Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of the County of Henrico held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and Hungary Spring Roads, beginning at 7:00 p.m. April 13, 2017. Display Notice having been published in the Richmond Times-Dispatch on March 27, 2017 and April 3, 2017.

6

Members Present: Mr. Eric S. Leabough, C.P.C., Chair (Varina)

Mr. Robert H. Witte, Jr., Vice Chair (Brookland)

Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C. (Fairfield) Mr. Gregory R. Baka (Tuckahoe)

Ms. Jean M. Moore, Assistant Director of Planning,

Acting Secretary

Mr. Tyrone E. Nelson (Varina)

Board of Supervisors' Representative

Members Absent:

Mrs. Sandra M. Marshall (Three Chopt)

Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., AICP, Director of Planning,

Secretary

Also Present:

Mr. James P. Strauss, PLA, Senior Principal Planner

Mr. Seth Humphreys, County Planner Mr. Benjamin Sehl, County Planner Ms. Erin Puckett. County Planner Ms. Sylvia Ray, Recording Secretary

7 8

Mr. Tyrone E. Nelson, the Board of Supervisors' representative, abstains on all cases unless otherwise noted.

9 10 11

12

13

Mr. Leabough - I'd like to call this meeting of the Henrico County Planning Commission to order. This is our rezoning meeting for April 13th. I ask that everyone in the audience please mute or silence your cell phones. As you do that, I would ask that we all rise for the Pledge of Allegiance.

14 15 16

17

Ms. Marshall could not be with us tonight, but we do have Rev. Nelson with the Board of Supervisors who's serving with us this year. We do appreciate your presence, sir.

18 19 20

Mr. Nelson: Thank you, sir.

21 22

23

24

Mr. Leabough - I see that we do have news media in the audience. If you would, please raise your hand. Good evening. Thank you for being with us. Is Mr. Silber here? He's not here. Okay. We'll keep moving forward. Mr. Emerson is not with us, but we do have Ms. Moore here, so we're in capable hands. So, with that, I'd like to turn the agenda over to Ms. Moore.

Ms. Moore -Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As you noted, we have one 28 person who is out, but we do have a full quorum to conduct business. 29

30 31

First on the agenda are the requests for withdrawals and deferrals. Those will be presented by Mr. Jim Strauss.

32 33 34

35

36

Mr. Strauss -Thank you, Madam Secretary. We do have one request for deferral this evening. It's in the Three Chopt District on page 1 of your agenda. It is REZ2017-00003, 12600 Bacova, LLC. For this case, the applicant is requesting a deferral to the June 15, 2017 meeting.

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

(Deferred from the January 12, 2017 Meeting)

G. Brian Duke for 12600 Bacova, LLC: Request to REZ2017-00003 conditionally rezone from A-1 Agricultural District to R-5C General Residence District (Conditional) and O-2C Office District (Conditional) Parcel 734-767-2531 containing 40 acres located on the west line of N. Gayton Road and the north line of Bacova Drive approximately 200 feet from their intersection. The applicant proposes a residential townhouse and office development. The uses will be controlled by zoning ordinance regulations and proffered conditions. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Office and Environmental Protection Area.

47 48 49

50

51

52

Mr. Leabough -Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to the deferral request of REZ2017-00003, G. Brian Duke for 12600 Bacova LLC? I see no opposition. So with that, I move that REZ2017-00003, G. Brian Duke for 12600 Bacova LLC, be deferred to the June 15th meeting at the request of the applicant.

53 54 55

Mr. Baka -Second.

56 57

Mr. Leabough -We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mr. Baka. All in favor say aye. Those opposed? That motion passes.

58 59 60

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred REZ2017-00003, G. Brian Duke for 12600 Bacova LLC, to its meeting on June 15, 2017.

61 62 63

64

65

66

Ms. Moore -Next on our agenda are the requests for expedited items, and we do not have any of those on the agenda tonight. So we move forward into your regular agenda to the first item, which appears on page 1 of your agenda. It is REZ2017-00012, Youngblood, Tyler & Associates for Welford Properties. The staff report will be presented by Mr. Seth Humphreys.

67 68

71

73

69 REZ2017-00012 Youngblood, Tyler & Associates for Welford Properties: Request to conditionally rezone from A-1 Agricultural District to R-70 3C One-Family Residence District (Conditional) Parcel 737-769-5307 and part of 72 Parcel 737-768-8286 containing 3.592 acres located on the south line of N. Gayton Road approximately 600' east of its intersection with Kain Road. The applicant proposes single family dwellings. The R-3 District allows a maximum density of 3.96 units per acre. The use will be controlled by zoning ordinance regulations and proffered conditions. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Suburban Mixed Use, density should not exceed 4 units per acre.

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to REZ2017-00012, Youngblood, Tyler & Associates for Welford Properties? I see no opposition. Good evening, Mr. Humphreys.

Mr. Humphreys - Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission.

The applicant is requesting to rezone approximately 3.592 acres from A-1 to R-3 to allow an addition of up to 10 units to a previously approved single-family development, for an overall maximum of 35 units. The subject property includes one entire parcel and a portion of a second parcel, and is located along the south line of North Gayton Road between Kain Road and Pouncey Tract Road.

In 2016, the Board of Supervisors approved rezoning request REZ2016-00005 on the adjacent property to the southwest—it's this one with the pond down here—for the Leake's Mill single-family residential development. Other surrounding uses include a vacant county-owned parcel to the north across North Gayton Road—which I believe is currently under construction for a fire station—along with the Welwood single-family development currently under construction. Vacant and single-family acreage parcels are also located to the south and east.

The 2026 Comprehensive Plan's recommended future land use for the subject property is Suburban Mixed-Use (SMX). The request is generally consistent with the SMX designation. While not a master-planned community in and of itself, the request would be an expansion of the adjacent Leake's Mill subdivision and would potentially connect to future development to the northeast. The request would also be consistent with other aspects of the SMX classification, such as suburban-style development and preservation of open space, and would continue the pattern of development along North Gayton Road.

Since the rezoning of the adjacent Leake's Mill property, a subdivision has been filed for 23 lots on the original portion, but has not yet received final approval. The current request would add 3.592 acres and add a maximum of 10 units with 6 being shown here on this layout. It's my understanding the reason why they did 10 is because there are bits and pieces of 10 parcels on that property. Just to cover themselves they said 10, but I don't think they're going to actually get 10 out of that.

The applicant has submitted proffers dated March 22, 2017 which are generally the same as those approved with the previous case. They include construction of a third northbound lane and a four-foot-wide sidewalk along the property

frontage, as well as a proffered concept plan indicating connectivity with Leake's Mill and the future development to the northeast.

Overall, the proposed use and density are generally consistent with the recommendations of the 2026 Comprehensive Plan and the previous rezoning approval for Leake's Mill. The proposed project would also continue the pattern of development along North Gayton Road. For these reasons, staff supports the request.

This concludes my presentation and I would be happy to answer any questions.

Mr. Leabough - Any questions for Mr. Humphreys?

Mr. Baka - I have one question, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Humphreys, a question about the portion that's east of Section 2. Since conceptual plan envisions that future lots might be or could be expanded to the east there, I have questions about the natural drainage of the portion of land that is not being rezoned right now that is zoned A-1. If it's naturally draining to the south and to the west where this BMP is, is the BMP parcel large enough to accommodate future drainage needs since we're already envisioning future lots?

Mr. Humphreys - I believe it is. They've been working in conjunction. A case has been filed on that adjacent property already, but it's been tabled so it hasn't come to the Planning Commission yet. That case is REZ2017-00008. It's a single-family subdivision and commercial property on the corner of North Gayton and Pump. There are talks about the entirety of that development. But with the single-family, the applicant here has indicated that they were pursuing that property as well. So I think they're well aware of the constraints and everything on that other property in addition to their property. I think this was sized in anticipation of that.

Mr. Baka - Thank you.

Mr. Leabough - Thank you. Any other questions for Mr. Humphreys? With that, I don't think we need we need to hear from the applicant. Unless the applicant wants to come forward, I think we're good. Thank you for being here.

So with that, I move that REZ2017-00012, Youngblood, Tyler & Associates for Welford Properties—

160 Ms. Moore - Did you call for opposition?

162 Mr. Leabough - Yes, I did.

Ms. Moore - You did. Okay, I'm sorry.

Mr. Leabough -166 We didn't have any. 167 168 Ms. Moore -I'm sorry. 169 170 Mr. Leabough -Is there anyone in opposition? I don't believe we have anyone. No. 171 172 So with that, I move that REZ2017-00012, Youngblood, Tyler & Associates for 173 Welford Properties, move forward to the Board of Supervisors with a 174 175 recommendation of approval subject to conditions 1 through 21 as noted in the staff report. 176 177 Mr. Witte -Second. 178 179 We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mr. Leabough -180 Mr. Witte. All in favor say aye. Those opposed? There is no opposition; that 181 motion passes. 182 183 **REASON -**Acting on a motion by Mr. Leabough, seconded by 184 185 Mr. Witte, the Planning Commission voted 4-0 (one absent, one abstention) to recommend the Board of Supervisors grant the request because it continues a 186 similar level of single-family residential zoning as currently exists in the area. 187 188 189 Ms. Moore -Mr. Chairman, the next item is in the Brookland District on page 2 of your agenda. It is for PUP2017-00011, Siwel Flips, LLC. 190 191 This will be presented by Mr. Ben Sehl. 192 193 PUP2017-00011 Siwel Flips, LLC: Request for a Provisional Use Permit under Section 24-12.1(e), 24-120, and 24-122.1 of Chapter 24 of the 194 195 County Code to allow a front porch to be extended no more than 8' into the front yard setback on Parcel 766-737-9988 located on the north line of Hampstead 196 Avenue at its intersection with Bremo Road. The existing zoning is R-3 One-197 Family Residence District. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends 198 Suburban Residential 2, density should not exceed 3.4 units per acre. 199 200 Is there anyone in the audience in opposition to Mr. Leabough -201 PUP2017-00011, Siwel Flips, LLC? I see no opposition. Good evening, Mr. Sehl. 202 How are you, sir? 203 204 Mr. Sehl -I'm well, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. 205 206

undergoing renovation. The property is located just north of Patterson Avenue near St. Mary's Hospital.

207

This request would allow a front porch to be added to an existing home

April 13, 2017 5 Planning Commission

The subject site and surrounding properties are zoned R-3. Prior to the Board of Supervisors revising the Zoning Ordinance in December 2016, a front porch such as the one proposed by the applicant would not be able to extend into the front yard setback. Smaller covered steps could do so in a limited manner, but the Board's actions mean that covered porches can extend up to eight feet in the front yard setback on lots recorded prior to 1960 with the approval of a provisional use permit.

218219

220

Shown here is the porch the applicant would like to construct. It is consistent architecturally with other front porches in the area along Hampstead Avenue and would not appear to negatively impact the appearance of the home.

221222223

224

225

226227

228

Westview Manor, where the home is located, was recorded in 1946 and the home was built shortly thereafter. Exception lot standards would typically require a front yard setback of 35 feet for such an R-3 lot, which this home does not meet according to this plat. However, as noted in the staff report, the home met county code setback requirements when it was built. Additionally, the porch would be located approximately 27.7 feet from the front property line, meaning it would extend no more than 8 feet into the required setback regardless.

229230

Because the proposed porch meets the intent of the revisions to the Zoning
Ordinance adopted in 2016 and because it is not anticipated the addition of the
requested porch would negatively impact adjacent properties, staff believes this
request is appropriate, subject to conditions 1 through 3 as noted in the staff
report.

236

That concludes my presentation, and I would be happy to try and answer any questions you might have.

239

Mr. Leabough - Are there any questions from the Commission for Mr. Sehl? All right. Mr. Witte, how would you like to proceed?

242

Mr. Witte - Well, seeing we have no opposition, Mr. Chairman, I move that PUP2017-00011, Siwel Flips, LLC, move to the Board of Supervisors with a recommendation of approval.

246

247 Mr. Archer - Second.

248

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. Those opposed? Hearing no opposition that motion passes.

252

253 **REASON** - Acting on a motion by Mr. Witte, seconded by Mr. 254 Archer, the Planning Commission voted 4-0 (one absent, one abstention) to recommend the Board of Supervisors <u>grant</u> the request because when properly

developed and regulated by the recommended conditions, it would not be 256 detrimental to the public health, safety, welfare and values in the area. 257 258 Ms. Moore -Mr. Chairman, we move on to the last item on the 259 regular agenda, and this is in the Varina District. This is SIA2017-00001, Briel 260 Farm Solar, LLC - Solar Power Electricity Generation Facility. This will also be 261 presented by Mr. Ben Sehl. 262 263 SIA2017-00001 264

Briel Farm Solar, LLC - Solar Power Electricity Generation Facility: The Department of Planning has received a request from Briel Farm Solar, LLC to initiate a Substantially In Accord study for a proposed solar power electricity generation facility. The proposed site consists of Parcel 842-716-0583 located along the south line of Meadow Road and the east line of the on-ramp from Interstate 64 to Interstate 295. The existing zoning is M-1C Light Industrial District (Conditional). The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Rural Residential and Environmental Protection Area.

Mr. Baka -Mr. Chairman, if I may. 273

Mr. Leabough -Yes sir. 275

277 Mr. Baka -At this time, I need to recuse myself from this case, SIA2017-00001. 278

Okay. Thank you for sharing that sir. So for the 280 Mr. Leabough record. Mr. Baka recuses himself and abstains from the vote on this case. 281

Is there anyone here in opposition to SIA2017-00001, Briel Farm Solar, LLC -283 Solar Power Electricity Generation Facility? 284

Mr. Witte -Don't raise your hands all at once. 286

There is no opposition. Mr. Sehl, good evening again. Mr. Leabough -288 289

Mr. Sehl -Thank you again, Mr. Chairman. 290

As noted by Ms. Moore, at the request of Briel Farm Solar, LLC, the Planning Department conducted a Substantially In Accord (SIA) Study to determine whether a proposed site for a solar power electricity generation facility is substantially in conformance with the county's adopted Comprehensive Plan.

Located in the Varina Magisterial District, the proposed site consists of a single parcel generally bounded by Meadow Road to the north, Interstates 64 and 295 to the south and west, and vacant, wooded land to the east.

7 Planning Commission April 13, 2017

270 271 272

265

266 267

268

269

274

276

279

282

285

287

291

292

293

294

295 296 297

298

The site was rezoned to M-1C in 1989, and the proposed solar generation facility is permitted subject to a Conditional Use Permit, which would follow this SIA process. Proffers accepted with rezoning case C-64C-89, including buffer requirements along Meadow Road, would be complied with as part of future development of the solar facility. Conditions regarding specific site development details such as access, construction traffic, fencing, and landscaping would be part of the conditional use permit process, and not typically a consideration during the SIA process.

The applicant has submitted this concept plan to assist in our review of the proposed facility. They have indicated approximately 71,000 solar panels would be installed in the general manner shown here and would tie to the electrical grid via a bore under I-64 to the south. The panels would largely be located in cleared areas previously used for agricultural purposes.

The applicant held a community meeting on March 6th, and no major concerns with the proposed use itself were raised at that meeting, although concerns regarding visual screening were noted. For that reason, the applicant has provided illustrative examples of how the planned buffer would screen the facility from Meadow Road after construction, as well as at a point in the future. These illustrations indicate that long-term visual impacts on Meadow Road should be minimized, and combined with minimal traffic generation, total impacts on the area should be reduced from that allowed by the site's existing M-1C zoning. Questions regarding the preservation of cultural resources on the property, which was the site of various Civil War actions, were also discussed. The applicant is currently conducting a cultural resources study on the property and coordinating the findings with county and state agencies.

The subject property and surrounding areas are recommended for Rural Residential and Environmental Protection Area in the 2026 Comprehensive Plan. The provision of infrastructure improvements, including lower-impact power generation facilities such as solar panels, is generally compatible and appropriate with this land use recommendation.

Through proper design, the proposed use would be compatible with current and recommended land uses in the area, would help meet the increasing electricity demands, and fulfill the goals, objectives and policies of the 2026 Comprehensive Plan. For these reasons, staff recommends the Planning Commission find the proposed use to be "substantially in accord" with the Comprehensive Plan.

I'd be happy to try to answer any questions you might have.

Mr. Leabough - Are there questions from the Commission?

346	Mr. Witte -	I have one. I'm a proponent of solar power, but I'm
347		these panels will be? Do we know the individual panel
348	size?	
349	M- 0 11	TI - P - P - P - P - P - P - P - P - P -
350	Mr. Sehl -	The applicant's representative is here. Maybe he can
351	•	These panels, to my understanding, kind of rotate and
352		course of the day. I'll let him speak to that specifically.
353		n. I think they reach a height of about 12 feet, but I'll let
354	Mr. Bowers describe that.	
355		
356	Mr. Leabough -	I think the poles are nine feet and then—
357		
358	Mr. Sehl -	Yes. And again, I know that they're in the process of
359		design of that. So some of those might be a little bit in
360		rly in the process to just kind of determine the suitability
361	for this use itself. Mr. Bow	ers could also answer that question.
362	Mar Laurhannah	I have a mostion famous if you was done Ma NACHA
363	Mr. Leabough -	I have a question for you, if you were done, Mr. Witte.
364	AA- VARMA	Par Sinishad Thankson
365	Mr. Witte -	I'm finished. Thank you.
366	Mar Lanhaush	Okey Historical elements. That was a his sensors for
367	•	Okay. Historical elements. That was a big concern for
368		ity meeting. So it sounds like those items have been
369	addressed with the comm	unity members?
370	Mr. Cobl	They are in the present of being addressed
371	Mr. Sehl -	They are in the process of being addressed,
372		cant, on the concept plan, identified certain potentially is. There is an existing cemetery on the site that is
373	, ,	ere. They're working with various groups in the vicinity,
374 375		are doing that. They're also working with the State
376		esources, as well as our Recreation and Parks Division.
377	·	know that any findings of that are going to part of
378		come to will be part of the conditional use permit
379		d of Zoning Appeals considers that in the future.
380	conditions when the board	d of Zorling Appeals considers that in the fature.
381	Mr. Leabough -	Then as a part of the conditional use permit process
382	there will be an exit strate	·
383	there will be all exit strate	gy note in terms of
384	Mr. Sehl -	Yes sir. The applicant has also been aware of-in
385		that's providing through some security, escrow funds,
386	whatever agreement is reached, that there is a plan to decommission in terms of	
387		not be able to do so that there are funds available for
388	the County to do that.	
389		
390	Ms. Moore -	And that's a typical condition we see with conditional
391	use permits.	,,

393 Mr. Leabough - Great. Thank you. I have no further questions. Would the applicant please come forward? Good evening, Mr. Bowers.

396 Mr. Bowers - Good evening, Commission.

398 Mr. Leabough - These are recorded proceedings, so if you don't mind, 399 please state your name for the record.

401 Mr. Bowers - Roger Bowers, FutureLaw, for the applicant, Briel Farm Solar, LLC.

To answer Mr. Witte, your question, I can do that best with this picture. It shows you the panels themselves are about six foot in length and about two foot in width. And then they are stacked beside each other in arrays here that are about anywhere from 150 to 200 feet long. The total height is, as Ben said, probably not over 12 feet in operation. The posts themselves, they drive them in based on soil characteristics. There is no foundation per se; it's just a metal post like a piling. And they do a soil test just to get it far enough so that it's structurally solid.

This is a representative example. The posts themselves are just enough so that the panels, when they're at the most vertical are about 18 inches off the ground. So the 18 inches plus the 3 feet is about 6 foot in height, maybe 7 feet total. And then the 3 more feet up is 9 feet.

There are also inverter boxes. There are approximately 71,000 panels across the 60 or so acres of the 220-acre site where they're actually installing panels. And there will probably be 8 to 10 inverter boxes, which are just like the metal utility cabinets you see out beside a shopping center or a Walmart. That can go 10 to 12 feet high. Some of them come on skids so they sit right on the ground. Some of them, they put down a prefab concrete pad or something like that for them to sit on. There are about 10 of those across the site.

There will be some utility poles at the point of interconnection. Dominion does its connection to the grid through a series of four or five poles, which have switches and fail safes and turn off that will be right there at the point of interconnection on the south part of the property. But service utility poles are not subject to the height restriction. In our CUP application, we have submitted a condition that all structures on site will be under the 20 feet, which is well under what's required in this district.

433 Mr. Witte - Excellent. Thank you.

435 Mr. Bowers - All right.

Mr. Leabough - Mr. Bowers, do you have a presentation for us?

439 440	Mr. Bowers -	I do.
441 442	Mr. Leabough -	I have a question for you before you get into that.
443 444	Mr. Bowers -	Yes sir.
445 446	Mr. Leabough -	What is this site zoned currently?
447 448	Mr. Bowers -	M-1C.
449 450 451	Mr. Leabough - as compared to what could	So in your opinion, this would be a lower intensity use developed here?
452 453	Mr. Bowers -	Yes sir. Yes.
454 455 456	Mr. Leabough - assuming it's a few slides?	Go ahead with your presentation. Is it brief? I'm
457 458 459	Mr. Bowers - you.	It's a few slides, and I can run through it real quick for
460 461	Mr. Leabough -	Yes, please.
462 463 464 465 466 467 468	that it's viable for solar, that it's a good site in terms of south-facing and fits the grid. We are in the process of getting our land use and regulatory permits. We'll need to get land use permits from the County. We'll then get a Permit-by-Rule approval by the state DEQ and then come back for the permit approvals, the actual building permits. We look to be through permitting this year and be	
469		
470 471	You've already seen the s	site, if you have any questions about that. In terms of
472	the suitability with the Comprehensive Plan, this local infrastructure is in keeping with the general character and intent of the plan and will minimize any disruption to established land uses. I note this is not a permanent land change. We have	
473 474 475 476	submitted as part of our of	conditional use permit a decommissioning plan where noving the site and returning it to its status at the end of
477 478	Minimal impacts on surrou	nding properties. We've added in buffering in that area

April 13, 2017 11 Planning Commission

along Meadow Road to take care of that. We are doing a cultural resources study

and will be working through that with Parks and Rec and the DHR as to what is

479

480

481 482 needed to preserve areas.

Benefits of solar. There will be a big economic boost in terms of the construction jobs to put this facility in. The land is pretty cleared, but we have some grading to do. And then we've got to install the posts, bolt on the panels, and wire them altogether. The positive impact, there's no noise that is off-site. There are no emissions from this. There are no waste products. There is no creation going on. It contributes to a green community. Underneath the panels, we planted in a meadow grass that will help retain stormwater.

We honor all of the wetland boundaries on site and keep the EPA areas of the stream throughout. No county services are required for this use. You don't have any water or sewer needs or school or library uses. It does overall reduce greenhouse gas emissions. This site is part of the renewable energy marketplace whether we are selling to the utility itself or whether we're selling through the utility to another end user who is looking to have that no-carbon-footprint power, that clean green power.

That's the end of my presentation.

Mr. Leabough - Any questions for Mr. Bowers?

Mr. Archer - Mr. Bowers, can I ask you, what sort of hazards are these panels susceptible to? Hail maybe?

Mr. Bowers - Actually, I found out, two weeks ago, the engineers were telling me they take these panels and they fire baseballs at them to make them as hard as they can. They're designed up to hurricane strengths and bolted in as such. Interestingly, I think it's at about 60 miles an hour the panels themselves will turn flat so they have less windage. And they'll stay that way until the wind comes back down.

In terms of hazardous materials, there are none. They've taken and ground up those things and tested them. There's nothing. It's landfill ready. In reality, we think all of it will be recycled at the end of the time.

Mr. Archer - I wasn't so much thinking about hazardous materials as to what could happen—

Mr. Bowers - As to damage to them, yes. If they realize an impact and the glass part of them were to crack at all, they would just bolt out one of those 2-by-6 sections and bolt in a new one.

524 Mr. Archer - Okay.

Mr. Bowers - And there's insurance to cover that because you're not making money if you're not generating power.

,	529 530	Mr. Archer -	That's a powerful argument.
,	531	Mr. Bowers -	Yes.
	532533534535	Mr. Witte - that a minimal, like categor	Mr. Bowers, you said it will withstand a hurricane? Is ry 1?
	536537538		I don't know exactly what the rating is. But they are category 3 storm, I believe, and that is in terms of what ards are more than what the Building Code requires.
	539 540 541 542	Mr. Witte - around here because it on	Oh good. You know we've never had a category 5 ly goes to category 4.
	543 544	Mr. Bowers -	Let's hope that we don't.
•	545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554	meeting went very well. Anyone that came to that left having their concerns concerns. So I want to app that they could go out and	Just to be brief, I would like to thank you, Mr. Bowers, responsive to the community's request. I thought the You all did a great job presenting the information. meeting and had concerns, it seemed as though they addressed or responses provided that mitigated their plaud you on that regard. Thanks for providing the sites it take a look at, actual sites that had been developed. Iting the conceptual rendering of the vegetative buffer. I mk you, sir.
	555 556	Mr. Bowers -	Thank you.
	557 558 559	Mr. Nelson: the attendance like?	I have a question. The community meeting, what was
	560 561	Mr. Leabough -	It was pretty well attended.
	562 563	Mr. Bowers -	We had about 45 people there, I think.
	564 565 566	Mr. Nelson: second week of May?	And this will come to the Board the end of May, or the
	567 568	Mr. Bowers -	May 9th.
	569	Mr. Nelson:	May 9th. Okay.
	570 571 572	Mr. Bowers - May 25th.	We're hoping to be heard by the BZA on our CUP on
•	573 574	Mr. Nelson:	Okay.

April 13, 2017 13 Planning Commission

575	8	
576	•	The meeting was well attended and folks were pretty
577	pleased. I don't remember hearing anyone say that they were outright opposed	
578	to it. They were actually supportive once they found out the type of use that could	
579	have gone on the site in terms of industrial uses.	
580 581	Mr. Nelson:	Right. So has this changed much since we talked
582	maybe a couple years ago	
583	maybe a couple years ago	•
584	Mr. Bowers -	Only in terms of we've been able to refine how we
585	figured out how to use the	e site and in terms of understanding—the good things in
586	terms of economics are that the price of the photovoltaic cells, the panels, has	
587		/hat we've seen in the world market is it's a lot like flat
588	screen TVs. They were so expensive for so long, and then all of a sudden they	
589	fell. They were a thousand dollars a screen for a little one and then all of sudden	
590	you're buying them at Costco for \$500 for the biggest one you can buy. It's kind	
591	•	cells. It has made solar an economic engine for
592	0,	elped provide a way that projects like this can help add
593 594	to the local electric grid.	
595	Mr. Nelson:	All right. Well thank you, sir, for your persistence in
596	hanging in there over the	
597		Julian John John John John John John John Joh
598	Mr. Bowers -	Well we appreciate the opportunity to bring this to
599	Varina.	
600		
601	•	Thank you, sir. All right. With that, Ms. Moore, I
602	believe you have a resolu	tion for this SIA.
603	Ma Mana	NATE OF AUTOCOMPANY OF THE STATE OF THE STAT
604	Ms. Moore -	We do. All of you have the resolution in front of you. It
605 606	is PCR-2-17. Basically this just confirms that the report dated March 29th	
607	presented to the Planning Commission tonight found that the proposed use would not be in conflict with or be a significant departure from the adopted plan,	
608	and that the Planning Commission finds the proposed Briel Farm Solar, LLC, site	
609		h the County's Comprehensive Plan.
610	,	in the evaluation promotion in fair.
611	Mr. Leabough -	All right. Thank you, Ms. Moore. With that I move
612	approval of Resolution PC	
613		
614	Mr. Archer -	Second.
615	Mar Lands and C	M. 1
616	Mr. Leabough -	We have a motion by Mr Leabough second by

April 13, 2017

motion passes.

617

618619

Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. Those opposed? Hearing no opposition; that

620 621	Ms. Moore -	Just for the record, we show three, and two absent, ajority, so the vote passes.
622	and one abotain, min a m	ajonty, so the vote passes.
623	The vote was as follows:	
624		
625	Mr. Leabough - Yes	
626	Mr. Witte - Yes	
627	Mr. Archer - Yes	
628	Mr. Baka - Absent	
629	Mrs. Marshall - Absent	
630	Mr. Nelson - Abstain	
631		
632 633	Mr. Leabough -	Yes. Thank you, Ms. Moore.
634	Ms. Moore -	The last item is the approval of the minutes for the
635	Planning Commission's M	farch 9th meeting. We do not have an errata sheet for
636	you tonight.	
637		
638	Mr. Leabough -	Okay. I'll entertain a motion for approval of the
639	minutes.	
640		
641	Mr. Archer -	Mr. Chairman, there being no errata sheet and no
642	corrections made tonight,	I move that the minutes be approved as submitted to
643	us.	
644		
645	Mr. Witte -	Second.
646		
647	Mr. Leabough -	We have a motion by Mr. Archer, a second by
648	Mr. Witte. All in favor say	aye. Those opposed? That motion passes.
649		
650	Do we have any other bus	siness to come before the Planning Commission?
651		
652	Mr. Witte -	We do, Mr. Chairman. The interoffice memo on the
653	impact results from the M	ay 11, 2017, Planning Commission only lists four of the
654	districts.	
655		
656	Ms. Moore -	I don't think that's an error. It's just none that were in
657	the district. We can clarify	that.
658		
659	Mr. Witte -	Thank you.
660		
661	Mr. Leabough -	So if there's no other business to come before the
662	Commission, I'll entertain a motion for adjournment.	
663		
664	Mr. Witte -	So moved.

April 13, 2017 15 Planning Commission

666	Mr. Archer -	Second.
667		
668	Mr. Leabough -	We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by
669	Mr. Archer. All in favor sa	ay aye. Those opposed? There is no opposition; that
670	motion passes.	
671		
672	We are adjourned.	
673		
674		
675		
676		
677		Ω
678		
679		
680		Mr. Eric Leabough, C.P.C., Chairman
681		
682		
683		
684		
685		
686		Jear you
687		Ms. Jean Moore, Acting Secretary
688		
689		
690		