1		ial meeting of the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors
2		ng of Henrico County held in the Highland Spring High School
3		Airport Drive, Highland Springs, beginning at 5:00 p.m., Thursday,
4		ay Notice having been published in the Richmond <i>Times-Dispatch</i>
5	on May 1, 2025, and	l May 8, 2025.
6		
7	Planning Members P	resent: Mr. Robert Witte, Jr., Chairperson (Brookland)
8		Mr. Jaron N. Dandridge, Vice-Chair (Fairfield)
9		Mr. William M. Mackey, Jr. (Varina)
10		Mr. Brian Winterhoff (Tuckahoe)
11		Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., AICP, Director of Planning
12		Secretary
13	D 1 (0 :	Mark Barrier
14	Board of Supervisors	Members Present:
15		M B : 1 1 0 1 : '/ 01 : '/ 0 1 1   1)
16		Mr. Daniel J. Schmitt, Chair (Brookland)
17		Mr. Roscoe D. Cooper, III, Vice-Chair (Fairfield)
18		Mr. Jody K. Rogish (Tuckahoe)
19		Mr. Tyrone E. Nelson (Varina)
20		Ms. Misty D. Roundtree (Three Chopt)
21	Diamina Campinaia	Mambara Abaart
22	Planning Commission	n Members Absent:
23 24		Mr. Boh Shinnon (Thron Chant)
25		Mr. Bob Shippee (Three Chopt)
26	Also Present:	Mr. John A. Vithoulkas, County Manager
27	Also Flesell.	Ms. Cari M. Tretina, Deputy County Manager/Chief of Staff
28		Mr. Steven J. Yob, Deputy County Manager for Community
29		Operations
30		Mr. Michael Y. Feinmel, Deputy County Manager for Public
31		Safety
32		Mr. W. Brandon Hinton, Deputy County Manager for
33		Administration
34		Ms. Monica Smith-Callahan, Deputy County Manager for
35		Community Affairs
36		Mr. Andrew R. Newby, County Attorney
37		Mr. Ben A. Sheppard, Director of Public Relations
38		Mr. Bentley Chan, Director of Public Utilities
39		Ms. Tanya N. Brackett, Assistant to the County Manager for
40		Board Affairs
41		Ms. Jean Moore, Assistant Director of Planning
42		Mr. Ben Sehl, Senior Principal Planner
43		Mr. Ben Blankinship, Senior Principal Planner
44		Mr. Tony Greulich, Senior Principal Planner
45		Mr. Livingston Lewis, County Planner
46		Mr. Michael Morris, County Planner
47		Ms. Ali Hartwick, County Planner
48		Ms. Neha Shinde, County Planner
49		Ms. Kelly Drash, County Planner

Ms. Molly Mallow, County Planner

50 51

Mr. Witte - I call this special meeting of the Planning Commission to order. We are pleased to be joined this evening by the Board of Supervisors and its chair, Mr. Schmitt.

55 56

57

58

59

60

Mr. Schmitt - Thank you, Mr. Witte. It's good to see you all. Thank you, everyone, for joining us tonight. I'll join Mr. Witte as the chair of the Planning Commission, I'll join those folks in calling this special meeting of the Board of Supervisors to order, and we'll begin tonight as we typically do. Please rise, if you are able, for the Pledge of Allegiance and remain standing for a quick invocation brought to you by our Vice Chair, Roscoe Cooper.

616263

[Recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance]

64 65

66

67

Mr. Cooper - God, we thank you for giving us traveling mercies to come to Highland Springs High School to have this meaningful, public, necessary dialogue. We pray that everything that we do and say will be beneficial to the residents of our county as well as our region. Be with us tonight, we do pray. In your name. Amen.

68 69 70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

Mr. Schmitt -Amen. Thank you, Mr. Cooper. Next, I'd like to thank members of the media who are here today and certainly those that are logged in online. Tonight in the room with VPM, Lyndon German. Lyndon, thank you for being here as always. We also have Desiree Montilla from NBC 12. Desiree, thank you, ma'am. And Maggi Marshall is here with CBS 6. Thank you to each of you for taking the time and being here with us tonight. I'd also like to take this moment and quickly remind my colleagues, all of them, that we're using the old-school equipment here so please remember for the benefit of the folks in the audience, and for the folks who are online, to use the push button on our mics so that folks in the audience and the folks that are dialed in virtually can hear us. Ladies and gentlemen and for those of you dialed in, the purpose of this meeting tonight is to hear from the public about proposed data center regulations. In March, the Board of Supervisors requested that staff draft these regulations. The proposals have been advertised and properly noticed for a joint hearing here this evening and, obviously, we are ready to proceed with both bodies being here this evening. To keep order, I recommend that the Board and the Planning Commission designate a single member to preside over this joint public hearing. I'd recommend that since Ms. Roundtree sits on both bodies, I suggest that the Board designate Ms. Roundtree to preside over and conduct our joint public hearing this evening, and if so agreed, is there a motion?

87 88 89

Mr. Cooper - So moved.

90 91 **Mr**. Rogish -

Second.

92

93 Mr. Schmitt - There's been a motion made by Mr. Cooper, seconded by Mr. Rogish. Those in favor, say aye.

94 95 96

Board - Aye.

97
98 Mr. Schmitt - Any opposed? All right. Motion carries. Mr. Witte, could you please check if the Planning Commission concurs or has another recommendation?

Mr. Witte - Yes, sir. Is there a motion designating Ms. Roundtree to preside over and conduct a joint public meeting?

104 Mr. Mackey - So moved.

103

107

110

115

116117

118

119 120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127 128

129 130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

106 Mr. Dandridge - Second.

108 Mr. Witte - We have a motion by Mr. Mackey, a second by Mr. Dandridge.
109 All in favor, say aye.

111 Commission - Aye.

Mr. Witte - Opposed? The ayes have it. Ms. Roundtree is designated to preside over and conduct a joint public hearing. Ms. Roundtree.

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you, colleagues. I'd like to start the meeting by asking staff to provide a presentation, and I would note that these are expected to be the materials that have been online for a couple of weeks now. But if we could have someone from staff provide that presentation for the public. Mr. Emerson?

Mr. Emerson -Thank you, Madam Chair. Tonight, as has been noted, there are two items on the special agenda for the public hearing. The first item is a Comprehensive Plan amendment, Technology Boulevard Special Focus Area and Data Center development objectives and guidelines. The proposed amendment to the 2026 Comprehensive Plan would designate the Technology Boulevard's special focus area and create development objectives and guidelines for data centers. The special focus area boundary, vision and goals, along with the data center development objectives and guidelines, would become part of the plan and would be used to guide development standards for data centers in the county. The special focus area generally consists of those properties zoned M-1C, Light Industrial, M-2, General Industrial, and M-2C General Industrial, located east of 295 on the north and south lines of Williamsburg Road, on the east and west lines of Technology Boulevard, between Williamsburg Road and Portugee Road, and between the CSX Corporation railroad and south line of Portugee Road at its intersection with Technology Boulevard. Also under consideration tonight is an ordinance amending and reordaining Section 24-3102 and 24 being the zoning code. Also, Section 24-3406, 24-3704, 24-4205, 24-4319, 24-4328, 24-4402, 24-5110, 24-8405, 24-8406, 24-8501 and also to add new sections, 24-3711, 24-4438, to create a new zoning district to be known as the White Oak Technology Park Area Overlay District and require a Provisional Use Permit for data centers as a principal use outside of such district and establishment or establish development standards for data centers. The proposed White Oak Technology Park Area Overlay District would contain approximately 3,090 acres, again located generally east of Interstate 295 on the north and south lines of Williamsburg

Road, on the east and west lines of Technology Boulevard between Williamsburg Road and Portugee Road, and between the CSX Corporation Railroad and the south line of Portugee Road at its intersection with Technology Boulevard. Now, these two items, once all public comment has been taken, will require two motions by the Planning Commission then subsequently if the Board chooses to take action, two motions again, one on each item. The staff report will be provided this evening by Mr. Ben Sehl, and we also have in attendance, from the Planning Department staff, subject matter experts to assist with any questions you may have, Mr. Ben Blankinship and Mr. Tony Greulich. But with that, I will turn this meeting over to Mr. Ben Sehl for his presentation.

151152153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184 185

186 187

188

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

Mr. Sehl -Thank you, Mr. Emerson, members of the Board and the Planning Commission, I appreciate your time this evening. The purpose of this evening's joint meeting is to discuss the findings and recommendations of a recent analysis by staff regarding data centers. The study provides an overview of data centers in the county, including current regulations, as well as benefits and impacts from those developments. As part of the findings of this analysis, staff recommended several potential revisions to the county's Comprehensive Plan and zoning ordinance, and tonight's public hearing will be to consider those amendments. There are approximately 40 buildings in Henrico classified as a data center by the Department of Finance. Some of these are located within existing office parks or office/service areas and are typically accessory to another use on the same or adjacent property, while others are larger in scale and developed as a principal use. These larger facilities, most of which are located within White Oak Technology Park, were the primary focus of staff's review. Prior to 2021, the zoning ordinance did not define data centers as a use. After the code update that year, data centers were defined and permitted as a principal use in the M-1, M-2, and excuse me. In the M-1, M-2, and M-3 districts. They were also allowed in the Office and Office/Service districts, provided that all equipment for heating and cooling, as well as generators, were located within an enclosed building. In general, the code treats data centers in the Industrial districts similar to other large industrial uses with similar buffering, screening and setback requirements. Because most large-scale data centers in Henrico have located within White Oak, these standards, along with the covenants and design quidelines of the park, have resulted in minimal impacts on adjacent property owners for data center development to this point. This development has resulted in various benefits through construction employment and revenue generation, which has supported initiatives such as the Affordable Housing Trust and Solar Access Henrico. While there have been benefits from data center development in Henrico, concerns have been raised due to experiences in other localities in Virginia. This has increased focus on data center development throughout the state with numerous concerned residents raising concerns related to energy and water usage, noise, air quality, and visual impacts. Similar concerns have been raised by residents here as part of recent rezoning applications in the ongoing Comprehensive Plan update process. These concerns resulted in a study by the General Assembly's Joint Legislative Audit Review Commission, or JLARC, JLARC's study, as well as staff research conducted during previous rezoning requests, further detailed the possible impacts of data center development. As noted by JLARC, some of these impacts, such as the provision of electric service and air quality, are outside the purview of local government and are best addressed at the state level. For instance, their study

indicates Virginia's Department of Environmental Quality adequately addresses the air quality impacts from backup generators, and if so needed, has the authority to increase regulation to address ongoing concerns. They also recommended the General Assembly take action to specifically authorize localities to require certain analyses, such as noise studies, as part of a development proposal. While approved prior to the JLARC analysis, recent rezoning approvals near White Oak to allow data center development incorporated proffered conditions to minimize those impacts. These conditions required certain noise studies, placed limitations on generator usage and testing, and provided for enhanced buffering to minimize visual impacts from the proposed development. Other issues raised by residents and JLARC, such as negative impacts on the county's water supply, have not been identified as concerns within Henrico. Although the rezoning process and proffered conditions appear to adequately address most impacts, there were ongoing concerns related to the ability of future data centers to locate on existing industrially zoned properties without those conditions. For that reason, staff analyzed sites throughout the county that could possibly support large-scale data centers and found that several properties could be developed in a way that could result in unwanted impact on adjacent properties. To address that possibility and concern, staff identified several potential changes to the Vision 2026 Comprehensive Plan and the zoning ordinance. Drafts of these amendments were provided to you and posted on our website two weeks ago as mentioned. The proposed Comprehensive Plan amendment would create the Technology Boulevard special focus area and adopt guidelines for data center development throughout the county. A map of the special focus area is on the screen in front of you. The zoning ordinance amendments would revise the definition of a data center, add a definition of accessory data centers, create an overlay district called the White Oak Technology Park Area Overlay District, and require a provisional use permit, or PUP, for new data center development outside of that overlay. If adopted, the Comp Plan amendment would provide guidance for the review of future PUP applications for data center development outside of the overlay district. Data center development on properties within the overlay would continue to be permitted by right, subject to the proffered conditions and design guidelines established by the covenants of the White Oak Technology Park. Because the proposed amendments will continue to allow data center development in a suitable area while also limiting potential impacts from such development elsewhere in the county, staff recommends approval of the proposed Comprehensive Plan and zoning ordinance amendments at this time. complete my prepared presentation. I'd be happy to try and answer any questions you might have, and as Mr. Emerson noted, separate motions would be necessary on these items. And with that, I'd be happy to answer any questions the Board or Planning Commission has at this time.

226227228

229

189 190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208 209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217218

219 220

221

222

223

224

225

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you, Mr. Sehl. We're going to start by asking if there are any members of Planning Commission that have questions for Planning. I can't see all the way down there too well, so just wave if anybody's got any questions.

230231232

Mr. Schmitt - I don't see any.

No? Okay. All right. Having no questions from the Planning Ms. Roundtree -Commission, are there questions for staff from members of the Board of Supervisors? All right. Well, then I guess I'll start off, and I just want to kind of lead off by saying that the reason all of this is happening started with a concern that we had as a Board about where the direction of data centers in Henrico County was going. As part of this process, we had to do a bit of a dive just not about the history or the evolution of data centers in Henrico or even Virginia, but really across the country. And there has been a significant amount of change in a very short period of time, a relative short period of time, with how we started off with, you know, sort of data being done on a small-scale basis as needed for entities to having them co-located in buildings and now having kind of these super centers. I think, although I'm new to elected office, I think it's fair to say that a lot of localities and states, and even the federal government, have really been trying to catch up with the technology as it has developed. And so, in a good-faith effort to say, okay, where are we now with this? Let's see. We know where Henrico has been and where it's been going. We wanted to, as a Board, take a fresh look at it and say, knowing what we know now, knowing what we've seen other localities have done, knowing a lot of the feedback we've been receiving, both by industry as well as private residents of Henrico, it really seemed appropriate to figure out where we go from here. I want to say, start, you know, say that we hear, and we validate so much of what we have been hearing on an ongoing basis by both sides, and I even hate to say sides. So just as a foundational statement, I know that we're going to have a civil and a courteous and a respectful discussion today because we are not nobody's an enemy in here. There may be competing interests at various points or competing perspectives or differing perspectives, but I think it's safe to say what we all want to be able to have in Henrico County is a community that looks out, is a good steward for the land that we live on, that respects each other as neighbors, that allows healthy growth and meets the needs. And a lot of that is related to technology, for good or for not, at this point, and figuring out how to balance all of those. In case there was any question as to why we decided to do this and why we asked staff to put together, it is coming from the place of wanting to get everybody in a room, hear information, hear feedback from all of you, and to very cautiously consider what changes and where we go from here. Because we don't want to look back 10, 15, 20 years from now and be confronted with a goliath that we can't change. So not really a question, but just a foundational comment. I will ask again if there are any questions of the Board.

266267268

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260261

262

263

264

265

Mr. Nelson: [inaudible]

269 270

Ms. Roundtree: Ok.

271

Mr. Nelson - Before you go, question for our chair. Well, question for Mr. Emerson, just to make sure, process. We're asking all about both Commission and the Board are asking questions now. Will there - is there another opportunity for us to ask questions?

276277

278

279

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir. You would have an opportunity to ask questions of staff at any point during the process that you would choose. The Planning Commission, when Madam Chair asked if they had any questions, did not have any at this time to come

forward. If you wanted to ask questions now, you could, or you could take public input and see what their questions are and make notes of those and then and then staff would be happy to answer your questions. And then any of those questions you feel need an answer, we would be able to respond to those to the best of our ability as well.

Mr. Schmitt - Mr. Nelson, if it helps, and I apologize. I probably could have been more clear on this. We're in the Planning Commission hearing now. Certainly, there's question time now for both Boards, public hearing for the Planning Commission. And then Mr. Witte would lead his group through their decision to recommend for approval or denial. Much like any other Planning Commission meeting, they would take their vote, send it to the Board. Our process would be public as well, where we would have the opportunity to either hear a presentation again if needed, take public comments and have Board discussion. There are certainly multiple opportunities, sir. This would be the opportunity now, if you'd like to ask questions prior to Planning Commission taking their vote.

Mr. Nelson - Mr. Chair, are you saying we can comment now, ask questions now, public can make their comments, and then we can come back and ask additional questions as a normal...

Ms. Roundtree - Yes. I...

Ms. Roundtree -

Mr. Nelson - Ok.

306 Mr. Nelson - Madam Chair, I'm sorry.

Ms. Roundtree - Yes. I think we're going to encourage the questions being asked as appropriate, recognizing that some of our questions may arise after some of the

There will be [inaudible]

310 comments from the audience members.

312 Mr. Nelson - All right. Thank you, Madam Chair. 313

314 Mr. Cooper - Would you recommend us asking or wait?

Ms. Roundtree - If there is any - if you have any preliminary questions that frankly don't matter, that aren't reliant upon hearing from the public, our questions may inform some questions that the public may have. If you've got some that you're comfortable asking now, then I would say let's go ahead and lead with that.

Mr. Cooper - Thank you, Madam Chair. I want to begin by saying, as we consider Henrico's zoning ordinance, to regulate the growth of data centers, including through the creation of this overlay district and provisions like Section 24-4438 on accessory data centers, I want to affirm my support for economic development that positions our county as a hub for technological investment. However, this progress must

not come at the expense of community wellbeing, public transparency and environmental 326 justice. We have a duty to ensure that innovation, growth and accountability do go hand-327 in-hand, I guess Mr. Emerson or Mr. Sehl, a couple of guestions around a few topics. 328 Location, equity. Are we intentionally concentrating data centers on low-income or 329 historically marginalized communities, creating sacrifice zones? 330 331 No, sir. I can respond to that. And certainly, there is no Mr. Emerson -332 intentional effort to place these in any marginalized community. The pattern has followed 333 a historic zoning pattern that we all inherited, but there's been no effort on the part of staff 334 or the Planning Commission or elected body to place any of these specifically in 335 marginalized communities. 336 337 338 Mr. Cooper -Thank you, Mr. Emerson. Does the WOTPA overlay district formalize inequities in how land uses are distributed across the county? 339 340 Mr. Emerson -No, sir. My opinion would be that it does not. The technology 341 park was established in the '60s, actually was zoned in the '60s. It became a technology 342 park in the '90s with the advent of the chip manufacturer, Infineon, I think originally it was 343 Qimonda. Locating there along with Hewlett-Packard and several other data technology 344 style industries. Then of course the infrastructure came that made it very enticing for data 345 center location because of the fiber coming into this location and the power being 346 available, the road network. All the things that mix together to make a very attractive site 347 for industry. But it had for years been targeted by the State of Virginia and prior to that for 348 industrial style uses, including a fake airport during World War II. 349 350 Mr. Cooper -Thank you for that. So around community engagement and 351 transparency, does the ordinance mandate early meaningful engagement with directly 352 impacted communities before provisional use permits are approved? 353 354 Mr. Emerson -The one under consideration? 355 356 Mr. Cooper -Yes, sir. 357 358 Mr. Emerson-Yes, sir. It would. 359 360 Mr. Cooper -Would public input have substantive influence over the 361 remaining procedure? 362 363 Mr. Emerson -Yes, sir. I believe the Board and the Commission always take 364 ample interest in public input and weigh it into their decisions. 365 366

Mr. Cooper -

Mr. Emerson -

367

368

369 370

371

Yes, sir. I believe they are.

standards, are enforceable standards in place for noise, lighting, emissions, generator

testing, water consumption and waste heat discharge?

Thank you so much. Around health, safety, and environmental

372	Mr. Cooper	Will anvironmental impact appearants be required for even
373 374	Mr. Cooper -	Will environmental impact assessments be required for every areas already hosting heavy infrastructure?
375	data center, particularly in	aleas alleady flosting fleavy filliastructure?
376	Mr. Emerson -	Yes, sir.
377	WII. Efficisoff -	165, 511.
378	Mr. Cooper -	What about Provisional Use Permit safeguards? Does the
379		cial health and environmental equity, not just technical criteria?
380	or process consider soc	sai neath and environmental equity, not just technical chteria?
381	Mr. Emerson -	A provisional use permit is somewhat subjective in some of its
382		at the discretion of the Planning Commission and the governing
383		gs could be considered. Yes, sir.
384	souy. I amin an areso amin	,
385	Mr. Cooper -	Thank you so much. Accessory use loophole, Section 24-
386		y defined and what prevents developers from using minimal
387		sive, otherwise unregulated data centers?
388	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,
389	Mr. Emerson -	Well, just a rough rule of thumb on an accessory use, it has to
390		ercent of the use on the property. But normally you would be
391	looking at a 1/3, 2/3 as an	accessory use. We have many, many operations, the county
392	building included, that hav	e data centers inside of their operations.
393		
394	Mr. Cooper -	Mm-hmm.
395		
396	Mr. Emerson -	And that's the intent of an accessory use, is that it supports
397	the primary use. The prima	ary use obviously has to be larger than the accessory use.
398		
399	Mr. Cooper -	Thank you. Two more questions around overlay district
400	flexibility. What rules app	ly to data centers proposed outside of the WOTPA overlay
401	district?	
402		
403	Mr. Emerson -	It would be all of the current zoning code requirements and
404		d appropriate through the provisional use permit process. And
405	those conditions would be	placed. It'd be a little different than a negotiation for a rezoning.
406		
407	Mr. Cooper -	Okay. And last question for right now is around infrastructure,
408		e ordinance set limits on electricity and water usage and diesel
409	backup emissions?	
410	M. F	The electric and the discillation the entirities of the
411	Mr. Emerson -	The electricity and the diesel from the emissions for the
412		ur situation is handled by state and federal agencies. So, we
413		and we would defer to them for their permitting on those items.
414		ndled through our Department of Public Utilities. So the ability
415		determined through the usage and the availability of water were
41 12	a rather use in any case in	O DISTA DATA CEDELODI ADVILASE

Mr. Cooper - And last question. How does this align with our county's climate resilience and sustainability goals?

Mr. Emerson - Currently, I don't believe we have anything that would necessarily regulate the use of electricity and the emissions. Those would go to the state and the federal agencies.

425 Mr. Cooper - Okay.

Mr. Emerson - Consistent with the way we currently handle those. So, I don't, I don't know that it would be in violation and or infringing upon anything we have in place regarding sustainability.

431 Mr. Cooper - Well, thank you so much. Madam Chair.

Ms. Roundtree - So I want to, I guess maybe push back just a little bit, Mr. Emerson. So environmental justice is a thing because of the reality of where we are right now; right? I mean, you would have to acknowledge that in the county there is disparity between the east and the west; right? At some point, I don't know when, but at some point there were decisions made about where things would go. And, you know, for whatever reason, Innsbrook was determined to be appropriate for office space and Varina was determined to be appropriate for more industrial uses. And it's not all nefarious. I don't think it's all for nefarious reasons; right? But I think we have to recognize it because then that's the only way that we can accept it, figure out what we need to adjust and — and move forward. And so I think part of where we are is that for whatever reason, perhaps just because Varina is so much larger and there's so much more land mass that a determination was made that if we're going to put industry in an area, there's a lot more space there to not have it abut residences; is that fair to say?

Mr. Emerson - Yes, ma'am. I think that's fair and also, I think the market forces played a part in that. Because if you look at the zoning in in the western part of the county, you'll find that Innsbrook exists on portions of industrial land. Brookhollow Shopping Center, which is where the Kohl's and the Target are located, I believe that is either M-1 or M-2 zoned property. You'll find sections of industrial property up and down the sections of Broad Street. So early on, there were quite a few different locations of industrial properties throughout the county. The general market, I think, directed the location of much of the development because of that, you do have industrial lands developed as office and you've got industrial at the corner of Forest and Glenside.

457 Ms. Roundtree - Mm-hmm.

Mr. Emerson - Where there's a doctor's office. So there but yes. I don't disagree with you that there is more industrial land you move east. I think part of it is due to the location of the airport. That certainly influences some of that. And then of course the transportation network. But I don't disagree with you on environmental justice and the consideration of it.

464	
465	Ms. Roundtree - And so, you know, the White Oak Technology Park, again,
466	wasn't there, but I imagine that when that was contemplated, thought was we want to b
467	able to support technology industries and other industrial type uses, some of which ar
468	more damaging than others. But we want to be able to figure out the best way to have
469	them in our county and I'm assuming that White Oak Technology Park arose out of
470	discussion about the most practical and I would even say at that point, again, trying t
471	concentrate industry away from residential areas, even within Varina itself, that that's how
472	White Oak Technology Park came about?
473	
474	Mr. Emerson - Yes, ma'am. I would – I would certainly concur with that. Whe
475	it was originally located as a decoy airport and used by the military and then over th
476	years went to the state and then of course became part of the center of the state
477	semiconductor endeavors in the '90s, the idea was it was located a good distance from

semiconductor endeavors in the '90s, the idea was it was located a good distance from population. And over the years, population moved next to it.

Ms. Roundtree -Mm-hmm.

478 479

480 481

484

485

486

487 488 489

490

491

492

493

494 495 496

497

498

499

500 501

502 503

504

505

506

507

508

509

Mr. Emerson: 482 But it hadn't necessarily developed based upon its zoning designations at the time. 483

Ms. Roundtree -And I would surmise that some of the population moving closer to it was because of proximity to jobs. A lot of this industry was a heavy employer in the area; right?

Mr. Emerson -I would agree with you 100 percent. Yes, ma'am.

Ms. Roundtree -Okay. So, as that was taking place and then of course, you know, 20 years ago or so, then when we start seeing the evolution of data centers arising. I'm assuming that there was some observation that it was an effective revenue source for other localities.

Mr. Emerson -Yes, ma'am.

Ms. Roundtree -All right. And would it be fair to say that, you know, 20 years ago, that the knowledge and the information that is known now about some of the environmental impacts was not known?

Mr. Emerson -Yes, ma'am. I think that's 100% correct.

Ms. Roundtree -I think there is an argument perhaps that, from a financial stewardship standpoint, there was some prescience of decision makers at that time to say, here is a burgeoning industry much like, you know, getting in on the cell phone industry early on or getting in on Bitcoin or what have you, early on. You see an industry that is lucrative, and you want to position your community to be able to avail itself of that; is that fair?

510		
511	Mr. Emerson -	Yes, ma'am.
512		A CAMPA A CAMPA COMPANIAN
513	Ms. Roundtree -	All right.
514		
515	Mr. Emerson -	I think it is.
516		
517	Ms. Roundtree -	So, I think we have to acknowledge it. It's in the report
518		nt, a decision was made that we wanted data centers to be in
519		make it a practical place for data center developers to view
520	Henrico.	mane it a practical place for adda center acticlepere to their
521		
522	Mr. Emerson -	Yes, ma'am.
523	iii. Eiliolooli	100, ma am
524	Ms. Roundtree -	Provided incentives for that to happen.
525	Mo. Houridado	Tortaga moonaree for marke mappen.
526	Mr. Emerson -	Yes, ma'am.
527	ב	
528	Ms. Roundtree -	All right.
529		, <b>.</b>
530	Mr. Emerson -	Incentives were put in place and it was considered a target
531		because of the attractiveness, the infrastructure, the fiber.
532		or this type of industry and made it very attractive.
533	,	or and type or made by and made it telly attractive.
534	Ms. Roundtree -	In my research, I noted and I came across a lot of
535		nation where, as compared to Loudoun or Fairfax or other
536		less, lure or pull, I think what I read was Henrico marketed itself
537		erty. Can you speak to that a little bit as to that?
538	ar green anne conter prop	
539	Mr. Emerson -	I'm sorry. Madam Chair, could youthe acoustics aren't the
540	best. I was having a hard to	
541	3	,
542	Ms. Roundtree -	Okay. I was saying how in my review for tonight asOh, I'm
543	sorry. Did you ask me to re	
544		
545	Mr. Emerson -	Yes, ma'am.
546		
547	Ms. Roundtree -	Okay.
548		,
549	Mr. Emerson -	If you would. I'm sorry.
550		
551	Ms. Roundtree -	Some of what I read was distinguishing Henrico's approach in
552		ompanies to come. It was considered to be a green process.
553		
554	Mr. Emerson -	Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am. Absolutely.

556 557	Ms. Roundtree -	And what made it that way?	
558	Mr. Emerson -	Because we paid close attention to the design of the facilities	
559		had adequate space. Most of ours are campus-oriented. They	
560		population centers for the most part. And if you look at the ones	
561	in northern Virginia, a lot of them are in higher density areas where you have me population nearby. There's a photo in the study of the site in Prince William County from		
562			
563		that's looking directly at the site of a data center. So I think,	
564		been one that would be considered more environmentally	
565		tainable type of approach.	
566	Scholle and more a sus	amable type of approach.	
567	Ms. Roundtree -	And how would you characterize that as evolving, say, ever	
568	in the past five years?	And now would you characterize that as evolving, say, ever	
569	in the past nee years:		
570	Mr. Emerson -	In the past five years, we've become more stringent. I think	
571		ne for the 600 acres, I believe, was a good example of that.	
572	and recoming and made don	io for the doc dolog, i bolloto, was a good oxample of that.	
573	Ms. Roundtree -	Mm-hmm.	
574		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
575	Mr. Emerson -	We put quite a bit of effort into that to make sure that we	
576		and also that the industry did things that were more sustainable	
577		e community such as the contribution to the solar efforts for the	
578		he Board made the decision to use some of those funds fo	
579	affordable housing efforts		
580			
581	Ms. Roundtree -	Mm-hmm.	
582			
583	Mr. Emerson -	So there were different efforts that were put forth. Of course	
584	stormwater regulations ar	d other things have changed over the years. The environmenta	
585	regulations themselves ha	ave also assisted us in the by-right areas.	
586			
587	Ms. Roundtree -	Okay. So going back just a tad, so when in the, you know, 15	
588	or however many years ago, when the decision was made that this seems to be		
589	industry that will be healthy for the community to have, weren't fully aware of what some		
590	of the environmental ramifications would be, there was a decision or to make the by-rigl		
591	category for data centers;	is that right?	
592			
593	Mr. Emerson -	Yes, ma'am. And they've been by-right in the zoning code fo	
594		se data processing and data storage have those have been in	
595	our zoning code. Of course, we just recently amended it. It was a 1960, '59 era zonin		
596	code. I'm not sure exactly when that term first appeared in the code, but it was probab 30 or 40 years ago, if not further along than that, where that technology was first		
597			
598	mentioned in the zoning of	code. So it's been a by-right use.	

Ms. Roundtree -

599

600 601 Mm-hmm.

Mr. Emerson - In the O districts, the Office districts, the B districts, which are the Business districts, and the M districts, which are the Industrial districts, for I would say 30 to 40 years without doing the research and verifying that certainly. But it is a use that has been refined and become different than it was at one time certainly.

Ms. Roundtree - And that's an excellent segue, Mr. Emerson, because when I was looking at, you know, data centers, the distinction between a data processing center in the '80s and what would be then evolve and I think again it started with the co-location of data hubs and then kind of evolving bit by bit. So, when we talk about what a data processing center was in the '80s, is it fair to say that it is nothing like what we now know to be the data centers, in particular some of these hyperscale centers that we have today?

Mr. Emerson - I would say yes. There is a difference not dissimilar to the cell phone we all carry around that probably is powerful as a Pentium computer, or more powerful I imagine, from drawing back into the older era of computers. So, all of it has progressed certainly.

 Ms. Roundtree - I guess bringing it all, you know, kind of full circle, because Mr. Sehl, you gave a wonderful presentation, but a lot of it, I think is unfamiliar language or subject matter. And so, what we have had in the county is several classes of property that data centers can go into by-right, meaning there's not really anything that they have to do. It's there by right. And we wanted to take a look at changes so that, because it, I think speak for myself, it felt like there was I guess some degree of helplessness with having a way to impact how much data centers were coming into the county. And so now the question before us is, what is the best way to provide more public input whenever a data center comes about? As I understand it, a very simplistic way of looking at it is this proposed overlay district says, we're carving out a space where data centers can go, amongst other things, and I want to make that point, that the overlay district is not exclusive to data centers; is that right?

Mr. Sehl - That's correct. Yes, ma'am.

Mr. Emerson - Yes, ma'am. That's correct.

Ms. Roundtree - Okay. So, we're carving out a shape within which developers can put data centers in by right and then outside of that district, they would have to go through a proper provisional use permit process wherein that would come to the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors and vote on every single case before it; is that...

Mr. Emerson - Yes, ma'am. That's correct. But within this area, the White Oak Tech Park does have restrictive covenants.

Ms. Roundtree - Mm-hmm.

Mr. Emerson - And that's why you see the large buffers and things. That all of this has been considered within the development of this park and the direction of this park. And the land, the Atlantic Crossing rezoning, or the Hourigan rezoning as we refer to it, that land has also been annexed into the White Oak Tech Park covenants and design guidelines. So along with the proffers that are on that case and the underlying zoning code, it's also regulated by the design guidelines. There was a lot of thought and effort that went into those in the '90s and in the early 2000s, to make sure development would have a substantial setback from the roadways, that proper landscaping would be in place, that area spacing would be appropriate and things of that nature. That's why it's developed as nicely as it has. It looks a little rough right now because it is under constant development. But as the landscaping goes in and it matures over the next 5 to 10 years, it's going to be a very beautiful campus.

Ms. Roundtree - I will have other questions for certain but I don't want to belabor my time now. Are there any other questions from other Board members at this time?

Mr. Nelson - I have a lot to say but I'm going to hold it. I don't want to monopolize the meeting right now. Just a couple of basic questions. Again, Joe, I think I heard you say, or Ben, that the park, the covenants for the park were agreed upon in 1996; is that what I heard?

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir. I believe that's correct.

Mr. Nelson - Okay. And outside of data centers right now, have Hewlett-Packard, Lumber Liquidators, Polykon, and I think there may be a couple others. I may not have all of my sheets here. But well before the first data center, and I think the first data center in the park technically was Bank of America; is that right? Or is Bank of America outside of the park?

677 Mr. Emerson - I believe the first data center in the park, Reverend Nelson, 678 may very well be the original Facebook. 

 Mr. Nelson - The original Facebook. Okay.

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir.

Mr. Nelson - All right. So inside of the park, the zoning is primarily O, M, B.

686 Mr. Emerson - M.

688 Mr. Nelson - Classified?

Mr. Emerson - M-2.

Mr. Nelson - M, O, M-2?

693 694 Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir.

695

699

700 701

702

703

704 705

706

707

708

709 710 711

712 713

714

715

716

717

718 719 720

721

722

723

724

725726

727

728

729

730731

732

733

734

735 736

737

738

696 Mr. Nelson - All right. Let's talk about outside, primarily okay. Before we go 697 outside, the park itself is almost spoken for. Would I be accurate by saying most of the 698 developable land is already spoken for in the park?

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir. I believe. I would set that number at about 90 percent.

Mr. Nelson - Not only is it spoken for already, but it's primarily vested, meaning financial commitments or PODs have been committed to those particular spaces.

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir. That's correct. There are master plans, plans of development, and significant financial investment by the companies located there for the specific purpose of data center development. Well over 80 percent of the park is master planned at this point.

Mr. Nelson - So I wouldn't go too far if I say that there really is not much unspoken for land in the tech park and thus for all of the other land in Varina that has O, M, B zoning, a PUP process would then be... can I get one of those things that are being handed out in the back? Can you hold me one? I would love to see what they are. Somebody grab me one so I can get one too. There seems to be a lot of buzz at the back door. O, M, B zoning classification spread out all across the county would be by-right, but this particular, whatever we agree upon tonight, will make sure that all of that by right is no longer by-right?

Mr. Emerson -That's correct. You'd have to go through a provisional use permit unless it's an accessory use and can be defined as an accessory use in the O and the B districts. If they wanted to do it as a primary use, they would have to go through a provisional use permit process. Again, in order for it to be an accessory use, it would have to be similar to - Capital One had a small data center in Innsbrook adjacent to their offices. Anthem has a small one if it still exists. I don't know if Anthem necessarily is still there. But they have a small data center on their property there at Staples Mill and Broad Street. So, you do have accessory uses. We have a small data center in the county building to handle our data processing and data storage needs. Now, many things are going to the cloud which drives these larger hyperscale types of facilities. But you would need to go through a provisional use permit in any of those categories, in any of the M zoned categories outside of the White Oak Tech Park overlay, unless for some reason that you were vested. The county attorney and I are working through a few situations where people have asked to be considered for vesting, and we have not completed that analysis yet. But I would say, and the attorney and I have been discussing it, that 80 to 90 percent of the tech park is vested at this point.

Mr. Nelson - All right. So we're talking a lot about I just want to make sure that those who are here and, you know, the argument is made that we are trying to concentrate all

of the data center all of the data centers in the county in Varina, there could if you look at it from another perspective, it could be that we are also trying to protect Varina from all of the rest of the O, M, B zoning that is spread out across this county where data centers could go by right.

Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir.

744745746

747

748

749 750

751 752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

739

740

741

742743

Mr. Nelson -There is a lot of O, M, B and industrial cores around Laburnum Avenue, off of Darbytown Road, O, M, B that is concentrated, some off of on the outskirts of Charles City Road, etc. Places that could be literally right across the street from residential. Outside of an agreed-upon tech park, these are places that could impact neighborhoods, etc. So, my focus has been knowing that the tech park, which has been filling up with multiple uses over the past couple decades, is full, that residents, particularly in the area that I represent, will have a say-so about where data centers go connected to their neighborhoods. And so that has been my focus. Once we run out of space in the tech park, then if we continue to expand data centers in Henrico County, they have to go somewhere. And they're not going to go in Three Chopt or Tuckahoe, primarily because there's nowhere to go there. And so, most of the available space is in the eastern part of the county, primarily in Varina, and the eastern part of Fairfield. So, I just want to go on record to say that this is not just about the concentration of data centers in the tech park was, and has been over the past decade, the tech park has been advertised for industry and data centers have been the hot industry for the past decade or so. We are trying to keep, I am trying to keep, data centers from spreading all across the Varina District, And so that is a part of the reason why we're here tonight, knowing that a huge part of the tech park is already spoken for. I've got more to say but I'll pause there.

763764765

Ms. Roundtree - Any other questions Mr. Rogish?

766 767

768

769

770

771

772

773

Mr. Rogish - Madam Chair, just a couple of questions follow up from what we heard. One, I think it was mentioned, but we talked about the, what are we doing environmentally? We did, in that case back in May, get \$5 million towards solar panels for homes. I just want to acknowledge that. And I also want to ask a question on the emissions because, Mr. Emerson, you said on the emissions that that was done by the state. And is that true for generators, air quality for generators, everywhere? So, if a generator is on a hotel or a generator is in a hospital, the airport, etc., DEQ monitors the air quality. That's my understanding; is that correct?

774 775

776 Mr. Emerson - Yes, sir. That is correct.

777 778

Mr. Rogish - Okay. It's not just this.

780

779

Mr. Emerson - No, sir. It is not just this one industry.

781 782

783

784

Mr. Rogish - Sort of two others, one more question then one more comment. But the accessory use, I've asked a couple questions about this, but if a data center was to be built on a landfill, for example, that would not be an accessory use

because the landfill is the primary use, the data center would not be an accessory to that use; is that correct?

788 Mr. Emerson - That would be correct. Yes, sir.

Mr. Rogish - Okay. And then just one last comment. I just... a note on what Ms. Roundtree asked regarding the data processing center versus a data center. Just so everyone knows, the iPhone was actually invented in 2007, and the iPhone came preloaded with 15 apps. The app store didn't even come around until 2008, if you can think about that. So, when we talk about data processing centers and what kind of data are on phones now today, it is absolutely completely different. So, I just wanted to make that comment too, Ms. Roundtree. I have a few other things later but thank you.

Mr. Rogish, we so appreciate you always informing us with those random trivia items. Thank you for that again. Any other comments from members of the Board? Or questions at this time? Again, we can revisit it. Okay. Well, thank you colleagues for that. At this time, we do want to hear from the public. We have members obviously of the public here. We have some on Webex. I know that some people have signed up in advance. I've got eight folks here that signed up in advance. Just by show of hands, if you're here and you did not sign up in advance and you plan to speak, can you I'm trying to see how we set this time out. Can somebody who doesn't have cataracts let me know how many hands were raised?

Mr. Schmitt - I see three hands, four, five.

810 Ms. Roundtree - Five. Okay.

812 Mr. Schmitt - Six. Maybe seven.

814 Ms. Roundtree - Okay.

816 Mr. Schmitt - Less than 10.

818 Ms. Roundtree - Less than 10. Okay. Given that we have 8 and then less than

819 10 here.

821 Mr. Nelson - Madam Chair, can I ask a question real quick?

823 Ms. Roundtree - Yes.

Mr. Nelson - I've got one more question. You go ahead but once you finish, l've got one more question for staff before we go to before we go to the public comment.

 Go ahead. Do it now.

Ms. Roundtree -

Mr. Nelson - All right. Mr. Newby, what happens if tonight we don't vote on this? If we don't vote on this tonight, until we readvertise and vote again, then what happens?

Mr. Newby - So the Board of Supervisors and Planning Commission have the option this evening to vote on the proposal as presented. The Planning Commission of course could recommend the proposal with amendments, it could recommend it as is, or it could move not to recommend it. Then when it goes to the Board of Supervisors, the Board can approve it as is or it can consider amendments. If the amendments are fundamental and material to what's proposed, the proposal would have to be readvertised so that the public would have notice of what those amendments are and a new public hearing would be held. That could take into June I would imagine. If you don't approve an ordinance that takes effect immediately, there is an opportunity for projects that are in the pipeline that are not yet vested, as that term has been used multiple times tonight, to become vested in the interim. That would be I think one thing for the Board's consideration.

Mr. Nelson - All right. So, I want to make sure I'm clear. If there are amendments to the proposed ordinance tonight, then no amendments can be, so am I hearing you say if we make amendments, we can't vote on those amendments to the proposal tonight?

Mr. Newby - Sir, my response would be if the amendments are so material to the proposal that it fundamentally changes what's on the table, yes. It would need to be readvertised. If it was a minor amendment, I don't know that I can give an example of one off the top of the head, but something that's relatively inconsequential, you could proceed without readvertising. But if it fundamentally changes the proposal, it will need to be readvertised.

Mr. Nelson - Okay. We vote on what's before us tonight or if we amend or if there are amendments to the ordinance, then it's tabled tonight, thus any other actions or activities that are being planned can move forward because the process has been tabled.

Mr. Newby - Yes. That's the consequence as I see it, sir.

Mr. Nelson - Okay. But what if we did vote tonight for by-right, whatever the ordinance is it is stated, then that amendment goes into action now.

Mr. Newby - Yes, sir. It would go into effect immediately and everyone who does not have vested rights to the contrary would have to comply with the new ordinance that goes into effect.

873 Mr. Nelson - All right. So, no vested rights at this point would have to go 874 through a PUP process. And we don't vote on it tonight, then anything that is in the 875 anything else that is in the flow or proposed to be in the flow has a possibility to move

forward. So, if we if we table it for a month, two, three, haggling back and forward, then 876 that means there could be many more data centers that can move forward in by-right 877 properties? 878 879 Mr. Newby -That is a possible consequence. 880 881 Mr. Nelson -By-right zoning. Yes. 882 883 Yes. 884 Mr. Newby -885 886 Mr. Nelson -Okay. Thank you, sir. Yes. I just wanted to make sure that was clear before we went to public statements 887 888 Ms. Roundtree -As a follow up to that, what is the duration or the length of a 889 PUP process, Mr. Emerson? 890 891 Mr. Emerson -It would follow a very similar schedule as a rezoning. Of 892 course, you've done PUPs before. But the process is the same. It goes to the Planning 893 Commission and then to the Board. There are prescribed advertising times for those 894 documents, as you know, or those applications. Four months is probably about as quick 895 as you could run one through the process and hit the prescribed advertising deadlines 896 and submission deadlines. But I would say on average probably about six months. 897 898 899 Ms. Roundtree -Thank you. Anything further? We are going to start with the speakers who have signed up and they're here. I'm not sure what order they're in but I'm 900 going to call you - where are we having speakers go to? Is it the front podium here 901 or...Okay. Sorry. Cataracts. All right. If you are a speaker and then just go to the closest 902 903 microphone before you. I'm going to ask that you limit your comments to four minutes, just so we can try to get in all of the speakers that want to speak. Again, please try to 904 remember that we're here for civility's purpose, for civility's sake. I'm going to start with 905 Glenn Miller. Glenn Miller, are you here? All right, sir. Please come to the mic. 906 907 Mr. Miller -Is it this mic or this one? 908 909 910 Ms. Roundtree -This one. And I'll just... 911 Mr. Sehl -912 [inaudible] 913 Ms. Roundtree -914 Okay. We're going to get you to hand those to Mr. Sehl there and have those distributed. As you near, I wish this was the Court of Appeals and we had 915 the red light here, but we don't, so Mr. Schmitt is keeping time I see. So, when you get 916 down to 30 seconds, this is for all speakers, we'll just kind of give a wave to let you know 917

Mr. Miller -

that you're down to 30 seconds; okay?

918

919 920

921

Thank you. Can I wait until these are passed out first?

922 Mr. Witte - Absolutely. Yes.

924 Mr. Miller - Okay.

923

928

940

943

944 945

946 947 948

949

950 951

952 953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

925 926 Ms. Roundtree - All right. You can begin while we're passing out the 927 documents.

Mr. Miller -Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Emerson and Supervisor 929 Roundtree, members of the Board of Supervisors and members of the Planning 930 Commission. My name is Glenn Miller. I am the Vice President of Vienna Finance and I'm 931 the manager of an entity called Atlantic Crossing. We are a landowner in Henrico County. 932 933 Let me start out by saying there can be no doubt that the Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission have a solemn responsibility to be responsive to the desires and 934 interests of its constituents and there can be no question that this Board and the Planning 935 Commission are looking out for its constituents. I mean, the very fact that they called 936 this... 937

938 939 Mr. Nelson - Sir.

941 Mr. Miller - ...this meeting and...

Mr. Nelson - Sir, we're, I'm sorry. We don't have any monitors up here so we can't hear you that good. Can you just get a little closer to the mic?

Mr. Miller - Sure. I'm sorry.

Mr. Nelson - That's perfect. Thank you.

Mr. Miller -[Inaudible] Yes. My name is Glenn Miller. I am the vice president of Vienna Finance, and I am the manager of Atlantic Crossing which is a landowner in Henrico County. In fact, we were the landowners that sold the land ultimately to QTS, the 600 acres. Let me start out by saying there could be no doubt that the Board of Supervisors has a solemn responsibility, and the Planning Commission has a solemn responsibility to the desires of its constituents. And I think there's no doubt of anybody in this room or in the county or watching in the media that the Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission is trying to be responsive to the desires of its constituents. In terms of seeking to add an additional layer of approvals for data centers. And it's not inconsistent, this action is not inconsistent, with what you're seeing in northern Virginia. However, this Board and this Planning Commission must also balance that responsibility to its constituents with its responsibly to respect the prior decisions of the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors that's meeting tonight. It has to also balance its responsibility to its constituents with respect for the law of the Commonwealth of Virginia as relating to land use. Vienna Finance and Atlantic Crossing, but Vienna Finance in particular, rezoned this property of a certain property that I've shown you, which is 175 acres of property located due north of I-64 right in the same area as White Oak Technology Park and basically across the interstate from our other parcels that were sold

to QTS. But it rezoned those parcels, and when it rezoned those parcels, it gave proffers to the county and included in those proffers was a specific statement that it could build data centers or data processing centers which the Henrico County Industrial Zoning Classification makes clear that data processing center is a data center. And that's the conventional usage as well. But it was specifically set forth in the proffers that we could do data centers by right. And Atlantic Crossing and Vienna Finance have, in good faith, relied on that action of the Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission and has spent or incurred obligations, committed to spend over \$300,000, all of which was spent before there was any notification that any of there was any potential consideration for changing the zoning rules. This was spent in good faith in terms of engineering and wetlands in order to potentially create a data center park due north of 64. And I would say that from a land use perspective, having a data center park, if you're going to have a data center park, it should be in the place that Vienna Finance is proposing to put it, which is next to a solar field, next to interstate highways with railroad tracks, with wetlands. It's an isolated area that is near interstate highways, which even Fairfax County, which is very strict on data centers, allows data centers near interstate highways. And so, as such, it has minimal impact on any residential communities, it's buffered by wetlands. It's just abutting the interstate. And this location makes perfect sense for a number of additional reasons beyond location, which is, from a utility standpoint, there will be no requirement for additional utility lines built because the utility lines that are serving QTS and White Oak are the same utility lines that we agreed to put through the Vienna Finance parcel. So, there's no new...

Mr. Schmitt -

You've got about 30 seconds, sir.

Mr. Miller - No new data cen...no new utilities needed. And as I mentioned, the county has voted on this. We've done proffers. The proffers specifically state data centers are permitted use. We've relied in good faith and just in the interest of fairness and equity and that we think that this use should be grandfathered and that the existing overlay district for the Technology Boulevard should be extended to include this 175 acres. Thank you.

 Ms. Roundtree - Thank you, Mr. Miller. I neglected to ask that when speak, no. I'm sorry, not for you. That when speakers come up, that in addition to stating your name, please state your address. And so, I will just note for whatever record is being kept here, Mr. Miller, I'll just state you live in McLean, Virginia. The next speaker, Aaron Mullins. Are you present? All right. Come on up.

Mr. Mullins - Good evening. Is the volume okay? My name is Aaron Mullins and I live at 7520 Oakmont Drive in the Brookland District of Henrico County. While I was raised in Chesterfield, I have been proud to call Henrico home for the last nine plus years. I am on the Centra team that has submitted a plan of development for a data center in the Varina District. This proposed plan is outside of the proposed overlay. Prior to joining Centra two years ago, I taught in Henrico County Public Schools for nine years, six at Donahoe Elementary in the Varina District and three at Moody Middle in the Brookland District. I am not an out-of-town developer trying to take advantage of a development

opportunity in the east end. I am deeply familiar with the roots of Varina. I have biked the Capital Trail and seen the Don't Short Pump Varina signs alongside the rolling farmlands and taken a pit stop at Ronnie's Barbeque for smoked jumbo chicken wings. I've cared for my students beyond school hours, hosting after-school basketball clubs at Donahoe, participating in tutoring programs at Forest Meadows Mobile Home Park, and watching my students play youth football at Dorey Park, and I know that the best donuts anywhere can be found at Country Style on Williamsburg Road. Our site selection for this project reflects this intimate knowledge of the county and our desire to protect its character and care for its people. Our project site is sandwiched between a pharmaceutical manufacturing plant, vacant industrial land, an industrial bakery, high-voltage transmission lines, Dominion Power facilities and multiple landfills. It is in the heart of Varina's industrial region and approximately 750 feet, with 150 feet of mature forest buffer, away from the nearest residential parcel. As for community impact, Director of Planning Joe Emerson provided the following quote regarding data centers during the Planning Commission's public hearing on April 11, 2024. Quote, "They are overall a low-impact industrial land use from the standpoint of there's not a lot of traffic generated from them. We get more complaints from a noise basis and things from different industries that are more active." End quote. Our desire is to develop a minimally obtrusive data center in an area that is surrounded by industrial uses. While our site is zoned M-1, does not require rezoning and currently allows for data center development by right, this draft amendment as written, would not allow us to proceed with the development that we've spent the past six months working on. Our small, local development team simply can't afford to take on the additional time, money and risk that accompanies a project subject to a provisional use permit. Centra first began working on this project in December 2024. We held formal meetings with Economic Development and Planning department officials on January 31st and March 12th in addition to numerous other exchanges. These conversations were specific to submitting a plan of development for a data center on this site and the county was fully supportive during each meeting, assuring us that they would help us through the permitting process. Then, on March 25th, the Board of Supervisors requested the Planning Commission to provide language to amend the data center zoning text. That date was an inflection point in our business dealings with the county. Since March 25th, help has not been offered and meetings have been declined. All we wanted was a chance to tell our story. After years of recruiting and permitting the development of data centers, the county has changed its course, potentially leaving us in a hole of six months of wasted work and hundreds of thousands of dollars in incurred costs. What message is being communicated through this process to all developers, not just data center developers who desire to do work in Henrico County? When this Board of Supervisors unanimously voted to rezone over 600 acres of agricultural land to allow for data center development on May 14th, 2024, Reverend Nelson asked the county manager to put the taxes generated by the project in a specific fund so that the county could do something transformational with the revenue. Reverend Nelson, we share the same desire. Please allow...

1054 1055 1056

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024 1025

1026 1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035 1036

1037 1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046 1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

Mr. Schmitt - Sir, and sir, I'm sorry to interrupt. Just getting close. About 30 seconds.

Mr. Mullins - Please allow us to develop this vacant industrial land and let's put that tax revenue towards transforming our schools. I know what it's like to be a teacher in the east end and not have a robust PTA behind you to fund a well-stocked classroom library. I know what it's like to spend your precious planning time working on grant applications hoping that someone outside of the county will see the needs of your classroom and provide. I know what it's like to leave the job you were once called to because the pay isn't enough to take care of your family. Let's use the projected \$25 million in annual tax revenue from this project to ensure that we attract and retain the best teachers in Henrico County. Our company is already invested in the community. How much more could we give back if given the opportunity to develop in Henrico? Our ask is that the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors allow our submitted plan of development to be grandfathered and processed under the prior use table.

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you.

1074 Mr. Mullins - This ask is consistent with the precedent set by Loudoun, 1075 Fairfax...

1077 Ms. Roundtree - Mr. Mullins.

Mr. Mullins - Fauquier and Stafford Counties. Since they're distracting, I'm just going to finish. I have two sentences. Fairfax, Fauquier and Stafford Counties, who have also recently amended their zoning ordinances and considering the county's previous communications with our team, it's also what's fair. Thank you.

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you.

Mr. Nelson - I just want to go on the record and make sure that everybody who's here, when the comment is made that the county was supportive, you mean county staff, not county, no. You don't have to, I'm just telling you, that's what, you know, that's what you mean, county staff, not the Board. Neither one, the Commission nor the Board approved, supported, etc., because it hadn't gone through the process. I just want to make sure that when people come forward and say the county, they're not speaking, they're not talking about us and we're the ones that make the final decision when it comes to these particular processes. So, I just want to make sure that that's understood.

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you, Mr. Mullins. Next speaker is David Wagner.

 Mr. Wagner - Good evening. My name is David Wagner. I grew up in the Three Chopt District, attended Skipwith Elementary, Moody Middle and Maggie Walker Governor's School. In 2022, I started a small business here in Richmond called Centra that focuses on industrial and data center development. I employ a team that includes three graduates of Henrico County public schools, a former Henrico County public school teacher, Aaron who just spoke, and several Henrico residents. I fully agree with the intent and principles behind this zoning ordinance. I think staff did a great job highlighting the need for sufficient distance from residential, screening and environmentally-conscious

mechanical systems. The one area that I think this ordinance does not address is the effect on groups like us that have been working in good faith based on the county's previous guidance. As many of you are business and organizational leaders, I thought it would be helpful to walk through the actions I took as owner of Centra based on the feedback I received from the county. We initially met with county officials two years ago about our interest in buying land in White Oak. Unfortunately, other companies had priority on those parcels, but the officials encouraged us to find other land in Henrico for data center development. We found a site in December 2024 after hearing that the county was recruiting industrial users, county staff were recruiting industrial users, including data center developers. The thing we look for when evaluating a site is zoning. We have to be targeted. We don't have the manpower or funding to spend time on a site that is subject to a rezoning or a provisional use permit. We reached out to the county and received a zoning confirmation letter from June 2024 that confirmed the site as zoned M-1 and listed data center uses by right. From firsthand experience in Fairfax and Loudoun, we know that zoning ordinances can be amended, so we didn't just rely on the current text. We looked back through recent zoning cases in the county to understand the climate and everything we found was positive towards data centers. Our site was zoned for data center use, had the appropriate power infrastructure, and was located in the county. It showed nothing but support for data center development. With all this in hand, we signed a letter of intent to purchase the property in January 2025 and began our formal due diligence. From there, we wanted to meet with county officials. We met with the Economic Development team on January 31st to discuss our plans for the site. They again confirmed our plan are we were zoned by right and were fully supportive of our plans. At this point, I decided to dedicate nearly all of my team's time to this project, and I made two new hires to help supplement the team. On March 12th, our civil engineering team, Henrico's Economic Development team, and senior Planning members all met to discuss our proposed data center plans. Again, everything was positive. They said we were byright and we were told by county staff they were supportive of data centers on this site. Every box was checked, so we signed a purchase contract and put up a non-refundable deposit on March 14th. By that point, we had committed more than \$700,000 of costs towards the project, a significant cost for a small business like us. We submitted our full plan of development on April 28th and with a date of approval scheduled for June 25th. Henrico then posted this draft ordinance May 1st with this meeting scheduled for today, two weeks later. As I reflect on the process, I can't help but think, what should I have done differently? Why didn't Henrico County just come out and say they don't want any data center development outside of White Oak? There were so many opportunities with so many different departments in Henrico that we met and we were always given assurances that our property could move forward. If this zoning ordinance goes through, it's not merely the cost we spent on the project. The hardest part will be the difficult resource decisions I'm forced to make. We're a small company that can only afford to work on one or two projects at a time. For the last six months and for the foreseeable future, this project was it. As heads of law firms, churches, and businesses, I know you understand how important it is for the survival of your organizations to be able to work with counterparties that you can trust.

1148 1149 1150

1105

1106 1107

1108

1109

1110 1111

1112

1113

1114

1115 1116

1117 1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129 1130

1131 1132

1133 1134

1135 1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146 1147

Mr. Schmitt -

Just running close, Mr. Wagner.

1151 1152

11531154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

Mr. Wagner - Okay. Thank you. I'm concerned that the zoning ordinance as it is currently written sets a dangerous precedent not just for this district and not just for data center developers for but for any business looking to do, for any business looking to come to Henrico. I believe the solution for this is simple and low-impact. As other counties have done in their recent ordinances, allow for grandfathering for projects that have already submitted plans of development to remain by right. My understanding is that we are the only plan of development awaiting approval outside of the overlay district. We are happy to comply with all of the new requirements in this ordinance, but a provisional use permit process would be potentially devastating for our business. Thank you and we look forward to a successful partnership with the county.

1161 1162 1163

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you, Mr. Wagner. Next speaker, Ricky Dobson.

1164 1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177 1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

Mr. Dobson -Good evening, everyone. My name is Ricky Dobson. I'm a member of the Centra team, who my coworkers just spoke with you just now, who are hoping to maintain our by-right status on our data center development located behind the Fareva pharmaceutical facility off of Darbytown Road. I'm a civil engineer with much of my experience in data center development. Our land use attorney at Walsh Colucci Lubeley & Walsh P.C., who were heavily involved in the zoning ordinance amendments in Fairfax, Loudoun, Stafford and Fauquier, wrote the following letter to the Henrico Board of Supervisors that I would like to read out loud for you here today, dated May 14th, 2025. "Dear Board of Supervisors, this firm represents Centra Logistics, the owner of certain property in Henrico County, which it purchased for use as a data center as is currently allowed by right but will be changed if the Board adopts the proposed ordinance as recommended by county staff. We write to encourage the Board to adopt transitional rules, also known as grandfathering language, where projects or site plans and other plans of development have been submitted and are under review but have not yet been formally approved. Adopting such transitional rules in this circumstance is neither controversial nor uncommon. Within the past two years, the Fairfax County, Loudoun County, Stafford County and Fauquier County Boards of Supervisors have all enacted changes to their ordinances relating to data centers and in each instance also adopted grandfathering language protecting the rights of those who relied in good faith on existing ordinance provisions. Applicants for proposed developments, whether they are for data centers, office parks, retail shopping centers, residential communities or other uses, act in good faith and expend significant sums of money and justified reliance on the ordinances and regulations adopted by the Board. In our experience, in many jurisdictions through Virginia, it often takes months, if not a year or more, for site plans and other plans of development to be reviewed and approved after they are filed. Changing the applicable zoning ordinance provisions during the time in which plans have been submitted and are currently under review, without adopting transitional rules exempting those plans, could create significant consequences including uncertainty over the ability to obtain approvals. making it difficult or impossible to obtain financing, creating a cloud over further investment in the county and the potential of costly and time consuming litigation. Adopting transitional rules as part of the proposed ordinance would not only avoid these potential consequences but would also signal to the community that the Board will not simply pull the rug out from underneath land use applicants who have, in good faith, expended significant resources and justifiable reliance on longstanding ordinance provisions. Thank you for the consideration on this matter." That's the end of the letter but I just wanted to add in one note at the end, that other jurisdictions have added grandfathering clauses to their amendment proposals on the night of the Board of Supervisors hearing. Thank you.

1204 Ms. Roundtree -

Thank you, Mr. Dobson. Next speaker, Stewart Goodwin.

1205 1206

1207 1208

1209 1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216 1217

1218

1219

1220

1221 1222

1223

1224

1225 1226

1227 1228

1229 1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238 1239

1240 1241

1242

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202 1203

> Ms. Goodwin -Good evening. I'm not a businessperson. I'm not looking to put a development or a data center in Henrico or Varina. I'm a Varina resident, been one for 37 years. My name's Stewart Goodwin. I live at 401 White Oak Road. First, I'd like to say thank you to the county for deciding to implement the new overlay district. It's a good start. However, more needs to be done to stop the pollution of the areas surrounding the tech park and encroachment on the residential and agricultural properties already in place. In reading your suggested amendments to the current policies, I would recommend the following. Under buffers, you state a buffer of at least 100 feet to include vegetation equivalent to a TB 50 should be utilized to screen the data center from adjacent residentially zoned properties. I'm assuming TB means tight buffer, meaning one would be unable to see through the buffer. The wording of that amendment is a suggestion. From prior experience, we have learned that companies will take advantage of this lax requirement and allow the newly planted vegetation to die, causing the county to incur the cost of replacement. I believe it should state shall in place of should, and that language should be included to state the developer or landowner will be responsible for the maintenance and cost of this buffer with some form of monetary penalty if they fail to do so. It should also read the tight buffer shall be 100 feet instead of 50. Anywhere in the amendment where it refers to residentially zoned properties should be changed to state residentially and agriculturally zoned property since the vast majority of the land in Varina is zoned agricultural even though it contains a residence. I would like to think that the planners, when reviewing proposals for a data center, would research the adjoining land to determine the zoning of the land and whether it is occupied by a residence. However, from my personal experience, I was accused of violating residential zoning even though my land is and has always been zoned agricultural. Changing reference to residentially zoned properties in number seven, under recommended setbacks, to any adjacent lot lines would prevent the data centers from encroaching on any properties with shared lot lines. Next, light pollution. This is not addressed anywhere in the amendments. The ability of us in Varina to enjoy looking at the night sky is being stripped away by the development of the tech park and development in general. I've lived on my current property for 20 years and it always amazed me, the beauty of the night sky and the billions of stars that can be seen with the naked eye. That is being stripped away. Just recently, I went to look at the sky in the west and could not see many stars at all. I realized it's from the light pollution from the tech park. There are many ways to reduce or eliminate light pollution downward facing lights, warmer bulbs being used in fixtures, motion detector lights that only illuminate when there is movement. There is no reason for all of the lights to shine at night in the tech park if there is no one around. This reduction of light pollution should be addressed up front and be a required part of the amendment. And finally, groundwater

pollution. While there is mixed data as to whether or not data centers pollute groundwater. the one thing I know is that many of the people living east of tech park are having their wells tested and the results are coming back positive or PFAS, also known as for forever chemicals that will never go away. While I'll acknowledge that there are many sources for PFAS, it is disturbing to learn that fish from the White Oak Swamp and the Chickahominy River are now contaminated and not safe to eat. My question to you is, while there is minimal infrastructure in the Varina District for county water, what long-term steps is the county taking to ensure that our drinking water will be safer to drink now and in the future? I realize the county is providing filtration systems to homes that test positive for PFAS. 

Mr. Schmitt - Ma'am, just FYI, getting close.

Ms. Goodwin - Okay. What they will need to meet certain they will need to meet a certain threshold and what is that threshold? What happens when we go to sell our homes and people won't purchase them because of the threat of contaminated wells? How is the county going to help us when out then? When does the county plan to install the infrastructure for drinking water in areas that don't currently have it? Are you waiting for a contractor to absorb the infrastructure cost? What is the area, what about the areas where there is no room for large subdivisions and the homes are on wells? While I'm against data centers, I am more against industrial plants. I guess we need to choose the lesser of two evils but the county needs to be more aggressive in the regulation of encroachment upon areas that are zoned residential as well as areas that appear to be residential when they are in fact zoned agricultural.

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you, Ms. Goodwin.

1269 Mr. Schmitt - Thank you, ma'am.

1271 Ms. Roundtree - Thank you.

Mr. Nelson - Quick, Madam Chair, a quick question. Ms. Goodwin, did you, you don't have any physical copies, do you, of that?

1276 Ms. Goodwin - I can leave this with you.

1278 Mr. Nelson - I don't know what's the best way for all of us to get those comments.

1281 Ms. Goodwin - I can...

1283 Mr. Nelson - Maybe e-mail it.

1285 Ms. Goodwin - I can e-mail it to you and then you can [inaudible]

Mr. Nelson - Yes. You e-mail it to me and then I'll disseminate it to everybody else. Thank you.

1	1289		
	1290	Ms. Goodwin -	Okay.
	1291		
	1292	Mr. Nelson -	Question for you, Mr. Newby. If, Mr. Newby, if you, let's say
	1293		s say, let's say it's a buffer change. So, if we want to change a
	1294	buffer line from 100 to 150	), is that an amendment change?
	1295		
	1296	Mr. Newby -	In my opinion, that wouldn't be so material and fundamental
	1297		would require readvertising and the Board, or the Planning
	1298		nend and approve such a change this evening without a further
	1299	hearing.	
	1300	Mr. Nalasa	That are smooth to take
	1301	Mr. Nelson -	That one would be too –
	1302	Mr. Nowby	Voc. I think that's a good example of one that's not necessarily
	1303 1304	Mr. Newby -	Yes. I think that's a good example of one that's not necessarily ew restriction that it would require readvertising. What to me
	1304		g is if you created a wholly separate overlay district or included
	1306	different properties in the	
	1307	amorem properties in the	overlay district [maddible].
	1308	Mr. Nelson -	Well, what if we just took the overlay district off? Would
	1309	that?	The state of the s
	1310		
	1311	Mr. Newby -	That would also fundamentally change the proposal.
	1312		
	1313	Mr. Nelson -	Fundamentally change it. Okay.
	1314		
	1315	Mr. Newby -	Because the overlay is fundamental to the proposal.
	1316	Mr. Nalasa	Olema There I are a
	1317	Mr. Nelson -	Okay. Thank you.
	1318 1319	Ms. Goodwin -	I have one question. What about putting a moratorium on
	1319		ta center applications until you guys work this out since there is
	1321		? Why can't there be a moratorium and not approve anything
	1322	going forward until this is i	
	1323	going forward drian time to a	n place.
	1324	Ms. Roundtree -	Thank you, ma'am.
	1325		,
	1326	Ms. Goodwin -	Because this appears to me that you guys are being rushed.
	1327		
	1328	Ms. Roundtree -	Thank you, ma'am. Thank you. Next speaker is Aileen Rivera.
	1329		
	1330	Ms. Rivera -	Good evening. My name is Aileen Rivera. I live at 1200
	1331		hank you to the Board of Supervisors and the Planning
	1332		nis subject. I know it's not easy. There's a lot to be done. What
	1333		u take your time in making these decisions because this is not
	1334	new. And it doesn't matter	how many times people say, well, "grandfathered." We've been
el la			

talking about this. But the county promised. But the county has made mistakes. The past Boards have made mistakes, and it's never going to be perfect. But we do have the opportunity to have conversations. We do have the opportunity to talk about this and come up with standards of development that honor not just the land but also the residents. We know that data centers are a tremendous burden on ratepayers. And that is something that, even though this is not an EJ issue, in the east end, we do have a lot of working families, and we have low income, and we have to take in account what that means for the finances of our of our community. We also have the environmental impacts. Just looking, I don't know how long it took for the Planning Commission to put together this analysis, but I just finished it up today and I was really disappointed. I know everybody works hard at it. There's not any one stop solution. But we need to talk more about this. I don't think that there should be a vote done on this tonight because that was put out two weeks ago, and if I can ask in the audience, I just finished it up today. Who read the whole analysis in the audience? I mean, did anybody get a chance to read this, the whole thing? Did residents get a chance to? And then can we have that conversation? I feel like we're being rushed, you're being rushed, and then it needs to stop. We need to really think about this and do something good because we have the opportunity. And just because things were grandfathered in the past it doesn't mean I'm against data centers. I know we need government, military, education, and medical needs data centers. But we need to vet these data centers correctly. My rules at my house with my kids were always like whenever friends come over, whatever you do in your house, that's your house. This is the rules in this house. And what I'm asking the county is to make those rules in our house. You can't say, I heard here tonight, well. You know, when it comes to environmental impacts, well, there are state and federal regulations. Well, obviously it doesn't work because look what's happened with PFAS, look what happened with ETO. The county wasn't paying attention at the time. I mean county agencies. So we can't trust that they're going to do that. We need to put our foot down in who comes into our home and how things are going to be run and this is not to everybody but let's vet them. Let's be let's be discriminatory as to like, is this a really a good thing that the community wants? The other thing real quick. EDA had all these meetings with the...

1365 1366 Mr. Schmitt -

About 30 seconds [inaudible].

Ms. Rivera - ...with the businesses and I don't see EDA coming out to the public and going, Three Chopt, what do you want for your community? Varina, what do you want for your community, I don't see EDA doing that. How about if EDA come talk to us? Come talk to the community and see what the community wants? So, I appreciate your time. I appreciate that you please listen your constituents individually and to please, like Stewart said, take a moratorium on this one. It pays off. Thank you.

1373 1374 1375

1376

1377

1378

1379

1380

1335

1336

1337

1338

1339

1340

1341

1342

1343

1344

1345

1346

1347

1348

1349

1350

1351

1352

1353

1354

1355

1356

1357

1358

1359

1360

1361

1362

1363

1364

1367

1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you, Ms. Rivera. I'm going to interject here just very briefly because it is I don't know if ironic is the right word. Sad maybe is another way. But the thing is that the timeline is in part because of immediate action is what we kept hearing from our folks. Is that fair to say, colleagues? That we kept, we kept hearing that folks wanted to have the data center issue addressed quickly, sooner rather than later. And so it is, I don't know, perhaps folks, you know, intentionally pushing negative narratives or

false narratives to create this impression that is exactly the opposite of why we're having this meeting. It's because there was urgency that was seen to be that we, that we felt with addressing the data center issue. And it's just unfortunate that, you know that things get twisted the way that they do to make it seem like it's for an untoward reason. So, Aileen, I just, I just want to specifically speak to that, that, you know, the intention was to try to get this addressed quickly because we hear. We hear the concern. The other thing, just before the next speaker comes, Mr. Emerson, my impression of the overlay district, as far as the buildings or the developments that are in the businesses rather, that are in the overlay district. This is not loosening any standards in that district. In fact, is it not tightening the restrictions?

Mr. Emerson - Yes, ma'am. The properties within the White Oak Tech Park are covered by the design guidelines that were adopted for the property, their covenants that are recorded in 1996. Again, they've been amended a time or two over time and of course amended to bring the Atlantic Crossing/Hourigan rezoning into those as appropriate. But yes. It's, it's heightening it. The majority are probably beyond what you would consider for a provisional use permit, are already contained within those restrictive covenants on that property.

Ms. Roundtree - Okay. So, this is not granting any lesser or making it easier for any properties that are within that overlay district; is that fair to say?

Mr. Emerson - No, ma'am. No, ma'am.

Ms. Roundtree - Okay.

Mr. Emerson - Not at all. And again, the 80, 80, 85, maybe 90 percent of those properties are vested. And the ones that aren't completely vested have pending approvals within the next two, three weeks, and that completes all the property in the park essentially.

1412 Ms. Roundtree - Okay. So...

1414 Mr. Nelson -

Ms.

Ms. Roundtree - Mm, hmm.

1418 Mr. Nelson - Ms. Whitehead.

1420 Ms. Roundtree - It's Roundtree but...

Mr. Nelson - I mean, Ms. Roundtree. Sorry. Ms. Roundtree. See. I'm all messed up, Ms. Roundtree. Ms. Rivera, who I know is about as deep into preparation conversations for data centers as anybody I know. I think there's some confusion. I think if we, if we hold up, then what we do is allow data centers to keep on coming in by-right, to slow it down, to what we're doing is getting control of the process. All we're voting on

tonight is, after tonight, if we vote to support this, by-right meaning not a property that's rezoned, but if it if anyone comes in on a B-1, 2 or 3, O-1, 2 or 3, M-1, 2 or 3 property, they literally, if they go through the plan of development process, they don't need an approval by an elected body, nor is there a public hearing process. What we're doing tonight is saying, listen. We're going to slow the process down where you can't really have any more by right. It is every single data center case from now on, from this point forward, if we vote on it, has to go through that process other than the few pieces of parcels that are left inside the White Oak Tech Park. So that's what, for everyone that comes forward, and say what, you know, you can feel however you want to feel, but if you tell us to hold on, slow down, then in essence what's going to happen is there's going to be a rush of data centers that's going to come in to by-right product to find by-right property while we're waiting and they're going to be able to push their product through at this point. I've been hearing from the community that we want a say. And so, this is when we have started to get applications that come in outside of the tech park, and they're going to be all around Varina, and if we don't get a part of if we don't get control of the process, there is no public hearing and so the public won't have a part in the process. That's really what this is about toniaht.

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you. Next speaker, with that in mind, is Gray Montrose.

Ms. Montrose -Members of the Board and the Commission, my name is Gray Montrose and I'm a Varina resident. My address is 4300 Eanes Lane right here in Varina. Members, while I appreciate any effort to regulate the polluting, exploitative data center industry, I wish to raise two concerns. First, this ordinance proposes to concentrate byright data center development in Varina. This ordinance cheerfully describes a vision of over 3,000 acres of data centers, much of it taken up by box-car-sized diesel generators spewing fumes and exhaust in our community which serve as backup power for these warehouse-sized buildings. And it is not limited to what's already permitted. The ordinance explicitly references more to come in the, quote, region beyond the White Oak Technology Park boundaries. Just to be clear, there is, as you've noted, no public process for a by-right development inside those boundaries here in Varina. Public process for the other districts, public process for me, but not for thee. It cannot seem other than a reflexive gasp, a clutching of pearls by the Board members who don't live in Varina, who realized after the Azalea proposal that data centers can and will take full advantage of by-right opportunities. We can't have data centers in River Mill or Mooreland Farms, on Monument Avenue and in Short Pump. No. The places where data centers belong are in Varina with the dumps, trucking centers, powerplants and other industrial development that proportionally pays the bills for the rest of the county. When we say patterns of development are inherited, think about who your predecessors are on this Board and what it means to perpetuate systemic inequalities. You either continue in patterns put in place by members in a different time, with different understandings of which communities they served, or you change them. You, members, made this industrial concentration and now you propose to contain the cancer you made to the detriment of the people of this community while sharing the benefits that tax revenue across all five districts. concentrating the harms and sharing the benefits. You could simply eliminate by-right data centers, full stop, understanding that maybe there are enough of one thing in one

1427

1428

1429

1430

1431

1432

1433

1434

1435

1436

1437

1438

1439

1440

1441

1442

1443 1444

1445 1446

1447

1448

1449

1450

1451

1452

1453

1454

1455

1456

1457

1458

1459

1460

1461

1462

1463

1464

1465

1466

1467

1468

1469

1470

1471

place. Instead, you propose to allow by-right data centers here but not where you live. I ask you to look the residents of Varina in the face and say, not in my backyard, but it's fine for yours. Sorry if your kids have asthma, sorry if your mother has breast cancer, sorry if your parents have heart disease. Not me and mine. Second, this proposal represents another broken promise to the people of Varina. 25 years ago, you proposed, you promised that the White Oak Tech Park would be contained, buffered. You'd never know it was there. And that turned out to be a lie. The data centers aren't buffered by offices and neighborhoods. They're just a sad strip of sickly trees from our homes. They aren't contained. They're expanding across Route 60 and in this so-called region. Why should we trust that this time it's a real limit? Worse, why should we trust that a proposal full of words like consider and examine and encourage is going to have any actual teeth? I urge this Board to consider its role in concentrating on pollution in a single area, in a single place, in a single community in the context of a legacy of Boards in the past that have gleefully ignored the health, safety and welfare of the community for the guick dollar. Dollar in pockets countywide while the suffering and the pollution are here in our home. It's a real shame that this Board thrusts something we haven't seen onto the community and says, you want action. Take what we give you and be grateful we did anything at all. Nothing stops this Board from being clear that you are directing staff to develop amendments to address concerns brought forward tonight, even as we appreciate some...

Mr. Schmitt -Just...

1473

1474 1475

1476

1477

1478 1479

1480

1481

1482

1483 1484

1485 1486

1487

1488

1489

1490

1491

1492 1493

1494

1495 1496

1497

1502

1507

1508

1509 1510

1511

1512

1513

1514 1515

1516 1517

1518

Ms. Montrose -...any regulation at all.

1498 Mr. Schmitt -Getting close, ma'am. 1499

1500 Ms. Montrose -Thank you. 1501

Mr. Schmitt -

30 seconds. Thank you very much. 1503

1504 Ms. Roundtree -I have the next speaker as Brock Saunders. 1505 1506

Mr. Saunders -My name is Brox Saunders. I grew up in Richmond when my family moved here from New Jersey in 1989. I've had the pleasure of living in three counties, in Goochland throughout my childhood, the City of Richmond as a young adult, and I've been a Henrico resident for the last several years. I completely appreciate the intent of the proposed ordinance. I give the county and this Board tremendous credit for embracing the idea of thoughtful growth. In my conversations in the business community, Henrico is repeatedly cited as a place to invest due to its transparency, fairness and thoughtfulness with which it operates. Now, this proposal would retroactively require a provisional use permit. My request tonight is to grandfather this Centra project that you heard about earlier. David and his team are local. They own a small business. They're not a faceless corporation. If people think the rules can change retroactively, it has implications across all future developments and investments in the county. And then a personal note. Of these Centra guys, they're friends of mine. They're wonderful human

beings. Their parents are great, their wives are great, their kids are great. These are the type of people that we want to encourage to invest in this community. Thank you.

1521

1522 Ms. Roundtree - Thank you, Mr. Saunders. All right. That exhausts the folks that have signed up. So, if you are here and would like to speak in person, ...

1524

1525 Mr. Schmitt - WebEx. WebEx?

1526 1527

Ms. Roundtree - I'll go to WebEx next. If you're here in person and would like to line up, please do so. And you can just for efficiency's sake, you can go ahead and line up. Just give some distance between yourself and the speaker on the microphone. All right. We're going to start over here. Please don't forget to identify yourself. Thank you.

1530 1531 1532

1533

1534

1535

1536

1537

1538

1539

1540

1541

1542

1543

1544

1545

1546

1547

1548

1549

1550

1551

1552

1553

1554

1528

1529

Mr. McGee -Good evening, everyone. My name is Brendan McGee. I live off White Oak Road. I'm here tonight on behalf of my family and the residents of the White Oak area who are concerned about the future developments within the White Oak Technology Park. My chief complaint is in regard to the health and safety of my family. With PFAS water warnings for the White Oak Swamp issued by the Virginia Department of Health, we've lost confidence that the citizens' health is being prioritized above industrial development in our district. Many of us rely on well drinking water and we cannot afford to have our water poisoned by runoff from industrial complexes nearby. We're also concerned with the increasing rate of commercial vehicles on our roads heading into the area. These 18-wheelers and large apparatus are a hazard on the narrow, windy roads around our houses. Many worry that it's only a matter of time until this causes a fatal accident and we're also concerned as they're incredibly loud as they transit our small, quiet roads. Many citizens on the White Oak Road complain that 18-wheelers on their way to the tech park routinely ignore signs to stay off this rural backroad. Many homes and farms on White Oak and Elko Road were also told that a tree buffer would be kept in between us and the properties being developed. As Stewart and other neighbors said, at night these homes have now exchanged views of woods with heavy, bright streetlights, both from streetlights and from the sides of these buildings and the developments. We need real buffers between this heavy industry and the land zoned agriculturally and residentially right next to it. More important than any mall, library, school or business, I care that Henrico Planning Commission does their absolute best to ensure that my drinking water, air quality, and roads are safe for me and my family. I don't want to raise my family in an area that doesn't prioritize the health of its citizens above developments. Thank you.

1555 1556 1557

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you, sir. We'll go to this side over here.

1558 1559

1560 1561

1562 1563

1564

Mr. Huynh - Hello. My name's Andrew. I live at 4303 Penick Road in the Brooklyn District. So, I wanted to take some time to appreciate the Planning committee for coming up with some recommendations. But before I get into that, so I also read some of the resources and data centers consume 4.4 percent of the total electricity in the U.S. but 25% of Virginia's electricity. Analysts project that energy consumption from data centers will triple from their current usage by 2040. The JLARC report also says that the

estimated demands for electricity cannot be met with our current clean energy infrastructure and would be tough to meet with increased power generation through additional clean energy projects. So, one question I have is, how do these da, how are we currently powering these data centers? And how does the Board plan to source additional electricity to support the operation of additional data centers? That's one concern that I have. And then separately, data centers consume a lot of water, and as we have learned from the recent water crisis in January, we have a fairly precarious access to clean water. The staff analyst, staff analysis report indicated that data centers in the county use .5 to 1 million gallons per day out of the 30 to 40 million gallons per day for the total county. However, I think most data centers only track scope 1 water consumption, which is the water used to cool the physical hardware in the facilities, but don't track or report on scope 2 water consumption, which is the water consumed to generate electricity to power the plants. Nationwide, on-site water consumption for data centers is projected to increase 200 to 400 percent of their current levels by 2028. So, with all this in mind, how does the county plan on measuring scope 1 and scope 2 water usage for these data centers? And that's all I have.

Ms. Roundtree -

1565

1566

1567

1568

1569

1570

1571

1572

1573

1574

15751576

1577

1578

1579

1580 1581

1582 1583

1584 1585

1586

1587

1588

1589 1590

1591

1592

1593 1594

1595

1596

1597

1598

1599

1600

1601

1602

1603

1604

1605

1606 1607

1608 1609

1610

Thank you. Oh. Nobody's...

Ms. Walker -My name is Kathy Walker. I live at 201 Riva Ridge Circle at the corner of Portugee, Red Coach and Riva Ridge. First of all, I'd like to say that you, as a Board, work for us. We are your employer. You are my, and the rest of the people here and in the county, you are our employees, and I think the county has forgotten that. We have had no say-so in anything that has happened at this end of the county. I have been complaining since Jim Donati has been in office regarding the truck traffic, 18 wheelers, dump trucks and any other type of these heavy equipment trucks. We have had them. They get stuck at the corner of Elko and Portugee Road. This week, Monday to be exact. we have had beams, that's steel beams, on 18-wheelers to come through. We have had cement trucks. We have had gravel trucks loaded with gravel going back and forth. This is so inexcusable that we have had to put up with this mess for the last 20 years and I think something needs to be done about this. But I am going to tell you one thing. This is the last complaint that I'm going to say regarding this. Then I will march myself up there to that gate and ask them to stop construction or do something about their truck traffic that has been in our neighborhoods. There's four little girls that live next door to me and they play at the back of their property. If one of those trucks or any other vehicle gets loose or comes speeding through there and comes through my yard, it's going to kill these little girls. In addition, there's the speed limit. We need speed bumps or reduced speed limit on this road. I mean, it's ridiculous. Even the cars running up and down these roads are running 50 and 60 miles an hour. And motorcycles, I'm surprised they haven't gone splat up against some of the trees down there. And it's inexcusable that I've been complaining this long and nothing has been done about it. No tickets that I know of. I think the police need to become there because they've been AWOL except the ones that live in the area. I want to see tickets given to these people. I mean, something's got to be done. We should not have to put up with this mess. And barriers, there's no barriers up between these plants. Not what, not one piece of barrier. You're running the animals now out the woods up in our neighborhoods. The deer and everything else. I don't want to see any construction past Technology Boulevard hitting east on Portugee Road. That's my opinion.

1614 Ms. Schmitt - Just getting close, ma'am. Sorry. 30 seconds.

1616 Ms. Walker - So I want to see, like I said, this is the last time I say something. I will march myself up there to those construction sites. So I want, I'm going to give you a week to put a stop to this because it's inexcusable that we have to live in a neighborhood where they park by your house and everything else. Thank you.

1621 Ms. Roundtree - Are there any

1623 Mr. Schmitt - [inaudible]

Ms. Roundtree - I'm sorry. Oh. I'm sorry. Okay. Didn't see you back there. Go ahead, sir.

Mr. Hassmer - My name is Steven Hassmer. I'm a resident of Sandston. I have a couple of observations. The first one is that it seems that, given some of the comments that have been made previously, that before any action is taken, there ought to be a real plan about giving something back to the impacted community area. Particularly to the lower income people that may be living in that area, whether it might be a reduced proffer for as far as the cost of their electric bill or some kind of compensation for the problems that are being impacted by this area. And I would make that suggestion to the company and to the Board.

 Ms. Roundtree - As the next speaker is coming, I just want to reiterate that the proposal that is before the joint Board is to be more restrictive on data centers and that's why you've got folks that are speaking from the data center community that are asking for action to be taken on their side. Because they think that it's, they're too much; right? So these actions are in direct response to hearing from the concerns about the perceived proliferation of data centers and this is our attempt to have the public speak its voice and to see that we are taking action to limit it. I'm not sure where the narrative got derailed but this, to be clear, is about limiting the ability of data centers to develop, which it is right now, by right. This is putting restrictions on their automatic ability by right and I don't want to, I hope I'm not making that oversimplified. Is that a fair way to say Mr. Emerson?

Mr. Emerson - Yes, ma'am. That's, you're exactly correct. What we're talking about here is the overlay on the White Oak Tech Park, which has its own set of restrictive covenants, and other regulations that govern its development. And then anything outside of White Oak Tech Park would require a provisional use permit on properties that are already zoned correctly. If they're not zoned correctly, you've got a rezoning request and a provisional use permit, so there are several steps outside. But currently, correctly zoned properties have the by-right use. So this provisional use permit would be adding another layer so you could hold public hearings and take public input on any proposal and consider its appropriateness for that particular site.

1657 1658

1659

1660

1661

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you. I just say that again because I know how it feels to feel like you're not heard. I know how it feels like you're up against a big governmental machine and it doesn't matter. And I just want to say that while we're not you know, we don't claim to get it perfect, we are doing this in an effort to address what we have heard about limiting data center usage. Thank you. You may. Go ahead.

1662 1663 1664

Mr. O'Brien - Members of the Commission, members of the Board. My name is Michael O'Brien. I'm a resident at 4300 Eanes Lane in Varina.

1665 1666 1667

Ms. Roundtree - Get a little closer, sir.

1668 1669

Mr. O'Brien - Oh gosh. Makes me feel awkward. Oh. There you go.

1670 1671

Ms. Roundtree - Not too much.

16721673

1674

1675

1676

1677

1678 1679

1680

1681

1682

1683

1684

1685

1686

1687

1688

1689 1690

1691 1692

1693

1694

1695

1696

1697

1698

1699

1700

1701

1702

Mr. O'Brien -I think I'd first like to point out, I think part of the concentration and recognize that it's making things more restrictive, but I think the comments by Mr. Emerson and discussion between the Boards, and such make it very convincing and a very convincing argument as well as the report which was actually guite excellent. Thank you to the authors. That this has minimal impact on business, 80 to 90 percent has already been done. It is affecting less than 10 percent of the stuff out there so why does it have to be in an overlay and why can't we just change the zoning code for the whole thing? And that would allay many comments here and frustrations about east versus west is that while you are being restrictive, I think you're being permissive in a certain area and the comments here today made sure that's a very small area and have a very small impact on business. Regarding business, starting out in terms of sunk cost, the first three speakers today. The first speaker said they've spent over \$300,000, second and third, fourth, sixth speaker said they spent over about \$700,000. The median home price in this area is \$365,000 which means any homeowner here has essentially spent more and has more sunk cost in this than the first person who spoke. In terms of the second person who spoke, well it's two homeowners now have more sunk cost in this area. Six months working in this project, my neighbor has spent more than six months trying to perfect his lawn. So trying to put things in terms of perspective, about sunk cost, about those who live here and those who want to manufacture here, is worthwhile to think about. Lastly, I also want to echo that industry will sustain this. There's a lot of comments about things that won't be sustainable, whether it's tax revenue, whether it's burdensome. I mean, we can think about how many millions of dollars the Hourigan project made on the first day their zoning went through, Industry will sustain it and I think that's a concern that should be shed. Talking specifically about the proposal that's before today, again, I think it's very well written. One of the things that they pointed out was air quality and said, oh, DEQ will take care of that. I worry a little bit and I think that we need to take care of our own as none of the suggestions after that dealt with air quality. The closest air quality monitor from where we're standing right here in the MathScience Center. The other one is at Shirley Plantation. Actually, the closest air quality center of any kind is at my house. It's the little dinky purple there. So, we can look and say, well. These don't impact

communities. They don't the air quality might not be that bad. But the fact is that at this point, we do not know. So, taking some sort of thing on board, some sort of baseline knowledge about what is going on right here, is critical. Because we can say, oh. They don't really change that much. They didn't really change that much off of 495 or they didn't really change that much here. We frankly just don't know. So I would like to take that into consideration as well. Thank you very much.

1710 Ms. Roundtree - I'm sorry. Sir did you give your name just so that we make sure...

1713 Mr. O'Brien - Yeah. I believe I think I might have. It's Mike O'Brien, 4300 1714 Eanes Lane, Varina District.

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you.

Mr. Nelson - Madam Chair, can I just, one comment. This is bigger than just the White Oak Tech Park, I just want to make sure that we understand that this is not just restricting every single data center case that comes forward after tonight, if this is approved, has to go through a PUP, provisional use permit, which means that they will be approved, denied, denied, approved case-by-case basis. If we don't, then again, if it's by-right, meaning if you want to, if you're an applicant and you purchase a piece of land that is already zoned, it does not have a public hearing process, and the Board of Supervisors does not vote on it. So this is bigger than just the White Oak Tech Park. I understand the concern that most of the land in the tech park is spoken for. But we still have the huge district of Varina that is still mostly agricultural, open land, open space. It gives us the opportunity to be able to look at each case, case-by-case, and not do any by-right zoning. So, we're not just talking about the White Oak Tech Park. I know it's easy to think that this is just about the tech park but it's not. This is about Varina. This is about the district, Varina just a whole. Not just the tech park.

Ms. Roundtree - We're going to go over here just to spice it up a little.

Unknown Speaker - Well, he's been waiting a while so just let him go and I'll go last.

Ms. Roundtree - Well, all right. There you go.

Mr. Condlin -Good evening, members of the Board, members of the Planning Commission. My name is Andy Condlin from the Tuckahoe District. I apologize. I did sign up and got an e-mail and confirmation. But I request that you take my comments as they are intended. Like you, I want what's best for Henrico County as a whole. I also have a unique perspective in that I used to work for Henrico County. I was trained about the Henrico County way. I have practiced land use law for over 30 years in Henrico County. I of course raised my family and have been a citizen of Henrico for 30 years. I speak not tonight opposed to the substance of what is being proposed. I understand the desire to have a public dialogue about creating guardrails for development of an industry that has anticipated growth and discuss the potential impacts of that growth. It makes good policy sense to have that discussion. My concern, however, is this process, which is highly unusual, in my experience, for 30 years in Henrico County. From the date of the Board resolution, there's been seven weeks until tonight. Five of those weeks were given to staff in order to investigate, study other jurisdictions, study a very complex industry and make a recommendation as to what is best for the county. The public has had literally two weeks, two weeks from when the ordinance was first made available, to understand the impacts that are going to come from this, good and bad. And I think you're seeing some of the frustration that comes with that. Typically, there's an opportunity to have review and comment. The staff, when they pass, when they're looking at a new ordinance will go to the industry itself and ask for input to see what are the impacts on the industry. And they'll go to the community. The Comprehensive Plan right now, we're going through a comprehensive review of the Comprehensive Plan and they're going out to the community for that very reason. Instead, we are given the public hearing to have the dialogue which, for all good intentions, this is not a dialogue. This is a person speaking and then another. There's no give and take. There's no discussion of ideas and how to make this a better example. The R-5B ordinance took over six months with multiple hearings and work sessions by both bodies. Not since 2002 has there been a joint meeting between the Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission, and in that particular ordinance, it took over seven months. Here, we have seven weeks. The intent is good, the substance is good, but we need to take a look at what we're trying to do. And no matter how good staff is, and your staff is very good, there's always room for improvement. There are a number of items I could talk to that merit I believe a discussion that I can't get across in just four minutes. But that is why we have community meetings and that is with respect to example of the Comprehensive Plan which has not been talked about a lot today, tonight. We talked about the ordinance. But if you think about the Comprehensive Plan, the county is already going through a comprehensive review. Why is that not this particular part of that being made a part of that comprehensive review? When you look at a specific use, you're having other impacts on other parts of the Comprehensive Plan that may be unintentional. So my ask for you tonight is to take the time, whether it be 60 or 90 or 30 days, but to take the time to make sure that we get this done right. When you rush an ordinance, an ordinance becomes set in stone. But when you rush an ordinance, mistakes happen. I'm happy to give my opinions, as I said, on matters that need to be addressed that maybe merit further discussion. When you rush an ordinance, there are unintended consequences. You've heard about two specific property owners that have come forward to say that they feel like that, they're not saying that this is too much, Ms. Roundtree. They're actually saying that they're being treated unfairly. And that's one of the things that an ordinance can do that Henrico County and the Henrico County way wants to treat all of its citizens, business and residents, fairly. They're also being treated with similarly situated property dissimilarly. And that you've heard that from this type of the specifics with respect to the properties. These particular items could be addressed easily. For example, in Loudoun County, they said, if you're going to be grandfathered, you needed to be, have application in by the time of the first public hearing, even though the ordinance was approved many months later. So to address Mr. Nelson's concerns, you could set tonight as the date. We have a citizen, a business citizen, that has come forward, at the request of the county, to invest money into the county and yet are being

1749

1750 1751

1752

1753

1754 1755

1756

1757

1758

1759

1760

1761

1762

1763

1764

1765

1766

1767

1768 1769

1770

1771

1772

1773 1774

1775

1776

1777 1778

1779

1780

1781

1782

1783

1784

1785

1786

1787

1788

1789

1790 1791

17921793

told that the rules in the middle of the game are being changed and you have to go through an additional public process. So in conclusion, I would simply ask for three things. Deferral of these matters in order to address to make sure that we're approaching them correctly. Both good and bad. Do we need more restrictions? Do we need different restrictions? Do we need to be more efficient? And to make sure that we're doing the right thing for all of the citizens of Henrico County. Number two, if a deferral is not appropriate, I do believe that it is appropriate to make sure that those projects that are already in the queue or they've already submitted a plan of development, as of today, are given priority and be able to be vested and get approved and not be subject to the ordinance. And finally, for those properties that are within the context of the overlay district, that meet the same standards as the overlay district, also be given the same, treated similarly as those that are in the White Oak Overlay District. I thank you for your time and I appreciate the opportunity to speak.

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you, Mr. Condlin. Yes, ma'am.

Ms. Woodcock - I'm Mandi Woodcock. I live in Sandburne Park and you all are going to build it no matter what. But I guess my question to you is what are you going to do back, what are you going to give back to the community? Are you going to go ahead, I've not once heard anything about, yeah, you know, as far as you're going to build your business, but I want to know what are you going to do about our drinking water? I don't hear anything about helping our environment around us at all as far as a business, as far as what you're going to put back into the community. Because you all don't live here. We do. That's all I really have to say.

 Mr. Montgomery -Good evening. John Montgomery, 2666 Kingsland Road, Varina. I'll be extraordinarily brief. I know you all find that hard to believe but I will. I will tell you that it's kind of interesting in that not so long ago we had a case involving data centers and which those of us who spoke in opposition and brought forward, I thought, some reasonable points about conservation, electricity, water and so forth, and asked for deferrals so that those things could be studied in that particular case. We're told that now is not the time for the action not by the Board, not by the Commission but by the applicant actually that pushed it through. I would encourage you not to delay when there's been years now of study and consideration of this matter. And then the other thing I think's extraordinarily important is this. At each level of government, we have an opportunity to influence things, but we can't influence everything. And so, the steps that you're taking here tonight are the things that you can do, that we can do that you can do on behalf of the county. But I also believe that we as a county, and those of us who are concerned, should continue to advocate at the state level and even at the federal level. Because the impacts particularly of electrical use and the need for generation of electrical use and transmission of that electricity is only going to become greater. And I think one of the speakers spoke about the fact there's roughly 25 percent in Virginia which is extraordinary and will continue to grow. And so that I believe will become the choke point. And we, as a county, have an opportunity, I believe to work with other counties and work with others to advocate at the state level and even the federal level, that there be some regulations, some consideration of those of that matter in particular as we go forward. So, I welcome

the effort for what we can do here at the local level and am encouraged by that. I know that there will continue to be the opportunity perhaps to amend what's done tonight if necessary in the future and I encourage the folks who are doing the development that they're doing that they participate in the process that is now being put in place. It's not so onerous that as a matter of fact, businesses go through it all the time. It's regretful that they are at this point in their process, but they can handle it. And beyond that, I encourage us to find a way to advocate at the state and federal level for additional regulation, particularly regarding electrical use. Thank you.

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you, Mr. Montgomery. Yes.

Ms. Brandi - Hello. My name is Brandi. It's not necessary that you know my address but I live in Henrico. First off, I appreciate if we never go about saying green zone data center. That is an oxymoron. We all know how much data centers water use and the drinking water used in data centers if often treated with chemicals to prevent corrosion and bacteria growth, rendering it unsuitable for human consumption or agricultural use. This means that not only are data centers consuming large quantities of drinking water but they are also effectively removing it from our local water cycle. I bought this property here because I love this land. I love the wide-open spaces. But when I bought it seven years ago, I didn't know that I was going to be poisoned by the Virginia Sterilization Center, the PFAS in the water and now the data centers. You're really ruining our land. We're smart people. We came out here because we want land and now I'm led to believe that you've rezoned all of Henrico to sell us out. I mean, we know we're getting it but we want to stop. We don't mind development, but we want responsible development. We don't want to be poisoned. And that's all.

Ms. Roundtree - Thank you. I don't think I see anybody else lined up at the mic. Okay. Go ahead. If there's anyone else after this person, go ahead and please, if you don't mind, line up.

Unknown speaker -I would like to thank Mr. Nelson for clarifying that it's just not the technology park. But I know that the environmental issue should be studied, especially the water, and then your power's going to take care of the electricity one way or the other. But as this lady said a few minutes ago, what are you going to do for us? You've got billions of development going in out there. The last time I heard is Facebook Meta ended up spending \$9 billion out there. Now that you're going to get what are you going to do for us with all that money? Tax money. That's my question to you. Are you going to reduce our taxes, our real estate taxes? Huh? I mean, what are you going to do with all that money? The data centers, I live within walking distance of them and the data centers are already there declaring more land every day. As you said, from what I understand, 90 percent of it's already taken is spoken for. Don't let this data centers go down Darbytown, Charles City or wherever they want to go. All right. The data centers are probably the best solution for not having over-development of neighborhoods and traffic and so forth and so on. But you guys are going to get a motherlode of money, and what are you going to do with it? You know, I know you reduced the tax rate two cents, so you took \$200 out of my pocket and gave me back \$20. You know, now with all this, you raise the taxes on

computer equipment to \$2100. I mean, that's a windfall because that's all that's going out there. Are you going to reduce our real estate taxes by half? Is there an answer? Are you going to reduce our real estate taxes by a quarter? What are you going to do for us?

Ms. Roundtree -Thank you. Well, I will say that, yes. That the tax rate is reduced. I will point out though that, and this is just an example. We've been accused of being unfair by reducing the tax rate; right? Like, that's what it's like sitting up here is that when action is taken to reduce a real estate tax rate, then it's, well. That's not helping people that need help either. \$60 million from the data center case last year was to build an affordable trust fund for affordable housing. I don't have a single project in Three Chopt that qualifies for that because the cost of dirt is so expensive in Three Chopt. So, where that is generally focused is in Varina so that is data center money that is going towards buying homes or helping first-time homeowners have housing. Again, just trying to maintain decorum. So I hear you and that direct question about, are we going to lower tax rates? Yes. We have. Just recognize that even that is not without criticism is the point that I'm making and there are programs directly as a result of the data fund. I'm sure Reverend Nelson could speak to it. The county manager could speak to it as well. So I just that's a very simplistic way of answering that question but it's not lost upon us that there is that tradeoff and if people are in the community where these data centers are then it absolutely is appropriate for remedies to be focused on that as well. And I have to explain that maybe to my constituents in Three Chopt to say, why aren't you doing something to, you know, build something in Three Chopt? But in any event, was there a comment here? I'm sorry. All right. Is there anybody else here that would like to speak? If not, I know we have one person on Webex. Who is handling that today? All right. Ms. Brackett

Ms. Clemmons -[Inaudible] I live at 2300 Mill Road. I just wanted to say that I noticed something interesting in the HenricoNext video that was put out recently. Quote "the county doesn't build homes or neighborhoods, grocery stores or hospitals. This is done with private development." So I just wanted to say to an earlier comment that historical zoning patterns are reflected in the [inaudible]. As the grandchild of a woman who [rode the school bus from Mill Road in Varina to Mountain Road in Glen Allen to attend Virginia Randolph Training School, those development patterns were deliberate. About the data centers. Please consider delaying your vote out until January and evaluating the impact on the east end and west end of Henrico and the known impact data centers have on electricity usage and utility bills. Residents and retail still pay utilities to Richmond, who we share water with, and it's clear Richmond has yet to resolve decades old issues with the system. Pockets have been lined but our water is still [inaudible]. Those of us who use well water, such as myself, are forced to deal with the consequences of pollution individually instead of being covered by or reimbursed by our government. As noted earlier, JLARC noted that Dominion Energy bills would increase between \$14 to \$37. This in a district where the schools receive free and reduced lunch and other families are facing an increased charge for breakfast- school breakfast and lunch. Dominion Power has been noted to have an increased reliance on fossil fuels and is backing away from its shift towards renewable energy. And again, since it was noted that some of these patterns were established in the '60s. I attended the former Henrico

1887

1888

1889 1890

1891

1892

1893

1894

1895

1896

1897

1898

1899

1900

1901 1902

1903

1904 1905

1906

1907

1908

1909

1910

1911 1912

1913 1914

1915 1916

1917

1918

1919 1920

1921 1922

1923

1924

1925

1926

1927

1928

1929

1930

1931

Central School which is now Mehfoud Elementary, Varina Elementary, John Rolfe Middle School and Varina High School. I worked at Fairfield for five years and then John Rolfe for three. I'm currently a mental health professional with a community service org and I plan to be in Henrico for the rest of my life. The open land and open space of Varina is being shut by obnoxious residential developments. Please keep data centers away from us. They don't belong here or in Charles City or in Goochland or in Hanover. The land is not isolated. It's surrounded by majority black, brown, white, working and impoverished communities. To the developers, try speaking to the people and ask them what they want and not just speaking to so-called representation. Please speak to the people. We are not scary. To those in person, please get together and exchange contact information after this meeting. Please keep getting together to study these matters as a community and coming to hear and to put forth the interest of the people. Like voting, it isn't effective to just get together once. We have to keep the conversation going so we're prepared the next time commercial interests try to overpower the people. Thank you.

Ms. Roundtree - I believe that that concludes the public comment section of this meeting. Thank you for everybody who took the time to come out and speak to this. Thank you for the civility with which you spoke. And everybody else who sent in written comments, please know that if you did send in written comments and did not speak, we all received

them and we all reviewed them. That being said, that concludes the joint public hearing and that portion of this evening is now closed. Mr. Witte, how would the Planning Commission like to proceed?

Mr. Witte - Thank you, Ms. Roundtree. Is there any discussion or questions from the Planning Commissioners before I call for motions? Hello? Is there any discussion or questions from the Planning Commission before I call for the motions?

Mr. Mackey - No. We don't have anything. Oh. I would just like to thank staff for the information they put together for us and I would like to thank all the people that came up, made their comments and showed their interest in the process. I move that we recommend the Board of Supervisors adopt the proposed amendment of the 2026 Comprehensive Plan to designate the Technology Boulevard special focus area and create development, objectives and guidelines for data centers.

Mr. Winterhoff - Second.

1970 Mr. Witte - We have a motion by Mr. Mackey, a second by Mr. Winterhoff.

1971 All in favor, say aye.

1973 Commission - Aye.

1975 Mr. Witte - Opposed? And we'll note we have one absent and one abstention.

1978 Mr. Mackey - All right.

1070			
1979	Mr. Witte -	This motion passes.	
1980 1981	IVII. VVILLE -	This motion passes.	
1981	Mr. Mackey -	Yes. All right. I'll also move that we recommend approval of	
1982		ordinance to create the WOTPA White Oak Technology Park	
		other associated changes as presented this evening.	
1984	Area Overlay District and	other associated changes as presented this evening.	
1985	Mr. Dandridge	Cocond	
1986	Mr. Dandridge -	Second.	
1987	NA - 10/itto	We have a mation by Mr. Maakov, a second by Mr. Dandridge	
1988	Mr. Witte -	We have a motion by Mr. Mackey, a second by Mr. Dandridge.	
1989	All in favor, say aye.		
1990	0	Arra	
1991	Commission -	Aye.	
1992	NA. VACAL-	Onesand Onesand and shotontian and shoot Mation	
1993	Mr. Witte -	Opposed? Once again, one abstention, one absent. Motion	
1994	passes.		
1995			
1996	NA. VACAL	Mr. Cohwitt that completes the hydrogen of the Diamina	
1997	Mr. Witte -	Mr. Schmitt, that completes the business of the Planning	
1998	Commission.		
1999	Mr. Colomoitt	Thenk you Mr Witte Thenk you to members of the Dianning	
2000	Mr. Schmitt -	Thank you, Mr. Witte. Thank you to members of the Planning	
2001	Commission and certainly I'll echo what Ms. Roundtree said. Thank you so much for the		
2002	public comment that was heard today during that public hearing. With the end of the		
2003	business of the Planning Commission, we'll now turn to the work of the Board. I want to		
2004 2005	take one quick sidenote, two quick sidenotes. One, I failed to mention a member of the media who was here and I do want to recognize Jonathan Spiers from BizSense is here.		
2005		sorry. I missed you earlier, sir. Prior to moving into the work of	
2006			
2007	the Board, it is certainly an opportune time here, after what has been two-and-a-half		
2009	hours, that I'd like to call for a quick break to allow folks on the panel here to utilize the restroom and to take a quick break for the members of the audience. If we could –		
2010	restroom and to take a qui	lok break for the members of the addience. If we could	
2010	Mr. Nelson -	What's a quick break? Five minutes?	
2012	Wii. Weiseli	Triate a quiek break: 1 100 miliates:	
2013	Mr. Schmitt -	Yeah. If we could	
2014	Will Somme	Todal. II Wo oddia	
2015	Mr. Nelson -	Okay.	
2016			
2017	Mr. Schmitt -	gather back here at 7:40 p.m. we'll gavel back in. Thank	
2018	you.	Provident and the state of the	
2019			
2020	Mr. Schmitt - Correct. We	lcome back everybody. Thank you for allowing that quick break.	
2021	I think hopefully it was enjoyed by everybody. As we transition here, please note we're		
2022	going to enter the Board of Supervisors' portion of a public hearing. So, we will hold just		
2023	that, a public hearing. I'd like to provide some context to it. Now that we've received this		
2024	Board has received the recommendations by the Planning Commission, this Board would		

like to reopen the floor for public comment with the allowing the public an additional opportunity for comment with the following notes as part of this public hearing. We took notes. We were here. We listened. We have everything you said, and we know who spoke and how long you spoke for. Please, in the interest of everyone's time, we'll limit speakers in this public hearing to two minutes, and we'll ask that comments aren't duplicative. If you already spoke and you said your comments, they are in the public record, and we heard it. This time is simply for someone who would like to speak to this body again before this case moves on to the next step which will be discussion by the Board. Again, please limit your comments to two minutes. I'll try to do my best to politely notice anybody when you're getting to about 30 seconds. And again, I'd like to remind everybody that your comments are recorded and heard from you first and there is no need to repeat them again. So, with that said, we will move into the public comment portion of this public hearing, and I will invite any speakers in the room who wish to speak to please again address the microphones on either side of the room. All right, I'm glad to see it. Everybody had their opportunity in the first one and I thank you for your efforts. Is anybody else on Webex that wishes to speak? Ma'am, I'm sorry, ma'am. Go ahead. Please stand up. If you wish to...

Ms. Klein -

Is this the data center thing?

Mr. Schmitt - Oh yeah. Same case. Just please go ahead and line up. For everyone's record, there's a Planning Commission public hearing that has occurred and this is now the Board of Supervisors public hearing, again, on the same issue. The Planning Commission heard it, made their recommendation to the Board, and now the Board will have the public hearing discussion on the item. So if you wish to speak, please do so, ma'am, and again, please state your name and your address for the record and two minutes and I'll try to be polite.

Ms. Klein - Okay. All right. Sharon Klein, 2121 Creekdale Court, Sandston, Virginia. I have sent everybody a lot of communications over the last year. So my thing is that I hope that you read and took into consideration my actionable measures that I wanted you to review for this meeting. My main concerns are going forward with the White Oak Overlay is how it will affect the community in terms of electrical power, the cooling fans creating an urban island heat index that could raise the temperature one to three degrees centigrade. And it's not about each individual data center. It's about the cumulative, you know, effect. And that cumulative effect of that and degrading the air by whatever power source that the rest of the data centers are going to be powered by. That just know this community and I love it and I hate to have to leave. Because I'm a two times breast cancer survivor and I have sinus issues. I'm doing pretty good for my age but I would hate to have to leave the community because of some not looking at the whole picture as we move forward. Thank you.

Mr. Schmitt - Thank you, ma'am. Is there anybody else in the audience that wishes to speak this evening? Is there anyone on Webex, ma'am?

Ms. Davis -

No, sir. There is no one else on Webex.

2071	M. Oslovitta Allicialis Ti	and the second of the second o	
2072	Mr. Schmitt - All right. Thank you very much. Again, I'd like to thank everybody, all 20 of		
2073		or your participation tonight and for everyone who has attended	
2074	here this evening. As we move out of public comment, we'll move into a discussion phase		
2075	of this meeting. Is there a	ny discussion or comments from my colleagues?	
2076			
2077	Mr. Rogish -	Yeah. Mr. Chair, just a couple things. One, first of all, thank	
2078	you to staff. You did put a	a lot of time and effort into this over the last I think as somebody	
2079	mentioned seven weeks.	So thank you for doing this in a quick turnaround. Thank you to	
2080	Mr. Emerson and Mr. Sehl and all of your staff. Number two, I'd like to also acknowledge		
2081	and thank Highland Springs High School for having us here today. This is kind of a big		
2082	deal, you know, for us to all be together and just want to thank Highland Springs High		
2083		ore thing too, the woman, I didn't catch her name, from Varina	
2084		tive Chief Burnett, maybe if you could send some police down	
2085		ards, we can write a few tickets out there for you, ma'am. So,	
2086	thank you, Executive Chief. Other than that, I'm going to hold any other comments until		
2087	the end. Thanks.	or. Other than that, i'm going to hold diff other comments that	
2088	the end. Thanks.		
2089	Mr. Schmitt -	Thank you, Mr. Rogish. Anyone else? Just	
	WII. SCHITILL -	Thank you, wit. Rogish. Allyone else? Just	
2090	Mr. Nolone	This is the and right?	
2091	Mr. Nelson -	This is the end; right?	
2092	14 0 1 34	V-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	
2093	Mr. Schmitt -	Yeah. I was going to say, this as a reminder, this is it. After	
2094		there would either need to be a motion on the item one way or	
2095	the other. Or		
2096			
2097	Mr. Nelson -	So did you want toI was going to make a motion so I was	
2098	going to hold my commer	nt to right before the motion. So	
2099			
2100	Mr. Schmitt -	Go ahead [inaudible]	
2101			
2102	Mr. Nelson -	I mean, anybody else have anything to say	
2103			
2104	Mr. Rogish -	l'll finish [inaudible]	
2105			
2106	Mr. Nelson -	once I get the motion, I'm ready to roll.	
2107			
2108	Mr. Schmitt -	Go for it.	
2109			
2110	Mr. Nelson -	All right. Well, I don't have it yet so guess we'll just sit here	
2111	and wait. No one has any	rthing else to say on the Board?	
2112	,		
2113	Mr. Schmitt -	No.	
2114	Antonios Services de Soute		
2115	Mr. Nelson -	All right. Well, let me go ahead with my comment. Misty, are	
2116	you done?	, a. i.g From, for the go allowed when the confinionic whole, allo	
2110	you dono:		

Ms. Roundtree -I would just say that as I was preparing to come here this evening, one of the questions I had was whether the proposed method of addressing what I think we are fairly open at this point; right? I'll just, I mean, I'll just say I am very concerned with slowing down data centers. The question in my mind was, what is the best way to do it? And is specifically, is the overlay district the best way to do that? And I came in sincerely wanting to hear from the public as to that and be able to ask, you know, any questions of staff as to why that particular method of doing it, you know, how we felt about it. I am also, I heard the comments by Centra about the seeming the perception of inequity of disparate processes and that's always something that I am definitely sensitive to when, you know, there is a favored status by one group and they don't have to go through the same process. So, I do think that that is a compelling argument as well. And so I would like to just say that this dialogue here tonight, especially those of you who were very civil and courteous and kind in your comments and spoke from the heart with sincerity, I think has definitely moved the needle, in my mind, as to how what I think may be the best way to approach this. So, again, thank you all for coming out and giving your thoughtful comments and it is not for naught.

Mr. Schmitt - Thank you, ma'am. Mr. Nelson?

2117 2118

2119

2120

2121

2122 2123

2124

2125

2126

2127 2128

2129

2130

2131

2132

2133 2134

213521362137

2138 2139

2140

2141

2142

2143

2144

2145

2146

2147

2148

2149 2150

2151 2152

2153

2154

2155

2156

2157

2158

2159

2160

2161

2162

Mr. Nelson -Thank you. I missed a little bit of that. You want to go ahead and repeat that again? No. I'm just playing. Yeah. I had some things to say, particularly or those of you who live in my district, the Varina District, which is technically over half of the land mass of Henrico County. Over 50, some say 52%, some say 54% of Henrico County is Varina. It stretches all the way to New Kent and Charles City and up to the eastern part of Fairfield into the City of Richmond and the James River. So you technically can live in Varina, the district, and not run into people who live in the same district as you because the district is so large from close to the city to Charles City and New Kent, on the day where there's traffic, it could take you 25, 30 minutes to travel across the district. And so we have a vast district, and I just want to make sure that we understand that. We have passionate people who live all over the district. They care about our district. And so, these are countywide issues that we are talking about. We all know that pretty much the concentration of data centers are in Varina. They have been in it seems like the interest is continued data center home in Varina. I just want to share something about me personally for those of you who don't know. And some of this even my colleagues may not know. I was interested in this in what was happening at the back door because it seemed like it was exciting. These little blue pins were given to you, Varina, Virginia, not just anywhere. I well, whoever came up with that, I thought that was really creative. It is. Varina's a special place. It's Thursday night, Tuesday night, Just 48 hours ago, I was at 8187 Bradbury Road. That is, it was the former home of my uncle who now has dementia. He's in a nursing home. He built that home 40 years ago, lived there his whole life up until he could no longer take care of himself. He's now in a nursing home. My cousin and I share co-power of attorney and we've had to watch the journey of him, who for some 30 some years didn't know he had a kid, then found out that he had an adult child. Yet the both of us ended up being the power of attorney. We had to sell his house in the heart of Varina because the you know, the government said that he owned property and they

could not give him Medicaid coverage because of it until he depleted all of his savings. Leaving from that house, giving the keys to the new owners, I had to drive past my grandmother, my grandfather and grandfather's home that they built that now is owned by someone else. Pulling out the driveway, I looked at the home of my aunt, diagonal looked at the home of my cousin down the street, looked at the home of my uncle. My family, most of you all don't know, have been in the Varina District for centuries. You know, it does make me smile sometimes when I hear people try to act like I don't know Varina, I'm not from Varina, I don't care about Varina. My grandparents were well, let me go back beyond that. Clearing out my uncle's home, I found his 1973 yearbook. He and my aunt were some of the first students, black students, to attend the integrated Varina High School. My mother could not go to Varina High School because she was black. She had to go to Virginia Randolph. She had to be bussed from Varina to Virginia Randolph and Glen Allen every day. Could not go to Varina High School. 17th, 18th century, late 1700s, there was a case called Pleasants vs. Pleasants. Some of you all who were in the area know of it. Really interesting case. The Pleasants family came from England, moved here, moved to Curles Neck. Decades of selling tobacco, became very rich. Robert Pleasant, the son, was a Quaker. He did not believe in slavery. He got his dad to draw up a will where when he died, all of the slaves would get the land and be freed on the land. Two decades, family fought over it, fought over it. My ancestors, my great-greatgreat-great grandfather, was one of those free slaves in a community called Gravel Hill now. It's called Gravel Hill, in the center part of, sandwiched between Darbytown Road and Route 5. You know, I say all of that because my family is Varina. I am Varina. We've been here for centuries. I care about this place. And so the decisions that we make, that I make, are important to us. I sat down with the county manager and our director of Planning a day or two ago. We had a map of the district in front of us and really just kind of looking at where really are the industrial corridors and where is residential? Where is it not? How can we find ways to make sure that we keep big parts of Varina from becoming residential or industrial while yet providing the best services, etc.? I was not around, I heard one other person say 25 years ago, at the beginning of 2000, I was not around in county government then. And so, decisions that were made prior to my being elected. I can't be held accountable for those but I can be held accountable for those decisions since then. I was a part of the QTS rezoning last year and several data centers will come out of that. One of the things that I heard tonight was what do we get out of it? And one of the reasons why I voted for the QTS rezoning last year was because we were able to get \$60 million committed for affordable housing that would impact people for years to come, multiple ways by which the mortgages or, you know, home prices can be brought down to be made affordable. Right now, Parkside Townes apartment right across the street from Taylor Farm, the brand-new Taylor Farm Park. You know, again, another one of the things that, you know, interests me when I hear people one of the ladies that spoke tonight actually said that, you know, I was one of the worst things that ever happened to Varina and I was a horrible supervisor. Tell that to the folk that go to this school. This school wouldn't be here if it wasn't for my advocacy now almost 10 years ago. Taylor Farm Park, the same. New police station up the street, the same. New fire stations, the same. New libraries, the same. And so, you know, don't believe that I do nothing for this district. This is a complex issue, this data center park situation. It is. One thing we know for a fact we're getting out of it, I brought up Parkside Townes. Those homes were I think

2163 2164

2165

2166

2167

2168

2169

2170

2171

2172

21732174

2175

2176

2177

2178

2179

2180

2181

2182

2183

2184

2185

2186 2187

2188

2189

2190

2191

2192

2193

2194

2195

2196

2197 2198

2199

2200

2201

2202

2203

2204

2205

2206

they're being marketed for around \$320,000 because of our affordable housing trust fund. About 25 people will be purchasing them houses for less than \$200,000. That's because of the rezoning, \$5 million worth of solar panel investment also came out of that same case. So we can get stuff as we move forward. I understand this tech park. When we, when it was thought up back in '96, who would have who would have thought that data centers would be such a concentration point across the country? We will have guite a few of them in the tech park. The reason that I support this is because it keeps us from no longer being at the mercy of zoning of land but that now the Board gets an opportunity to hear each individual case and we make a decision on each individual case. You get an opportunity to speak to each individual case. And so those things are important going forward. Now, in a second, you're going to hear a motion and the county attorney will explain it in a second. But I want to reemphasize again, if we don't support this, then byright development will continue and data centers will continue to pop up in the Varina District outside of the tech park all over. They'll be close to your neighborhood and every other neighborhood if we don't get a control of it. And so we will get to be able to say now, is this a good use? Is this a good space? Is this a good place? And if not, we can say no. When it's by right, we cannot. And so that's why I support that. Mr. Newby, explain to us what we are about to do. So, we are what does this mean? We are, we have agreed individually, we've talked to each other to get some consensus. We've agreed tonight because we didn't come in here like this. We are deferring the amendment and the zoning ordinance to our regular meeting on June 10th, both the Comprehensive Plan amendment and the zoning ordinance amendment. But we are asking and directing staff to draft readvertise for that hearing an amended version of the proposed ordinance to remove the proposed overlay district so that a PUP, Provisional Use Permit, is required for data centers in that area of the county, meaning anywhere in the county. Can you put that into laymen's terms?

2234 2235 2236

2237

2238 2239

2240

2241 2242

2243 2244

2245

2209

2210

2211

2212

2213

2214 2215

2216

2217

2218

2219 2220

2221

2223

2224

2225

2226

2227

2228

2229

2230 2231

2232 2233

Mr. Newby - Yes, sir. You're absolutely correct. So the Planning Commission has acted and recommended both ordinances as proposed. The Board is considering, potentially, motions to defer both items to June 10th. On June 10th, the Comp Plan proposal will be reconsidered again. And importantly, the zoning ordinance I'm hearing the Board is interested in having staff prepare an alternative, an amendment to the proposal that would eliminate the overlay district and therefore require a provisional use permit for data centers anywhere in the county in the O, B and M districts. Under those circumstances, there would no longer be by-right data centers as a principal use anywhere in the county in any district and instead by-right or excuse me. Data centers as a principal use would always require a provisional use permit and a public hearing before they could begin operation.

2246 2247 2248

2249

2250

Mr. Nelson - To include the White Oak Technology Park, which initially the initial ordinance was to continue to do by-right within the park. Now we're saying within the park, there is no overlay. So, after June 10th, if we support this, everything will have to have a PUP that is not vested at this point.

225122522253

2254

Mr. Newby - That's correct, sir. And that proposal will be readvertised for a new public hearing on June 10th if the motion you propose is carried.

2255			
2255 2256	Mr. Nelson -	All right. Mr. Chair, I'm prepared to make the motion after you	
2257	or if you ask for further comments from our colleagues.		
2258			
2259	Mr. Schmitt -	Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Nelson. Are there any further	
2260		s from members of the Board on this item tonight? All right.	
2261		notion on the Comprehensive Plan amendment?	
2262	cooling frome, to there a fi	iottori on the comprehensive i lan amenament:	
2263	Mr. Nelson -	Mr. Chair, I move we defer the Comprehensive Plan	
2264			
2265	10th, 2025.	a by the Figure 1 to the regular meeting on ourse	
2266			
2267	Mr. Schmitt -	Is there a second?	
2268			
2269	Ms. Roundtree -	Second.	
2270			
2271	Mr. Schmitt -	Motion has been made by Mr. Nelson, seconded by Ms.	
2272	Roundtree to defer the Comprehensive Plan amendment to the June 10th meeting. Those		
2273			
2274			
2275	Board -	Aye.	
2276			
2277	Mr. Schmitt -	Any opposed? That motion carries. Moving on, is there a	
2278	motion with regard to the	deferral of the zoning ordinance amendment?	
2279			
2280	Mr. Nelson -	Mr. Chair, I move we defer the zoning ordinance amendment	
2281		nning Commission to the regular meeting on June 10th, 2025	
2282		we direct staff to draft and readvertise that that hearing and	
2283		proposed ordinance to remove the proposed overlay district so	
2284	that a provisional use per	mit is required for all data centers in that area of the county.	
2285			
2286	Mr. Schmitt -	Thank you, sir. It's been properly moved. Is there a second?	
2287			
2288	Mr. Cooper -	Second.	
2289			
2290	Mr. Schmitt -	A second made by Mr. Cooper, a motion was made by Mr.	
2291	Nelson. Those in favor, say aye.		
2292	Daniel	A	
2293	Board -	Aye.	
2294	Mr. Cohmitt	Any appeared? All sight That westign also are in the	
2295	Mr. Schmitt - Any opposed? All right. That motion also carries. Mr.		
2296	Manager, I believe there was no further business of this special meeting of the Board of		
2297 2298	Supervisors; is that correct	A!	
2298	Mr. Vithoulkas -	Correct, sir.	
2200	IVII. VIIIIOUINAS	Ourout, Sir.	

	2301	Mr. Schmitt -	All right. Mr. Witte, we hereby adjourn this Board of		
	2302		I turn it back over to you for your regularly scheduled Planning		
	2303	Commission meeting, sir.	Thank you.		
	2304				
	2305	Mr. Witte -	Thank you, Mr. Schmitt, members of the Board. The Planning		
	2306		out a 10-minute break to give people that aren't staying for the		
	2307	Planning Commission me	eting		
	2308				
	2309	Mr. Schmitt -	No, sir.		
	2310				
	2311	Mr. Witte -	and the Board members to vacate if they would like to.		
	2312	You're more than welcome to stay and participate. Thank you.			
	2313				
	2314				
	2315				
	2316				
	2317				
	2318				
	2319				
	2320				
	2321				
	2322		011.11		
	2323		KHATILIDA K		
-	2324		100000		
	2325		Mr. Robert Witte, Jr., Chairperson		
	2326		VIII		
	2327		The state of the s		
	2328				
	2329		Mr. K. Joseph Emerson, Secretary		