Minutes of the Work Session to discuss Menu Order Board Signs at Drive-Through Restaurants and the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of the County of Henrico held in the County Manager's Conference Room and in the Board Room of the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and Hungary Spring Roads, beginning at 5:30 p.m. Thursday, April 14, 2011. Display Notice having been published in the Richmond Times-Dispatch on March 28, 2011 and April 4, 2011.

7 8

1

2

Members Present: Mr. C. W. Archer, Chairman, C.P.C. (Fairfield)

Mr. Tommy Branin, Vice Chairman (Three Chopt)

Mr. E. Ray Jernigan, C.P.C. (Varina)

Mrs. Bonnie-Leigh Jones, C.P.C. (Tuckahoe) Mr. Ernest B. Vanarsdall, C.P.C. (Brookland)

Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., Director of Planning, Secretary Mrs. Patricia O'Bannon, Board of Supervisors' Representative

Also Present:

Ms. Jean Moore, Assistant Director of Planning Mr. Dave O'Kelly, Assistant Director of Planning Mr. James P. Strauss, LLA, Principal Planner Mr. Benjamin Blankinship, AICP, Principal Planner

Mr. Paul Gidley, County Planner Mr. Benjamin Sehl, County Planner Mr. Roy Props, County Planner Ms. Lisa Taylor, County Planner

Mr. Mike Jennings, Traffic Engineer, Public Works

Ms. Cindy Wood, Henrico Police Mr. Jermaine Alley, Henrico Police Ms. Sylvia Ray, Recording Secretary

9 10

Mrs. Patricia O'Bannon, the Board of Supervisors' representative, abstains on all cases unless otherwise noted.

11 12 13

Mr. Archer - Convened the meeting with a work session to discuss drive-through restaurant signs.

14 15 16

17

18 19 Mr. Blankinship discussed three examples of layouts for drive-through restaurants. The first one showed a single drive-through lane with a single point of order, the second showed two drive-through lanes with two menu boards and two order points, and the third showed a single drive-through lane with two separate menu boards and two order points.

20 21 22

23

24

25

26

Mr. Blankinship went on to show three examples of menu signs. The first measured 24 square feet. He explained the Permit Center found most of the menu signs in the County were installed under permits that showed their area as 24 square feet or less, but the calculation of sign area did not always follow the definition in the County Code. As a result there are signs under permits that call

for 24 square feet that actually measure over 40 square feet. Mr. Blankinship showed such a sign for his second example, and then showed a sign approximately 100 square feet in area that was installed without a permit. Mr. Emerson pointed out that such signs are not legal nonconformities. Those signs that either were not permitted, or that exceed the ordinance, or that obtained a permit but then put up a larger sign are not grandfathered.

Mr. Blankinship then presented the proposed amendment, which would allow one preview sign and one menu sign per point where orders are taken. The preview sign would be limited to 24 square feet in area and 8 feet in height, and the menu sign would be limited to 48 square feet in area and 8 feet in height. Signs would have to be screened from view to prevent distraction of motorists. The proposal would also allow a special exception for additional signs or larger signs.

In response to a question from Mr. Branin, Ms. Gloria Freye explained that McDonald's headquarters in Oakbrook, IL, has been working on improving the traffic flow in drive-through restaurants for many years, and has compiled a great deal of specific research. One of the studies showed that a two-lane side-by-side configuration could move 150 vehicles per hour, two menu boards in the same lane could move 120 vehicles per hour, and a single lane typically moves 100 vehicles per hour.

Ms. Susan Durlak explained the cost of installing equipment for a two-lane drive-through system is \$85,000, and she is willing to invest that to improve efficiency. She said two lanes require a staff of 8, rather than 5 for a single lane.

At the end of the work session, Mr. Emerson asked the commission to set a public hearing on May 12th at 6:00 p.m.

WORK SESSION ADJOURNS IN ORDER TO RECONVENE FOR REGULAR PUBLIC HEARING

Mr. Archer - The meeting will come to order. Welcome to the April 14, 2011 Rezoning meeting. Before we start, we'd like to ask everyone to please mute or turn off your cell phone. Let us stand and pledge allegiance to the flag.

Is anyone here from the press? We'd like to welcome Mrs. O'Barınon, who serves on this Commission and represents the Board of Supervisors. With that, I will turn the proceedings over to our secretary, Mr. Emerson, who is also the Director of Planning.

Mr. Emerson - Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will note that the Commission just concluded an earlier work session that began at 5:30 in the Manager's Conference Room to discuss a potential change to the sign ordnance regarding menu order boards at drive-through restaurants. With that, Mr.

72 73	·	the first item on the agenda which are requests for Those will be presented by Mr. Jim Strauss.
74 75	Mr. Archer -	Good evening, Mr. Strauss, how are you sir?
76 77 78		Good evening members of the Commission. The first erral staff is aware of tonight is on page two of the
79 8 0 8 1	conditionally rezone from	In Taylor, in the Varina District. This is a request to A-1 Agricultural District to B-3C Business District. A the applicant is requesting a deferral to the May 12,
82 83	2011 meeting.	the applicant to requesting a determine the may 12,
84 85 86		Ronald Taylor: Request to conditionally rezone from B-3C Business District (Conditional) Parcel 808-722-ately 1.26 acres, located on the north line of Nine Mile
87 88	Road (State Route 33) a Richmond Road. The app	approximately 250' west of its intersection with East licant proposes a carwash. The use will be controlled
89 90 91		lations and proffered conditions. The Land Use Plance. The site is located in the Enterprise Zone and ty Overlay District.
92 93 94 95	Mr. Archer - to the deferment of case O No opposition. Mr. Jerniga	Thank you, sir. Is there anyone here who is opposed C-5C-11, Ronald Taylor, to the May 12, 2011 meeting?
96 97 98 99	Mr. Jernigan - C-5C-11, Ronald Taylor, to	Mr. Chairman, with that I will move for deferral of case May 12, 2011, by request of the applicant.
100 101	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Second.
102 103 104	Mr. Archer - in favor say aye. All oppose	Motion by Mr. Jernigan, second by Mr. Vanarsdall. Alled say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.
105 106 107	At the request of the app Ronald Taylor, to its meeting	plicant, the Planning Commission deferred C-5C-11, ag on May 12, 2011.
108 109 110 111		Mr. Chairman, unless there are other deferrals that , that concludes the withdrawals and deferrals for this edited items.
112 113 114	That takes us to the first ite	m on your regular agenda.

April 14, 2011

115

116

(Deferred from the February 10, 2011 Meeting)

- 117 C-1C-11 Bay Design Group for Godsey Properties, Inc.:
 118 Request to conditionally rezone from M-1 Light Industrial District to RTHC
 119 Residential Townhouse District (Conditional) part of Parcel 817-721-5981
 120 containing approximately 60.7 acres, located on the north line of Oakleys Lane
 121 approximately 300' west of its intersection with S. Holly Avenue. The applicant
 122 proposes a residential townhouse development of no more than 135 homes. The
- use will be controlled by zoning ordinance regulations and proffered conditions.
- The Land Use Plan recommends Planned Industry, Environmental Protection
- Area, and Suburban Residential 2, density should not exceed 3.4 units per acre.
- 126 The site is in the Airport Safety Overlay District.

127

128 Mr. Archer - Good evening, Mr. Sehl.

129

130 Mr. Sehl - Good evening.

131

- 132 Mr. Archer Is there anyone here who is opposed to C-1C-11, Bay 133 Design Group for Godsey Properties, Incorporated? We do have opposition;
- thank you, ma'am, we'll get to you. Go ahead, sir.

135

136 Mr. Sehl - Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

137 138

139

140

141

The applicant is proposing to rezone 60.7 acres for the development of up to 135 townhouses, an equivalent density of 2.22 units per acre. The existing zoning on the property is M-1 Light Industrial District and the 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Planned Industry for the majority of the site. This request is not consistent with this designation.

142 143 144

145

146

As shown on the Land Use map, the subject site is in close proximity to other light industrial uses, and well-buffered from adjacent residential uses to the north, east, and west due to the large areas of wetlands and floodplain on the property. For this reason, staff believes the site's existing M-1 zoning is appropriate.

147 148 149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

The applicant has submitted and proffered the site plan shown here. Other proffers are consistent with other recent townhouse developments in the County and relate to unit size, exterior materials, garages, landscaping, and the dedication of right-of-way for the planned relocation of Oakleys Lane. Revised proffers were submitted today, with the only change being Proffer 22 pertaining to Oakleys Lane. Since the staff report was distributed, the Department of Public Works has indicated the roadway is now planned for construction by the County. Because of this, the applicant has provided a new proffer detailing the planned phasing of the development, which was just handed out to you.

157158

While the requirements pertaining to Oakleys Lane have been resolved by the applicant and the Department of Public Works, staff continues to note concern about this request's inconsistency with the newly adopted 2026 Comprehensive Plan. Staff believes the existing zoning is consistent with the 2026 Plan and its

163 164	•	that emphasizes an appropriate mixture of residential Therefore staff does not support this request.
165 166 167	That concludes my prese	ntation, and I would be happy to answer any questions.
168 169	Mr. Archer - from the Commission?	Thank you, Mr. Sehl. Are there questions for Mr. Sehl
170 171 172 173	Mr. Jernigan - than the fact that it does r the project?	Mr. Sehl, with the other proffers on this case, other not meet the 2026 Plan, are you satisfied with the rest of
174 175 176 177 178	Mr. Sehl - with other recent townhouneed to be waived on thos	The wording and phrasing of the proffers is consistent use developments in the County. The time limits would se proffers.
179 180	Mr. Jernigan -	Right. They have no more than 82 units.
181 182 183	Mr. Sehl - of those prior to January 1	Yes sir. No more than 82 units and no more than 45 , 2015.
184 185	Mr. Jernigan -	Thank you, Mr. Sehl.
186 187 188	Mr. Archer - Commission?	Are there other questions for Mr. Sehl from the
1 89 1 9 0	Mrs. Jones - again.	Mr. Sehl, tell me about the units and the phasing
191 192 193 194 195	Mr. Sehl - unless Oakleys Lane is re property.	The way the phasing proffer is structured is that elocated, no more than 82 units could be built on the
196 197	Mr. Jernigan -	Proffer 22.
197 198 199 200 201 202 203	to that time the phasing	An initial phase of 45 could be constructed between After that time or if Oakleys Lane was relocated prior would go away. But if January 1, 2015 comes and en relocated, the Certificates of Occupancy for those
204 205	Mrs. Jones -	Certificates of Occupancy for what?
206 207 208	Mr. Sehl - with the initial phase and the Mrs. Jones -	For the last 37 units making up that 82 units. The 45 nen 37 in the second phase. But we're still at 135 for the entire development?

April 14, 2011 5 Planning Commission

200		
209 210	Mr. Sehl -	Total, yes. But no more than 82 unless Oakleys is
210	relocated.	Total, yes. but no more than 62 unless Cakeys is
212	relocated.	·
213	Mrs. Jones -	Thank you.
214	Wirs. Jones -	Mank you.
214	Mr. Sehl -	Yes ma'am.
216	Wir. Seill -	1 65 IIIa aiii.
217	Mr. Archer -	All right, anything further from the Commission?
217	Wir. Arener -	All right, anything luttler from the commission:
219	Mr. Jernigan -	Mr. Chairman, we do have opposition. I think I'd like
220	to hear from the oppositio	
221	to fied from the opposition	11 111 0
222	Mr. Archer -	Before the applicant? That will be fine. Mr. Secretary,
223	would you go over the rule	
224	would you go over the ran	
225	Mr. Emerson -	Yes sir, Mr. Chairman. The Commission does have
226		arings and they are as follows. The applicant is allowed
227		e request and time may be reserved by the applicant for
228	•	ny but it still has to remain within that ten-minute time
229		is allowed ten minutes to present its concerns. The
230		do not count into the time limits and the Commission
231	•	for either party at its discretion.
232	,	
233	Mr. Archer -	Thank you, sir. Would the opposition please come
234	forward to the podium. Sta	ate your name and address for the record, please.
235	·	
236	Ms. Ramirez -	Good evening. Martin and Paula Ramirez, 4300
237	Oakleys Lane, Historic Ma	ankin Mansion. This is more, not an objection, but some
238	comments we'd like to ma	ke.
239		
240	Mr. Archer -	Good eveпing, Ms. Ramirez.
241		
242	Mr. Ramirez -	We own the property adjacent to the referenced
243		ntly been in touch with County officials about the
244		ents of Oakleys Lane. From our understanding, the
245		ocess currently and after all things considered, due to
246	begin construction in late 2	2012. For this, we are extremely grateful.
247	-	
248	•	nt along Oakleys Lane in the past ten years, as well as
249		the Ryan Homes neighborhood brings strong concern
250		evelopment without fully resolving the narrow, outdated,
251		road on Oakleys Lane. As we discussed with the
252	•	t, we all agree this matter has been a long-time issue
253	which affects public safety	and the preservation of a historic Henrico landmark.

It is with this that we have full faith that the relocation of the road will move forward, especially given Mr. Godsey's plans to donate the land for the new road and his proposed development of up to 82 townhomes. It should be noted we fully support Mr. Godsey's plans to develop the property, but it also should be noted that the relocation and improvements on Oakleys Lane between the mansion and Mr. Godsey's property necessitates attention and ultimately a resolution.

It is our hope and assurance that the Oakleys Lane relocation project will simultaneously move forward along with the development of Mr. Godsey's land and not be forgotten. We have spoken to neighbors in regards to the potential rezoning and building of townhomes and they are happy to hear of the improvements, but like us have very strong concerns about additional development without the proper road systems in place.

Should the rezoning be approved, given the close proximity to neighboring homes, we ask that substantial buffers be included in the proffers and we also request that the construction and development noise of any kind be limited to Monday through Friday between the hours of 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. only. We are confident that Henrico County officials will aggressively resolve the road issue at hand while appearing the surrounding community and ensuring the public safety.

We appreciate a final resolution in the very near future and we thank you so much for your time and consideration.

Mr. Archer - Thank you, sir. Are there any questions?

Mr. Branin - So you're asking for Saturday or Sunday work to be excluded completely, correct?

291

Mr. Ramirez - That is correct. We own the property directly across the street, which is known as Historic Mankin Mansion. Currently we operate it as a bed and breakfast and a wedding resort. Our main line of business is on Saturdays and Sundays having weddings happen in the afternoons and into the evening. With the construction that does happen around there currently with Ryan Homes or sometimes—although recently it has been closed—the cement plant that used to be there and produced a lot of noise on the weekends. And across the street sometimes when Godsey workers do things there it creates a lot of noise and it does affect our business during that time.

Ms. Ramirez - And if I may clarify, on one side we have the railroad tracks; on the other side we have the Ryan Home construction. On the other side we have the concrete plant. And now on the fourth side we have this new development that's proposed. We're trying to kind of keep the noise down on the weekends, not just for our own sake but there are communities around as well.

300 301	Mr. Branin -	Was there a community meeting?
302 303	Ms. Ramirez - about the rezoning.	Not yet, no sir. This was phone calls that we received
304 305 306	Mr. Vanarsdall - Saturdays and Sundays.	So you don't object to the building of it, just to
307 308 309	Mr. Ramirez -	And the road that currently divides the property.
310 311 312 313 314	the relocation of Oakleys confident that that road pro	Right. In learning more and more about Mr. Godsey's ially we did object, we wanted it to be contingent upon a Lane. We've since changed our minds and we're oject will move forward despite the development on Mr. is hours of operation are very important.
315 316 317	Mr. Branin -	You said the concrete plant is no longer operational?
318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327	two years and it was very ago, before the houses we mansion was there for a homes around the concrete adjacent to the concrete p seen some action going of	Well, the concrete plant has been closed for about loud. The concrete plant was there a long, long time were built around it. I mean our house was there, the hundred years, but there were no other residential te plant. Since then there are, I don't know, 40 homes plant. They closed about two years ago. Recently I've in over there, so I went and talked to some people and on opening up again very soon, so they told me. Their tern.
328 329 330	Mr. Branin - isn't it?	Surrounding the mansion is pretty much all industrial,
331 332 333 334 335		Behind us is industrial; in front of is currently the side of us is the cement company and adjacent to all homes that have been built over the last couple of
336 337 338 339 340		If you look at the map there on the bottom right corner are, the concrete plant is on the southwest corner. And right across the street on both sides, to the right and
341 342	Mr. Branin -	When were those homes built?
343 344	Mr. Ramirez -	In the last two to three years.

345 346	Mr. Branin - do you know?	Did they have restrictions on Saturday's and Sunday's
340 347	do you know :	
348	Mr. Ramirez -	Not that we're aware of.
348 349	WII. INAIIIII EZ -	Not that we le awale of.
350	Ms. Ramirez -	We're not aware of those restrictions.
351	W.S. Marinez -	vve re not aware or those restrictions.
352	Mr. Ramirez -	Before they closed down, there were weekends
353		tion to two or three in the morning.
354	where they were in opera	tion to two or times in the morning.
355	Mr. Branin -	No, I mean when the other houses were built.
356	m. Dan.	ito, i modif whom the other houses were bank.
357	Mr. Ramirez -	I'm not sure about that.
358	m. ramoz	This hot date about that.
359	Ms. Ramirez -	We have problems with noise, but more and more
360		were kind of phasing out and using the property, the
361		the houses were being built. So there are definitely
362	•	esidents around the concrete plant now than there were
363	when they were open two	•
364		,
365	Mr. Branin -	I'm not disagreeing with you, but there isn't a
366	precedent in limiting on w	eekends in the past. When you all bought that mansion,
367	•	noving into a partially industrial area, correct?
368		
369	Mr. Ramirez -	Yes.
370		
371	Ms. Ramirez -	It was partially industrial, mostly industrial. The new
372	homes, I think, very clos	se to us are affected now by the concrete plant and
373	possibly the construction of	of the townhomes.
374		
375	Mr. Ramirez -	And just one other side note. When we did buy the
376	mansion there was the co	ncrete plant and there was only one building behind us.
377		pprovals have happened. So only two actual locations
378	were built prior to our pur	chasing the mansion. It's since then that development
379	has happened.	
380		
381	Ms. Ramirez -	I don't think we're against necessarily industrial
382		al improvements, it's the noise factor and the road,
383	——————————————————————————————————————	alked about. But the noise factor is a concern whether
384		I. As soon as you add a residential element, I feel, the
385		time or on certain days I think it becomes an issue to
386	consider.	
387	M. Dawies-	And many translations are used to 92 - 120 0 0
388	Mr. Ramirez -	And more importantly, are you familiar with that
389	stretch of road?	
390		

April 14, 2011 9 Planning Commission

391 392	Mr. Branin -	Very.
393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400	there to now large semis, it with people using that nature. And in that stretched because two semis just of	So as you see, five feet away from the mansion is the ased it, it's gone from average car sizes going through buses. It's tripled probably in the time that we've owned to get to the industrial area to deliver and things of that the of road we've seen many accidents about to happen annot get through that road at the same time. More and use of the approvals of these industrial areas.
401 402 403 404 405 406	understand. I've seen you it. But to try to just say be	And I'm sure Mr. Jernigan will probably reference re information on when that road is going to be built. I ur property and you guys have done a fantastic job with ecause we now have moved in and we now have these re don't want noise, it's a tough one.
407 408 409 410 411		ould probably make the noise a little further away, if that all, it's the safety of the public as well. And from our
412 413 414 415 416	where it's all windows. Wheep, beep, beep noise	We sleep in our home and we're on the top floor /hen we hear big trucks backing up at 3 a.m. with that or big trucks dumping concrete it affects our livelihood We feel that to not mention it when a new development sponsible.
417 418 419 420	Mr. Jernigan - property now not the road	You are talking about the construction on Godsey's
420 421 422	Mr. Ramirez -	Yes. Noise-wise yes.
423 424 425	Mr. Archer - Ramirez? Thank you both	All right. Any further questions for Mr. and Mrs.
426 427	Mr. Ramirez -	Thank you.
428 429	Ms. Ramirez -	Thank you very much.
430 431 432	Mr. Archer - applicant yet.	Okay, Mr. Jernigan, we haven't heard from the
433 434	Mr. Jernigan - Come on down and state	We have one more in opposition; let's hear him. your name for the record please.

436 Mr. Cardounel - My name is Carlos Cardounel. I'm the general 437 manager for Titan Virginia Ready-Mix.

Mr. Jernigan - What was your last name, sir?

Mr. Cardounel - Cardounel. C-a-r-d-o-u-n-e-l. We are in opposition to this. We do operate the concrete plant on Oakleys Lane. Our number one concern is our ability to operate 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. We are currently providing concrete for the Huguenot Bridge reconstruction and for a lot of the recent VDOT patching work that has been done. A lot of that work is required to be done at night, obviously for keeping lanes open. Our fear is that continuing to add residential units in that area will continue to put pressure on an area that's clearly designated for industrial use.

That's really the gist of our comments. The plant has been there long before the residences were there. No matter how much you see of the concrete plant is there, I'm sure that the folks selling these units aren't talking about concrete trucks at 3 a.m. that have to be loaded, about cement deliveries that have to be loaded at night so that we're not traveling the roads during school hours and things like that. We try to be as responsible as possible. But again, when we're doing a large bridge pour that requires a lot of concrete, we don't want to be doing that in the morning during rush hour and we don't want to be doing that in the afternoons. We don't want to be doing it at lunchtime when folks are trying to get around town. So a lot of that work is done at night.

 We have had that plant down for approximately five years because of the residential downturn that we all know about, and light commercial construction. But with these projects that the State has kicked off, we are looking at reopening that plant to service both the Huguenot Bridge and a lot of the patching work south on 295, on Powhite Parkway, and possibly down even into 288.

Mr. Vanarsdall - How long will your project on Huguenot Bridge be?

Mr. Cardounel - It's a design/build and it's approximately three years.

Mr. Vanarsdall - They finally got to it; I'm glad of that. I think the Mankin Mansion was there long before anybody was. As long as I can remember it's been there.

475 Mr. Cardounel - Yes sir.

Mr. Jernigan - Well now you're worried about the noise for the people coming in. You're not worried about traffic; you're worried about noise.

480 Mr. Cardounel - We're going to generate traffic. It does obviously 481 concern us in an industrial area to add additional residential traffic—school

buses, folks coming in and out obviously with our concrete trucks and our cement tanker deliveries and dump trucks. Obviously we would like to stay as far away from the public as possible. Continuing to add residential units in that area just brings us all closer together.

487 Mr. Jernigan - Thank you, sir.

489 Mr. Cardounel - Thank you.

491 Mr. Archer - Was there any other opposition that I missed?

Mr. Jernigan - I'd like to hear from the applicant now. I want to clear up something while Mr. Caskie's coming down. Mr. Branin asked about a neighborhood meeting. I didn't have a neighborhood meeting because this is pretty much a periinsula. As you see, there's water all around it against those units. The houses on Oakleys Lane, almost all of those are rentals. One developer went in there and bought all of those homes, a good portion of them anyway, and rented them out. There was actually nobody close by to have a neighborhood meeting with other than the concrete plant.

The second thing, the reason that the road is so close to the Mankin Mansion, years ago it was the driveway. And when they say it's close, it is close. We do need to get the road built. This is the route that we're moving along.

Mr. Archer - Thank you, Mr. Jernigan.

Mr. Caskie - Good evening. I'm Dan Caskie with Bay Design Group. I wanted to cover a couple of things. The land use that Ben talked about, the parcel, as he indicated, is bounded on three sides by residential and then on the fourth side by Mankin Mansion, the bed and breakfast.

 We certainly understand that at the time everybody was doing the 2026 Comp Plan that it made sense to leave an existing M-1 category in place, leaving it surrounded by residential. However, our experience is that in planning a new area, we wouldn't typically leave a tooth of industrial in the middle of residential. We would generally allow for a transition between the lower-density residential, and go to a higher density, and then go to industrial or commercial, using a road like Oakleys to split that. Again, we certainly understand the logic for leaving it and I think that was certainly the thing to do. However, we believe that this is a good opportunity to fix that issue. We're willing to give up the M-1 and go to an RTH.

A couple of the concerns that were raised. Limiting the hours, we actually do have a proffer that limits the hours. We won't be out there at three in the morning; our proffers don't allow that. So there are limits on weekends and there are limits during the weekdays.

As far as the phasing goes, we met with Public Works last week. Their interest is to try to let Oakleys Lane, the CIP project, get advanced a bit from what we're doing so that we don't have but a certain number of units coming onto Old Oakleys Lane. So that's where the original 45 came with the western entrance. And then at some point, I think January 1, 2015, we were able to start offering units in the second phase up to 82. We can't build anything beyond 82 until the CIP project is complete, until a relocated Oakleys Lane is in place. From there we can build the third phase, the remainder of them.

As far as the comments from the concrete plant, I'm not sure of the pressure on the industrial uses that we're talking about. Certainly we understand the 3 a.m. concrete mixing. To me that's more of a logistical thing on their part, not necessarily ours. The traffic that was spoken of, you know, traffic is traffic. We actually are going to have fewer trips per day than what a 20-acre industrial development would have. Our usable is about 20 acres, so if you go to the ITE manual, that would produce about a thousand vehicles per day; ours is 791. So we actually produce less traffic than what the industrial development would produce.

That's really all I have. If anybody has any questions, I'll be happy to answer them.

Mr. Archer - Thank you, Mr. Caskie. Any questions from the Commission?

553 Mr. Jernigan - Mr. Caskie, on the hours of construction, I can see 554 where the Ramirez' have people over there. They're pretty much booked up with 555 weddings. Can you do anything on that on Saturdays? I know Mr. Branin is 556 going to shoot me, but.

Mr. Caskie - Let me say this for one. I don't know if the weddings are year-round. I'm not sure when they actually—I assume there's a season. Our site work construction we're planning on starting in October, from October probably through March or April. I know that the developer would agree to coordinating with you guys. If you have a wedding coming in, I know that he would coordinate with you and try to not have any loud noises going on during that time, if you're interested in doing that.

566 Mr. Jernigan - You have to come down.

Mr. Vanarsdall - What you're saying is if they had their schedule, you wouldn't schedule anything if there was a wedding or something there.

57] Mr. Caskie - That's what I would like to propose so that we could 572 try to work out a cornpromise between the two. When Ryan Homes is in there, for 573 example, after they get the thing under roof, a lot of their construction is going to

574	be inside and you're no	ot going to hear that. So that certainly wouldn't be an	
575	objection I don't think. So I think it's being a good neighbor type thing and we		
576	certainly want to be good	neighbors.	
577	, in the second		
578	Mr. Branin -	But in that spirit of being a good neighbor, wouldn't it	
579		to designate outdoor work that generates noise would be	
580	limited to from 7:00 to 12	on Saturday and Sunday?	
581			
582	Mr. Caskie -	We might be able to come up with something like that.	
583	Lauess the challenge get	s to be how do you identify what's a noise that would be	
584		carry that far. That's why I was thinking if we could	
585		and he's running compactors out there, at that point he	
586		't do the compactors. But if he's doing seeding or	
587	something like that where	there's really not a lot of noise, maybe that's okay.	
588			
589	Mr. Jernigan -	I'm thinking more like a nail gun, putting on shingles	
590	and plywood. They do ma		
	and prywood. They do me	and a lot of fiolog.	
591	Mar. Jamas	locat de diserco de lada disercado I com distribuido ef como	
592	Mrs. Jones -	Just to throw it into the mix, I can think of some	
593	•	rict where the compromise was to limit work to indoor	
594	work on the weekends ar	nd outdoor work on the other days. I appreciate the fact	
595	that you're sensitive to this because I think in the spirit of being fair to everybody		
596	it would be a tremendou	s advantage to them to not have that going on during	
597	their events. I appreciate your willingness to work with them on that.		
598	and overtee rapproduct	your willinghood to work with thom on that.	
599	Mr. Caskie -	Could I say that we can work out something between	
		Could I say that we can work out something between	
600	now and the Board?		
601			
602	Mr. Jernigan -	Can you work out some language that would be	
603	acceptable for this?		
604			
605	Mr. Caskie -	Sure will.	
606			
607	Mr. Jernigan -	Okay. You proffered elevations.	
	Wil. Jerrilgan -	Okay. Tou profilered elevations.	
608	Ma Osalda	Mar Class Control of the Control	
609	Mr. Caskie -	We did proffer the elevations.	
610			
611	Mr. Jernigan -	The photos that you showed me.	
612			
613	Mr. Caskie -	Photos. Yes, they're actual units that are up.	
614		·	
615	Mr. Jernigan -	Okay.	
616		-·-···j·	
617	Mrs. Jones -	I just want to register one thought, if I could. There is	
618	<u>-</u>	his and I've struggled with it ever since I reviewed the	
619	case. You obviously were	well aware of the land use; you were well aware of the	

14

goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, and yet still moved forward with an application for this residential development. I know every case stands alone and we'll consider this one on its own merits. But I just want to register, for whatever it's worth, that I think had the Comprehensive Plan been five years, ten years old I might feel a little differently. It is difficult for me to consider something that is so totally out of the mainstream of the Comprehensive Plan. We worked with citizens; we worked with the agencies within the County. Everybody had a hand in crafting that plan and here we are less than a year later with something totally out of that realm.

I just want you to know that each case is very difficult. In this particular case, no matter how this comes out, that is a big complicating factor. I hope that everybody really thinks about it in those terms. We're making big changes that were not part of the plan we adopted not long ago should this pass. I'm concerned.

Mr. Caskie - And I certainly understand that. I know that staff and this Commission and the Board, everybody put a lot of work into the Comp Plan. Given the situation that it's a by-right M-1, we felt that when the Comp Plan is reviewed, you certainly wouldn't go and take away a landowner's right to have a by-right zoning like that. That would obviously be equally as traumatic. However, when we look at it, we see this thing is surrounded by residential, it gives us a good transition between the residential and Mankin Manson. It starts buffering them instead of surrounding them by another concrete plant that's operating at three in the morning. We certainly understand the advantages of having the M-1 and the need for the industrial in the County, but we feel like this one tooth of residential is a good compromise considering that we're willing to give up the M-1, which is a pretty high zoning as far as commercial goes. We're willing to give that up for the RTH.

Mr. Vanarsdall - I suppose with all the people who would move into those units over there may have weddings also.

653 Mr. Emerson - Mr. Caskie, just a point of clarification. The Comp 654 Plan wouldn't take away your by-right zoning.

Mr. Caskie - Right, right, I understand that.

658 Mr. Emerson - Didn't your client have plans for a contractors' lay down yard on this property when it was purchased?

661 Mr. Jernigan - I'm going to give you that background on that in just a moment.

664 Mr. Caskie - I didn't work on it then, but I know there are some other things.

Let's go by the history; you're right. What happened is Mr. Jernigan -in about 2003 I called a meeting of all the departments, DPW and everybody. I had half the County up in the manager's room. When we walked out of there that afternoon, we had the basic road design of what to change. Mr. Godsey was going to zone his property to M-2, but he had to build his portion of the road. He was going to construct the whole road, bring it to grade with gravel, and the County was going to asphalt it. That was the deal that was worked out. It was a two-lane road. What happened after that is DPW massaged it a little bit and then they wanted to go to four lanes and he refused to build four lanes, and I don't blame him; I would, too. On the future plan it does show four lanes, but you can't do four lanes—you can do it right there. Well I'm not even sure you could do it there, but when you stretch it up toward Hechler Village it just won't work.

So at that time Mr. Godsey bailed out. Okay. Now just in the last year the road situation has come back up and we've been to the Mankin Mansion, we've met with Mr. and Mrs. Ramirez. The County Manager has been out there. We decided that now it's time to do the road. Didn't change anything on the Comp Plan because we weren't sure what was going to work. I feel like Mr. Caskie, that a transition going from residential makes it more compatible for them than it does having another industrial site over there. If you do that, you're going to have more noise. We already have the concrete and they can work any time that they want to in their regular zoning as far as I know. Everybody thought, when I talked to some of the staff, that that would be the best use, residential. Mr. Godsey's going to dedicate his part of the road property; the County's going to build it.

So that's the reason it's just come back around, we started working on this road situation again. It was dormant since 2003. But we would have had a road already if things didn't change up at the end. Thank you.

Mr. Vanarsdall - Very informative, Mr. Jernigan.

Mr. Archer - Thank you, Mr. Jernigan.

699 Mr. Jernigan - It's probably more than you wanted to hear, but anyway, that's what happened.

Mr. Archer - Any further questions for Mr. Caskie? There is no more opposition, so I guess you're ready, Mr. Jernigan.

Mr. Jernigan - Well Mr. Chairman, the first thing we have to do is waive the time limits, so I'd like to waive the time limits on C-1C-11, Bay Design Group for Godsey Properties, Incorporated.

709 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

711 712 713 714	Mr. Archer - Mr. Vanarsdall. All in favo motion passes.	Motion on the time limits by Mr. Jernigan, second by or say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the
715 716 717	Mr. Jernigan - 1C-11, Bay Design Grou Board of Supervisors for t	With that, I would like to move for approval of case C-p for Godsey Properties, Incorporated, to send to the heir approval.
718 719 720	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Second.
721 722 723	Mr. Archer - in favor say aye. All oppos	Motion by Mr. Jernigan, second by Mr. Vanarsdall. All sed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.
724 725 726 727 728 729	the Board of Supervisors precedent setting effect of	Acting on a motion by Mr. Jernigan, seconded by Mr. Commission voted 5-0 (one abstention) to recommend grant the request because it is not expected to have a on the zoning in the area and the proffered conditions tality assurances not otherwise available.
730 731 732	Mrs. O'Bannon - were looking at me, I have	I'd like to point out that I abstain from all voting. If you en't voted. I will be voting on it at the Board meeting.
732 733 734 735 736 737	-	Mr. and Mrs. Ramirez, if you wanted to get with Mr. r, you know this will come in front of the Board of s been opened by the applicant's representative, so I
738 739	Mr. Archer -	Okay, Mr. Secretary.
740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747	Chapter 24 of the County of restaurant on part of P Mechanicsville Turnpike intersection with E. Labu District. The Land Use Plant	Russ Holland for W.H. Capital, LLC: Request for a under Sections 24-58.2(a), 24-120 and 24-122.1 of Code in order to allow 24 hour operation of a proposed Parcel 802-736-8028, located on the west line of (U.S. Route 360) approximately 225' north of its rnum Avenue. The existing zoning is B-2 Business in recommends Commercial Concentration. The site is one and the Airport Safety Overlay District.
748 749	Mr. Archer -	Good everiing, Mr. Props.
750 751	Mr. Props -	Good evening.
752 753 754 755 756	Mr. Archer - Russ Holland for W. H. Ca you. Go ahead, Mr. Props.	Is there anyone here who is opposed to case P-5-11, pital, LLC? We have opposition. We'll get to you; thank

Planning Commission April 14, 2011 17

Mr. Props - Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, the applicant is requesting approval of a Provisional Use Permit to allow 24-hour operation of a proposed Waffle House restaurant at the Glen Lea Shopping Center.

Restaurants are a permitted B-2 use but are required to close between 12 midnight and 6 a.m. without PUP approval. B-2 zoning extends to the north and south of the shopping center, while a mixture of business and office zoning is to the east and west.

The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends the subject site for Commercial Concentration. This site is also part of an Enterprise Zone and within the Airport Safety Overlay District. The Mechanicsville Turnpike corridor has been identified on the 2026 Comprehensive Plan as a Special Focus Area that is targeted for revitalization and reinvestment opportunities. While this request would be in keeping with the goal for revitalization, a 24-hour operation could have a negative impact. This request could encourage operational hours that are inappropriate for the area and future reinvestment.

 Since 1980, only one PUP for 24-hour operations has been approved in the surrounding area. Three extended-hour PUPs associated with restaurants were approved, but two of the three were only approved until 1 a.m. on Friday and Saturday. The third closes at 2 a.m. on Friday and Saturday even though it is permitted to operate until 3 a.m. daily. Several rezoning requests to intensify from B-2 to B-3 have been approved. However, operating hours were limited to midnight. Staff also notes the other Waffle Houses operating in the County have either B-3 or M-1 zoning.

In summary, staff believes approval of a Provisional Use Permit for a 24-hour operation is not warranted and would be inconsistent with hours specifically permitted in the immediate area and other PUP regulated restaurants in general. There are other sites in this area of the County zoned B-3 that would permit extended hours of operation by right. Approval of this request could set a precedent for additional 24-hour uses in the immediate area and would be inconsistent with past land use decisions on similar requests. Calls for service and crime-related issues often increase with uses beyond midnight, especially along major corridors.

 The Division of Police and several residents have expressed concern regarding this request. Based upon these concerns, staff does not support this request. Should the Planning Commission deem this request to be acceptable, conditions have been included in Section 4 of the staff report.

This concludes my presentation and I would be happy to answer any questions.

802	Mr. Archer -	Thank you, Mr. Props. Are there any questions from
803		 We do have opposition. Would the applicant come
804	forward please? Good e	vening, sir.
805 806	Mr. Hoffman -	Good evening.
807	wii. Hoimhair -	Good evening.
808	Mr. Archer -	Before you begin, go ahead and identify yourself for
809	the record.	Delete yet begin ge aneat and techning yeares in ter
810		
811	Mr. Hoffman -	My name is Joe Hoffman. I'm vice president of real
812	estate for Waffle House	e. Mr. Holland was not able to come this evening. If I
813	might, Mr. Chairman, req	uest two minutes of time for rebuttal?
814		
815	Mr. Archer -	What I was going to ask, would you prefer to hear
816	from the opposition first of	or would you rather state your side?
817	Mr. Hoffman	Yes sir.
818 819	Mr. Hoffman -	res sir.
820	Mr. Archer -	You'd rather hear from them first?
821	Wit. 7 dones	Tod d Tatrier fiear from them mat:
822	Mr. Hoffman -	Yes sir.
823		
824	Mr. Archer -	That's fine with me. Thank you so much.
825		•
826	Mr. Hoffman -	Thank you.
827		
828	Mr. Archer -	Would someone who represents the opposition come
829		te. While you're coming, let me restate the rules as the
830	•	me ago. There is ten minutes allotted to each side and
831 832	triat's inclusive of all trie s	speakers and the applicant has the same ten minutes.
833	Mr. Roberts -	My name is Philip Roberts.
834	Wii. Properts -	wy hame is a mile reserts.
835	Mr. Archer -	I'm sorry, I didn't get your name?
836		3-1,7
837	Mr. Roberts -	Philip Roberts. I live about two blocks, three blocks
838		joing to be built. The opposition in my view—I think you
839	•	I're going to do, but anyway, I was wondering also is the
840		se me when my insurance goes up because of all the
841		to have there? I know a 24-hour Waffle House is going
842	•	in the neighborhood. And the insurance industry bases
843 844		in your area as far as what your rate is. I was just joing to lower my assessment on my real estate taxes?
845	wondening is the County (joing to lower my assessment on my real estate taxes?
846	Mr. Archer -	I think the answer would be no to both of those, to be

April 14, 2011 19 Planning Commission

honest with you. I know they're not going to reimburse you for your insurance.

848		
849	Mr. Roberts -	Also, the Walgreen's gets robbed every other week or
850	so in the middle of the day	y. It's just opening up a 24-hour ATM for a burglar right
851	there. Who's going to be	hanging out at 2 a.m. at a Waffle House on a Friday
852		have enough trouble in that neighborhood. I think most
853	of the people in that neigh	ghborhood are forming a neighborhood watch. I think
854		ss and we don't need something like that to destroy it. I
855	don't know if I speak for ev	
856	·	•
857	Mr. Archer -	Well, that's all right. We appreciate you coming down.
858		
859	Mr. Roberts -	All right.
860		G
861	Mr. Archer -	Thank you for your concern. Is there anyone else
862	who would like to speak?	
863		
864	Mrs. O'Bannon -	Can I ask you to raise your hand if you're in
865	opposition to this?	,
866	оррозии и опис	
867	Mr. Archer -	Okay. I'm also aware that I think we've received, Mr.
868		some other people who were opposed who may not be
869	here tonight?	,,,,,,
870	3	
871	Mr. Props -	Yes, that is correct, Mr. Chairman; also, the
872	•	ne Henrico Police Department is here that works that
873	•	would be glad to answer any questions that the
874	Commission may have cor	• • •
875	,	
876	Mr. Archer -	Okay. Officer, you can come down now if you'd like to
877	and enlighten us a little bit.	
878	3	
879	Lt. Wood -	I'm Lieutenant Cindy Wood with Henrico Police-
880	Prevention Services.	•
881		
882	Mr. Branin -	And officer, your name?
883		
884	Officer Alley -	Jermaine Alley, Henrico Police Community Officer.
885	,	,
886	Mr. Archer -	Thank you, Officer Alley. Are there questions from the
887	Commission or do you ha	ave a statement to make in terms of how this would
888	impact the community?	·
889	,	
890	Lt. Wood -	There have been concerns. We have received letters
89 1	[voice is fading in and out	[0:46:59]*. I actually have calls for service from the
892	other Waffle Houses.	

April 14, 2011

894 895	Mr. Vanarsdall -	Pull the microphone over towards you.
896 897 898	Lt. Wood - that are in the area and s Waffle House.	l actually have statistics from the other Waffle Houses statistics for a mile-and-half area around the proposed
8 99 900 901	Mr. Branin -	Would you share those with us?
902 903	Lt. Wood - from 2008 to the end of M	Sure. For the Waffle House at 8400 Brook Road, arch of this year there have been 72 calls for service.
904 905 906	Mr. Branin -	Lieutenant, is 72 a lot?
907 908 909 910 911 912 913	well for each one, if you location there have been Williamsburg Road address	From that time period, no sir. Not in my opinion. But down on what types of calls and I certainly do that as wish. For the 7109 West Broad Street Waffle House 95 calls from 2008 until the end of March 2011. At the ess, 5414, there have been 101. And at the 5102 is there have been 107 of those.
914 915 916 917	•	of midnight to 6 a.m. for both Williamsburg Road n running between 43 and 51 during that time frame—
918 919 920	Mrs. Jones - remember. Is it like half the	What approximate percentage is that? I'm trying to e calls are late-night calls?
921 922	Lt. Wood - running 101 and 107. So it	Yes ma'am. So the Williamsburg Road locations were 's approximately half.
923 924 925 926	Mr. Vanarsdall - oldest Waffle House in the	Lieutenant, the one on Broad Street is probably the area, isn't it?
927 928	Lt. Wood -	I do not know that, sir.
929 930	Mr. Vanarsdall -	What was the number on that one?
931 932 933		The one on Broad Street was 95. These calls run the calls, disorderly, drunk, those types of things.
934 935	Mr. Archer -	Any other questions from the Commission?
936 937 938	Mr. Branin - extended hours in the area	Lt. Wood, there are other buildings that have ?
939	Lt. Wood -	Yes sir.

April 14, 2011

940 Mr. Branin - Service calls that you have to those, are they extreme 941 compared to what you're seeing at Waffle Houses at other locations?

Lt. Wood - I can give you the total calls for service one half mile around this proposed area, which would include those businesses. In 2010 there 3,890 calls in that area and 676 just this year alone. A lot of those calls are arimal calls. One hundred and seventy-one of them were larceries; 210 disorderly drunk calls; 224 residential alarms—it runs the gamut. Those are our higher calls for those areas. Accidents, 239.

Mr. Vanarsdall - But you didn't have many arrests, did you?

952 Lt. Wood - I do not have it broken down as arrests, sir.

954 Mrs. O'Bannon - Do you have which ones of those were at night, 955 particularly the hours between midnight to 6 a.m.?

957 Lt. Wood - Yes ma'am. I don't have Kim Vann with me; I'm sorry. 958 She tried to get me ready; I'm working on it.

Mr. Branin - So I shouldn't put you on the spot like I do Ms. Vann?

Lt. Wood - Please don't. I want her proud of me when I leave here. Actually, from 12:00 there were 99 calls; 97 at 1:00—these are out of the 3,890—In 2010, 72 at 2:00; 57 at 3:00; 36 at 4:00; 35 at 5:00. As you head toward four, five, and six they decrease. The large amount of calls, if you break them down per hour, are actually during the day. That includes the accidents and the animals, those types of things.

Mr. Branin - So Officer Alley, they've been keeping you busy?

Very much so. And if I may, about two months ago I was assigned to the Springdale and East Highland Park area to represent the community as a Community Officer. Ever since this proposal has been introduced we've only had two meetings and at both of those meetings the idea was met with I guess opposition, opposition for the amount of element it would attract after hours. I've been a road officer for 16 years and I've worked in environments that had a Waffle House. And not to target Waffle House specifically, we're more concerned about the element that will be attracted. The biggest problem that we're having now—and my residents will back me on this—is breaking and entering. They're more concerned about which elements will prey on them after hours, you know, two, three, four o'clock in the morning, and also that there's another Waffle House just a mile up in Mechanicsville.

984 Mr. Branin - Let me ask this question. So it is the opinion of the 985 Police Department and the community that this shouldn't be extended? I can make reference to the Three Chopt District where we had a restaurant in Innsbrook. Service calls in Innsbrook at two, three, and four in the morning aren't real high. But we did not allow 24-hour operation, which if you recall, the Silver Diner requested 24 hour. We did not allow 24 hours for the Silver Diner, which would fall in the same category as a Waffle House. It's not just the Waffle House brand; it's late night and we restricted that. That was on recommendation of the Department as well.

I'm making that point so the police and everyone in the room will know we're doing it out in the far West End to late-night restaurants; it's not Waffle Houses, it's the fear that the police will have to respond a lot more because of people that are lingering around at three in the morning.

Mr. Archer - Anything further from the Commission? Thank you so much; I appreciate it. Okay, the opposition has a little bit of time left if anyone else wants to speak. Will the applicant come forward again please?

Mr. Hoffman - Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, my name is Joe Hoffman. I am vice president of real estate for Waffle House. I'm here to try to convince you that a Waffle House would be a tremendous addition to the already operating late-night businesses at Mechanicsville Turnpike and Laburnum, namely the Walgreen's, Hess, the 7-Eleven down the street, and the Burger King.

This area is clearly in need of new and vibrant businesses as demonstrated by its Enterprise Zone designation. Waffle House is willing to make that investment in this area. There is a tremendous need for a sit-down table service restaurant at the intersection. There is a small Chinese restaurant, a Mexican restaurant, a barbeque restaurant, and fast food. That's all that's provided to the citizens in the immediate vicinity. Waffle House's good food, fast menu of bacon and eggs, waffles, grilled chicken, chopped steak, hash browns, scattered and smothered, does not adversely impact the existing or future use envisioned for this area. Neither does our 24-hour operation as there are already 24-hour businesses operating in the area.

Although staff's report states that 24-hour operations are inconsistent with other hours permitted in the area, the Burger King is open, the Walgreen's continues to be permitted to operate at the intersection—they even advertise their 24-hour status. I know Walgreen's is a different enterprise. If you shop in a Walgreen's, few people can do it in about 20 minutes. They stay there for 20 minutes then they leave. That happens to be the same table turn time that Waffle Houses try to—we try to serve our customers and then don't allow them to loiter, go ahead and let them leave as quickly as possible.

Waffle House provides a unique opportunity for wealth-building careers that few people know about and it's very unique in the restaurant industry. It would benefit

greatly the citizens in the immediate vicinity in terms of employment. Every associate in the Waffle House system, both in the stores and in the corporate office, are given the opportunity to buy Waffle House stock as an opportunity to actually own a part of the company. It was this desire that our founders had. Joe Rogers worked at the Toddle House restaurants and was put off by not being able to own any part of that company. And so when he started Waffle House, he allowed for employee ownership. Many of our associates who have worked in the restaurants have retired with thousands of dollars in their retirement because of their investment in Waffle House, the shared wealth and the shared responsibility that all of us have.

Waffle House is renowned for being the first to assist in communities in times of crisis. We are the first on the ground when hurricanes hit the coast. We were the first company after Katrina hit New Orleans with assistance, working to get our restaurants open to serve the public. Our busiest day is Christmas Day; few people know that. Some people wonder why we work on Christmas. One of the reasons is there are a lot of people in this community I'm sure who don't have a family to go see. I think it's admirable that our associates would be willing to leave time with their family to be able to serve some of these folks who don't have family or a place to go. Also, some of them may just be sick of turkey and dressing; I don't know.

Those who work in manufacturing and industry are with us at all times of the day and night. Those working in manufacturing and industry represent a significant part of the demographic makeup of this area.

 Although staff has recommended denial of our Provisional Use Permit, they have left the door open by recommending conditions precedent for your choosing to recommend approval to the Board of Supervisors. There are 13 conditions and we will comply with each and every one of them. Furthermore, Condition 10 states that in the event of evidence (i.e. police calls to the premises or complaints from other businesses) that indicates that extended hours of operation are having an adverse effect (i.e. increased public nuisance, loitering, excessive noise outside the building, criminal assault, etcetera on the surrounding area) the Board of Supervisors may hold a public hearing to consider revoking the Provisional Use Permit. Also I think it's noteworthy in paragraph six public service and site conditions, of the ten departments mentioned, only one had a problem with the Waffle House.

In conclusion, I'm asking this Commission to consider what's on the ground now at this intersection, the 24-hour nature of the intersection already in existence, our ability to go to a B-3 site and put a Waffle House there, and yet we feel this is the better location for us, and the need to welcome new businesses to create a vibrant and dynamic hub of commercial activity for those in the immediate vicinity. I'm requesting that you approve our Provisional Use Permit.

There's something I'd like to say just in response to some of the opposition. We have talked to some folks as well who are not here tonight but who are not negative about us coming. I can provide those names for you. I think it would be improper to think that the community, because two or three people are here, that the community is against Waffle House coming to this area.

Secondly, I did some quick math. I didn't understand all the units, restaurants that the police were mentioning. But if there were six of them, if you multiple six units by three shifts per day, that's 18, and you multiply that by 365 days a year, that comes up to 6,570 shifts in Henrico County. While the number seemed excessive, when you think about the fact—when you look at the entire Waffle House system—there are 1600—we have over a million seven shifts a year across this country. So I'm proud to stand here and say that Waffle House provides a great business opportunity for our associates and great service and great food for our customers.

I'll be glad to answer any questions that you have.

1096 Mr. Archer - Thank you, Mr. Hoffman. Are there questions from the Commission for Mr. Hoffman?

Mr. Jernigan - Yes, I have one. Do you have any operations now in your system that are not open 24 hours a day?

Mr. Hoffman - No sir, we don't. The reason is that we have a mandate to be a 24-hour restaurant. This is something in our charter that we have always wanted to be and always chosen to be. So we don't have a single restaurant that is not 24 hours.

05 06

1107 Mr. Archer - Thank you, Mr. Hoffman.

Mr. Hoffman - Thank you for listening to my request.

Mr. Archer - Now we have to assess all this and make a decision.
Mr. Hoffman, I appreciate how passionate you feel about your company's
business and I admire you for it also. We had met earlier some staff members
and I met with some other members from your firm to discuss this. At that point in
time, I reiterated to them, and I'll do the same to you, we don't have any gripe at
all with Waffle House. Probably every one up here has eaten at Waffle House; I

1117 know I have.

1119 Mr. Vanarsdall - Several times.

1121 Mr. Archer - The issue that we have is with the 24-hour operation whether it's Waffle House or any other kind of business under the

Provisional Use Permit. We have received enough opposition from the community that it is worthy to take note of the fact that we have. It's a difficult decision to make in one fashion, but as I said to your other colleagues and to you, if you were not a 24-hour operation we would probably look at this a lot more favorably. A 24-hour operation is something that, as a rule, we are generally against, and also when looking at the fact that there is another Waffle House just a little over a mile away in Mechanicsville that could be used if citizens felt the need to go there. And in considering the crime statistics that have been given tonight, I'm sure they would match up the same for other operations that are the same as yours but not bearing the same brand name.

I feel as though the evidence weighs in terms of health, safety, and welfare for the community that we should recommend denial. Now this is not the end because the Board has to act on our recommendation and they have the leeway to make whatever recommendation they choose. So before I say this, just let me say that this is not about Waffle House; it's more about the 24-hour operation of that particular type of business and is certainly not to cast dispersions at your brand.

1142 With that, it is my opinion that we should recommend denial. I move to 1143 recommend denial.

1145 Mr. Vanarsdall - Second.

Mr. Archer - Motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall for denial. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion for denial passes.

1151 Mr. Archer - When is that Board meeting, Mr. Secretary?

1153 Mr. Emerson - That Board meeting is May 10th.

REASON: Acting on a motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mr. Vanarsdall, the Planning Commission voted 5-0 (one abstention) to recommend the Board of Supervisors <u>deny</u> the request because it could have a precedent setting impact on existing land uses in the area and the extension of hours could impact the health, safety, and welfare of residents in the area.

P-6-11 T.V. Wilson: Request for a Provisional Use Permit under Sections 24-62.2(a), 24-62.2(b), 24-120 and 24-122.1 of Chapter 24 of the County Code in order to allow festivals and assembly halls in an existing building on Parcel 797-736-6692, located on the east line of Carolina Avenue at its intersection with Pensacola Avenue. The existing zoning is M-1 Light Industrial District. The Land Use Plan recommends Light Industry. The site is located in the Enterprise Zone and partially in the Airport Safety Overlay District.

Mr. Archer - Is there anyone here who is opposed to case P-6-11, T. V. Wilson? We do have opposition. All right, Mr. Sehl.

Mr. Sehl - Thank you again, Mr. Chairman.

 The applicant proposes to use an existing warehouse for various assembly uses, as well as hold festivals within and adjacent to the existing building. The property is zoned M-1 and the area to the north is generally zoned for light industrial uses as well. Residences are in close proximity to the east and west, as well as to the south in the City of Richmond.

Few details have been provided about the planned assembly or festival uses at this time. Given recent unapproved assembly activities in the building and the negative impacts noted at the time of those events, these details are essential to determine possible mitigation of these impacts. Issues with parking and noise have been noted by residents in the vicinity, and subsequent inspections by various county agencies have identified numerous building and fire code violations on the subject property.

The applicant has been provided information regarding these deficiencies, but staff is unaware of any actions taken to correct existing building and fire code violations. Additionally, the uses planned by the applicant would place much greater demands on parking facilities than the existing warehouse. Information submitted by the applicant indicates numerous off-site parking spaces would be required to accommodate the proposed uses. Given that residents in the area have noted overflow parking on adjacent streets during the previous unapproved assembly events, this lack of parking is also a concern.

Given the lack of detail provided by the applicant, and the potential negative impacts that could occur with the planned assembly and festival uses, staff does not support this request and recommends it be denied.

This concludes my presentation and I would be happy to try and answer any questions you might have.

Mr. Archer - All right. Any questions for Mr. Sehl from the Commission? I don't have any at the moment. Will the applicant come forward please? You may. Will the opposition come forward. Sir, while you're coming, you did hear us state the ten-minute rule?

Mr. Tynis - My name is Frank Tynis and I run Tylo Equipment, which is the adjoining property at 3701 Carolina Avenue. I'm opposed to this because of a number of issues. I'm worried about crime. I've had issues where people in the building next door have been teasing our guard dogs through the fence. I'm videotaping that, which that's a no-win scenario. The parking issue. I'd originally told Mr. Wilson that he could park his vehicles in my lot. That's changed

- because of the situation with the dogs. I don't need a bunch of crime issues. I 1215 don't need people terrorizing my animals through the fence. I'm opposed to it. 1216 1217 Mr. Archer -All right. What is your name? Is it Tynis? 1218 1219 Mr. Tynis -Tynis. T-y-n-i-s. 1220 1221 Mr. Archer -All right. Any questions for Mr. Tynis from the 1222 Commission? Thank you, sir. Anyone else in opposition? 1223 1224 1225 Mr. Long -My name is Sam Long. I am co-owner of the property with Mr. Tynis. He runs a daytime business. I run a sales business. I buy and sell 1226 used construction equipment and I deal with a lot of small contractors. A lot of my 1227 business is done at night and on weekends. I feel that parking is a real issue 1228 when I come over there to show equipment at nights. I have an appointment on 1229 Sunday at 10:00, this coming Sunday. And if I come in and cars are in the 1230 parking lot and trash is all over the place—I'm just concerned that parking is 1231 1232 going to be a serious, serious issue. For this reason, plus the reason that Mr. Tynis has stated, I am opposed to having it. 1233 1234 1235 Mr. Archer -Any questions for Mr. Long? Thank you, sir. 1236 Mr. Long -Thank you. 1237 1238 Mr. Archer -Is there anyone else? All right, sir, you may proceed. 1239 1240 Mr. Wilson -Good evening. First off— 1241 1242 Mr. Archer -We need your name, sir, for the record. 1243 1244 Mr. Wilson -Yes sir. My name is T. V. Wilson, CEO of the Vision 1245 Maker International. First off, I want to say the purpose of Vision Maker I think 1246 has been misunderstood. I understand Mr. Tynis' concern. We have crime on us 1247 as well with my film equipment and recording equipment. But let me start off by 1248 saying a little background of what we're all about. 1249 1250 1251 We have all heard that where there is no vision the people perish. This company came about to help people fulfill their dreams and visions. I came out of the Air 1252 Force Academy in 1988 and went off and flew for Desert Storm. I hold up my 1253 1254 wings and became a chaplain and then started a private Christian school in
- 1258 We went on after that to come back and find mentors through the years that took us underneath their wings and began to assist us. We went on for the next

it all because I did not have proper mentors.

April 14, 2011

1255

1256 1257 Texas, a television broadcast, a restaurant/day care center, and ended up losing

several years with a goal and a vision to help other people fulfill their dreams and visions.

What Vision Maker is all about is providing for young people at our School of Dreams that we were working on developing. And yes, we did have one or two events after meeting with the fire marshals and meeting with all the officials. They said we will help you all get up to code. And we were told that we were 85% there. A fully sprinkled building. We fulfilled everything except for maybe the panic doors were sticking. So we were willing to fix that. But I want to say that we were looking for some solutions that we were looking for help so that other people's dreams won't fall, like Bishop Waller who was having church services there and Pastor Green who was having church services on Sunday and we were the only ones allowing them to have church services for \$50 a week.

Our School of Dreams with our film school that we were trying to raise money for to give young people from 6 to 96 an opportunity to have hands-on as we were developing the films, as we're working on the recording studio. Some of their dreams are being lost. The same thing about NASCAR is we were approached by the president of Richard Williams' association with Venus and Serena, as well as Mike and Christy Wallace's organization as this spot was the ideal spot to hold the festival. That wasn't our primary goal to just do festivals and events. We have here today the president of their organization and he came to us with a proposal of an idea to do it in conjunction with the NASCAR weekend. So their goal was diversity weekend; to help bring minorities as well as female and young people that weren't interested in NASCAR to start getting an interest in NASCAR. Mr. Brewer from Florida by way of also Washington, DC, is here today. I wanted him to come forward to share what we're really trying to do. We're trying to stay away from any events that could possibly be a problem. Our initial goal was to rent to non-profit organizations at an inexpensive rate. We hired the fire marshals, 4 or 5 of them, to be there. We had no incidents during the time that they did have those two or three events. No crime, nothing happened. But I guess the perception of that American Idol program that they did was that it was some young adults there that seemed like it could potentially be a problem. We had over 30 security people and there wasn't any incident.

I want to have Mr. Brewer who partnered with us, as well as a couple other partners to help with the overall goal who wanted to come up and share the real purpose of what we're trying to accomplish.

Mr. Archer - All right. Thank you, Mr. Wilson.

Mr. Wilson - Just a little background. A VP from Jive records who has worked as a president of Richard Williams Association for quite some time.
He's been working with Christy and Mike Wallace and the Diversity Project. He's decided to come in and partner. That's what we've been trying to get help on and we keep getting different roadblocks for the last seven or eight months.

April 14, 2011 29 Planning Commission

Mr. Brewer - Hello. How are you all doing? My name is Kevin
Brewer. I am the president of Richard Williams Tennis and Education Program.
Also I am the owner of Vessum which is the partner to Mike Wallace Racing.
We're the business development and marketing arm for Mike Wallace.

What we're looking at doing with them is they're looking at doing the Driving Diversity School, which is very much needed around there. If there is crime going on with the kids around there stealing cars and things of that nature, if we actually give them a way or show them a way that they actually can work with cars—if you look at it, if they're going around stealing cars and things of that nature, that means they have an interest in it as well. If we can trigger their minds to go elsewhere to see that they actually can make a living with fixing cars, driving cars, things of that nature, that should be something that we all should try to work together and try to help them with.

With the Richard Williams Tennis and Education Program, I mean, that goes without saying. He actually raised two daughters that are the best tennis players in the world right now. And we're looking to share that same type of work with our neighborhood that's around there. As well as we looked at the filming department over there. We have filming partnerships with major film companies in Los Angeles that we bring to the table. So we're looking at more of a learning center versus just doing events. The events become a part of that because you have to have something else for the kids other than just working and working and working and schooling. You have to have some type of way for them to be able to let their hair down and be able to have a nice time as well. But we're looking at more so teaching and actually trying to help out more new leaders coming up.

1333 Mr. Archer - Are you done, sir?

1335 Mr. Brewer - Yes sir.

1337 Mr. Archer - All right. Are there any questions from the 1338 Commission for Mr. Brewer?

Mrs. Jones - Yes, I do. I just want you to know that while the goals that you have for your business sound certainly worthy and certainly good, I'm a little confused about the fact that there has not been complete compliance with the Building Code violations and the Fire violations. And I'm still confused about the parking. I'm not sure that there is any way you can accommodate any kind of events whether it be educational or festival or whatever on the site.

1347 Mr. Brewer - There are parking lots adjacent to there that Mr.
1348 Wilson did get letters of recommendation from that we can actually use their
1349 parking when we're doing events. And just like when NASCAR is going on,
1350 they're parking all over the place, correct? They're parking at people's homes.
1351 And they're actually building a revenue for them. So we were actually able to do

the exact same thing. That means more people get more revenue in the area. So 1352 if we can actually be grandfathered in the exact same way that NASCAR is, I 1353 don't think that would be such a terrible thing. 1354 1355 1356 Mrs. Jones -Are your building and grounds in compliance now? 1357 Mr. Brewer -1358 We are about 85% and that's why we came in to kind of help out so that way we can get it to 100%. We will get it to 100%. 1359 1360 Mrs. Jones -Thank you. 1361 1362 1363 Mr. Archer -Anyone else? 1364 Mr. Branin -Yes. Good neighbors are good neighbors and you 1365 have two neighbors that came in and said they have issues with using and a 1366 problem with the dog. Mr. Wilson, you kind of blew that one by and went on to 1367 what you're about. I haven't heard you say-1368 1369 Mr. Wilson -1370 I wasn't aware of that, sir. I'm not responsible for 1371 people that may have popped up from the neighborhood and came by and teased the dogs. This is the first time I've heard anything about it; Frank and I 1372 talk often. But we've been shut down for the last seven months, so anybody 1373 that's been coming over there now is not a part of our organization. 1374 1375 1376 Mr. Branin -Okay. 1377 Mr. Wilson -If I may, I would like Attorney Krumbein to come up 1378 1379 and share a few words as well. 1380 Mr. Emerson -You have about three minutes. 1381 1382 1383 Mr. Archer -All right, come on, sir. 1384 Mr. Krumbein -1385 I think I'm a rare lawyer; I know how to be short. But 1386 I'm not appearing as a lawyer. I'm appearing as the owner of NAM, LC that owns the property. 1387 1388 This is not my preferred use of the property and yet they wouldn't be here if I not 1389 only hadn't allowed it but encouraged it. Now why am I doing that? The reason is 1390 nobody wants to rent my property or buy my property. You all know about the 1391 great recession, it's here, and I am—I don't want to make too much of this—but 1392 I'm a victim. My building is unused. There is an alternative to their use and that is 1393

April 14, 2011 31 Planning Commission

the building will be utterly and completely vacant. If you look at the other

industrial properties in this neighborhood, they, too, are essentially vacant. That's

1394

1395 1396

1397

not good.

The last tenant I had moved out in November 2009 and I want to publicly thank Frank Tynis for calling me repeatedly. I encouraged him then, I encourage him now. He helps me look out for the property. He cares. He is a wonderful, wonderful neighbor. But I can't be there.

I live at 9701 Old Dell Trace; you're welcome to come and visit me. That's the other end of the County and if you don't mind, I like that end to live in and this end for business. I need somebody over there. Within weeks, ladies and gentlemen, of the last tenant moving out vandals came in and took out the air conditioning units in the back to sell the copper. There are other incidences of vandalism. People show up over there. Mr. Tynis called and told me that people were engaging in the evenings and on weekends in various kinds of embarrassing and awful crime activities. We don't need that. One way to avoid that is to have somebody using the building and showing up.

You can regulate these people; you can insist that they have police and fire. I join you. I insist that they will not conduct anything unless they are fully in compliance with police and fire regulations. They want to. They tell me they're going to. That's in my lease and that's a requirement—they're going to do that. But I need to use this building. It's not even about rent. They don't pay me anything. I just want somebody in the building, moving in, coming around that neighborhood. I believe that keeps my building safer and the neighborhood safer.

1421 Thank you very much.

Mr. Archer - Thank you, sir.

1425 Mr. Vanarsdall - Where did you say you live?

Mr. Krumbein - 9701 Old Dell Trace. It's Gaskins Road and River Road essentially.

1430 Mr. Branin - And you said you were renting the building for free?

1432 Mr. Krumbein - Yes.

1434 Mr. Branin - Do you have any other buildings?

1436 Mr. Krumbein - Yes I do. But it's not free.

1438 Mr. Archer - All right, thank you, sir.

1440 Mr. Krumbein - Thank you.

Mr. Wilson - Before closing, we're just asking for a temporary provisional use to see if we can meet all the standards. If we fail after six to nine

months of giving us a chance—the only thing we're asking for—the reason the churches had to leave it because they were trying to grow beyond 50. We're asking just give us for the parking spaces we have any kind of occupancy. The main thing we're teaching over there as well-or we were attempting but haven't been able to do in the last seven months—was how to become entrepreneurs. Holding workshops. And we qualified for that. We wrote a book on it and been in Success Magazine for it. We're bringing celebrities in. We had to cancel the celebrities that were coming in even from the True Blood cast because we couldn't have everything ready before NASCAR this time. So we're hoping to be able to have a shot to get in there and get everything into compliance. We want to find solutions. We're not looking for problems. We've learned. We're regulating really hard on who gets the chance to rent.

Mr. Branin - Mr. Wilson, wouldn't it be smarter to get in compliance with the County codes before you submit for approval?

Mr. Wilson - That's the problem. We've had three or four meetings. A and B will tell us to do this; but C won't approve you if you do this. We've been going around for months and months until it's at the point where it's frustrating. We couldn't help but feel that we're being picked on. We've fixed so much and done so much in that building.

Mrs. Jones - Apparently there was a lot to do and it sounds like you've done a fair amount of it. But how can we be responsible and give approval to something that is not yet able to—

 Mr. Wilson - The approval is contingent upon those final four things that the Fire Marshal had on there, which was replace the panic door and tape up two more wires.

Mrs. Jones - You don't have enough parking for a lot of your events.

Mr. Wilson - We're not looking for any major events. We just want approval for the 104 parking spaces we have on site plus the people next door gave us written permission to use their parking for an additional 75 spaces. For most of the assemblies that we're looking for for the workshops, we only need 200 people at max.

Mr. Archer - Okay. Anybody else? Thank you, Mr. Wilson. All right, let me see if I can sum this up. For the applicants, first of all, your vision for trying to do things to promote diversity and to create entrepreneurship are laudable and I commend you for that. Back on March the 16th Mr. Emerson, the Director of Planning, wrote a letter that had in it about 28 different items that had been submitted by the Division of Fire and by Building Inspections that had to

come into compliance. You indicated that you've done 85%. I don't know what you based that number on.

1492 Male - [Off microphone; inaudible.]

Mr. Archer - We don't have that information as of yet. As the staff report indicates, there have been occurrences over there that were not in compliance with what the Code allows. As Mrs. Jones stated a few minutes ago and Mr. Branin alluded to, we're not able to consider something that might be done; we have to be in compliance when this is done. The biggest thing that we as Commission are in charge of is promoting the health, safety, and welfare of the community and we can't do that on supposition; we have to know what's in place, why it's in place, and how it got there. You're not at that point at this point in time. You might be at some point in the future, but certainly not now. We would be remiss if we sent this along to the Board with a recommendation for approval even if you're just 15% away from whatever it is and I don't have anything to corroborate those figures to say what 85% is. I don't know what 85% of what is. We would need to know that.

Mr. Wilson - And we accept that.

1510 Mr. Archer - Okay.

Mr. Wilson - We just ask that we finally get something clear-cut and I don't want to express our frustration but we've tried and tried and it keeps changing. It keeps changing every time.

Mr. Archer - Well sir, let me finish up now because we've heard from both sides. And let me finish up by saying this. I can't send this along with a recommendation for approval. But you have between now and the time the Board meets—unless you choose to defer it—an opportunity to pick up that other 15% or whatever it is, bearing in mind that it goes beyond the Fire Marshal. There are a lot of things in the staff report that I'm sure you read and Mr. Emerson's letter of recommendations of things that need to be done. The Board will meet on this in 30 days and you'll have an opportunity to present your case again. If it's not ready you can defer it and you can defer it as long as you want to as long they allow you to. Based on the information that we have tonight, I can't recommend this for approval.

With that I will move that this be sent with a recommendation for denial.

1530 Mr. Branin - Second.

Mr. Archer - Motion by Mr. Archer, second by Mr. Branin. All in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.

1535		Acting on a motion by Mr. Archer, seconded by Mrs.	
1536			
1537	Board of Supervisors deny the request because it could have a detrimental		
1538	impact on property or	wners in the vicinity and could impact the health, safety, and	
1539	welfare of residents i	n the area.	
1540			
1541	P-7-11	Michael J. Rothermel for Virginia CVS Pharmacy,	
1542	LLC: Request for a	Provisional Use Permit under Sections 24-58.2(a), 24-120	
1543	and 24-122.1 of Ch	napter 24 of the County Code in order to allow 24 hour	
1544	•	ing pharmacy on part of Parcel 747-759-8591, located in the	
1545	•	of the intersection of W. Broad Street (U.S. Route 250) and	
1546		ing zoning is B-2C Business District (Conditional). The Land	
1547	Use Plan recommend	ds Urban Mixed-Use.	
1548			
1549	Mr. Archer -	Good evening, Ms. Taylor. Is there anyone here who	
1550		P-7-11, Michael J. Rothermel for Virginia CVS Pharmacy,	
1551	LLC? No opposition.	Go ahead, Ms. Taylor.	
1552			
1553	Ms. Taylor -	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	
1554			
1555	<u>-</u>	Provisional Use Permit to allow 24-hour operation of an	
1556		nacy in the Colonnades Shopping Center located at the	
1557	southwest intersection	n of W. Broad Street and Cox Road.	
1558			
1559		C and governed by proffers accepted with rezoning case C-	
1560	22C-95.		
1561			
1562		our Provisional Use Permits in the vicinity for convenience	
1563		s including the recently approved PUP for 7-Eleven in	
1564		other pharmacies in the County also have 24-hour	
1565	operations.		
1566			
1567		stent with the UMU designation in the 2026 Comprehensive	
1568	Plan which recognizes	s that increased hours for service may be appropriate.	
1569	F 41		
1570	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	staff supports this request subject to the recommended	
1571		Section IV of the staff report. Some of these conditions	
1572		equate lighting for all entrances, exits, and parking areas;	
1573	—	urity cameras; and conducting a security audit with the	
1574	Crime Prevention Unit	t of the Division of Police.	
1575	Tite a condition		
1576	inis concludes my pre	esentation. I will be happy to take any questions.	
1577	M= A====	Thenk you make Ass there are the	
1578	Mr. Archer -	Thank you, ma'am. Are there questions from the	
1 5 /LI	CONTRINSCIALLY		

April 14, 2011 35 Planning Commission

1581 1582	Mr. Branin - I have none for Ms. Taylor, but I would like to call Lieutenant Wood back down.		
1583 1584 1585	Mr. Jernigan - been staying open? Tha	Let me ask something, Ms. Taylor. Has the store t's all right; you don't have to answer that.	
1586 1587 1588 1589	Mr. Emerson - time for 24 hours.	Yes sir, it has been open for an extended period of	
1590 1591	Mr. Branin -	I was going to cover that.	
1592 1593	Mr. Archer -	Welcome again, Lt. Wood.	
1594 1595	Lt. Wood -	Hello.	
1596 1597 1598 1599	Mr. Branin - operating in a 24-hour ca approved?	Lt. Wood, this store has been basically illegally apacity. Do the police have any objections to this being	
1600 1601	Lt. Wood -	There are barely any calls for service.	
1602 1603	Mr. Branin -	Thank you.	
1604 1605	Mr. Archer -	All right. Anybody else?	
1606 1607 1608	Mr. Branin - Chairman.	I have nothing. I can make a motion if you wish, Mr.	
1609 1610	Mr. Archer -	Go right ahead, Mr. Branin.	
1611 1612	Mr. Branin -	Okay. Is there a representative from CVS in here?	
1613 1614 1615 1616	Mr. Rothermel - We have a number of representatives here tonight. My name is Mike Rothermel. I'm with the law firm of Spotts Fain. With me tonight from CVS I have—		
1617 1618 1619	Mr. Branin - this. I was wondering if the	Mr. Rothermel, I'm not going to ask you to address ere was anyone from the actual CVS Corporation here.	
1620 1621	Mr. Rothermel -	We have three folks here tonight.	
1622 1623 1624 1625 1626	my district and possibly County regulations. I'm g	Gentlemen, you know that our ordinances are our es are our policies. You have been operating a store in in other districts that are out of accordance with the going to move forward with approval on this. I would be yourself, review all of your stores that may be open,	

April 14, 2011 36 Planning Commission

1627	and come back and sub-	mit for a PUP. There's a good chance if there haven't	
1628	been issues that you will be approved. But our regulations are our regulations		
1629	and if we do come upon your stores that are operating illegally, I don't think you		
1630		in the future. I know we're all looking at the issue that is	
1631		ut of the realm of what's allowed. So please as a heed	
1632	and a warning, police yourselves now. Okay?		
1633	and a warming, pence year	Tonio nom onay.	
1634	Mr. Rothermel -	Thank you, Mr. Branin.	
1635		····aint you, ····· Dianini	
1636	Mr. Archer -	Are you ready for a motion, Mr. Branin?	
1637		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1638	Mr. Branin -	Yes sir. I'd like to move that P-7-11, Michael J.	
1639	Rothermel for Virginia	•	
1640	recommendation for approval.		
1641	.,		
1642	Mr. Jernigan -	Second.	
1643	J		
1644	Mr. Archer -	Motion by Mr. Branin, second by Mr. Jernigan. All in	
1645	favor say aye. All opposed	say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.	
1646	, ,		
1647	REASON:	Acting on a motion by Mr. Branin, seconded by Mr.	
1648	Jernigan, the Planning C	ommission voted 5-0 (one abstention) to recommend	
1649	the Board of Supervisors grant the request because it would provide added		
1650	services to the community and is reasonable in light of the surrounding uses and		
1651	existing zoning on the prop	perty.	
1652			
1653	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Chairman, that takes us to the next item on the	
1654	-	sideration of the approval of your minutes from your	
1655	March 10, 2011 meeting.		
1656			
1657	Mr. Archer -	Are there any corrections to the minutes?	
1658			
1659	Mr. Branin -	I have three corrections. I know, isn't that cool? On	
1660		sentence says, "with a lot of fine." The word is "fines"	
1661	with an "s." On 275, "the amount of fines in it." And line 283, "crush and run is just		
1662	the type of stone," not the	size of the stone.	
1663			
1664	Mr. Archer -	Very astute observations, Mr. Branin. Anybody else	
1665	with corrections?		
1666	M - 1	No. but I received an advantion Thords you Me	
1667	Mrs. Jones -	No, but I received an education. Thank you, Mr.	
1668	Branin.		
1669	Mu Aucha-	I didn't know "fino" was plural sither	
1670	Mr. Archer -	l didn't know "fine" was plural either.	
1671	Mrs. Jones	L didn't oithor	
1672	Mrs. Jones -	I didn't either.	

Planning Commission 37 April 14, 2011

1673	B.B. A. I.		
1674	Mr. Archer -	All right. Is there a motion for adoption of the	
1675	minutes?		
1676			
1 677	Mr. Vanarsdall -	So moved.	
1678			
1679	Mr. Branin -	Second.	
1680			
1681	Mr. Archer -	Motion by Mr. Vanarsdall, second by Mr. Branin. All	
1682	in favor say aye. All opposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes. The		
1683	minutes have been appro	oved as corrected.	
1684			
1 685	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Chairman, as we discussed at the work session,	
1 686	we do have a need to set an additional public hearing for May 12 th on the		
1687	changes to the sign ordinance regarding menu boards. If it meets with the		
1688	Commission's wishes, we can advertise that for the 12 th at 6 p.m. and you can		
1689	hear that along with your Med Cottages Ordinance and your Group Homes		
1690	Ordinance. That will bring	you into compliance with the State Code.	
1691			
1692	Mrs. Jones -	I so move, if that's appropriate.	
1693			
1694	Mr. Branin -	And I second.	
1695			
1696	Mr. Archer -	Okay. Motion by Mrs. Jones, second by Mr. Branin.	
1697	All in favor say aye. All op	pposed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.	
1698			
1699	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Chairman, I have nothing else for the Commission	
1700	tonight.		
1701	NA - A - I	Married and the state of the st	
1702	Mr. Archer -	May I have a motion for adjournment?	
1703	Mr. Vanoradoll	Co moved	
1704 1705	Mr. Vanarsdall -	So moved.	
1703	Mr. Branin -	Second.	
1700	Wit. Diamin -	Gecond.	
1707	Mr. Archer -	Motion by Mr. Vanarsdall, second by Mr. Branin. All	
1709		sed say no. The ayes have it; the motion passes.	
1710	milavoi say aye. / m oppos	sed say no. The ayes have it, the motion passes.	
1711	The meeting adjourned at	8:40 n m	
1711	, cang adjourned at	or to patti.	
1712			
1714			
1715			
1716			
1717		17:34	
1718		Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., Secretary	
1.10		-tim - 1 2222 by - 211 20 20 11 20 11 20 20 10 10 10 10	

Mr. C. W. Archer, Chairperson