of development will do to us on our streets. I want to echo that, yes, we've lived in a quiet, little neighborhood for a long time. We have children who, you know, who play in the streets. I am very happy to know that there is no traffic thoroughfares for the apartment complex, but foot traffic, the increased foot traffic, of course, that's always a concern as well.

751 752 753

754

I also, you know -- as my neighbor talked about some, you know, major (indiscernible 38:26) and we will greatly miss the wind through those pines at the end of our street, as will our children. I'm sure. Thanks for letting me echo my neighbors' opposition.

755 756 757

Yes. Any questions from the Commission of Ms. Snellings? Mr. Baka -Thank you. Thank you for your comments. Is there anyone else who would like to speak on this matter this morning? Please come forward. Good morning. Welcome.

759 760 761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

758

Ms. Peters -Good morning. Thank you. Name is Gwenda Peters. I live at 7506 Wentworth. I guess my biggest concern right now is that we had such limited notice of this, and I think that the buffer issue is a big deal. And I think perhaps if they want the extra 3.5 feet, I think there might be some other options that should be on the table, somewhat make it where we would have a little bit more of a separation from our neighborhood and a huge development. So maybe this is something they should revisit. Maybe they should do some kind of study on the noise that it will create, the visual impact, something like that. That would give me a little more confidence. So, I do oppose this, at this juncture.

769 770 771

Any questions of Ms. Peters? Thank you. Any other speakers Mr. Baka on this matter? Please come forward. Good morning.

772 773 774

775

776

777

778

Good morning. My name is Patricia Brewer. I'm at 7526 Ms. Brewer -Landsworth Avenue, so I am the last house, and my yard backs up to the Dominion Power easement. I know that this is about the buffer, but I feel the need to say that I'm actually forced to sell my house because of this project. I bought the house knowing there were woods. Now I'm going to be looking at a three-story building. So my house is on the market, if anyone wants it.

My concern -- I have multiple concerns, obviously. But with the buffer, because we -- I will echo what everyone else has said -- we have looked at woods the whole time we've all lived there, and now you are reducing it to 10 feet, 6.5 feet, whatever it is. So that's -it's not why you bought a house. We didn't buy our homes to look at three-story apartment buildings.

785 786 787

788

789

781

782

783

784

I understand there is going to be a six-foot vinyl fence. Who maintains that fence? Is that the apartment complex that maintains that fence? They're going to be putting trees, 50foot trees? How close are they going to be to the fence, and how is that going to affect the fence over time? Trees grow. Roots -- you know, fences fall, things like that.

790 791 792

793

794

795

796

I also understand that there is no stormwater retention pond, that it is all going into the gully that used to be the trolley lines back there. So that probably means additional standing water by my house, additional mosquitos. And there is also a Dominion access power -- excuse me, a Dominion Power access road that goes right next to my house now, and I don't see that on the plans so I don't actually know how Dominion Power is going to access the big old pole in my back yard.

797 798 799

Mr. Baka -Okay. Any questions for Ms. Brewer from the Commission?

800 801

Ms. Brewer -Thank you.

802

Mr. Baka -Thank you very much. We are at 10 minutes right now. This 803 is -- and the final question, is there anyone else who would like to speak here on this 804 matter, with any new information? Okay. Thank you. Anyone else at all? 805

806

Mr. Archer, how would you like to proceed? 807

808

Let's hear from the applicant. I think they realize they've got 809 Mr. Archer their work cut out for them. 810

811

Would the applicant please come forward? Good morning Mr. Baka -812 and welcome. 813

814

Good morning. My name is David Koogler. I am president of 815 Mr. Koogler the Mark-Dana Corporation. We are the developer of the project. I appreciate you giving 816 us the opportunity to come before you this morning and answer any questions that you might have. 818

819

817

If I may, just a little bit of background on our company. We develop affordable housing 820 using the Federal housing income tax credit. We have been doing it since the inception 821 of the program and signed into law by President Reagan in the mid '80s. We are a family-822 owned company. My parents formed the company when my father retired from a 823 business in mining. They returned to Virginia, where they were both raised, and started 824 developing housing. He built one of the first affordable housing developments using the 825 tax credit program in the state of Virginia. We have been developing continuously since. 826

We own every property that we have developed, and we maintain every property that we have developed. The properties that we have had for over 15 years now we have gone back in and rehabbed them, modernized them, made them energy efficient.

I went to Washington & Lee Law School and when I graduated, I moved to Houston, where I married a Houston native, and so I'm still in Houston. We develop in Houston as well, using the same program, and again, we own and maintain our own properties and we keep them, we're proud of them. We feel that they contribute to the community. They provide housing for people of moderate income, and we keep up with them for the long term. Because we are a long-term builder we are not like a market-based builder that is going to sell it in three to five years, so we focus on quality because we are going to have to maintain it for the next 15, 20, 30 years.

So that's a little bit about us. And I am happy to answer any questions that you may have for us about the project, and I can go into further detail on any of the comments that have been brought up.

Mr. Archer - You heard several concerns raised by the neighbors. The ones that I've listed that deserve the most attention: the stormwater issue, access to major power lines, who maintains the fences. There was concern about the buffer deviation and also the fact that there was little notice. But I guess you could start there and see if you can't alleviate some concerns that people have about this proposal. I would like to remind the neighborhood, unlike zoning cases, this is not one that we can just find reasons to approve or not. What we do with plans of development, the major premise is that the plans must fit within the framework of what the law allows you to do.

Mr. Koogler - Yes. Thank you. I'm going to ask Jason to address some of the drainage questions, but before he does, as far as who maintains the fence, it is going to be us, and we are going to maintain the apartment development as part of it, and we will maintain that. As far as the reduction in the buffer goes, I think, technically, we are asking for a reduction in the buffer, but as a practical matter that buffer still exists. The reason for that reduction -- and, Jason, correct me if I misspeak -- is that we have to put a drainage line in, and we are not allowed to plant over that drainage line. So that 3.5 feet is where the drainage line is going to go. There is not going to be anything above it, including plantings. And then we'll have a fence and then we'll have trees along the fence. You know, we have proposed a six-foot fence. If an eight-foot fence helps, I am happy to do that. But it will be our responsibility to maintain the plantings and the fence, and we plan to do that.

And we would be happy to plant evergreen trees instead of so many shrubs, so that will grow taller and hopefully help a little bit with the visual aspect. I'm trying to remember all the questions. Why don't you address the drainage?

Mr. Thornton - So let me say something before the gentleman comes up. I have been listening to your response to Mr. Archer and hear the residents come up and express some of their thoughts. It's always been a concern to me, since I've been on the

board, how we handle buffers and how we address serious, sometimes, without a lot of forethought telling the truth.

And so I also learn about the sensitivity, this issue of building a new initiative, and here you have an existing neighborhood. It looked like also you would show some sensitivities, not just what you have by right. I just want to know that there is a humane part, you know, as part of your perspective to the perspective of those who are living there at the time. And sometimes when I hear your comments, I don't seem to hear that. And one of the concerns we have in Henrico is that we want to make sure that all our residents are treated fairly, and also that each house that they have is their chateaus, their homes, their abodes.

But I would like to see the development show a little bit more sensitivity as you come into everything, and you may not hear yourself sometimes, but some of us who have to make a decision on this, it seems like a little bit lack of sensitivity sometimes. And I just want to share that with you because sometimes we don't hear ourselves. And I know this is the nature of the venture of the company.

But also, we need to consider the people who were there in the beginning, their sensitivity. I think this always helps. And then we're talking about, you know, three stories. So what I tend to do sometimes, is I try to put myself in other people's positions. And I know that when we talk about proffers and these things, it may come down to doing that. But I also think we need to bring a level of sensitivity to some of these things that we want to have in Henrico County, and I just want to make sure that, you know, you're more cognizant of what people say. And when the people make certain suggestions or recommendations, you have to listen to them. That's very important. Sometimes I don't know whether or not that is heard as much as it is expressed, and if I were in your shoes, I would want to make sure, did I say that right? Did I say anything insensitive to these people that we're going to build this? I am just sharing this with you because I think it is good for you to know.

Mr. Koogler - I do appreciate that, and I apologize if I come across as insensitive. I didn't mean that. We do want to take the neighborhood into, you know, their concerns, and there's not a whole lot we can do because we can't build an apartment complex there and, you know, keep, you know, the entire forest. So, you're right, we are going to have to take down a lot of the trees that are there along that southern boundary line, so that we can put in the drainage system. So believe me, we would love to keep those trees there if we could. We are going to try to keep the trees, you know, the trees where we don't have to disturb them there along Brook Road. I know that doesn't help the neighbors to the south, at least the ones that are here.

 But we do -- I do want to take their concerns into account, and, like I said, you know, we can do what we can do. If a taller fence helps, we are happy to do that. If replacing some of the shrub requirements with evergreen trees helps, we are happy to do that as well. We did try to take into account some conditions that would probably make the project more appealing visually. It is 85 percent brick. It is hardy. It is going to be a good-looking

building. It is one that I think we can all be proud of once we see it. And I've given -- I met with a couple of the residents briefly before the meeting, and I'm happy to give them a business card and talk to them about things. You know, we try to be very good neighbors.

We are building a development in Berryville, Virginia, northern Virginia, right now. You know, we meet -- I meet once a month with the construction team, the city. The assistant town manager comes to those meetings, and each meeting she described how surprised she is that she hasn't had one single resident complain about our construction.

So, you know, I'm not saying there won't be a complaint on our construction. It is construction, but we will do everything we can to minimize that, and I'm happy to talk with the residents and try to address the concerns that we can address as we develop the project. And anything else I can do, I'm happy to -- I don't know it all and I'm happy to learn, so if you have any advice, please let me know. And with that... Jason would you like to address the drainage, and if I missed any questions, I can come back and answer them.

Mr. Wilkins - Good morning. My name is Jason Wilkins with Townes Site Engineering. I think initially I can speak to the stormwater management concerns. We don't have a traditional wet pond on this project, but what we have done is designed an underground detention system, which you can see kind of where I'm hovering over here, between these two buildings, which all of our drainage for this property is going to get conveyed to that underground system, and we are detaining it. Actually, we are in a certain district of the county where we have to detain excessively beyond what is normal. It's a 50-10, if you guys are familiar with that, where you have to take the 50-year post-development flow and reduce it to the 10-year pre-development flow. So it is a pretty significant reduction in stormwater flow that we are going to be providing on this project. So that is kind of -- that's what we are doing to address the stormwater.

The questions about the Dominion Power driveway and easement – so when we first started working on this project, we were provided with an updated title search on the property, and our survey department reviewed that and we prepared an alternate survey, walked the property, and did all of the appropriate research. That driveway actually is not in the Dominion Power easement. And it may be used by them or from other people for different reasons, but it is actually not in an easement. And to my knowledge, Dominion Power accesses this easement either from -- there's a public alley that is behind the lots in the subdivision that touches it. Yeah, they could come up Ridge Road and then access it, or they could even -- I mean, there's direct access from Villa Park Drive, although I don't think that's practical. That is how they are able to access the Dominion Power easement.

I am also happy to get into any more details about this buffer, specifically why we are asking for it. I think maybe this cross-section that we had done -- okay. The reason -- we initially didn't think we were going to need this, and when we got into looking at the design of this project, one thing we realized is that from the subdivision to the south,

where the neighbors live, there is about six acres of drainage that drains to our property, which was unaccounted for. The subdivision is very old. So, we had to intercept that water before it hit our buildings that we are proposing.

So in order to do that we had to put in a stormwater pipe, which has to be in a public easement because we're intercepting off-site water, and then that gets us into we have a public easement, we have our 10-foot transitional buffer, and they are going to have to overlap with each other. And, you know, we can't plant trees over a public easement, for maintenance reasons. So what we are proposing to do is take all of -- as everybody said before, with staff, we are trying to take -- we are going to take all the plants that are required and we are going to put them in 6.5 feet, instead of the 10 feet. The actual distance from our apartment building to the property line has not changed. It is the exact same, as it would have been if we weren't asking for this deviation. And in addition to that, my client is proposing a privacy fence, which is an additional item, which he also offered to make it taller. And I think, you know, by the time you -- if we end up going with something like some evergreens, if you kind of imagine what that will look like with a privacy fence, evergreens, knowing that the building is still the same distance from the property lines it would have been anyway. I feel like that's a significant improvement over what would have been just standard -- you know, if we weren't asking for this deviation and we just went in there and planted according to code, what they are going to end up with now, it's going to be an improvement, visually.

I'm happy to answer any questions about this particular item or anything else related to the site.

Mr. Baka - Would you be able to retain or -- I guess I don't get, you know, I don't get it. Why can't you retain some of the existing tall trees in that 6.5-foot area, prior to construction?

Mr. Wilkins - Well, because of the drainage coming from the neighborhood off-site, we have to grade in the swale, and so it's really just a matter of 6.5 feet, you know, with limitations of trying not to grade -- you don't want to have a steep drop-off, you know, something like, you know, a slope that could be maintained and mowed a three to one, a four to one. So, it really just comes down to the design of the site and trying to make everything work, capture the drainage between the building and the property line, is really what is critical there.

Mrs. Thornton - So they'll see the white fence, and then the trees would be on the inside of the fence.

1005 Mr. Wilkins - Correct.

Mrs. Thornton - So if you stagger, stagger Steeplechase Arborvitae in a triangle, they do grow 50 feet and it is a complete barrier wall. I've seen it done in other places, but if you have to plant them in a triangle, of course as they get bigger they get skinny, so to make that effect. So that's like twice as many trees as you are proposing

now, just to get back to what you are going for. Because evergreens, you are going to 1011 do them and then you're going to have a see-through if you just plant them beside each 1012 1013 other. 1014 1015 Mr. Wilkins -Right. You're talking about kind of a diagonal frame, or staggered, so they kind of all fill in and create a wall, essentially, of evergreens. Yeah, 1016 1017 I'm happy to --1018 1019 Mr. Koogler -We would be happy to do that. That sounds like a good idea. 1020 1021 Mr. Baka -You know, just to Mrs. Thornton's comment about staggering to create that fill-in, that's the gap on the left side of the page here. What about the area 1022 on the right side of the page, between the edge of the stormwater easement, this dashed 1023 line, and the building wall? I realize that's not up against where the fence is, but would it 1024 be advantageous to install taller trees there? 1025 1026 Mr. Wilkins -So you're referring to between the pipe and proposed 1027 apartment building? 1028 1029 Mr. Baka -Not just the pipe but the edge of that easement, that dashed 1030 1031 line, the proposed building wall, yes. 1032 I don't know if that would give you additional screening in the long term. It's not a short-1033 term solution at all, it's a long-term idea. 1034 1035 Mr. Wilkins -I know that when we proposed the plan and we were trying to 1036 get that close to a building. I mean, there are certain building code issues also with having 1037 1038 like shrubs and some things. But, you know, I guess the large trees or, you know, thinking long-term, I don't know how close you want to propose building -- I mean, outside of that 1039 1040 it's a great idea. I'm just thinking of the feasibility of it. 1041 1042 Mr. Baka -And I'm not a landscape architect, so it's just a thought. 1043 Mr. Witte -It seems like a possibility to me to move the building towards 1044 Villa Park? 1045 1046 Mr. Wilkins -Right now, our layout, we have a parking lot and our buildings. 1047 essentially as tight as we can make them. We have designed this site, you know, to be 1048 as efficient as possible, based on the density that is permitted, and there, unfortunately, 1049 is not -- without losing something in the way of parking, I think right now we are already 1050

October 23, 2019

into the setback on Villa Park Drive.

1051 1052

1053

1054

1055 1056 parked at what the code requirement is. There isn't additional parking that we can lose

to make up for it. Yeah, I guess the answer is no, there really -- there is not room on this site to really start playing with the layout and taking a building and moving it over, you

know, 3.5 feet to make up for that 3.5 feet. Even that alone would get some of the parking

1057 1058		You're saying you can't add parking to like Brook Road, that saving? Is there a reason?
1059 1060 1061		Well, I guess one issue is how that parking actually functions know, all the way up on closer to Brook Road, and there's
1062 1063		the actual residential buildings themselves. So, you know, we the idea of where additional parking could go, but that parking,
1064	in that location, is not goin	g to realistically be used. And it also, I think, having a buffer of
1065 1066 1067	our layout, because I jus	robably is a good thing. That's kind of where we landed with it don't see, you know, functionally, parking in that location are going to end up walking to the other side of the property.
1068	Mr. Witte -	What's the distance from the buildings to the furthest parking
1069 1070 1071	space?	What's the distance from the buildings to the furthest parking
1072 1073	Mr. Wilkins -	Well, right now I guess we've got some parking that sits in this angle that we've got in the middle. So these folks are probably
1073		v, maybe go to this building or
1075	<b>1.6</b> 1.6 m.	
1076	Mr. Witte -	Okay. That building there at the bottom. That's actually not
1077		and that shed. If you move that shed back 30 or 40 feet, it uld be enough room to add additional parking spaces and leave
1078 1079 1080		ouilding 3.5, 4 feet, and that way save some of the big trees.
1080 1081 1082	Mr. Wilkins - that that's what we're loo	So it's gaining the additional 3.5 feet for plantings I mean, is oking to achieve by this?
1083		,
1084 1085	Mr. Witte -	That's what I hear is part of it.
1085 1086 1087	Mr. Wilkins -	I don't again, I mean, it's something that we could look at. I be unfortunate, with the dimensions on this property here, in
1088		y space to lose parking, this row of parking that's along the
1089	• • •	ould need to go away completely. So you'd take this drive aisle
1090	and put it up against the s	
1091		
1092	Mr. Witte -	And how many spots are those?
1093		
1094	Mr. Wilkins - going to you know, that's	Well, that's probably 15 maybe. But the issue is that that's about 18 feet that you'd be moving the site over.
1096	Mr. Witte -	Make it 20. You've got 100 feet there.
1098 1099	Mr. Wilkins -	I just unfortunately, with the amount of work and the detail
1100		out, because it is very tight, I can't stand up here and just tell
1101		make that work, because there are a lot of site constraints with
1102	the, you know, what we're	

1103		
1104	Mr. Baka -	Does anyone on the Commission have any further questions
1105	while we are waiting?	
1106		
1107	Mr. Wilkins -	Okay. We have come up with a solution, with Planning
1108	Department's help here, the	nat we can present to you. This building right here, at the rear
1109	of it, where the breezeway	is going to be, you see a small bump-out. There's one right
1110	there where the mouse is.	There's three of them. I believe that bump-out is about five
1111	feet. That bump-out is not	really serving a purpose because the breezeway is only going
1112	to be accessed from the p	arking lot side, not the rear. So if you took that, we can have
1113	our tech modify the buildir	ng plan and then move I guess you would leave the building
1114		an move everything over with the drainage and create the full
1115	10 feet for the transitional	
1116		
1117	Mr. Witte -	So that would leave more than the bigger trees. It would
1118	possibly save some of tho	
1119	pecchaly care come or the	
1120	Mr. Wilkins -	You're referring to the existing vegetation?
1121		The state of the s
1122	Mr. Witte -	Yes.
1123		
1124	Mr. Wilkins -	We can look at that. Right now, all of the that whole area
1125	between the building and	the property line is where we are having to collect all of the
1126	•	subdivision, and we you really have to grade that to collect
1127	_	cres of drainage, which is pretty considerable. So that's really
1128		ple to leave trees right there, is we have to grade in a drainage
1129	channel to collect all of tha	
1130		
1131	Mr. Witte -	I understand that, but I've seen selective cutting and removal
1132	before, and if you save a co	ouple of big trees by rerouting and going around them, it seems
1133	•	nt thing to do, and it would be a lot more sensitive to the
1134	homeowners.	
1135		
1136	Mr. Wilkins -	Lagree. Liguess I'm just trying to, Liguess it's in my nature
1137		stically. You've got grading going on next to an existing tree.
1138		hatever may be, the long-term effect on that tree to survive
1139		,
1140	Mr. Witte -	Lagree, but I think it's worth the effort, in coordination with an
1141	arborist or somebody.	
1142	,	
1143	Mr. Wilkins -	Understood.
1144		
1145	Mr. Baka -	Any other questions from the Commission?
1146		**************************************
1147	Mr. Archer -	Mr. Chairman, I would like to make some comments.
1148		

Mr. Baka - Thank you, Mr. Wilkins.

Mr. Archer - Thank you. It is quite apparent here that the neighborhood wasn't too well involved in this early on, with what's going on. And I know you're up against a time restraint, but it appears to me, that with some more time, there could be some changes to be made that would be beneficial to both sides. Now, as far as the neighborhood is concerned, we are not able to save places where you walk your dogs and those kinds of things, because under the POD process, we just don't have that kind of authority. A little bit more of this would be true if it were a rezoning case. But in this case, I think what you should do is try to arrange a meeting with the neighborhood as soon as possible, and let them have some hands-on experience with what it is you are trying to propose, instead of relaying that, you've got time to do this in between times, between now and the next Zoning meeting, which would be roughly two weeks, the 14th of November.

You all could meet with them and make them understand the things that you can do, and we can help you understand the things that you are allowed to do, and then you are able to come back on the 14th for a decision only, and you won't have any more back-and-forth between anyone. But at least that will give them time to give some input and give you time to rethink the things that were suggested. Just to make sure everybody understands, again, this is a plan of development and we don't have a whole lot of leeway in whether or not you're improving it or not, it's about whether you're fitting in the constraints of what is allowed. So if you all can arrange that meeting, and as many of you in the neighborhood try to attend, if you can, and present your ideas to the developers, so they could have a chance to see what they can do and hopefully alleviate some of these concerns. Does that sound reasonable to everybody?

I request a Planning Commission's deferral, and bring it back on the 14th, Thursday night, and we will make a decision. And by that time you all would have had a chance to talk to the developer, to present some of your ideas. I don't want you to get the idea that all of them will be met, but maybe some if they can. We can't solve everything, because the Zoning Ordinances don't allow us to do that. I think the zoning, the ten-foot buffer? What is it called, Ms. Goggin?

1183 Ms. Goggin - Ten-foot transitional buffer.

1185 Mr. Archer - What is the zoning...

1187 Ms. Goggin - Oh, the zoning. I'm sorry.

1189 Mr. Emerson - That goes back to the '30s, I believe, Mr. Archer.

1191 Mr. Archer - That's what it is. So between then and now, a lot of trees have grown and died, and grown up again in their place. So I just want to make sure you all understand what we can do and how we can do it. So with that I will make a motion that

1194	we defer this until November 14th, and again, that would be for a decision only, not to	
1195	hear more discussion.	
1196 1197	Mr. Witte -	Second.
1198		
1199	Mr. Archer -	And you can arrange a meeting and get with these folks to
1200	decide what is a convenient place to have it.	
1201		
1202	Mr. Baka -	Motion by Mr. Archer and second by Mr. Witte to defer this
1203	case until Thursday, November 14th, at 7:00 in the evening. All in favor say aye.	
1204		
1205	The Commission -	Aye.
1206		
1207	Mr. Archer -	Decision only.
1208		
1209	Mr. Baka -	Decision only. All opposed say no. The motion passes.
1210		
1211	At the request of the Planning Commission, the Planning Commission deferred	
1212	POD2019-00390, Brook Villas, to the November 14, 2019 meeting.	
1213		
1214	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Chairman, we now move on to page 13 of your agenda
1215	for POD2019-00393 Ti	mmons Group for Central Virginia/Rocketts Landing LLC

Rocketts Block 17, LLC, and WVS/Rocketts Landing. The staff report will be presented

#### PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

POD2019-00393
Rocketts Landing -- Blocks
17 & 19 -- 80 Old
Delaware Street

by Ms. Aimee Crady.

Timmons Group for Central Virginia/Rocketts Landing, LLC, Rocketts Block 17, LLC, and WVS/Rocketts Landing: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story, 9,513-square foot restaurant building with outdoor dining areas and a two-story, 25,150-square foot office building. The 4.78-acre site is located west of Old Main Street (private) at the western termini of Old Delaware Street (private) and Old Charles Street (private), on parcels 797-712-2372, 797-712-2703 and also part of parcels 797-712-3095, 797-712-4379, and 797-712-5275. The zoning is UMUC, Urban Mixed Use District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Varina)

1221 1222

1216 1217

1218

1219 1220

Mr. Baka - Is there anyone present this morning in opposition to POD2019-00393, Rocketts Landing, Blocks 17 and 19? I see several hands. We will have the staff presentation and we will get to you all soon. Thank you. Good morning.

12241225

Ms. Crady - Good morning. The developer is requesting approval for the waterfront development of commercial buildings west of the Capital Trail, located here, on Blocks 17 and 19, shown on this aerial. The current plan proposes the Block 17 steel structure here, be redeveloped for restaurant space, and the Block 19 pad to be constructed as office space, which is consistent with the commercial designation on the original 2005 master plan adopted with the Rocketts Landing urban mixed-use district and subsequent master plan updates approved with all previous plans of development.

The proposed restaurant is proposed as a steel-frame structure and will incorporate the existing masonry with metal panels and clerestory glass windows and metal roofing, as shown here. The proposed two-story office building includes portions of that as mezzanine space. It is designed to include finishes of red brick as well and patina green aluminum siding paneling, these panels here that you see.

Fire lanes to serve the proposed buildings will be established by the widening of this portion of Capital Trail from the existing 10-foot wide section to a 20-foot-wide section, per the request of Division of Fire, to meet their standards, just for this section of the trail. The easement agreements for the Capital Trail provides for the use of the right-of-way for vehicular access through here. Staff has reviewed those agreements.

Recommended condition number 35 is recommending additional technical design components necessary for implementing the widening of the trail, as required by VDOT, once they have completed their review of scope of work and all technical details of that. The Department of Public Works Traffic Division has reviewed the proposal and recommends approval to it.

Continuation of streetscape elements and lighting are shown on the plan. It demonstrates the required lighting levels of the UMU ordinance are met. The landscape plan proposes street trees along Capital Trail, consistent with the Rocketts Landing pattern book for this promenade.

The staff recommends approval subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, and conditions 1, 2, 17, 24, and 25 modified in the agenda to address provisions of Public Utilities by the City of Richmond, as well as 11B for lighting approval, and additional conditions 29 through 35, as listed in the agenda.

Richard Souter, the developer, is here to answer any questions you have of the applicant, and I'm happy to answer any questions you may have of the staff.

1265 Mr. Baka - Any questions of the staff?

1267 Mr. Mackey - Not at this time, Mr. Chairman.

1269 Mr. Baka - Thank you. At this time, how would you like to proceed, Mr. 1270 Mackey?

1272 Mr. Mackey - I would like to hear from the opposition.

Mr. Baka - Okay. Whoever would like to speak first would you please come forward, and just as Mr. Emerson indicated earlier, I just wanted to echo that we will allow for 10 minutes cumulative time for all the total speakers that would like to speak in opposition, and 10 minutes in support.

Good morning and welcome.

Ms. Joslin - Good morning. I am Daryl Joslin. I live at 22 Capital Trail Road. If you look at that, the building would be on the right end of this building. So in 2017, I built my home. I built it -- okay, well, I've never seen this before so -- but that's okay. So I built my home in 2017. It is 14 inches above the floodplain. This parcel, as you can see, is in the floodplain. There is 150 feet of natural trees and plants. We talked to FEMA. I was concerned about floods. FEMA insured us, because we were at risk. That was post the Houston floods, where the 100-year plains didn't hold. So we are right there.

In 2018, the developer bulldozed down and pulled out dozens of 100-foot trees along the James River, right in front of our house. And note, we never had a water view. When we bought we knew that there was woods there, we knew there was water, but we didn't have a water view. Suddenly we had a water view, but everything was gone, and the flood zone, everything is cleared.

So now we come to today. Developers are now using the floodplain for storing and staging construction for an apartment building next to us. We would anticipate the plan he has, that for the next four years, at least, if not five, we will have construction in front of us. So our concern is not vegetation, necessarily, or anything else. It is flood. That is our biggest concern. We had -- FEMA estimated that we would, if there is a flood, get a bunch of flooding in our house.

There are 27 homes that are going to be affected by this. I think they only got notices on the front row, but the second row is in the same situation for flood risk. We are anywhere from two feet to eight inches above the flood zone, right behind.

So doing my research with my contacts with the environmental group, and understanding the Dillon Home Rule State, I worked to find out the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality has mandated that there are several things that must be done, and this refers to Article 2.3. We need to see stormwater management plans. We need the Army Corps of Engineers to do a survey on impact analysis. We need compliance with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act, because this James River does flow in there. We have also the need to have compliance with wetland watch rules. We need compliance with Virginia's Floodplain Safety Management.

- So that is what -- at this point in time, I would really like to table this until all of those 1316 studies have been done and we, in Rocketts Landing, in those townhouses, know that 1317 our properties are safe. 1318 1319 Mr. Baka -1320 Okay. 1321 So, I just want to remind you that if I was in Richmond this 1322 Ms. Joslin wouldn't even be a discussion, because of the way they manage the waterfront. But in 1323 Henrico we are not doing that now. And this will set a precedent for everything that is 1324 going forward that is on the James River. Thank you. 1325 1326 Mr. Baka -Any questions of Ms. Joselyn from the members of the 1327 Commission? 1328 1329 1330 Mr. Mackey -I don't have a question for Ms. Joslin but I do have a question for staff. 1331 1332 1333 Mr. Baka -Thank you very much. 1334 Mr. Mackey -Do we have an engineer that can speak to the DEQ findings 1335 1336 or regulations? 1337 Ms. Crady -So the basic requirements of the Plan of Development do 1338 require that stormwater management be submitted with the Plan of Development. Sarah 1339 Briggs is here but she is not the particular engineer that reviewed this proposal, but she 1340 could speak to what is in the Plan of Development, the components that she would review 1341 under stormwater management and other reviewers, where we do take into account the 1342 wetlands, the floodplain, the base flood elevations. The commercial requirements are 1343 different from residential requirements in the floodplain. These buildings are proposed a 1344 minimum of 1 foot above the base flood elevation, and that has been confirmed with David 1345 Gunn, our floodplain engineer. So that has been taken into account as well. Robin Wilder 1346 with our environmental group, has confirmed that the development within the RPA under 1347 the existing exception for the RPA encroachment is consistent and congruent with what 1348 was approved previously for this block. But I'll let Sarah Briggs come up and she --1349 1350 Mr. Baka -1351 Or if it helps -- would it help to hear from everyone? 1352 Yes. Yes. I just wanted to make sure we had that, and I Mr. Mackev -1353
- 1355 1356

1359

1354

1357 Mr. Baka - Thank you. Who else would like to come forward to speak?

wanted to let everybody else know that this time does not deflect from your time. This is

- Please come forward. Good morning and welcome.
- 1360 Mr. Bailey Good morning. My name is Norman Bailey. I live at 6 Capital 1361 Trail Road. I am one of the 15 homes facing the Capital Trail. My biggest concern, we

just a question.

knew that the building was proposed and things like that. But in those two proposals 1362 there is not one single parking space. We have no parking as it is. The only parking 1363 provided to us, in those 15 homes, is the two spots in a parking garage. We can't have 1364 friends over. We can't have family over. There is no parking. So how can we do a 1365 25,000-square foot office building with no parking? I mean, and a restaurant. There is 1366 no parking. How about ADA? 1367 1368 Mr. Mackey -Okay. 1370

1369

1376

1379

1389

1393

1403

- 1371 Mr. Bailey -That's my biggest concern. There's just nowhere to park. And I don't think the county has taken that into consideration in this development. Two parking 1372 spots is just not enough for a three-bedroom house. And there's people with kids in 1373 college coming home, and we struggle to find parking. And we have to park two or three 1374 blocks away. 1375
- 1377 Mr. Baka -All right. Any questions from the Commissioners for Mr. Bailey? 1378
- Mr. Mackey -No, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Bailey. 1380 1381
- 1382 Mr. Baka -Thank you, sir. Who else would like to come forward and speak? Please come forward. If you would like to go next. Either one. Good morning. 1383
- 1384 Mr. Quackenbush -Doug Quackenbush. I also live on Capitol Trail. 1385 1386
- Mr. Mackey -Can you spell your last name, please? 1387 1388 Mr. Quackenbush -Q-u-a-c-k-e-n --
- 1390 Mr. Mackey -Q-u-a-c-k-e-n --1391 1392
- 1394 Mr. Mackey -1395 -- b-u-s-h. Thank you, sir. 1396

-- b-u-s-h.

- Mr. Quackenbush -My concern is green space, and I know the definition of green 1397 space. Here is a bush beside the sidewalk. I'm talking about green space for children, 1398 1399 and even the developer said something. Children -- we still have dozens of families who have children -- these children don't have a place to play, unless it's in the street, and 1400 even on the Capital Trail, where we have trucks and tractors and bulldozers going up and 1401 down the trail, that's not even a safe place for kids to play. 1402
- Also, one morning, on a Saturday, I was out on my deck. I counted over 160 dogs in this 1404 area. That's about a two-hour period. And granted I haven't seen 160 dogs since then. 1405 1406 It was a beautiful day and people were using the trail, stopping. I've seen families on their bicycles come to this area for picnics. I'm concerned that our community -- we have a 1407

Mr. Quackenbush -

beautiful community here, lovely neighbors -- will not have a place to have a picnic, or to walk your dog.

1410

Now even in high-density populations like Manhattan, 14 percent of land area is assigned to public areas, as in parks. If you've been to Manhattan you know you can walk two, three, four blocks in any direction and find a place to sit on a park bench, have your lunch, walk your dog, have your kids play. This is a beautiful community and I don't know if it works for this construction proposal.

1416

So my biggest concern is for a place for kids to play, a place for dogs to walk and do their business.

1419

1420 Mr. Baka - Okay. Any questions of Mr. Quackenbush?

1421

1422 Mr. Mackey - No.

1423

1424 Mr. Baka - Thank you, sir.

1425

1426 Mr. Quackenbush - Thank you.

1427

1428 Mr. Baka - Who else would like to come forward to speak? Good

morning. Welcome.

1430

1431 Mr. Sabet - Good morning. My name Omar Sabet.

1432

1433 Mr. Mackey - Did you say Sabet?

1434 1435

1436

1437

1438

1439

1440

1441

1442

1443

Mr. Sabet - Sabet. S-a-b-e-t, and I live in the development of Rocketts Landing. I echo exactly what he is saying. In a mixed-use, high-density area you need green spaces. The building behind me, right behind me, the mother of a newborn, she is walking in the area behind me. There's an alley, a road. She can't walk from her house. There's no sidewalk on the Route 5. If she goes to the trail, it's dangerous. There's so many bikes coming so fast. She is complaining. They just recently moved in and there's no place for her to walk with a stroller and feel comfortable. We need a park. I don't understand how we can approve and not have green spaces, aside from small areas with sidewalks. That's not an environment for people. We need green space, maybe a playground, something like this.

1444 1445

In this area, yesterday morning, right in front -- and I have the pictures -- I saw a beautiful buck with horns. There are apparently 300 pairs of eagles nesting. What you set up here is precedent. If you go a little bit further, it's a quarry. Then it's the gas pipes and all of that. Where are people going to go, when you are developing this entire, up and down Route 5. The road can't accommodate it. It's a safety issue. There was a tow truck. It blocked the road. People could not come in. People couldn't exit. It was there for an hour, there was a blockage. During July 4th, people were parking in the No Parking.

Suppose I have a fire. And all this is not considering the safety. So I will just leave it at that.

Mr. Baka - Okay. Any questions for Mr. Sabet? Thank you, sir. We are almost at 10 minutes. We have a couple of more speakers. These two speakers would like to speak. Good morning. Welcome.

Ms. Graham - Good morning. My name is Jamie Graham. I live at 32 Capital Trail Road, directly across from the proposed building. My concern is regarding access to that building. We face right onto Capital Trail. Like many have already said, there is a lot of bikes, people walking. It's a great addition to Richmond. How are the people that are going to work in this apartment building going to get across the Capital Trail and how are they going to get supplies, trucks, equipment, things that you need in an apartment building? How are they going to get access to that apartment building? And I echo others' concerns of where are these people going to park. Parking is definitely an issue.

And I know when we purchased our home, we were told that it was zoned for a two-story office building, but this is the first rendition we have seen of the proposed building. And I don't know if it's just the picture that I saw but what is the definition of two-stories, because it looks really, really tall. That second story looks like it has a glass access. It looks very, very high.

Again, access is definitely a concern. When we had the 4th of July there were people trying to drive on the trail. There is really no -- are they going block off an area where people can cross the trail to get to work, because it's just quite busy. The other proposed restaurant building is going to directly butt up against one of our pools, we have two neighborhood pools, and the newest one that just finished this year. This is the first year we used it, directly against that proposed restaurant. And how are people going to access that restaurant? We have our pool, a pool house, and another right next to that, and the restaurant is right there. Right across from that is an apartment building that's being built right now, two stories of parking, and two-stories of apartments. But that's going to be obviously a very high-density building as well.

Mr. Baka - Okay. Any questions of the Commissioners of Ms. Graham? Thank you.

We are at 10 minutes. I would like to have at least one speaker -- are there two more? All right. Let's go with the speaker at the podium and the two others right here. Thank you. Good morning and welcome.

Ms. Williams - Good morning. I'm Allison Williams. I also live right near the construction area, 100 Old Main Street. I second the concern, or third, or fourth, the parking issues are non-existent. The parking is non-existent in that area. And as a person abutting this construction area, I wonder how they can access the restaurant and parking. Without blocking the cul-de-sac until the current builders can then -- took down all the No Parking, Fire Zone signs. It's used for parking all the time now, and how are we going to

get fire trucks and ambulances -- food service trucks, are they going to come at 4 in the morning? Dumpster banging. I would like to have some kind of plan as to where parking is going to be and how and when service vehicles are going to be allowed to access these buildings.

1503

1504 Mr. Baka - Okay. Any questions of Ms. Williams?

1505

1506 Mr. Mackey - No, sir.

1507

1508 Mr. Baka - Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Williams. Good morning.

1509

Good morning. Hi, I'm Karen Cooper and I live at 4940 Old Ms. Cooper -1510 Main Street, in a condo that my eye level is right at the rusty structure that proposed to 1511 be the restaurant. I also have been trying to acquire another parking space for the year 1512 1513 and a half I've lived there, and there are none available to purchase or to lease. So I'm one of the lucky ones that is finding parking along the street. When I come home late at 1514 1515 night, there's been times where I just park in fire lanes because I'm afraid to park over by 1516 the bus stop because there are some people hanging around there. So it's a little fearful for parking now for me. 1517

1518 1519

1520

1521

And there are just no spaces if I have to leave at night, and there's no spaces in the big lot in the middle of the day because we have a problem with commuters that are parking in Rocketts and getting on the bus line. So that needs to be addressed too. But parking is huge. I've been hearing it from everybody.

1522 1523 1524

1525

1526

1527

1528

1529

My concern is also with the restaurant. I think there needs to be a stop again, for communication for people living there. They are hearing all the concerns, and what are they going to do with hours. How are they going to access everything? Where are all these patrons going to park? Have there been studies of how many people are coming to the restaurants, where they're parking, how many people will be in the office building, where are they parking? Like others said, there is nothing down there for them to park, at all. Nothing. I know. I look at it every day. Thank you.

15301531

1532 Mr. Baka - Thank you. Any questions of Ms. Cooper? Thank you, 1533 ma'am. We do have one last speaker. I know we've gone over a few minutes. Thank you. Good morning. Welcome.

1535

1536 Ms. Sullivan - Very quick, I definitely --

1537

1538 Mr. Baka - That's okay. Please state your name for the record.

1539

Ms. Sullivan - I'm sorry. Lynne Sullivan. I live at 5110 Old Main Street, which is in this area. I have the concerns that everyone else has said, majorly about the flood zone and trees that came down, the green space, the parking that I've been here before to talk about the parking in Michael Kennedy's office. I'm sure the developer will come up after me and tell you there's more than adequate and that there's even more

~

than they thought there was going to be. And I would love to see all the numbers, because I feel like I know it's developing and things that were going to be commercial are now going to be townhomes.

1547 1548 1549

1550

1551

1552

1553

1554

1555

1556

1557

1558

1545

1546

As things change, the parking requirements change, and I understand all of that. But in this block, which is so highly dense, and I don't know, you know -- I had numbers from the County that say that in land bay 4D, which is before us, where the Skyline and the Fall Line are, that the density is 28.87. Our residential density in this particular land block is 46.53, which is significantly more. Yet, the only flex parking we have are a few spots in front of the townhomes, and everybody is trying to use them for their quests -- the apartments, the townhomes. And now we're going to have a restaurant, commercial. I don't see how adding more to our problem is in any way going to get any better. During the day, of course it's not bad right now, but obviously that will change, and become like the night times and the weekends. We can point fingers and say it's the townhomes not sufficiently using their space, it's the apartments. I'm not going to go there.

1559 1560 1561

Mr. Baka -Okay.

1562 1563

1564

1565

1566

1567

1568

1569

Ms. Sullivan -But I do have all those concerns. I'm just going to read one thing. I would hope we could all think about -- this is from 2012, when the Planning Commission, I guess, was reviewing all the documents, CVI, for Rocketts Landing. It was a summary of staff report and a land use analysis, and its locations. And I just think that it's interesting. It says, "When completed, the portion of Rocketts Landing at Henrico County is projected to include a 176-slip marina, a riverfront park, pocket parks, open plazas, village greens, and unified, high-quality architecture." I'm not sure that we're actually abiding by what that says --

1570 1571

Mr. Baka -Okay. 1572

1573

Ms. Sullivan --- including the architecture. I think -- and this is just my own 1574 opinion -- that commercial space, to me, looks like a middle school or a library. I don't 1575 see how it ties into the architecture that's there, as well as all the other issues. 1576

1577

Mr. Baka -Thank you. Any questions of Ms. Sullivan? 1578

1579

Mr. Mackey -Ms. Sullivan, I had a question. You speak particularly to the 1580 restaurant or the offices?

1581

1582 Ms. Sullivan -The commercial office. 1583

1584

Mr. Mackey -The office building. All right. Thank you. 1585

1586

Mr. Baka -Thank you. 1587

1588

1589 Mrs. Thornton -That's the restaurant?

Mr. Mackey -Yeah. But you would think the office -- right, right. Okay. All 1591 1592 right. Thank you. 1593 Mr. Baka -Mr. Mackey, how would you like to proceed? 1594 1595 Mr. Mackey -I would like to hear from the applicant. 1596 1597 Mr. Baka -Would the applicant please come forward. And, if necessary, 1598 the applicant could also have a couple of additional minutes as we went over time. Good 1599 morning. Welcome. 1600 1601 1602 Mr. Souter -Richard Souter, the developer at Rocketts Landing. I don't really know where to start. I mean, I can kind of work through the comments. 1603 1604 Mr. Mackey -Most of the comments are with the parking. That's no 1605 surprise. But we also have a lot of concerns with the access issue, access issues to the 1606 restaurant as well as the office portion. 1607 1608 1609 Mr. Souter -Okay. Let's just start with these two buildings on the riverfront. The proposed restaurant is the existing steel shell. It just kind of sits there on an existing 1610 property foundation, and then we have the neighboring building next to it that we have 1611 known is going to be a building for a long time. I think all the residents, when they bought 1612 homes from H.H. Hunt bought with the knowledge that a building would be there. It is a 1613 two-story building. The whole site, in my mind, is going to be tiered, in that each rooftop 1614 looks over the next rooftop, and I assure you that, and the two-story building will be 1615 significantly shorter than the first row of townhouses on the other side of the Capital Trail. 1616 1617 In terms of access, we've got -- can you put up the slide? 1618 1619 [Pause.] 1620 1621 Yeah, that'll work. So we put two streets that kind of end, like 1622 Mr. Souter before the Capital Trail. Old Delaware Street comes down and there's a cul-de-sac, and 1623 1624

Mr. Souter - Yeah, that'll work. So we put two streets that kind of end, like before the Capital Trail. Old Delaware Street comes down and there's a cul-de-sac, and then Old Charles comes down and kind of ends just before the Capital Trail. The idea is that you're going to get circulation that's going to come down one or the other of those roads, go along the Capital Trail, and come back up the other way, hence the fact that we are widening the Capital Trail from a 10-foot trail to a 20-foot trail. That's shown partly for fire, but also partly for the necessity of these buildings having to have services. So we will certainly divide the trail into a side that's a permanent thoroughfare, if you will, and another 10 feet that will handle deliveries, be it any kind of delivery.

A point to note, Virginia Capital Trail, we still own that land. We granted an easement to VDOT to build the Capital Trail, and part of granting that easement we retained the rights to use the Capital Trail in order to support buildings that have no other means of access, particularly these. But they have a swimming pool next door that has potential emergency requirements, and then down on the City side we own the Boathouse Restaurant and the

1625

1626

1627

1628

1629

1630 1631

16321633

1634 1635

Conch, and they have grease traps sort of down at that lower level. So there's always — we have always known that there's a need to use the trail, not just drive on the trail and destroy the great amenity that the City and the County have, but we have known that there was going to be a need. And these two buildings, in particular, are inaccessible other than using this additional 10 feet on the Capital Trail. So I think we've come up with a workable plan in order to handle the logistics of how these buildings are going to function in the future.

Right now we do not have the restaurant tenant and we do not have the commercial tenants. We are working. We are in talks with a restaurant tenant, and we have had numerous looks at the office, but we just want to be practical about getting the POD done so that when we find a tenant, we can actually be more proactive and get things done quicker.

Mr. Mackey - As far as the commercial tenant, where will they park?

Mr. Souter - Yeah, okay. This building Block 17, which was -- so I think about two weeks ago I came, and we spoke about Block 20 townhomes and I imagine the case after this is actually talking about Blocks 21 and 23, which is another batch of extending our condominiums. But Block 17 is a building that has three levels of parking on it. It has an upper level that you can almost see here. There is a lower level beneath that and then a third level below that, that are accessed — the upper level is accessed out of this Old Hudson Street, next to Fall Line condominiums, and then there's another access off of Old Delaware, that's the second level, and then there's an access right down near the bottom of the cul-de-sac that accesses a third level. We are really using the topography of the surrounding terrain in order to not have a deck that ramps. You basically have three separate levels, accessed off the street, because it falls down that much.

Within this building you have about 150 parking spaces for commercial users along here, so daytime users for an office user, and evening and nighttime use for a potential restaurant. So at the end of the day, if I don't provide enough parking spaces, I will not be able to attract tenants and I will sit with a vacant site for the rest of time. So, I mean, in terms of parking, for these particular uses, not in general, for these particular uses, if I can't provide enough parking then I've stymied myself. So I think I have enough parking to make this work, and I'm quite sure if I sign a tenant to do that, they will have to buy into my selling point or not. I do not think I am going to have a parking problem to deal with a restaurant and an office building over here.

Mr. Mackey - Another question that we have. I think it was Ms. Joslin. How long do you anticipate the construction to be, because it's going to affect about 27 homes nearby?

Mr. Souter - I mean, I think construction probably affects everyone, but the good thing we have here at Rocketts Landing, is this is an urban street grid system. So to the extent that a street is being used because there's a concrete pour going on, there

grid systems are the exact opposite of cul-de-sacs and suburban arterial roads. So 1684 construction absolutely creates a little bit of chaos on an ongoing basis, but Block 17 is 1685 under construction right now. This building will be done, I want to say in the third quarter 1686 of next year. And then these two buildings along the riverfront are entirely subject to us 1687 being able to attract a tenant. 1688 1689 1690 Mr. Mackey -Right. Exactly. 1691 Obviously, we would love to get construction done and be 1692 Mr. Souter gone. Block 20 townhomes, I think they're going to start early next year, and assuming 1693 we get the POD approved for Blocks 21 and 23, they should start early next year as well. 1694 1695 Mr. Mackey -Okay. 1696 1697 Mr. Souter -There's a lot of construction around Block 19. 1698 1699 It's a lot. The existing two-story building, that won't be getting Mr. Mackey -1700 any taller than the height that it is now? 1701 1702 Oh, you're talking about the steel shell? 1703 Mr. Souter -1704 The steel shell. 1705 Mr. Mackey -1706 We will use it exactly as it is framed. Right now it is transparent Mr. Souter -1707 with no siding on it, but that definitely will not get higher. 1708 1709 That's all I have for right now. Mr. Mackey -1710 1711 Mr. Souter -I'm more than happy to talk about green space. 1712 1713 1714 Mr. Baka -Okay. 1715 I mean, if you want to get into that. 1716 Mr. Souter -1717 Mr. Mackey -Yes. 1718 1719 I mean, on some level I would like to defer to the County. We Mr. Souter -1720 have zoning regulations and guidelines that stipulate and define what a green space is, 1721 and we have to measure it and we have to put it -- we basically have a big cheat sheet 1722 for Rocketts Landing now, that basically sums up the entire part of Henrico County. It 1723

are multiple ways to get in and out of Rocketts Landing, which is, you know, urban street

1724

1725

1726

1727

1728

1683

sums up all the green spaces, all the parking spaces, it sums up all the residential units,

all the commercial. It's a huge matrix and it basically ends up working where you add it

in a cumulative fashion. We have exceeded the parking, the total parking requirement by

25 percent. I mean, might I need more parking for certain things? Yes. But if you're

looking at a straight-up, do I adhere to what's required for parking by Zoning, I exceed the

green space requirements. 1730 1731 You know, the notion that we have the riverfront parcel, the riverfront parcel is the Virginia 1732 1733 Capital Trail. I mean, that's one of the greatest amenities in this region. You know, are 1734 we going to build a playground on our project? I mean, are we not mandated to that? I 1735 don't think that was really the vision of Rocketts Landing in the first place. We are a medium-density, urban-style residential development. 1736 1737 Mr. Emerson -1738 What is your percentage of open space? 1739 Mr. Souter -1740 I honestly couldn't tell you that right offhand. We updated that with the submittal. 1741 1742 1743 Ms. Crady -44 percent. 1744 Mr. Souter -1745 I think the zoning requirement is 20%. Now, granted, I think sidewalks get counted in that, but it's open space but -- it's urban open space. We have 1746 a lot of green space, in my mind, along the Virginia Capital Trail. If you choose to live in 1747 an urban environment, you are choosing, on some level, to live in a --1748 1749 Mr. Mackey -Mixed use? 1750 1751 1752 Mr. Souter --- you are essentially choosing a certain lifestyle. 1753 1754 Mr. Baka -So just to clarify, the 44 percent open space is areas exclusive 1755 of building coverage and impervious cover. 1756 1757 Mr. Emerson -Correct. They could be public meeting spaces. They could be 1758 any kind of gathering space, sidewalk. 1759 It can include outdoor dining areas, sidewalks, plazas, 1760 Ms. Crady anything for gathering outside of a roof. 1761 1762 1763 Mr. Baka -Including the trail, which they own the fee, the land, with an 1764 easement on top. 1765 1766 A follow-up question, if I may. A lot of concerns about residential parking. I am not aware -- I don't know of any other land bays that are proposed to be developed out. Are the 1767 remaining undeveloped land bays, are they primarily commercial, an office, or are there 1768 primarily some residential still on the way? 1769 1770 1771 Mr. Souter -Well, there really are not that many land bays left. Basically

parking by 25 percent. I exceed -- we wouldn't even be here today if I didn't exceed the

1772

1773 1774

1729

we established a street grid system at Rocketts Landing, so up until maybe two years ago

we hadn't actually put the street grid all the way to the end of the project, what we have

now. So we really only have four land bays left in the development. Block 20 was

approved for H.H. Hunt's townhomes about four weeks ago, by the Planning Commission. 1775 There is a land bay down here with at least two commercial buildings, and then we have 1776 two Stanley Martin proposed condominium developments on 21 and 23. So we really 1777 only have 20, 21, and 23, and I can't remember if it's 17 or 19 that's kind of a -- maybe 1778 they are two land bays, but there is a relatively small square footage as opposed to an 1779 apartment building. So, I mean, we are well on our way to building out Rocketts Landing. 1780 1781

Mrs. Thornton -So on the two construction sites you are proposing, is there 1782 some kind of green space? Because that will attract people to the restaurant and to the 1783 office if they can go outside and have a space to eat. Can you go back to those pictures, 1784 that you have proposed? 1785

1786 1787

1788

1789

1790

1791

1792

1793

Mr. Souter -No, I mean, the restaurant itself, the restaurant itself, it's going to be a little bit like the Boathouse, the Boathouse in Rocketts Landing. It's an adaptive reuse of an old, historic building, but there are balconies on the outside. And this building is even closer to the water than the Boathouse because it is on the river side of the Capital Trail. It is going to have kind of an open-air -- this is one concept -- it's kind of an openair portion which sits on the existing concrete slab, but it's got decks on the outside and it's actually an old pump house structure that basically goes down -- this is the water. where we can make that accessible to people.

1794 1795 1796

So, I mean, is there green space? Probably not, but are you sitting 25 feet from the river? I think the river is quite a nice setting.

1797 1798

1799 Mrs. Thornton -I was just thinking trees, just gives it a nice --

1800

1801 Mr. Souter -We are -- we actually stabilized these banks with riprap, under a Corps of Engineers permit. So to go back and plant trees on this slope --1802

1803

Mrs. Thornton -I'm not saying that. On the back side. I'm sorry. 1804

1805

Mr. Souter -Along this side? 1806

1807

Mrs. Thornton -Yes. 1808

1809

Mr. Souter -Yeah. There are trees there. They are a function of the 1810 1811 Capital Trail.

1812

1813 Mrs. Thornton -Right. 1814

1815 Mr. Baka -Mr. Witte?

1816

1817 Mr. Witte -I have trouble understanding this parking situation. You say you've exceeded it by 25 percent, but every time you come it's an issue. And where is 1818 1819 this 25 percent? Is it two, three, four blocks away? Is it convenient to the people who live there? Or maybe it needs to be. You know, maybe the answers to a lot of these 1820

1821	problems is if you're of	going to put in a building, put in a parking deck to alleviate the
1822	problems that these ho	
1823		•
1824	Mr. Souter -	We have put in a parking deck.
1825		
1826	Mr. Witte -	It's not alleviating the problem. You put in a parking deck, but
1827	you've got 14 blocks f	illing it up. I know I wouldn't want my wife walking three or four
1828	blocks from the parking	deck at night to get to our home.
1829		
1830	Mr. Souter -	Are you saying Rocketts Landing is dangerous?
1831		
1832	Mr. Witte -	I'm not saying that. I just wouldn't want her walking four
1833	blocks.	
1834		
1835	Mr. Souter -	That's what urban living is.
1836		
1837	Mr. Witte -	I wouldn't walk four blocks.
1838		
1839	Mr. Souter -	And that's entirely your preference. I mean, I have no problem
1840	with that. But that's pro	obably why you didn't buy at Rocketts Landing.
1841		
1842	Mr. Witte -	No. That's one of only many reasons.
1843		
1844	Mr. Souter -	I mean, when we look at you know, when we talk about is
1845		problem, and I continually see home prices go up, because people
1846		cketts Landing, I look very hard to see what the problem is. If the
1847		g up I could understand if there was a parking problem and no
1848		nes, and house prices kept tumbling because of no parking, and it
1849		ble place to live, then I would admit, absolutely, there's a problem.
1850	vvnen nouse prices go	
	n rablam	o up, I fail to see how you can correlate that with there being a
1851	problem.	o up, I fail to see now you can correlate that with there being a
1852		
1852 1853	problem.  Mr. Witte -	Well
1852 1853 1854	Mr. Witte -	Well
1852 1853 1854 1855		
1852 1853 1854 1855 1856	Mr. Witte - Mr. Baka -	Well It may just be a inconvenience also.
1852 1853 1854 1855 1856 1857	Mr. Witte - Mr. Baka - Mr. Witte -	Well It may just be a inconvenience also. One of the things that bothered me was you said you don't
1852 1853 1854 1855 1856 1857 1858	Mr. Witte - Mr. Baka - Mr. Witte - think parking would be	Well  It may just be a inconvenience also.  One of the things that bothered me was you said you don't a problem. That's an issue. These people are telling you here's
1852 1853 1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859	Mr. Witte - Mr. Baka - Mr. Witte - think parking would be	Well It may just be a inconvenience also. One of the things that bothered me was you said you don't
1852 1853 1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860	Mr. Witte - Mr. Witte - Mr. Witte - think parking would be a problem and you're s	Well  It may just be a inconvenience also.  One of the things that bothered me was you said you don't a problem. That's an issue. These people are telling you here's saying, "I don't think it is," that's not a reassurance.
1852 1853 1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861	Mr. Witte -  Mr. Baka -  Mr. Witte - think parking would be a problem and you're so	Well  It may just be a inconvenience also.  One of the things that bothered me was you said you don't a problem. That's an issue. These people are telling you here's saying, "I don't think it is," that's not a reassurance.  That was in regard to the commercial, and when I say, "I don't
1852 1853 1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862	Mr. Witte -  Mr. Baka -  Mr. Witte -  think parking would be a problem and you're s  Mr. Souter -  think," I mean, there ca	Well  It may just be a inconvenience also.  One of the things that bothered me was you said you don't a problem. That's an issue. These people are telling you here's saying, "I don't think it is," that's not a reassurance.  That was in regard to the commercial, and when I say, "I don't nnot be a parking problem, because if there was a parking problem.
1852 1853 1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862 1863	Mr. Witte -  Mr. Witte -  think parking would be a problem and you're s  Mr. Souter - think," I mean, there call would not be able to s	Well  It may just be a inconvenience also.  One of the things that bothered me was you said you don't a problem. That's an issue. These people are telling you here's aying, "I don't think it is," that's not a reassurance.  That was in regard to the commercial, and when I say, "I don't nnot be a parking problem, because if there was a parking problem ign a lease for the commercial tenants. There will not be a problem
1852 1853 1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862 1863 1864	Mr. Witte -  Mr. Witte -  think parking would be a problem and you're s  Mr. Souter - think," I mean, there call would not be able to s if I have a tenant, and	Well  It may just be a inconvenience also.  One of the things that bothered me was you said you don't a problem. That's an issue. These people are telling you here's saying, "I don't think it is," that's not a reassurance.  That was in regard to the commercial, and when I say, "I don't nnot be a parking problem, because if there was a parking problem ign a lease for the commercial tenants. There will not be a problem if I sign with a tenant and then it ends up being a problem, I am
1852 1853 1854 1855 1856 1857 1858 1859 1860 1861 1862 1863	Mr. Witte -  Mr. Witte -  think parking would be a problem and you're s  Mr. Souter - think," I mean, there call would not be able to sif I have a tenant, and sure they have the abi	Well  It may just be a inconvenience also.  One of the things that bothered me was you said you don't a problem. That's an issue. These people are telling you here's aying, "I don't think it is," that's not a reassurance.  That was in regard to the commercial, and when I say, "I don't nnot be a parking problem, because if there was a parking problem ign a lease for the commercial tenants. There will not be a problem

I don't think there's one absolute issue that you can kind of point at, but there is no shortage of people who -- you know, one of the problems is that if you own a parking space, but you don't park in your space and you park in a street space, not only is your space being rendered useless because no one else is allowed to park in it, you have eaten up a space on the street that would have been available to someone who would need it.

So when people buy a two-bedroom -- I'm not thinking about condos, because, I mean, the condos do this just as badly, probably, as well. But if you have a two-bedroom townhome but you drive, you know, two big trucks, then you say, "Well, I only can fit one truck in my parking garage, and the other truck has to live on the street." And then you say, "Well, there's no parking on the street." I think there are a lot of people that, if they could park in their own assigned parking spaces it would go such a long way towards solving parking, the residual parking at Rocketts Landing.

1882 Mr. Witte - Take the lady that's been trying to buy a second parking space.

Mr. Souter - I mean, I would love to address that. There are absolutely parking spaces, and I don't know who they have been talking to. There are parking spaces for sale, and I have offered on multiple occasions to lease additional excess parking spaces in the apartment property. If people at Rocketts Landing don't know that then they just have not been to the master association meetings, because there are absolutely parking spaces for purchase, and there are absolutely spaces for lease, if you want an additional space at Rocketts Landing.

1893 Mr. Baka - So on that one point you could follow up with the resident, on that one point.

1896 Mr. Souter - (Off microphone.) I will.

Mr. Baka - Okay. I will need to ask that the questions are addressed at the mic, because the reason is that these are recorded proceedings and we are being recorded in the microphone, for the discussion. If it would be appropriate, let me ask if the members of the Commission have additional questions of Mr. Souter.

1903 Mr. Mackey - I don't have any additional questions at this time.

Mr. Archer - I have one, and I was wondering about this the last time we were talking about these projects. Am I to understand that the spaces are assigned parking spaces?

- Mr. Souter If you own a condominium, absolutely you have assigned parking spaces. If you own a townhome, two parking spaces in your townhome are yours, so they essentially are assigned, right. The apartment block owns some of its own
- spaces. Those are -- parking spaces are owned by individuals and --

1913		
1914	Mr. Archer -	I am trying to understand why there is such confusion. Are
1915	people using unassigned	parking spaces or using someone else's assigned parking
1916	space?	
1917		
1918	Mr. Souter -	Essentially, all on-street parking is unassigned. A large
1919	portion is assigned but the	ere is on-street parking and there are a number of lots towards
1920 1921		ly unassigned parking spaces. First come, first served.
1922	Mrs. Thornton -	So this parking area that you showed us earlier, up where they
1923		estaurant, is that unassigned right now, where these residents
1924	are parking?	3
1925	and parising.	
1926 1927	Mr. Souter -	That's under construction.
1928	Mrs. Thornton -	Okay. So the people with the restaurant and the commercial,
1929	that will be like their place	
	that will be like their place	, not open, nee parking:
1930	Mr. Souter -	Block 17?
1931	Mr. Souter -	BIOCK 17:
1932	Mrs. Thornton -	Because that's really what we are talking about right now.
1933	WIS. MOMICON -	because that's really what we are taiking about right now.
1934	Mr. Souter -	Those will essentially be assigned parking spaces to the
1935		se if you think about it, office users are basically daytime users,
1936		n evening, into nighttime user. So, I mean, plausibly you have
1937		
1938	this thing, too, between th	e night and
1939	Mrs. Thornton	So 125 you are proposing like 125 spaces for those two
1940	Mrs. Thornton -	So 125, you are proposing like 125 spaces for those two
1941	buildings.	
1942	Mr. Coutor	Yes.
1943	Mr. Souter -	165.
1944	Mrs. Thornton -	Okay. That's what we are talking about right now anyway, in
1945		Okay. That's what we are talking about right now anyway, in
1946	17 and 19, these two.	
1947	Mr. Couter	Ves. Like Legid there's Plack 17 in the apartment building
1948	Mr. Souter -	Yes. Like I said, there's Block 17 in the apartment building
1949	and then 17 liveriront, so	it's kind of a two-part piece of it.
1950	Mari Thomas	Oleman
1951	Mrs. Thornton -	Okay.
1952		D. I
1953	Mr. Souter -	But, yes, those parking spaces will all, in essence, be
1954	assigned to the commerci	al users. I'm not sure if I answered Mr. Archer's question.
1955		V PI III
1956	Mr. Archer -	You did, as best you could.
1957		
1958	Mr Mackey -	I don't have any other questions.

1960 Mr. Baka - Other questions from members of the Commission? Thank you, Mr. Souter.

1963 Mr. Souter - Thank you.

Mr. Mackey - I am ready to move forward with a motion, Mr. Chair. I had some comments before. And as far as the parking goes, like Mr. Souter said, it's a lifestyle that, I believe, unfortunately, some people are going to have to get used to. I would say it's like living in the fan, but it's kind of similar to living in the fan. I mean, if you have a two-car garage and you have three vehicles, obviously you never have enough parking. I mean, you know, we have choices to, you know, pick where we choose to live, but, you know, it's not always going to work out if we don't fit into the lifestyle of that area. And it's called urban mixed-use for a reason, and that can cause a problem if you're not willing to sacrifice some of the issues, such as parking.

I think the applicant has addressed the issue of parking as best he could. I hope we will continue to have some conversations between the applicant and the residents going forward, so you can, you know, continue to address it, and hopefully Rocketts can become the great vision everyone had in mind and it won't lose its luster because of this issue.

But having said that, Mr. Chairman, I move that POD2019-00393 Rocketts Landing, Blocks 17 and 19, including the lighting plan, be approved subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for development of this type, and additional conditions 1 modified, 2 modified, 11B, 17 modified, 24 modified, 25 modified, and 29 through 35 in the agenda.

Mrs. Thornton - Second.

Mr. Baka - So we have a motion by Mr. Mackey and a second by Mrs. Thornton to approve Rocketts Landing, Blocks 17 and 19, 80 Old Delaware Street. Motion and second on the floor. Any discussion? All those in favor say aye.

1992 The Commission - Aye.

Mr. Baka - Opposed say no. The motion carries.

The Planning Commission approved the plan of development and lighting plan for POD2019-00393, Rocketts Landing – Blocks 17 and 19, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- 1. MODIFIED The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the City of Richmond Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water and sewer.
- 2003 2. MODIFIED The City of Richmond Department of Public Utilities shall approve the plan of development for construction of public water and sewer, prior to

- beginning any construction of these utilities. The City of Richmond Department of Public Utilities shall be notified at least 48 hours prior to the start of any water or sewer construction.
- 2008 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
- MODIFIED The owner shall have a set of plans approved by the Director of Public Works, City of Richmond Public Utilities and Secretary of the Planning Commission available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 2017 24. MODIFIED The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the City of Richmond Department of Public Utilities and the Henrico Division of Fire.
- 2019 25. MODIFIED Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations shall be included on the final construction plans for approval by the City of Richmond Department of Public Utilities and the Henrico Division of Fire prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 2022 29. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning cases C-55C-04, P-12-12, P-04-05, and P-14-04 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- 2025 31. A construction staging plan which includes details for traffic control, fire protection, stockpile locations, construction fencing and hours of construction shall be submitted for County review and prior to the approval of any final construction plans.
  - 32. The pavement shall be of an SM-2A type and shall be constructed in accordance with County standard and specifications. The developer shall post a defect bond for all pavement with the Department of Planning the exact type, amount and implementation shall be determined by the Director of Planning, to protect the interest of the members of the Homeowners Association. The defect bond shall remain in effect for a period of three years from the date of the issuance of the final occupancy permit. Prior to the issuance of the last Certificate of Occupancy, a professional engineer must certify that the roads have been designed and constructed in accordance with County standards.
  - 33. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- The limits and elevations of the Special Flood Hazard Area shall be conspicuously noted on the plan and labeled "Limits of Special Flood Hazard Area." In addition, the delineated Special Flood Hazard Area must be labeled "Variable Width Drainage and Utility Easement." The easement shall be granted to the County prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.

2029

2030

2031

2032

2033

2034

2035

2036

2037

2038

2039

2040

2041

2042

35. Prior to approval of construction plans, the applicant shall provide evidence that VDOT has reviewed the current scope of work shown on the plan and has no comments regarding the proposed work.

2051 2052 2053

2054

2055

2049

2050

Mr. Emerson -Thank you, Mr. Chairman, we will now move on to the next item on our agenda. I will note that we do have two staff representatives from Public Works. They can speak to the floodplain environmental issues if any of the residents have a guestion of them. They are sitting in the back of the room.

2056 2057 2058

2059

The next item on your agenda appears on page 16. It is POD2019-00369, Timmons Group for Central Virginia Investments/Rocketts Landing, LLC and WVS/Rocketts Landing. The staff report will be presented by Ms. Aimee Crady.

2060 2061

#### PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

2062 2063

> POD2019-00369 21 & 23 -- Old Main Street

Timmons Group for Central Virginia Rocketts Landing -- Blocks Investments/Rocketts Landing, LLC and WVS/Rocketts Landing: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct five four-story, two-unit residential condominiums and 17 four-story, threeunit residential condominiums for a total of 61 residential condominium units. The 2.06-acre site is located on the western line of Old Main Street (private), the southern line of Old Charles Street (private) and the western terminus of Old Ohio Street (private), on parcels 797-711-3994, 797-711-3868, 797-711-4448, 797-711-4493, and 797-711-3748. The zoning is UMUC, Urban Mixed Use District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Varina)

2064 2065

2066

2067

Mr. Baka -Before we hear from the staff, I would ask is there anyone here present this morning in opposition to POD2019-00369? This is for Rocketts Landing. Blocks 21 and 23, on Old Main Street. Okay. We will have the staff presentation first and get to you in just a minute.

2068 2069 2070

2071 2072

2073

2074

2075

2076

Hello again. This continuation of residential condominium Ms. Crady development within the Rocketts Landing district will be located along the west line of Old Main Street and east of the Capital Trail at the southern terminus of the overall project boundary. You can see that here. At the north end of Block 21, the developer proposes a row of five four-story, two-over-two style condominium buildings, which will account for ten of the units in this plan. Each unit contains a rear-loaded garage accessed from the private alley. All other units south of there will be the triplex units, three-story, with rearentry garages below the first floor, for a total of 51 of those triplex units.

2077 2078 2079

2080

In addition to street and other surface parking constructed with residential phases of Blocks 20 and 22, the triplex model also features single-car garages per dwelling unit and

2081 additional parking spaces located along the rear alley. Exterior finishes for both types of units will be a combination of brick veneer and various styles of cementitious siding and 2082 panels. 2083 2084 2085 Site lighting and landscaping are included in this plan to provide an update of the streetscape elements approved with the previous development phases to align with the 2086 specific characteristics of this site plan and incorporate additional foundation plantings 2087 and screening. The plan achieves the UMU lighting standards. 2088 2089 2090 Staff recommends approval subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, and conditions 1, 2, 17, 24 and 25 modified in 2091 the agenda to address the provision of Public Utilities by the City of Richmond, and 11B 2092 for lighting approval, and additional conditions 29 through 35, as listed in the agenda. 2093 2094 2095 Richard Souter is still here, if you have any questions for the applicant, and I am happy to answer any questions you may have with this section of Rocketts Landing. 2096 2097 Mr. Baka -Any questions of the planning staff? 2098 2099 I don't have any questions, but I guess we can hear from the Mr. Mackey -2100 opposition, unless there are any questions for the staff. 2101 2102 I had one question for the staff. I wanted to ask if this would 2103 Mr. Baka be an appropriate time to also hear from Public Works Department about the floodplain 2104 issue we discussed earlier. 2105 2106 Mr. Emerson -If you would like to. 2107 2108 Mr. Mackey -At least you have people here to ask questions about it. 2109 2110 Ms. Crady -And it's okay if I bring up that layout from the previous case. 2111 just for reference? 2112 2113 Yes, because this portion is a different area we are Mr. Mackey -2114 considering now. 2115 2116 Ms. Crady -This is not the floodplain. 2117 2118 But we did have people that were here from the Department Mr. Mackey -2119 of Public Works – I didn't want to drop them in on the last meeting – excuse me, the last 2120 portion – without hearing everything on it. That's the only reason why I didn't call. 2121 2122 We could refer to the key plan that's in this. Ms. Crady -2123 2124

Mr. Emerson -

2125

2126

Unless the

Commission has questions, staff could meet with those individuals who had questions.

Either that or staff can meet with them.

2127 2128	Mr. Baka -	Okay. We can hold off on that, and then Mr. Mackey
2129	Wil. Baka -	onay. We can now on on that, and then mit madney
	Mr. Mackey -	Well, can I ask Ms. Joslin to come down? Would that be okay
	with her?	vven, dan rask wis. Joshin to dome down. Trould that so only
	With her:	
2132	Mr. Daka	Yes. So at this time, thank you, Ms. Crady. We will proceed
2133		res. So at this time, thank you, wis. Crady. We will proceed
	with	
2135	Mr. Maakay	Let me just make ours it's akey with her to most with staff in
2136	•	Let me just make sure it's okay with her to meet with staff in
2137	the toyer about the flood pi	ain, and then we won't have to –
2138	The second secon	and the second that the flore delay a Moule it has a constable.
2139	•	ave anything to do with the floodplain. Would it be acceptable
2140	•	aff in the foyer with any questions you had about floodplain
2141	issues?	
2142		
2143	Ms. Joslin -	Yes, sir.
2144		
2145	,	That would be okay. And anyone else who had questions
2146	about that, because it reall	y doesn't apply to this portion.
2147		
2148	Ms. Joslin -	Okay. That would be great.
2149		
2150	Mr. Mackey -	Thank you. Appreciate it.
2151		
2152		At this time, who would like to come forward to speak about
2153	Blocks 21 and 23, Rocketts	s Landing? Please come forward, Mr. Sabet. Welcome again.
2154		
2155	Mr. Sabet -	Thank you. Again, my name is Omar Sabet. I am at the very
2156		I purchased the place I tried to go and look and see what the
2157		It the Rocketts Landing. What I found, everywhere I looked,
2158		d have a one- or two-story commercial in the bump-out, in the
2159		only thing I could find. It presented this block as commercial
2160	usage, but smaller, so that it wouldn't impede. And we believed that there would be	
2161	nobody developed across from us. Now it has changed. Was this on the original, or did	
2162	something get changed?	So that's the first issue.
2163		
2164		mewhat of a view? I mean, we all did believe that we would
2165		Or are we going to be blocked completely? I do understand,
2166		off the property and tax revenue off the property. But at the
2167	<u> </u>	what I mentioned earlier, and I didn't hear one single thing,
2168		cent green space, sidewalks, walking plaza, which somebody
2169		be in the original. Where are they? So you all really quickly
2170		sk about the green space that I mentioned. I guess those 300
2171	eagles, I've seen fox, the a	nimals that really are being pushed, don't have any real value.
2172	People in this area (off mid	crophone, indiscernible)

0.172		
2173	Mr. Doko	Diagon address your comments from the microphone air if
2174	Mr. Baka -	Please address your comments from the microphone, sir, if
2175	you would. We are being	recorded.
2176	M. O.L.	TI-11-10-10-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
2177	Mr. Sabet -	The block that was approved two weeks ago
2178		
2179	Ms. Crady -	Two weeks ago, or a month ago, that would be Block 20 here.
2180		
2181	Mr. Sabet -	Block 20. So this was 42 units, which would be
2182		
2183	Ms. Crady -	Here. This one was third.
2184		
2185	Mr. Sabet -	Another eight cars there. Then in these two areas would be
2186	62 units, I'm just saying tv	vo cars. That's another 124 cars. At least another 300 people.
2187		re love our neighborhood, we love mixed use. However, we still
2188		een space. There has to be there's a sidewalk, again, like I
2189	7,	f is being developed, going and coming. At what point where
2190		e to just go? Is it just the Capital Trail? That's what is the park?
2191	So that's my question.	o to just got to tijust tito oup itali train train train tito tito paint
2192	or maro my queesment	
2193	Mr. Baka -	Okay. Any questions of Mr. Sabet from the Commission?
2194		anyone else who would like to speak in reference to Blocks 21
2195	•	3? Okay. Mr. Mackey, how would you like to proceed?
2196	and Zo: Blooks Z i and Z	or only. Wit Mackey, now would you like to proceed:
2197	Mr. Mackey -	I would like to hear from the applicant so he can address some
2198	more of Mr. Sabet's issue	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
2199	more or wir. Cabet's issue	3.
2200	Mr. Baka -	And as the applicant comes forward, I will just clarify, I believe
2200		44 percent green space, from the staff.
2202	we fleatd earlier there is a	percent green space, non-the stan.
2202	Ms. Crady -	Green space is an incorrect term. That would be open space.
	IVIS. Clady -	Oreen space is an incorrect term. That would be open space.
2204 2205	Mr. Baka -	Open space.
2206	IVII. Daka -	Орен зрасе.
	Ms. Crady -	That's addressed in the UMU ordinance, not green space.
2207	IVIS. Crady -	mat's addressed in the Olvio ordinance, not green space.
2208	Mr. Baka -	Okay
2209	IVII. Daka -	Okay.
2210	Ma Carana	And what was the managers are minament. Ma Conduc
2211	Mr. Emerson -	And what was the percentage requirement, Ms. Crady?
2212	Ma Cradu	Overallo Ob the everall defers to the end which is 00
2213	Ms. Crady -	Overall? Oh, the overall defers to the code, which is 20.
2214	M 5	T
2215	Mr. Emerson -	Twenty percent. So they have exceeded that by 24 percent.
2216	Mar One d	Maria Call and a Resident Call and Call
2217	Ms. Crady -	It's not all green area but open space.
2218		

Mr. Emerson -And in these types of projects, again, as you explained, open 2219 space isn't necessarily green space. It's sidewalks, it's dining areas, it's public gathering 2220 spaces, things of that nature. 2221

2222

Ms. Cradv -For example, another development in Brookland that is a 2223 UMU, they are struggling to approach 20 percent. So I think this is actually probably one 2224 of the more open-spaced UMUs we have. 2225

2226

Mr. Baka -2227 Thank you, Ms. Crady.

2228

Mr. Witte -Ms. Crady, what percentage would you estimate that the 2229 Capital Trail contributes to the open space? I want to say at least 50 percent. That's my 2230 2231 auess.

2232

Ms. Cradv -Well, as you know, it's a 10-foot-wide trail, and if they pave it 2233 wider it's 20 through the 17 and 19 portions. So you can put it by length. It's a substantial 2234 area, and there's green around that, there's green space on either side of that. 2235

2236

Mr. Witte -All right. Thank you. 2237

2238

Mr. Emerson -The area for the Capital Trail I believe was dedicated and 2239 donated by the developer as well. It wasn't purchased. Am I correct on that? It was 2240 dedicated as part of the project. 2241

2242 2243

2244

2245

2246

2247

2248

2249

2250

2251

2252

Mr. Souter -Well, there was a significant -- because, I mean, if you are looking at -- there's a 100-foot RPA buffer pretty much down the entire riverfront, and the Virginia Capital Trail runs in that, technically in the floodplain, so it's -- apart from the commercial building we spoke about earlier on Block 19, which the ground level is high enough that we actually raised the building up probably three feet off the ground to get out of the floodplain. There is a large amount of the property that is down in the floodplain, and we have built a significant pool pump that's right on the banks of the river. The area around the Capital Trail, it is a 10-foot paved area, but there is a fair bit of lawn and green space on either side of the Capital Trail. Now this is steep bank down to the river on one side, and it banks back up to the village. That's a green bank and it's technically not usable space. But it's still an attractive place to walk.

2253 2254 2255

2256

2257 2258

2259

2260

2261

2262

2264

I mean, if you can sit in your unit at Rocketts Landing and look at bald eagles and people rowing in the river, and I don't know if you can see a reason to, but I think we have a lot of open space. It's not all green but I think there is a chunk of green space. You know, if you're an avid dog walker, we are only, you know, a mile and a half from a very large dog run on Church Hill, and we are definitely still looking at trying to put a dog run in a residual piece of the property. We just -- I think until we get these PODs done and we figure out exactly where development is going, I don't think we can identify that right now. But I think there is some residual space and it is a project that we can potentially turn into 2263 a dog run, which is I know the residents would absolutely love. We have no problem doing that, when we get there.

2265			
2266	Mr. Mackey -	Mr. Sabet had an issue about sidewalks. Are there any plans	
2267	for adding sidewalks?	, panis	
2268	3		
2269	Mr. Souter -	We have, in my mind, a very nice streetscape that runs	
2270	through the center of the p	project, down Old Main Street, and we have the Virginia Capital	
2271	Trail. You know, we were not planning on doing sidewalks on Route 5. It would be too		
2272	close to be honest. Like if you worry about the Virginia Capital Trail, I think that I'd be		
2273	more worried about Route 5, just to be honest, and there is nowhere a sidewalk on Route		
2274	5 would take you to that you cannot get on existing sidewalks within the project. So on		
2275		love to not encourage people to walk along Route 5. I think	
2276	there's really more pleasa	nt walks within Rocketts Landing than walking along Route 5.	
2277			
2278	Mr. Baka -	Other questions for Mr. Souter? Any questions from the	
2279		Mr. Souter? Members of the Commission? No? Seeing none,	
2280	Mr. Souter, thank you for y	our time.	
2281	We have had the public	hearing. We have aloned comments and have salved the	
2282		hearing. We have closed comments and have asked the Mackey, how would you choose to proceed at this time?	
2283 2284	applicant to respond. IVII.	mackey, now would you choose to proceed at this time?	
2285	Mr. Mackey -	Mr. Chairman, I move that POD2019-00369, and lighting plan,	
2286	Rocketts Landing, Blocks 21 and 23, be approved subject to the annotations on the plans		
2287		for developments of this type, and additional conditions 1	
2288		17, 24, and 25 Modified, and 29 through 35, in the agenda.	
2289			
2290	Mr. Archer -	Second.	
2291			
2292	Mr. Baka -	Mr. Mackey has made a motion to approve, seconded by Mr.	
2293	Archer, the POD for Rocke	etts Landing, Blocks 21 and 23. All in favor say aye.	
2294			
2295	The Commission -	Aye.	
2296			
2297	Mr. Baka -	Opposed say no. The motion carries, and further questions	
2298	can please follow up with t	the staff after this meeting. Thank you.	
2299	The Dianning Commission	n approved the plan of development and lighting plan for	

The Planning Commission approved the plan of development and lighting plan for 2300 POD2019-00369, Rocketts Landing - Blocks 21 and 23, subject to the annotations on the 2301 plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, 2302 and the following additional conditions:

2303

1. MODIFIED - The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the City of Richmond Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water and sewer.

MODIFIED - The City of Richmond Department of Public Utilities shall approve 2. the plan of development for construction of public water and sewer, prior to beginning any construction of these utilities. The City of Richmond Department of

2304

2305

2306

2307

2308

- Public Utilities shall be notified at least 48 hours prior to the start of any water or sewer construction.
- 2312 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
- MODIFIED The owner shall have a set of plans approved by the Director of Public Works, City of Richmond Public Utilities and Secretary of the Planning Commission available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 2321 24. MODIFIED The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the City of Richmond Department of Public Utilities and the Henrico Division of Fire.
- 2323 25. MODIFIED Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations shall be included on the final construction plans for approval by the City of Richmond Department of Public Utilities and the Henrico Division of Fire prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 2326 29. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.
- 2331 31. Prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for any building in this development, the engineer of record shall certify that the site has been graded in accordance with the approved grading plans.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning cases C-55C-04, P-12-12, P-04-05, and P-14-04 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- 2336 33. A note in bold lettering shall be provided on the erosion control plan indicating that sediment basins or traps located within buildable areas or building pads shall be 2337 reclaimed with engineered fill. All materials shall be deposited and compacted in 2338 accordance with the applicable sections of the state building code and 2339 geotechnical guidelines established by the engineer. An engineer's report 2340 certifying the suitability of the fill materials and its compaction shall be submitted 2341 for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Director of Public Works 2342 2343 and the Building Official prior to the issuance of any building permit(s) on the affected sites. 2344
  - 34. The pavement shall be of an SM-2A type and shall be constructed in accordance with County standard and specifications. The developer shall post a defect bond for all pavement with the Department of Planning the exact type, amount and implementation shall be determined by the Director of Planning, to protect the interest of the members of the Homeowners Association. The defect bond shall remain in effect for a period of three years from the date of the issuance of the final occupancy permit. Prior to the issuance of the last Certificate of Occupancy, a professional engineer must certify that the roads have been designed and constructed in accordance with County standards.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes,

2345

2346

2347

2348

2349

2350

2351

2352

2356 2357 2358	equipment shall be	generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
2359 2360 2361 2362 2363	September 25, 2019 meetin	Mr. Chairman, we now move on to the final item on your litem, consideration for the approval of the minutes from the ng. We do not have an errata sheet, and certainly will consider the commission wishes to bring up to us.
2364 2365 2366 2367	Mr. Baka - order.	Any changes to the minutes? If not, a motion would be in
2368 2369	Mr. Witte -	I move we accept the minutes.
2370 2371 2372	Mr. Baka - Baka seconds. All in favor	Second. Motion by Mr. Witte to approve the minutes. Mr. say aye.
2373 2374	The Commission -	Aye.
2375 2376	Mr. Baka -	All opposed say no. The motion carries.
2377 2378	Mr. Emerson - morning.	Mr. Chairman, I have nothing further for the Commission this
2379 2380 2381	Mr. Baka - to adjourn.	Any further discussion? If not, we are going to make a motion
2382 2383	Mr. Witte -	So moved.
2384 2385 2386	Mr. Archer -	Second.
2387 2388 2389	Mr. Baka - adjourning say aye.	Motion by Mr. Witte. Second by Mr. Archer. All in favor of
2390	The Commission -	Aye.
<ul><li>2391</li><li>2392</li><li>2393</li></ul>	Mr. Baka -	The meeting is adjourned.
2394 2395 2396 2397 2398 2399 2400 2401		Mr. Gregory R. Baka, Chairman  M. Joseph Emeryon, Jr., Secretary
2401		The doseph Emeryon, on, decreary

#### A. Standard Conditions for all POD's:

- 1. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water and sewer. (when the property is served by public utilities)
- 1A. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public sewer. The well location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public water system when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public water)
- 1B. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water. The septic tank location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public sewer when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public sewer)
- 2. The Director of the Department of Public Utilities shall approve the plan of development for construction of public water and sewer, prior to beginning any construction of these utilities. The Department of Public Utilities shall be notified at least 48 hours prior to the start of any County water or sewer construction.
- 3. The parking lot shall be subject to the requirements of Chapter 24, Section 24-98 of the Henrico County Code.
- 4. The parking spaces shall be marked on the pavement surface with four-inch-wide traffic painted lines. All lane lines and parking lines shall be white in color with the exception that those dividing traffic shall yellow.
- 5. Sufficient, effectively usable parking shall be provided. If experience indicates the need, additional parking shall be provided.
- 6. Curb and gutter and necessary storm sewer shall be constructed as shown on approved plans.
- 7. The plan of development plan shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan dated **October 23, 2019**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if details were fully described herein. Nine (9) sets of revised plans, including the detailed drainage, erosion control and utility plans, shall be submitted by the design engineer who prepared the plans to the Department of Planning for final review. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. Two (2) sets of the approved plan shall be attached to the building permit application. (**Revised October 2015**)
- 8. Two copies of an Erosion and Sediment Control Agreement with required escrow shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works. Approval is required prior to construction plan approval and beginning construction. The Department of Public Works shall be notified at least 24 hours prior to the start of any construction.
- 9. A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 9. **AMENDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 10. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced no later than the next planting season.

- 11. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and approval.
- 11. **AMENDED** Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including depictions of light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and Planning Commission approval.
- Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature. (For POD which includes lighting plan approval)
- 12. All exterior lighting shall be designed and arranged to direct the light and glare away from nearby residential property and streets.
- 13. The site, including the parking areas, shall be kept clean of litter and debris on a daily basis. Trash container units/litter receptacles and recycling containers shall be maintained with regular pickups scheduled and shall be screened properly on all four sides. The gate(s) shall remain closed except when the receptacle(s) are being filled or serviced and shall be repaired or replaced as necessary. Details shall be included with the final site plan or required landscape plan for review and approval.
- 14. Required fire lanes shall be marked and maintained in accordance with the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code.
- 15. Traffic control signs shall be provided as indicated on the Department of Planning Staff plan. All signs shall be fabricated as shown in <u>The National Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways</u> and <u>The Virginia Supplement to The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways</u>.
- 16. The assigned property number(s) shall be displayed so it is easily readable from the street. If assistance is needed with the address, please contact the Department of Planning at 501-4284. The Planning Department must assign all property addresses. (Revised January 2008)
- 17. The owner shall have a set of plans approved by the Director of Public Works, Public Utilities and Secretary of the Planning Commission available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 18. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 19. Upon completion of the improvements and prior to the certification of the permanent occupancy permit, the owner shall furnish a statement by the engineer or land surveyor who prepared the POD plan, to the effect that all construction including water and sewer is in conformance to the regulations and requirements of the POD.
- 20. The approved Plan of Development is granted by the Planning Commission only to the owners(s)/applicant(s) listed on the Plan of Development application on file for this project. Upon written notification to the Director of Planning, the Plan of Development approval may be transferred to subsequent owner(s) subject to approval by this Commission, or approval by the Director of Planning provided the property is transferred to new ownership no later than 24 months following initial construction plan approval. (Revised August 2016)

- 21. Vehicles shall be parked only in approved and constructed parking spaces.
- 22. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 23. The site, including paving, pavement markings, signage, curb and gutter, dumpster screens, walls, fences, lighting and other site improvements shall be properly maintained in good condition at all times. Any necessary repairs shall be made in a timely manner.
- 24. The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- 25. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations shall be included on the final construction plans for approval by the Department of Public Utilities prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 26. Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- 27. The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- 28. Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- 29. (Start of miscellaneous conditions)

### STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR LANDSCAPE/LIGHTING/FENCE PLANS

- 1. The plan shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **October 23, 2019**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein. Four (4) sets of prints of the revised plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval stamps and distribution.
- 2. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 3. The owner shall have a set of approved plans available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 4. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the normal planting season. (**DELETE IF NO LANDSCAPING**)
- 5. All exterior lighting shall be shielded to direct lights away from adjacent residential property and streets. (**DELETE IF NO LIGHTING**)
- 6. All fences, walls, and screens, including gates and doors, shall be maintained in good repair by the owner. Trash and debris should not be allowed to accumulate along the fence or wall. (DELETE IF NO FENCE, WALL, OR DUMPSTER SCREEN)

# B. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Zero Lot Line Developments shall apply:

- 29. Roof edge ornamental features that extend over the zero lot line, and which are permitted by Section 24-95(i)(1), must be authorized in the covenants.
- 30. Eight-foot easements for construction, drainage, and maintenance access for abutting lots shall be provided and shown on the POD plans.
- 31. Building permit request for individual dwellings shall each include two (2) copies of a layout plan sheet as approved with the plan of development. The developer may utilize alternate building types providing that each may be located within the building footprint shown on the approved plan. Any deviation in building footprint or infrastructure shall require submission and approval of an administrative site plan.
- 32. Windows on the zero lot line side of the dwelling can only be approved with an exception granted by the Building Official and the Director of Planning during the building permit application process.
- 33. The mechanical equipment for each building shall be located on its respective lot. Except for wall-mounted electric meters, in no case shall the eight-foot easement for construction, drainage, and maintenance access on the abutting lot be used to locate other mechanical equipment (such as HVAC equipment, generators, and the like) for the subject lot.

### C. Standard Conditions for Approval of All Dry Cleaners and Laundries in Addition to Item A:

29. The dry cleaning establishment shall use only non-inflammable cleaning solvents and have fully enclosed cleaning and solvent reclamation processes and fully enclosed pressing equipment with no outside steam exhaust.

# D. In addition to Item A, the Following Conditions for Approval of All Shopping Centers Shall Apply:

- 29. Only retail business establishments permitted in a **ZONE** may be located in this center.
- 30. The ground area covered by all the buildings shall not exceed in the aggregate 25 percent of the total site area.
- 31. No merchandise shall be displayed or stored outside of the building(s) or on sidewalk(s).

# E. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Multi-Family Shall Apply:

- 29. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- 30. The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.

## F. In addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Service Station Developments Shall Apply:

- 29. This business shall not remain in operation after midnight and no exterior signs shall remain lighted after (12:00 midnight B-1) (1:00 o'clock a.m. B-2) (no limit B-3).
- 30. No merchandise shall be displayed outside of the building except that oil racks will be allowed on the pump islands.
- 31. This service station shall be used only for the sale of petroleum products and automobile accessories and parts. It shall not be used to sell or rent camping trailers, nor as a base of operation for truck fleets or fuel oil delivery or other such use that is not strictly a service station operation.
- 32. Only light repair work shall be allowed at this station, including motor tune-up, brake, generator, ignition, and exhaust repairs, and wheel balancing. The only work that can be performed outside the building is those services that are normally furnished at the pump island and the changing of tires.
- 33. No wrecked automobiles, nor automobiles incapable of being operated, shall be kept on the premises.
- 34. The prospective operator of this station shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.

### G. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A B-2 ZONE

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. There shall be no exterior display of merchandise except on pump islands and on paved walkway areas within three (3) feet of building.
- 31. Lighting fixtures shall not exceed a height greater than twenty (20) feet.
- 32. No temporary storage of wrecked or inoperative vehicles or rental of vehicles, trailer campers, vans or similar equipment shall be permitted.
- 33. Not more than two (2) electronic amusement games shall be permitted.
- 34. Not more than two (2) vending machines for food and beverage and similar merchandise shall be permitted on the premises outside of an enclosed building.
- 35. The prospective operator of this facility shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.
- 36. The landscaping plan shall include details for screening of refuse containers and refuse storage facilities in accordance with Section 24-61(i).
- 37. Refuse containers or refuse storage facilities shall be serviced during business hours only.
- 38. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way.
- 39. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

# H. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A B-3 ZONE

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)
- 31. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

### Conventional Single-Family Subdivisions Served By Public Utilities

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of sixteen (16) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water. (Substitute condition 5A if well)
- 5A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer. (Substitute condition 6A if on-site sewage disposal/septic)
- 6A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated October 23, 2019, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on October 22, 2020, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be

- filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements as applicable, and design considerations.
- 12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

#### Conventional Single-Family Subdivisions Not Served By Public Utilities

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage and erosion control plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of eleven (11) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 7. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated October 23, 2019, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 8. This approval shall expire on **October 22, 2020**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 9. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.

- 10. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements and design considerations.
- 11. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

#### Residential Townhouse for Sale (RTH) Subdivisions

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated October 23, 2019, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **October 22, 2020**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.

- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.
- 12. A draft of the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review, prior to final approval. The proposed Homeowners Association for the project shall be responsible for the exterior maintenance of all buildings and grounds.
- 13. All block corners shall be monumented and referenced, where possible, to the exterior boundaries of the site
- 14. The record plat shall contain a statement that the common area is dedicated to the common use and enjoyment of the homeowners of (name of subdivision) and is not dedicated for use by the general public. This statement shall refer to the applicable article in the covenants recorded with the plat.

#### Zero Lot Line Subdivisions

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated October 23, 2019, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on October 22, 2020, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change may be implemented.

- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.
- 12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers and Chesapeake Bay Act Areas.

#### Road Dedication with No Lots

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of sixteen (16) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **October 23, 2019**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on October 22, 2020, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.