Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of Henrico County held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and Hungary Springs Roads beginning at 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, September 26, 2018.

Members Present:

Mrs. Sandra M. Marshall, Chairperson (Three Chopt) Mr. Gregory R. Baka, Vice-Chairperson (Tuckahoe)

Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C. (Fairfield) Mr. William M. Mackey, Jr. (Varina)

Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., AICP, Director of Planning,

Secretary

Mrs. Patricia S. O'Bannon, Board of Supervisors' Representative

Members Absent:

Mrs. Adrienne F. Kotula (Brookland)

Others Present:

Ms. Jean Moore, Assistant Director of Planning, Acting Secretary

Ms. Leslie A. News, PLA, Senior Principal Planner

Mr. Michael F. Kennedy, County Planner Mr. Tony Greulich, C.P.C., County Planner Ms. Christina L. Goggin, AICP, County Planner Ms. Aimee B. Crady, AICP, County Planner

Mr. Matt Ward, County Planner

Mr. Lee Pambid, C.P.C., County Planner Ms. Kate B. McMillion, County Planner Mr. Salim Chishti, ASLA, County Planner Mr. Spencer Norman, County Planner Mr. Robert Peterman, GIS Technician

Ms. Melissa Ferrante, Office Assistant / Recording Secretary

5

Mrs. Patricia S. O'Bannon, the Board of Supervisors' representative, abstains on all cases unless otherwise noted.

7 8 9

10

11

Mrs. Marshall - I call this meeting of the Henrico County Planning Commission to order. This is our plans of development meeting for September 26, 2018. At this time, I ask that you please take a moment to silence your cell phones. And as you do, please stand with the Commission for the Pledge of Allegiance.

12 13 14

Good morning. Do we have anyone in the audience with the news media? We do not. At this point, I will turn the agenda over to Mr. Emerson, our secretary.

15 16 17

18

Mr. Emerson - Thank you, Madam Chair. I will note that Mrs. Kotula will not be with us this morning. However, you do have a quorum and can conduct business.

19 20

21

22

The first item on your agenda are the requests for deferrals and withdrawals. Those will be presented by Ms. Leslie News. You do have a deferral that is on your amended agenda.

23 24 Ms. News -Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Good morning, members of the Commission. Staff has received one request for deferral, and that is found on page 12 of 25 your agenda and located in the Varina District. This is POD2018-00246, From the Heart 26 Church Ministries of Richmond. The applicant has requested a deferral to the October 24, 27 2018 meeting. 28 29 30 PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT 31 POD2018-00246 SilverCore for From the Heart Church Ministries of From the Heart Church Richmond: Request for approval of a plan of development, Ministries of Richmond as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico 120 Westover Avenue County Code, to construct a one-story, 22,397-square foot place of worship. The 16.93-acre site is located on the western line of Westover Avenue, approximately 600 feet north of Nine Mile Road, and on the eastern line of Orams Lane at its intersection with Natchez Road, on parcel 816-727-0343. The zoning is A-1, Agricultural District and ASO. Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina) 32 Mrs. Marshall -33 Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2018-00246. From the Heart Church Ministries of Richmond? Mr. Mackey? 34 35 Mr. Mackey -36 Madam Chair, I move that POD2018-00246, From the Heart Church Ministries of Richmond, be deferred to the October 24, 2018 meeting at the 37 applicant's request. 38 39 Mr. Baka -40 Second. 41 Mrs. Marshall -42 We have a motion by Mr. Mackey, a second by Mr. Baka. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes. 43 44 At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred POD2018-00246, 45 From the Heart Church Ministries of Richmond, to its October 24, 2018 meeting. 46 47 48 Mr. Emerson -Madam Chair, that completes the deferrals this morning

Ms. News - Yes, sir. We have fourteen items on our expedited agenda this morning. The first is found on page 3 of your agenda and located in the Three Chopt District. This is a transfer of approval for POD-50-78. This is a portion of the POD for Culpeper Building at Forest Office Park. Staff recommends approval.

unless the Commission has anything additional to add. With that said, next on the agenda

are the expedited items. Those will also be presented by Ms. Leslie News.

49

50 51

52

53

54

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

POD-50-78 (pt) POD2016-00387 Wythe Building at Forest Office Park – 1604 Santa Rosa Road McGuire Woods, LLP for Forest Office Park Investor, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from NNN Forest Office Park, LLC, et al to Forest Office Park Investor, LLC. The 3.97-acre site is located on the west line of Santa Rosa Road, approximately 1,300 feet north of Three Chopt Road, on parcel 758-744-4968. The zoning is O-2, Office District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to TOA POD-50-78 (pt) POD2016-00387, Wythe Building at Forest Office Park? I see no opposition. I move approval of the transfer of approval for a portion of POD-50-78 (pt) POD2016-00387, Wythe Building at Forest Office Park, subject to the previously approved conditions, on the expedited agenda.

Mr. Archer - Second.

Mrs. Marshall - We have a motion by Mrs. Marshall, a second by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-50-78 (pt) POD2016-00387, Wythe Building at Forest Office Park from NNN Forest Office Park, LLC, et al to Forest Office Park Investor, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

Ms. News - Next on page 4 of your agenda and located in the Three Chopt District is a transfer of approval for a portion of POD-50-78, Culpeper Building at Forest Office Park. Staff recommends approval.

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

POD-50-78 (pt)
POD2016-00390
Culpeper Building at
Forest Office Park – 1606
Santa Rosa Road

McGuire Woods, LLP for Forest Office Park Investor, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from NNN Forest Office Park, LLC, et al to Forest Office Park Investor, LLC. The 4.21-acre site is located at the northwest intersection of Discovery Drive and Santa Rosa Road, on parcel 758-745-6911. The zoning is O-2, Office District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to TOA POD-50-78 (pt) (POD2016-00390), Culpeper Building at Forest Office Park? I see no opposition. I move approval of the transfer of approval for a portion of POD-50-78 (pt) (POD2016-

00390), Culpeper Building at Forest Office Park, subject to the previously approved conditions on the expedited agenda.

Mr. Mackey - Second.

Mrs. Marshall - We have a motion by Mrs. Marshall, a second by Mr. Mackey. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-50-78 (pt) (POD2016-00390), Culpeper Building at Forest Office Park from NNN Forest Office Park, LLC, et al to Forest Office Park Investor, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

Ms. News - On page 5 of your agenda and located in the Three Chopt District is a transfer of approval a portion of POD-61-72, Forest Office Building at the Forest Office Park. Staff recommends approval.

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

POD-61-72 (pt)
POD2018-00156
Forest Office Building at
Forest Office Park – 8001
Franklin Farms Drive

McGuire Woods, LLP for Forest Office Koger, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from 95-10 Richmond/Koger, LLC to Forest Office Koger, LLC. The 3.48-acre site is located at the southwestern corner of the intersection of Franklin Farms Drive and Forest Drive, approximately 300 feet north of Three Chopt Road, on parcel 759-743-3775. The zoning is O-2, Office District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to TOA POD-61-72 (pt) (POD2018-00156), Forest Office Building at Forest Office Park? I see no opposition. I move approval of the transfer of approval for POD-61-72 (pt) (POD2018-00156), Forest Office Building at Forest Office Park, subject to the previously approved condition on the expedited agenda.

Mr. Baka - Second.

Mrs. Marshall - We have a motion by Mrs. Marshall and a second by Mr. Baka. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-61-72 (pt) (POD2018-00156), Forest Office Building at Forest Office Park, from 95-10 Richmond/Koger, LLC to Forest Office Koger, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

Ms. News - On page 6 of your agenda and located in the Three Chopt District is a transfer of approval for a portion of POD-61-72, Nelson Office Building at Forest Office Park. Staff recommends approval.

128129

130

125

126

127

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

POD-61-72 (pt) POD2018-00157 Nelson Office Building at Forest Office Park – 1503 Santa Rosa Road McGuire Woods, LLP for Forest Office Nelson, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Martha NJ, LLC to Forest Office Nelson, LLC. The 3.38-acre site is located at the southeastern corner of the intersection of Santa Rosa Road and Franklin Farms Drive, approximately 260 feet north of Three Chopt Road, on parcel 758-743-8194. The zoning is O-2, Office District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

131 132

133

134

135

Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD-61-72 (pt) (POD2018-00157), Nelson Office Building at Forest Office Park? I see no opposition. I move approval of the transfer of approval POD-61-72 (pt) (POD2018-00157), Nelson Office Building at Forest Office Park, subject to the previously approved conditions, on the expedited agenda.

136 137 138

Mr. Archer - Second.

139 140

Mrs. Marshall - We have a motion Mrs. Marshall and a second by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

141142143

144

145

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-61-72 (pt) (POD2018-00157), Nelson Office Building at Forest Office Park from Martha NJ, LLC to Forest Office Nelson, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

146 147 148

Ms. News - Next on page 7 of your agenda and located in the Three Chopt District is a transfer of approval for POD-114-86, Short Pump Animal Hospital, which was formerly the Satterwhite Plumbing Business. Staff recommends approval.

150 151

149

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

152153

POD-114-86
POD2018-00039
Short Pump Animal
Hospital (Formerly
Satterwhite Plumbing
Business) – 4730 Pouncey
Tract Road

Short Pump Vet for SPAH, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Phil D. Satterwhite to SPAH, LLC. The 1.87-acre site is located at the northwestern corner of the intersection of Pouncey Tract Road and Kain Road, on parcel 739-767-3152. The zoning is A-1, Agricultural District and B-3, Business District. County water and on-site sewage disposal system. (Three Chopt)

Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD-114-86 (POD2018-00039), Short Pump Animal Hospital (formerly Satterwhite Plumbing Business)? I move approval of the transfer of approval for TOA POD-114-86 (POD2018-00039), Short Pump Animal Hospital (formerly Satterwhite Plumbing Business), subject to the previously approved conditions and condition #1 in the agenda, on the expedited agenda.

161 162

Mr. Mackey - Second.

163 164

Mrs. Marshall - We have a motion by Mrs. Marshall, a second by Mr. Mackey. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

165 166 167

168

169

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-114-86 (POD2018-00039), Short Pump Animal Hospital (formerly Satterwhite Plumbing Business), from Phil D. Satterwhite to SPAH, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved and the following additional condition:

170171172

173

1. The missing landscaping, and other required improvements, as identified in a staff report dated February 8, 2018 shall be completed prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.

174175176

Ms. News - The next items is found on page 8 of your agenda and located in the Brookland District. This is POD2018-00260, Encompass Health RVA Expansion. Staff recommends approval.

178179180

177

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

181

POD2018-00260 Encompass Health RVA Expansion – 5700 Fitzhugh Avenue

Timmons Group for Rehabilitation Corporation of Virginia, and Encompass Health: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a onestory, 10,500-square foot addition to an existing medical rehabilitation facility. The addition will accommodate conversion of existing units to private occupancy units with no net increase in patient beds. The 5.65-acre site is located on the southeast corner at the intersection of Libbie Avenue and Fitzhugh Avenue on parcel 770-738-7063. The zoning is R-5, General Residential District. County water and sewer. (Brookland)

182

183 Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2018-00260, Encompass Health RVA Expansion?

185

186 Male - [Off microphone] Yes.

187

188 Mrs. Marshall - Thank you.

90 191	Ms. News - in the order it's on the age	We can remove that from the expedited agenda and hear that nda.	
192 193	Mrs. Marshall -	Okay. We will move that and come back to that.	
194 195 196	[The case, POD2018-0020 agenda on page 16.]	60, Encompass Health RVA Expansion, is put on the regular	
197 198 199 200	Ms. News - located in the Tuckahoe E Addition, which includes a	The next item is found on page 13 of your agenda and is District. This is POD2018-000339, Epiphany Lutheran Church lighting plan. Staff recommends approval.	
201 202	PLAN OF DEVELOPMEN	T AND LIGHTING PLAN	
203	POD2018-00339 Epiphany Lutheran Church Addition – 1400 Horseper Road		
204205206	Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2018-000339, Epiphany Lutheran Church Addition? I see no opposition. Mr. Baka?		
207 208 209 210 211	Mr. Baka - I would move that POD2018-000339, Epiphany Lutheran Church Addition, including the lighting plan, be approved on the expedited agenda subject to the annotations on the plans and standard conditions for developments of this type with additional conditions 11B and 29 through 31 in the agenda.		
212213214215216	Mrs. Marshall - Mrs. Marshall. All in favor motion passes.	Second. We have a motion by Mr. Baka, a second by say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that	
217 218 219 220	The Planning Commission approved the plan of development and lighting property POD2018-000339, Epiphany Lutheran Church Addition, subject to the annotations plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of the		
221 222 23	11B. Prior to the approv lighting equipment,	al of an electrical permit application and installation of the site a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture	

- specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
- The right-of-way for widening of Horsepen Road as shown on approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- 231 30. A concrete sidewalk meeting County standards shall be provided along the west side of Horsepen Road.
 - 31. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

Ms. News - The next item is found on page 20 of your agenda and is located in the Fairfield District. This is POD2018-00335, Logan Aggregates – Materials Recycling Site. Staff recommends approval.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

233

234

235

236

237238239

240

241242

243244

245

252

254

255256

POD2018-00335 Logan Aggregates – Materials Recycling Site– 4720 Vawter Avenue

Hulcher and Associates, Inc. for Logan Holding Company, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to develop a materials processing and recycling facility with an office trailer. The 8-acre portion of the 17.45-acre site is located on the western line of Vawter Avenue, approximately 3,990 feet north of East Laburnum Avenue, on parcel 799-741-3832. The zoning is M-2, General Industrial District and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Fairfield)

- Mrs. Marshall Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2018-00335, Logan Aggregates Materials Recycling Site? I see no opposition. Mr. Archer?
- Mr. Archer Madam Chair, I move for approval on the expedited agenda POD2018-00335, Logan Aggregates Materials Recycling Site, subject to the staff's recommendation and the conditions as listed in the agenda.
- 253 Mr. Mackey Second.
 - Mrs. O'Bannon arrived at 9:11 a.m.
- Mrs. Marshall We have a motion by Mr. Archer, a second by Mr. Mackey. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved POD2018-00335, Logan Aggregates – Materials Recycling Site, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- 29. Details for the gate and locking device at Vawter Avenue shall be submitted for review by the Traffic Engineer, Police and approved by the County Fire Marshal. The owner or owner's contractor shall contact the County Fire Marshal prior to completion of the fence installation to test and inspect the operations of the gates. Evidence of the Fire Marshal's approval shall be provided to the Department of Planning by the owner prior to issuance of occupancy permits.
- 30. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

Ms. News - The next item is found on page 21 of your agenda and is located in the Fairfield District. This is POD2018-00350, Westminster Canterbury Richmond – Chapel. There is an addendum item on page 2 of your addendum, which removes the lighting plan from consideration with the POD and deletes condition 11B. Staff recommends approval.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

POD2018-00350 Westminster Canterbury Richmond – Chapel – 1600 Westbrook Avenue

 Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. for Westminster Canterbury Corporation: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a 5,616-square foot chapel addition and memorial garden in an existing life care facility. The 0.6-acre area of the overall 43.1-acre site (which includes a 4.24-acre portion of property in the City of Richmond) is located approximately 250 feet northwest of the intersection of Crestwood Road and Westbrook Avenue, on parcel 783-742-4699. A portion of the building addition and site improvements are located within the City of Richmond. The zoning is R-6C, General Residential District (Conditional) and R-6, General Residential District. County water and sewer. (Fairfield)

Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2018-00350, Westminster Canterbury Richmond – Chapel? I see no opposition. Mr. Archer?

Mr. Archer - Madam Chair, I move for approval on the expedited agenda of POD2018-00350, Westminster Canterbury Richmond – Chapel, subject to the conditions as listed in the agenda, the staff recommendation, and the item on the addendum.

Planning Commission - POD

293 Mr. Baka - Second.

Mrs. Marshall - We have a motion by Mr. Archer and a second by Mr. Baka.
All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved POD2018-00350, Westminster Canterbury Richmond – Chapel, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.

29. The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-5C-02 shall be incorporated in this approval.

30. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

31. Except for junction boxes, meters, and existing overhead utility lines, and for technical or environmental reasons, all utility lines shall be underground.

32. Evidence that the City of Richmond has reviewed and approved construction plans for the portion of this site located within the City limits shall be provided prior to final approval of construction plans by Henrico County.

Ms. News - The next item is on page 23 of your agenda and located in the Varina District. This is POD2018-00326, Castleton Section 5. Staff recommends approval.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

POD2018-00326 Castleton Section 5 – Macallan Parkway

Townes Site Engineering for Ross Run, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct 84 detached single-family dwelling units for sale with zero lot lines. The 33.35-acre site is located on the western line of Macallan Parkway approximately 1,300 feet south of Darbytown Road, on part of parcel 824-693-0717. The zoning is R-5AC, General Residential District (Conditional) and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)

Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2018-00326, Castleton Section 5? There is no opposition. Mr. Mackey?

September 26, 2018

- Mr. Mackey Madam Chair, I move that POD2018-00326, Castleton Section 5, be approved on the expedited agenda subject to the annotations n the plans, standard conditions for developments of this type, and conditions 29 through 39 in the agenda.
- 336 Mr. Archer Second.

335

337

340

344

349

350

351

352

353

,54

355

- 338 Mrs. Marshall We have a motion by Mr. Mackey, a second by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.
- The Planning Commission approved POD2018-00326, Castleton Section 5, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:
- Roof edge ornamental features that extend over the zero lot line, and which are permitted by Section 24-95(i)(1), must be authorized in the covenants.
- 30. Eight-foot easements for construction, drainage, and maintenance access for abutting lots shall be provided and shown on the POD plans.
 - Building permit request for individual dwellings shall each include two (2) copies of a layout plan sheet as approved with the plan of development. The developer may utilize alternate building types providing that each may be located within the building footprint shown on the approved plan. Any deviation in building footprint or infrastructure shall require submission and approval of an administrative site plan.
 - 32. Windows on the zero lot line side of the dwelling can only be approved with an exception granted by the Building Official and the Director of Planning during the building permit application process.
- The mechanical equipment for each building shall be located on its respective lot.
 The location of the equipment shall be reviewed and approved with the building permit application for each lot. Except for wall-mounted electric meters, in no case shall the eight-foot easement for construction, drainage, and maintenance access on the abutting lot be used to locate other mechanical equipment (such as HVAC equipment, generators, and the like) for the subject lot.
- The subdivision plat for Castleton Section 5 shall be recorded before any building permits are issued.
- 35. A 20-foot planting strip to preclude ingress or egress along the west side of Macallan Parkway shall be shown on the approved plans. The details shall be included with the required landscape plans for review and approval.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case REZ2018-00016 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- 37. A construction staging plan which includes details for traffic control, fire protection, stockpile locations, construction fencing and hours of construction shall be submitted for County review and prior to the approval of any final construction plans.
- 374 38. A note in bold lettering shall be provided on the erosion control plan indicating that sediment basins or traps located within buildable areas or building pads shall be reclaimed with engineered fill. All materials shall be deposited and compacted in

accordance with the applicable sections of the state building code and geotechnical guidelines established by the engineer. An engineer's report certifying the suitability of the fill materials and its compaction shall be submitted for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Director of Public Works and the Building Official prior to the issuance of any building permit(s) on the affected sites.

39. Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.

Ms. News - The next item is on page 26 of your agenda and is located in the Varina District. This is POD2018-00261, Godsey and Son Inc. Contractor Office and Shop. Staff recommends approval.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

 POD2018-00261 Godsey and Son Inc. Contractor Office and Shop – 2342 Charles City Road Engineering Design Associates for Godsey and Son, Inc. and Nancy B. Snow: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a two-story, 9,000-square foot contractor office and shop and associated gravel storage yard. The 4.51-acre site is located on the northern line of Charles City Road, approximately 500 feet northwest of the intersection of Glen Alden Drive and Charles City Road, on parcels 813-711-5121 and 813-711-6615. The zoning is M-2C, General Industrial District (Conditional) and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)

Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2018-00261, Godsey and Son Inc. Contractor Office and Shop. I see no opposition. Mr. Mackey?

Mr. Mackey - Madam Chair, I move that POD2018-00261, Godsey and Son Inc. Contractor Office and Shop, be approved on the expedited agenda subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, and conditions 29 through 37 in the agenda.

Mr. Baka - Second.

Mrs. Marshall - We have a motion by Mr. Mackey, a second by Mr. Baka. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved POD2018-00261, Godsey and Son Inc. Contractor Office and Shop, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- 29. The right-of-way for widening of Charles City Road as shown on approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- occupancy permits.

 416 30. A concrete sidewalk meeting County standards shall be provided along the north side of Charles City Road.
 - 31. Details for the gate and locking device at the entrance road shall be submitted for review by the Traffic Engineer, Police and approved by the County Fire Marshal. The owner or owner's contractor shall contact the County Fire Marshal prior to completion of the fence installation to test and inspect the operations of the gates. Evidence of the Fire Marshal's approval shall be provided to the Department of Planning by the owner prior to issuance of occupancy permits.
 - 32. Outside storage shall not be permitted except as shown on the approved plan.
 - 33. The proffers approved as a part of zoning case REZ2018-00021 shall be incorporated in this approval.
 - 34. The owners shall not begin clearing of the site until the following conditions have been met:
 - (a) The site engineer shall conspicuously illustrate on the plan of development or subdivision construction plan and the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan, the limits of the areas to be cleared and the methods of protecting the required buffer areas. The location of utility lines, drainage structures and easements shall be shown.
 - (b) After the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan has been approved but prior to any clearing or grading operations of the site, the owner shall have the limits of clearing delineated with approved methods such as flagging, silt fencing or temporary fencing.
 - (c) The site engineer shall certify in writing to the owner that the limits of clearing have been staked in accordance with the approved plans. A copy of this letter shall be sent to the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works.
 - (d) The owner shall be responsible for the protection of the buffer areas and for replanting and/or supplemental planting and other necessary improvements to the buffer as may be appropriate or required to correct problems. The details shall be included on the landscape plans for approval.
 - 35. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
 - 36. Except for junction boxes, meters, and existing overhead utility lines, and for technical or environmental reasons, all utility lines shall be underground.
 - 37. Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.

,12

.34

Ms. News - The next item is found on page 28 of the agenda and is located in the Brookland District. This is POD2018-00318, Kimway Drive Warehouse. There's an addendum item on page 3 of the addendum, which includes revised architectural plans providing additional detailing on the building and a revised recommendation for approval.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

POD2018-00318 Kimway Drive Warehouse

- Kimway Drive

HG Design Studio for Southern Brick, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story, 18,564-square foot office warehouse. The 1.93-acre site is located on the eastern line of Kimway Drive, approximately 500 feet north of its intersection with Wistar Road, on parcel 772-752-5513. The zoning is M-2C, General Industrial District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Brookland)

Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2018-00318, Kimway Drive Warehouse? I see no opposition, Mr. Archer.

Mr. Archer - Madam Chair, I move for approval of POD2018-00318, Kimway Drive Warehouse, subject to the annotations on the plan, staff recommendation, the conditions listed in the agenda, and the addendum item.

Mrs. Marshall - Second. We have a motion by Mr. Archer and a second by Mrs. Marshall. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved POD2018-00318, Kimway Drive Warehouse, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- 29. The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-7C-82 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- The loading areas shall be subject to the requirements of Chapter 24, Section 24-97(b) of the Henrico County Code.
- 31. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

Ms. News - The next items is on page 29 of your agenda and located in the Three Chopt District. This is landscape plan for POD2018-00251, Holloway Townes at Wyndham Forest Section 2. Staff recommends approval.

LANDSCAPE PLAN

POD2018-00251 Holloway Townes at Wyndham Forest Section 2 – Nuckols Road

HG Design Studio for HHHunt Holloway, LLC: Request for approval of a landscape plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 9.44-acre site is located on the southern line of Holman Ridge Road, approximately 385 feet east of Bavis Hollow Court, on parcel 749-771-0923. The zoning is RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

497 498

499

500

501

495

+96

Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2018-00251, Holloway Townes at Wyndham Forest Section 2? I see no opposition. I move POD2018-00251, the landscape plan for Holloway Townes at Wyndham Forest Section 2, be approved on the expedited agenda subject to the annotations on the plans and the standard conditions for landscape plans.

502503504

Mr. Baka - Second.

505 506

Mrs. Marshall - We have a motion by Mrs. Marshall and a second by Mr. Baka. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

508 509 ,10

507

The Planning Commission approved the landscape plan POD2018-00251, Holloway Townes at Wyndham Forest Section 2, subject to the standard conditions attached to these minutes for landscape plans.

512513514

515

511

Ms. News - The final item is on page 30 and is located in the Three Chopt District. This is a landscape plan for POD2018-00338, Holloway at Wyndham Forest Section 4. Staff recommends approval.

516517518

LANDSCAPE PLAN

519

POD2018-00338
Holloway at Wyndham
Forest Section 4 –
Nuckols Road

Youngblood, Tyler, and Associates for HHHunt Holloway, LLC: Request for approval of a landscape plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 6.54-acre site is located on the southwestern terminus of Maben Hill Run, approximately 328 feet northwest of Holman Ridge Road, on parcel 749-772-9131. The zoning is R-5AC, General Residential District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

520521

522

Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2018-00338, Holloway at Wyndham Forest Section 4? I see no opposition. I move POD2018-00338,

Holloway at Wyndham Forest Section 4, be approved on the expedited agenda subject to the annotations on the plans and the standard conditions for landscape plans.

525526

Mr. Mackey - Second.

527

Mrs. Marshall - We have a motion by Mrs. Marshall and a second by Mr. Mackey. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

531532

The Planning Commission approved the landscape plan for POD2018-00338, Holloway at Wyndham Forest Section 4, subject to the standard conditions attached to these minutes for landscape plans.

534535

533

536 Ms. News - That completes our expedited agenda.

537538539

540

541

542

Mr. Emerson - Madam Chair, with the expedited items completed, next on your agenda are Subdivision Extensions of Conditional Approval, and there are none of those this morning. So, we now move into your regular agenda, and the first case appears on page 8 of your agenda. This will be POD2018-00260, Timmons Group for Rehabilitation Corporation of Virginia, and Encompass Health. The staff report will be presented by Ms. Aimee Crady.

543544

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

545546

POD2018-00260 Encompass Health RVA Expansion – 5700 Fitzhugh Avenue Timmons Group for Rehabilitation Corporation of Virginia, and Encompass Health: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a onestory, 10,500-square foot addition to an existing medical rehabilitation facility. The addition will accommodate conversion of existing units to private occupancy units with no net increase in patient beds. The 5.65-acre site is located on the southeast corner at the intersection of Libbie Avenue and Fitzhugh Avenue on parcel 770-738-7063. The zoning is R-5, General Residential District. County water and sewer. (Brookland)

547

548 Ms. Crady - Good morning.

549 550

Mr. Emerson - And you do have opposition because it came off the expedited agenda.

551552

Mrs. Marshall - I would just like to take a minute to recognize Mrs. O'Bannon.

Thank you for being here.

Mrs. O'Bannon - I apologize for being late. I left the house in plenty of time. There was a minor accident, but it was right in front of me, and I had to wait for everybody else to go around. Also, as a result of the storms that were from the recent hurricane and the rain we had, I had a tree fall on my house. It wasn't big, but the roofer and painter came at the same time this morning, and I had to leave everything open. So, I apologize for being late.

Mr. Emerson - Madam Chair, I would note again that there was opposition to this on the expedited agenda, so you do have opposition on this case.

Ms. Crady - Well that being known, I'll get going. All right.

The applicant proposes to expand the existing medical rehabilitation facility located on the southeast corner of Libbie and Fitzhugh Avenues to allow conversion of multiple semi-private rooms to private rooms. The number of patient rooms and related support area will increase, but the number of beds in the facility will not increase under this proposal.

The location of the expansion is along the south line of the property, shown here and will create an enclosed courtyard for patients in this area where currently that's just fenced in. This whole area here is where the current fence is. The building addition will be constructed of brick to match the existing facility. We have some elevations that show that.

The facility has historically been used as—since 1964 under Beth Shalom as a rest home. In 1991, a special exception to expand the facility for medical rehabilitation convalescent facility was granted by the Planning Commission. This expansion will involve improvements to fire protection including an addition of an emergency fire lane around the building to meet Division of Fire hose lay requirements, and a water line upgrade under existing asphalt. This will have a dedicated fire hydrant to support the facility and upgrade the waterline.

A ten-foot-wide transitional buffer is required from adjacent residential properties east and south. So that's here and there. No grading is currently proposed within the transitional buffer area of ten feet. The inventory of established and healthy trees will be included in a future landscape plan review. In addition, the applicant is currently working to inventory and reestablish any missing and damaged plant material that's along the east border that was installed with the 1991 plan of development approval.

The landscape plan associated with the current expansion is required to return for subsequent Planning Commission review and approval as indicated by condition 9 amended in the agenda.

Under conditions of the 1991 special exception with the plan of development, the developer agreed to give the president or presiding officer of the Monumental Floral Gardens Civic Association written notice at least thirty days prior to filing any further requests for plan of development to expand the facility or site beyond that approved with

POD-36-91. Community meetings and communication dating as early as March 6, 2018, and a follow-up meeting on April 3, 2018, at the facility have both occurred among the developer and the surrounding residents of Monumental Floral Gardens Neighborhood.

At the time of preparation of this agenda, staff had not been contacted by a party in opposition. This morning we have a neighbor in opposition in attendance.

Staff received a letter of support from the Monumental Floral Gardens Executive Board on August 30, 2018, acknowledging several meetings with the developer and expressing no opposition.

In accordance with that agenda, staff recommends approval subject to the annotations on the plan, standard conditions for developments of this type, and conditions 9 amended and 29 through 30 in the agenda. Dan Gaskell of Encompass Health is here, and Jonathan Showalter, the engineer for Timmons Group, is also here representing the applicant and can answer any questions you have of the plan. I'm happy to answer any questions the Commission may have of me.

Mrs. Marshall - Mr. Archer, would you like to hear from the opposition first or the applicant?

Mr. Archer - Opposition first, yes please.

Mrs. Marshall - If the opposition could please come forward. And when you come forward, please state your name as you get to the lectern. And Mr. Emerson, our secretary, will go over the rules that we have for speaking at the Planning Commission meetings.

Mr. Emerson - Yes, Madam Chair, as you note, the Commission does have guidelines that they follow regarding their public hearings, and they are as follows: The applicant is allowed ten minutes to present the request. Time may be reserved for responses to testimony. The opposition is allowed a cumulative ten minutes to present its concerns, meaning everyone that wants to speak needs to fit within that ten minutes. The Commission questions do not count into the time limits for either party. The Commission may waive the time limits for either party at its discretion. And any comments must be directly related to the case under consideration.

Mrs. Marshall - Good morning.

Mr. Hurwitz - Good morning. My name is Jeffrey Hurwitz. I live directly behind this property at 5708 Cutshaw Avenue. I am opposed to it for several reasons. One, I'm concerned about the construction noise and the construction trash during construction. I'm also concerned about since they're going to be building and losing landscape area what is it going to do to the rain runoff water, which in heavy rains can cause ponding back there now.

	648	i'm also opposed becaus	e I don't see the need to expand just to go to semi-private	
	,49 650	rooms—I mean private rooms as opposed to the semi-private rooms that they have now.		
	651 652		lus the impact it's going to have on the resale value of my home my property values to go down.	
653 654 655		Mrs. Marshall - where your house is on th	Do you have any questions? Could you possibly point out is map? On Cutshaw?	
	656 657	Mr. Hurwitz -	Okay.	
	658 659	Ms. Crady -	You're the second house here.	
	660 661	Mr. Hurwitz -	Yeah, okay. Yeah, that's it.	
	662 663 664	Ms. Crady - bit if needed.	The hand is on the parcel currently. You can zoom in a little	
	665 666 667	Mr. Baka - water runoff on the site.	And perhaps the applicant could address the question of the	
	668 669 670 71	Mr. Archer - association that offered su	Mr. Hurwitz, I do have one question. Are you a member of the upport of the project?	
	672 673 674	Mr. Hurwitz - because there have been for one meeting about this	I have not been to a neighborhood meeting in a very long time none. So I wasn't even aware that we had one. I did get a letter searly on.	
	675 676	Mr. Archer -	I understand there were—	
	677 678 679	Mr. Hurwitz -	That I did attend.	
	680 681 682		There were two meetings, one in March and one in April. And ly favored this addition and they approved it. But you are a tend. Is that what you're saying?	
	683 684 685	Mr. Hurwitz - approve it.	I did not attend the association meeting that voted on that to	
	686 687	Mr. Archer -	All right.	
	688 689	Mr. Hurwitz -	I had no notice of it.	
(690 691 692 193	Mr. Archer - can answer some of your	Okay. Well we will have the applicant come up and see if he questions.	

694	Mr. Hurwitz -	Okay.	
695 696	Mr. Archer -	Thank you.	
697 698	Mrs. Marshall -	Thank you. Can the applicant please come forward?	
700 701 702 703 704 705	Mr. Emerson - Madam Chair, while the applicant's approaching, I will rem the Commission that the impact on resale value or potential impact on values of propert really is not within your realm of consideration. So that shouldn't be taken into—you had no way to evaluate that, therefore that consideration has been taken away from you the state code.		
706 707 708	Mr. Archer - gentlemen.	Thank you, Mr. Secretary; it was helpful. Good morning,	
709 710	Mr. Showalter -	I'm Jonathan Showalter with Timmons Group.	
711	Mr. Gaskell -	And I'm Dan Gaskell with Encompass Health.	
712 713 714 715 716 717 718 719 720 721	Mr. Showalter - One concern we heard mentioned that we'd like to address the stormwater runoff. Through this project we're addressing both the channel protect requirements and the flood protection requirements of the state and the County. We doing energy balance reducing the one-year flow, and then also doing the 50 detention, reducing the ten-year flow. And there is an oversized underground storage protection to accomplish that. And then we're also going to re-grade and clean out and reconding the ditch in the back of the property and make sure that is draining better than currently.		
722 723	Mr. Archer - alleviate a condition that's	When you say that, you're indicating that you're going to already present?	
724 725 726 727	Mr. Showalter - issues to fix those through	The plan is to look at that ditch. And if it is having any ponding re-grading that ditch.	
728 729	Mr. Archer -	Okay, thank you. Anything else to offer?	
730 731 732	Mr. Showalter - will be cleaned out and a r	The landscaping buffer, through that area that landscaping new buffer, a ten-foot buffer will be provided.	
134			

Mr. Archer -733 734

Okay. The gentleman indicated he was concerned about construction noise and traffic. What will be your hours of operation?

Mr. Gaskell -I understand we have the option to work any day of the week. 736 But our preference would be that we do that Monday through Friday during regular 737

business hours. 738

735

740	Mr. Archer -	And what hours during Monday through Friday?	
41 742 743 744	Mr. Showalter - five.	I believe that would be roughly nine to five or maybe eight to	
745	Mr. Archer -	Okay. No weekends.	
746 747	Mr. Showalter -	No. We'd be willing to not have it on the weekends.	
748 749 750	Mr. Archer - any of you?	Write that down, folks. I don't have any other questions, do	
751 752 753 754 755	Mr. Baka - explain a little bit about the for you?	Just one question for the applicant Mr. Gaskell. Can you e rationale for the need to expand and then what that promotes	
756 757 758 759 760 761 762 63 764	people prefer a private root things like that. We actua building was built many ye have to take patients dow make is all the rooms—b	Yes. As noted, we're not actually adding additional beds; The way that most healthcare is going these days is that most om. It creates patient satisfaction issues and privacy issues and lly don't have any showers in these rooms. As you heard, this ears ago. There are showers at either end of a hallway, and they on to the shower to bathe them. And part of the changes we'll not the new ones and then we'll go back and retrofit the old ers. We feel that would allow our patients more privacy and	
765 766 767 768 769	Mrs. Marshall - Will you make sure that as far as trash on construction sites it can get tedious when it lands in neighbors' yards. They really don't appreciate that this case passes, would you consider—especially during construction—being diligerated about that? Mr. Gaskell - Yes. And I'd be willing to give my personal cell phone number to the complainant and be willing to—if he's finding that—address that. I'm at the building to outside to make sure that we're picking anything up.		
770 771 772 773 774 775			
776	Mrs. Marshall -	Okay, thank you. Any more questions? All right, thank you.	
777 778	Mr. Archer -	Thank you, gentlemen.	
779 780	Mrs. Marshall -	Mr. Archer?	
781 782 783 784 185	Mr. Archer - have to get up to come u concerns or at least some	Well let me address Mr. Hurwitz first. Mr. Hurwitz, you don't p here. But just indicate, if you would. Does this alleviate your of them?	

786 Mr. Hurwitz - [Off microphone] I will believe it when I see it.

788 Mr. Archer - Okay.

790 Mr. Hurwitz - I will have concerns until it's completed.

Mr. Archer - Okay. He indicated he'll believe it when he sees it. Make sure the minutes provide for that.

Mrs. O'Bannon - Mr. Hurwitz, make sure you get his phone number before you leave.

Mr. Hurwitz - [Off microphone] I believe I have his card already.

800 Mrs. O'Bannon - You have his card? Okay. Excellent. Thank you.

Mr. Archer - Thank you, sir. Okay. As you know, I am handling this for Mrs. Kotula. In my observation, I guess if she were here she may agree or she may not. But things like construction noise and trash – the gentlemen have agreed that they will do this in as quiet a manner possible. And nine to five on weekdays only, that's a real catch. I wish you could get everybody to do that. They've indicated they'll take care of the landscape and also try to alleviate the water runoff problems that you're having now, which they're not really obligated to, but it's nice of them to think about that.

You indicated that you didn't see the need, and that's not an item that this Commission can address. And as Mr. Secretary also indicated the impact on home value is something also that we cannot address. But other than that, it appears to me that with the support from the nearby homeowners' association and the way that these gentlemen have indicated they're willing to work with you to try to alleviate whatever your concerns are, I don't think I have much of a choice but to move for approval of POD2018-00260, Encompass Health RVA Expansion, subject to the staff report, annotations on the plan, standard conditions for developments of this type, and the additional conditions list, 9 amended, 29, and 30.

Mrs. Marshall - Second. We have a motion by Mr. Archer, a second by Mrs. Marshall. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved POD2018-00260, Encompass Health RVA Expansion, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- AMENDED A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 29. Outside storage shall not be permitted.

30. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

. 33

Mr. Emerson - Madam Chair, we now move on to page 10 of your agenda for POD2018-00351, Timmons Group for NN Hotel, LLC and NN II, LLC. The staff report will be presented by Ms. Christina Goggin.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT, LIGHTING PLAN, AND SPECIAL EXCEPTION

POD2018-00351 Home 2 Suites at Glenside – 2925 Emerywood Parkway

Timmons Group for NN Hotel, LLC and NN II, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, lighting plan, and special exception as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24.2 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a six-story, 79,232-square foot, 130-room hotel, and to authorize a special exception for building height exceeding 45 feet, up to 77 feet. The 2.93-acre site is located on the southern line of Emerywood Parkway, approximately 850 feet west of West Broad Street (U.S. Route 250), on part of parcel 766-746-3684. The zoning is M-1, Light Industrial District. County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe)

Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2018-00351, Home 2 Suites at Glenside? Okay.

Ms. Goggin - Good morning. This proposal is for a 6-story, 130-room, 77-foot-tall Home 2 Suites hotel on Emerywood Parkway just west of Broad Street. The location is an undeveloped wooded parcel in between an existing Embassy Suites and Virginia Blood Services. At the time of the preparation of the agenda, the Department of Public Works, Traffic Works Division, couldn't recommend approval because the minimum access spacing requirement along Emerywood Parkway wasn't met. The director of Public Works granted a waiver due to the site's only entrance is at the best location with the existing constraints, and that allows for proper fire access to the new building. This is the only access to the new site.

The applicant has submitted a lighting plan that consists of full cutoff LED fixtures on 25-foot-tall poles. All elements of the plan conform to the County's lighting policy.

The proposed building is a mix of brick, cultured stone, and EIFS. Most of the building is red brick with dark cultured stone and gray EIFS. The first level is mostly light cultured stone, and the entire building is accented with beige EIFS. A few lime green stripes are added for accent. Right there is your lime green accent.

This plan of development includes a request for a special exception for height to allow construction of a building exceeding forty-five feet in height. As is normal procedure, staff makes no recommendation regarding the special exception. It is the applicant's responsibility to present evidence to the Commission to support this request. Should the Commission approve the applicant's request, staff recommends approval of the POD subject to the annotations on the plan, the standard conditions for developments of this type, conditions 11B and 29 through 35 in the agenda.

I would be happy to answer any questions the Commission may have of me. Representatives from Timmons, the engineering firm, and the architectural firm are here to answer any questions and to make their presentation for the special exception.

Mr. Baka - A couple brief questions of staff, if I may, and then I do have a question for the applicant. You mentioned a 45-foot height limit and 77 feet tall. To clarify, is that to the top of the building materials or the top of the screening around the AC?

Ms. Goggin - That's to the top of the screening around the HVAC unit.

Mr. Baka - Okay. And you had mentioned in the report that some of the hotels in the area were actually taller than this proposed height of seventy-seven feet? And somewhere you showed a range of different heights and different exceptions.

Ms. Goggin - Yes sir. For example, the Westin, which is across. Let me see if the aerial will show it. No, but the Westin, which is down here is seven stories. The Richmond Hyatt House, which is I guess you could say over where Mr. Archer is, which was built in the seventies, is eight stories.

895 Mr. Baka - And the Embassy Suites I believe you pointed out.

7 Ms. Goggin - Which is right next door. Yes, I forgot that is eight stores.

899 Mr. Baka - So to clarify, the building adjacent is in excess of the request that this applicant is making here today.

902 Ms. Goggin - Yes, it is.

904 Mr. Baka - All right. I do have a question of the applicant unless others 905 have questions of staff. Okay, thanks.

907 Mrs. Marshall - Could the applicant please come forward?

909 Mr. Seldon - Good morning, members. Tim Seldon with Timmons Group.

911 Ms. Patel - Good morning. Kruti Patel from NBJ Architecture.

I just had a two-part question for you. Could you describe the Mr. Baka -913 purpose for the actual request? In two parts, what would be the net effect if you were 114 limited to the height requirement, the forty-five feet required by Code? And what does this 915 exception to seventy-seven feet allow you to do? 916 917 I could speak a little bit to the site constraints. Obviously we're Mr. Seldon -918 working with the available vacant land in the area. And also to meet the parking 919 requirements are required by zoning. And also we are honoring the Resource Protection 920 Area off of Upham Brook along the southern border of the site there. So just working with 921 the site constraints. And this necessitates us to go vertical. 922 923 As Tim talked about the site constraints, we have a limit of Ms. Patel -924 building footprint here. Looking at the feasibility of the project and what our client is looking 925 for, 130 rooms, which is 24 rooms per floor. We had to go to six floors. And while we are 926 also looking at the building elevation, rooftop parapet is at sixty-four feet. What goes 927 beyond that is a prototypical feature which is kind of architectural embellishment, which 928 is also a typical feature, a franchise which we cannot modify as it is typical design. Also 929 they're kind of trying to complement the adjacent building of the Embassy Suites with 930 using the brick and beige EIFS here. 931 932 Okay, thank you. Looks like a very high-quality product, and I 933 Mr. Baka appreciate you pointing out that while seventy-feet is requested, most of the building is a 934 little bit shorter than that, sixty-four feet, give or take. 935 36 Right, right. The top of the roof is sixty-four feet. Ms. Patel -937 938 Okay. I have no other questions. 939 Mr. Baka -940 I have a question. What will your hours of construction be? Mrs. Marshall -941 942 I can't answer that at this time. I don't expect anything out of 943 Mr. Seldon what would be required by the County or just allowed by the County. 944 945 Generally when we look into new buildings and buildings Mrs. Marshall -946 being built and exceptions, we look at the hours of construction. Because you want to 947 have the least amount of impact as possible to the surrounding areas. As far as not having 948 any hours, I think that's something you probably should be prepared with. If you do not, 949 it's something that you need to keep in mind. 950 951 Mr. Seldon -Okay. 952 953 We can probably work with that. Ms. Patel -954 955 Good morning. I'm Mike Sweeney. I've vice president of Ms. Sweeney -956 Design Construction for Shamin Hotels. Typically when we're building something like this, 957 it's standard between 7:30 and 3:30 in the afternoon. If we run behind, sometimes you'll

- see in the interior portion where we'll be working on Saturdays. But only on the interior and not typically on the exterior of the building.
- 962 Mrs. Marshall Okay. So only interior on Saturday and no construction on Sunday.
- 965 Mr. Sweeney No construction on Sunday, no. If we could find guys to work 966 on Sunday, that would be great. Thank you. 967
- 968 Mrs. Marshall Thank you.

964

974

981

986

987

988

989 990

991 992

993

994

995

996

- 970 Mr. Baka I would point out that we appreciate the applicant's willingness 971 to accommodate regular hours during construction. It's also in an area zoned M-1 with 972 business/office uses around it, such as another hotel, another office building, and a noisy 973 interstate. So, some of those concerns might be mitigated by those factors.
- 975 Mrs. Marshall Any other questions? All right, Mr. Baka.
- 977 Mr. Baka At this time, I would for POD2018-00351, Home 2 Suites at Glenside, including the special exception and lighting plan, be approved subject to the annotations on the plan, the standard conditions for developments of this type, and additional conditions 11B and 29 through 35 in the agenda.
- 982 Mr. Mackey Second.
- 984 Mrs. Marshall We have a motion by Mr. Baka, a second by Mr. Mackey. All 985 in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.
 - The Planning Commission approved the plan of development, lighting plan, and special exception for POD2018-00351, Home 2 Suites at Glenside, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:
 - 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
 - 29. A concrete sidewalk meeting County standards shall be provided along the south side of Emerywood Parkway.
- There shall be no outdoor storage in moveable storage containers including, but not limited to, cargo containers and portable on demand storage containers.
- Evidence that an engineer has certified the height of the building shall be provided to the Director of Planning prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.

- Evidence of a joint ingress/egress and maintenance agreement must be submitted to the Department of Planning and approved prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for this development.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- 35. Except for junction boxes, meters, and existing overhead utility lines, and for technical or environmental reasons, all utility lines shall be underground.

Mr. Emerson - Madam Chair, we now move on to page 15 of your agenda and page 1 of your amended agenda for POD2018-00352, RK&K for Glenside Drive, LLC, H & R Gary Reynolds Holdings, LLC, and Thomas W. Pruitt. The staff report will be presented by Mr. Matt Ward.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

POD2018-00352 Glenside Lock Box Self-Storage – 1610 Glenside Drive

RK&K for Glenside Drive, LLC, H & R Gary, Reynolds Holdings, LLC, and Thomas W. Pruitt: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to demolish four single-family homes and construct a two-story, 100,600-square foot office self-service storage facility with a basement. The 1.87-acre site is located at the northwest corner of the intersection at Glenside Drive and Forest Avenue and on the east line of Eaton Road and the south line of Brigham Road, on parcels 764-744-6655, 764-744-6764, 764-744-6971, 764-744-7177, 764-744-7782, and 764-744-8475. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe)

Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2018-00352, Glenside Lock Box Self-Storage? We do have opposition.

Mr. Ward - Good morning. The applicant is requesting approval to demolish four single-family homes in the Fort Hill subdivision facing Eaton and Brigham Roads. Removal of the homes plus the wooded area here at the intersection of Glenside Drive and Forest Avenue comprises enough space to construct a self-storage building.

The facility will have an overall height of thirty-five feet to the top of the parapet and approximately it will be 100,600 square feet. The building will be fully enclosed with a basement. And due to the existing grades, the building will sit approximately twelve feet below grade here at Eaton Road. And it will be elevated from the Brigham Road site from about a foot to seven feet along this side.

Access to the site is limited to Glenside Drive only. Brigham Road will be for emergency access only. Right-of-way dedication along Glenside Drive is shown to accommodate the turn lane and the sidewalk for ultimate right-of-way width. Also a County standard sidewalk will be along Forest Avenue over here.

A required 25-foot transitional buffer will be provided with irrigation along Eaton and Brigham Roads facing the neighborhood. Condition 9 amended was added on page 1 of the addendum for the landscape plan to return for Planning Commission approval.

Additional site features include a retaining wall here along Eaton. And it will basically start at the intersection and go to the southern edge of the site. That will range anywhere from three to ten feet tall. That will complement the site as well as the building, hopefully helping to minimize any visual impacts and/or reduce noise associated with the building activities.

The elevations submitted with this plan of development are consistent with adopted proffers which may include brick, glass, EIFS, and stone, as well as many other quality building materials combined with architectural elements to match what was proffered in the exhibits. As shown, several building materials are proposed. They include either brown and gray brick and EIFS accented with brick soldier courses at the building center and at the top. Additional building materials include glass storefront, white EIFS cornices, and extended parapet to conceal the rooftop equipment. Furthermore, to complete the office-style architecture, the faux window panels were added on all four sides, and metal canopies will be provided.

Approval of the lighting plan is part of today's request. As you can see, the lighting plan shows four LED light poles at twenty feet tall. Those will have house-side shields for consideration of the neighborhood. The average foot candle throughout that parking lot is right at 1.2 and 0 at the property line. The two building packs you see here mounted on the building, those will be removed. The applicant will work with staff to include an acceptable means of lighting and updated photometric calculations. Also, at the Police Department's request, additional lighting will be added along the building side facing Glenside and Forest for safety reasons.

This facility will conduct business between the hours of 6 a.m. and 10 p.m. Trash pickup will be limited to 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. Monday through Friday. No trash pickup or parking lot cleaning on Saturdays or Sundays.

At this time, staff can recommend approval of the site plan, the lighting plan, and architectural renderings, as they are consistent with the zoning case and the proffers outlined in zoning case 2018-0009, and the project meets the conditions outlined in the provisional use permit, PUP2018-0008.

1001	With that the standard	conditions for development of this type and the following		
1081 82	With that, the standard conditions for development of this type and the following conditions: 9 amended in the addendum, 11B for the lighting plan, and 29 through 37 in			
1083	the regular agenda have been included.			
1084	the regular agenda have been moladed.			
1085	This ends my presentation	n. I'm happy to answer any questions. We have Malachi Mills		
1086	with RK&K and Brian Hole	der with Rivercrest Investors, the developer, should you have		
1087	any questions of them.			
1088				
1089	Mr. Baka -	A couple questions of staff. You mentioned zero foot candles		
1090	at the property line. Are you anticipating that there will be no light spillage from these			
1091	twenty-foot-tall light poles	leaving the site onto Eaton Road or Brigham?		
1092		We to talking the cut on the pide fasing the pointh orboad?		
1093	Mr. Ward -	You're talking about on the side facing the neighborhood?		
1094	Ma Dalea	Okov		
1095	Mr. Baka -	Okay.		
1096 1097	Mr. Ward -	It's all zero along the property line. It actually falls off to about		
1097		ea here, which usually with that policy, we like to have at least		
1099	one foot candle. But in this	corner section it is a little bit below the average. And we usually		
1100	request 0.5 at the property	y line, but they're showing zero.		
1101				
1102	Mr. Baka -	I know Mrs. O'Bannon and I had a couple neighborhood		
1103	meetings, community meetings with citizens who are concerned in the area, An			
04	applicant prepared that information in time prior to the zoning hearing. One of the ite			
1105	that came up was a comment about the height of the retaining wall. And you say it varies,			
1106	depending on grade, from three to ten feet. At the highest point of the retaining all, would			
1107	you consider this design safe so that if an adult or child were at the edge of that retaining wall that it's designed in a safe manner to prevent falls.			
1108	wall that it's designed in a	Sale manner to prevent rails.		
1109 1110	Mr. Ward -	Correct. And it is safe. It's ten feet down here at this section,		
1111		that will be above that. Plus the berms that are in front of the		
1112	retaining wall will help con	ceal a lot of that. And the landscaping should be keeping people		
1113	from approaching that. An	d with the handrail, that's what the County standard is for safety		
1114	purposes.			
1115				
1116	Mr. Baka -	Okay. In summary, the rest of the design standards are		
1117	consistent with the proffer	s that were discussed in detail and documented?		
1118	NA 107 I	Digital We protty much identical to what was presented with		
1119	Mr. Ward -	Right. It's pretty much identical to what was presented with		
1120	rezoning hearings.			
1121	Mr. Baka -	Thank you.		
1122 1123	IVII. Dana -	Thank jou.		
1123	Mrs. Marshall -	Any more questions for Mr. Ward?		
1125				
26	Mr. Baka -	I would like to hear from the concerned citizens.		

-1	1	7	7
- 1	- 1		- /

1128 Mrs. Marshall - If the opposition could please come forward. Thank you, Mr. 1129 Ward.

Mr. Hogan Good morning. My name is Chris Hogan. My fiancée and I just purchased a home in the neighborhood. If you see on the screen right here where my mouse is, it's right here at 7100 Brigham Road. Like the Commission just spoke about, I guess there were some neighborhood meetings. But at this point we just purchased the property two to three weeks ago. Can't remember the exact day. But imagine my surprise when I get that notice in the mail about the public hearing. So what do I do? I start researching a little bit and thinking about what I can do as a citizen now.

This is my first home. So thinking about a few goals in mind that I had purchasing this home with my fiancée. Starting a home in a safe area. I've heard some of the safety considerations with the retaining wall and other considerations have gone into that. But any other things that could be added to that I'd be interested to hear about.

And I've heard the Commission also talk about they can't comment on the appreciation [sic] of home property value. I'll bring up that point in a second.

But now thinking about the project in general makes me question if any of my goals or realities that I'm thinking about when I'm a first-time homebuyer, if they've going to become reality.

That being said, I'm not sure what, if anything, I can do about it or any of the planning or community meetings that have happened previously. So really, I think I just have some questions that I'd like to have answered, if possible.

Has there been any research done to determine what the impact is for the home values in the neighborhood? I think I see about seven homes that are directly looking at the property. A common question that I've heard, this is the first type meeting that I've attended like this, hours of construction. I know that was addressed a little bit during that presentation. I'd like a little bit more detail about the plans for any landscaping. Like I'm pointing out, directly looking out the front of our house is the property in question. And lastly, when the project is slated to start for our own information.

That's all I have. Thank you.

1165	Mr. Archer -	Excuse me, sir. Could you point out your house one more
1166	time? Is it the last one?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

1168 Mr. Hogan Yes, the last one right there.

1170 Mr. Archer - Okay, I got it.

1172 Mr. Hogan 7100. Any other questions?

Mr. Baka - I have no questions of you, but thank you for making your comments. I appreciate your concern.

Mrs. Marshall - Thank you.

1179 Mr. Hogan Thank you.

Mr. Baka - We'd like to ask if the applicant could respond or address some of those comments.

Mr. Mills - Good morning. I'm Malachi Mills with RK&K Engineers representing the developer on this project. Normally I get up and say I'm here to answer any questions of Madam Chair or Mr. Baka. I don't know if there are specific questions other than—I don't want to go into regurgitating a lot that we went through on the rezoning. Brian Holder, the developer, is here representing the case as well.

Mr. Baka - Mr. Mills, I could just walk through the four questions that I believe I heard Mr. Hogan articulate who is the recent homebuyer, just buying his home within the past two or three weeks, the past month. Some of these you may not be able to comment on.

His question, has there been any research on the impact of home values in the neighborhood? That's something that the Planning Commission does not get into. I don't know if you have any comment on that yourself.

Mr. Mills - I don't want to project a notion that I would know on the appraisal side and the home values. The developer and I were sensitive to someone moving in and having missed a lot of the information that we did present from where we started on the development. Some of Mr. Hogan's concerns are ours as well and were represented at the neighborhood meetings. I think that from a safety standpoint. And I guess speaking to the home values, I know that working through staff and Mrs. O'Bannon and yourself, Mr. Baka, that the heavy landscaping we've done, how we've depressed the site, providing the retaining wall and the additional density of the landscaping to protect the neighborhood.

The term used by the County was sort of a gateway on Brigham and on Eaton with the landscaping to really turn that corner for the commercial use and having no access into the neighborhood and all. But to screen those neighbors, the seven neighbors or so, looking at the elevation so that we would be protecting the neighborhood as much as possible from the impact of this use. We feel that it's a low-traffic volume, no connection there. In the case of the retaining wall, there is a 42-inch minimum height for the protection of the fall situation off of that retaining wall, along with the berm and the heavy landscaping. But if someone were to get along the edge of that wall...

Mr. Baka - So to clarify, that's a 42-inch railing.

1219			
1210	Mr. Mills -	It's a 42-inch, yes sir.	
1221		to a 12 mon, you on,	
1222	Mr. Baka -	Okay.	
1223		•	
1224	Mr. Mills -	With the heavy landscaping and the proffered conditions, we	
1225	feel we are maintaining the neighborhood as it is. And we're minimizing everything we		
1226	can from the standpoint of not impacting the neighborhood.		
1227	M. D.L.		
1228	Mr. Baka - A couple questions about construction. I also heard additional		
1229	questions, when will the	hours of construction be? And that may have been addressed	
1230 1231	as a rezoning proffer at th	e time.	
1231	Mr. Mills -	Ves sir The zening condition is 7 to 7 Manday through	
1232		Yes sir. The zoning condition is 7 to 7, Monday through tion on a Sunday would be if it's like inside cleaning. No heavy	
1234	equipment no loud mover	ment of equipment, just cleaning.	
1235	- qp,	none or oquipmone, just olculmig.	
1236	Mr. Baka -	Okay. The landscaping plan would return to the Planning	
1237	Commission at future date	e. The applicant has the option, I guess, to propose that that be	
1238	part of today's POD. But	in discussion with staff, we've actually asked the applicant to	
1239	return to a future Planning	Commission meeting for the specific purpose of reviewing that	
1240	landscaping plan at the time. That would help give us an idea of where trees would be		
1241	placed, whether they'd be evergreen or deciduous, and would they be able to screen or		
1242	partially screen views from individual areas along Brigham or Eaton. So that is one		
1243	change I wanted to make sure that was clarified for everyone here.		
1244 1245	Start of construction If	this case were empressed when would were	
1245	construction and then have	this case were approved, when would you commence volong would it take, and when might you end?	
1247	construction, and then not	wilding would it take, and when might you end?	
1248	Mr. Mills -	The schedule with weather coming and whatnot, and we're	
1249	working through the tech	nical review for the construction approval, probably not until	
1250	spring of 2019 when the w	eather breaks. We wouldn't want to break ground in the winter	
1251	at all. It would be early s	pring next year, March, April, depending on the weather. It's	
1252	probably an eight- to nine-month construction timeline.		
1253			
1254	Mr. Baka -	Other questions?	
1255			
1256	Mrs. Marshall -	Any questions? All right, Mr. Baka.	
1257	Mr. Doko	The selection BA = BAN	
1258 1259	Mr. Baka -	Thank you. Mr. Mills.	
1259	Mr. Mills -	Thank you.	
1261	IVII. IVIIIIO -	Halik you.	
1262	Mr. Emerson -	Madam Chair, if I might. Mr. Ward, were there proffered hours	
1263	on the construction? And v	what are those?	
1264			

1265 Mr. Ward - Those are 7 a.m. to 7 p.m., Monday through Friday (sic). And
166 I think Saturday (sic) if they had to do concrete pours or emergency situations. Yes. Hours
1267 of exterior construction, 7 a.m. to 7 p.m. Monday through Saturday except in places of
1268 emergency those hours could change, based on concrete pours and other things.

Mr. Emerson - And also, would you get Mr. Hogan's contact information and provide him yours so if he has any questions he could contact you.

1273 Mr. Ward - I've got that with me. I can get it on to Mr. Hogan.

1275 Mr. Emerson - Okay. Great. Thank you.

Mrs. O'Bannon - Mr. Hogan? I'm your elected official. After they take the vote, l'd like to meet with you out in the foyer. Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Baka - Unless there are other questions of the Commission, I just wanted to take a second. There are times you have investment-backed expectations of getting a new home that are difficult when you understand the context of the land use in the neighborhood around you. I realize that Mr. Hogan did not have the opportunity to be at the previous open houses not owning the property and not being there. And these were meetings taking place earlier in the year in 2018 prior to rezoning. Although you weren't present, I know that other neighbors took up a great number of concerns from the use of building materials, the lighting, the height, the wall, the landscaping design. It was impressive to see how both Ben Sehl and Matt Ward on staff worked to try to create reasonable proffers that might address those items and perhaps not adversely affect the property owners to the extent it would have been without such conditions.

With that in mind, this is a plan of development hearing today after the property has already been zoned. And the POD case fits the current zoning district. And it fits the proffers. It's consistent with those that were adopted previously.

I would move that POD2018-00352, Glenside Lock Box Self-Storage, including the lighting plan, be approved subject to the annotations on the plan, the standard conditions for development of this type, and additional conditions 11B, 29 through 37 on the agenda, and with added condition 9 amended, meaning the landscape plan comes back to this Commission in the future, as shown on the addendum.

1302 Mr. Mackey - Second.

Mrs. Marshall - We have a motion by Mr. Baka, a second by Mr. Mackey. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved the plan of development and lighting plan for POD2018-00352, Glenside Lock Box Self-Storage, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- AMENDED ADDED: A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 1315 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
- The right-of-way for widening of Glenside Drive as shown on approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- A concrete sidewalk meeting County standards shall be provided along the north side of Glenside Drive and the west side of Forest Avenue.
- Details for the gate and locking device at the emergency access road shall be submitted for review by the Traffic Engineer, Police and approved by the County Fire Marshal. The owner or owner's contractor shall contact the County Fire Marshal prior to completion of the fence installation to test and inspect the operations of the gates. Evidence of the Fire Marshal's approval shall be provided to the Department of Planning by the owner prior to issuance of occupancy permits.
- 1333 32. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case REZ2018-00009 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- The conditions approved as part of provisional use permit PUP2018-00009 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Virginia Department of Transportation maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by the contractor and approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation.

 The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment.
 - 36. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- Prior to approval of construction plans, a request shall be submitted to vacate the Fort Hill Section C subdivision plat features within the property.
 - Mr. Emerson Madam Chair, we now move on to page 17 of your regular agenda and page 2 of your amended agenda for POD2018-00343, Freeland and Kauffman, Inc. for Wawa, Inc. and Cross Development CC Henrico, LLC. The staff report will be presented by Mr. Mike Kennedy.

September 26, 2018

1343

1344

1345

1346

1347

1351

1352

1353

1354 1355 1356

POD2018-00343 Caliber Collision at 12201 Ridgefield Parkway Freeland and Kauffman, Inc. for Wawa, Inc. and Cross Development CC Henrico, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story, 22,400-square foot auto body repair shop. The 3.39-acre site is located at the southwestern corner of the intersection of Ridgefield Parkway and Gayton Centre Drive, on parcel 731-751-2972. The zoning is B-3, Business District. County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe)

Mrs. Marshall - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2018-00343, Caliber Collision? Okay, we do have opposition.

Mr. Kennedy - Good morning, members of the Commission.

The subject property was rezoned B-3 Business District in 1971 in conjunction with the rezoning for the Tuckahoe Village development by the Wiltons. The proposed auto body shop use is permitted by right in the B-3 District. All vehicular repair work will be conducted within the enclosed building.

The property is located on Gayton Centre Drive, and there are two other collision shops on this road that are currently existing and operating.

In 2006, a Wawa proposed a development here. They actually were approved by the Planning Commission in 2006, and actually went through a full construction plan process in 2007. But they never built the building. They are selling it to the current developer. This is the Wawa layout at the time.

On the north side of the property, which faces Ridgefield Parkway, they will have an office area in front of the building. There will only be pedestrian access to the front of the building. It will be office access on this side of the building. No vehicle service doors will face Ridgefield Parkway from that side. A 35-foot transitional buffer will be provided along Ridgefield Parkway. Primarily it's a tree-save area. Staff will make sure that if any trees are removed that they're supplemented.

Customer parking will be provided in front of the building, but no vehicle storage will be permitted in front of the building.

A 120-foot wide building setback will be provided. There will be a right turn lane here. The right of way for a right turn lane will be dedicated. However, it is not being constructed at this time. And a sidewalk is also not constructed at this time. This will allow some additional tree protection to remain until those things are needed. And it will allow supplemental landscaping to mature.

The west side of the building is facing the Palmer Place Condominiums. There will also be a 35-foot transitional buffer will be provided along the western property line. There is a berm that will be planted. There is some additional tree-save area, but not a lot along that property line.

A 65-foot building setback is being provided here. The setback from the parking area in back will be 60 feet. The developer has agreed to increase the height of the fence area to ten feet in height.

The south side of the building facing the Blue and Grey mini-storage building will have a single pedestrian entrance and no vehicle entrances.

I forgot to mention on the west side of the building facing Palmer Place there will be no doors at all.

The east side of the building facing Gayton Centre Drive will have two equipment doors, three pedestrian doors, and three vehicle service doors. So all service bays face additional warehouse property across the street. In addition, there will be a six-foot solid wood fence along the entire parking storage area here and here.

Here we have the elevations that were provided by the applicant. The two sides of the building facing residential property will be finished with insulated metal panels with a stucco finish. The front of the building would also have a stone veneer base. This is the side facing Ridgefield Parkway. This is the side facing Palmer Place Condominiums. This is the side facing south to the Blue and Gray warehouse. And this is the side facing Gayton Centre Drive. A parapet will screen any rooftop equipment.

Light fixtures will be low-profile sharp cut-off fixtures with a natural white light color. So it won't be a full bright light. Building mounted fixtures will have a mounting height of twelve feet. Pole mounted fixtures will have a mounting height of approximately twenty-feet include the pole base. But the developers agreed to take the two poles here and reduce them to fifteen feet in height. The fence then will be ten feet in height and the lighting will be fifteen feet in height.

In response to staff's comments – the original plan actually had the service facing Palmer Place Condominiums – the developer rotated that building. This way the doors face the commercial development.

A community meeting was held on Monday night at Carver Elementary School. In addition to Mrs. O'Bannon, Mr. Baka and I, fifteen neighboring property owners were in attendance, along with Mr. Rusty Coan representing Cross Development, the developer.

Several concerns were expressed by the community. The neighbors requested that the signage and lights on the north side of the building be dimmed at night after business hours. Since hours of operation are not limited in the B-3 zoning district, a lighting limitation could not be enforced. We would have to have code proffers to do that.

However, Mr. Coan, the developer's representative, indicated at the community meeting they would reduce the lighting level in the front parking area to a security level after business hours, although the County cannot enforce that. They've been participating with us in the design, and they seem to be very cooperative.

The neighbors expressed concern about replacement planting in the transitional buffers. We said that we'd take care of that and make sure that the tree protection is there. Staff is recommending Condition # 9 amended, so that the final landscape plan will return to the Commission for review and approval.

The neighbors expressed concern about noise from trash pickup. Trash pickup is limited in that area. The County code prohibits noise from trash collection between midnight and 6:00 a.m. to be heard from residential properties. In addition, the neighbors expressed concern about noise when the bay doors are open. Again, the developer has already rotated the orientation of the building. In addition, the developer wanted an outdoor wash bay with a canopy. They have been told that they have to enclose that within the building. So all work will be done in the building. The neighbors requested a taller fence, and the developer has provided that. And like I said, the neighbors requested the height of lights to be reduced, and they were.

And finally, the neighbors requested assurance that steps will be taken to protect trees in the tree save areas. The E&S plan shows a tree protection fence going up around those trees, and with the addition that they will not put the turn lane at this point because it's not required at this point. That will allow some additional trees along that road to be retained until any supplemental landscaping does mature.

.464

The plan has been reviewed by the applicable review agencies. All applicable code requirements are satisfied.

The staff recommends approval subject to the annotations on the plans, and the revised site plan attached to the addendum, the standard conditions for developments of this type, with condition #9 amended, condition #30 revised as I just discussed about the turn lane and the sidewalk, and conditional additional conditions 11B and 29 and 31 through 36 as shown on the agenda.

With us here today is Rusty Coan, the development manager for Cross Development, and he can answer your questions. And I'm available for your questions as well.

Oh, one other thing. The president of the property owners' association has sent us a letter this morning indicating that they appreciate staff's participation and the cooperation the developer has shown.

Mr. Baka - Okay. Thank you. Mr. Kennedy. I appreciate your comments summarizing the community meeting on Monday night as there were a number of adjustments that were worked out at the meeting. At this time I'd like to hear from the concerned citizen.

Mrs. Marshall - If the opposition could please come forward.

Ms. George - Good morning. How are you? This is my first time here. My name is Marsha George. I am president of the board of directors for Palmer Place Condos. I thought we had a very good meeting Monday night, but some other issues have come up that I'd like to address, please.

We do not have any problems with the width of the buffers they have suggested. We're happy with that. We are literally going to be in the same block. Are we happy about it? No. It's coming, so we are just going to ask for a few things that will hopefully make it a pleasant experience.

I have researched how many Caliber Collision buildings there are in Richmond. I even looked them up on the maps. It looks like we're the only one that is going to be in a residential section. So therefore, we talked at the meeting Monday that the bays that they do the reconstruction of the cars, sometimes they're up; sometimes they're down. The developer said that they do have some establishments that they put AC in the whole building. We would like to request that to cut down on the noise factors. Because not only are we beside this building, across the street of Ridgefield there are also condos and apartments. So that's one thing that we would really like to see done so we would not have to listen to the noise.

We are also concerned about our property value. We are also concerned about the lights that are going to go up. It was indicated by the developer that late at night they could put them on a timer. Because we do have people that work, and I know there are people that live across the street that work that need to get their sleep.

One thing we did not discuss were the hours of construction, which I would like to know.

We will be very protective of—we have trees all along, and they have promised they will not touch our trees, that they're going to put a fence. But we know when the bulldozers come through, we hope they look at it so it will not mess with the trees that we have existing.

The main concerns are making sure the building is completely air conditioned, so we will not have to listen to the noise of that day after day. We're concerned about the lighting at night. Not only for us, but the people across Ridgefield. If they can work to dim the lights, I don't know, say eleven to maybe whatever time day breaks. And we do have some small children around there too. So they need their sleep. People that work need their sleep. And so all we're asking is to please make it so we can adjust to this in a very friendly manner and not be so opposed to the building being our neighbors.

1529 Mr. Baka - Thank you.

Ms. George - I think that's all.

Mrs. Marshall - All right, thank you.

1535 Ms. George -

Okay.

Mr. Baka - Thank you for your comments, Ms. George. I have no questions of Ms. George at this time, but I'd like to ask the applicant if he could address some of those concerns. Or if it helps, I can walk through some of them. The first one is air conditioning.

Mr. Coan - Rusty Coan with Cross Development, 40336 Marsh Ridge Road, Carrollton, Texas, 75010. We are the developer on this project, under contract to purchase the property from Wawa. And we do represent Caliber Collision Auto Body Shop.

I guess in response to the lighting levels, I think we are able on the north side where customers come in, in that parking lot. I think there are two light poles and the sign on the building. I think we're able to dim those after hours either through a timer or photocell. I don't know if we can set a time or if it has to go off the darkness, kind of in reverse of it coming on. But we are willing to look at that and drop those light levels 40 percent of what they are currently.

Mr. Coan, if I may, I wanted to add a comment about lighting. After the community meeting was held on Monday, my understanding is that if that's something the applicant's willing to do, that's something you can do independently of this process. It's my understanding now after the meeting the Commission is not able to require certain hours that lights be dimmed on the building itself because that's not a condition that is enforceable.

Mr. Coan - Correct. At the meeting I think we said we could do that after hours. Again, technology-wise, I don't know if I can set a time or if it has to kind of go off darkness level. That would be different each season of the year.

Mr. Baka - I just want to clarify we're appreciative of that. We just can't condition that, although you can volunteer that.

Mr. Coan - Again, we will definitely make that on the lighting plan that we resubmit. As far as the lights in the back, here on the site plan—again, this front area on the north is for customers. They'll come in, park, come in. The office area is on the north side of this building. The rest of the parking in the back is screened with a six-foot-high wood fence. And again, we have agreed to go to ten feet on the west side. That area in the back is where we take cars in for repair. And we have certain security requirements from a lighting level and a responsibility when we take ownership of those cars that are in our possession. Again, there are two lights on the west side that we can lower down to fifteen feet and still maintain our required lighting levels.

As far as the landscaping on the side, again, we do have the 35-foot buffer. I think there's a berm there currently that we are keeping. We will supplement with tree plantings, as you see here on the landscape plan.

I guess in response to the doors being opened, we have a lease with Caliber. I don't recall if we have air conditioning in that shop. But we work with them across the country. Some shops we will install air conditioning the whole thing. Some shops we just install in the office area. So I don't think this one has air conditioning in the shop due to the climate that we're in, and going back and adding that would be a modification to our lease, which I don't think we can do at this point. I will say from a door standpoint that it is kind of controlled by each operator, each manager of that store. We do have the doors on the east side of the building.

On the floor plan here, we kind of have an internal U shape where cars will come in, and then bays are along the wall and this wall. There's a paint booth that's farthest away on the east side of the building. From a noise standpoint, we're just doing paint and body work; we're not doing engine repair or transmission, not changing tires. So you don't have a whole lot of noise from, say, a pneumatic tool, lug wrench, you know. We can work with the manager to see if he can keep those down. But like I said again, that's each individual manager and how they operate from a climate standpoint in that building.

Mr. Baka - Okay. And just to clarify. The question of whether or not the building is air conditioned is something that Commission cannot place a condition on. That's up to the applicant. I know you've heard from the public this morning and from the public on Monday night that they'd be interested to see that to the extent practicable. A question also came up about property values. As Mr. Emerson mentioned earlier, we don't get into that.

Could you address the hours of construction?

Mr. Coan - Yes. Construction will typically be Monday through Friday, 7 to 4. I do believe you have a noise ordinance that we have to follow. I think that's 11 p.m. to 6 a.m. We definitely wouldn't be working anytime then. Again, we typically are Monday through Friday. I will say, however, we do have a deadline with a lease delivery date to our client. And there may be an occasional Saturday that we work. We typically would work on Sundays. But again, our typical times are 7 to 4, Monday through Friday.

Mr. Baka - If this case were approved, when might you begin construction and how long does that process take?

Mr. Coan - I know we are still waiting on permits. I think we anticipate those the first of the year. With all the clearing and everything that we have to do and the delivery date. We would probably start around that time, first of year or February, weather-dependent. And typical construction time is about six months on this project.

Mrs. Marshall - Do you mind putting the elevations back up?

1624		N. Luc	
`525	Mr. Coan -	Yes ma'am,	
1626	Mrs. Marshall -	So the west elevation, that is what is going to face the	
1627 1628	condos?	30 the west elevation, that is what is going to face the	
1629	condos:		
1630	Mr. Coan -	Yes ma'am.	
1631	Wir. Coarr -	res ma am.	
1632	Mrs. Marshall -	I spend a lot of time in that area, so just a curious question. I	
1633		changing it, not having the bays facing them. Do you give any	
1634	consideration in to faux windows so they're just not looking at a long run of		
1635		, , ,	
1636	Mr. Coan -	Again—	
1637			
1638	Mrs. Marshall -	if you don't mind; it's all good.	
1639			
1640	[Female] -	[Off microphone] I don't want to see them.	
1641			
1642	Mr. Coan -	That side is really the shop. Even if you put some faux	
1643	windows in there—again, based on the landscape plan, I don't know that you'll really be		
1644	able to see them.		
1645		Observ	
1646	Mrs. Marshall -	Okay	
47	Mr. Doko	Right. That's true. Depending on how far south you are at	
1648	Mr. Baka -	the landscaping plan—the fence would not be ten feet instead	
1649 1650		osed prior to Monday night?	
1651	of six of as originally prop	osed phor to Monday Hight:	
1652	Mr. Coan -	On the west side.	
1653	Will Court		
1654	Mr. Baka -	And that berm ranges from—	
1655			
1656	Mr. Coan -	I think it's five or six feet, yes.	
1657			
1658	Mr. Baka -	—three feet up to six feet. All right. Any other questions?	
1659			
1660	Mrs. Marshall -	Thank you.	
1661		and the second s	
1662	Mr. Baka -	I'd also add that we have difficult zoning cases sometimes.	
1663		3, un-proffered for many, many years. And you have business	
1664	on the one side, you have a self-storage unit, you have other collision centers in the area		
1665	You have retail shopping. You do have condominiums and townhouses adjacent also.		
1666	But anywhere in Henrico, anywhere in America you're going to have these transitional		
1667	points where we're doing the best we can to mitigate the impact with these plan of development type of tech standards.		
1668 69	development type of tech	Standards.	
09			

l believe the applicant has addressed many of those considerations that were addressed by the public Monday night. In light of that, I would move that POD2018-00343, Caliber Collision at 12201 Ridgefield Parkway, including the lighting plan, be approved subject to the annotations on the plans and the revised plan in the addendum, standard conditions for developments of this type, and additional conditions 11B, 29 through 36 in the agenda, and with condition 30 revised, and with 9 amended, which would bring the landscaping plan back to this Commission at a future date, added as shown on the addendum.

Mrs. Marshall - Second. We have a motion by Mr. Baka, a second by Mrs. Marshall. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

- The Planning Commission approved POD2018-00343, Caliber Collision, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:
- AMENDED ADDED: A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
 - 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
 - 29. The right-of-way for widening of Ridgefield Parkway as shown on approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
 - 30. **REVISED:** A concrete sidewalk meeting County standards shall be provided along the west side of Gayton Centre Drive and the south side of Ridgefield Parkway.
 - Details for the gate and locking device at the southern entrance road shall be submitted for review by the Traffic Engineer, Police and approved by the County Fire Marshal. The owner or owner's contractor shall contact the County Fire Marshal prior to completion of the fence installation to test and inspect the operations of the gates. Evidence of the Fire Marshal's approval shall be provided to the Department of Planning by the owner prior to issuance of occupancy permits.
 - 32. All repair work shall be conducted entirely within the enclosed building.
- Outside storage shall not be permitted except as shown on the approved plan.
- Before the final plan is approved, the developer shall submit to the Department of Public Works and the Department of Planning a report prepared by a qualified professional engineer specifying the proposed treatment of mine shafts and scars.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.

1716	36.	The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment
717		(including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes,
1718		transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building
1719		mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall
1720		be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of
1721		Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
1722		

1723 Mr. Archer -Madam Chair, before we move on. Ms. George?

1724

Ms. George -[Off microphone] Yes. 1725

1726

Mr. Archer -We have a lot of people address us at this Commission. And 1727 I'd just like to compliment you on the polite and nice way that you presented your 1728 concerns. 1729

1730

[Off microphone; inaudible] 1731 Ms. George -

1732

Mrs. Marshall -You did an excellent job. 1733

1734

1735 Ms. George -[Off microphone] The way I look at it, they're going there. There's nothing we can do about that. So we're just trying to make it nice so it will—so 1736 we won't feel so bad about it. 1737

1738

Mr. Archer -Thank you, ma'am. Okay. 39

1740

Male -[Off microphone] A question on the time of when that 1741 landscape plan comes back before construction is finished. 1742

1743

Mr. Emerson -Mr. Kennedy can help you out with that. 1744

1745

Madam Chair, next on the agenda is the consideration of the approval of the 2019 1746 Planning Commission calendar. Ms. News has a few brief comments to make on that 1747 before you take action. 1748

1749

Ms. News -The Planning Commission calendar has been included in your 1750 packet. The calendar follows the same protocol as we have in previous years. Since 2001, 1751 there has been no second POD Planning Commission hearing, similar to what the Board 1752 does, in August. The November and December POD meetings have been moved back, 1753 1754 as well as the zoning meetings in order to accommodate the Thanksgiving and Christmas holidays. The schedule repeats. This happens to be the same schedule as you had in 1755 1756 2013. I think every few years the calendar repeats so that the dates match up.

1757 1758

I don't know if you have any specific questions you'd like to ask or discuss, but we will recommend approval of the calendar.

1759 1760

51

Mrs. Marshall -Mr. Archer, any questions? 1762

1763 Mr. Archer -

None whatsoever.

1764

1765

Mrs. Marshall -

I move that we approve 2019 meeting schedule.

1766

1767

Mr. Archer -

And I second your motion.

1768

Mrs. Marshall -1769

We have a motion by Mrs. Marshall, a second by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

1770

1771

Mr. Emerson -1772

Madam Chair, we do have an item we added to your amended

agenda. It is a discussion item.

1773 1774

1776

1777

1775 **DISCUSSION ITEM:**

(ADDED FOLLOWING APPROVAL OF 2019 PLANNING

COMMISSION CALENDAR). The Planning Commission will discuss the draft Westwood

Small Area Study and steps for possible Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Ordinance

revisions. 1778

1779

1781

1782

1783

1784

1785

1786

1780

Mr. Emerson -It is the Westwood Study. You may have seen it in the newspaper. The Board adopted a resolution last night initiating the preparation of amendments to the 2026 Comprehensive Plan and the Zoning Ordinance for review by

the Commission and of course subsequent recommendations to the Board for the Westwood Study area. The study is in draft. We do have some additions we need to make

to it. Mr. Sehl will be presenting that to you this morning. You have a copy in a binder at your seat. Hopefully we'll be scheduling a work session or something in the near future

to discuss this further. With that said, Mr. Sehl will be making a brief presentation.

1787 1788

1789

Mr. Sehl -

Good morning, Madam Chair, members of the Commission.

1790 1791

1792

1793

As Mr. Emerson noted, in front of you this morning for everyone except for Mrs. Marshall and Mrs. O'Bannon, who received theirs previously, you did receive a draft copy of the Westwood Area Study that the Planning Department has been working on for several

months. 1794

1795 1796

1797

1798

1799

This discussion item essentially builds on a resolution that was passed by the Board of Supervisors last evening regarding possible amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Ordinance for the Westwood area of the County. For those that aren't familiar, the Westwood area is generally located between West Broad Street, Staples Mill Road, and

the City of Richmond, and the CSX rail line in that area of the County.

1800 1801 1802

1803

1804

At the request of the County Manager, staff began studying the area earlier this year. Our goal was to provide some initial review of the area so we could make a recommendation to the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors regarding current development trends and potential conflicts for anticipated increases in development in the area.

Staff's first step was to define the study area and then examine existing conditions including constraints for redevelopment. Based on redevelopment inquiries and development trends in this vicinity, we also analyzed how those redevelopment projects might be impacted by the existing conditions within Westwood and consider operations for how to address those constraints. The goal was to facilitate the County's redevelopment priorities, which are countywide and specific to this area as well.

On the screen in front of you is the study area. It is generally the area between West Broad Street, Stapes Mill Road, and the CSX rail line to the east in this area. The far eastern boundary is Westwood Avenue, which is actually pretty close to the city/county line in that area. It runs up underneath I-195. Recent projects that you would have seen would have been Top Golf, which is in this area here. As shown on the map in front of you, the study area encompasses approximately 525 acres.

The image to the left shows the existing zoning within the study area, which is largely industrial including a mixture of M-1, M-2, and M-3. M-3 is relatively rare in the County; there are only about four locations. This is one of the larger concentrations of industrial zoning outside of that by the airport.

Areas adjacent to Staples Mill Road also contain Business- and Office-zoned properties. The graphic to the right shows when the vast majority of the buildings were built in the area. Most of those were constructed prior to 1989, so twenty-plus years ago, with a large concentration of them built prior to 1970.

Development in the area is consistent with this existing zoning and has generally been industrial in nature, including a variety of manufacturing, warehousing, and distribution uses. Reflective of the existing zoning, the 2026 Comprehensive Plan actually recommends heavy industry for the majority of the subject area.

While the existing development pattern within Westwood is largely industrial in nature, new uses have also started to locate within this area. These include entertainment options such Top Golf and Triangle Rock Climbing Club, which you've seen recently, as well as craft breweries. Office uses such as PPD Laboratories and Anthem insurance also operate within the study area.

Redevelopment in nearby areas is creating development pressures on Westwood. The ongoing enhancements at Willow Lawn, as well as the continued growth of Libbie Mill, have increased the visibility of Westwood and clearly demonstrated how the area is well located for redevelopment.

Spillover development from Scotts Addition area of Richmond has also led to development within Westwood. This is less than half a mile from Scotts Addition down West Broad Street.

`52

Another influence on redevelopment pressures in the area has been recent transportation enhancements along the West Broad Street corridor. This includes the introduction to the

Pulse bus transit line, which has two stops adjacent to Westwood along West Broad Street. As shown here, these stops provide ready access to large portions of the study area, which is likely to increase development pressures from properties in close proximity to this new transit. This includes—Mrs. O'Bannon heard this last night – the proposed insurance office development, which would be located in this area. And that's a proposal for 150,000-square-foot office building and associated parking deck. So we're already seeing some of those redevelopment pressures occur. And what we're hearing is based on some these enhancements to mass transit in the area and some of the other pressures that are kind of coming from around.

For these reasons we've been seeing development increase within Westwood. We do believe this is a good thing. And it supports the County's goals and focus on redevelopment. However, because of the time frame when Westwood was developed and because of existing zoning and development patterns in the area, a number of constraints for this potential redevelopment have begun to emerge. Items such as limited opportunities for shared parking because of existing zoning limitations, large block sizes, lack of vehicular and pedestrian connectivity, and limitations on the introduction of new uses because of the existing zoning all could possibly impact the viability of new redevelopment projects in Westwood.

These redevelopment challenges can be addressed in a number of different ways. The recommendations contained in the draft report include the consideration of possible amendments to the Comprehensive Plan to establish goals and objectives for the study area, as well as revisions to the Zoning Ordinance to create an overlay for Westwood. These revisions could help solidify the vision for the area and allow staff to recommend changes to items such as the road network to increase connectivity. As noted by Mr. Emerson and in the paper this morning, those large parcel sizes could be seen as a potential development constraint and a potential benefit for the area. So that's something we'd like to have additional discussions with the Commission with as well moving forward.

The resolution approved by the Board last night will allow staff to continue refining the study with the Planning Commission's assistance, as well as determine the appropriate next steps. These may include revisions to the Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Ordinance to address those constraints I just mentioned. And the revisions could also possible result in the creation of an Overlay District for Westwood, which could assist both existing and future property owners within the area.

We do anticipate being back to the Commission shortly with a work session on the topic. You'll likely see some discussion items on a future agenda to set work session dates and public hearing dates. And then we'd move on in that process to ultimately hold public hearings with the Commission and then review it with the Board of Supervisors as well. You'll likely see this in the near future, and the draft study was provided to you this morning. We certainly would welcome, as we move forward in the process, any comments you might have on the study as we start drafting potential Overlay districts and the revisions to the Comprehensive Plan.

That does conclude my presentation, and I'd be happy to try and answer any questions you might have.

Mrs. O'Bannon - There was a glass company that was in Scotts Addition. It's now about twenty years ago, because that actually had been starting up about fifteen years ago with the transition. They moved into this area. There are a lot of manufacturing or industrial uses and companies. Where are they going to go when we begin to put this urban-type housing in these areas?

Mr. Sehl - That's a very fair point, Mrs. O'Bannon. It's something that we tried to address in the study. I think one of the benefits is the larger parcels allow some of those projects to stay that have some separation if maybe residential uses are introduced. In Scotts Addition, as residents move in there, they're coexisting with existing industrial uses. The good thing about Henrico County is there are a large number of industrially zoned properties throughout the County.

Mr. Emerson - I think the other thing to remember is there aren't that many heavy industrial uses in this area. If they decide their property is more valuable to sell it and move elsewhere, I can see those kinds of decisions being made. I don't know that there are any truly what I would consider heavy industrial uses in that area that are completely incompatible with a mixed-use kind of environment.

Mrs. O'Bannon - The companies that I know of are the glass company. They do replacement window glass. You're right, it's not heavy industrial. Some of those have been strip shopping centers. Another is a pharmaceutical company that does generic pharmaceuticals. Another one is they make tile, where they distribute tile because they are next to the railroad tracks. But they are there because of the availability of a side rail from the—I think they call it a spur from the railroad tracks, things like that. That's why it was industrial in the first place. I'm not disagreeing, but I'm wondering because it is so convenient for them being next to the railroad tracks.

Mr. Sehl - Some of that transition has begun absent the efforts that the County's undertaking now. You're seeing the introduction of more entertainment and other options that are not industrial in nature. You're seeing the removal of the spur line that runs through the Westwood area because the companies are no longer needing the access to the rail that they might have needed in the '50s or '60s when it was a more industrial area. I think that we do see it as kind of trending in that direction.

Mrs. O'Bannon - The other question I got was from someone who was interested in tiny homes. They are interested in an area like that.

Mr. Sehl - I would anticipate that it would be less focused on that form of development in this area, but that's certainly something that staff would be happy to look at. I think that we would see more cohesive development on a larger scale that takes advantage of the proximity to the major transportation corridors and mass transit, all of those things where it might be more appropriate to have higher densities.

1945					
1946	Mr. Emerson -	I think the property values will be higher than to allow the use			
1947	of the land in that fashion	of the land in that fashion.			
1948					
1949	Mrs. O'Bannon -	They're very green oriented, they like being near the bus.			
1950	That's why I bring that up	. I'm sure that's why they're interested in properties.			
1951					
1952	Mr. Sehl -	The good news is that there are a lot more areas in the County			
1953	that are more accessible	to the bus. There might be some other areas we can look at for			
1954	that as well.				
1955					
1956	Mr. Emerson -	Madam Chair, we may be trying to bring some information			
1957	back to you possibly at yo	ur second meeting in October. I wouldn't attempt to do it at your			
1958	October 11th meeting because, as you know, we are moving the venue of that meeting				
1959	to the New Bridge School's facility where their meetings take place. So we won't attempt				
1960	to try to do any sort of Work Session or anything of that nature at that meeting. But we				
1961	may try to bring something back to you at the second meeting, similar to how we've done				
1962 1963	this morning.				
1964	With that said Madam Ch	sair port on the agenda is the consideration of the approval of			
1965	Vour minutes from your li	nair, next on the agenda is the consideration of the approval of ally 25th meeting. And we have no errata sheet.			
1966	your minutes nom your se	ary 25th meeting. And we have no enata sheet.			
1967	APPROVAL OF MINUTES	St. July 25, 2018 Minutes			
1968	,	5. July 25, 25 to Minutes			
1969	Mrs. Marshall -	A motion would be in order.			
1970					
1971	Mr. Mackey -	Madam Chair, I move that we accept the minutes are			
1972	presented.	,			
1973					
1974	Mr. Baka -	Second.			
1975					
1976	Mrs. Marshall -	We have a motion by Mr. Mackey, a second by Mr. Baka for			
1977	approval of the minutes.	All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no			
1978	opposition; that motion passes.				
1979					
1980	The Planning Commission	n approved the July 25, 2018 minutes as presented.			
1981					
1982	Mrs. Marshall -	Is there any more business to conduct this morning?			
1983					
1984	Mr. Emerson -	Madam Chair, I just would like to take the opportunity to again			
1985	remind you that your October 11th meeting has been moved to the New Bridge Learning				
1986	Center. That's where you will be holding your evening meeting on that Thursday				
1987	beginning at 7 p.m. On that agenda will be the public hearing for the Route 5 study. We				

September 26, 2018

Center.

1987

1988

1989

1990

do have a package for you before you leave. Make sure you get that. And then I have

another little handout here for you. Included within that packet are the directions to the

1991 92	Mrs. Marshall -	Any more new business? I move that we adjourn the meeting.
1993 1994	Mr. Archer -	I second your motion.
1995 1996		
1997	Mrs. Marshall -	We have a motion by Mrs. Marshall, a second by Mr. Archer.
1998	The meeting is adjourned.	
1999		
2000		
2001		Sandin M. Maishave
2002		
2003		Mrs. Sandra M. Marshall, Chairperson
2004		
2005		
2006		138/
2007		
2008		M. Joseph Emerson, Jr., Secretary

Road Dedication with No Lots

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of sixteen (16) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **September 26, 2018**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **September 25, 2019**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.

- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.
- 12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers and Chesapeake Bay Act Areas.

Zero Lot Line Subdivisions

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated September 26, 2018, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **September 25, 2019**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change may be implemented.

- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.
- 12. A draft of the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review, prior to final approval. The proposed Homeowners Association for the project shall be responsible for the exterior maintenance of all buildings and grounds.
- 13. All block corners shall be monumented and referenced, where possible, to the exterior boundaries of the site
- The record plat shall contain a statement that the common area is dedicated to the common use and enjoyment of the homeowners of (name of subdivision) and is not dedicated for use by the general public. This statement shall refer to the applicable article in the covenants recorded with the plat.

Residential Townhouse for Sale (RTH) Subdivisions

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **September 26, 2018**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **September 25, 2019**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.

- The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements and design considerations.
- Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

Conventional Single-Family Subdivisions Not Served By Public Utilities

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage and erosion control plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of eleven (11) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 7. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **September 26, 2018**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 8. This approval shall expire on **September 25, 2019**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 9. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.

- must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements as applicable, and design considerations.
- 12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

Conventional Single-Family Subdivisions Served By Public Utilities

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of sixteen (16) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water. (Substitute condition 5A if well)
- 5A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer. (Substitute condition 6A if on-site sewage disposal/septic)
- A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **September 26, 2018**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **September 25, 2019**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and

H. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A B-3 ZONE

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)
- The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

- F. In addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Service Station Developments Shall Apply:
- 29. This business shall not remain in operation after midnight and no exterior signs shall remain lighted after (12:00 midnight B-1) (1:00 o'clock a.m. B-2) (no limit B-3).
- 30. No merchandise shall be displayed outside of the building except that oil racks will be allowed on the pump islands.
- This service station shall be used only for the sale of petroleum products and automobile accessories and parts. It shall not be used to sell or rent camping trailers, nor as a base of operation for truck fleets or fuel oil delivery or other such use that is not strictly a service station operation.
- 32. Only light repair work shall be allowed at this station, including motor tune-up, brake, generator, ignition, and exhaust repairs, and wheel balancing. The only work that can be performed outside the building is those services that are normally furnished at the pump island and the changing of tires.
- 33. No wrecked automobiles, nor automobiles incapable of being operated, shall be kept on the premises.
- 34. The prospective operator of this station shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.

G. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A B-2 ZONE

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. There shall be no exterior display of merchandise except on pump islands and on paved walkway areas within three (3) feet of building.
- 31. Lighting fixtures shall not exceed a height greater than twenty (20) feet.
- 32. No temporary storage of wrecked or inoperative vehicles or rental of vehicles, trailer campers, vans or similar equipment shall be permitted.
- 33. Not more than two (2) electronic amusement games shall be permitted.
- 34. Not more than two (2) vending machines for food and beverage and similar merchandise shall be permitted on the premises outside of an enclosed building.
- 35. The prospective operator of this facility shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.
- 36. The landscaping plan shall include details for screening of refuse containers and refuse storage facilities in accordance with Section 24-61(i).
- 37. Refuse containers or refuse storage facilities shall be serviced during business hours only.
- 38. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way.
- 39. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

- B. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Zero Lot Line Developments shall apply:
- Roof edge ornamental features that extend over the zero lot line, and which are permitted by Section 24-95(i)(1), must be authorized in the covenants.
- 30. Eight-foot easements for construction, drainage, and maintenance access for abutting lots shall be provided and shown on the POD plans.
- Building permit request for individual dwellings shall each include two (2) copies of a layout plan sheet as approved with the plan of development. The developer may utilize alternate building types providing that each may be located within the building footprint shown on the approved plan. Any deviation in building footprint or infrastructure shall require submission and approval of an administrative site plan.
- Windows on the zero lot line side of the dwelling can only be approved with an exception granted by the Building Official and the Director of Planning during the building permit application process.
- The mechanical equipment for each building shall be located on its respective lot. Except for wall-mounted electric meters, in no case shall the eight-foot easement for construction, drainage, and maintenance access on the abutting lot be used to locate other mechanical equipment (such as HVAC equipment, generators, and the like) for the subject lot.
- C. Standard Conditions for Approval of All Dry Cleaners and Laundries in Addition to Item A:
- 29. The dry cleaning establishment shall use only non-inflammable cleaning solvents and have fully enclosed cleaning and solvent reclamation processes and fully enclosed pressing equipment with no outside steam exhaust.
- D. In addition to Item A, the Following Conditions for Approval of All Shopping Centers Shall Apply:
- 29. Only retail business establishments permitted in a **ZONE** may be located in this center.
- 30. The ground area covered by all the buildings shall not exceed in the aggregate 25 percent of the total site area.
- 31. No merchandise shall be displayed or stored outside of the building(s) or on sidewalk(s).
- E. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Multi-Family Shall Apply:
- 29. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.

STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR LANDSCAPE/LIGHTING/FENCE PLANS

- 1. The plan shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **September 26, 2018**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein. Four (4) sets of prints of the revised plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval stamps and distribution.
- 2. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 3. The owner shall have a set of approved plans available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 4. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the normal planting season. (DELETE IF NO LANDSCAPING)
- 5. All exterior lighting shall be shielded to direct lights away from adjacent residential property and streets. (**DELETE IF NO LIGHTING**)
- 6. All fences, walls, and screens, including gates and doors, shall be maintained in good repair by the owner. Trash and debris should not be allowed to accumulate along the fence or wall. (DELETE IF NO FENCE, WALL, OR DUMPSTER SCREEN)

- 21. Vehicles shall be parked only in approved and constructed parking spaces.
- 22. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 23. The site, including paving, pavement markings, signage, curb and gutter, dumpster screens, walls, fences, lighting and other site improvements shall be properly maintained in good condition at all times. Any necessary repairs shall be made in a timely manner.
- 24. The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- 25. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations shall be included on the final construction plans for approval by the Department of Public Utilities prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 26. Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- 27. The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- 29. (Start of miscellaneous conditions)

- 11. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and approval.
- 11. **AMENDED** Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including depictions of light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and Planning Commission approval.
- 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature. (For POD which includes lighting plan approval)
- 12. All exterior lighting shall be designed and arranged to direct the light and glare away from nearby residential property and streets.
- 13. The site, including the parking areas, shall be kept clean of litter and debris on a daily basis. Trash container units/litter receptacles and recycling containers shall be maintained with regular pickups scheduled and shall be screened properly on all four sides. The gate(s) shall remain closed except when the receptacle(s) are being filled or serviced and shall be repaired or replaced as necessary. Details shall be included with the final site plan or required landscape plan for review and approval.
- 14. Required fire lanes shall be marked and maintained in accordance with the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code.
- 15. Traffic control signs shall be provided as indicated on the Department of Planning Staff plan. All signs shall be fabricated as shown in <u>The National Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways</u> and <u>The Virginia Supplement to The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways</u>.
- 16. The assigned property number(s) shall be displayed so it is easily readable from the street. If assistance is needed with the address, please contact the Department of Planning at 501-4284. The Planning Department must assign all property addresses. (Revised January 2008)
- 17. The owner shall have a set of plans approved by the Director of Public Works, Public Utilities and Secretary of the Planning Commission available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 18. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 19. Upon completion of the improvements and prior to the certification of the permanent occupancy permit, the owner shall furnish a statement by the engineer or land surveyor who prepared the POD plan, to the effect that all construction including water and sewer is in conformance to the regulations and requirements of the POD.
- 20. The approved Plan of Development is granted by the Planning Commission only to the owners(s)/applicant(s) listed on the Plan of Development application on file for this project. Upon written notification to the Director of Planning, the Plan of Development approval may be transferred to subsequent owner(s) subject to approval by this Commission, or approval by the Director of Planning provided the property is transferred to new ownership no later than 24 months following initial construction plan approval. (Revised August 2016)

A. Standard Conditions for all POD's:

- 1. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water and sewer. (when the property is served by public utilities)
- 1A. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public sewer. The well location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public water system when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public water)
- 1B. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water. The septic tank location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public sewer when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public sewer)
- 2. The Director of the Department of Public Utilities shall approve the plan of development for construction of public water and sewer, prior to beginning any construction of these utilities. The Department of Public Utilities shall be notified at least 48 hours prior to the start of any County water or sewer construction.
- 3. The parking lot shall be subject to the requirements of Chapter 24, Section 24-98 of the Henrico County Code.
- The parking spaces shall be marked on the pavement surface with four-inch-wide traffic painted lines. All lane lines and parking lines shall be white in color with the exception that those dividing traffic shall yellow.
- 5. Sufficient, effectively usable parking shall be provided. If experience indicates the need, additional parking shall be provided.
- 6. Curb and gutter and necessary storm sewer shall be constructed as shown on approved plans.
- 7. The plan of development plan shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan dated September 26, 2018, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if details were fully described herein. Nine (9) sets of revised plans, including the detailed drainage, erosion control and utility plans, shall be submitted by the design engineer who prepared the plans to the Department of Planning for final review. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. Two (2) sets of the approved plan shall be attached to the building permit application. (Revised October 2015)
- 8. Two copies of an Erosion and Sediment Control Agreement with required escrow shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works. Approval is required prior to construction plan approval and beginning construction. The Department of Public Works shall be notified at least 24 hours prior to the start of any construction.
- 9. A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 9. **AMENDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced no later than the next planting season.