Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of Henrico County 1 held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and 2 Hungary Spring Roads, beginning at 6:00 p.m., Thursday, April 10, 2025. Display Notice having been published in the Richmond Times-Dispatch on March 20, 2025, and 4 March 27, 2025. 5 6 Members Present: 7 Mr. Robert Witte, Jr., Chairperson (Brookland) Mr. Jaron N. Dandridge, Vice-Chair (Fairfield) 8 9 Mr. William M. Mackey, Jr., (Varina) Mr. Bob Shippee, (Three Chopt) 10 Mr. Brian Winterhoff, (Tuckahoe) 11 Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., AICP, Director of Planning 12 Secretary 13 Ms. Misty D. Roundtree (Three Chopt) 14 Board of Supervisors Representative 15 16 Also Present: Ms. Jean Moore, Assistant Director 17 Mr. Ben Sehl, Senior Principal Planner 18 Mr. Livingston Lewis, County Planner 19 Mr. Michael Morris, County Planner 20 Ms. Ali Hartwick, County Planner 21 Mr. Matthew Mawyer, Traffic Engineer 22 23 Mr. Witte -Good evening, welcome to the April 2025 meeting of the 24 Planning Commission. I'm going to ask that you turn off, mute, silence, something your 25 cellphones, and while doing so, stand with us for the Pledge of Allegiance. 26 27 [Recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance] 28 29 Mr. Witte -We have all members present. Along with the Commission, 30 we have the honorable Misty Roundtree from the Three Chopt District. She is our 31 supervisor representative. She's keeping an eye on us. 32 33 Mr. Emerson -Just you, Mr. Chairman. 34 35 36 Mr. Witte -Do we have any news media in the audience? We do. 37 Mr. Jacobs -Jack Jacobs from Richmond BizSense. 38 39 Mr. Witte -Welcome. Just for conversation, this is my good side. I'll turn 40 the meeting over to our secretary, Mr. Emerson. 41 42 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'll join with you welcoming 43 Mr. Emerson everyone to the Henrico County Planning Commission public hearing for April 10, 2025. 44 This evening, it is requested all public comments be provided from the lectern located in 45 the rear of the room. For everyone who is watching us and participating live stream on 46 the county's website, you can also participate remotely in the public hearings by following

these guidelines. You also can see them on the screen. Go to the planning department's 48 meeting webpage at henrico.gov/planning/meetings. Scroll down under Planning 49 Commission and click on WebEx event. Once you have joined the WebEx event, please 50 click the chat button in the bottom right corner of your screen. Staff will send a message 51 asking if anyone would like to sign up to speak on an upcoming case. To respond, select 52 Michael Morris from the dropdown menu and send him a message. He'll place you in the 53 queue to speak. The Commission does have guidelines for its public hearings. The 54 applicant is allowed 10 minutes to present the request and time may be reserved for 55 responses to testimony. The opposition is allowed a cumulative 10 minutes to present its 56 concerns. What that means is that everybody who wishes to speak must be included in 57 that overall 10 minute allowance. Commission questions do not count into those time 58 limits and the Commission may waive the time limits at their discretion. Comments must 59 be directly related to the case under consideration. So, you understand why we ask for 60 your name to be stated. The Commission does maintain verbatim minutes of the meeting. 61 So therefore, we do ask commentors to provide their name and address prior to speaking 62 for the record. So, if we have guestions or need to follow up, we can find you to, follow 63 up with you. Thank you again for your participation and interest in your community this 64 evening. With that said, Mr. Chairman, the first item on our agenda this evening are the 65 requests for withdrawals and deferrals and those will be presented by Mr. Ben Sehl. 66

67 68

69

70

71

72

Mr. Witte -

Good evening.

Mr. Sehl - Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. There are two deferrals staff is aware of on your agenda this evening. Both are on Page 1 of your agenda. The first is REZ-2025-100261 Discount Tire. This is in the Varina District and is a request to amend proffers.

73 74 75

76

77

78

79

REZ-2025-100261 Emily Trafecante for Discount Tire: Request to amend proffers accepted with C-29C-06 on Parcel 816-718-0130 located at the southeast intersection of S. Laburnum Ave and Interstate 64. The applicant proposes to amend proffers to allow tire sales, repair, and installation on a portion of the property. The existing zoning is B-3C Business District (Conditional). The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Commercial Concentration. The site is located in the Enterprise Zone.

80 81 82

The applicant is requesting you defer this item to your May 15 meeting.

83 84

Mr. Witte - Is there anybody in the audience or on WebEx opposed to the deferral to this case or would like to speak to it?

858687

Mr. Morris - Mr. Chairman, there is no one on WebEx for this case.

88

Mr. Mackey - Seeing or hearing there is no opposition, I move that REZ-2025-100261, Discount Tire be deferred to the May 15, 2025, meeting at the request of the applicant.

92 93

Mr. Winterhoff - Second.

94			
95	Mr. Witte -	We have a motion by Mr. Mackey a second by Mr. Winterhoff.	
96	All in favor say aye.		
97			
98	Commission -	Aye.	
99		·	
100	Mr. Witte -	Opposed? Motion passes.	
101			
102	Mr. Sehl -	Staying on Page 1 in the Varina District is REZ-2024-102790.	
103	This is Godsey Propertie	s Inc.	
104			
105	REZ-2024-102790 Dan	iel Caskie for Godsey Properties, Inc.: Request to	
106	conditionally rezone from	R-2AC One-Family Residence District (Conditional) and R-5AC	
107		strict (Conditional) to R-5AC General Residence District	
108	,	6-729-1884 containing 52.40 acres located at the northern	
109		Avenue. The applicant proposes a single-family residential	
110		vill be controlled by zoning ordinance regulations and proffered	
111		mprehensive Plan recommends Suburban Mixed Use. The site	
112	is located in the Airport S	Safety Overlay District.	
113			
114	Again, the applicant is re	questing you defer this item to your May 15 meeting.	
115			
116	Mr. Witte -	Is there anyone on WebEx or in the audience who would like	
117	to speak to this case?		
118			
119	Mr. Morris -	Mr. Chairman, there is no one on WebEx for this case.	
120			
121	Mr. Mackey -	Since there's no opposition Mr. Chair, I move that REZ-2024-	
122		ties, be deferred to the May 15, 2025 meeting at the request of	
123	the applicant.		
124			
125	Mr. Dandridge -	Second.	
126			
127	Mr. Witte -	We have a motion by Mr. Mackey, a second by Mr. Dandridge.	
128	All in favor of say aye.		
129	•		
130	Commission -	Aye.	
131			
132	Mr. Witte -	Opposed? Motion passes.	
133			
134	Mr. Sehl -	Thank you.	
135			
136	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Chairman, that finishes the withdrawals and deferrals for	
137	this evening. We have no expedited items, so we move on to the first case of the evening		
138 139	which appears on Page 1 of your agenda. It is PUP-2025-100253 Christopher Johnso for St. Mary's Hospital of Richmond Inc.		

PUP-2025-100253 Christopher Johnson for St. Mary's Hospital of Richmond Inc: Request for a Provisional Use Permit under Sections 24-2306, 24-3406 and 24-4418 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to allow a structure to exceed 110' and an accessory helicopter landing facility on Parts of Parcels 769-737-3039 and 768-737-9879 on the east line of Bremo Road approximately 300' south of its intersection with Monument Avenue. The existing zoning is O-3 Office District. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Semi-Public.

The staff report will be presented by Mr. Livingston Lewis.

Mr. Witte -

Mr. Lewis, you have the floor.

150151152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184 185

140

141

142

143

144

145

146 147

148149

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. This Mr. Lewis is a request for a Provisional Use Permit to allow two aspects of a proposed St. Mary's Hospital critical care building expansion. The subject site is zoned O-3 Office District and is located on the east line of Bremo Road, approximately 300 feet south of its intersection with Monument Avenue. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Semi-Public, reflecting the site's long-time use for a hospital. Since St. Mary's original construction in 1966, it has implemented various improvements and expansions to meet growing patient demand, upgrade facilities, and offer advanced medical care. As illustrated on this campus-wide exhibit, the hospital's latest initiative involves plans to construct a new 8story building with critical care facilities to accommodate approval as a Level 1 trauma center [sic]. Indicated by the bold black outline here, the new building would be located between the existing north and south towers facing Bremo Road and would add roughly 200,000 square feet of new surgery, intensive care, and other space. This would not increase the total number of beds, but it would allow all patient rooms to be private, rather than some being shared as they are now. Other proposed changes include relocating the helipad from its existing parking lot location to the rooftop of the new building and this movement is indicated by this arrow here moving from the corner of the parking lot to the circle on the top of the new proposed building. Changes also would include reconfiguring patient and staff parking for better efficiency, loading dock and equipment yard improvements, and enhanced landscape areas, among other objectives. Nearly all aspects of this project are allowed by-right in the O-3 zoning district and can be approved administratively through the Plan of Development process, with two exceptions: the maximum height for part of the new building, and the helipad relocation. The zoning code allows buildings in an O-3 Office district to be a maximum of 110 feet tall without additional permitting. Extended heights up to 200 feet are allowed but require PUP approval. As this exhibit shows, the roofline of the new building would be 100 feet above ground, so roughly as I'm showing with the cursor here, but portions of the structure would extend above the by-right code permitted maximum, including the elevator shaft which could reach 158 feet. You can see the elevator shaft extension in this location right here. When the helipad was first approved in 2010, it was listed as a Conditional Use in the zoning ordinance, to be reviewed for approval by the Board of Zoning Appeals. The ordinance was updated in 2021 and now lists accessory helicopter landing facility as a Provisional Use in the O-3 district. For this reason, the helipad relocation to the rooftop spot shown here is also part of the PUP request. This change will allow medevac patients to be delivered more quickly

to critical care units and would reduce pedestrian and traffic disruptions on the ground. Also, roughly 50 surface parking spaces would be reclaimed after the relocation. The presence of taller buildings and the allowance of helicopter landings are consistent with the site's O-3 zoning and its Semi-Public designation in the 2026 Comprehensive Plan. These uses have been well-established on the subject site for many years and have proven to be reasonably compatible with surrounding properties. Similar compatibility is anticipated with this application. Therefore, staff supports this request subject to the 10 conditions recommended in the staff report. This concludes my presentation and I'm happy to answer any questions.

Mr. Witte - Anybody on the Commission have questions before we hear from anyone? Is there anyone on WebEx or in the audience that would like to speak to this case?

Mr. Morris - Mr. Chairman, there is no one on WebEx for this case.

Mr. Emerson - Ma'am, could you just approach the podium and speak from the podium and provide, yes ma'am, and provide us your name and an address for the record, please?

Ms. Breit - My name is Carol Breit, B R E I T. My address is 2 Spicer Road, Richmond, VA, 23226. I don't know if this is the right time to speak my concerns because I haven't been to one of these meetings before, but I would like to address at some point when it's proper, if this is it, some of my concerns. Is now the time?

Mr. Emerson - Yes, ma'am, it is.

Ms. Breit -I'm an adjacent property owner to this property and I have some very large concerns. One, what does this do to the values of the land? I think there are other studies in other cities and places that say helicopters, and as you increase the helicopter pad or the number of helicopters, it increases the safety concerns, it increases the noise. It's pretty disruptive to a neighborhood, even the vibrations from the helicopter. I don't know if everybody's familiar, there was another, I think helicopter accident today around DC or somewhere around there. But my question is: how does this or how much does it increase, one question is the helicopter activity? When it says it's Semi-Public, does that mean that other folks, other than just Medevac would be using it? Who's going to really in reality be using this? Does this mean that private folks can land there when they ask permission from the hospital? I don't know any of these answers, and I don't know how big this expansion really is. But there is an impact on the neighborhoods and the properties around this, which impacts the land values. Having been down at VCU in a hospital bed when a helicopter brought someone in, you feel the vibration. It's kind of scary when you're in that environment. What it does to land values, I think there should be a study. The safety concerns, the noise concerns. The impact on reducing the value of the properties. It's a residential area, and it's surrounded by a whole lot of people in residences, and then you have apartment buildings and apartment condos. How fair does the, well, where's the flight path? And what other neighborhoods is this impacting? Are you impacting the adjacent City of Richmond properties, impacting their land values and the people who are living there? I don't know, maybe you've already had a study that impacts this or tells you some of these answers. But, I think those are important questions to the people who live there, the County of Henrico residents, and the adjacent city, and adjacent housing. It impacts the demographics of an area when you put in a helicopter pad or you increase the size. The benefit is in some ways to the financial issues for the hospital, but how does that ripple effect impact the community? That's really an important question, and I think there's some studies that should be done. I appreciate your time. I don't know if anybody wanted to ask me any questions.

Mr. Witte- Any questions by the Commission? We'll see if we can get some of these answers for you.

Ms. Breit - Thank you sir.

247 Mr. Witte - Can the applicant come, please? State your name, please.

249 Ms. Fitzpatrick - Good evening, I'm Bridget Fitzpatrick. I'm the Chief Operating 250 Officer at St. Mary's.

Mr. Witte - We have several issues that have been brought up: value of the adjoining land, the noise factor, Semi-Public, safety, flight paths. Start anywhere you want.

Ms. Fitzpatrick - Yes, so we also had presented some slides. Would you like me to share those as well?

Mr. Witte - Sure.

261 Ms. Fitzpatrick - Okay.

Mr. Lewis - Lower left. Ah perfect.

Ms. Fitzpatrick - Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you all. In answering some of those questions, it may be helpful to start with some of the strategic intent regarding the project. So, from a healthcare standpoint, we know that the Greater Richmond Metropolitan Area is projected to increase population by 5% over the next five years. We also know from a healthcare perspective, a lot of our lower acuity or less sick patients are being seen either in community hospitals or in telemedicine or outpatient facilities. And so one of the major reasons why this project's so important is the ability to bring critical care services to St. Mary's as the regional hub for Bon Secours' seven facilities in our market. In addition, the helicopter landing pad and relocation creates a few safety, addresses a few safety challenges that we currently have. In landing, our helipad in the surface lot where it currently is located, we then have to navigate not only car traffic but pedestrian traffic when taking those patients from the helipad to emergent services in the emergency department, the OR, or into a different ICU. The ability to locate the

helipad on top of the building will have direct access directly down into our OR or operating rooms suite, directly into our emergency department and to our ICU. We know as more patients are, more population is growing in this area, the patients who are receiving health care are receiving health care in quaternary and tertiary care facilities, which we are growing to become. Being able to service those patients directly and safely is highly important to us. In addition, we know that some of the stats we have up here for tertiary care across the nation is growing right under 10% at 8.8% and quaternary care across the nation is growing by 20%. And we want to make sure that over the next 5, 10 and 30 years we're able to meet the needs of our community and the healthcare that they require. In addition, at the end of this, as Mr. Lewis had shared, this is not an increase in growth in overall beds but is the privatization of all beds. That only supports our patients from, and their families, from being able to receive that care privately, but also from an infection prevention standpoint. We'll add additional procedural platforms, the latest and greatest for both cath lab, our cardiology care, as well as some of our ORs, and have new modernized building systems. Additionally, we'll have two floors that will be added for additional growth. We have some timeline here to share with you all. So, we're looking to start the project this summer, into the fall. It's about two and a half years in total, so we'll be finished with the tower construction in '27 and then we'll be able to go back into the hospital and renovate much needed upgrades throughout our 60-year-old building. I'll share some additional slides regarding the rendering that you saw at the beginning with the helipad and the slide that Mr. Lewis showed regarding the height and elevation of the helipad and the elevator deck there. This is our roof plan with the helipad, the elevators and the connections into the existing facility. And I'll end there regarding the helipad. Regarding the question about the property value, I can't speak to that, but I do know noise and vibration studies are work that we are working with consultants to understand what that is currently, so we understand what our neighbors and community are currently experiencing as well as what that may be in the future. The last question regarding the flight path, we had several meetings with our pilots, and LifeEvac team. Mostly that is coming from the southeast on the flight path. That can change depending on weather. And, to the comment of who would be utilizing this helipad, our focus and priority is patient safety, and so that would be used for hospital and medical purposes.

309
310 Mr. Witte - Ms. Fitzpatrick, let's go over this Semi-Public situation.

Ms. Fitzpatrick - The semi-private rooms?

Mr. Witte - I think she was referring to the Semi-Public helipad.

315
316 Ms. Fitzpatrick - The helipad is intended for medical use, and that would be our priority is to be able to utilize that for patients.

Mr. Witte - It wouldn't be used to transfer people in other than patients?

Ms. Fitzpatrick - No, I can't speak to any other reason to use the helipad other than for patient care.

278

279280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297 298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

311312

313

314

318

319 320

324	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Chairman, there may be some confusion. The	
325	Comprehensive Plan notes this site is Semi-Public because it's a hospital. That doesn't		
326	mean that the helipad is semipublic and available for public use. As was stated by Ms.		
327	Fitzpatrick, it's intended only for emergency medical use for the hospital itself. It's not		
328	open for public transportation. Somebody can't fly their helicopter in, drop someone off		
329	and they catch a ride down on Bremo to wherever they're going kind of thing.		
330			
331	Mr. Witte -	Okay.	
332			
333	Mr. Emerson -	So, but that may be where the confusion is.	
334			
335	Mr. Witte -	Did that answer your question? Well, that's alright, we'll get to	
336	them.		
337			
338	Ms. Breit -	I don't know, I just	
339			
340	Mr. Witte -	You're going to have to go up and speak. So just, let's take	
341	care of this at the end.	Okay, so, there's no way to tell the value of the land. My	
342	understanding is historically, values of property continue to go up regardless of what's		
343	around them. The helicopter noise, it seems to me like it ought to be, correct me if I'm		
344	wrong, it ought to be less noise because it's not landing on the ground. It's not echoing		
345	off of the trees and the ca	rs and whatever houses are right there.	
346			
347	Ms. Fitzpatrick -	It will be relocated from the surface to the top of the 8th floor,	
348	and closer to our parking	deck further away from a lot of the residential areas next to us.	
349			
350	Mr. Witte -	With the present helicopter situation, don't you have to close	
351	the road or something?		
352			
353	Ms. Fitzpatrick -	We do and that's one of the major safety concerns we have is	
354	navigating the pedestrian traffic and car traffic within our parking lot. And so, when a		
355	helicopter is landing, our security team, our emergency department team, as well as some		
356	of our nurse leaders go int	to the lot and maintain a path of travel that shuts down the ability	
357		gh the lot during that duration to ensure that the patient can be	
358	safely transported from th	e helipad into the emergency department.	
359			
360	Mr. Witte -	I have one more question, in reference to safety. Has there	
361	been any impact studies of	done on the safety or surrounding areas that you're aware of?	
362	•		
363	Ms. Fitzpatrick -	Can you elaborate on that? Do you mean from the helipad	
364	landing perspective or fro	m construction?	
365	-		
366	Mr. Witte -	I would think the helicopter landing perspective would be the	

367 368 primary safety issue.

369	Ms. Fitzpatrick -	I mean we work very closely with the LifeEvac team and the	
370			
371	EMS coordinators who	help communicate all of this information, whether there are	
372		ning on campus, be it construction or otherwise, to ensure that	
373	anybody who's flying in	has full line of sight into what's happening on our campus to	
374	ensure safety.		
375			
376	Mr. Witte -	Anybody else have any questions?	
377			
378	Mr. Emerson -	Mr. Chairman, we may want to clarify that this isn't an increase	
379			
380			
381			
382	Mr. Witte -	Correct.	
383			
384	Mr. Emerson -	I think those are important points.	
385			
386	Mr. Witte -	Thank you. Mr. Lewis, can you pull up the parking situation	
387	that we just received ves	terday, I believe? I think you have a, can you enlarge that?	
388	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
389	Mr. Lewis -	This one Mr. Chairman, showing the completed project and	
390	the areas where parking		
391			
392	Mr. Witte -	Okay, so, these parking suggestions are acceptable?	
393		3 33	
394	Ms. Fitzpatrick -	Yes sir.	
395			
396	Mr. Witte -	And we're going to put some signage up eventually?	
397		3 3 7	
398	Ms. Fitzpatrick -	Yes, so we're looking at ensuring that we've got clear parking	
399	for all of our patients as close as possible and increasing the number of ADA spaces we		
		dy coming to our campus.	
401	,	-,g	
402	Mr. Witte -	Well I have nothing further. Thank you for working with us.	
403	Will Willo	Troil That of Housing Taranon. Thank you for troiling that ao.	
404	Ms. Roundtree -	I've got a question.	
405	W.O. Production	Tvo got a quostion.	
406	Mr. Witte -	Oh, wait a minute.	
407	Will. Willie	on, wait a minute.	
408	Ms. Fitzpatrick -	Yes ma'am.	
409	Wis. I IZPatrick	res ma am.	
410	Ms. Roundtree -	The existing helipad, I assume is currently in operation being	
411	used as needed now?	The existing helipad, rassume is currently in operation being	
	useu as needed now?		
412	Ms. Eitznatrick	Yes ma'am.	
413	Ms. Fitzpatrick -	I Co IIIa aiii.	

415 416		With the expansion of the hospital or this new building, is there hat would be seen in the frequency of use of helicopters?	
417			
418	Ms. Fitzpatrick -	We've reached out to our EMS liaisons to better understand	
419		ng to estimate the increased growth. Currently it's about 170 a	
420	year, and we would imagine that would maintain, going forward and then kind of working		
421	with them on any increases that we should have. We're also working on a secondary site,		
422	should there ever be a helicopter that maybe breaks down on our helipads so they have		
423	somewhere else that they can land from an emergency standpoint.		
424			
425	Ms. Roundtree -	There's nothing inherent about the nature of the building that's	
426	being constructed itself th	at would increase the use of the helicopters?	
427	A4 - 52	Not the torrespond to the state of the sent to be and to be a	
428	Ms. Fitzpatrick -	Not that we see currently. We already have ICUs and taking	
429	care of a lot of these patients and because it's not an increase in total beds, we imagine		
430	that would remain pretty of	consistent.	
431	Ma Daundtraa	Thank you	
432	Ms. Roundtree -	Thank you.	
433 434	Mr. Witte -	I have nothing further. Anyone else? Thank you for your input	
434		incerns. I hope they were answered to some degree. This isn't	
436	the - what did I do with my paper? This isn't the end of it. This board will make a		
437		pard of Supervisors. I believe that's correct.	
438	recommendation to the Di	sara or supervisore. I believe that e seriest.	
439	Mr. Emerson -	Yes, sir. That is correct.	
440			
441	Mr. Witte -	With that, I move that we recommend approval of PUP-2025-	
442	100253, St. Mary's Hospit	tal of Richmond Inc., subject to the conditions recommended in	
443	the staff report.		
444			
445	Mr. Mackey -	Second.	
446			
447	Mr. Witte -	We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. Mackey. All	
448	in favor, say aye.		
449	Commission	Asse	
450	Commission -	Aye.	
451 452	Mr. Witte -	Opposed? Motion passes.	
453	IVII. VVIILE -	Opposed: Motion passes.	
454	REASON:	Acting on a motion by Mr. Witte, seconded by Mr. Mackey, the	
455		ed 5-0 (one abstention) to recommend the Board of Supervisors	
456		e it is reasonable in light of the surrounding uses and existing	
457		d it would provide added services to the community.	
458	G are brokers) and	The second of th	
459	Ms. Roundtree -	May the chair note my abstention on this and the other two	
460	cases.		

Mr. Witte - Let the record show that Ms. Roundtree is abstaining from the previous two cases and this case. Thank you.

Mr. Emerson - I would also add the Board of Supervisors meeting this will go to is on May 13. Mr. Chairman with that said, we move on to Page 2 of your agenda and you have two companion cases. They are:

REZ-2025-100115 Jeffrey P. Geiger for ProSafe Storage: Request to rezone from R-6C General Residence District (Conditional) to B-3 Business District part of Parcel 784-760-2968 containing 0.049 acre located on the north line of Magellan Parkway approximately 250' east of its intersection with Brook Road (U.S. Route 1). The applicant proposes vehicular access for a proposed self-service storage facility. The use will be controlled by zoning ordinance regulations. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Government. That is because this is the former location of the Division of Motor Vehicles office that was located there on Brook Road.

The second case that is associated is the Provisional Use Permit: the PUP-2025-100068. Also Mr. Geiger for ProSafe Storage.

PUP-2025-100068 Jeffrey P. Geiger for Pro Safe Storage: Request for a Provisional Use Permit under Sections 24-2306 and 24-4330.D of Chapter 24 of the County Code to allow a self-service storage facility on Parcels 784-760-2885 and 784-760-2968 located at the northeast intersection of Brook Road (U.S. Route 1) and Magellan Parkway. The existing zoning is B-3 Business District and R-6C General Residence District Conditional). B-3 Business District zoning for the entire site is proposed with REZ-2025-100115. The 2026 Comprehensive Plan recommends Government and Environmental Protection Area. A portion of the site is within the Enterprise Zone.

The staff report will be presented by Mr. Livingston Lewis, and I guess as a note of order, you will need to make two separate motions on this action at the end of your public hearing.

Mr. Witte - Got it. Mr. Lewis, you're up again.

Mr. Lewis - Thank you again. This is an application for a Provisional Use Permit, or PUP, to allow construction of a self-service storage facility on a 2.2-acre site at the northeast corner of Brook Road and Magellan Parkway. The property is zoned B-3 and R-6C and is designated for Government and Environmental Protection Area in the 2026 Comprehensive Plan. Surrounding uses include residential neighborhoods adjacent to the north and east, as well as Office and Retail to the south and west. The site was developed in 1998 for a DMV branch office, which remained in use until its closing in 2023. This exhibit illustrates the applicant's proposal to remove the existing 7,157 square foot, 1-story office building and replace it with a 132,000 square foot, 4-story indoor storage building with 23 parking spaces. The new structure would be approximately 33' from the residential boundary to the north, roughly 50' closer than the existing building. Existing vegetation along the northern boundary would be removed and replaced by new

landscape plantings and a masonry wall, both of which would also extend along the eastern property line. The existing entrance from Magellan Parkway would remain and would serve as the only point of access. Because the zoning code prohibits commercial uses from being accessed through residential zoning, this companion case has been filed to rezone part of the entrance parcel from R-6C to B-3. That's the companion case. This two-page exhibit illustrates the potential appearance of the east, south and west facades of the proposed building with a mixture of masonry and siding materials, and an overall scale similar to the Retreat at One condominiums. Views of the north facade toward the Biltmore neighborhood are not provided. Self-service storage facilities are first allowed as a principal use in the M-1 Industrial District based on their generally industrial characteristics. They are allowed with PUP approval in the B-3 district as requested here, but the bar for overall compatibility is higher in this type of situation. The proposed building would be roughly 4 times taller, with over 18 times more square footage, and located significantly closer to residential uses. For these reasons, it would not be appropriate in this location and would be better suited to other B-3, M-1, or M-2 zoned sites in the area where these incompatibility issues would be minimized. Therefore, staff does not support these companion requests and recommends they be denied. This concludes my presentation and I'm happy to answer any questions.

525526527

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

Mr. Witte - Is there anyone on WebEx or in the audience that would like to speak to this case? Hang on.

528529

530 Mr. Morris - Mr. Chairman, there is no one on WebEx for either of these cases.

532

Mr. Witte - We have a couple.

533534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

Mr. Drake -Good evening, my name is Devin Drake, and I live at 9281 Magellan Parkway, Unit B, Glen Allen, VA. While I am not vehemently opposed to the construction of a new self-storage facility in the proposed location. I personally fail to see the merit of such a thing. By my count, there are over 15 self-storage facilities within a 10-minute drive of the location in question, with the next closest location being less than 500'. After doing a guick search in the area, it would appear that most, if not all, because I didn't have time to check all of them before I came, still have a good number of units available. I've never lived in an area of Virginia with this many self-storage locations in such close proximity. Furthermore, I found out about this letter from the office of the attorney representing ProSafe Storage. In that letter, they felt it necessary to state that something far worse could end up here instead, and we should support the issue because of this. I'm hoping to make my high school English teacher proud with this one, but that is the fallacy of the false dilemma. While I'm sure that is the case, it is also possible that something much better could be built there instead. I fail to see how yet another selfstorage location would add value to my neighborhood and surrounding community. Additionally, a self-storage facility does not align well with the goals of the Enterprise Zone as I come to understand them. ProSafe is a corporate chain that will construct a facility that ends up employing very few people. This will not do much to quote "stimulate business development and job growth", which is a quote I took directly from the Henrico

County website. In conclusion, I'd like to ask the members of the board to please carefully consider the proposal at hand as I feel it would likely have no positive impact on those living in the immediate area near it nor those in the surrounding area. I believe we can do much better. Thank you for your time.

558 559 Mr. Witte -

Thank you. Anyone else?

560 561

554

555

556

557

Mr. Fazlani - Hi, my name is Mohsin Fazlani and I have family that lives there. Should I give their address or my address?

562563564

Mr. Emerson - Your address, please.

565 566

567

568

569

570

571

572

Mr. Fazlani - My address? It's 1647 Centerville Parke Lane, Manakin-Sabot, VA. Thank you for allowing me to address the Commission this evening. I'm supportive of the REZ and Provisional Use Permit and welcome the proposed storage facility. I believe the proposed use is the best fit for the neighbors and the area and will result in less traffic as opposed to what the location is already zoned for, such as a gas station or a restaurant that will bring a lot more traffic, especially if that gas station or restaurant is like a 24-hour. I support the facility and hope the Commission decides in that favor. Thank you.

573574575

Mr. Witte - Thank you. Anyone else? How would you like to proceed? Would you like to hear from the applicant?

576577578

Mr. Dandridge - Yes.

579 580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

594

Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission. Mr. Geiger -My name is Jeff Geiger, here on behalf of the applicant. I want to thank Mr. Lewis for his presentation. I think we're here, the reason we're standing before you, is to have a conversation about what is compatible. Self-storage started out like this, and zoning looked at it from an industrial perspective because of the way it looked. But, the market has changed. We don't just use self-storage for the things we don't want to get rid of, the things that we don't want to throw away but we don't need any more and we really don't care about. As I'm going to show you in this presentation, self-storage, your constituents have demanded that it change and evolve into an extension of the living space. So, we are no longer building this. We are building this. We are building modern buildings that can be designed to look like offices that are all enclosed, where residents can come in and enjoy storing their goods in an area that feels like a closet in their home, not the shed in the backyard. To help you get a sense of this, I won't play the whole thing, but a little bit of a video of what this building is. It's not the all enclosed building that you have seen come up recently in response to what your constituents are demanding. We're taking it to a whole new level. With this project, you can raise the bar for the industry.

595 596 597

598

599

[Video plays] "...to our state-of-the-art temperature-controlled facility and claim the best space today. At ProSafe, you'll find the highest level security and convenience with drive-in loading, keypad access, ..."

As you saw there, you drive in the building. You unload in the Mr. Geiger building. There is no more parking outside, leaving your car and goods out there and carrying it in and having security concerns or trash concerns on the outside. This new building provides an opportunity to take this use and make it compatible with residential because it's on the inside. On the layout here that Mr. Livingston, Mr. Lewis, showed you, you can see the aisle for the parking or where the vehicle drives through the building in the middle, with the arrows. We would come into the building on the right hand side. Customers would come through. It's very wide, very tall, very welcoming, and you can then park on the inside and unload and then leave. You would drive out towards Brook and circle your way back out. We can comply with your Neighborhood Compatibility Standards; it's not a problem. This building where it's located can be in compliance; no issue there. We would like to let you know we have shared with staff that the parking we're showing along Magellan Parkway, we don't need it. The only reason it's there is because your ordinance requires it. We'd be happy to submit a parking study acceptable to your Planning staff and see if we can reduce it and pull the building closer to Magellan. Even with that, we will have a 10-foot wall on both sides. You can see it pointed with the two blue arrows; this is a masonry wall. And this applicant was very intentional with the way it designed this building. On the right-hand side are the condo buildings at Retreat One. The size of the building mirrors the size of those buildings. We were very careful to make sure the massing was complementary. The condos at Retreat One are shown on the right, four stories. The self-storage building that we are requesting is on the left, the same height. So, we will be very complementary to what is already there. In addition, as you may know, across Brook, a four-story apartment building is going up. At this intersection, we're getting taller. Why are we here? Like I said, we are no longer, we, years ago we came, the industry came before you because there was a demand for storage in those industrial types because there was a life event, a divorce, a death, and people needed to store. That's not the case anymore. Forty percent of our users treat us as an extension of their living space because they don't have enough closet space at home. Why don't they have enough closet space at home? Our housing is getting smaller, and it's not the Boomers that were our primary consumer in the last 20 years. The person driving this are the Millennials and the Gen X because they are the ones who are living in the smaller housing because that's what they can afford in order to get into housing. As you know, we are seeing a tremendous amount of rejuvenation in this corridor. A significant amount of smaller dwellings that are, have been built or will be built. And that's why we're here. To address the gentleman's concern about the number, I would submit we are the only one that offers this style in Henrico. The applicant has built one in Forest Hill. If you'd like to go see it, we're happy to give you a tour. There are two others in the area, one immediately to the south, 1970s version. At our community meeting I told the owner, "I hope everybody comes here. And we're redeveloping your spot next." This is the demand that was coming. We don't invest the money to meet an unknown demand. We know the demand is here. These residents will need it. And this opportunity, this demand by your constituents for this need that we ask that you to recognize with the evolution is more complementary to residential than it has been in years past. It gives you an opportunity to address something that you have dealt with in the last two years and the Board has dealt with in the last two years. What's the appropriate use next to existing

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

residential? This property could be developed by right with a convenience store, two restaurants with drive-thrus, filling station, a parts store. If you approve the PUP though, we give the area and your constituents what they're looking for with an hours of operation that is no longer 24 hours but is instead 6:00 a.m to 10:00 p.m. It's quiet. Everything's enclosed. And to a real benefit from what we heard at a community meeting about concerns about the number of vehicles in the area, this use will generate next to no vehicles. As requested at the community meeting, we have changed the architecture to match the condos next door. We originally showed brick, and now we're showing stone. For this reason, we would ask you to, to look at this in a different way and recommend approval subject to the suggested conditions that were provided to you, were provided to staff previously and provided to you today. The conditions are summarized here, What I'd like to point out is how they reflect the requests by the neighbors. Again, using stone in lieu of brick, so we match their architectural material. No outside storage. They asked us not to do any construction on the weekend. We agreed. They suggested that the light fixtures on the building not be located above the 2nd story. No problem. One resident pointed out that they have, these condos give them the ability to be on the roof, and so they asked us not just to screen it from the street, which is the normal way, but to screen it from their condo units as well, roof to roof. Trash receptables will be located on the inside unless the county requires us to put it on the outside. We have a standard limitation for hours of trash pickup and parking lot cleaning. One other thing I'd like to point out, I know this is hard on this slide, I'd like you to see the red cloud. I know Mr. Lewis said we were, kind of gave the impression we were asking for access through an R-6 to get to a B-3. I want to let you know this already exists, the county approved that access through the R-6 to the B-3. You can see it here at the bottom. When they put in Magellan Parkway, the county approved a left turn lane to be constructed that provides access into the access currently on the property. And we'll just use that same access. I'm happy to answer any questions, but on behalf of the applicant, ask that the Commission recommend approval of the request before you subject to the suggested conditions, most recently provided today.

Alright. Mr. Geiger, first and foremost, thank you for taking the Mr. Dandridge opportunity, time to share the ProSafe Storage staff and as well as the county staff for the work that's gone into it, your attendance at the community meeting, and you know even back several months in February. So just want to take a moment before reading my decision just to share a few little thoughts. Also too, to the residents - Mr. Drake, Mr. Fazlani. Okay, I just wanted to make sure I pronounced that right. You know, there are a few things and thoughts that I just wanted to share in regard to the Fairfield District currently. Right now, Fairfield currently ranks at the top of the list as it pertains to the district in the county as far as storage facilities in the number of storage facilities, even thinking about in close proximity. Being mindful of the willingness with the suggested conditions also with the evolution in the storage facilities and, you know, becoming more modernized and just the facade and the face of the particular proposed facility. I know too, you know, there are developments that are currently there and also developments being proposed. But at the current time with the number of those, it's just kind of hard to imagine another facility. I just want to take a moment and read my decision in regard to that. Mr. Chair, I move that REZ-2025-100115 ProSafe Storage be recommended for

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674 675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

denial because there are ample appropriately zoned properties in the area that would allow for the proposed use.

694 695

Mr. Winterhoff - Second.

696

697 Mr. Witte - We have a motion by Mr. Dandridge, a second by Mr. 698 Winterhoff. All in favor of say, aye.

699

700 Commission - Aye.

701

702 Mr. Witte - Opposed? You abstained?

703

704 Ms. Roundtree - Abstention.

705 706

Mr. Witte - Motion passes.

707

Mr. Dandridge - Mr. Chairman, moving on to the PUP. I move that PUP-2024-100068 ProSafe Storage be recommended for denial because it does not reflect the area's development trends and is in conflict with the Comprehensive Plan goals, objectives, and policies.

712

713 Mr. Winterhoff - Second.

714

715 Mr. Witte - We have a motion by Mr. Dandridge, a second by Mr. 716 Winterhoff. All in favor, say aye.

717

718 Commission - Aye.

719 720

72.1

737

Mr. Witte - Opposed? Abstain? Motion passes.

Mr. Emerson -Mr. Chairman, we now move on to the next item on your 722 agenda, which is also on Page 2. This is a discussion item, and what I have to speak with 723 you about tonight is based on a resolution that the Board adopted at their meeting on the 724 28th of last month. The Board requested that staff and the Commission research and take 725 a look at how data centers are treated in the zoning code especially in the by-right 726 727 districts. As you know, you went through a large rezoning for what I'll refer to as the Hourigan case. You do have to rezone if properties are A-1. Data centers are allowed by 728 right in O Districts, the B Districts, and the M Districts. The intent in the O and the B 729 Districts, as you know, from the discussions, from the proposed rezoning for the site in 730 the Fairfield District on the former flea market site, is that really, they're supposed to be 731 ancillary or accessory to a larger use? Therefore, they have to be fully enclosed. That 732 applicant initially did request that the B-3 property located there be rezoned to an M-1 733

District in order to allow for a more unrestricted allowance of a data center of a hyperscale nature. Subsequently, of course, that evolved into a smaller request, which then was

eventually denied. What the Board asked that we do is look at some of these existing

zoning categories that allow for this use without additional input from the Commission and

the Board. So, that's what we are doing, and we'd like to bring the results of our work and our thoughts to you in a work session at your next meeting, which is May 15. I would suggest scheduling a work session at 4:30, so we can have a full discussion of those. At that time, we may also be bringing to you some suggested changes to the code regarding that use, I would place a public hearing item on your agenda for that evening similar to, what you normally do. I'm making you aware of that because we'll just place that on there for your consideration Mr. Chairman and count on that as possibly a public hearing item later in the evening. I would like the Commission to schedule their time to be here at 4:30 to discuss the findings of our work and what we may propose to you. And of course, we'll try to get something to you prior to that, because if we do move forward with the public hearing, we will have to advertise that by May 1.

Mr. Witte -

Is there any opposition to the 4:30 from the Commission?

Ms. Roundtree - I'll note, on my calendar I've got a hold on it for 5:00, so is the 4:30 or sorry Mr. Emerson, is that a change from previous...

Mr. Emerson - We've been having a lot of discussions that are evolving, but I think I think 4:30 would be better especially if we moved forward with a potential joint meeting between the Commission and the Board. Because normally I bring in food for the Commission, so I wanted to allow enough time to have a full discussion. So possibly they were holding at 5:00 and we start at 6:00. So, I was thinking 4:30 might be more appropriate.

Ms. Roundtree - Certainly don't change it on my behalf only I will note I have a trial that will start at 1:00. It's scheduled to run from 1:00-4:00 in Hanover and it may run over but I would not have any objection to it being at 4:30. I'll get here as soon as I can, maybe it will be 4:30, but if I'm not, then that's why.

Mr. Emerson - Sure. What we may do is everybody can eat and then we start after that. That would give you a little extra time.

Ms. Roundtree -

I'd appreciate that.

Mr. Emerson - That works. I just wanted to make sure I got everybody here in time so they'd have time to eat and then fully understand what we're proposing. It's not that complicated, but I'm sure there may be many questions.

Ms. Roundtree - Yes, sir. Thank you.

Mr. Witte - I'm sure Mr. Shippee will save you some food.

Mr. Shippee - Well, Mr. Chairman, I will be in Colorado on that day attending my daughter's graduation. I will look at the materials before that session if they're available. And we'll try to get all, as soon as we have something concrete, it's been kind of a moving target, but it's again not that complicated of a, of a topic. You know, our zoning

code handles them very well in terms of location distances from residential, we're able to accomplish quite a bit with, with the setbacks and things that are required through the planet development process. This is just adding, potentially adding another level of review that would require legislative action in, in the by right zones because otherwise you have to go through a rezoning for that action and also clarifying in the O and the B zones that that truly is supposed to be an ancillary use to a primary use similar to the ones that exist today in, in Villa Park and in Innsbrook and some of our other office park locations. We've got, we have a small data center in this building. So, we just need to make sure that that's clarified. We certainly wouldn't want to add an extra burden to our office community of having to jump through additional hoops. We just don't want a hyperscale going into a business part basically is what we're trying to get at. We'll plan on 4:30. If that changes or we need to do it later, we'll make sure that we get the word out to everyone on that.

Mr. Witte - Other than Three Chopt, any issues?

Mr. Emerson - We'll make sure that everybody gets what they need. The next item Mr. Chairman, if everybody's comfortable, we'll say between 4:30 and 5:00, but mark your calendars. We'll clarify that time. The next item Mr. Chairman, is the consideration of the approval of your minutes from the Planning Commission Capital Improvement Program and regular meetings on March 13. We didn't receive any errata sheet input, so I don't have an errata sheet for you, but if there are any changes that, that the Commission feels need to be made, just let us know and we certainly will take care of those.

Mr. Shippee - I move that we approve the minutes.

809 Mr. Mackey - Second.

811 Mr. Witte - We have a motion by Mr. Shippee, a second by Mr. Mackey.
812 All in favor, say aye.

814 Commission - Aye.

816 Mr. Witte - Opposed? Motion passes.

Mr. Chairman, I do have one other item just to go over with Mr. Emerson -you quickly. I wanted to remind you, and I know all of you are aware of the Suggestion Sessions that begin with Three Chopt next Monday the 14th, on the Comprehensive Plan. We are taking land use maps out. We're hoping that people will participate and show up, but I think this is very important and I know all of you do. We're kicking it off with Three Chopt to Deep Run High School on Monday the 14th, 5:00 p.m. - 7:00 p.m. The next one will be in Tuckahoe on Wednesday the 16th at 5:00 p.m. - 7:00 p.m. at Freeman High School. Then we follow up on Monday the 21st, 5:00 p.m. - 7:00 p.m. again at Hermitage High School for Brookland. Move on to Fairfield. We like the enthusiasm there Mr. Chairman. We have Fairfield on Monday, April 28, 5:00 p.m. - 7:00 p.m. at Henrico High School. And then we culminate with Varina on Monday, May 5, 5:00 p.m. - 7:00 p.m. at Highland Springs High School, and we certainly hope everybody comes out and 

830	participates and anything that any of you can do to help get that word out, we certainly				
831	appreciate it. We've got it posted on all our social media sites.				
832					
833	Mr. Mackey -	The Varina one is on Cinco de Mayo.			
834					
835	Mr. Emerson -	It most certainly is. We are having popcorn. We are having			
836		a popcorn machine, so we wanted to try to do something to try to			
837	encourage people to get out. We're going to bring popcorn in. I thought about asking the fire department to bring a fire truck. Something that will help generate a little more interest				
838					
839	maybe to get folks to come.				
840					
841	Mr. Witte -	Would you like guacamole for your popcorn?			
842					
843	Mr. Emerson -	Maybe by the time we get to Varina we can come up with a			
844					
845	nothing further for the	e Commission.			
846					
847	Mr. Witte -	Any other input? I hate to do this, but meeting adjourned.			
848		11/2/1/			
849		KGNU LITE IA.			
850		O ex record			
851		Mr. Robert Witte, dr., Chairperson			
852					
853	,	18 8-3			
854		Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Secretary			
855		IVII. A. JUSEPH Emgison, Secretary			